The Delta intracellular domain mediates TGF- β /Activin signaling through binding to Smads and has an important bi-directional function in the Notch–Delta signaling pathway

Masahiro Hiratochi^{1,2,5}, Hisashi Nagase³, Yu Kuramochi⁵, Chang-Sung Koh⁴, Takeshi Ohkawara¹ and Kohzo Nakayama^{1,*}

¹Department of Anatomy, ²Department of Molecular Oncology, ³Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Shinshu University, School of Medicine, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan, ⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences, Shinshu University, School of Health Sciences, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan and ⁵Discovery Research II, Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Azumino, Nagano 399-8304, Japan

Received October 3, 2006; Revised December 9, 2006; Accepted December 11, 2006

ABSTRACT

Delta is a major transmembrane ligand for Notch receptor that mediates numerous cell fate decisions. The Notch signaling pathway has long been thought to be mono-directional, because ligands for Notch were generally believed to be unable to transmit signals into the cells expressing them. However, we showed here that Notch also supplies signals to neighboring mouse neural stem cells (NSCs). To investigate the Notch-Delta signaling pathway in a bi-directional manner, we analyzed functional roles of the intracellular domain of mouse Delta like protein 1 (DII1IC). In developing mouse NSCs, DII1IC, which is released from cell membrane by proteolysis, is present in the nucleus. Furthermore, we screened for transcription factors that bind to DII1IC and demonstrated that DII1IC binds specifically to transcription factors involved in TGF-_β/Activin signaling-Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4-and enhances Smad-dependent transcription. In addition, the results of the present study indicated that over-expression of DII1IC in embryonic carcinoma P19 cells induced neurons, and this induction was blocked by SB431542, which is a specific inhibitor of TGF-^β/Activin signaling. These observations strongly suggested that DII1IC mediates TGF-β/Activin signaling through binding to Smads and plays an important role for bi-directional Notch-Delta signaling pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Delta is a major transmembrane ligand for Notch receptor and plays an important role in Notch signaling, which mediates the fates of numerous cells in both invertebrates and vertebrates (1,2). The Notch signaling pathway has long been thought to be mono-directional, because ligands for Notch were generally considered unable to transmit signals into the cells expressing these ligands (3,4). Several lines of evidence support this idea. For example, it was thought that none of the intracellular domains of putative Notch ligands display any significant sequence similarity throughout evolution (3). Indeed, replacement of most of the intracellular domain of LAG-2, a C. elegans lin-12 (Notch) ligand, with a β-galactosidase fusion protein has no discernible effect on LAG-2 function (3). In contrast, however, Baker and Schubiger reported that the extracellular domain of Notch expressed in the mesoderm provided a positive signal to the overlaving ectoderm in Drosophila (5). Furthermore, it has been reported that the intracellular domain of Delta (X-Delta-1) is required for normal development in Xenopus (6). In Drosophila, it was also shown that intracellular domains of these ligands act as antagonists of Notch signaling (7). These findings suggest that signaling in the opposite direction also exists. Thus, the important and critical question is whether signaling events occur not only from ligand-expressing cells to Notch-expressing cells but also vice versa, i.e. in a bi-directional manner.

Evidence has recently been accumulating in support of a functional role of the intracellular domains of Notch ligands, which implies the existence of bi-directional

© 2007 The Author(s).

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel/Fax: +81 263 37 2594; E-mail: kohzona@gipac.shinshu-u.ac.jp

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/2.0/uk/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

signaling mechanisms. For example, Delta has been shown to be cleaved by ADAM protease and γ -secretase to release an intracellular domain (8-11). This processing followed a mechanism of regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP), which was first described for the proteolytic activation of Notch (12). The RIP mechanism requires sequential cleavage steps to occur within the juxtamembrane (JM) and transmembrane (TM) domain, which are carried out by an ADAM protease and Presenilin γ -secretase, respectively. In the case of Notch, RIP serves to release an intracellular domain that has activity in the nucleus through binding to transcription factors, Suppressor of Hairless (SU[H], RBP- $i\kappa$ in mammals) (1,2). Indeed, several groups have reported evidence of the nuclear localization of the intracellular fragments of ligands for Notch (10,11,13). Recently, it was demonstrated that many single transmembrane-spanning proteins including Notch, Delta and amyloid precursor protein (APP) are substrates for γ -secretase (14). Thus, it is likely that the intracellular domains of these substrates are released from the cell membrane by RIP. Common enzymes modulate proteolysis and the turnover of possible signaling molecules has led to the attractive speculation that similar mechanisms widely contribute to proteolysis-regulated signaling pathways (12,14).

Recently, Delwig *et al.* have reported that *Drosophila* Delta proteolysis differs from the conventional RIP, because TM processing of *Drosophila* Delta is not sensitive to preseniline, and TM and JM cleavages occur independently of each other. Based on these observations, they concluded that Delta proteolysis can act to modulate Delta activity (15). However, mouse and rat Delta like protein1 (Dll1) are sequentially processed and preseniline is essential for these proteolysis (10,11). Thus, they adhere more closely to the RIP mechanism. Therefore, it is possible that the intracellular domain of Delta plays a role in bi-directional signaling.

Indeed, Delta homologs display significant sequence similarity, which is restricted to vertebrates, in their intracellular domain. We show here evidence that the development of neurons from mouse neural stem cells (NSCs) was enhanced by co-culture with Notch1expressing cells. We further demonstrated that, in developing mouse NSCs, Dll1 is already cleaved and an intracellular domain of Dll1 (Dll1IC) is present in the nucleus. Additionally, Dll1 proteolysis can be upregulated through interaction with Notch1 and this upregulation was strongly inhibited by the γ -secretase inhibitor. We also demonstrated the possibility that Dll1IC mediates transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β)/Activin signaling through binding to Smads, and boosts transcription of specific genes. Finally, we showed that over-expression of Dll1IC in embryonic carcinoma P19 cells induced neurons, and this induction was blocked by SB431542, a specific inhibitor of TGF-β/Activin signaling. These observations strongly suggested that Dll1IC mediates TGF- β /Activin signaling through binding to Smads, and plays an important role in the bi-directional Notch-Delta signaling pathway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of anti-Dll1IC Antibody

Dll11C (nucleotides 1702–2166) was cloned into the pGEX vector (Amersham Biosciences, New Jersey). GST fusion protein was induced in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 with 1 mM IPTG and purified by glutathione affinity column chromatography (Amersham). Rabbit antisera were prepared by eight subcutaneous injections of 500 µg of GST fusion protein with Freund's adjuvant at weekly intervals. Recombinant Dll11C protein was released from the GST fusion protein by digestion with thrombin and coupled to a HiTrap affinity column (Amersham). Anti-Dll11C antibody was purified using this affinity column. As shown in Supplementary Figure 1S, this antibody is specific for Dll1.

Preparation of NSCs and subcellular protein fractions

Pregnant mice (Crj: CD-1) were purchased from Charles River Japan (Japan). The enzymatic method used for preparation of NSCs from mouse embryonic day-10 (E10) embryos and culture conditions were described previously (16,17). For immunocytochemical study, 6×10^4 NSCs were cultured on Poly-L-Lysin coated cell-disk (Sumitomo, Japan), in a 1:1 mixture of Ham's nutrient mixture F12 and Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DME/F12, Invitrogen, California), supplemented with bFGF (5 ng/ml; Pepro Tech, United Kingdom) and N2 supplement (Invitrogen). *N*- [*N*-(3,5- Difluorophenacetyl-L- alanyl)]-*S*- phenylglycine *t*-Butyl Ester (DAPT) was purchased from Calbiochem (Germany) as a γ -secretase inhibitor (18,19). For inhibition experiments, 10 μ M DAPT was added to culture medium.

Subcellular protein fractions were prepared using FractionPREPTM Cell Fraction System (BioVision, California) according to manufacture's protocol.

Culture of NSCs on monolayer of Notch1-expressing or Dll1-expressing COS7 cells

Eight hundred nanograms of each expression vector for full-length Notch1, full-length Dll1 or vector alone were transfected into 8×10^4 COS7 cells using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) and cultured for 48 h on cell-disk (Sumitomo) as a monolayer in DME/F12 (Invitrogen), supplemented with bFGF (5 ng/ml; Pepro Tech, United Kingdom) and N2 supplement (Invitrogen). Then 2×10^4 NSCs were further cultured on the monolayer of COS7 cells for 2 or 4 days followed by immunocytochemistry.

Co-culture of Dll1-expressing cells with Notch1-expressing cells

COS7 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). Six micrograms of each expression vector for full-length Notch1, full-length Dll1 or vector alone were transfected into 10^6 COS7 cells using FuGENE6 (Roche Diagnostics, Indiana, USA). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were peeled off the dish using 0.53 mM EDTA in phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Aliquots of 5×10^4 Dll1-expressing cells and 4×10^5 Notch1-expressing cells were mixed and cultured in 6-well plates. Then 4×10^5 cells carrying vector alone were also mixed with 5×10^4 Dll1-expressing cells and cultured as a control. Two days before transfection, $10 \,\mu\text{M}$ DAPT was added to culture medium as a γ -secretase inhibitor for inhibition experiments. Cells were disrupted and aliquots of 20 μ g of cell lysates were subjected to western blotting analysis with anti-Dll1IC antibody.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells were cultured on cell-disk (Sumitomo). After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min, cells were treated with 0.1% TritonX-100 and 0.1% Tween20 in PBS for 10 min, followed by blocking with 10% FCS in PBS for 1 h. Then cells were incubated with primary antibody at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with fluorescence labeled secondary antibody. Primary antibody concentrations used were as followed: rabbit anti-Dll1, 0.5 ng/ml, rabbit anti-Nestin (17), 1:1000, mouse Tuj1 (Covance, California), 1:1000. All secondary antibodies were purchased from Molecular Probes (Oregon) and the antibody concentrations used were as followed: Alexa 546 Goat anti-mouse IgG, 1:2000, Alexa 488 Goat anti-rabbit IgG, 1:2000, Alexa 488 Goat anti-mouse IgG, 1:2000. Nuclear counterstaining was performed with 4', 6-diamidino-2phenylindole (DAPI, Molecular Probes). Signals were visualized using Axioplan2 fluorescent microscope and AxioCam digital camera (Zeiss, Germany).

Screening for transcription factors that bind to Dll11C

A total of 150 biotinylated double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides representing known transcription factor binding sequences and arrays that were spotted with the same set of oligo DNAs (TF-TF Interaction Array) were generously supplied by B-Bridge International Inc. (California, USA) and Panomics (California, USA) and used to screen for transcription factors that bind to the intracellular domain of Dll1 protein. Detail information about these arrays can be seen at http://panomics.com/ MA5011.cfm. Lists of oligonucleotide sequences also can be seen at http://panomics.com/TF_TF1.cfm and http:// panomics.com/TF_TF2.cfm. NSCs were isolated from mouse E11 embryos, and nuclear proteins were prepared from NSCs using the Dignam method (20). Aliquots of 5 µg of nuclear proteins from E11 NSCs were incubated with 150 biotinylated double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides. DNA/protein complexes including Dll11C were immunoprecipitated by the addition of 1µg of anti-Dll1IC antibody and protein A-Sepharose (Amersham). After immunoprecipitation, double-stranded DNAs were eluted from precipitated complexes by heat treatment at 99°C for 5 min, followed by hybridization to the array. Arrays were incubated with HRP-labeled Avidin and were visualized using ECL Plus detection reagent (Amersham). Signals were detected using a FAS1000 cooled CCD camera (Toyobo, Japan).

Immunoprecipitation

Aliquots of 8×10^5 COS7 cells were transiently co-transfected with $4 \mu g$ of each cDNA encoding 8 Smads and $4 \mu g$ of Dll11C with or without $4 \mu g$ of constitutively

activated receptor (caALK5-HA or caALK6-HA) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were disrupted and aliquots of 20 μ g of cell lysates were incubated with 1 μ g of rabbit anti-Dll1IC antibody or 1 μ g of mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma), followed by immunoprecipitation and western blotting as described (21).

Establishment of Dll11C expressing P19 cell lines and neuronal induction

P19 cells were cultured in alpha-modified Eagle's essential (Sigma) containing 10% medium FCS and 2 mM L-glutamine. Dll1IC expression vectors were transfected into P19 cells with FuGENE6 (Roche). Cells were also transfected with pCDNA (Invitrogen) as a negative control. After selection of transfected P19 cells in culture medium containing 500 µg/ml of G418 for 4 weeks, approximately twenty G418-resistant clones were picked and analyzed for the expression of Dll1IC by western blotting. To induce differentiation of established P19 cell lines, cells were allowed to aggregate at 1×10^6 cells per 10-cm bacterial grade Petri dish in culture medium without all-trans-retinoic acid (RA) for 4 days. After aggregation, cells were plated onto poly-L-Lysine coated cell-disk (Sumitomo). The culture medium was changed to DME/F12 (Invitrogen) containing N2 supplement (Invitrogen) and 10 ng/ml bFGF (Pepro Tech). The cells were cultured for 3 days to allow differentiation. For inhibition experiments, Dll1IC-expressing P19 cells were aggregated and plated in the presence of 1 µM SB431542 (Tocris Bioscience, Missouri, USA).

Dual Luciferase reporter assay

Aliquots of 4×10^4 HepG2 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated amounts of expression plasmids for Dll1IC, 100 ng of 9 × CAGA-Luc promoterreporter plasmid (22,23), which responds specifically to Smad3, and 2ng of pRL-CMV (Promega, Wisconsin, USA) as an internal control using FuGENE6 (Roche). In each experiment, total amounts of plasmids were adjusted to 0.5 µg by the addition of pCDNA plasmid (Invitrogen). After overnight incubation, $5 \text{ ng/ml TGF-}\beta$ (Pepro Tech) was added to the medium for stimulation. Aliquots of 6×10^3 Dll11C/P19 cells or pCDNA/P19 cells were transiently transfected with 200 ng of $9 \times CAGA-Luc$ promoter-reporter plasmid and 5 ng of pRL-CMV (Promega) using FuGENE6 (Roche). After overnight incubation, cells were stimulated with TGF-B (Pepro Tech) at various concentrations. Cell lysates were prepared 48 h after transfection, and luciferase activity was measured using a dual-luciferase reporter system (Promega) as described (24). Values were normalized relative to Renilla luciferase activity.

RESULTS

Delta homologs display significant sequence similarity in their intracellular domain through the evolution of vertebrates

As shown in Figure 1, amino acid sequences in the extracellular domain of Delta are strongly conserved

through evolution. For example, amino acid identities are 44.1% between mouse and *Drosophila* Delta. Remarkably, Delta homologs share up to 70% identity in individual EGF-like repeats. Significant homologies are still recognized within the intracellular domain of vertebrate Delta. For example, in the intracellular domain, amino acid sequence identities are 62.3% between mouse and chicken Delta, 55.8% between mouse and *Xenopus*, 51.0% between mouse and zebra fish. However, there is no homology between these vertebrate Deltas and *Drosophila* Delta. Thus, conservation of amino acid sequences in intracellular domain is restricted to vertebrate Delta. In addition, Dll3, a divergent type of Delta, does not show any homology to other Delta in the intracellular domain.

Three highly conserved regions are detected within vertebrate Delta; the first is located from amino acid position 643 to 680, the second is located from 690 to 701 and the last is located in the C-terminus (747–781). As has been reported, the RKRP nuclear localization signal (747–750) and ATEV consensus PDZ-binding motif (778–781) are present at the C-terminus region (25).

Emergence of neurons from NSCs was enhanced by the presence of Notch

We isolated NSCs from mouse E10 embryos as described earlier (16,17). As shown in Figure 2a and b, when NSCs were co-cultured on a monolayer of mouse Notch1expressing COS7 cells for 2 days, the rate of cells positive for Tuj1 antibody, which recognizes neuron-specific class III β -Tubulin and is a marker of young neurons (26), appearing from NSCs (55%) was higher than that in control cultures (33%). This difference was statistically significant (P < 0.001) using Student's *t*-test. After 4 days of culture, many NSCs had spontaneously differentiated into neurons (56%) in the control experiment. Although there was no significance by statistical analysis, more neurons (72%) were detected in the co-culture experiment with Notch1-expressing COS7 cells (Figure 2b). Conversely, when NSCs were co-cultured for 4 days on a monolayer of Dll1 over-expressing COS7 cells, the rate of emergence of Tuj1 positive neurons (25%) was lower than that in controls (56%) (Figure 2b). This difference was also statistically significant (P < 0.001).

In developing NSCs, endogenous Dll1 is already cleaved and Dll1IC is present in the nucleus

To examine whether Delta was cleaved as described previously (8–11), NSCs isolated from E10 mouse embryos were subjected to western blotting analysis with anti-Dll1IC antibody. As shown in Figure 3a, at least four bands were detected on western blots. From the sizes of the bands, band 1 seemed to be the full-length Dll1, while the others appeared to be cleavage products of Dll1. The band with the lowest molecular weight (band 2) seemed like Dll1IC (18 kDa) released from the cell membrane by γ -secretase (8–11). To examine the cellular localization of Dll1IC, we prepared subcellular protein fractions from NSCs, followed by western blotting. Although full-length Dll1 was present in the membrane fraction, Dll1IC was present in nuclear fraction (Figure 3a). These results suggest that Dll11C is localized in the nucleus. Developing NSCs were further stained with anti-Dll11C antibody, a large proportion of the Dll11C immunoreactivity was accumulated in the nucleus as shown in Figure 3b. These accumulations were observed in more than 35% of developing NSCs. However, inhibitor of γ -secretase strongly blocked these accumulations in almost all of NSCs (Figure 3b). These findings indicate that a large proportion of endogenous Dll1 is already cleaved by γ -secretase and activated in developing NSCs.

It has been shown that incubation of Drosophila Delta-expressing S2 cells with Notch-expressing S2 cells caused a transient accumulation of C-terminal fragments of Delta that had been cleaved by latent proteases (13,15). We therefore investigated whether mouse Dll1 processing could also be induced through interaction with Notch. Since COS7 cells express presentiin and have a γ -secretase activity (27,28), we employed COS7 cells for this experiment. Dll1-expressing COS7 cells were co-cultured with Notch1-expressing COS7 cells or control COS7 cells and Dll1 processing was detected by western blotting with anti-Dll1IC antibody. As shown in Figure 4, several bands were detected on western blots. From the size of band, 90 kDa protein seemed to be the full-length Dll1, while the others appeared to be the cleavage products of Dll1. When Dll1 expressing COS7 cells (Dll1/COS7) were co-cultured with COS7 cells carrying vector alone (CTRL/COS7) for 8h, a small amount of cleavage products of Dll1 were initially detected. However, cleavage products of Dll1 were remarkably increased by co-culture with Notch1-expressing COS7 cells (Notch/ COS7). For example, even after a 1 h incubation, weak bands were detected. Thereafter, amounts of cleaved products increased. As shown in Figure 4, this enhancement of Dll1processing was strongly inhibited by DAPT which is an inhibitor for γ -secretase.

Dll11C bound to Smads and enhanced Smad depending transcription

The nuclear localization of Dll1IC suggests that Dll1IC may have effects on the transcriptions of specific target genes. To examine this possibility, we searched for transcription factors capable of binding to Dll1IC using a new method. Briefly (see Supplementary Figure 2S), nuclear extracts from E11 NSCs were incubated with 150 double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides representing binding sequences of known transcription factors. After immunoprecipitation with an antibody against Dll1IC, double-stranded oligos were eluted from the precipitated complexes and hybridized to arrays that were spotted with the same set of oligos in duplicate. The hybridization signals obtained are shown in Figure 5a. The Smad binding sequences (5'-AGTATGT CTAGACTGA-3') showed strong signals, which were enhanced by the addition of recombinant Dll11C to nuclear extracts prior to immunoprecipitation (Figure 5b). Two spots, signals of which were enhanced by the addition of recombinant Dll1IC protein, were Pax-5 binding sequence and mineral corticoid response element (MRE).

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
M-D111	MGRRSALALA	VVSALLCQVW	SSGVFEL	KLQEFVNKKG	LLGNRNCCRG	GSGPPCA	-CRTFFRVC	LKHYQASVSP	EPPCTYGSAV	TPVLGVDSFS	
C-D111	MGGRFLLTLA	LLSALLCRCQ	VDG-SGVFEL	KLQEFVNKKG	LLSNRNCCRG	GGPGGAGQQQ	CDCKTFFRVC	LKHYQASVSP	EPPCTYGSAI	TPVLGANSFS	
X-D111	MGQQRMLTLL	VLSAVLCQIS	CSGLFEL	RLQEFVNKKG	LLGNMNCCRP	GSLASLQRCE	CKTFFRIC	LKHYQSNVSP	EPPCTYGGAV	TPVLGTNSFV	
Z-D111	MGREMIAVLE	CVMISQGFCS	GVFELKL	-QEFLNKKG	VTGNANCCKG	SAAEGHQ-CE	-CKTFFRIC	LKHYQANVSP	DPPCTYGGAV	TPVLGSNSFQ	
D-D	MHWIKCLLTA	FICFTVIVQV	HS-SGSFEL	RLKYFSNDHG	RDNEGRCCSG	ESDGATGKCL	GSCKTRFRVC	LKHYQATIDT	TSQCTYGDVI	TPILGENSVN	
M-D113	MVSLQVSPLS	QTLILAFLLP	QALPAGVFEL	QIHSFGPGPG	LGTPRSPCNA	RGP	CRLFFRVC	LKPGVSQEAT	ESLCALGAAL DSL	STSVPVYTEH	
	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	
M-D111	LPDGAGIDPA	-FSNPIRFPF	GFTWPGTFSL	IIEALHTDSP	DDLATENPER	LISRLTTORH	LTVGEEWSQD	LHSSGRTDLR	YSYRFVCDEH	YYGEGCSVFC	
C-D111	VPDGAGGADP	AFSNPIRFPF	GFTWPGTFSL	IIEALHTDSP	DDLTTENPER	LISRLATORH	LAVGEEWSQD	LHSSGRTDLK	YSYRFVCDEH	YYGEGCSVFC	
X-D111	VPESSNADPT	-FSNPIRFPF	GFTWPGTFSL	IIEAIHADSA	DDLNTENPER	LISRLATORH	LTVGEOWSQD	LHSSDRTELK	YSYRFVCDEY	YYGEGCSDYC	
Z-D111	VPDSFPDSS-	-FTNPIPFAF	GFTWPGTFSL	IIEALHTDST	DDLSTENPDR	LISRMTTORH	LTVGEEWSQD	LQVGGRTELK	YSYRFYCDEH	YYGEGCSVFC	
D-D	LTDAQRFQNK	GFTNPIQFPF	SFSWPGTFSL	IVEAWH-DTN	NSGNARTNKL	LIQRLLVQQV	LEVSSEWKTN	KSESQYTSLE	YDFRVTCDLN	YYGSGCAKFC	
M-D113	PGKSAAALPL	-PDGLVRVPF	RDAWPGTFSL	VIETWREQLG	EH-AGGPAWN	LLARVVGRRR	LAAGGPWARD	VQRTGTWELH	FSYRARCEPP	AVGAACARLC	
	-			EGF1			EGF2			$\underline{-}$ <u>EGF</u>	
	210	220	230	240	250	260	270	280	290	300	
M-D111	RPRDDAFGHF	TCGDRGEKMC	DPGWKGQYCT	DPICLPGCDD	QHGYCDKPGE	CKCRVGWQGR	YCDECIRYPG	CLHGTCQQPW	QCNCQEGWGG	LFCNQDLNYC	
C-D111	RPRDDRFGHF	TCGERGEKVC	NPGWKGQYCT	EPICLPGCDE	QHGFCDKPGE	CKCRVGWQGR	YCDECIRYPG	CLHGTCQQPW	QCNCQEGWGG	LFCNQDLNYC	
X-D111	RPRDDAFGHF	SCGEKGEKLC	NPGWKGLYCT	EPICLPGCDE	HHGYCDKPGE	CKCRVGWQGR	YCDECIRYPG	CLHGTCQQPW	QCNCQEGWGG	LFCNQDLNYC	
Z-0111	RPRDDTFGHF	TCGERGETTC	NSGWKGQYCT	EPICLPGCDE	DHGFCDKPGE	CKCRVGFSGK	YCDDCIRYPG	CLHGTCQQPW	QCNCQEGWGG	LFCNQDLNYC	
D-D	RPRDDSFGHS	ICSEIGEIIC	LIGWQGDYCH	IPKCAKGC-	EHGHCDKPNQ	CVCULGWKGA	LUNEUVLEPN	CINCINAPA	TUTUNEGWGG	LYCNQDLNYC	
M-D112	RORDAP	RUG-FGLAFU	IF TPDECEA	FSVCRPGCSP	GF4	CRULEGWIGP	LUTYPYSISS	CLASHYPGPA	EGE5	LL FORGEU	
	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390	400	
M-D111	THHKPCRNGA	TCTNTGQGSY	TCSCRPGYTG	ANCELEVDEC	APSPCKNG	ASCTDLED	-SESCTCPPG	FYGKVCELSA	MTCADGPCEN	GGRCS	
C-D111	THHKPCKNGA	TCTNTGQGSY	TCSCRPGYTG	SSCELETNEC	DANPCKNG	OSCTDLEN	-SYSCTCPPG	FYGKNCELSA	MTCADGPCFN	GGRCT	
X-D111	THHKPCENGA	TCTNTGQGSY	TCSCRPGYTG	SNCELEVNEC	DANPCKNG	GSCSDLEN	-SYTCSCPPG	FYGKNCELSA	MTCADGPCFN	GGRCA	
Z-D111	THHKPCONGA	TCTNTGQGSY	TCSCRPGFTG	DSCELEVNEC	SGSPCRNG	GSCTDLEN	-TYSCTCPPG	FYGRNCELSA	MTCADGPCFN	GGHCA	
D-D	TNHRPCKNGG	TCFNTGEGLY	TCKCAPGYSG	DDCENELYSC	DADVNPCQNG	GTCIDEPHTK	TGYKCHCANG	WSGKMCEEKV	LTCSDKPCHQ	GICRNVRPGL	
M-D113	-DGNPCANGG	SCSETS-GSF	ECACPRGFYG	LRCEVSGVTC	ADGPCFNG	GLCVGGEDPD	SAYVCHCPPG	FQGSNCEKRV	DRCSLQPCQN	GGLCL	
			EGI	76			EGF7	8			
	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	
M-D111	DNPDGGYTCH	CPLGFSGFNC	EKKMDLCGSS	PCSNGAKCVD	LGNSYLCRCQ	AGFSGRYCED	NVDDCASSPC	ANGGTCRDSV	NDFSCTCPPG	YTGKNCSAPV	
C-D111	DNPDGGYSCR	CPLGYSGFNC	EKKIDYCSSS	PCANGAQCVD	LGNSYICQCQ	AGFTGRHCDD	NVDDCASFPC	VNGGTCQDGV	NDYSCTCPPG	YNGKNCSTPV	
X-0111	DNPDGGYTCF	CPVGY SGFNC	EKKIDYCSSN	PCANGARCED	LGNSYICQCQ	EGFSGRNCDD	NLDDCTSFPC	QNGGTCQDGI	NDYSCTCPPG	Y I GKNCSMP I	
Z-DIII	DNPEGGYFCQ	CPMGYAGFNC	EKKIDHCSSN	PCSNDAQCLD	LYDSYLCOCP	EGFTGTHCED	NIDECATYPC	QNGGTCQDGL	SDYTCTCPPG	YTGKNCTSAV	
U-U N D112	USAGUGIQUE	CPIGISGPNC	FUDL DDCACD	PCINGGSC	-UPSGAUTUP	AGFSGIRCET	RADDCLGHQC	ENGGICIDAV	NOTROUCIPG	FIGINCERIV	
M-D112	EGF8	CRAGFAGERC	ERIVEDUCAGR	ACANGUICYE	GGGSRRGSCA	LGFGGRUCKE	RADICASKPU	Angeretann	SGLVUACAPG	IMGVRGEPAV	
	510	520	530	540	550	560	570	580	590	600	
M-D111	SRCEHAPCHN	GATCHQRGQR	YMCECAQGYG	GPNCQFLLPE	PPPGPMVVDL	SERHMESQGG	PFPW	- <u></u>			
C-D111	SRCEHNPCHN	GATCHERSNR	YVCECARGYG	GLNCQFLLPE	PPQGPVIVDF	TEKYTEGQNS	QFPW				
X-D111	TKCEHNPCHN	GATCHERNNR	YVCQCARGYG	GNNCQFLLPE	EKPVVVDLTE	KYTEGQSGQ-	FPW				
Z-D111	NKCLHNPCHN	GATCHEMDNR	YVCACIPGYG	GRNCQFLLPE	NPQGQAIVEG	ADKRYSYEED	DGGFPW		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
D-D	DLCLIRPCAN	GGTCLNLNND	YQCTCRAGFT	GKDCSVDIDE	CSSGPCHNGG	TCMNRVNSFE	CVCANGFRGK	QCDEESYDSV	TFDAHQYGAT	TQARADGLTN	
M-D113	RPDGADAVPA	APRGLRQADP	Q								
	610	620	630	640	650	660	670	680	690	700	
M-D111	-VAVCAGVV	LVILLIGCA	AVVVCVRLKL	OKHOPPPEPC (GETETMNNL	ANCO-REKDV	SVSTIGATOT	KNTNKKADFH	GDHGAKKSSF	KVRYPTVDYNL	
C-D111	-IAVCAGII	LVLMLLLGCA	AIVVCVRLKV	OKRHHOPEAC I	SETETMNNL	ANCQ-REKDI	SISVIGATOI	KNTNKKVDFH	SD-NSDKNGY	KVRYPSVDYNL	
X-D111	-IAVCAGIV	LVLMLLLGCA	AVVVCVRVRV	OKRRHOPEAC I	RGESKTMNNL	ANCQ-REKDI	SVSFIGTTQI	KNTNKK LDFL	SESNNEKNGY	KPRYPSVDYNL	
Z-D111	TAVCAGII	LVLLVLIGGS	VEVIVIRLKL	QRSQQID-S I	SEIETMNNL	TNNRSREKDL	SVSIIGATQV	KNINKKVDFQ	SD GDKNGF	KSRYSLVDYNL	
D-D	-AQVVLIAV	FSVAMPLVAV	LAACV V FCMK	RKRKRAQEKD I	DAEARKQNEQ 1	NAVATMHHNG	SGVGVALASA	SLGGKTGSNS	GLTFDGGNPN	LIKNTWDKSVN	
M-D113	RFLLPPALG I	LLVAAGLAGA	ALLVIHVRRR	GPGQDTGTRL I	.SGTREPSVH	TLPDALNNLR	LQDGAGDGPS	SSADWNHPED	GDSRSIYVIP	APSIYAREA*	
	710	700	700	UPEL KLOEPVING LLONRACCIG GSOPPCA							
M-D11+	VDDL KODEA	720 TVDDTUCKDD	13U	140 FERTAD-TUD 4	100 CETEDERADE	100 Fewereror	170 KVOCUVULCA	180 FEDECULATE	190	800	
C-D111	AUDITODES	-VKEEHCKCE	AKCETYDSEA	FERSAVOLES	S-DTSERKRP	SVVSTSKDT	KYOSVYVISE	FKDECTIATE	V*		
X-D111	VHELKNEDS	PREFRSKCE	AKCSSNDSDS	EDVNSVHSKP -	-DSSERERP	DSAVSTSKDT	KYQSVYVISD	EKDECITATE	V*		
Z-D111	VHELKOEDI	GKEDSERSEA	TKCEPLDSDS	EEKHRNHLKS -	-DSSERKRT	ESLCKDT	KYQSVFVLSF	EKDECITATE	V*		
D-D	NICASAAAA	AAAAAAADEC	LMYGGYVASV	ADNNNANSDF (VAPLQRAKS	QKQLNTDPTL	MHRGSPAGSS	AKGASGGGPG	AAEGKRISVL	GEGSYCSQRWP	
	810	820	830	840							
D-D	SLAAAGVAG	ACSSQLMAAA	SVAGSGAGTA	QQRSVVCGT I	11M*						

Figure 1. Alignment of amino acid sequences of Delta homologs from various vertebrate species and *Drosophila* was generated using DNASIS program (Hitachi Software, Japan). Amino acids that are identical to those of mouse Dll1 are shown in black. Amino acids that differ from those of mouse Dll1 are shown in red. Gaps that were introduced for the alignment are shown as lines. The transmembrane region is shaded gray. RKRP predicted nuclear localization signal is shaded yellow, ATEV PDZ-binding motif is shaded green. M-Dll1: mouse Delta1, C-Dll1: chicken Delta1, X-Dll1: *Xenopus* Delta1, Z-Dll1: zebra fish DeltaD, D-D: *Drosophila* Delta, M-Dll3: mouse Delta3.



Figure 2. Co-culture of NSCs with Notch1-expressing cells or Dll1expressing cells. (a) NSCs were cultured for 2 days on monolayers of Dll1 (2) or Notch1 (3) over-expressing COS7 cells and immunostained with Tuj1, a marker of neurons (red) and the NSC marker Nestin (green). (1): Control experiment with pCDNA-transfected COS7 cells. (b) NSCs were co-cultured for two (black bar: 2 days diff.) or four (white bar: 4 days diff.) days as described above. After immunostaining, Tuj1-positive neurons and Nestin-positive NSCs were counted. Values are means \pm S.D. for 10 independent fields.****P*<0.001, Student's *t*-test (versus appropriate controls).

The other spots, signals of which were disappeared by the addition of recombinant Dll11C protein, were NF- κ B binding site and NF-E2 binding sequence.

These findings suggest that Dll1IC forms complexes with Smads in the nucleus of NSCs. Thus. co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed to confirm these results. FLAG-tagged Smad cDNAs were transfected along with Dll1IC into COS7 cells and cell extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG or anti-Dll1IC antibody followed by western blotting (Figure 5c). Eight vertebrate Smads, designated Smad1 to Smad8, have been identified to date (29,30). Although Smad1 and Smad5 did not bind to Dll1IC, Smad2 and Smad3 showed strong binding. Co-transfection with caALK5-HA or caALK6-HA, an expression vector for the constitutively active receptor that phosphorylates Smad2, Smad3 and Smad1, Smad 5, Smad 8, respectively did not show any significant effects on binding. Smad4 also showed strong binding to Dll11C. Smad6, Smad7 and Smad8 showed weak binding to Dll1IC.

Next, we examined the effects of Dll1IC on Smaddependent transcription. We performed dual luciferase assay using $9 \times CAGA$ -Luc promoter–reporter plasmids



Figure 3. Dll1 was cleaved and Dll1IC presented in the nucleus. (a) Aliquots of $20 \,\mu\text{g}$ of lysates from NSCs and aliquots of $30 \,\mu\text{g}$ of subcellular protein fractions were subjected to western blotting with anti-Dll1IC antibody. From the sizes of the bands, band 1 seemed to be the full-length mouse Delta (Dll1), while the others appeared to be cleavage products of Dll1. The band with the lowest molecular weight (band 2) seemed like Dll1IC (18 kDa) released from the cell membrane. S, lysates from NSCs; N, nuclear fraction; C, cytoplasm fraction; M, membrane fraction. (b) NSCs were stained with anti-Dll1IC antibody (1) and DAPI (2). Merged image (3) shows that immunoreactivity had accumulated in the nucleus. However, inhibitor of γ -secretase strongly blocked these accumulations; stained with anti-Dll1IC antibody (4), DAPI (5), merged image (6).

that respond specifically to Smad3 (22,23). These promoter–reporter plasmids were transfected into HepG2 cells with or without Dll11C expression vector. After transfection, the cells were stimulated with or without TGF- β , and luciferase activities were measured as an indicator of transcriptional activity. As shown in Figure 6a, only a little activity was detected without TGF- β stimulation, regardless of whether Dll11C



Figure 4. Co-culture of Dll1-expressing cells with Notch1-expressing cells. Each expression vector for full-length Notch1, full-length Dll1 or vector alone was transfected into COS7 cells. Forty-eight hours after transfection, Dll1-expressing cells (Dll1/COS7) were mixed with Notch-expressing cells (Notch/COS7) or control cells (CTRL/COS7) at a ratio of 1:8 and cultured for indicated times. DAPT was added to the culture medium as an inhibitor of γ -secretase. Dll1 processing was detected by western blotting with anti-Dll1IC antibody. From the size of the band, the 90 kDa protein (top band) seemed to be full-length Dll1, while the other appeared to be cleaved products of Dll1. Cleavage products of Dll1 were remarkably increased by co-culture with Notch1-expressing COS7 cells and these increases were strongly inhibited by DAPT. The same filter was also reacted with anti-G3PDH antibody as an internal control, showing that almost the same amount of cell lysates were processed for western blotting (bottom of the figure).

expression vectors were co-transfected. Stimulation of HepG2 cells with TGF- β significantly induced transcriptional activity from this promoter, and expression of Dll1IC further enhanced the transcriptional activity in a dose-dependent manner. For example, co-transfection of 100 and 400 ng of Dll1IC expression vectors were associated with a 175 and 250% enhancement of luciferase activity respectively as compared with TGF- β stimulation alone (Figure 6a).

We established P19 cells stably over-expressing Dll1IC (Dll1IC/P19). P19 cells, which carry the vector alone (pCDNA/P19), were also established and used as control. 9 × CAGA-Luc promoter-reporter plasmids were transfected into Dll11C/P19 or pCDNA/P19. After transfection, cells were stimulated with TGF- β at various concentrations and luciferase activities were measured. No significant difference of luciferase activity was detected between Dll1IC/P19 and control without TGF-β stimulation (Figure 6b). However, stimulation of these cells with TGF-β made significant difference of luciferase activity between Dll1IC/P19 and control cells. In brief, stimulation of Dll1IC/P19 with 0.5 and 1 ng/ml TGF-B were associated with 180 and 220% enhancement of luciferase activity respectively as compared with control cells (Figure 6b).

Over-expression of Dll11C in embryonic carcinoma P19 cells induced neurons

Dll1IC may be involved in neuronal differentiation through binding to Smads. To examine this possibility, we employed Dll1IC/P19 as well as pCDNA/P19 as controls.



Figure 5. Dll1IC bound to Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4. (a) Result of screening for transcription factors that bind to Dll11C. Note that Smad binding sequences showed strong signals (boxed). (b) Signal from Smad binding sequences (boxed) were enhanced by the addition of recombinant Dll1IC protein to the nuclear extract before immunoprecipitation. Signals from Pax-5 binding sequence and mineral corticoid response element (MRE) were also enhanced by the addition of recombinant Dll1IC protein. On the other hand, signals from NF-κB binding site and NF-E2 binding sequence were disappeared by the addition of recombinant Dll1IC protein. Spots along the right and bottom side of arrays are markers for alignment. (c) COS7 cells were transiently co-transfected with each expression vector for 8 Smads and Dll1IC expression vector with or without expression vectors for constitutive activated receptor (caALK5-HA or caALK6-HA). Fortyeight hours after transfection, lysates from co-transfected COS7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with the indicated antibodies, followed by western blotting (WB). aDll11C, rabbit anti-Dll1IC antibody; aFlag, mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 antibody. Levels of expression of Smads and Dll11C were determined by western blotting and are shown in the bottom two panels.



Figure 6. Dll1IC enhanced Smad-dependent transcription. (a) HepG2 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated amounts of Dll1IC expression vector and $9 \times CAGA$ -Luc promoter-reporter plasmid, which responds specifically to Smad3. Cells were stimulated by the addition of TGF- β to the medium. Luciferase activities were normalized using the pRL-CMV vector that was always co-transfected as an internal control. Values are shown as the means \pm S.D. of four independent experiments. (b) $9 \times CAGA$ -Luc promoter-reporter plasmid was transfected into P19 cells stably over-expressing Dll1IC (Dll1IC/P19). P19 cells, which carry the vector alone (pCDNA/P19), were used as control. After transfection, cells were stimulated with TGF- β at various concentrations. Luciferase activities were normalized using the pRL-CMV vector that was always co-transfected as an internal control. Values are shown as the means \pm S.D. of four independent experiments.

It is well known that stimulation with retinoic acid (RA) is essential for the induction of neurons from P19 cells (31–34). Thus, Dll11C/P19 and pCDNA/P19 were cultured as aggregates for 4 days without RA, and then plated onto coverslips where the cells were allowed to differentiate for 3 days. Although pCDNA/P19 retained epithelial cell-like morphology, Dll11C/P19 cells became round and showed a bipolar morphology with neurite extension (Figure 7a). pCDNA/P19 cells were negative for Tuj1, a marker of neurons, while almost all Dll11C/P19 cells were Tuj1-positive (Figure 7a). These results indicate

that over-expression of Dll1IC in P19 cells induced neurons. However, when 1 µM SB431542, a specific inhibitor of the TGF- β type1 receptor that activates Smad2 and Smad3 by phosphorylation (35–37), was added to Dll11C/P19 cultures, the appearance of neurons was strongly inhibited. For example, Dll11C/P19 treated with SB431542 retained epithelial cell-like morphology similar to pCDNA/P19 (Figure 7a). Although a few cells had long neurites, less than 10% of the cells were positive for Tuil (Figure 7a). These findings were confirmed by western blotting. As described above, Dll1IC/P19 and pCDNA/P19 were cultured without RA, allowed to differentiate, and lysates were prepared from subcultured, aggregated and re-plated cells, then subjected to western blotting with Tujl antibody. As shown in Figure 7b, expression of Tuj1 protein was induced after differentiation of Dll1IC/P19. Moreover, SB431542 strongly inhibited this induction and there were no changes detected in pCDNA/P19 throughout the culture period (Figure 7b).

DISCUSSION

As indicated above, the important question is whether signaling events occur not only from ligand-expressing cells to Notch-expressing cells but also vice versa, i.e. in a bi-directional manner. As shown in Figure 1, up to 50% homologies are still recognized within the intracellular domain through the evolution of vertebrates. Thus, it is highly possible that conservation of these amino acid sequences reflect the functional importance of the intracellular domain. Indeed, we show here evidence that Dll1IC plays an important role in a bi-directional signaling pathway. Since the conservation of these sequences is restricted to vertebrate Delta, it seems that these functions, which are discussed below, would be common to vertebrates, but not invertebrates. Invertebrate DeltaIC may have a different function from that in vertebrates.

As shown in Figure 2, when NSCs were co-cultured on a monolayer of Dll1-expressing COS7 cells, the rate of emergence of neurons was lower than that in controls. It is well known that Notch signaling helps maintain the undifferentiated state of NSCs and inhibits the determination of neurons (1,2). Our findings therefore suggest that Dll1 on COS7 cells generates signals to neighboring NSCs that express Notch and thus activates Notch signaling. Conversely, when NSCs were co-cultured on a monolayer of mouse Notch1-expressing COS7 cells for 2 days, the rate of neurons developing from NSCs (55%) was significantly (P < 0.001) higher than that in control cultures (33%) (Figure 2a and b). These observations indicate that Notch1 on COS7 cells may also generate signals to neighboring NSCs and these ligands, probably Delta, may then transmit signals into the cells expressing them to mediate neuronal differentiation. Thus, signaling events may occur not only from Delta-expressing cells to Notch-expressing cells but also vice versa, that is, in a bi-directional manner, during differentiation of NSCs. The Drosophila mosaic



Figure 7. Over-expression of Dll1IC in P19 cells induced neurons. (a) Dll1IC-expressing P19 cells (Dll1IC/P19) and those carrying vector alone (pCDNA/P19) were cultured without RA. For inhibition experiments, Dll1IC/P19 cells were cultured with 1 μ M SB431542. (1)–(3) are phase-contrast micrographs, and (4)–(6) show the results of staining with Tuj1, a marker of neurons (red) and DAPI (blue). (b) Cells were cultured as described and lysates were prepared from subcultured cells (1), aggregated cells (2) and re-plated cells (3). Aliquots of 10 μ g of lysates were subjected to western blotting with Tuj1.

experiment supports this idea. Baker and Schubiger published some data from a mosaic experiment in *Drosophila*, showing that expression of Notch in the mesoderm of Notch mutant could suppress the ectodermal defects of the mutant (5). This effect was inferred to be due to the extracellular domain of the protein and not its signaling function, since activated Notch failed to produce non-autonomous suppression (5). This suggested that the extracellular domain of Notch expressed in mesoderm was acting as a positive signal to the overlaying ectoderm in *Drosophila*.

It has been reported that Delta is cleaved sequentially by proteases, including ADAM and γ -secretase (8–11) and processing of Drosophila Delta was up-regulated in co-cultures with Notch-expressing S2 cells (13,15). It has also been reported that a truncated intracellular isoform of Delta shows prominent nuclear localization (10,11,13). Thus, we examined whether the same events occurred in mouse NSCs. Indeed, in developing NSCs, a large proportion of endogenous Dll1 is already cleaved and Dll1IC was localized in the nucleus (Figure 3a). Immunoreactivity against Dll1IC was accumulated in the nucleus and inhibitor of y-secretase strongly blocked this accumulation (Figure 3b). Moreover, co-culture experiment showed that Dll1 processing could be enhanced through interaction with Notch1 (Figure 4). This enhancement was strongly inhibited by γ -secretase inhibitor (Figure 4). Although, as indicated in the introduction, Delwig et al. reported that Drosophila Delta proteolysis differs from the conventional RIP (15),

mouse and rat Dll1 adhere more closely to RIP mechanism. Because, mouse and rat Dll1 are sequentially processed and preseniline is essential for these proteolysis (10,11). Thus, these observations further support the idea that signaling event also occurred from Notch-expressing cells to Delta-expressing cells.

The nuclear localization of Dll1IC suggests that Dll1IC may have effects on the transcriptions of specific target genes. To examine this possibility, we searched for transcriptional factors capable of binding to Dll1IC using a new method (see Supplementary Figure 2S). Since Smad binding sequence showed a strong signal (Figure 5a and b), co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed to confirm these findings. Smads have been shown to act as mediators of signaling by the TGF-β superfamily. Eight vertebrate Smads, designated Smad1 to Smad8, have been identified to date (29,30). Smad2 and Smad3 are activated by TGF-B and activin (21,38,39), while Smad1 and Smad5 are major components that are activated by bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs) (40-42). Although Smad1 and Smad5 did not bind to Dll1IC, Smad2 and Smad3 showed strong binding (Figure 5c). These findings suggest that Dll1IC mediates TGF- β /Activin signaling through binding to Smad2 and/or Smad3. Phosphorylation of Smad2 and Smad3 was not required for binding to Dll1IC, as co-transfection with caALK5-HA, an expression vector for the constitutively active receptor that phosphorylates Smad2 and Smad3, did not show any significant effects on binding (Figure 5c). However, BMP signaling, which is known to inhibit neurogenesis and to enhance the appearance of astrocytes (43,44), may not be affected by Dll1IC, as Dll1IC did not bind to Smad1 or Smad5. Although Smad8 is thought to be involved in BMP signaling, it showed only weak binding to Dll1IC (Figure 5c). The common Smad, Smad4, which ubiquitously forms heterotrimeric complexes with two other Smads (41,45), also showed strong binding to Dll1IC (Figure 5c). Thus, binding of Dll11C to not only Smad2 and Smad3 but also to Smad4 may stabilize Dll1IC-Smad complexes. Smad6 and Smad7 are thought to be inhibitory Smads. Smad7 inhibits both TGF-B/Activin signaling and BMP signaling, whereas Smad6 preferentially inhibits BMP signaling (30). Although interactions between Dll1IC and these inhibitory Smads were weak, it is interesting if these interaction can inhibit BMP signaling, which is known to inhibit neurogenesis and to enhance the appearance of astrocytes (43,44).

Although we have yet to determine the actual target genes for the Dll1IC complex with Smad2 or Smad3, we performed dual luciferase assay using $9 \times CAGA$ -Luc promoter–reporter plasmids that respond specifically to Smad3 (22,23) as a model system. As shown in Figure 6a, stimulation of HepG2 cells with TGF- β significantly induced transcriptional activity from this promoter and expression of Dll1IC further enhanced the activity in a dose-dependent manner. These findings strongly suggest that Dll1IC mediates transcription of certain genes, which are targets of TGF- β /Activin signaling, through binding to Smads. As shown in Figure 6b, similar transfection experiments using P19 cells stably over-expressing Dll11C (Dll11C/P19) also showed that Dll11C enhanced transcriptional activity and support this conclusion. Interestingly, Pfister *et al.* identified the PDZ protein, Acvrinp1 as a binding protein to mouse Dll11C (25). Acvrinp1 also interacts with Activin Type II receptors and Smad3 (46). Thus, it is likely that Dll11C can already join in assembling signaling molecules at a subcellular site, then translocate to the nucleus with Smads.

Dll1IC may be involved in neuronal differentiation through binding to Smads. To examine this possibility, we employed Dll1IC/p19 again. Although P19 cells have been shown to be induced to differentiate into neurons (31–34), RA stimulation is essential for the induction of neurons from P19 cells. However, neurons could be induced from P19 cells stably over-expressing Dll1IC without RA stimulation and this induction was strongly inhibited by SB431542, a specific inhibitor of TGF-β type1 receptor that activates Smad2 and Smad3 (Figure 7a and b). Expressions of TGF-\u00dfs and their receptors were detected through the differentiation of P19 cells (Supplementary Figure 3S). These results indicate that over-expression of Dll1IC in P19 cells induced neurons through binding to Smad2 and/or Smad3, suggesting that Dll1IC plays an important role in neuronal differentiation. Recently, it has been reported that TGF-B inhibits proliferation and accelerates differentiation of hippocampal granule neuron (47). This observation also supports our hypothesis.

These observations lead us to conclude (see schematic model in Supplementary Figure 4S) that the Notch receptor also supplies signals to Delta that are expressed on the surface of neighboring NSCs. Delta is then cleaved sequentially by proteases, probably including ADAM and γ -secretase (8–11), and finally Dll1IC is released from the cell membrane and translocates to the nucleus, where it mediates TGF-β/Activin signaling through binding to Smads and enhances transcription of specific genes leading to neuronal differentiation. This conclusion strongly implies the existence of Delta signaling, which means that the Notch-Delta signaling pathway is bi-directional. It is well known that the intracellular domain of Notch is also released from the cell membrane by the RIP mechanism (1,2,12,14) similar to those involved in the cleaving of Delta and translocates to the nucleus to modulate gene expression through binding to the transcription factor, Suppressor of Hairless (SU[H], RBP-j κ in mammals) (1,2). Therefore, similar mechanisms are involved in both directions of the Notch-Delta signaling pathway.

In the view of a bidirectional signaling pathway, it would be interesting to see if Delta pathway antagonizes Notch pathway. As a pilot experiment, we have examined if Dll1IC is able to regulate the Notch target genes by luciferase assay. However, we could not detect any antagonized or agonized activity of Dll1IC against expression of Hes1 or Hes5 genes as shown in Supplementary Figure 5S.

BMPs, another group belonging to the TGF- β superfamily, have recently been shown to inhibit neurogenesis and to enhance the generation of astrocytes from NSCs (43,44). It is thus likely that the TGF- β superfamily mediates both neurogenesis and gliogenesis from neural stem cells.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A total of 150 biotinylated double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides representing known transcription factor binding sequences and arrays that were spotted with the same set of oligo DNAs were generously supplied by B-Bridge International Inc. (California) and Panomics (California). We are grateful to T. Imamura (The cancer institute of JFCR) and K. Miyazono (University of Tokyo) for generously donating Smads expression vectors, R. Kageyama (Kyoto University) for Hes1 and Hes5-Luc promoter-reporter plasmids, J.S. Nye (Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development) for Notch1 expression vector and Y. Tomooka (Tokyo University of Science) for anti-Nestin antibody. This work was supported by Special Coordination Funds from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan and Japan Health Sciences Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Artavanis-Tsakonas,S., Rand,M.D. and Lake,R.J. (1999) Notch signaling: cell fate control and signal integration in development. *Science*, 284, 770–776.
- Justice, N.J. and Jan, Y.N. (2002) Variations on the Notch pathway in neural development. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.*, 12, 64–70.
- 3. Henderson, S.T., Gao, D., Lambie, E.J. and Kimble, J. (1994) Lag-2 may encode a signaling ligand for the GLP-1 and LIN-12 receptors of C. elegans. *Development*, **120**, 2913–2924.
- 4. Fitzgerald,K. and Greenwald,I. (1995) Interchangeability of *Caenorhabditis elegans* DSL proteins and intrinsic signalling activity of their extracellular domains *in vivo. Development*, **121**, 4275–4282.
- 5. Baker, R. and Schubiger, G. (1996) Autonomous and nonautonomous Notch functions for embryonic muscle and epidermis development in *Drosophila*. *Development*, **122**, 617–626.
- Chitnis, A., Henrique, D., Lewis, J., Ish-Horowicz, D. and Kintner, C. (1995) Primary neurogenesis in *Xenopus* embryos regulated by a homologue of the *Drosophila* neurogenic gene Delta. *Nature*, 375, 761–766.
- Sun,X. and Artavanis-Tsakonas,S. (1996) The intracellular deletions of DELTA and SERRATE define dominant negative forms of the *Drosophila* Notch ligands. *Development*, 122, 2465–2474.
- Qi,H., Rand,M.D., Wu,X., Sestan,N., Wang,W., Rakic,P., Xu,T. and Artavanis- Tsakonas,S. (1999) Processing of the Notch ligand Delta by the metalloprotease Kuzbanian. *Science*, 283, 91–94.
- Ikeuchi,T. and Sisodia,S.S. (2003) The Notch ligands, Delta1 and Jagged2, are substrates for presenilin-dependent "γ-secretase" cleavage. J. Biol. Chem., 278, 7751–7754.
- LaVoie,M.J. and Selkoe,D.J. (2003) The Notch ligands, Jagged and Delta, are sequentially processed by α-secretase and presenilin/ γ-secretase and release signaling fragments. J. Biol. Chem., 278, 34427–34437.
- Six, E., Ndiaye, D., Laabi, Y., Brou, C., Gupta-Rossi, N., Israel, A. and Logeat, F. (2003) The Notch ligand Delta1 is sequentially cleaved by an ADAM protease and γ-secretase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **100**, 7638–7643.
- 12. Selkoe, D. and Kopan, R. (2003) Notch and Presenilin: regulated intramembrane proteolysis links development and degeneration. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, **26**, 565–597.
- 13. Bland, C.E., Kimberly, P. and Rand, M.D. (2003) Notch-induced proteolysis and nuclear localization of the Delta ligand. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **278**, 13607–13610.

- Koo,E.H. and Kopan,R. (2004) Potential role of presenilinregulated signaling pathways in sporadic neurodegeneration. *Nat. Med.*, **10**, S26–S33.
- Delwig,A., Bland,C., Beem-Miller,M., Kimberly,P. and Rand,M.D. (2006) Endocytosis-independent mechanisms of Delta ligand proteolysis. *Exp. Cell Res.*, **312**, 1345–1360.
- Kitani, H., Shiurba, R., Sakakura, T. and Tomooka, Y. (1991) Isolation and characterization of mouse neural precursor cells in primary culture. *In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol.*, 27, 615–624.
- Tomooka, Y., Kitani, H., Jing, N., Matsushima, M. and Sakakura, T. (1993) Reconstruction of neural tube-like structures in vitro from primary neural precursor cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **90**, 9683–9687.
- Geling,A., Steiner,H., Willem,M., Bally-Cuif,L. and Haass,C. (2002) A-γ-secretase inhibitor blocks Notch signaling *in vivo* and causes a severe neurogenic phenotype in zebrafish. *EMBO Rep.*, 3, 688–694.
- Olivier, A., Lauret, E., Gonin, P. and Galy, A. (2006) The Notch ligand delta-1 is a hematopoietic development cofactor for plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *Blood*, 107, 2694–2701.
- Dignam, J.D., Lebovitz, R.M. and Roeder, R.G. (1983) Accurate transcription inhibition by RNA polymerase II in a soluble extract from isolated mammalian nuclei. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 11, 1475–1489.
- Nakao,A., Imamura,T., Souchelnytskyi,S., Kawabata,M., Ishisaki,A., Oeda,E., Tamaki,K., Hanai,J., Heldin,C.-H., Miyazono,K. *et al.* (1997) TGF-β receptor-mediated signalling through Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4. *EMBO J.*, 16, 5353–5362.
- Dennler,S., Itoh,S., Vivien,D., ten Dijke,P., Huet,S. and Gauthier,J.M. (1998) Direct binding of Smad3 and Smad4 to critical TGFβ-inducible elements in the promoter of human plasminogen activator inhibitor-type 1 gene. *EMBO J.*, **17**, 3091–3100.
- Jonk,L.J.C., Itoh,S., Heldin,C.-H., ten Dijke,P. and Kruijer,W. (1998) Identification and functional characterization of a Smad binding element (SBE) in the JunB promoter that acts as a transforming growth factor-β, activin, and bone morphogenetic protein-inducible enhancer. J. Biol. Chem., 273, 21145–21152.
- Nakayama,K., Nagase,K., Tokutake,Y., Koh,C.-S., Hiratochi,M., Ohkawara,T. and Nakayama,N. (2004) Multiple POU-binding motifs, recognized by tissue-specific nuclear factors, are important for Dll gene expression in neural stem cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 325, 991–996.
- Pfister,S., Przemeck,G.K.H., Gerber,J.K., Beckers,J., Adamski,J. and Hrabe de Angelis,M. (2003) Interaction of the MAGUK family member Acvrinp1 and the cytoplasmic domain of the Notch ligand Delta1. J. Mol. Biol., 333, 229–235.
- Easter,S.S.Jr., Ross,L.S. and Frankfurter,A. (1993) Initial tract formation in the mouse brain. J. Neurosci., 13, 285–299.
- Lichtenthaler,S.F., Ida,N., Multhaup,G., Masters,C.L. and Beyreuther,K. (1997) Mutations in the transmembrane domain of APP altering γ-secretase specificity. *Biochemistry*, 36, 15396–15403.
- Diehmann, A., Ida, N., Weggen, S., Grunberg, J., Haass, C., Masters, C.L., Bayer, T.A. and Beyreuther, K. (1999) Analysis of Presenilin 1 and Presenilin 2 expression and processing by newly developed monoclonal antibodies. J. Neurosci. Res., 56, 405–419.
- Derynck, R. and Zhang, Y.E. (2003) Smad-dependent and Smadindependent pathways in TGF-β family signalling. *Nature*, 425, 577–584.
- Miyazawa,K., Shinozaki,M., Hara,T., Furuya,T. and Miyazono,K. (2002) Two major Smad pathways in TGF-β superfamily signalling. *Genes Cells*, 7, 1191–1204.
- McBurney, M.W., Jones-Villeneuve, E.M., Edwards, M.K. and Anderson, P.J. (1982) Control of muscle and neuronal differentiation in a cultured embryonal carcinoma cell line. *Nature*, 299, 165–167.

- Jones-Villeneuve, E.M., Rudnicki, M.A., Harris, J.F. and McBurney, M.W. (1983) Retinoic acid-induced neural differentiation of embryonal carcinoma cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 3, 2271–2279.
- 33. Nye,J.S., Kopan,R. and Axel,R. (1994) An activated Notch suppresses neurogenesis and myogenesis but not gliogenesis in mammalian cells. *Development*, **120**, 2421–2430.
- Gao,X., Bian,W., Yang,J., Tang,K., Kitani,H., Atsumi,T. and Jing,N. (2001) A role of N-Cadherin in neuronal differentiation of embryonic carcinoma P19 cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 284, 1098–1103.
- 35. Laping,N.J., Grygielko,E., Mathur,A., Butter,S., Bomberger,J., Tweed,C., Martin,W., Fornwald,J., Lehr,R., Harling,J. *et al.* (2002) Inhibition of transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1-induced extracellular matrix with a novel inhibitor of the TGF-β type I receptor kinase activity: SB-431542. *Mol. Pharmacol.*, **62**, 58–64.
- 36. Inman,G.J., Nicolas,F.J., Callahan,J.F., Harling,J.D., Gaster,L.M., Reith,A.D., Laping,N.J. and Hill,C.S. (2002) SB-431542 is a potent and specific inhibitor of transforming growth factor-β superfamily type I activin receptor-like kinase (ALK) receptors ALK4, ALK5, and ALK7. *Mol. Pharmacol.*, 62, 65–74.
- Watabe,T., Nishihara,A., Mishima,K., Yamashita,J., Shimizu,K., Miyazawa,K., Nishikawa,S. and Miyazono,K. (2003) TGF-β receptor kinase inhibitor enhances growth and integrity of embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells. *J. Cell Biol.*, 163, 1303–1311.
- Eppert,K., Scherer,S.W., Ozcelik,H., Pirone,R., Hoodless,P., Kim,H., Tsui,L.C., Bapat,B., Gallinger,S., Andrulis,I.L. *et al.* (1996) MADR2 maps to 18q21 and encodes a TGFβ-regulated MAD-related protein that is functionally mutated in colorectal carcinoma. *Cell*, **86**, 543–552.
- Zhang,Y., Feng,X., We,R. and Derynck,R. (1996) Receptorassociated Mad homologues synergize as effectors of the TGF-β response. *Nature*, 383, 168–172.
- Hoodless, P.A., Haerry, T., Abdollah, S., Stapleton, M., O'Connor, M.B., Attisano, L. and Wrana, J.L. (1996) MADR1, a MAD-related protein that functions in BMP2 signaling pathways. *Cell*, 85, 489–500.
- Kretzschmar, M., Liu, F., Hata, A., Doody, J. and Massague, J. (1997) The TGF-β family mediator Smadl is phosphorylated directly and activated functionally by the BMP receptor kinase. *Genes Dev.*, 11, 984–995.
- Suzuki, A., Chang, C., Yingling, J.M., Wang, X.F. and Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. (1997) Smad5 induces ventral fates in Xenopus embryo. *Dev. Biol.*, 184, 402–405.
- Nakashima,K., Takizawa,T., Ochiai,W., Yanagisawa,M., Hisatsune,T., Nakafuku,M., Miyazono,K., Kishimoto,T., Kageyama,R. and Taga,T. (2001) BMP2-mediated alteration in the developmental pathway of fetal mouse brain cells from neurogenesis to astrocytogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 98, 5868–5873.
- Takizawa, T., Ochiai, W., Nakashima, K. and Taga, T. (2003) Enhanced gene activation by Notch and BMP signaling cross-talk. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 31, 5723–5731.
- Lagna,G., Hata,A., Hemmati-Brivanlou,A. and Massague,J. (1996) Partnership between DPC4 and SMAD proteins in TGF-β signalling pathways. *Nature*, **383**, 832–836.
- 46. Shoji,H., Tsuchida,K., Kishi,H., Yamakawa,N., Matsuzaki,T., Liu,Z., Nakamura,T. and Sugino,H. (2000) Identification and characterization of a PDZ protein that interacts with activin type II receptors. J. Biol. Chem., 275, 5485–5492.
- Lu,J., Wu,Y., Sousa,N. and Almeida,O.F.X. (2005) SMAD pathway mediation of BDNF and TGFβ2 regulation of proliferation and differentiation of hippocampal granule neurons. *Development*, **132**, 3231–3242.