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Abstract: The lattice constants of orthorhombic sulfur have been determined as $\mathrm{a}=10.437 \pm 0.010, \mathrm{~b}=12.845 \pm 0.010$, and $\mathrm{c}=24.369 \pm 0.010 \mathrm{~A}$. Using MoKa radiation, and both Weissenberg and precession cameras, 669 out of a possible 1049 structure factors have been measured. Warren and Burwell's approximation to the structure was refined first by double Fourier series, ard then by repeated least-squares analyses using all the measured structure factors. The coordinates thus obtained, after the least-squares method had completely converged, were used in evaluating a triple Fourier series. The arithmetic mean of the coordinates obtained by the triple-Fourier series and the final least-squares analysis correspond to an $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ molecule in which the average sulfur-sulfur bond length is $2.039 \pm 0.007 \mathrm{~A}$, the average sulfur-sulfur-sulfur bond angle is $107^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \pm$ $39^{\prime}$ and the average dihedral angle is $99^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \pm 48^{\prime}$. There are no unusual intermolecular contacts. The dimensions in the $S_{8}$ molecule are briefly discussed in terms of other recent determinations of sulfur compounds.

## 1. Introduction

The crystal structure of orthorhombic sulfur was first determined by Warren and Burwell (1935) and found to corisist of symmetrically puckered $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ ring molecules. Using an elegantly simple method for reducing the number of
parameters in the problem from 12 to 2 , the positional parameters were refined by the trial and error method until satisfactory agreement was obtained between the 42 observed and calculated amplitudes. The resulting arrangement led to an average sulfur-sulfur bond length of 2.12 A and sulfur-sulfur-sulfur angle of $105.4^{\circ}$.

A re-examination of Warren and Burwell's structure was made by Ventriglia (1951), who confirmed the original solution to be correct by the use of double Patterson series. He also suggested that the sulfur-sulfur bond distances are about 2.1 A and the sulfur-sulfur-sulfur angles about $105^{\circ}$.

The importance of an accurate knowledge of this sulfur-sulfur bond distance to current discussion concerning the presence of double-bond character in similar bonds, suggested that a new investigation be undertaken. Accordingly, all the reflections observable with molybdenum radiation have been measured, and the resulting 669 structure factors were used in three-dimensional least-squares and triple-Fourier series to determine the positional parameters.

## 2. Crystal Data

Orthorhombic sulfur, $\mathrm{S}_{8}$; mol. wt. 256.48 ; transforms at $95.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to monoclinic sulfur and melts at $118.95^{\circ} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{obs}}=2.069 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$ (Batuecas and Losa, 1951); $D_{\text {calc }}=2.085 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$. The lattice constants were redetermined using precession photographs corrected for film shrinkage; the orthorhombic unit cell had $a=10.437 \pm 0.010, b=12.845 \pm 0.010$ and $c=24.369 \pm 0.010 \mathrm{~A}$ (Warren and Bur well's values were $10.48,12.92$ and 24.55 A ); (hk $\ell$ ) present only when $h+k$, $\mathrm{k}+\boldsymbol{\ell}, \boldsymbol{\ell}+\mathrm{h}=2 \mathrm{n} ;(0 \mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{\ell})$ only when $\mathrm{k}+\boldsymbol{\ell}=4 \mathrm{n} ;(\mathrm{h} 0 \boldsymbol{\ell})$ only when $\mathrm{h}+\boldsymbol{\ell}=4 \mathrm{n} ;(\mathrm{hk} 0)$ only when $h+k=4 n$. Space group $D_{2 h}^{24}-$ Fddd. Sixteen molecules per unit cell. Molecular symmetry required; $C_{2}$. Absorption coefficient for MoKa X radiation $(\lambda=0.7107 \mathrm{~A})=20.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Volume of the unit cell, 3266.9A . Toial number of electrons per unit cell, F'000) $=2048$.

## 3. Intensity Measurements and Preparation

In order to minimi- the introduction of error due to absorption end to a sharp termination of the experimental data, MoKa radiation was used for all measurements. Smail, regular-shaped crystals were grown from carbon disulfide solution, and found to be quite stable under X irradiation for many weeks. Precession and a modified equi-inclination Weissenberg camera (Abrahams, 1954) techniques were employed to obtain the photographic records. Visual intensity measurements were made using both multiple exposure and multiple film methuds. In the latter, sheets of 1 -mil nickel foil were interleaved between films (Ilford "Industrial-G" was used almost exclusively) and the resulting intensity reduction in an X-ray beam passing through one thickness of film and foil was determined to be 3.4 to 1 by Geiger-counter measurement. Five crystals were used, varying in size from $0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$. The ratio of the strongest to the weakest intensity in any layer was about 1000 to 1 . The entire reciprocal lattice was explored by recording the intensities of the hk0, hkl, hk 2 , $h k 3$, and hk4 layers with a precession camera, and the hol, hl $\ell, h 2 \ell, h 3 \ell$, $\mathrm{h} 4 \ell, \mathrm{~h} 5 \ell, \mathrm{~h} 6 \ell, \mathrm{~h} 7 \ell, 0 \mathrm{k} \ell, 1 \mathrm{k} \ell, 2 \mathrm{k} \ell, 3 \mathrm{k} \ell, 4 \mathrm{k} \ell, 5 \mathrm{k} \ell, 6 \mathrm{k} \ell, 7 \mathrm{k} \ell, 8 \mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{\ell}, \mathrm{hh} \ell$, and parts of the $h-1, h+1, \ell$, and $h-2, h+2, \ell$, layers with a Weizseniserg camera.

Intensities measured on the precession-camera film were corrected for the Lorentz and polarization factor using the charts of Grenville-Wells and Abrahams (1952), and those on the Weissenberg films by the usual Lorentz and polarization factors, and by the Tunell (1939) rotation factor. Absorption corrections were not made in view of the small crystals and their approximation to a spherical shape. After the intensities in each layer had been reduced to structure factors, they were placed on the same scale by using the common reflections in each pair of layers; 269 of these structure factors were observed once only, 307 were observed twice, 80 were observed three times, and 13 were obtained
four times. In the 400 cases where a given structure factor was measured more than once, the mean value was taken. These observed values for the structure factors are given in Table 8.

The multiple observation of structure factors was used to obtain a measure of the error in the mean value. Whittaker and Robinson (1944) showed that if there are $n$ observations of $F_{i}$, the standard deviation in any observed $\sigma\left(F_{i}\right)=$ $\left[\sum_{i}\left(\bar{F}-F_{i}\right)^{2} \div(n-1)\right]^{1 / 2}$, where $\bar{F}=\sum_{i} F_{i} \div n$. This relation clearly holds only for $n$ large. When $n=2,3,4$ the significance of $\sigma\left(F_{i}\right)$ iecomes rather ambiguous. Nevertheless, $\sigma\left(F_{i}\right)$ was evaluated for all $F(h k \ell)$ 's observed more than once. The average value of $\sigma\left(F_{i}\right)$ was then 8.7 percent of $F_{i}$, and to a rough approximation $\sigma\left(F_{i}\right) \div\left|F_{i}\right|$ is independent of the magnitude of $F_{i}$.

## 4. Analysis of the Siructure

In the space group Fddd the general position is 32 -fold, and four of the sulfur atoms in this crystal lie in this position. However, by assuming the $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ molecule to be a symmetricai puckered ring of chosen S-S bond length and S-S-S bond angle, only two parameters remain unknown. The molecule may be regarded as consisting of two squares with one turned through $45^{\circ}$ with respect to the other; the chosen bond dimensions then determine the length of the square side and the separation of the two planes of the squares. The center of this molecule lies on a 16 -fold position having only the single parameter $z$, and the reraining unknown is the angle made by the plane of the rings with the a axis. Fiaving thus reduced this problem to one of two unknowns only, Warren and Burwell (1935) approximately solved them by a consideration of the $00 \ell$ and hk 0 reflections. Further refinement was then sought by making small adjustments in the atomic coordinates to give better agreement among the 42 observed and calculated amplitudes, which include 14 hk $\boldsymbol{h}$ eflections. The resulting atomic coordinates are given in Table l, which correspond to the bond distances
$S_{1}-S_{1}^{\prime}=2.18, S_{4}-S_{4}^{\prime}=2.11, S_{1}-S_{3}=2.07, S_{2}-S_{3}=2.11$ and $S_{2}-S_{4}=2.12 \mathrm{~A}$. The average S-S-S bond angle was $105.4^{\circ}$.

Table 1. Warren and Burwell's (1935) atomic coordinates for orthorhornbic sulfur.

| Origin at 222 |  |  | Origin at center |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | x | y | z | x | y | z |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 0.983 | 0.083 | 0.072 | 0.858 | 0.958 | 0.947 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 0.906 | 0.161 | 0.200 | 0.781 | 0.036 | 0.075 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 0.833 | 0.105 | 0.125 | 0.708 | 0.980 | 0.000 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | 0.906 | 0.028 | 0.250 | 0.781 | 0.903 | 0.125 |

The $x$ and $z$ coordinates from Table 1 were then used to compute (h0 $\mathcal{L}$ ) structure factors. (See Section 5 for the atomic form factors used.) The resuiting value of $R_{1}=\sum| | F_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}\right|\right| \div \sum\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|$ in this layer, using the observed structure factors in Table 8, was 0.31. After two Fourier series projections, no further sign changes were observed, and the final series is shown in Fig. 1. The coordinates obtained from Fig. 1 corresponded to $R_{1}=0.155$. The $z$ coordinates from Fig. 1 and the $y$ coordinates from Table 1 were then used in Fourier series projections along the a axis to refine the $y$ coordinates, and two such projections gave Fig. ? with all signs again having ceased to change. Here $R_{1}=0.217$. The combined coordinates from Figs. 1 and 2 are given in Table 2. The coordinates in Table 2 were then used to calculate the hk $\boldsymbol{l}$ structure factors after first transforming to an origin at the center of symmetry: The agreement factor $R_{1}$ between the 669 observed $F(h k l) ' s$ and the corresponding set of calculated structure factors, using a second empirical atomic scattering curve (Section 5) at this initial stage in the three-dimensional work, was 0.258.

Three-dimensional least-squares refinement
The means chosen for refining the atomic parameters with all the reflections

Cl



Fig. 1. Projection of one-half unit cell of orthorhombic sulfur along the $b$ axis. Contours for atoms $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are at intervals of $4 e A^{-2}$; for atoms $S_{1}$ and $S_{4}$ the interval is $8 \mathrm{eA}^{-2^{3}}$. The $4 \mathrm{eA}^{-2}$ in e is dotted.


Fig. 2. Projection of one-half unit cell of orthorhombic sulfur along the $c$ axis. All contours are at intervals of $8 \mathrm{eA}^{-2}$, the $8 \mathrm{eA}^{-2}$ line being dotted.

Table 2. Atomic coordinates from Fourier series projections along the $a$ ard $b$ axes.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Origin at 222 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 0.9907 | 0.0810 | 0.0775 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 0.9042 | 0.1549 | 0.2013 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 0.8333 | 0.1122 | 0.1279 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | 0.9091 | 0.0326 | 0.2500 |

observable using MoKa radiation, was the method of leasi squares (Whittaker and Robinson, 1944; Hughess, 1941). In this method all weights were initialiy placed equal. An examination of various weighting schemes (Section 7) appears to justify this simplifying procedure, and hence it was adopted throughout this worik. The 669 observational equations were reduced to 12 normal equations in the usual way, evaluating only the ccefficients of the diagonal terms, and hence deriving the corrections $\left(\Delta \xi_{j}\right)$ to be made to the atomic coordinates $\left(\xi_{j}\right)$, by relations of the form

$$
\Delta \xi_{j} \cdot \sum_{h k \ell}\left[\frac{\partial F(h k \ell)}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right]^{2}=\sum_{h k \ell}\left[\frac{\partial F(h k \ell)}{\partial \xi_{j}} \cdot \Delta F(h k \ell)\right]
$$

where $\Delta F(h k \boldsymbol{\ell})=F_{\text {obs }}(h k \boldsymbol{\ell})-F_{\text {calc }}(h k \boldsymbol{\ell})$.
The first application of this method produced a maximum shift in atomic coordinates of 0.10 A , and the structure factors calculated on the basis of the se corrected coordinates contained 26 with changed sign. The value of $R_{1}$ fell to 0.177. A second application produced only 3 changes in the signs of structure factors, with a maximum coordinate correction of 0.02A. A total of five applications of this technique was required before the largest value of $\Delta \xi_{j}<\sigma\left(\Delta \xi_{j}\right)$, the standard error in that $\Delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}$ (see Section 10 ). The respective values of $\Delta \xi_{j}$ and $\sigma\left(\Delta \xi_{j}\right)$ after the fifth least-squares process were 0.001 and 0.006 A . $R_{1}$ then
became 0.162 . The corresponding set of atomic coordinates is given under $A$, Table 3.

Table 3. Atomic coordinates of orthorhombic sulfur. Origin at center.

|  | A <br> (Least squares) | B <br> (Fourier series) | C Arithmetic mean) <br> (Ar |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ | 0.8562 | 0.8549 | 0.8556 |
| $y_{1}$ | 0.9525 | 0.9523 | 0.9524 |
| $z_{1}$ | 0.9518 | 0.9516 | 0.9517 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.7844 | 0.7843 | 0.7844 |
| $y_{2}$ | 0.0305 | 0.0299 | 0.0302 |
| $z_{2}$ | 0.0764 | 0.0762 | 0.0763 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.7065 | 0.7065 | 0.7065 |
| $y_{3}$ | 0.9799 | 0.9791 | 0.9795 |
| $z_{3}$ | 0.0040 | 0.0041 | 0.0040 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0.7855 | 0.7861 | 0.7858 |
| $y_{4}$ | 0.9085 | 0.9069 | 0.9077 |

Triple Fourier-series determination of atomic coordinates
It was felt desirable to check the final coordinates obtained by the leastsquares method, using an independent route. Two common alternatives were considered: the differential synthesis and the triple Fourier-series methods. The former was rejected because of the inherent uncertainty in the meaning of electron density maxima if the profile is asymmetric. Figure 3 illustrates this source of error in the use of such turning-point methods. Since the final leastsquares coordinates were, at worst, very close to the true values, the electron density along lines parallel with each axis and passing through these coordinates was computed, i.e., $\left(x_{j}+\Delta x, y_{j}, z_{j}\right)$, etc., were evaluated for known steps of


[^0]$\Delta x$, as in Fig. 3.
The center of gravity of this profile was taken as coincident with the atomic cooidinate along the line of che profile. Experience suggests that coordinates obtained in this manner are insensitive to small displacements of these lines. Each atomic electron density profile was evaluated twice, once with the observed structure factors and then with the structure factors calculated on the basis of the final least-squares coordinates as the coefficients in the triple Fourier series. Corrections could thus be made in the usuai way $\left[\xi_{\text {correct }}=\xi_{\text {observed }}+\right.$ ( $\xi_{\text {obs }}-\xi_{\text {calc }}$ )] for errors introduced inio the triple Fourier series using the observed $F(h k \ell)$ values, due to series termination. The corrected set of atomic coordinates thus obtained are given under B, Table 3 .

## 5. Atomic Form Factors

James and Brindley's (1931) atomic form factor for sulfur was used at first, modified by a temperature factor $B=3.25 A^{2}$, in the expression $\exp \left[-B\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right]$. This value was obtained by a consideration of the limiting value of $\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}$ at which (h $\left.O \ell\right)$ reflections ceased to be observable. Structure factors, based upon this temperature factor-modified curve together with the atomic coordinates in Table l, hence permitted the observed structure factors to be placed upon a scale close to absolute. With this scale a first empirical atomic scattering factor curve was derived, using the relation $f(h 0 \ell)=F(h 0 \ell) \frac{\div}{\dot{s}}$ $32 \sum_{j} \cos 2 \pi h x_{j} \cdot \cos 2 \pi \ell z_{j}$. The values of $f(h 0 \ell)$ thus obtained were plotted against $2 \sin \theta$ and a mean value of $f$ was found for each interval of $2 \sin \theta=0.1$. A smooth curve was then drawn through these mean values; this is the form factor used in obtaining $R_{1}(h 0 \ell)=0.31$ in Section 4.

All the observed structure factors were then placed on the same scale as the final set of observed $(\mathrm{h} 0 \ell)$ and $(0 \mathrm{k} \ell)$ structure factors after completion of the doubie Fourier series refinement process. A second empirical atomic form-
factor curve was derived by $f(h k \ell)=F(h k \ell)_{a b s} \div G(h k \ell)$, where $G(h k \ell)$, the geometrical part of the calculated structure factor, wass used only if greater than 10 (maximum value is 128 ), and was based upon the coordinates in Table 2. A smooth curve was drawn, as described previously.

After three least-square cycles had been completed, a third and final f curve was derived. The absolute scale was this time determined by Wilson's (1942) method, and the previous scale was found to be 5.1 percent too high. After adjustment to the Wilson scale, the new furve was extracted in the same way as the second empirical curve, the atomic coordinates from the third leastsquares process being used $\because$ ) compute $G(h k \ell)$. Since the absolute scale was not directly measured by an absolute experimental method, it is possible that the final scale of the f curve reproduced in Fig. 4 might be in error. Such an error is thought to be not more than about 5 percent. The temperature factor, determined by Wilson's method, based on the James and Brindley f curve at the same time as the absolute scale, was $3.46 \mathrm{~A}^{2}$. For comparison, the James and Brindley f curve (with $B=3.25 \mathrm{~A}^{2}$ ) and also the empirical $f$ curve found by Cox, Gillot and Jeffrey (1949) for thiophthene are given in Fig. 4.

## 6. Anisotropy in the Thermal Vibrations

The empirical scattering curve (Fig. 4) used in the final determination of the atomic coordinates gives the efficacy of X-ray scattering by the sulfur atoms undergoing certain thermal vibrations. The absolute value of the amplitude of this vibration has not been determined, but has been assumed equal in all directions. The validity of assuming isotropic thermal motion may be tested by replacing $f($ hk $\ell)$ for each $j$ th atom by $f(h k \ell) \exp -\left[a_{j} h^{2}+\beta_{j} k^{2}+\gamma_{j} \ell^{2}\right]$ (James, 1950). In this expression, $a_{j}, \beta_{j}$, and $\gamma_{j}$ are corrections to the overall temper ature factor implicit in the curve of Fig. 4 for each jth atom in directions parallel with the $a, b$, and $c$ axes, respectively. The structure factor expression thus

Fig. 4. Atomic scattering curves for sulfur.
becomes $F(h k \ell)=f(h k \ell) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{4} G_{j}(h k \ell) \exp -\left[a_{j} h^{2}+\beta_{j} k^{2}+\gamma_{j} \ell^{2}\right]$.
Evaluation of these corrections was made by the method of least squares, using the coordinates under A, Table 3. It was assumed that, to a close approximation, the values of $a_{j}, \beta_{j}, Y_{j}$ are independent of further changes in the $\Delta \xi_{j}$ 's. Diagonal terms only of the form $\sum\left[\frac{\partial F(h k \ell)}{\partial a_{j}}\right]^{2} \cdot \Delta a_{j}=\sum\left[\frac{\partial F(h k \ell)}{\partial a_{j}}\right.$. $\Delta F(h k l)]$ were computed, and resulted in the values given in Table 4. The stand-

Table 4. Values of the correction to the empirical isotropic temperature factor.

|  | a | $\beta$ | $\mathbf{\gamma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | -0.00010 | -0.00069 | -0.00013 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | -0.00025 | -0.00022 | -0.00003 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | -0.00027 | -0.00008 | -0.00008 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | -0.00030 | +0.00009 | -0.00005 |

ard deviations in these corrections had the following average values: $\sigma \overline{\left(a_{j}\right)}=$ $0.0005, \sigma\left(\overline{\beta_{j}}\right)=0.0003$ and $\sigma\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)=0.0003$, which are very similar to the actual values observed in Table 4. Nevertheless, these values were used in computing a new set of structure factors. This set changed $R_{1}$ from 0.162 , corresponding to the coordinates under A, Table 3, to 0.161 . The scarcely significant reduction in $R_{1}$, together with the magnitude of the standard deviation in the $a_{j}, \beta_{j}$, $\gamma_{j}$ suggest that indeed there is an isotropic and equal thermal motion for each atom. A simple experimental observation supporting this suggestion is that in each Weissenberg photograph, for each layer recorded, there is a very uniform value of $\sin \theta$ at which reflections cease to be cbservable.

## 7. The Weighting Factor

In the correct use of the method of least squares, each observation should be properly weighted so that each equation of condition possesses equal weight.

Each weight is proportional to the square of the moduli of precision of the observation, $h(F)$ (Whittaker and Robinson, p. 223). Further, $\sigma(f)=1 /[\sqrt{2} h(F)]$, and hence by computing the standard error in each structure factor, the correct weight should readily be assignable. However, in most crystal structure determinations, $\sigma(F)$ cannot properly be obtained, due to the small experimental sampling of the value of each structure factor. In recognizing this difficulty, several other weighting schemes have been adopted in the literature, and some of these are examined here. In all the following schemes the coordinates under A, Table 3, were used in evaluating $F_{\text {calc }}$, and hence the $\Delta F(h k \ell)$ terms required in the equations of condition:
a) The approximate standard deviation in the structure factors we: e used, calculated as in Section 3, viz., $\sqrt{\omega}(\mathrm{hk} \ell)=1 / \sigma(F(\mathrm{hk} \ell))$
b) Since the approximate $\sigma F(\mathrm{hk} \ell)$ 's are roughly proportional to $\mid$ Fhk $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ !,i.e., $\sigma F(h k \ell) \simeq 0.087|F(h k \ell)| ; \quad \sqrt{\omega}(h k \ell)$ was taken equal to $1 /[0.087$ $F(h k l) \mid]$
c) The assumption of proportionality of the standard deviation with the magnitude of the structure factor is most violated for $|F(h k l)|<100$. Also within this range, lies the majority of the structure factors (Fig. 5). Hence for all $|F(h k l)|<100$, a weight of 0.010 was used, and for $|F(h k \ell)|>100$ weights were as in $b$ )
d) It was suggested by Cruikshank and Robertson (1953) that $\Delta F(h k \ell)$ could be used as $\infty 1 / \sqrt{\omega}(h k \ell)$.

In Cases a, b, and c, the correction to the atomic coordinates in many cases exceeded the standard error in that correction by as much as a factor of 8 . The corresponding shifts in the atomic coordinates had a maximum of about 0.04 A , and in all these cases resulted in maximum differences in $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths of as much as 0.13 A . In Case c) the value of $R_{1}$ was calculated and found to be 0.209. In Case d), every $\Delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j} \leqslant \sigma\left(\Delta \xi_{j}\right)$.


It thus appears that none of the four weighting schemes investigated is of practical value in applying the method of least squares to crystal structure determinations, provided all the structure factors are measured with equal care and that the ratio of number of observational equations to parameters is large. The initial scheme of placing all weights equal to unity was hence used in the final determination of atomic coordinaies by the least-squares method.

## 8. Final Coordinates

The coordinates obtained from the final least-squares treatment of Section 4 are under A, Table 3; those from the triple Fourier series method, corrected for termination of the series by Booth's (1947) method, under B. These corrections were small with a maximum value of 0.007 A and a root mean-squares value of 0.003 A . The arithmetic mean (column C) of these two sets of coordinates is taken as the final set. Structure factors, based on column C, Table 4, and the empirical scattering curve in Fig. 3, assuming no anisotropy in the thermal vibrations, are given in Table 8 under $F_{\text {meas }}{ }^{\circ}$

## 9. Molecular Dimensions

The coordinates under $C$, Table 3, correspond to the bond distances and angles in Table 5.

Table 5. Bond distances and angles.

| $S_{1}-S_{1}^{\prime}=2.027 \dot{A}$ | $S_{1}^{\prime} S_{1} S_{3}=108^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S_{4}-S_{4}^{\prime}=2.043$ | $S_{3} S_{2} S_{4}=107^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | Average $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}=2.039 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $S_{1}-S_{3}=2.042$ | $S_{1} S_{3} S_{2}=106^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | Average S-S-S $=107^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$ |
| $S_{2}-S_{4}=2.032$ | $S_{4}^{\prime} S_{4} S_{2}=108^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$ |  |
| $S_{2}-S_{3}=2.047$ |  |  |

Since the distances $S_{1}-S_{1}^{\prime}$ and $S_{4}-S_{4}^{\prime}$, lie across a two-fold axis, they have only half the weight of the other three distances. Similarly, the angles $S_{1} S_{1} S_{3}$ and
$S_{4}^{\prime} S_{4} S_{2}$ have half the weight of the other two angles. With these weights, the average $S-S$ distance is 2.039 A and the average $S-S-S$ angle is $107^{\circ} 4 l^{\prime}$.

The $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ molecule in this crystal may be described as consisting of two "squares" formed by atoms $S_{1} S_{2} S_{3}^{\prime} S_{4}^{\prime}$ and $S_{1} S_{2} S_{3}^{\prime} S_{4}^{\prime}$, with a distance of 0.99 A between their mean planes. One "square" is turned through $45^{\circ}$ with respect to the other, and their two planes are identically parallel. The average length of the side of the "square" is 3.299 A , and the average angle is $90^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$; the individual lengths and angles are shown in Fig. 6. The atoms in the "square" have a R. M.S. deviation of 0.030 A from their mean plane.

There are three different dihedral angles in this molecule, as given in Table 6.

Table 6. Dihedral angles in $S_{8}$
$S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} / S_{2} S_{3} S_{4}=100^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$
$S_{1} S_{2} S_{3} / S_{1}^{\prime} S_{1} S_{3}=98^{\circ}{ }_{55^{\prime}}$
$S_{3} S_{2} S_{4} / S_{2} S_{4} S_{4}^{\prime}=98^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$

The angles obtained using the primed atoms have only half the weight of the first angle. Hence the mean dihedral angle is $99^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$. It may be observed that if this angle were $90^{\circ}$, the distance between every fourth sulfur atom, keeping the average S-S distance and S-S-S angle in Table 5, would be 4. 276 A, whereas $S_{3}-S_{3}^{\prime}$ for example is $4.425 A$.
10. Uncertainties in the Atomic Coordinates, Bond Lengths and Angles

The standard deviation in the corrections to the atomic coordinates is readily computed after the normal equations have been evaluated in the leastsquares process, using the relation (Whittaker and Robinson, 1944)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\Delta \xi_{j}\right)=\left\{\frac{\sum v^{2}}{m-s} \cdot \frac{A_{11}}{D}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is the residual, $D$ the determinant formed by the coefficients of the normal equation, $A_{11}$ the minor determinant of the coefficient of the correction $\Delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}$,

Fig. 6. Dimensions in the $S_{8}$ molecule in orthorhombic sulfur.
$m$ the number of observational equations, and $s$ the number of parameters. Equation (1) may be closely approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\Delta \xi_{j}\right)=\left\{\frac{\sum[\Delta F(h k \ell)]^{2}}{(m-s) \sum\left[\frac{\partial F(h k \ell)}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right]^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the resultant Eq. (2) was used in this work. The standard deviation of each coordinate in Angstryms was very nearly equal throughout. The standard deviation in the corrections produced by the first three-dimensional least-squares application was about 0.009 A per coordinate. The final corrections leading to Table 3, Column A had a standard deviation of about 0.0658 A per coordinate. Hence the standard deviation in the position of each atom is 0.010 A .

Cruickshank's (1949) method was also used to determine the estimated standard deviation in the atomic coordinates obtained by the triple Fourier series method ( $B$, Table 3). Retaining his nomenclature, $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ are 4. 21, $p_{z}$ is 6.00; $A_{h h}=A_{k k}$ is 209.2, $A_{\ell} \ell^{i s} 507.5 \mathrm{eA}^{-5} ; \sigma\left(\mathrm{A}_{h}\right)$ is $1.609 ; \sigma\left(\mathrm{A}_{k}\right)$ is 1.511; and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{A}_{\ell}\right)$ is $1.796 \mathrm{eA}^{-4}$. Thus $\sigma(x)=0.008 \mathrm{~A}, \sigma(y)=0.007 \mathrm{~A}$, and $\sigma(\mathrm{z})=0.004 \mathrm{~A}$, so that the estimated standard deviation in the position of each atom by Cruickshank's method is 0.011 A .

The mean value of the standard deviation in the coordinate of each atom is thus taken to be 0.010 A , and hence in any one S-S bond is 0.014 A . However, this bond length is measured independently four times, in the $S_{8}$ molecule. The arithmetic mean of the four S-S bond distances hence has a standard deviation of $\sigma(S-S) / \sqrt{4}=0.007 \mathrm{~A}$ (Whittaker and Robinson, 1944). The limit of error in this arithmetic mean distance can be taken as double the standard deviation, i.e., 0.014 A . It may be noticed in Table 5 that all the individual values of the S-S bond length lie within this limit of error of the arithmetic mean distance.

The standard deviation in each sulfur-sulfur-sulfur bond angle is readily
computed from the data above, to be 68'. This angle is independently measured three times, and hence the standard deviation in the arithmetic mean of these three values is $39^{\prime}$. Each of these three values lies within the limit of error, double the standard deviation, i.e., $1^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ of the arithmetic mean angle (Table 5). The standard deviation in the dihedral angle is the same as in the bond angle, viz. 68'. This angle is independently measured only twice, since two of the observations have half the weight of the other, and hence the standard error in the dihedral angle is $68^{\prime} / \sqrt{2}=48^{\prime}$.

## 11. Intermolecular Gontacts

In this crystal, there are four contacts only between atoms of neighboring molecules that are less than 4A. The shortest of these is of 3.689 A between $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $S_{2}$ of the molecule related by a center. The primed atom is related to the unprimed in Table 3 by the two-fold axis passing through the molecule.

## 12. Discussion

In any scheme of representing bond lengths in terms of corresponding bond orders, the lengths of the pure single and duuble bonds are of particular importance. For the case of sulfur, a scheme has been proposed in which the length of the bond of order zerois taken as 2.08 A and of order unity as 1.88 A , with intermediate points derived from the alternating sulfur-sulfur bond lengths found in the hexasulfide and tetrasulfide ions (Abrahams, 1954). The sulfur-sulfur distance in the $S_{8}$ molecule was examined in case it could be taken as an example of a pure single bond. However, the measured distance of 2.039 A in orthorhombic sulfur appears to correspond not to a pure single bond, but to one with order 0.3, according to the scheme above.

The possibility of substantial double-bond character occurring in the $S_{8}$ molecule was suggested previously by Powell and Eyring (1943). These authors discussed the reaction $(x / 8) S_{8}$ ring $\rightarrow S_{x}$ chain, for which the heat of reaction
is $27.5 \pm 5 \mathrm{kcal}$, in terms of the bond strength of $S-S$ given by Pauling (1939) as 63.3 kcal.* The large energy difference between opening the eight-membered ring, and breaking a sulfur-sulfur bond was postulated as being due to the formation of some double-bond character in which the available 3 d orbitals were used. Thus by taking on a certain amount of "aromatic" character, the $S_{8}$ ring could achieve appreciable stability from the consequent resonance energy. They further postulated that on opening the ring, the resulting chain might retain some of this resonance energy, and hence could account for the energy difference.

An alternative view is that the distance 2.039 A really represents a pure single $S-S$ distance, and that those bonds which are longer than this are less than single. Some support for believing the $S_{8}$ molecule does possess some double bond character can be found by considering the dihedral angles in this molecule. The average dihedral angle is $99^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ while in the free polysulfide group, the average angle is ca. $78^{\circ}$ (Abrahams, 1954). Thus, in the ring, the dihedral angle has become much flatter, indicating a tendency towards planarity in the molecule, such as would be required for the po'tulated "aromatic" character to become a maximum.

The sulfur-sulfur-sulfur bond angle of $107^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \pm 39^{\prime}$ is close to other recently measured values for this angle (Table 7). This angle hence appears

Table 7. Sulfur-sulfur-sulfur bond angles.

| Compound | Value | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cesium hexasulfide | $108.8 \pm 2^{\circ}$ | Abrahams and Grison (1953) |
| Barium tetrasulfide monohydrate | $104.7 \pm 2^{\circ}$ | Abrahams (1954) |
| Dimethanesulfonyl disulfide | $104.0 \pm 3^{\circ}$ | SBrum (1953) |
| Barium tetrathionate dihydrate | $103.0 \pm 2^{\circ}$ | Foss, Furberg and Zachari- |
| Barium pentathionate dihydrate | $104.5 \pm 2^{\circ}$ | Fosen (1954) |

* A recent determination of the S-S bond strength in several alkyl disiaifides (Franklin and Lumpkin, 1952) places the bond strength between 70 and 73.2 kcal.

Table 8. Measured and calculated values of the orthorhombic sulfur structure factors.


Table 8 (cont.)


Table 8 (cont.)

rather insensitive to changes in the electronic structure of the bonds which form it. This bond angle may also be compared with the corresponding angle in the Stef molecule. In a-selenium (Burbank, 1951) it is $105.3 \pm 2.3^{\circ}$ and in $\beta$-selenium (Marsh, Pauling and McCullough, 1953) it is $105.7 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$.

## 13. Computing Methods

All structure factors (Table 8), least-squares analyses and triple Fourier series were comp.ted on the 604 calculator and associated reproducer, tabulator, etc., International Business Machines. In the methods used, developed in conjunction with the Office of Statistical Services, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, trigonometric and exponential functions were evaluated by power expansions, and four-figure accuracy was maintained throughout. The double Fourier series were summed using Beevers-Lipson strips, subdividing the $a, b$, and $c$ axes into 60,60 , and 120 parts, respectively. The positions of the contour lines in Figs. 1 and 2 were obtained from the summation totals by graphical interpolation.
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Abstract: The lattice constants of a-potassium superoxide have been remeasured, and the tetragonal unit cell found to be $a=5.704 \pm 0.005$ and $c=6.699 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{~A}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The coordinates have been refined by use of the method of least squares and by triple Fourier series. Geiger-counter methods were used to measure the intensities diffracted by a powder specimen. The oxygen-oxygen bond length is $1.28 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$, and there are two kinds of closest potassium-oxygen contact, of 2.71 and 2.92A.

## Introduction

An under standing of the various kinds of bond which link two oxygen atoms together is likely to provide considerable insight into the mechanisin of bond formation by higher members of the $\mathrm{VI}_{\mathrm{b}}$ group of elements. These elements are characterized by possession of two $s$ and four $p$ valence electrons. The case of oxygen is simplified by the nonavailability of d orbitals, which appear to be important for sulfur (Abrahams, 1954), selenium (McCullough and Marsh, 1950) and probably also for tellurium. This restriction leaves the wave functions involving only two oxygen atoms more susceptible to exact solution, and hence emphasizes the importance of precise measurements of the molecilar constants for such systems.

Accuraie determinations of the length of the oxygen-oxygen bond have
now been made in the case of the oxygen molecule (Babcock and Herzberg, 1948), where the distance is $1.2074 \pm 0.0001 \mathrm{~A}$, Trambarulo, Ghosh, Burrus and Gordy (1953) report $1.278 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{~A}$ in ozone, Abrahams and Kalnajs (1954) find $1.49 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~A}$ in the peroxide ion, and Abrahams, Collin ard Lipscomb (1951) measure $1.49 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$ in hydrogen peroxide.

A fifth kind of oxygen-oxygen bond exists in the superoxide ion $[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}]^{-}$, and several attempts have been made to measure this bond length. Kasatochkin and Kotov (1937) were the first to elucidate the crystal structure of the $a$-potassium compound. Using a cylindrical powder camera with unfiltered CuK radiation, and with the sample sealed within glass capillaries, they were able to observe nine single powder lines with a total intensity range of 1 to 10 . The structure contains a single parameter of position, and this was varied by discrete steps until the observed structure factors compared most closely with the calculated structure factors. The temperature factors were neglected in this process. The parameter value giving the best agreement was 0.095 , corresponding to an oxygen-oxygen bond distance of 1.28 A , with an estimated uncertainty of $\pm 0.07 \mathrm{~A}$.

Two determinations of the length of the oxygen-oxygen bond in sodium super oxide have been reported. Templeton and Dauben (1950) give the distance as $1.33 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{~A}$ and Zhdanov and Zvonkova as $1.31 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~A}$. In this crystal the superoxide ion appears to be disordered, and does not lend itself to a determination of high accuracy.

The crystal structure of potassium superoxide has been reinvestigated by us using more modern methods in the hope of measuring the oxygen-oxygen bond distance therein with greater accuracy.

## Experimental

a-Potassium superoxide was prepared by two methods: The first was
similar to Helms and Klemm's (1939) method, in which the two elements are allowed to react in liquid ammonia at -30 to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This preparation yielded a product of 95 percent purity, calculated as $\mathrm{KO}_{2}$. The second method was an adaptation of Kazarnovskii and Raikhshtein's (1947) method, wherein metallic potassium is oxidized at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a stream of 20 percent oxygen in nitrogen, gradually increasing the oxygen content to 100 percent. After the potassium had been thus calcined in oxygen at $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h ., the cooled reaction tube was transferred to a dry box because of the extreme deliquescence of the superoxide, and the powder was then ground and passed through a 325 mesh sieve into smaller tubes. These tubes were flushed with oxygen, sealed, and the powder then annealed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . This material, on analysis, gave 99.1 percent of the theoretical potassium content (K was determined by standard methods), and 99.2 percent of the theoretical oxygen value (determined volumetrically by decomposition of the superoxide with platinum-black). This preparation was used for the determination of the lattice constants and intensities.

The X-ray methods used have previously been described (Abrahams and Kalnajs, 1954). However, to protect the surface of the sample on transferring it from the dry box to the diffractometer, the filled sample-holder was covered with a glass cover slide, and removed only after it was in position inside the protective chamber. The reduced ratio of peak heights of the reflection to background level in the present crystal, as compared with barium peroxide, resulted in an average accuracy in intensity of only ca. 3 percent. An independent check on the accuracy of the observations was made by comparing two sets of structure factors, derived from the intensities in the usual way, and resulting from two different preparations of the superoxide. The usual comparison ratio $\quad \sum\left|\left|F_{\text {obs }}(1)\right|-\left|F_{o b s}(2)\right|\right| \div \sum\left|F_{\text {obs }}(1)\right| \quad$ had a value
0.048. CuKa radiation $(\lambda=1.5418 A)$ was used throughout. The James and Brindley (1931) atomic form factors for potassium and oxygen were employed. All calculations were carried out on International Business Machines, except for the Fourier series, which were summed using Beever-Lipson strips.

## Crystal Data

a-Potassium superoxide, $\mathrm{KO}_{2} ; \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot 380^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{obs}}=2.158 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$ (Kasatochkin and Kotov, 1937); $D_{\text {calc }}=2.166 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$ : tetragonal with a $=5.704 \pm$ 0.005 and $c=6.699 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{~A}$. (Kasatochkin and Kotov found 5.70 and 6.72A; Helms and Klemm (1939) gave 5.70 and 6.75A.) Absent spectra, (hki) only for $h+k, k+\ell, \ell+h=2 n+1$. Space group $F 4 / m m m$ (chosen by Kasatochkin and Kotov). Four formula-molecules per unit cell. Volume of the unit cell is $217.9 A^{-3}$. Absorption coefficient for $C u K a(\lambda=1.5418 \mathrm{~A})$ is $181.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Total number of electrons per unit cell, $F(000)$ is 140.

Analysis of the Structure
Kasatochkin and Kotov (1937) derionstrated that the cation in a-potassium superoxide is at $(0,0,1 / 2)$ and the oxygen atoms at ( $00 z ; 00 \bar{z}$ ), aid reported the value of $z$ as 0.095 . In the present study it was decided to evaluate two additional parameters, the thermal-vibration parameters for each atom. Each of the se parameters was assumed to be isotropic, for it hiad been shown in the isostructural case of barium peroxide that the effect of neglecting this assumption, upon $z$, was insensible. The refinement process was carried out at first by the method of least squares. The structure factor has the form
$F(\operatorname{hk} \ell) \cdot 8 f_{O} \exp \left\{-B_{1}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right\} \cos 2 \pi \ell Z_{Z} \frac{\downarrow=2 n}{\frac{ \pm}{=} 2 n+1} 4 f_{K} \exp \left\{-B_{2}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right\}$,
and in the first model adnented, $z$ was given the value 0.095 and $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ were taken as equal to $2.3 A^{2}$. This value was obtained by a consideration of the diminution in magnitude of the observed structure factors (Table l) with in-
creasing angle. In applying the method of least squares, each equation oí condition was given unity as its weight. Although intensities were recorded for 39 lines, only 25 were single and used in the least-squares analysis. Three normal equations were thus obtained and solved and the solutions applied to the original parameters. New structure factors could then be calculated, and a new iteration undertaken. Three such iterations produced corrections to the parameters that were smaller than the errors to be feared in them. This was taken as a sign of convergence in the method, and the final parameters were then $0.0955 ; 3.42$ and $3.29 A^{2}$, respectively, for $z, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. The structure factors calculated on the basis of these parameters are given in Table 1, and have a value $R_{1}=0.076$.

All the $F_{\text {obs }}$ terms in Table l were then used as coefficients in a triple Fourie: series to compute the electron density along the line 00 z , which contains both the potassium and the oxygen ions (Fig. l, lower curve). The position of the center of gravity of the electron density profile for oxygen, taken as $z$, was 0.0955 . In case the observed Fourier series gave a value of $z$ in error due to artificial termination, a second series was evaluated, using the corresponding $F_{\text {calc }}$ ierms in Table li.e., those resulting from the final set of coordinates derived by the least-squares process). This series is shown in Fig. 1 (upper curve), and the consequent value of $z$ is 0.0957 . The true value of $z$ on applying the usual backshift correction is then 0.0953 .

## Oxygen-Oxygen Bond Length

The average of the values of $z$ obtained by the method of least squares and the triple Fourier series, was assumed to be the closest approximation. The resulting value of $z=0.0954$ corresponds to the lengtr 1.28 A for the oxygen-oxygen bond in the superoxide ion.


Fig. 1. Electron density profile along the line $00 z$, computed with triple Fourier series. Upper curve has calculated $F(h k \ell$ ) as coefficients in the series, lower curve has observed $F(h k \ell)$. Left-hand scale refers to lower curve.

Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors for a-potassium superoxide.

| hk $\ell$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {obs }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {calc }}$ | $F_{\text {obs }}$ | $F_{\text {calc }}$ | .hk $\ell$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {obs }}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {calc }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}$ | $F_{\text {calc }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 3.457A | 3.456 A | 17.7 | -17.4 | 404 | 1.087A | 1.086A | 13.2 | $+9.3$ |
| 002 | 3.350 | 3.350 | 74.0 | +72.0 | 135 | 1.076 | 1.076 | 26.3 | -25.1 |
| 200 | 2.856 | 2.853 | 90.5 | +91.6 | 206 | 1.040 | 1. 040 | 6.4 | $+7.4$ |
| 202 | 2. 172 | 2. 172 | 53.7 | +53.7 | 244 | 1.015 | 1.015 |  | $+8.6$ |
| 220 | 2.018 | 2.017 | 69.0 | +68.8 | 440 | 1.009 | 1.009 |  | +21.5 |
| 113 | 1.953 | 1.954 | 47.9 | -44.8 | 153 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 14.3 | -15.4 |
| 311 | 1.742 | 1.742 |  | -13.5 | 226 | 0.976 | 0.977 | 7.5 | + 6.9 |
| 222 | 1.729 | $1.728\}$ | 54.4 | +42.2 | 351 | 0.968 | 0.968 \} |  | - 7.2 |
| 004 | 1.676 | 1.675 | 10.5 | +15.7 | 442 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 18.0 | +15.0 |
| 204 | 1.444 | 1.444 | 11.5 | +13.1 | 600 | 0.950 | 0.951 |  | $+18.5$ |
| 400 | 1.427 | 1.427 | 35.0 | +43.1 | 335 | 0.949 | 0.949 | 33.5 | -18.3 |
| 133 | 1.404 | 1.404 | 27.6 | -29.2 | 117 | 0.931 | 0.931 | 20.0 | -14.5 |
| 331 | 1.320 | 1.319 |  | -10.8 | 602 | 0.915 | 0.916 | 10.2 | +13.2 |
| 402 | 1.313 | 1.312 \} | 47.3 | +28.1 | 260 | 0.902 | $0.902\}$ |  | $+16.2$ |
| 224 | 1.290 | 1. 289 | $<11.0$ | +11.6 | 353 | 0.897 | 0.896 |  | -11.9 |
| 240 | 1. 276 | 1.276 |  | +35.4 | 406 | 0.880 | 0.879 | 5.5 | $+5.5$ |
| 115 | 1. 272 | 1.272 | 70.7 | -35. 2 | 262 | 0.872 | 0.371 | 7.6 | +11.5 |
| 242 | 1. 192 | 1. 192 | 23.4 | +23.6 | 444 | 0.864 | 0.864 | $<6.0$ | $+6.2$ |
| 333 | 1. 153 | 1. 152 | 20.9 | -21.0 | 155 | 0.859 | 0.859 | 14.2 | -14.0 |
| 006 | 1.116 | 1.117 | 6.7 | $+8.0$ | 137 | 0.845 | 0.845 | 13.7 | -1.1. 1 |
| 151 | 1. 105 | 1. 104 | 9.7 | -8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Uncertainties in the Parameters

The probable errors in $z, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, computed by the method oi least squares, are $\pm 0.0017 A ; \pm 0.30$ and $\pm 0.13 A^{2}$, respectively. Each oxygen atom then has a probable error of 0.01 A and hence the probable error in the oxygenoxygen bond length is 0.02 A . The estimated standard deviation in $z$, derived by the Fourier series method, was estimated by Ciuickshank's (1949) method to be 0.01A for the oxygen atom. Again, the estimated standard deviation in the length of the oxygen-oxygen bond is double this, namely, 0.02 A .

The final value for the uncertainty in this bond length is thus $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$.

## Interionic Distances

In this structure, like that of barium peroxide, each cation is surrounded by 10 oxygen atoms. The arrangement of potassium-oxygen contacts is shown in Fig. 2. There are two such sets of smallest contact distances, one of 2.71A, parallel with $c$, and one of 2.92 A , in direction approximately normal to $c$.

## Discussion

The two kinds of anion-cation closest contact in this crystial, of 2.71 and 2.92A, are analogous to the corresponding distances of 2.68 and 2.79 A in barium peroxide (Abrahams and Kalnajs, 1954). Here, the shorter distance appears to be that of a typical, close, ionic contact between oxygen and potassium. However, the usual values of ionic radii cannot rigidly apply here, since the special shape factor associated with the anion has not been taken into account. It is not apparent why the atoms in this crystal are subject to a rather large thermal vibration, while in the isostructural barium peroxide, the thermal vibration is very small.

It is of interest that the length of the oxygen-oxygen bond in the superoxide ion is the same as in ozone. In the latter, it has been suggested by


Fig. 2. Octahedral environment of peroxide ion, with closest contact distances indicated.

Trambarulo et al. (1953) that the bond is primarily of 50 percent double character. Thus, the superoxide ion, which contains a three-electron bond, has a length of 1.28 A which is close to that of an oxygen-oxygen tiond of order 0.5.

It is hence possible to construct a bond order-bond-length relation for oxygen if the bond in the oxygen molecule is regarded as a close approximation to a double bond with length 1. 2lA, and if the corresponding length in hydrogen peroxide, 1.49 A , is taken as a single bond.
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Abstract: Tellurium tetraiodide is shown to be dimorphous: the tetragonal modification has $a=16.12 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{c}=11.20 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$; space group, $14{ }_{1} /$ amd. The orthorhombic modification has $\mathrm{a}=13.54 \pm 0.02, \mathrm{~b}=$ $16.73 \pm 0.02$ and $c=14.48 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$; space group finma. Evidence for the formation of a crystal with composition TeI is presented.

The lattice constants of the terminal members of the tellurium-iodine system are well known. Telluriben (Straumanis, 1940) is hexagonal with $\mathrm{a}=4.456$ and $\mathrm{c}=5.927 \mathrm{~A}$ and iodine is orthorhombic with $\mathrm{a}=4.78, \mathrm{~b}=7.26$ and $\mathrm{c}=9.79 \mathrm{~A}$ (Heavens and Cheesman, 1950). The only hitherto well-known stoichiometric compound within this system is tellurium tetraiodide. The structure of this compound, hitherto undetermined, is of interest in a study of 2-, 3- and 4-bonded compounds of the $\mathrm{VI}_{\mathrm{b}}$ elements currently being carried out in this laboratory. Although the phase diagram of this system had been reported by Jaegar and Menke (1912) and Damiens (1923): it was felt advisable to reexamine the points of composition intermediate between those already known, by X-ray methods.

## Experimental

Crystalline telluriurn tetraiodide was prepared either by heating tellurium tetrabromide with ethyl iodide (Montignie, 1947) or by reacting the elements by a modification of Damiens (1923) method. In this modification, the elements are
mixed in the requisite proportions, and sealed off in a small-volume Pyrex tube in an atmosphere of nitrogen. Since tellurium melts at $452^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the tube is heated to ca. $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 to 3 h . to ensure completion of the reaction. The black crystals of tellurium tetraiodide have the form of plates and bipyramids. Intermediate compositions were prepared from the melt.

The single crystal X-ray diffraction results were obtained using Weissenberg and precession cameras; the Norelco wide-range diffractometer was used with samples obtainable only in powder form. MoKa radiation was used with the single crystals, CuKa radiation with the powder samples.

## Crystal Data for Tetragonal Tellurium Tetraiodide

A. few crystals were found with the form of regular bipyramids in one preparation using the method of Montignie (1947). These crystals are tetragonal, with $a=16.12 \pm 0.04$ and $c=11.20 \pm 0.02 A$, the $c$ axis being the pyramidal axis. Absent spectra, (hkl) when $h+k+\ell=2 n+1 ;(h k 0)$ when $h=2 n+1$ and $k=2 n+1$. Space group is hence uniquely $D_{4 h}^{19}-141$ amd. Neither the observed density, nor a confirmatory chemical analysis could be obtained, due to the lack of material. $D_{\text {calc }}=5.79 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$, assuming 16 formula-molecuies per unit cell. Volume of the cell is $2910.3 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$, volume per molecule is $182.0 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$.

## Analysis of ine Tetragonal Stricture

An examination of the intensity distribution in the reciprocal lattice of this crystal reveals the presence of an outstandingly strong superlattice (Fig. l). These intense reflections correspond to an "inner" pseudo cell, which is also tetragonal, with $a^{\prime}=4.03$ and $c^{\prime}=5.60 A$. In this "inner" cell, the only systematic absences are in (hkl) when $h+k+\ell=2 n+1$. The space group of this cell is hence $D_{4 h}^{17}-L 4 / \mathrm{mmm}$ or $C_{4 h}^{5}-14 / m$, and it is related to the real cell by $a^{\prime}=$ $a / 4$ and $c^{\prime}=c / 2$. The "inner" cell must contain one half of a TeI 4 group,
which hence requires at least the tellurium atom to possess a disordered arrangement. There is no indication of disorder in the real cell; however, the disorder in the "inner" cell may be accounted for if the iodine atoms are, for example, at $1 / 2,0,0$ and $0,1 / 2$, 1/2 (i.e., related by the body-centering con-dition $+[1 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 2]$ ) and the tellurium atom is at either $0,1 / 2,0$ or 1/2, 0, 1/2 (Fig. 2a).

Thus while the iodine
atoms are fully ordered, the tellurium atom has a one-half chance of occupying either of the two possible positions in any cell.

This inner cell distribution may be fitted into the real cell, by placing the tellurium at $0,1 / 8,3 / 8$ and the iodine at $0,1 / 8,1 / 8 ; 0,1 / 8,5 / 8 ; 1 / 8,1 / 4,3 / 8$ (Fig. 2b). This arrangement satisfies the strong reflections very well, but all other reflections are computed to be zero. The resultirg value of $R_{1}=$ $\sum\left|\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}\right|\right| \div \sum\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|$ is 0.37 for hk0 and 0.23 for h $0 \ell$, using the James and Brindley (19331) atomic form factors for tellurium and iodine and

$B=4.0 A^{2}$, in the expression $\exp [-B(\sin \theta) / \lambda]^{2}$. This value of $B$ was obtained by assuming the size of the atomic scattering factor at the limit of observation to be 3 percent of the number of electrons in the atom.

The model in Fig. 2b wis then refined by the method of least squares, completely evaluating all terms in the normal equations, which were then solved by an iteration process (Morris, 1935). The lowest value of $R_{1}$ thus obtained was 0.18 for hk 0 , while for h $0 \hat{\ell}$ the value 0.23 remained unchanged. Complete refinement of the model was not possible because of the rather small range of intensities, and the lack of observed structure factors from upper layers. Attempts at obtaining this extra data were not successful, since the original crystal had been lost, and in subsequent preparations no crystal of the required symmetry was found. The uncertainty in the final coordinates does not warrant a discussion of the structure to which they correspond. However, the closeness of the fit between observed and calculated structure factors appears to be at least good presumptive evidence in favor of these crystals being tellurium tetraiodide.

## Crystal Data for Orthorhombic Tellurium Tetraiodide

All preparations except the one referred to above yielded orthorhombic crystals, with lattice constants of $a=13.54 \pm 0.02, b=16.73 \pm 0.02$ and $c=$ $14.48 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$. Absent spectra, ( $0 \mathrm{k} \ell$ ) when $\mathrm{k}+\ell=2 \mathrm{n}+1$ and (hk0) when $h=2 \mathrm{n}+1$. Space group is $D_{2 h}^{16}$ - Pnma. $D_{\text {obs }}$ is $5.056 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}$ (Damiens, 1923), $D_{\text {calc }}$ is $5.145 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. There are 16 for nula-molecules per unit cell. Volume of the cell is $3280.1 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$, volume per molecule is $205.0 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$. Analysis of crystals obtained from the melt gave 81.65 percent iodine: calculated for $T_{4}$ gives 79.91 percent.

The crystal structure of this modification has not yet been investigated. However, it is clear from a consideration of the effective volume per $\mathrm{TeI}_{4}$ unit in this crystal, that the packing must be less effective than that shown in Fig. 2b
for the tetragonal modification, for eack unit nere requires ca. 12 percent more volume.

It has been pointed out by McCullough (1954) that if the new compound is regarded as $T e_{2} I_{2}$, it would compare closely with the previously known analogous compounds $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Se}_{2} \mathrm{Br}{ }_{2}$.

## Intermediate Compositions in the Tellurium-Iodide System

The phase diagram of this system has been studied extensively by Damiens (1923) using the method of thermal analysis. There was no unambiguous evidence for any new compound being formed in this study, although Damiens's diagram did contain a peritectic point. Seven compositions in the range between tellurium and tellurium tetraiodide were prepared and their powder diagrams investigated. At the composition with equal parts of tellurium and iodine, a new set of reflections was observed. This composition is very close to Damiens's peritectic point, and corresponds to the molecular formula $T e_{n} I_{n}$.

The new spacings correspond to a crystal having the composition $T e_{n} I_{n}$. This crystal is first formed as a separate phase at a concentration of about 20 percent iodine by weight. At ca. 50 percent the intensities diffracted by the crystal of $T e_{n} I_{n}$ reach a maximum, although there is still a slight indication of the 101 reflection from tellurium. At ca. 70 percent the characteristic pattern of Te ${ }_{n} I_{n}$ diminishes to zero. There is no apparent solid solution of $T e_{n} I_{n}$ in either Te or $\mathrm{TeI}_{4}$.

The crystal structure of this apparent compound is complex, and bears no obvious relation to any of the other structures in the system. Atterripts at indexing this pattern were without success, although several suggestive relations are apparent. These spacings, and the corresponding intensities: are given in Table l, together with the spacings of hexagonal tellurium and orthorhombic tellurium tetraiodide for comparison. There are no indications of other crystal

Table 1. Spacings of $\mathrm{Te}, \mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and orthorhombic $\mathrm{TeI}_{4}$.

| Te | $\mathrm{Te}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{TeI}{ }_{4}$ <br> (orthorkombic) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.88 A | 7.63 A | 6.76 A |
| 3.24 | 4.87 | 5.17 |
| 2.36 | 4.64 | 3.34 |
| 2.24 | 4.27 | 3.22 |
| 2.09 | 4.19 | 2.48 |
| 1.98 | 3.98 | 2.23 |
| 1.93 | 3.79 | $2.12^{*}$ |
| 1.84 | 3.26 | $1.91^{*}$ |
| 1.78 | 3.24 | $1.79^{*}$ |
| 1.76 | 3.19 | $1.77^{*}$ |
| 1.62 | 3.18 | $1.67^{*}$ |
| 1.48 | 3.08 | $1.61^{*}$ |
| Composite line. |  |  |

formation elsewhere in this system.
In order to determine whether the orthorhombic crystal might possess a transition point, the diffraction pattern was studied at liquid nitrogen temperatures, using the techniques of Abrahams and Kalnajs (1954). The pattern remained essentially unchanged between $25^{\circ}$ and $-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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[^0]:    Fig. 3. Electron density profile of atom $S_{1}$ along lines parallel with the $a, b$ and $c$ axes. The upper profiles
    have been computed with $F_{\text {meas }}$ as the coefficients in the triple Fourier series, the lower profiles The coordinates marked are those found by the least-squares method.

