THE DIEGO RAMÍREZ VISITA IN MEZTITLAN

the conquest of New Spain was the encomendero-Indian-tribute Was the Spaniard to receive Indians in grants of If grants were made, how much tribute was the Indian to $pay^{?}$ The answer to these questions gradually evolved in the laws were enforced, and to find his visita, it might be well to discuss briefly the laws Laws were one thing; enforcing them out about the conditions of the Indians, visitadores were sent So it was that Diego Ramírez, a visitador, was ordered by the Crown to investigate the territory Before beginof the outstanding problems which arose after were the Indians to be treated? from Mexico City to Vera Cruz and Pánuco. see if the laws concerning tribute up to 1551. throughout the land.² to New Spain.¹ How L₀ was another. encomienda? question. One ning sent

ensystem first came into being the encomenderos made their own regulations By 1551 the Crown had formulated be no personal service as part of the tribute to be paid; (2) the tribute was to be less than that paid in pre-Conquest times; (3) the Indians were to pay no more than the amounts stipulated on the tribute lists; (4) in drawing up a tribute list the official was to take into consideration the population of the town, the type of land, and the climate; (5) the tribute was to be paid in money or in kind; the Indians were not to be forced to carry the tribute comendero; (7) regular visitas were to be made to see that (8) if tribute were definite rules to govern the procedure and had decided their own town to the residence of the granted that when the were not oppressive; and be taken for with regard to tribute. (1) there was to paid from the tributes may It very they that (9)

S. A. Zavala, in his La encomienda indiana (Madrid, 1935), discusses these la we.

Gálvez Visitor-General of New Spain, 1765-1771 California, V [Berkeley, (University of California Publications in History, Vol. 1916]), has an excellent discussion of the visitador. 2 H. I. Priestley's José de

illegally exacted from the Indians, over and above the regular levy they were to pay, this tribute had to be returned

 \mathbf{the} \mathbf{the} butDuring the period of the Ramírez³ visita⁴ (1551-1555), in the audiencia and cabildo of Mexico City as well were participants in the disputes which arose.⁵ Two areas included in the visita are of especial interest; namely, Pánuco and As the Meztitlán region presents typical illustrations of the obstacles placed in the path of the visitador and of his efforts to carry out his instructions, it will be used as area from Mexico City to Vera Cruz and Pánuco, visitador was continually involved in arguments with Spaniards in New Spain. Not only the encomenderos the basis of this dicussion.⁶ Meztitlán. also the

* Prior to assuming his duties as visitador Ramírez had been corregidor of de diciembre de 1542. A. G. L., Audiencia de México, México, 204; corregidor of Tlapa, ibid.; corregidor of Soconusco, Cartas de Indias [Madrid, 1877], p. 829; and corregidor of Tlaxcala, Colección de documentos inéditos para la his. toria de Ibero-América [14 vols., Madrid, 1927-1932], I, 161-166.) In 1551 he had España 1505-1818 [16 Serie, Mexico, 1939-1942], VI, 26); and just before undertaking the visita under discussion he was making a visita of the province of Xilotepeque. (Ibid., VII, 50-51.) He was favored by the Church. It is significant that in spite of his having been a Jalisco. México, demarcation between the bishoprics of Michoacán and Iguala. (Información de los Méritos y Servicios de Diego Ramírez. (Francisco del Paso y Troncoso, *Epistolario de Nueva España* vols., Biblioteca Histórica Mexicana de Obras Inéditas, Segunda corregidor for so long, he died a poor man. of a line made

* The instructions to Ramírez stated that he was (1) to make certain that the Indians were not over-taxed; (2) to see that there was no personal service commuted for payment of tribute or included in the tribute lists; (3) to order that (4) to be sure that the Indians did not carry the tribute from the town in which resided to the town where the encomendero lived; (5) to draw up new tar when needed; and (6) to bring to justice those who had broken the laws or to their heirs; the Indians be returned to excessive tribute illegally taken they resided to the (*ibid.*, VI, 11-15). lists

had died earlier in the month), said that his death was probably for the best, for now the many hatreds which he had aroused would cease to exist (Actas de cabildo meeting on September 9, 1555 (having learned that Ramírez (Actas de Cabildo de la Ciudad de México [26 vols., Mexico, 1889-1904], VI, 182-183). ⁵ The

are some specific examples of the injustices which he cited: No adjustment erished the region; depopulation of the towns had brought no readjustment of the tribute to be paid; the encomenderos provided no religious training for the Indians; no tax lists had ever been posted; Indians were forced to carry tribute to the homes of the encomenderos; and in general there was a complete disregard The followand impovbeen made in the tribute lists after hurricanes had devastated · Ramírez found conditions in the Pánuco area extremely bad. of the laws (Paso y Troncoso, Epistolario, VII, 12-30). had ing

which not visit Meztitlán, the visitador asked the audiencia to define encomenderos Diego de Guevara, Alonso de Mérida, and Miguel As early as October of 1551 these men petitioned the audiencia of Mexico City asking that Ramírez be forbidden to go to Meztitlán because it was not in the terri-The audiencia approved the petition and notified Ramírez he could not visit Meztitlán.⁷ Here was the the encomenderos petitioned the audiencia not to allow Ramírez to visit certain districts or towns; during the entire visita such strategy was frequently employed. Because the territory he was to visit was so poorly defined in his instructions,⁸ there was very little Ramírez could do when the audiencia informed him that he could not visit a specific district, except write to the king. Immediately after being notified he could territory he was to visit, but it could merely quote back beginning of a long-drawn-out struggle between Ramírez and \mathbf{the} Nor was this the only occasion on to him what was in his instructions from the Crown.⁹ Meztitlán the visitador became involved with tory of his visita. the encomenderos. Aux. de In Díaz the

the Furthermore the encomenderos of the region were favored by the audiencia and as a result the Indians had overworked trying to pay the to Spain about the situation in Meztitlán. He informed the king that an attempt had been made by the encomenderos to stop him from conducta visita in that province, although the land had not been He therefore asked visited and there was need of an investigation. January of 1552 Ramírez wrote excessive tribute demanded of them. king what should be done.¹⁰ In ing.

and The letter added that while making the visita he should certainly punish In carrying out On August 11, 1552, the Crown replied that Ramírez had the right to go ahead with the visita in Meztitlán and authorthe visitador could summon people ized him to take all the time that was necessary. all those who had mistreated their Indians. these instructions

was designated simply as the region from Mexico City to Vera 7 Ibid., VI, 69-71. Cruz and Pánuco. area ^a The

^a Paso y Troncoso, *Epistolario*, VI, 72-73. ¹⁰ Ibid., pp. 125-126.

32

 from which informed the members of the audiencia that they must Specifically, they were told that there should be no appeals from decisions made by Ramírez in his capacity as visitador.¹² The latter part of the cedula was highly important since it gave Ramírez the legal authority to But, as in pass sentence on them.¹¹ On the same day the Crown further strengthened the position of Ramírez by sending out a cedula too many cases, cedulas did not prevent the audiencia trying, either by legal or illegal means, to help friends. proceed without hindrance from the audiencia. help, not hinder, Ramírez.

and Miguel Díaz de Aux; for on that date a representative of the encomenderos appeared before Ramírez to inform him of the audiencia's ruling that his decision concerning Meztitlán was invalid.¹³ Ramírez immediately brought the case before the Some time before March 5, 1552, Ramírez had managed to make an investigation of Meztitlán and had pronounced a sentence against Diego de Guevara, Alonso de Mérida, audiencia and was sustained.¹⁴

surthe audiencia and this time charged that in making the visita Ramírez had not allowed them to testify in their own defense; the Ramírez decision was therefore illegal. A complaint was Flores, because they thought he had acted against the interests of the encomenderos when he should not have done so.¹⁵ also lodged against the visitor's assistant, one Jerónimo de This reverse did not dismay the three encomenderos. Through their representatives they again went before audiencia consequently commanded that Ramírez render the testimony he had taken in the case.¹⁶ The

pay the tribute demanded by their encomenderos. They wanted to be testimony taken by Ramírez from the Indians of Meztitlán was to this effect: the Indians asked that the taxtaxed as were the towns held by the Crown, such as Tlaxcala, ation be moderated because they were not able to The

¹¹ Ibid., pp. 174-177.

Later, in 1554, appeals were allowed but no new evidence could be introduced (ibid., pp. 238-239). ¹² Cedulario de Puga (2 vols., Mexico, 1878), II, 172-173. ¹³ Paso y Troncoso, Epistolario, VII, 65-66.

¹⁴ Ibid., pp. 67-68.

¹⁶ Ibid., pp. 70-78.

010 Not only had Guevara collected too much tribute, but three of collecting some 75,000 pesos de oro común over the amount Furthermore, a Negro slave of Guevara, Anton by name, was charged with killing Finally the Indians protested Guevara, accordcomún in excess of what the regular tribute should have been. years before he had killed an Indian. Mérida was accused that Alonso de Villaseca, Mérida's tax collector, had taken depesosthe witnesses, had raised some 7,500 Cholula, Guaxocingo, and certain others.¹⁷ to have received. excessive tribute from them.¹⁸ an Indian five years before. supposed he was ing to

of going, he agreed to accept a man whom the encomenderos Ramírez, after hearing the above testimony, had placed tribute due them.¹⁹ As has been stated, the encomenderos disliked the visitor's assistant, so in order to keep the visita The new assistant, Alonso Carrillo by name, made Ramírez then investigated Carrillo and found he was closely associated with Mérida and Guevara. In fact, shortly before becoming assistant to Ramírez, he had received a silver plate as in jail and had stopped the payment charges against Ramírez soon after his appointment. a gift from the encomenderos.²⁰ encomenderos selected. the

So the quite conclusively that the Indians in the areas mentioned could have paid more tribute (Petición del tesorero don Fernando de Portugal y Juan Velázquez de en que es defraudado y la gente que en ellas hay. México, 4 de marzo de 1562. A. G. I., Patronato 182, Ramo 2). Ramírez had not even taxed them the one peso eral, in the territories he covered, the tributaries were made to pay less than the amount mentioned above. However, Ramírez participated in the changing of the tribute lists which contained many items to tribute lists containing only two, namely, corn and money. This, of course, made the tribute lists more under-¹¹ These places were the subject of a lengthy debate shortly after the death Complaints had been made to the Crown that Ramfrez, by his tarking in September of 1556 wrote asking about the loss of revenue (Cedulario de Puga, II, 276). Viceroy Velasco ordered Fernando de Portugal and Juan Velázquez de Salazar to make a report on the loss. They did so and proved A. G. I., Indiferente General, 1093). Another investigation of the same area upheld Portugal's and Salazar's contentions (Información para Su Magestad de las provincias In genstandable to the Indians and it was hoped they would be less easily deceived. ation of these towns, had deprived the Crown of a great deal of income. and one-half fanega of corn per tributary as became the general rule. Sin fecha. Salazar para el señor Virrey don Luis de Velasco. of Ramírez.

¹⁸ Paso y Troncoso, Epistolario, VII, 82-83. 19 Ibid., pp. 83-88.

" Ibid., pp. 89-98.

33

whom with tory, where the encomenderos had illegally taken over 100,000 part of the encomendero's ill-gotten gains returned to the whom was Guevara, responsible for the act. But there had been, and there were still, many delays in straightening out this affair. The audihe had placed in jail and he was not to force the encomenderos the the be-One been whipped because he had voiced some of his complaints The encomenderos were The tribute in this terripesos from the Indians, was excessive. Part of the territory had been held by Andrés de Barrios, who had collected about 26,000 pesos illegally from the Indians. In order to have all water mulberry trees which were originally planted by the Indians and then Another Indian had The Indians were November 20, 1553, the visitador sent a letter to difficulties and setting forth not fulfilling their obligation to provide the Indians instruction in the Catholic faith. The tribute in this abused, two having died because of maltreatment. ordered Ramírez to free the encomenderos whipped because he would not Indians, he had held the heirs, among taken from them by the encomenderos. conditions he had found in Meztitlán. to the prior of a nearby monastery. to return the tribute to the Indians. all his explaining had been encia had Crown 0n O ing or

the size of the mantas had been increased, and the Indians had been forced to carry the tribute to Mexico City. Ramírez Ramírez had ordered Villaseca to appear before him or forfeit 2,000 pesos. visitador. Although the Meztitlán case had been referred to the viceroy, about all he had ever done was merely to receive the documents. If the viceroy would only take some action, it was thought matters could be cleared up in a very short Ramírez went on to say that the Indians of the province complained about Tejada, a judge of the audiencia, and claimed he had taken from them certain articles without In other parts of the province the part of the letter reveals the despair of then began to explain the Villaseca case. any payment.²¹ The next making time.

 $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{he}$ Indians complained that they had never been paid; when they went to Mexico City to protest, Tejada had jailed them and later had them used as slaves ²¹ Mérida had the Indians make six beds which he gave to Tejada. (ibid., XIV, 111-113).

36

The pesos and also another 4,000 which he planned to return to audiencia had ruled his decision illegal because Villaseca Ramírez consequently was ordered to The visitador complained to the king that it was his understanding that he would have full power in such cases; continued interference would make it impossible for him to accomplish anything. If the Indians had to go to summons was not obeyed, Ramírez took the 2,000 City and was therefore not under the juris-Mexico City to testify, they could not sustain themselves overcharged them. Indians because Villaseca had while there and they would die.²² diction of Ramírez. return the money. lived in Mexico When his the

town.²⁴ the did even opposition which was making his work difficult, Ramírez law of Mérida. An argument ensued after they had met, and, during the course of it, Velázquez struck Ramírez. For this pened Carrillo had appeared and had upheld Velázquez. In ing against him.²³ Then came the crowning disgrace. Ramírez told the story as follows: He had written to the audiencia for an not proceed against his kinsman but, on the contrary, began making inquiries about Ramírez. Finally, with the help of Events followed which might have To give a further example of the lack of coöperation and described an incident involving Juan Velázquez, the son-inother words, Ramírez explained, everyone seemed to be workothers, he seized Ramírez and jailed him in an Indian's home. Ramírez demanded that he be allowed to resume his visita; ruined the hopes and daunted the courage of a man less determined than Diego Ramírez. The visitador was placed only six leagues from Mexico Velasco, who had heard of the happenings, After this had hap-Angel de Villafañe, Villafañe permission to proceed against Velázquez, but instead upon a horse and driven like a ruffian from town to Velázquez. act Ramírez had Velázquez imprisoned. a judge, encomendero who was related to party was sent out demanded in vain. Finally when the audiencia had City, Luis de he

22 Ibid., VII, 100-102.

Ì doubtedly to show the Indians that the encomenderos were not afraid of the ²⁴ Ramírez was driven through the different towns that he had visited; 23 Ibid., pp. 102-103. visitador.

see In his opinion there were too many family ties between the from this letter, Ramírez wrote, what mistreatment he had encomenderos. Thus the king could endured and how the audiencia favored the ordered that Ramírez be released. audiencia and the encomenderos.²⁵

agreement had been reached in Meztitlán and that a tax list Mérida and Guevara lost their grants for ten years. Mérida was to make a payment to two Indians 200 pesos de oro común and he was to pay part of the sal-On December 6, 1553, Ramírez informed the king that an encomienda; moreover, they were to stay out of Meztitlán aries of Ramírez, his notary public, and his interpreter. Guevara also had to pay part of the above salaries.²⁶ had been drawn up. J. ef O

New Apparently this advice was not heeded, for the audiencia revoked Ramírez' decision in the case of Guevara and restored grants taken from him.28 The visitador did not let this flouting of his authority go unnoticed. On March 23, 1554, he dispatched a complaint to the king reminding him that the Ramírez sent a brief note to Spain on February 14, 1554, the audiencia that the Meztitlán case be removed from and protesting that something should be done about it.29 Spain and be taken up by the Council of the Indies.²⁷ decision against Guevara had been revoked by suggesting \mathbf{the}

The Andrés de Barrios who had inherited their father's encomienda. By his decision the In-After many delays caused by appeals and the naming of new assistants, Ramírez, on December 6, 1554, pronounced his heirs were also to pay 300 pesos as salary for Ramírez and his helpers. Ramírez immediately wrote to the Crown to explain his sentence and to ask again that the king prevent any dians of three given areas were to receive 6,000 pesos. interference by the audiencia.³⁰ sentence on the daughters of

they were On June 3, 1555, a cedula was sent out to the audiencia responsible for the delays in putting cedulas into effect and was known informing them that the Crown was well aware Moreover it preventing relief for the Indians.

26 Ibid., pp. 113-123. so Ibid., pp. 299-304. ²⁸ Ibid., p. 189. ** Ibid., pp. 190-195. ^{as} Ibid., pp. 104-106. 27 Ibid., p. 181.

the such delays the audiencia aid they must see that the process was not delayed, and all these hindered the visitador in his attempt to told, must cease. In the event appeals were made to was audiencia cases had to be sent to the king.³¹ the Henceforth, they had Indians.

Meztitlán shows how far the encomenderos were prepared to and Diego Ramírez probably never heard about this cedula, menderos ever felt the full weight of the law. This study of go in their attempt to stop Ramírez. The visitor's documents that Ramírez was correct in his attempt to remedy the abuses. The study of this territory, along with others made by the author, shows conclusively that the encomenderos mistreated the Indians, and that the encomenderos and the audiencia for the affair was in this unfinished stage when, in September were allied against any attempts to put an end to their ex-Due to his death it is doubtful if the encoof Meztitlán were mistreated proved that the Indians ploitation of the Indians. of 1555, he died.

đ faithful servant, but it would have taken more than one con-In Diego Ramírez the Crown had undoubtedly found scientious man to face the wrath of the encomenderos.

WALTER V. SCHOLES.

University of Michigan.

²¹ Cedulario de Puga, II, 249-251.