

THE DISCOVERY OF OXYGEN $K\alpha$ X-RAY EMISSION FROM THE RINGS OF SATURN

ANIL BHARDWAJ,^{1,2} RONALD F. ELSNER,¹ J. HUNTER WAITE, JR.,³ G. RANDALL GLADSTONE,⁴
THOMAS E. CRAVENS,⁵ AND PETER G. FORD⁶

Received 2005 April 12; accepted 2005 May 16; published 2005 June 7

ABSTRACT

Using the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS), the *Chandra X-Ray Observatory* observed the Saturnian system for one rotation of the planet (~ 37 ks) on 2004 January 20 and again on January 26–27. In this Letter we report the detection of X-ray emission from the rings of Saturn. The X-ray spectrum from the rings is dominated by emission in a narrow (~ 130 eV-wide) energy band centered on the atomic oxygen $K\alpha$ fluorescence line at 0.53 keV. The X-ray power emitted from the rings in the 0.49–0.62 keV band is 84 MW, which is about one-third of that emitted from Saturn’s disk in the photon energy range 0.24–2.0 keV. Our analysis also finds a clear detection of X-ray emission from the rings in the 0.49–0.62 keV band in an earlier (2003 April 14–15) *Chandra* ACIS observation of Saturn. Fluorescent scattering of solar X-rays from oxygen atoms in the H_2O icy ring material is the likely source mechanism for ring X-rays, consistent with the scenario of the solar photo-production of a tenuous oxygen atmosphere and ionosphere over the rings recently discovered by *Cassini*.

Subject headings: planets and satellites: individual (Saturn) — planets: rings — scattering —

Sun: X-rays, gamma rays — X-rays: general — X-rays: individual (Saturn’s rings)

1. INTRODUCTION

The rings of Saturn, first seen in 1610 by Galileo Galilei, are one of the most fascinating objects in our solar system. The main ring system, from inside out, consists of the D (distance from Saturn, $1.11R_S$ – $1.235R_S$; Saturn radius $R_S = 60,3330$ km), C ($1.235R_S$ – $1.525R_S$), B ($1.525R_S$ – $1.95R_S$), and A ($2.025R_S$ – $2.27R_S$) rings (Cuzzi et al. 2002). These are followed by the fainter F, G, and E rings, which span $2.324R_S$ – $8.0R_S$. The rings are known to be made of mostly water (H_2O) ice (e.g., Esposito et al. 1984; Cuzzi et al. 2002). Recently, *Cassini* discovered a tenuous oxygen ionosphere, and therefore atmosphere, over the rings (Gurnett et al. 2005; Waite et al. 2005; Young et al. 2005). Here we report the discovery of oxygen $K\alpha$ X-ray emission from the rings of Saturn. This result adds one more object to the list of solar system soft X-ray emitters found by the *Chandra X-Ray Observatory* during the last few years (e.g., Elsner et al. 2002; Dennerl 2002; Dennerl et al. 2002; Ness et al. 2004; see Bhardwaj et al. 2002 for a review of earlier studies).

2. OBSERVATIONS

Using *Chandra*’s Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) we observed Saturn on 2004 January 20 and 26–27. Each continuous observation lasted for about one full Saturn rotation (~ 37 ks; see Table 1 for observation details). The observations were carried out with the planetary image falling on the S3 CCD of the spectroscopy array in imaging mode, the configuration with the greatest sensitivity to X-ray energies below 1 keV. Pulse-height values of individual X-ray events were corrected for effects due to Saturn’s optically bright disk in the

same way as for Jupiter (Elsner et al. 2005); however, the corrections for Saturn are much smaller than for Jupiter. More details of the present observations are given in Bhardwaj et al. (2005a).

For each observation, the background rate was determined using a large region, free of cosmic sources, outside the planet and the rings. It is important to note, however, that the planet blocks all true X-rays from beyond Saturn’s orbit. For the case of the rings, we note that there is no transmission of < 3 keV X-ray photons through 1 mm of thick H_2O ice, and 1 cm of thick ice can effectively block X-rays up to 10 keV (Henke et al. 1993). The main rings of Saturn are at least a few meters thick (Salo & Karjalainen 2003), and the size of particles can range from centimeters to meters (French & Nicholson 2000). Therefore, any background contribution to the detected emission from the rings is mostly events due to charged particles, and the estimated background contributions quoted below are upper limits to the true background.

Chandra events are time-tagged and can therefore be mapped into Saturn’s rest frame using the online JPL HORIZONS ephemerides generator. The ring region itself was well defined using ellipses at the inner edge of the C ring and the outer edge of the A ring (see Fig. 1). In our analysis we excluded any portion of the rings overlapping the planet, whether in between the planet and *Chandra*, as in the north, or behind the planet, as in the south. We note that Saturn’s moons orbit in planes close to the ring plane, and no large ($> \sim 100$ km) moons orbit within the main rings or at the outskirts of the A ring. The orbits of large moons, such as Titan, did not place their projected sky images on the rings during these observations.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the X-ray image of the Saturnian system on January 20 and 26–27 in the 0.49–0.62 keV band, the energy range where X-rays from the rings are unambiguously detected (see Fig. 2). The observations suggest that, similar to Saturn’s X-ray emission (Bhardwaj et al. 2005a), the ring X-rays are highly variable—a factor of 2–3 variability in brightness over 1 week. Note the apparent asymmetry in X-ray emission from the east (morning) and west (evening) ansae of the rings on January 20.

Figure 2 shows the background-subtracted spectrum of ring X-rays for each of the two exposures. In the energy range 0.24–

¹ NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, NSSTC/XD12, 320 Sparkman Drive, Huntsville, AL 35805; anil.bhardwaj@msfc.nasa.gov, ron.elsner@msfc.nasa.gov.

² On leave from the Space Physics Laboratory, Vikram Sarabhai Space Centre, Trivandrum 695022, India; anil_bhardwaj@vssc.org.

³ Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Space Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109; hunterw@umich.edu.

⁴ Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, P.O. Drawer 28510, TX 78228; randy.gladstone@swri.org.

⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, 1082 Malott Hall, Lawrence, KS 66045; cravens@ku.edu.

⁶ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, 70 Vassar Street, Cambridge, MA 02139; pgf@space.mit.edu.

TABLE 1
OBSERVATIONAL PARAMETERS OF OBSID 4466 (4467)

Parameter	Start Time Value	Stop Time Value
Date, UT	2004 Jan 20, 00:05:02 (2004 Jan 26, 14:30:24)	2004 Jan 20, 10:58:54 (2004 Jan 27, 01:11:43)
R.A.	06 35 26.75 (06 33 25.60)	06 35 17.94 (06 33 17.98)
Decl.	+22 33 17.4 (+22 35 51.6)	+22 33 28.6 (+22 36 01.8)
Sun distance (AU) ^a	9.034 (9.034)	9.034 (9.034)
Earth distance (AU)	8.109 (8.155)	8.112 (8.158)
Diameter ^b (arcsec)	20.496 (20.380)	20.489 (20.371)
Elongation ^c (deg)	158.98/T ^d (151.79/T)	158.48/T (151.31/T)
Phase ^e (deg)	2.23 (2.95)	2.28 (2.99)

NOTE.—Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds. The values in parentheses are for ObsID 4467 on 2004 January 26–27.

^a AU = 1.49598×10^8 km.

^b Projected equatorial angular diameter of Saturn.

^c Sun–Earth–Saturn angle.

^d T indicates Saturn trails Sun (evening sky).

^e Sun–Saturn–Earth angle.

2.0 keV, where essentially the entire planet’s X-ray emission is detected, the numbers of X-ray photons detected from the ring region defined above on January 20 and on January 26–27 are 65 and 23, respectively. Almost half of these photons, 28 and 14, have energies in the 0.49–0.62 keV band, and peak near the oxygen $K\alpha$ fluorescence line emission at 0.53 keV (note that the energy resolution of the ACIS-S3 CCD is ~ 120 eV at these energies). With the expected 0.49–0.62 keV background counts for the ring region being 3.0 and 1.5, respectively, the detection of ring X-rays in the 0.49–0.62 keV energy range is statistically highly significant for both exposures; the probability of detecting 28 (14) or more photons with only 3.0 (1.5) photons expected from the background is ~ 0 (8×10^{-10}). A Gaussian with a central energy of ~ 0.55 keV fits the observed ACIS spectrum quite well (see inset of Fig. 2), suggesting that the ring X-ray emission is due to O $K\alpha$ emission. The X-ray power emitted by the rings in the 0.49–0.62 keV band on January 20 is 84 MW, which is

about one-third of that emitted from the Saturn disk in the 0.24–2.0 keV band (Bhardwaj et al. 2005a).

Recently, Bhardwaj et al. (2005a) reported an X-ray flare from Saturn’s disk in direct response to a solar X-ray flare on 2004 January 20. They also showed that the temporal variation of the X-ray emission from Saturn’s disk was similar to that of solar X-rays. In Figure 3a we plot the X-ray light curves for the rings on January 20 in 0.24–2.0 and 0.49–0.62 keV bands, as well as the expected background light curve in the 0.49–0.62 keV band. In Figure 3b, we plot the X-ray light curves from the Sun as measured by the *Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES)* and the Solar Extreme ultraviolet Experiment (SEE) on board the *Thermosphere, Ionosphere, Mesosphere Energetics and Dynamics (TIMED)* mission (at Earth) and from Saturn’s disk (see Bhardwaj et al. 2005a for details). Although the X-ray light curve from the




FIG. 1.—*Chandra* ACIS X-ray images of the Saturnian system in the 0.49–0.62 keV band on 2004 January 20 and 26–27. The X-ray emission from the rings is clearly present in these restricted energy band images (see Fig. 2); the emission from the planet is relatively weak in this band (see Fig. 1 of Bhardwaj et al. 2005a for an X-ray image of the Saturnian system in the 0.24–2.0 keV band). The false-color images, with brightness in rayleighs (R), show X-ray photons as seen in a frame moving across the sky with Saturn, smoothed with a two-dimensional Gaussian with $\sigma = 0''.984$ (twice the ACIS pixel width). For the conversion to rayleighs, we used a value for effective area of 195 cm^2 at 0.525 keV—the energy of the atomic oxygen $K\alpha$ emission line. The horizontal and vertical axes are in units of Saturn’s equatorial radius (RS). The white scale bar in the upper left of each panel represents $10''$. The superposed graticule shows latitude and longitude lines at intervals of 30° . The solid gray lines are the outlines of the planet and rings, with the outer edge of the A ring and inner edge of the C ring shown in white. The dotted white line defines the region within which events were accepted as part of Saturn’s disk, unless obscured by the rings. The two images, taken a week apart and shown on the same color scale, indicate substantial variability in X-ray emission from the rings.




FIG. 2.—Background-subtracted *Chandra* ACIS-S3-observed X-ray energy spectrum for Saturn’s rings in the 0.2–2.0 keV range on 2004 January 20 and 26–27. The cluster of X-ray photons in the ~ 0.49 –0.62 keV band suggests the presence of the oxygen $K\alpha$ line emission at 0.53 keV in the X-ray emission from the rings. The inset shows a Gaussian fit (peak energy = 0.55 keV, $\sigma = 140$ eV), shown by the dashed line, to the ACIS-observed rings’ spectrum on January 20. Each spectral point (filled circle with error bar) represents ≥ 10 measured events. The spectral fitting suggests that X-ray emissions from the rings are predominantly oxygen $K\alpha$ photons.




FIG. 3.—X-ray light curve for Saturn’s rings and disk and the Sun on 2004 January 20. All data are binned in 30 minute increments, except for the *TIMED/SEE* data, which are 3 minute observation-averaged fluxes obtained every orbit (~ 12 measurements per day). (a) Background-subtracted ring X-ray emission in 0.24–2.0 and 0.49–0.62 keV bands observed by *Chandra* ACIS, plotted after shifting by -2.236 hr to account for the light-travel time difference between Sun-Saturn-Earth and Sun-Earth. Expected background in the 0.49–0.62 keV band is shown in red. (b) Background-subtracted Saturn disk 0.24–2.0 keV emission (there are only six events in the 0.49–0.62 keV band from the disk) plotted in red after shifting by -2.236 hr. The solar X-ray flux in the 1.6–12.4 keV band measured by the Earth-orbiting *GOES-12* is plotted in blue. The solar 0.2–2.5 keV fluxes measured by *TIMED/SEE* are solid green squares and are joined by the green dashed line for visualization purposes. A flare in the light curve for Saturn’s disk and for the solar X-ray flux is observed at about 7.5 hr. Although the light curve for ring X-ray emission is somewhat similar to that for the disk X-ray emission, no flaring is evident.

rings shows some similarity to the disk light curve, no flaring is evident. The ring light curve has an average of 1.27 0.49–0.62 keV events per 30 minute bin. If a factor of 5 increase had occurred at the time of the flare from the planet’s disk (Bhardwaj et al. 2005a), we would expect 6.35 photons in the corresponding time bin. Only 2 photons were detected. The probability of seeing two or less events expecting 6.35 is 0.05, corresponding to about a 2σ deviation. On the other hand, the probability of seeing two or more events expecting 1.27 is 0.36, less than a 1σ deviation. Thus, due to the low signal-to-noise ratio per bin for the ring light curve, we cannot confirm statistically the presence or absence of flaring from the rings. We note that the spectrum of solar X-rays during the flare is quite different (generally harder) than during the quiet period.

4. THE 2003 APRIL 14–15 *CHANDRA* OBSERVATION

We reanalyzed the *Chandra* ACIS-S3 Saturn observation of 2003 April 14–15 (Ness et al. 2004) in the same manner as our 2004 January observations. Figure 4 shows the spectrum of ring X-rays on 2003 April 14–15; a cluster of photons around the O $K\alpha$ line is also evident in these *Chandra* observations. Just as for our 2004 January *Chandra* observations, the detection of ring X-ray emission in the 0.49–0.62 keV band is highly significant; the number of photons detected from the ring region in this band is 36 with only 6.5 expected from the background, the probability of a chance occurrence of 36 or more events expecting 6.5 being 9×10^{-16} . The inset of Figure 4 shows the 0.49–0.62 keV image of the Saturnian system on April 14–15. As pointed out by Ness et al. (2004), an excess of X-rays on the east ansa of the rings is also seen in this image. During 2003 April 14–15 the X-ray power emitted by the rings in the 0.49–0.62 keV band is about 70 MW.




FIG. 4.—Background-subtracted energy spectrum for ring X-ray emission during the *Chandra* ACIS 2003 April 14–15 observation (Ness et al. 2004). A cluster of X-ray photons in the ~ 0.49 – 0.62 keV band around the 0.53 keV oxygen $K\alpha$ line is clearly evident in the spectrum. Note that the energy resolution of ACIS-S3 at these energies is ~ 120 eV. The inset shows the *Chandra* ACIS X-ray image of the Saturn system in the 0.49–0.62 keV band on 2003 April 14–15. The description of this figure is the same as for Fig. 1. The rings’ X-ray emission is evident on the east ansa (morning) in this image, which is also mentioned by Ness et al. (2004).

5. DISCUSSION

The ring X-rays are unlikely to be produced by charged particle precipitation on the ring material because essentially no energetic particles are detected over the rings (Krimigis et al. 2005; Young et al. 2005). Particle precipitation can at most produce X-ray emission at the outer edge of the A rings, but the *Chandra* observations suggest X-ray emission largely from the B ring. Also, no X-rays are expected from the plasma-atmosphere interaction over the rings, since *Cassini* observations indicate that the ring atmosphere is too thin (with a density of $\sim 10^4$ – 10^5 cm^{-3} ; Waite et al. 2005).

The presence of O $K\alpha$ line emission suggests that the likely source mechanism of ring X-rays is the fluorescent scattering of solar X-rays from oxygen atoms in the H_2O icy rings. Taking the fluorescent yield of O $K\alpha$ as 0.0083 (Krause 1979), the average value of the 0–2.5 nm solar flux for 0–12 UT on January 20 from the *TIMED/SEE* measurements as 2×10^{-4} W m^{-2} , the Sun-Saturn and Earth-Saturn distances from Table 1, and estimating the area of the rings from which we see X-ray emis-




FIG. 5.—Distributions of X-ray events in the 0.49–0.62 keV band from the rings of Saturn obtained by combining the 2004 January and 2003 April *Chandra* ACIS observations. *Left*: Distribution in the ring plane in 30° -wide sectors, with sector 1 ranging from -15° to $+15^\circ$ in azimuthal angle measured from the horizontal axis. Diagonally opposite sectors have equal area. *Right*: Distribution of counts in the 30° sectors of the left panel. The dotted curve shows the distribution expected if the emission from each sector were proportional to its area, normalized so that the total number of photons equals what is observed.

sion to be about one-third that of Saturn's disk, we estimate an energy flux from the rings of about 5×10^{-15} ergs $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. (This area, from which we see ring X-ray emission, is smaller by a factor of $\sim 4\text{--}5$ than the full area of the ring region that does not overlap the planet.) This value is similar to the observed energy flux derived from the XSPEC spectral fitting. This implies that the fluorescent scattering of solar X-rays can power the X-ray emission from the rings of Saturn.

If solar X-ray radiation is the cause of the ring X-ray emission, as suggested by the simple calculation above, then the X-ray emission should have been uniformly distributed over the rings. However, the *Chandra* observations suggest that the spatial distribution of ring X-ray emission is nonuniform (Figs. 1 and 4), with a concentration on the east ansa (morning side) of the rings. One possibility is a statistical fluctuation in the spatial distribution of ring X-rays due to the small number of observed photons. To test this, after appropriate scaling of coordinates, we combined the 2004 January and 2003 April *Chandra* observations and divided the ring region into 12 sectors, each 30° wide. Figure 5 shows the distribution of X-ray photons in the 0.49–0.62 keV band. We find 13 events from sector 1 and 25 events from diagonally opposite sector 7, both of these sectors have an equal projected area. The probability of detecting 25 or more photons expecting 13 is 0.002. If we combine three sectors on east and west ansae (sectors 6–8 and sectors 12, 1, and 2), the events are 37 and 27, respectively, and the probability in this case is 0.039. Thus, in the combined observational data, the evidence for non-uniform emission from the rings is suggestive but not overwhelmingly strong.

Spokes are an interesting feature of the Saturnian ring system, and have been observed over the rings, largely confined to the dense B ring, and most often seen on the morning side (east ansa; e.g., Cuzzi et al. 2002; Horányi et al. 2004; McGhee et al. 2005). Spoke lifetimes range from tens of minutes to a few hours. Spokes are clouds of fine ice-dust particles (approximately submicron to micron size) that are levitated off the ring surface, and suggested to be triggered by meteoritic impacts on the rings (Goertz & Morfill 1983; Cuzzi & Estrada 1998). Since the meteor impact is more likely in the midnight to early morning hours (due to larger relative velocities, like on Earth), the observation of spokes is more likely in the morning hours since the rings have recently emerged from Saturn's shadow (the night side). The higher X-ray brightness on the morning side of the rings could be due to such meteoritic impacts exposing more ring ice for solar fluorescence, resulting in higher X-ray yield. Moreover, the icy dust produced by the

impact would also contribute to increased X-ray brightness in the morning sector by the fluorescent scattering of solar X-rays, although the albedo for solar fluorescence from dust is expected to be relatively lower (Krasnopolsky 1997). Detailed modeling is required to calculate the solar fluorescence contribution from the icy-dust particles to ring X-rays.

Since the scattering of solar X-rays takes place mostly in the top layer of the rings, the surface composition affects the X-ray scattering from the rings. In principle, X-ray observations could help us determine the surface composition of the rings. However, the spatial resolution for *Chandra* at the distance of Saturn is a few times 1000 km, and the X-ray flux from the rings is too small for realistic measurements at that distance. So, why are Saturn's main rings hard to see in X-rays, while they are so bright in visible light? Taking the mean visible albedo of the main rings as 0.5, a solar constant value of 1370 W m^{-2} at Earth, and using the same area for the region of the rings from which we see X-ray emission as used to estimate the expected flux, the visible energy flux from the ring region at Earth is $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ ergs $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Since the energy of an X-ray photon from the rings is about 200 times that in the visible, the ratio of visible to X-ray photon flux is $\sim 10^{12}$, implying that only one X-ray photon is emitted from the rings for every 10^{12} visible photons.

The present study suggests that Saturn's rings shine in X-rays due to scattered solar radiation. The rings of Saturn now join the list of other solar system objects (like Mars, Venus, the Moon, and the nonauroral disks of Jupiter, Saturn, and Earth) that glow in soft X-rays via the scattering of solar X-ray radiation (e.g., Dennerl 2002; Dennerl et al. 2002; Ness et al. 2004; Wargelin et al. 2004; Bhardwaj et al. 2005a, 2005b; see also Bhardwaj et al. 2002). The Ion and Neutral Mass Spectrometer and the *Cassini* Plasma Spectrometer on *Cassini* have recently discovered oxygen ions over the rings, suggesting a tenuous ring oxygen atmosphere likely produced by the solar ultraviolet photon-induced decomposition of water ice (Johnson et al. 2004; Waite et al. 2005; Young et al. 2005). Thus, recent *Cassini* and *Chandra* observations suggest that solar UV–X-ray radiation plays an important role in the physical and chemical processes in the rings of Saturn.

This research was performed while A. Bhardwaj held a National Research Council Senior Resident Research Associateship at the NASA Marshall Space Flight Center. This work is based on observations obtained with *Chandra X-Ray Observatory* and was supported by a grant from the *Chandra X-ray Center*.

REFERENCES

- Bhardwaj, A., Elsner, R. F., Waite, J. H., Jr., Gladstone, G. R., Cravens, T. E., & Ford, P. G. 2005a, *ApJ*, 624, L121
- Bhardwaj, A., et al. 2002, in *Proc. 36th ESLAB Symp., Earth-like Planets and Moons*, ed. B. Foing & B. Battrick (ESA SP-514; Noordwijk: ESA), 215
- . 2005b, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L03S08
- Cuzzi, J. N., & Estrada, P. R. 1998, *Icarus*, 132, 1
- Cuzzi, J. N., et al. 2002, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 104, 209
- Dennerl, K. 2002, *A&A*, 394, 1119
- Dennerl, K., Burwitz, V., Englhauser, J., Lisse, C., & Wolk, S. 2002, *A&A*, 386, 319
- Elsner, R. F., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 572, 1077
- . 2005, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, A01207
- Esposito, L. W., Cuzzi, J. N., Holberg, J. B., Marouf, E. A., Tyler, G. L., & Porco, C. C. 1984, in *Saturn*, ed. T. Gehrels & M. S. Matthews (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press), 463
- French, R. G., & Nicholson, P. D. 2000, *Icarus*, 145, 502
- Goertz, C. K., & Morfill, G. 1983, *Icarus*, 53, 219
- Gurnett, D. A., et al. 2005, *Science*, 307, 1255
- Henke, B. L., Gullikson, E. M., & Davis, J. C. 1993, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables*, 54, 181
- Horányi, M., Hartquist, T. W., Havnes, O., Mendis, D. A., & Morfill, G. E. 2004, *Rev. Geophys.*, 42, RG4002
- Johnson, R. E., Carlson, R. W., Cooper, J. F., Paranicas, C., Moore, M. H., & Wong, M. C. 2004, in *Jupiter*, ed. F. Bagenal, T. E. Dowling, & W. B. McKinnon (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 485
- Krasnopolsky, V. A. 1997, *Icarus*, 128, 368
- Krause, M. O. 1979, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 8, 307
- Krimigis, S. M., et al. 2005, *Science*, 307, 1270
- McGhee, C. A., French, R. G., Dones, L., Cuzzi, J. N., Salo, H., & Danos, R. 2005, *Icarus*, 173, 508
- Ness, J.-U., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., Wolk, S. J., Dennerl, K., & Burwitz, V. 2004, *A&A*, 418, 337
- Salo, H., & Karjalainen, R. 2003, *Icarus*, 164, 428
- Waite, J. H., Jr., et al. 2005, *Science*, 307, 1260
- Wargelin, B. J., Markevitch, M., Juda, M., Kharchenko, V., Edgar, R., & Dalgarno, A. 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 596
- Young, D. T., et al. 2005, *Science*, 307, 1262