

The double odyssey of Madagascan polystome flatworms leads to new insights on the origins of their amphibian hosts

Olivier Verneau^{1,*}, Louis H. Du Preez², Véronique Laurent¹,
Liliane Raharivololoniaina³, Frank Glaw⁴ and Miguel Vences⁵

¹UMR 5244 CNRS-EPHE-UPVD, Biologie et Ecologie Tropicale et Méditerranéenne, Parasitologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive, Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, 66860 Perpignan Cedex, France

²School of Environmental Sciences and Development, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

³Département de Biologie Animale, Université d'Antananarivo, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar

⁴Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Münchhausenstrasse 21, 81247 München, Germany

⁵Division of Evolutionary Biology, Zoological Institute, Technical University Braunschweig, Spielmannstrasse 8, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

Polystomatid flatworms are parasites of high host specificity, which mainly infect amphibian hosts. Only one polystome species has so far been recorded from Madagascar despite the high species richness and endemism of amphibians on this island. Out of the 86 screened Malagasy frog species, we recovered polystomes from 25 in the families Ptychadenidae and Mantellidae. Molecular phylogenetic analysis uncovered an unexpected diversity of polystome species belonging to two separate clades: one forming a lineage within the genus *Metapolystoma*, with one species in *Ptychadena* and several species in the mantellid host genera *Aglyptodactylus* and *Boophis*; and the second corresponding to an undescribed genus that was found in the species of the subfamily Mantellinae in the family Mantellidae. The phylogenetic position of the undescribed genus along with molecular dating suggests that it may have colonized Madagascar in the Late Mesozoic or Early Cainozoic. By contrast, the more recent origin of *Metapolystoma* in Madagascar at ca 14–2 Myr ago strongly suggests that the ancestors of *Ptychadena mascareniensis* colonized Madagascar naturally by overseas dispersal, carrying their *Metapolystoma* parasites. Our findings provide a striking example of how parasite data can supply novel insights into the biogeographic history of their hosts.

Keywords: Madagascar; Amphibia; Mantellidae; Ptychadenidae; Polystomatidae; biogeography

1. INTRODUCTION

Ever since Myers *et al.* (2000) first identified 25 geographical areas worldwide which qualify as 'hot spots' for biodiversity conservation, Madagascar has repeatedly been ranked high in terms of species diversity, endemism and threats to its fauna and flora. This island has been separated from Africa in the west for ca 165–158 Myr (Rabinowitz *et al.* 1983; Briggs 2003) and for ca 96–84 Myr from India in the east (Storey *et al.* 1995; Briggs 2003), and its biota has thus evolved under isolation for an extended period of time (Goodman & Benstead 2003; Glaw & Vences 2007).

In the last decade, Madagascar and surrounding landmasses are one of the regions that have inspired a major paradigm shift in historical biogeography: the predominance of vicariance explanations of disjunct distributions on different landmasses has shifted to a resurrection of trans-oceanic dispersal as an alternative scenario of equal standing (De Queiroz 2005; McGlone 2005). This shift was mainly triggered by the possibility of reconstructing

phylogenetic relationships of organisms, and estimating their ages or divergence, using molecular methods.

In Madagascar, a Cainozoic dispersal from Africa is now considered as the most probable origin for a variety of endemic lineages, whereas others may have reached the island from South America via Antarctica in the Late Cretaceous (Krause *et al.* 1997; Case 2002; Vences *et al.* 2003; Poux *et al.* 2005; Noonan & Chippindale 2006; Yoder & Nowak 2006). Novel data, resulting from the constant refinement of methods that calibrate and calculate molecular clocks, also indicate that some lineages, such as cichlid fishes, may indeed represent old Gondwanan elements (Azuma *et al.* 2008).

Despite a wealth of phylogenetic data published on the amphibians of Madagascar (Bossuyt & Milinkovitch 2001; Vences *et al.* 2003; Andreone *et al.* 2004; Van der Meijden *et al.* 2004, 2005, 2007; Glaw *et al.* 2006; Wollenberg *et al.* 2007, 2008; Kurabayashi *et al.* 2008), their biogeographic origins are still incompletely known. Madagascar harbours no salamanders or caecilians, whereas six lineages of neobatrachian ranoid frogs are present. These lineages differ in species diversity and altogether comprise approximately 240 described species, all but one native and endemic to Madagascar. Molecular age estimates are unambiguous in suggesting that the hyperoliid genus *Heterixalus* originated by

* Author for correspondence (verneau@univ-perp.fr).

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1530> or via <http://journals.royalsociety.org>.

overseas dispersal from Africa 30–19 Myr ago (Vences *et al.* 2003), and it is almost certain that the dicroglossid *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* was introduced by humans from India (Guibé 1953; Kosuch *et al.* 2001). On the contrary, it remains disputed which odysseys account for the arrival of (i) the ancestors of microhylids and mantellids and (ii) the Ptychadenid *Ptychadena mascareniensis* on Madagascar. Molecular data estimate ages (including confidence intervals) of stem splits for the two lineages of microhylids and for mantellids, ca 112–49 Myr ago (Vences *et al.* 2003; Bossuyt *et al.* 2006; Van Bocxlaer *et al.* 2006; Van der Meijden *et al.* 2007). It has been hypothesized that they reached Madagascar in a mass-concerted dispersal event at the end of the Cretaceous or in the Palaeocene (Van Bocxlaer *et al.* 2006), at least in the case of mantellids that originated from Asia owing to their nested position in a largely Asian clade of frogs (Van der Meijden *et al.* 2005). On the other hand, *P. mascareniensis* was considered an introduced species in Madagascar until molecular data indicated large genetic variability among Malagasy populations, and strong divergence from African populations (Vences *et al.* 2004; Measey *et al.* 2007). Although their origin is considered to stem from recent transoceanic dispersal, the available data are not fully conclusive owing to incomplete sampling from Africa.

Coevolution patterns in close parasite–host associations are one example of independent datasets that can most convincingly contribute to biogeographic reconstructions. In amphibians, a particularly close association exists within a group of parasitic platyhelminths of the class Monogenea, the polystomes. In addition to amphibians, these worms infect hosts such as the Australian lungfish, freshwater turtles and the African hippopotamus. They are globally distributed and probably arose during the ecological transition between actinopterygians and sarcopterygians, ca 425 Myr ago (Verneau *et al.* 2002). Although a number of host switches need to be assumed in their evolution, polystomes usually are very host specific. In fact, a molecular phylogeny as well as molecular estimates of divergence times has been found to be in accordance with the sequence of break-up and fragmentation of Gondwanaland (Badets *et al.* submitted). Ancestral polystome lineages have been successively isolated in the Australian plate, Indian subcontinent and finally in African and South American continents. Badets *et al.* (submitted) concluded that the presence of polystome parasites in specific anuran host clades, and in discrete geographical areas, may track the occurrence and radiation of amphibians over ancient and recent geological periods.

To date only a single polystome species, *Metapolystoma brygoonis*, has been found from an amphibian in Madagascar, i.e. *P. mascareniensis* (Euzet & Combes 1964). Here we report a spectacular and previously unknown diversity of Malagasy polystomes based on new samples obtained from over 20 mantellid frog species. The molecular phylogenetic relationships of these parasites indicate their dual colonization of Madagascar. We argue that the host association and relationships of these parasites favour a natural origin of *P. mascareniensis* in Madagascar and may hold the key to fully understand the biogeographic origins of the Mantellidae.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) *Sampling of hosts and parasites*

Frogs were collected at night using flashlights. Field collections were carried out in February–March 2005, 2006 and 2007, to coincide with peak anuran activity periods during the rainy season. A variety of species were collected in diverse localities, including Ranomafana and Isalo National Parks, Ambohitantely Special Reserve, the Ankaratra Massif and the surroundings of Andasibe and An'Ala. Host classification follows recent revisions (Glaw & Vences 2006, 2007; Glaw *et al.* 2006).

Frogs were anaesthetized using ethyl-4-aminobenzoate (MS222), and dissected using a field dissecting microscope in order to check for the presence of polystome flatworms in the urinary bladder. Specimens earmarked for genetic analyses were preserved in 95 per cent ethanol. We altogether recovered parasites from 25 out of the total 86 species of Malagasy frogs screened for polystomes, and they all belonged to the Ptychadenidae and Mantellidae. Thus far we have found no polystomes from Malagasy hyperoliids or microhylid hosts. Based on their morphological features, the parasites were assigned to two different genera, *Metapolystoma* and an undescribed new genus herein referred to as 'Madapolystoma'. A formal description of this genus, including adequate morphological data, is going to be published separately; by setting the name 'Madapolystoma' in quotation marks and not in italics we use it as a conditional name in the sense of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature and thus do not coin a nomenclaturally available new name herein.

About 14 polystome specimens from 12 Malagasy host species were included in the molecular analysis. The dataset was complemented with 23 species of the genera *Eupolystoma*, *Metapolystoma*, *Polystoma*, *Wetapolystoma*, *Diplorchis* and *Parapolystoma* (see the electronic supplementary material, appendix A), most of them from previous molecular studies and representative of global polystome diversity in neobatrachian hosts (Bentz *et al.* 2006; Badets *et al.* submitted). Finally, in order to explore the phylogenetic relationships within Madagascan *Metapolystoma* in more detail, we analysed five individuals of four *Metapolystoma* species and three individuals of two African *Polystoma* species from partial mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences.

(b) *Molecular methods*

Specimens were dried and incubated for 1.5 hours at 55°C in 100 µl of Chelex 10 per cent and Proteinase K (final concentration 1 mg ml⁻¹). Extraction reactions were stopped at 100°C for 15 min and DNA preserved at -20°C until use. We amplified the complete 18S rRNA gene in two overlapping fragments of approximately 1 kb each, with the forward F18: 5'-ACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTAG-3' and reverse 18RG, 5'-CTCTCTTAACCATTTACTTTCGG-3' primers for the 5' terminal end and the forward 18F3: 5'-GGACGG CATGTTTACTTTGA-3' and reverse IR5: 5'-TACG GAAACCTTGTTACGAC-3' primers for the 3' terminal end; and a portion of the 28S rRNA gene in two overlapping fragments of approximately 1 kb and 500 bp, respectively, with the forward LSU5': 5'-TAGGTCGACCCGCTGAAYT TAAGCA-3' and reverse IR14: 5'-CATGTTAAACTCC TTGGTCCG-3' primers for the 5' terminal end and the forward IF15: 5'-GTCTGTGGCGTAGTGGTAGAC-3' and reverse LSU3': 5'-TAGAAGCTTCTGAGGGAA

ACTTCGG-3' primers for the 3' terminal end. COI was amplified with the forward L-CO1p, 5'-TTTTTTGGGCA TCCTGAGGTTTAT-3' and reverse H-Cox1p2, 5'-TAAA GAAAGAACATAATGAAAATG-3' primers (Littlewood *et al.* 1997), yielding a PCR product of approximately 400 bp. PCRs for all 18S, 28S and COI fragments were conducted following the same amplification procedure: one initial step of 5' at 95°C for long denaturation; 35 cycles of 1' at 95°C for denaturation, 2' at 48°C for annealing and 2' at 72°C for elongation; one final step of 10' at 72°C for terminal elongation. Each PCR reaction was repeated three times in a final volume of 25 µl with 3 µl of genomic DNA and PCR reagents of the kit GoTaq FlexiDNA Polymerase from Promega (Charbonnières-les-Bains, France) according to the supplier recommendations. PCR products were subsequently purified with the kit Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System of Promega and sent to Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) for sequencing. The F18-18RG PCR portion was sequenced with the reverse 18RC: 5'-TACGAGCTTTTAACTGCAG-3' and 18RG primers while the 18F3-IR5 portion was sequenced with the Forward 18F3 and S1: 5'-ATTCCGATAACGAAC GAGACT-3' primers. The LSU5'-IR14 PCR fragment was sequenced with the reverse IR13: 5'-GTCGTGGCTTA CACCCTGAGG-3' and IR14 primers while the IF15-LSU3' fragment was sequenced with the forward IF15 primer. COI was sequenced with both PCR primers. Newly determined sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers FM897262 to FM897301.

(c) Sequence analysis

Sequences were first corrected for reading errors with the software SEQUENCHER 4.5 of Gene Codes Corporation and then individually aligned with related sequences using the command ED of the MUST package (Philippe 1993) and each observed substitution was verified. Finally, the 18S and 28S sequences were aligned manually with the program ED with all existing sequences selected for phylogenetic analyses. 18S and 28S alignments were visually inspected and gap penalties were introduced when necessary to optimize sequence similarities. Blocks of indels were preferred to multiple single events in the most variable regions considering that one gap of several deletions was *a priori* more likely than several gaps of one deletion each. Gaps and ambiguous aligned regions were excluded when estimating molecular divergences within the 28S rRNA gene, as well as for the phylogenetic analyses.

As the polystome species from Madagascar have not yet been taxonomically evaluated, we calculated uncorrected pairwise divergences (*p*-distances) based on the 28S rDNA sequences, using PAUP* v. 4.0b9 (Swofford 2002) as an estimate of their genetic and species diversity in the light of the proposed molecular level of polystome species delineation by Du Preez *et al.* (2007).

Phylogenetic reconstructions were performed with PAUP* v. 4.0b9 (Swofford 2002) from a concatenated set of the DNA sequences of the two genes (18S and partial 28S). We performed a maximum-parsimony (MP) heuristic search with random addition of taxa (10 replicates) and tree bisection reconnection (TBR) on all 420 equally weighted informative characters. The robustness of nodes was evaluated through a non-parametric bootstrap analysis with 1000 pseudo-replicates. The most appropriate model of sequence evolution for maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis was selected from the hierarchical likelihood ratio tests

implemented in the program MODELTEST v. 3.06 (Posada & Crandall 1998): GTR+I+ Γ , with empirically determined substitution rates ([A,C]=0.8843; [A,G]=6.8568; [A,T]=1.6346; [C,G]=0.7436; [C,T]=4.5578; [G,T]=1.0000) and nucleotide frequencies (Pi [A]=0.2546; Pi [C]=0.1981; Pi [G]=0.2673; Pi [T]=0.2800), a proportion of invariable sites of 0.6543 and a shape parameter of $\alpha=0.8063$. The ML analysis and ML parametric bootstrapping was performed on 3402 characters in PAUP*, following a heuristic procedure under the TBR and nearest-neighbour interchange branch swapping options, respectively. Bayesian inference (BI) was conducted using the software MRBAYES v. 3.04b (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001), with four chains running for a million generations, sampling each 100 cycles. The first 1000 trees were removed as the burn-in phase upon empirical evaluation. The 50 per cent majority rule consensus tree was computed on the last 9000 trees to obtain the Bayesian posterior probability (BPP) for each association. For MP, ML and BI analyses, sequences of *Diplorchis ranae* and *Parapolystoma bulliense* were used as outgroups according to the phylogenetic analyses of Badets *et al.* (submitted).

Molecular relationships within *Metapolystoma* were inferred from neighbour-joining (NJ) analysis (*p*-distance) on the 358 characters sequenced for COI.

(d) Molecular estimates of divergence times

The MULTIDISTRIBUTE package (Thorne *et al.* 1998; Thorne & Kishino 2002) was used to infer divergence times among polystomes following Rutschmann (2005). The topology used as support for molecular calibrations corresponded to the phylogenetic tree inferred from the ML analysis, except for *Polystoma gallieni* that was placed basal to the African *Polystoma* and *Metapolystoma* lineage according to Bentz *et al.* (2001, 2006), and *M. brygoonis*, i.e. the polystome of *P. mascareniensis*, which we arbitrarily placed basal within the Madagascan *Metapolystoma* group in order to break polytomies. ML parameters were estimated under the F84+ Γ model with BASEML (Yang 1997) and ML branch lengths and their variance-covariance matrices were calculated with ESTBRANCHES. Rates of molecular evolution and divergence times with their 95% confidence intervals were estimated using MULTIDIVTIME using the Markov chain Monte Carlo approach.

Owing to the lack of fossil calibrations for polystomes, two calibration points were deduced from the biogeographic scenario suggested by Bentz *et al.* (2001, 2006) and Badets *et al.* (submitted). Firstly, the root prior was set at 160 Myr ago (s.d. ± 5 Myr), corresponding to an initial divergence separating Asian and Australian polystomes from all other neobatrachian polystomes (figure 1), hypothetically corresponding to a separation of the western and eastern components of Gondwanaland. Secondly, the divergence of the lineage associating Eurasian and African *Polystoma* and *Metapolystoma* from their closest New World relatives was constrained between 65 and 56 Myr ago, reflecting vertebrate exchanges between the two Americas in the Palaeocene (Gayet *et al.* 1992) and possible dispersal to Eurasia via Beringia. Thirdly, the divergence between *P. gallieni* and African *Polystoma* and *Metapolystoma* was constrained between 25 and 5 Myr ago, reflecting the hypothesized ages of dispersal routes between Eurasia and Africa (Rage 1988; Bentz *et al.* 2001; Badets *et al.* submitted).




Figure 1. Best maximum likelihood (ML) tree inferred from the analysis of complete 18S and partial 28S sequences. Abbreviations in brackets refer to host species, from top to bottom: B. m., *Boophis madagascariensis*; B. o., *Boophis occidentalis*; A. m., *Aglyptodactylus madagascariensis*; B. d., *Boophis doulioti*; L. m., *Leptodactylus mystaceus*; M. e., *Mantella expectata*; B. w., *Blommersia wittei*; Gu. l., *Guibemantis liber*; B. b., *Blommersia blommersae*; M. b., *Mantella baroni*; B. d., *Blommersia domerguei*; G. s., *Gephyromantis sculpturatus*; R. o., *Rhacophorus omeimontis*; R. v., *Rhacophorus viridis*. Values above or below branches indicate, respectively, maximum parsimony (MP) and ML bootstrap proportions (BPs) after 1000 replicates and asterisks refer to Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPPs) superior to 0.95. The two Malagasy polystome radiations are in brown boxes. Inset photos show *M. brygoonis* and *M. sp. 2* with their host species, *P. mascareniensis* and *Boophis madagascariensis*; and two undescribed species of 'Madapolystoma' with their host species, *Mantella madagascariensis* (not included in this analysis, but one of the few host species that yielded a mature 'Madapolystoma') and *G. liber*. The inset tree shows relationships among some Malagasy *Metapolystoma* based on partial COI sequences, indicating the distinct genetic differentiation and putative basal position of *M. brygoonis* from the host species *P. mascareniensis* relative to the specimens recovered from mantellid hosts.

3. RESULTS

(a) Uncovering unknown species diversity in Malagasy polystomes

The encountered parasites belonged to two morphologically clearly distinct groups, one corresponding to the genus *Metapolystoma* and the second to an undescribed

genus ('Madapolystoma'). *p*-distances between polystomes from different hosts from 1384 unambiguously aligned positions of 28S revealed, within the Malagasy *Metapolystoma* group, genetic distances ranging from 0.15 per cent (between the parasites of *Aglyptodactylus madagascariensis* and *Boophis doulioti*) to 1.0 per cent




Figure 2. Ultrametric tree inferred from MULTIDIVTIME. Arrows indicate the calibration points used for molecular dating (§2). Means of node estimates are given with their standard deviation and 95% confidence intervals. Node A, 139.4 ± 6.9 (152.2–124.9); node B, 116.2 ± 10.0 (134.6–95.6); node C, 63.3 ± 13.0 (89.8–39.9); node D, 13.5 ± 3.0 (19.8–8.0); node E, 8.6 ± 2.5 (14.2–4.3); node F, 5.4 ± 1.9 (9.8–2.3). Abbreviations in brackets are as given in the legend of figure 1.

(between the parasites of *Boophis madagascariensis* and *P. mascareniensis*). Within 'Madapolystoma', divergences ranged from 1.5 per cent (between parasites from *Mantella baroni* and *Blommersia domerguei*) to 6.0 per cent (between parasites from *B. domerguei* and *Mantella expectata*). Two specimens recovered from the same host (*G. liber*) had identical sequences, and two other specimens recovered from *Gephyromantis sculpturatus* diverged by 1.3 per cent.

(b) Double phylogenetic origin of Malagasy polystomes

The MP analysis yielded 28 equal most parsimonious trees with a length of 978 steps and a consistency index of 0.557. Differences between these were restricted to the relationships among the Eurafriatic *Polystoma*–*Metapolystoma* lineage and the two American polystome groups on one hand and the relationships within 'Madapolystoma' on the other. The topology of the best ML tree (tree score = 11763.5752) was very similar to

the MP and Bayesian consensus trees. Here we therefore depict only the phylogenetic relationships of polystomes as inferred from the ML analysis with bootstrap proportions (BP) assessed from MP and ML, and BPPs (figure 1).

Phylogenetic relationships within polystomes indicate with high support values the basal position of *P. indicum* (BPP = 1.00 and BP = 95% and 98% in MP and ML, respectively). Two further clades receiving strong support associate (i) *Eupolystoma* and 'Madapolystoma' (1.00, 98%, 100%) and (ii) the American, Eurasian and African *Polystoma*, *Metapolystoma* and *Wetapolystoma* (BPP = 1.00; BP = 100% in MP and ML). *Metapolystoma* is monophyletic and nested within Eurafriatic *Polystoma* (1.00, 100%, 100%). Thus, Malagasy polystomes clustered into two unrelated and robust monophyletic groups, i.e. 'Madapolystoma' and *Metapolystoma*, each related to different non-Malagasy taxa. 'Madapolystoma' is the sister group of *Eupolystoma* and the Malagasy *Metapolystoma* are monophyletic (1.00, 95%, 93%) and sister to the African *Metapolystoma cachani* (1.00, 100%, 100%). The NJ

analysis that was performed from COI sequences indicates with moderate bootstrap values (75%) that *M. brygoonis* is basal within the Malagasy *Metapolystoma*, but an MP analysis with the same dataset did not provide relevant support for this topology.

(c) *Radically different ages for Malagasy polystome radiations*

Divergence time estimates were calculated for six major nodes that are relevant for understanding the origins of Malagasy polystomes (figure 2). According to our analysis, *Eupolystoma* and the Malagasy endemic 'Madapolystoma' diverged *ca* 116 Myr ago (node B) and the first crown divergence in 'Madapolystoma' (node C) took place *ca* 63 Myr ago. *Metapolystoma* originated at *ca* 14 Myr ago (node D), started diversifying in Africa *ca* 9 Myr ago (node E), and the Malagasy lineage diverged at *ca* 5 Myr ago (node F). The two groups of Malagasy polystomes thus have radically different ages—Cretaceous versus Pliocene.

4. DISCUSSION

(a) *Taxonomy of Malagasy polystomes*

Our molecular data provide compelling evidence for the presence of two separate lineages of polystomes in Madagascar. The first of these, *Metapolystoma* has only been reported from the Afrotropical realm and so far was only known to infect grass frogs belonging to the genus *Ptychadena* in the family Ptychadenidae. The known species includes *M. brygoonis* from *P. mascareniensis* of Madagascar, and *M. cachani* and *M. porosissima* from African hosts *Ptychadena longirostris* and *P. porosissima*, respectively. The identification of parasites retrieved from species of *Ptychadena* and from mantellids of the genera *Boophis* and *Aglyptodactylus* (subfamilies Boophinae and Laliostominae) was morphologically unambiguous based on the extended uterus, midbody position of the ovary and the presence of hamuli, and was strongly supported by the molecular phylogeny.

On the other hand, polystome specimens recovered from mantellids of the subfamily Mantellinae differed significantly from all known polystome genera through a combination of characters. Based on the posteriorly positioned ovary, extended uterus and intrauterine development of eggs, it closely resembles the African and Indian polystome genus *Eupolystoma*, but unlike *Eupolystoma* it possesses hamuli. The assignment of these taxa to a new, undescribed genus is supported by the molecular data that place them as an ancient monophyletic group that is highly distinct from its closest relatives in the genus *Eupolystoma*.

Du Preez *et al.* (2007) suggested that a threshold of 0.07 per cent uncorrected pairwise divergence in the 28S gene is usually indicative of well-differentiated species in the genus *Polystoma*. If this threshold applies also to Malagasy polystomes, the molecular divergences we observed within *Metapolystoma* and 'Madapolystoma' suggest that most or all of the parasites recovered from different hosts are distinct species. This also supports a high host specificity of these parasites and with Madagascar's rich anuran diversity suggests the possible existence of many undiscovered polystome species from Madagascar.

(b) *Origins and evolution of Madagascan polystomes*

Our phylogenetic analysis provides unambiguous evidence for a double colonization of Madagascar by polystomes over geological time.

The 'Madapolystoma' odyssey: our data support the existence of an endemic Malagasy genus, 'Madapolystoma', that is the sister group of *Eupolystoma*. Using the measure of colonization time windows as proposed by Poux *et al.* (2005), i.e. using 95% confidence intervals of both stem and crown splits, the origin of 'Madapolystoma' in Madagascar is estimated at *ca* 135–40 Myr ago (Nodes B and C; figure 2).

A straightforward explanation for the origin of 'Madapolystoma' is not available, considering the convoluted host–parasite association in related genera. The 'Madapolystoma' hosts are all in the endemic Malagasy family Mantellidae, and restricted to the subfamily Mantellinae, which is characterized by derived reproductive modes with non-aquatic egg deposition. The closest relatives of mantellids are the rhacophorids (Bossuyt & Milinkovitch 2001; Van der Meijden *et al.* 2005; Roelants *et al.* 2007), found mostly in Asia, and probably the mantellid ancestor colonized Madagascar from there (Van der Meijden *et al.* 2007). However, polystomes recovered from rhacophorids do not belong to *Eupolystoma* but were basal *Polystoma* species such as *P. indicum*, without close affinities to 'Madapolystoma' (figure 1).

Eupolystoma includes only five species that have been reported from various host species of Africa and India (Du Preez *et al.* 2003). Some of them have been recorded from frogs in the family Bufonidae (genera *Amietophrynus* and *Schismaderma*), which belong to the hyloid lineage of neobatrachian frogs, phylogenetically distant from mantellids that are deeply nested in the ranoid lineage. Only two *Eupolystoma*, *E. alluaudi* and *E. rajai*, have been reported from ranoid hosts, namely *Pyxicephalus adspersus* (Pyxicephalidae) and *Rana* sp. (of uncertain taxonomic affinities, probably belonging to the present-day families Ranidae and Dicroglossidae). Neither of these anuran families (bufonids, pyxicephalids, ranids, nor dicroglossids) occur naturally in Madagascar and the dicroglossid species *H. tigerinus* was only recently introduced to this island (Guibé 1953). Considering this intricate situation, two alternative scenarios can be outlined that may account for the origin of 'Madapolystoma'.

As a first possibility, the 'Madapolystoma' ancestor may have been carried to Madagascar by hyloid frogs and switched to the mantellids *in situ*. Evans *et al.* (2008) recently published a spectacular giant anuran fossil, *Beelzebufo*, from the latest Cretaceous of Madagascar which, unlike all recent Malagasy frogs, appears to belong to the hyloids. This demonstrates that representatives of this major lineage have been present in the Mesozoic of Madagascar. However, the hypothesis of ancestry of 'Madapolystoma' in these early Malagasy hyloids is hampered by the hypothesized affinities of *Beelzebufo* with South American ceratophryids and not with bufonids. A historical presence and extinction of bufonids in Madagascar is very unlikely considering that these frogs are known to be very adaptive and strong competitors in relation to other anurans.

Alternatively, mantellid ancestors may have carried the ancestor of 'Madapolystoma' when colonizing Madagascar.

In this case, the presence of related parasites in rhacophorid frogs is to be expected. Although *Eupolystoma* is not known from recent rhacophorids, *E. rajai* and *E. alluaudi* demonstrate that these parasites can infect ranoid frogs. The split between 'Madapolystoma' and its closest *Eupolystoma* relatives would then correspond to the split between rhacophorids and mantellids at ca 86–56 Myr ago (Vences *et al.* 2003; Bossuyt *et al.* 2006; Van Bocxlaer *et al.* 2006; Van der Meijden *et al.* 2007), and these parasites probably went extinct in the lineages leading to laliostomine and boophine mantellids, and to recent rhacophorids.

Other hypotheses, such as an origin in microhylid hosts that colonized Madagascar simultaneously with mantellids (Van Bocxlaer *et al.* 2006) are not impossible, but unlikely, because no polystomes have so far been found in microhylids, neither in our screenings of Malagasy species nor in any other species of this cosmopolitan group. Even more unlikely is a colonization of Madagascar by 'Madapolystoma' via *Heterixalus*, an endemic genus that entered the island much more recently than mantellids (Vences *et al.* 2003). A crucial factor is the limited knowledge on polystomes from Asia, the Seychelles islands and especially India. A wider screening of the deep endemic frog lineages of India is badly needed. Particular emphasis should be placed on uncovering polystomes of rhacophorids. A hypothetical presence of *Eupolystoma* in South Asian rhacophorids would provide immediate and unambiguous support for the second scenario outlined above. The prediction is that these *Eupolystoma* would be phylogenetically sister to 'Madapolystoma', rendering *Eupolystoma* paraphyletic, which could provide an elegant explanation for an odyssey by the ancestors of 'Madapolystoma' and of mantellid frogs that lead to the colonization of Madagascar.

The *Metapolystoma* odyssey: Madagascan *Metapolystoma* include polystome species that were recovered from host species of two unrelated frog families (Ptychadenidae and Mantellidae). These two frog lineages are not closely related and their divergence dates back far into the Cretaceous or even Jurassic (Van der Meijden *et al.* 2005; Frost *et al.* 2006; Roelants *et al.* 2007). The time window (Poux *et al.* 2005) for the colonization of Madagascar by *Metapolystoma* is ca 14.2–2.3 Myr ago. Considering this apparently young age of *Metapolystoma*, a host switch is required in order to explain its presence in these two disparate frog groups. In this context, it is relevant that the mantellids found to be infected by *Metapolystoma* (in the subfamilies Boophinae and Laliostominae) are those that conserve a plesiomorphic reproductive mode, i.e. a mating amplexus with deposition of eggs directly into water, while species in the subfamily Mantellinae (hosting 'Madapolystoma'; see above) have a derived reproduction with non-aquatic egg deposition (Glaw & Vences 2006). Furthermore, at least two of the *Metapolystoma* host species identified (*A. madagascariensis* and *Boophis tephraeomystax*) breed in lentic water bodies and are often syntopic with *P. mascareniensis* which would favour a host switch.

The young age of Malagasy *Metapolystoma* also indicates that they diverged from their African relatives much more recently than mantellids diverged from their non-Malagasy relatives in the Late Cretaceous or Palaeocene (Vences *et al.* 2003; Van der Meijden *et al.* 2005; Bossuyt *et al.* 2006; Van Bocxlaer *et al.* 2006). Thus, it is not probable that

Metapolystoma was present in the mantellid ancestor colonizing Madagascar. The time frame of *Metapolystoma* origin leaves two host groups as candidates to have carried *Metapolystoma* to Madagascar: hyperoliids and ptychadenids. The ancestor giving rise to the Malagasy hyperoliid genus *Heterixalus* and the Seychellean genus *Tachycnemis* diverged from its African relatives at ca 30–19 Myr ago, which is older than the estimated *Metapolystoma* origin in Madagascar but at least falls within the 95% confidence interval of the origin of these parasites in Africa (figure 2). Thus far our screening of 99 individuals of six *Heterixalus* species yielded no evidence for infection. On the other hand, five polystome species are known from African hyperoliids. As polystome infections are known to be overdispersed, it cannot be excluded that polystomes will be discovered in Malagasy hyperoliids. Nevertheless, it remains true that hyperoliid hosts so far were found to contain only *Polystoma* and no *Metapolystoma* parasites.

On the contrary, ptychadenids are well-established *Metapolystoma* hosts (see above and electronic supplementary material, appendix A), and in the phylogeny *M. cachani*, from an African *Ptychadena* species, stands basal to the Malagasy *Metapolystoma* (figure 1). Our phylogeny was ambiguous regarding the placement of *M. brygoonis* relative to the *Metapolystoma* from mantellid hosts (figure 1). Although NJ analysis inferred from COI sequences favoured a basal position of *M. brygoonis* within Malagasy *Metapolystoma*, the MP analyses were not conclusive. More extensive molecular work with inclusion of additional genes is necessary to confirm whether *M. brygoonis* is indeed the most basal Malagasy *Metapolystoma*. In such a case, parsimony arguments would be unambiguous in indicating an origin of *Metapolystoma* from ptychadenid hosts. Nevertheless, such an origin is already now convincingly supported by (i) the evidence for a *Metapolystoma* divergence too recent to fit with other anuran colonization events of Madagascar and (ii) a parsimony attempt to minimize the number of host switches between genera. Our data thus support the hypothesis that *Ptychadena* carried *Metapolystoma* to Madagascar, with a secondary host switch to mantellid frogs that had already diversified on the island. Du Preez & Kok (1992) reported the susceptibility of the host genus *Ptychadena* as a host for polystome parasites. No less than 52 per cent of known African polystomes have been described from *Ptychadena* spp. and 26 per cent of known *Ptychadena* species harbour polystome parasites. Conversely, because *Metapolystoma* in various mantellid species represent different species with substantial genetic differentiation, this host switch must have occurred significantly prior to the colonization of Madagascar by humans, ca 2300 years ago. The polystome data thus provide additional support for a natural transoceanic colonization of Madagascar by the ancestor of *P. mascareniensis* (Vences *et al.* 2004; Measey *et al.* 2007).

Research was carried out at the BETM Laboratory at the University of Perpignan. Permit no. A66040 was obtained from Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Pêche and French Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie. Permits for fieldwork in Madagascar were obtained by M.V.

We are grateful to numerous colleagues, students and assistants for their help in the field, in particular to Parfait

Bora, James and Carol Patton, Roger-Daniel Randrianiaina, David R. Vieites and Che Weldon. We also thank Martins Aisien for providing specimens of *M. cachani* from Nigeria. The research was carried out in the framework of collaboration between the authors' institutions and the Département de Biologie Animale of the Université d'Antananarivo, and the Association Nationale pour la Gestion des Aires Protégées, ANGAP. We are grateful to the Malagasy authorities for providing research and export permits. Funding was provided through the CNRS (to O.V.), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (to M.V.) and the Volkswagen Foundation (to M.V., L.H. D.P., L.R. and F.G.).

REFERENCES

- Andreone, F., Vences, M., Vieites, D. R., Glaw, F. & Meyer, A. 2004 Recurrent ecological adaptations revealed through a molecular analysis of the secretive cophyline frogs of Madagascar. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **34**, 315–322. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.10.013)
- Azuma, Y., Kumazawa, Y., Miya, M., Mabuchi, K. & Nishida, M. 2008 Mitogenomic evaluation of the historical biogeography of cichlids toward reliable dating of teleostean divergences. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **8**, e215. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-8-215)
- Badets, M. *et al.* Submitted. Correlating vicariance of parasitic platyhelminths during Gondwanaland break-up to the early evolution of neobatrachian frogs.
- Bentz, S., Leroy, S., Du Preez, L., Mariaux, J., Vaucher, C. & Verneau, O. 2001 Origin and evolution of African *Polystoma* (Monogenea: Polystomatidae) assessed by molecular methods. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **31**, 697–705. (doi:10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00179-5)
- Bentz, S., Sinnappah-Kang, N. D., Lim, L. H. S., Lebedev, B., Combes, C. & Verneau, O. 2006 Historical biogeography of amphibian parasites, genus *Polystoma* (Monogenea: Polystomatidae). *J. Biogeogr.* **33**, 742–749. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01402.x)
- Bossuyt, F. & Milinkovitch, M. C. 2001 Amphibians as indicators of early tertiary 'Out-of-India' dispersal of vertebrates. *Science* **292**, 93–95. (doi:10.1126/science.1058875)
- Bossuyt, F., Brown, R. M., Hillis, D. M., Cannatella, D. C. & Milinkovitch, M. C. 2006 Phylogeny and biogeography of a cosmopolitan frog radiation: late Cretaceous diversification resulted in continent-scale endemism in the family Ranidae. *Syst. Biol.* **55**, 579–594. (doi:10.1080/10635150600812551)
- Briggs, J. C. 2003 The biogeographic and tectonic history of India. *J. Biogeogr.* **30**, 381–388. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00809.x)
- Case, J. A. 2002 A new biogeographical model for dispersal of Late Cretaceous vertebrates into Madagascar and India. *J. Vertebr. Paleont.* **22**(Suppl. 3), 42A.
- De Queiroz, A. 2005 The resurrection of oceanic dispersal in historical biogeography. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **20**, 68–73. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.11.006)
- Du Preez, L. H. & Kok, D. J. 1992 The frog genus *Ptychadena* as host for polystomatid (Monogenea) parasites in Africa. *J. Herpetol. Assoc. Afr.* **40**, 47–49.
- Du Preez, L. H., Tinsley, R. C. & De Sa, R. 2003 Polystomatidae (Monogenea) of Southern African Anura: *Eupolystoma vanasi* n. sp. parasitic in *Schismaderma carens* (Smith, 1848). *Syst. Parasitol.* **54**, 71–79. (doi:10.1023/A:1022169106845)
- Du Preez, L. H., Verneau, O. & Gross, T. S. 2007 *Polystoma floridana* n. sp. (Monogenea: Polystomatidae), a parasite in the green tree frog, *Hyla cinerea* (Schneider), of North America. *Zootaxa* **1663**, 33–45.
- Euzet, L. & Combes, C. 1964 Sur un Polystomatidae (Monogenea) récolté à Madagascar chez *Rana mascareniensis* Duméril et Bibon. *Bull. Soc. Geol. Fr.* **89**, 392–401.
- Evans, S. E., Jones, M. E. H. & Krause, D. W. 2008 A giant frog with South American affinities from the late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 2951–2956. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0707599105)
- Frost, D. R. *et al.* 2006 The amphibian tree of life. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **28**, 1–370. (doi:10.1206/0003-0090(2006)297[0001:TATOL]2.0.CO;2)
- Gayet, M., Rage, J. C., Sempere, T. & Gagnier, P. Y. 1992 Modalités des échanges de vertébrés continentaux entre l'Amérique du nord et l'Amérique du sud au Crétacé supérieur et au Paléocène. *Bull. Soc. Geol. Fr.* **163**, 781–791.
- Glaw, F. & Vences, M. 2006 Phylogeny and genus-level classification of mantellid frogs (Amphibia Anura). *Org. Divers. Evol.* **6**, 236–253. (doi:10.1016/j.ode.2005.12.001) (Electr. Suppl. 11, part 1: 1–3).
- Glaw, F. & Vences, M. 2007 *A field guide to the amphibians and reptiles of Madagascar*, 3rd edn. Cologne, Germany: Frosch Verlag.
- Glaw, F., Hoegg, S. & Vences, M. 2006 Discovery of a new basal relict lineage of Madagascan frogs and its implications for mantellid evolution. *Zootaxa* **1334**, 27–43.
- Goodman, S. M. & Benstead, J. P. 2003 *The natural history of Madagascar*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Guibé, J. 1953 Au sujet de l'introduction de *Rana tigerina* Daudin à Madagascar. *Nat. Malgache* **5**, 241–242.
- Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Ronquist, F. 2001 MrBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* **17**, 754–755. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754)
- Kosuch, J., Vences, M., Dubois, A., Ohler, A. & Böhme, W. 2001 Out of Asia: mitochondrial DNA evidence for an oriental origin of tiger frogs, genus *Hoplobatrachus*. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **21**, 398–407. (doi:10.1006/mpev.2001.1034)
- Krause, D. W., Prasad, G. V. R., von Koenigswald, W., Sahni, A. & Grine, F. E. 1997 Cosmopolitanism among Gondwanan Late Cretaceous mammals. *Nature* **390**, 504–507. (doi:10.1038/37343)
- Kurabayashi, A., Sumida, M., Yonekawa, H., Glaw, F., Vences, M. & Hasegawa, M. 2008 Phylogeny, recombination, and mechanisms of stepwise mitochondrial genome reorganization in mantellid frogs from Madagascar. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **25**, 874–891. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msn031)
- Littlewood, D. T. J., Rohde, K. & Clough, K. A. 1997 Parasite speciation within or between host species? Phylogenetic evidence from site-specific polystome monogeneans. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **27**, 1289–1297. (doi:10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00086-6)
- McGlone, M. S. 2005 Goodbye Gondwana. *J. Biogeogr.* **32**, 739–740. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01278.x)
- Measey, G. J., Vences, M., Drewes, R. C., Chiari, Y., Melo, M. & Bourles, B. 2007 Freshwater paths across the ocean: molecular phylogeny of the frog *Ptychadena newtoni* gives insights into amphibian colonization of oceanic islands. *J. Biogeogr.* **34**, 7–20. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01589.x)
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B. & Kent, J. 2000 Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* **403**, 853–858. (doi:10.1038/35002501)
- Noonan, B. P. & Chippindale, P. T. 2006 Vicariant origin of Malagasy reptiles supports Late Cretaceous Antarctic land bridge. *Am. Nat.* **168**, 730–741. (doi:10.1086/509052)
- Philippe, H. 1993 MUST, a computer package for management utilitarian for sequences and trees. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**, 5264–5272. (doi:10.1093/nar/21.22.5264)

- Posada, D. & Crandall, K. A. 1998 MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* **14**, 817–818. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817)
- Poux, C., Madsen, O., Marquard, E., Vieites, D. R., De Jong, W. W. & Vences, M. 2005 Asynchronous colonization of Madagascar by the four endemic clades of primates, tenrecs, carnivores, and rodents as inferred from nuclear genes. *Syst. Biol.* **54**, 719–730. (doi:10.1080/10635150500234534)
- Rabinowitz, P. D., Coffin, M. F. & Falvey, D. 1983 The separation of Madagascar and Africa. *Science* **220**, 67–69. (doi:10.1126/science.220.4592.67)
- Rage, J. C. 1988 Gondwana Tethys, and terrestrial vertebrates during the Mesozoic and Cainozoic. In *Gondwana and Tethys* (eds M. G. Audley-Charles & A. Hallam), pp. 255–273. London, UK: Geological Society Special Publication.
- Roelants, K., Gower, D. J., Wilkinson, M., Loader, S. P., Biju, S. D., Guillaume, K., Moriau, L. & Bossuyt, F. 2007 Global patterns of diversification in the history of modern amphibians. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 887–892. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0608378104)
- Rutschmann, F. 2005 Bayesian molecular dating using PAML/multidivtime. A step-by-step manual. University of Zurich: Zurich, Switzerland. (<http://www.plant.ch>)
- Storey, M., Mahoney, J. J., Saunders, A. D., Duncan, R. A., Kelley, S. P. & Coffin, M. F. 1995 Timing of hot spot-related volcanism and the breakup of Madagascar and India. *Science* **267**, 852–855. (doi:10.1126/science.267.5199.852)
- Swofford, D. L. 2002 *PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods)*, v. 4.0b9. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Thorne, J. L. & Kishino, H. 2002 Divergence time and evolutionary rate estimation with multilocus data. *Syst. Biol.* **51**, 689–702. (doi:10.1080/10635150290102456)
- Thorne, J. L., Kishino, H. & Painter, I. S. 1998 Estimating the rate of evolution of the rate of molecular evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **15**, 1647–1657.
- Van Bocxlaer, I., Roelants, K., Biju, S. D., Nagaraju, J. & Bossuyt, F. 2006 Late Cretaceous vicariance in Gondwanan amphibians. *PLoS ONE* **1**, 1–6. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000074)
- Van der Meijden, A., Vences, M. & Meyer, A. 2004 Novel phylogenetic relationships of the enigmatic brevicipitine and scaphiophrynine toads as revealed by sequences from the nuclear Rag-1 gene. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **271**, S378–S381. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2004.0196)
- Van der Meijden, A., Vences, M., Hoegg, S. & Meyer, A. 2005 A previously unrecognized radiation of ranid frogs in southern Africa revealed by nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **37**, 674–685. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2005.05.001)
- Van der Meijden, A., Vences, M., Hoegg, S., Boistel, R., Channing, A. & Meyer, A. 2007 Nuclear gene phylogeny of narrow-mouthed toads (family: Microhylidae) and a discussion of competing hypotheses concerning their biogeographical origins. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **44**, 1017–1030. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2007.02.008)
- Vences, M., Vieites, D. R., Glaw, F., Brinkmann, H., Kosuch, J., Veith, M. & Meyer, A. 2003 Multiple overseas dispersal in amphibians. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **270**, 2435–2442. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2516)
- Vences, M., Kosuch, J., Rödel, M. O., Lötters, S., Channing, A., Glaw, F. & Böhme, W. 2004 Phylogeography of *Ptychadena mascareniensis* suggests transoceanic dispersal in a widespread African-Malagasy frog lineage. *J. Biogeogr.* **31**, 593–601. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.01031.x)
- Verneau, O., Bentz, S., Sinnappah, N. D., Du Preez, L., Whittington, I. & Combes, C. 2002 A view of early vertebrate evolution inferred from the phylogeny of polystome parasites (Monogenea: Polystomatidae). *Proc. R. Soc. B* **269**, 535–543. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1899)
- Wollenberg, K. C., Glaw, F., Meyer, A. & Vences, M. 2007 Molecular phylogeny of Malagasy reed frogs *Heterixalus*, and the relative performance of bioacoustics and color-patterns for resolving their systematics. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **45**, 14–22. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2007.06.024)
- Wollenberg, K. C., Vieites, D. R., Van der Meijden, A., Glaw, F., Cannatella, D. C. & Vences, M. 2008 Patterns of endemism and species richness in Malagasy cophyline frogs support a key role of mountainous areas for speciation. *Evolution* **62**, 1890–1907. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00420.x)
- Yang, Z. 1997 PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* **13**, 555–556. (<http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/paml.html>)
- Yoder, A. D. & Nowak, M. D. 2006 Has vicariance or dispersal been the predominant biogeographic force in Madagascar? Only time will tell. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **37**, 405–431. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110239)