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Abstract

Understanding defect formation during laser additive manufacturing (LAM) of virgin, stored, and reused powders is crucial for the production of high quality additively manufactured parts. We investigate the effects of powder oxidation on the molten pool dynamics and defect formation during LAM. We compare virgin and oxidised Invar 36 powder under overhang and layer-by-layer build conditions using \textit{in situ} and \textit{operando} X-ray Imaging. The oxygen content of the oxidised powder was found to be ca. 6 times greater (0.343 wt.%) than the virgin powder (0.057 wt.%). During LAM, the powder oxide is entrained into the molten pool, altering the Marangoni convection from an inward centrifugal to an outward centripetal flow. We hypothesise that the oxide promotes pore nucleation, stabilisation, and growth. We observe that spatter occurs more frequently under overhang conditions compared to layer-by-layer conditions. Droplet spatter can be formed by indirect laser-driven gas expansion and by the laser-induced metal vapour at the melt surface. In layer-by-layer build conditions, laser re-melting reduces the pore size distribution and number density either by promoting gas release from keyholing or by inducing liquid flow, partially or completely filling pre-existing pores. We also observe that pores residing at the track surface can burst during laser re-melting, resulting in either
formation of droplet spatter and an open pore or healing of the pore via Marangoni flow. This study
confirms that excessive oxygen in the powder feedstock may cause defect formation in LAM.
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1. Introduction

Laser additive manufacturing (LAM) selectively fuses powder particles together using a focused laser beam, layer-by-layer, to build up complex 3D objects [1]. It offers great promise in aerospace, nuclear fusion, and energy storage applications [2]; however, the uptake of LAM technologies in these areas has been hindered by inconsistent part performance. Specifically, the mechanical [3,4], thermal and electrical properties [5] of additive manufactured components have been lower than wrought components due to the accumulation of residual stresses [6] and the presence of defects, such as porosity [7,8], balling [9], and cracks [10].

Defect formation mechanisms are difficult to characterise due to the timescale of the laser-matter interaction (10^{-6}–10^{-3} s) [11]. Although computer simulations can provide some physical understanding of the additive manufacturing (AM) processes [12], they require experimental data for model validation and verification, especially with regards to the molten pool and defect dynamics. Some data can be collected using in situ monitoring devices installed on AM systems [13,14]. However, these devices are unable to reveal dynamic behaviour inside the molten pool or melt track (e.g., the evolution of porosity and lack of fusion defect) while forming a single layer or multi-layer tracks. Zhao et al. [15] studied the molten pool dynamics and phase transformation inside a weld pool during laser powder bed fusion (LBPF) using synchrotron X-ray imaging and diffraction. Calta et al. [16] extended this observing pore formation and phase transformation during LBPF of a single layer track. Guo et al. [17] elucidated the dynamics of powder spatter during LBPF for a single layer track. Leung et al. [7,8] revealed and quantified defect and molten pool dynamics during LAM of single and dual-layer tracks across a wide range of overhang conditions. However, to date, there have been no in situ studies examining the molten pool and defect dynamics and other thermophysical phenomena taking place during LAM of virgin and oxidised powders.

Prior ex situ studies have shown that LAM of oxidised powder can induce defects, e.g. porosity and cracks [18–21], decrease the powder flowability resulting in poor powder packing density [22], reduce
the wettability of the molten pool resulting in balling [19,23], and increase the surface roughness of the
part [18], impairing the overall mechanical properties [20,24]. Understanding the effects of oxygen (or
oxide) in the powder feedstock on AM processes would help developing mitigation strategies to
minimise defect generation when processing stored, reused and highly reactive powders, e.g. Al-, Mg-
and Ti-based alloys. This is because the stored or reused powder can pick up oxygen from powder
handling and the storage environment [22] while the highly reactive powders may oxidise during use.
At present, it remains unclear how oxides affect the molten pool and defect dynamics and how they
give rise to poor build quality.

Although there is a link between powder oxidation and defect formation, there are many hypotheses
on the causes and formation of closed pores in AM, including 1) powder contamination [25], 2) coating
defects [26,27], 3) the presence of carbon [28], hydrogen [29,30] and oxide inclusion [18] in the molten
pool, 4) internal gas porosity from the powder [28,29,31], 5) keyhole collapse [32] and 6) gas entrapment
during laser melting [33]. Furthermore, there are a few studies on the formation of irregular [8,18,28,34]
and open pores [7,8,31]. Experiments are required to confirm the aforementioned mechanisms and to
establish the conditions under which they are active.

Powder spatter [4,17,35,36] and droplet spatter [4,37] are two other common defects found in LAM.
They influence the resultant porosity [3,31,38] and surface finish [3] of AM parts. They may also lead to
powder bed contamination, improper powder spreading, and damage to the AM system. [4,39–41]

Powder spatter [4] contributes to powder denudation at the laser-matter interaction zone. [35] It is
induced by the interactions between metal vapour and Bernoulli effect-driven gas flow, i.e. metal
vapour-driven particle entrainment. [35,36] Bidare et al. [42] correlated the evolution of spatter with the
direction of the metallic plume generated from LAM. Quo et al. [17] postulated that the amount of powder
spatter increases with increasing environmental pressure and layer thickness in LBPF [7].

Droplet spatter can form when there is a molten pool instability due to local boiling or melt
evaporation [31,43,44], accumulation of recoil pressure [4,36,45], combining with the melt flow
acceleration by Marangoni convection, resulting in a stream of liquid ejecting in a vertical direction.
[4,35,44–47] It can also be formed as individual powder spatter [36] or as powder agglomerates passing
through the laser beam and melting [7,36].
Here, our aim is to find out how different levels of powder oxidation affect the AM process, including its impact on the melt pool dynamics and defect formation. To do this, we use in situ and operando synchrotron X-ray imaging to follow the LAM process in real time. We examine the effects of powder oxidation by studying LAM using virgin and oxidised (stored for ca. 1 year) Invar 36 powder feedstock. Our results reveal how the oxide reverses Marangoni flow, directly affecting how different types of defects form.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Powder characterisation

The morphology and chemical composition of gas atomised (GA) virgin and oxidised Invar 36 powder (TLS Technik GmbH & Co. Spezialpulver KG, Germany) and a virgin GA Invar 36 powder (Goodfellow Inc., UK) were characterised by a JEOL JSM-6610LV SEM equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). The particle size distribution was extracted using SEM images and Image Processing Toolbox in MATLAB 2016a (The MathWorks Inc, USA). X-ray Diffraction (XRD) was performed on the Invar 36 powder (TLS Technik GmbH & Co. Spezialpulver KG, Germany) using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro MPD series automated spectrometer (Malvern Instruments, UK) with a CuKα radiation (λ =1.541Å) at 40kV and 40mA, a 2θ scanning range (degrees) from 10° to 100° with a step size of 0.03°, and a count rate of 50 s per step. After XRD, we performed phase identification in Profex [48]. The O, N, H, and moisture content of the virgin and oxidised Invar 36 powder was measured by an inert gas fusion infra-red absorption (IGF-IR) method (ONH836, Leco cooperation, USA). We performed the IGF-IR test on the Invar 36 powder (TLS Technik GmbH & Co. Spezialpulver KG, Germany) after second build (B2) and virgin Invar 36 powder (Goodfellow Inc., UK) as a reference powder. We performed 5 tests per powder condition and each test required 5 g of powder.

The chemical states of the virgin powder and oxidised powder were examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (Nexsa Surface Analysis System, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). Both powders were characterised using a micro-focused monochromatic Al X-ray source (72 W) over an area of ca. 400 x 400 μm². The XPS survey was conducted at a pass energy of 200 eV with a step size of 0.1 eV and a dwell time at 10 ms. High-resolution scans were conducted at a pass energy of 40 eV with a step size of 0.1eV and a dwell time of 50 ms. Charge neutralisation of
the sample was achieved using a combination of low energy electrons and \( \text{Ar}^+ \) ions. The experiment was performed at an argon partial pressure of \( 10^{-8} \) Torr in the \( x-y \) scan mode at ion acceleration of 3 kV and ion beam current density of 1 \( \mu \)A mm\(^{-2} \). Binding energies were referenced to adventitious carbon at 284.8 eV, with peak fitting undertaken using CasaXPS version 2.3.15 (Casa Software Ltd, UK). The multiple-peaks fitting for Fe 2p and Ni 2p high-resolution scans were assigned based on prior work from Biesinger et al. [49]. The overlayer thickness (oxides/hydroxide) is calculated based on the Strohmeier’s equation [50]:

\[
d = (\lambda_{avg} \sin \theta \ln\left(\frac{N_M \lambda_M (I_{oxide}+I_{hydroxide})}{N_{avg} \lambda_{avg} I_M}\right) + 1) \text{ Equation 1}
\]

where \( \theta \) is the photoelectron take-off angle, \( \lambda_{avg} \) and \( \lambda_M \) are the average inelastic mean free path (IMPF) of the oxides/hydroxide and metal, respectively. The IMPF values (\( \lambda \)) were either directly obtained or calculated based on TPP-2M equation in the NIST standard reference database 71 (version 1.2).[51]

\( N_{avg} \) and \( N_M \) are the volume densities of the metal atoms in oxides/hydroxide and metal, respectively.

\( I_{oxide} \), \( I_{hydroxide} \), and \( I_M \) are the area percentages of the oxides, hydroxide and metal from the high-resolution spectrum, respectively.

2.2. In situ and operando LAM with synchrotron X-ray imaging

To reveal the effects of powder oxide on the fluid dynamic behaviour during LAM, we compare the additive manufacture using the same Invar 36 powder in two conditions, firstly in as-supplied (low oxide condition) and secondly after storage for \( \text{ca.} \) 1 year (high oxide condition). Using a LAM process replicator (LAMPR) that can be accommodated on a synchrotron imaging beamline we perform an overhang AM build on each powder condition (for details of the LAMPR see ref [7]). In each build, the LAM process was monitored by \textit{operando} synchrotron X-ray radiography in the Beamline I12: Joint Engineering, Environmental, and Processing (JEEP) at Diamond Light Source [52].

A laser beam (wavelength 1030 - 1070 nm, transverse mode TEM\(_{00}\) - the first subscript stands for the radial mode and the second subscript stands for the angular mode of the laser beam) [11] and continuous-wave) scanned a 5 mm line at a power of 150 W and a nominal scan velocity of 5 mm s\(^{-1} \) across the Invar 36 powder bed (20 mm length, 0.3 mm wide and 3 mm deep) in an argon atmosphere at a flow rate of 4 l min\(^{-1} \). A slow scan speed (\( \nu \)) of 5 mm s\(^{-1} \) was chosen to ensure continuous track formation under overhang conditions.
For the first AM build (B1), we performed LAM of a single layer track (B1.1) using a virgin Invar 36 powder with a 3 mm thick powder layer (for details see previous study [7]). The Invar 36 powder (TLS Technik GmbH & Co. Spezialpulver KG, Germany) was then kept in a container and exposed to air for ca. one year to simulate prolonged powder storage effects, allowing powder oxidation. A second single layer track AM build (B2.1) was produced ca. one year after B1 using the oxidised Invar 36 powder under precisely the same experimental conditions as B1. In addition, we laid down second (B2.2) and third (B2.3) layer melt tracks above the previous melt track while performing X-ray imaging to investigate the track-to-track interaction during LAM of the oxidised powder. In this study, the powder was manually spread above the substrate and melt tracks without lowering the substrate, therefore the layer thickness varied depending on how the prior melt track was formed. For B2, the layer thickness was ca. 3000 µm, 670 ± 420 µm, and 410 ± 170 µm for B1.1, B2.2, and B2.3, receptively.

All experiments were captured by synchrotron X-ray radiography at 5100 frames per second (fps) using 55 keV monochromatic X-rays, custom module optics with a 700 µm thick LuAg: Ce scintillator coupled with a Miro 310M camera (Vision Research, USA). Using a region of interest mode, the field of view (FOV) of the camera was 8.4 mm in width and 3.3 mm in height with a 6.6 µm pixel size. The image acquisition system was synchronised with the LAMPR using a ring buffer mode that continuously recorded images into the on-board memory of the camera. Once the laser was triggered, twelve thousand radiographs were recorded. For each experiment, we also captured one hundred dark-field images and one hundred flat-field images for flat field correction. [7]

2.3. Post-mortem X-ray computed tomography (XCT)

After the in situ and operando radiography experiments, the samples made by virgin (B1) and oxidised (B2) powders were examined by X-ray computed tomography (XCT) (Nikon XTH 225 X-ray microfocus tomography system, Nikon, Japan), see details in Table 1. The radiographic projections were reconstructed into a 16-bit image volume of 2000 x 2000 x 2000 voxels using the built-in beam hardening correction and filtered back projection algorithms in CT Pro3D (Nikon, Japan).
Table 1: XCT acquisition parameters for the imaging of Invar 36 melt tracks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Accelerating voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Beam current (µA)</th>
<th>Number of projections</th>
<th>Exposure time (ms)</th>
<th>Scan volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Voxel size (µm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>7.2³</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3142</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4.8³</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Image processing and quantification

The acquired synchrotron radiographs were post-processed and analysed using MATLAB 2016a. They were normalized by flat field correction [53] to remove image artefacts. A denoising algorithm, VBM3D [54], was applied, followed by background subtraction and segmentation. We quantified the spatter size and morphology, melt track geometry (e.g., length and depth), and internal porosity over time (see details in [7,8]). The spatter velocity was quantified using segmented images and an imageJ [55] plugin - TrackMate [56].

3. Results and discussion

The particle size distribution of the oxidised powder is 5 – 70 µm with a mode of 10 µm (Figure 1). The Inset SEM image shows the powder surface before B2 is covered with oxides (Figure 1a), however, it exhibits a similar morphology and shape of the virgin powder before B1 (see details in ref [7]). The XRD pattern (Figure 1b) is consistent with the expected face centred cubic γ-(Fe, Ni) phase. According to the Invar 36 phase diagram [57], α-(Fe, Ni) phase can be formed below 353°C [57] but it was not detected by XRD. Qiu et al. [58] suggested that the volume fraction of α-(Fe, Ni) phase is below the detection limit of laboratory XRD instruments. Elmer et al. [59] showed rapid solidification can reduce solute redistribution, resulting in a single-phase alloy. This mechanism may also apply to LAM of Invar 36, inhibiting the formation of α- (Fe, Ni) phase.

Given that the penetration depth of EDS is < 5 µm, the oxygen results are mainly associated with the oxide layer at the powder surfaces, therefore we removed the oxygen content and normalised the Ni and Fe contents. The EDS analysis (Table 2) indicate the virgin and oxidised Invar 36 powders exhibit a similar ratio of Fe and Ni content. The IGF-IR analysis (Table 2) was used to obtain the oxygen...
content from the bulk powder composition, revealing that the virgin powder (Goodfellow Inc., UK) exhibited 6 times less oxygen than the oxidised powder due to a reduced oxide layer.

Table 2: Elemental composition of the Invar 36 powder measured by EDS and IGF-IR. The EDS results were normalised, showing a ratio of Fe and Ni content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characterisation method</th>
<th>EDS</th>
<th>IGF-IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powder sample #</td>
<td>Fe (wt.%)</td>
<td>Ni (wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin (B1) - TLS Technik GmbH &amp; Co. Spezialpulver KG</td>
<td>69 ± 0.4</td>
<td>31 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidised (B2) - TLS Technik GmbH &amp; Co. Spezialpulver KG, Germany</td>
<td>71 ± 1.3</td>
<td>29 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin (Reference) - Goodfellow Inc., UK</td>
<td>72 ± 0.1</td>
<td>28 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The influence of powder surface chemistry on the molten pool dynamics is not well understood, therefore we have examined the powder surface of the virgin (reference) and oxidised invar 36 powders using XPS. Figure 2 displays high-resolution scans of Ni 2p, Fe 2p, O 1s, and C 1s from both powder samples, showing the presence of Fe, Ni, FeO, Fe₂O₃, NiO, Ni(OH)_2, and adventitious carbon contaminations.

From the high-resolution XPS scans of Ni (Figure 2a and e) and Fe (Figure 2b and f), the shape and the peak area percentages of metal, metal oxides, and metal hydroxides are very similar. This suggests metal oxides/hydroxide are readily formed during powder processing, including during powder packaging and powder transfer. During LAM, the metal hydroxide most likely will thermally decompose to metal oxide and then release into the melt pool. The presence of iron oxides [60] and nickel oxide [61] in the molten pool can alter its temperature coefficient of surface tension from negative to positive, leading to the reversal of the Marangoni convection, generating centripetal convection. [62]

Using the O 1s spectra (Figure 2c and g) and the Strohmeier’s equation [50], we have estimated the thickness of metal oxides and hydroxide on both powder surfaces, i.e. the overlayer thickness, see Table 3. Unexpectedly, the overlayer of the oxidised powder is only marginally thicker than that of the virgin powder (0.5 nm, or 5% in 12 nm total). Although not captured by XPS, the reference virgin powder
has a higher moisture content (Table 2) and formed a thick overlayer of NiO and Ni(OH)$_2$ at its surface.

This may explain why the total overlayer thickness is similar to the oxidised powder.

The C 1s spectra (Figure 2d and h) also display a very similar peak shape. The total carbon contamination on the virgin and oxidised powders are 49 at.% and 17 at.%, respectively. Based on the area percentage of C 1s spectra and using the method depicted in ref. [63] the oxygen associated with the carbon species in virgin and oxidised powders were determined as 6 at.% and 15 at.%, respectively.

During LAM, the carbon contaminants (containing oxygen) may either evaporate at elevated temperature or dissociate into oxygen and carbon in the molten pool. The oxygen may react with the molten Fe/Ni and form metal oxides, restricting the melt flow and promoting pore growth.

| Table 3: Calculated thickness of iron oxides, nickel oxides, and nickel hydroxides |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Powder type         | FeO  | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | NiO    | Ni(OH)$_2$ | Total  |
| Virgin powder       | 1.3  | 7.1        | 1.3    | 2.1     | 11.8   |
| Oxidised powder     | 1.4  | 8.0        | 1.1    | 1.8     | 12.3   |

3.1. LAM of virgin and oxidised Invar 36 powder

The initial, middle and final stages of the melt track evolution for the virgin powder (B1.1) are shown in Figure 3a (and complemented by Supplementary video 1). A high power density laser beam (10$^6$ W cm$^{-2}$) fuses the Invar 36 powder particles to form a molten pool and subsequently vaporises the top surface of the molten pool to form a metal vapour jet [17,35,36]. We postulate that the metal vapour jet indirectly heats the argon gas in the laser-matter interaction zone, both effects promote powder entrainment into the molten pool, spatter, and track growth. When the laser beam moves faster than the growth rate of the molten pool, it produces a separate molten pool ahead of the melt track. The Marangoni-driven flow and wetting move the newly formed molten pool behind the laser beam. The newly formed molten pool then merges with the melt track. [7,8] The aforementioned track formation mechanisms are summarised as molten pool wetting [7,8] and vapour-driven powder entrainment [7,36].

No pores are evident during melt track extension but spatter continues to eject from the powder bed in the same scan direction of the laser beam and argon gas flow. [7,41] Detailed quantification of the molten pool geometry and porosity during LAM is discussed in section 3.4.
Figure 3 Figure 3b and Supplementary video 2 show the evolution of a first layer melt track for the oxidised powder (B2.1). This forms by similar mechanisms to those depicted for the virgin powder build (B1.1), however, there is extensive porosity in the first layer melt track using oxidised powder (B2.1) compared to virgin powder. In the first layer melt track with oxidised powder, the droplet spatter ahead of the scanning laser beam rotates in a clockwise direction (Figure 3b, Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary video 3). However, the pores behind the scanning laser beam move anti-clockwise (Figure 3c), demonstrating the liquid metal in the molten pool flows radially outwards, i.e. centripetal Marangoni convection (Supplementary videos 2 and 3). This is contrary to what has been reported to the LAM of virgin powder study [7].

Most molten metals or alloys, including Fe-Ni alloys [64,65], have a negative temperature coefficient of surface tension. During LAM, the flow of the liquid metal is driven by the centrifugal Marangoni convection, sweeping the hotter and lower surface tension melt outwards to reduce the interfacial energy of the colder and higher surface tension melt. However, some studies report that when the oxygen concentration [O] in the molten pool is above 50 ppm, it is sufficient to alter the temperature coefficient of surface tension from negative to positive thereby changing the Marangoni convection from centrifugal to centripetal (see schematic in Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary video 3).[62,66] Table 2 shows that the [O] of the oxidised Invar 36 powder is at 3430 ppm, ca. 6 times higher than the reference virgin Invar 36 powder. This is significantly higher than the oxygen level required for centripetal Marangoni convection.

During LAM of oxidised powder, we observe two types of pores are present in the melt track: type I – gas pores and type II – pores surrounded by oxide layers. Type I gas pores have an equivalent diameter less than 250 μm, a low solubility and a high buoyancy in the melt fluid (Figure 3c). They usually form near the laser beam and reside adjacent to the melt track surface owing to the Marangoni flow. During LAM of the oxidised powder, the Marangoni flow entrains type I gas pores to different locations inside the melt track via centripetal Marangoni convection. Meanwhile, some coalescence occurs forming larger pores and some escape into the atmosphere via a keyhole (similar observations are reported in electron beam welding [67]). Leung et al. [7] observed that pore bursting promotes gas release from the melt track; however, the underlying mechanism remains unclear.
The size of the type II pores varies from 50 - 500 μm. Type II pores usually float ca. 200 μm behind the laser beam and reside near the melt track surface. These pores remain stationary throughout LAM, except for during pore growth. It is evident that the large type II pores grow at the expense of type I pores via Ostwald ripening, resulting in a final pore size of at least 350 μm, see examples in Figure 3d.

### 3.2. Spatter evolution mechanisms

From the single layer melt track experiments using virgin and oxidised powder, we observed both powder ejection and droplet spatter throughout LAM. Our results show that the laser-melt track interaction creates a laser-induced vapour jet and a recoil pressure normal to the melt track surface, ejecting powder while creating a denudation zone (Figure 3, Figure 4 and Supplementary video 3).

We speculate that the denudation zone is in an inverse bell shape and it contains a high concentration of metal vapour (Figure 4a). [42] The high-temperature metal vapour indirectly heats the surrounding argon gas, creating a convection or inward argon flow within the denuded zone, promoting vapour-driven powder entrainment for melt track extension [7,35,36].

During the overhang build, the melt track extends deeper into the powder bed while expanding in the horizontal direction, because the powder particles near the melt track are removed by the combination of metal vapour and hot argon gas. The laser beam melts the powder deeper into the powder bed and ahead of the melt track (Figure 4a), reducing the growth rate of the melt track as it extends. The laser beam continues to move, it eventually irradiates onto the powder ahead of the melt track and forming a new molten bead (Figure 4b). Sometimes, the laser beam moves ahead of the first melt bead, forming another bead whilst growing the first bead, because the laser beam profile is sufficiently broad to interact with both melt beads and the powder between the two beads. The laser beam may create a sufficiently strong vapour plume at the surface of the first bead (Figure 4b – marker 1), ejecting these new smaller metal beads (Figure 4b – marker 2) from the laser-matter interaction zone as droplet spatter, see examples in Supplementary video 3). These observations are evident in both virgin and oxidised powder cases, highlighting the difficulty of producing overhang features in LAM.

We have quantified the spatter size and velocity during LAM of virgin and oxidised powders, and also analysed the spatter behaviour over three different spatter size categories: (I) one; (II) one to two; and (III) > two times the powder size distribution. Table 4 shows a similar spatter distribution in both
studies wherein a majority of spatter is 1 to 2 times the particle size distribution. The oxidised powder study generates slightly more category III spatter (>132 µm) than the virgin powder study.

Figure 5a shows a positive correlation between the spatter size and velocity, although with a very large scatter. In both virgin and oxidised powder cases, most spatter has a velocity of 0.4 m s⁻¹, however, some spatter from the oxidised powder case has a velocity in the range of 0.4 – 0.9 m s⁻¹. In general, the spatter velocity in both cases matches prior studies. [7,8]

Figure 5b illustrates the different spatter morphologies during LAM. With virgin powder, the spatter is roughly spherical across all size categories. With oxidised powder, the category I and II spatters are irregularly shaped and formed from agglomerated powder. It appears that the coarsening into spherical droplets is hindered, illustrating the oxides are either chemically and/or physically different. Category III spatter primarily consists of droplet spatter with its surface covered by agglomerated powder. The evidence clearly shows powder oxidation strongly affects powder agglomeration, pore formation, and pore stabilisation [68].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size category</th>
<th>Spatter types</th>
<th>Size range (µm)</th>
<th>Normalised frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Powder spatter</td>
<td>$D_{eq} \leq 73$</td>
<td>3 % Virgin powder 4 % Oxidised powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Powder spatter/cluster + droplet spatter</td>
<td>$73 &lt; D_{eq} \leq 132$</td>
<td>81 % Virgin powder 72 % Oxidised powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Droplet spatter</td>
<td>$D_{eq} &gt; 132$</td>
<td>16 % Virgin powder 23 % Oxidised powder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. The roles of molten pool dynamics on pore bursting

In the virgin powder study, pore bursting is not evident under the processing conditions used in this study. However, Leung et al. [7] demonstrated that pore bursting occurs during solidification via pore coalescence and pore migration.

In the oxidised powder study, we have revealed a different pore bursting mechanism during LAM of the second layer melt track (B2.2) as shown in Figure 6 and Supplementary video 4. The laser beam forms a keyhole, penetrating through the second powder layer and re-melting the top surface of the first layer melt track (Figure 6a and Supplementary video 5). Laser re-melting promotes pore transport in the molten pool, allowing gas pores to escape into the atmosphere through a keyhole, similar observations are shown in the first layer melt track (B2.1) and Figure 3b. For gas entrainment
to take place, these gas pores must locate ca. 1 mm from the powder bed surface (based on our setup).

Below this depth, laser re-melting can only generate a small amount of liquid metal that partially fills the pre-existing pores by liquid feeding (green dotted circles in Figure 6a). We speculate that the oxide films at the pore surface may act as a physical barrier, combined with the adventitious carbon contaminations, altering the interfacial energy in the molten pool, restricting the melt flow that normally entrains or eliminates pores. Our observations support and validate the mechanisms proposed by previous work [18,21].

Figure 6b uncovers a new mechanism of open pore formation during LAM. At 7 ms, the laser re-melts the surface of first layer melt track and forms a liquid bridge (indicated by purple dotted lines). Between 10 and 34.8 ms, the laser beam causes the liquid bridge to double its size while accelerating its internal melt flow, which promotes pore coalescence, growth, and transport. By 34.8 ms, the Marangoni-driven flow entrains gas pores towards both ends of the liquid bridge, significantly weakening its structural integrity. The laser beam raises the temperature of the material surrounding the pore, heating the gas pore (see red dotted arrows) and expanding the volume of the gas pore proportionally. Once the gas pressure exceeds the surface tension of the liquid bridge, the liquid bridge ruptures (35 ms) and ejects vertically as a stream of liquid metal (36 ms), forming droplet spatter. Consequently, the closed pore burst opens, leaving a dent or crater (also known as an open pore [7,31,69]) at the melt track surface.

Based on our observations, there is no visible laser re-melting feature at the bottom of the pore until 40 ms (orange dotted circle in Figure 6). This indicates that the droplet spatter is ejected before the formation of an open pore, and hence metal vaporisation did not contribute to the formation of droplet spatter and open pores, an additional mechanism to prior hypotheses [35,36,42].

Regarding the formation mechanism of open pores, Qiu et al. [69] hypothesised that they are formed by insufficient liquid feeding; however, Leung et al. [7] revealed that open pores are formed by pore bursting during solidification. Here, we show another formation mechanism of open pores, such that pore bursting during laser melting, coupled with the formation of droplet spatter, resulting in an open pore at the track surface.

Figure 7 (complemented by Supplementary video 6) shows the evolution of a third layer melt track (B2.3) in LAM of oxidised powder. Similar to the second layer melt track (B2.2), the laser beam melts
the powder above an open pore at the front of the melt track, forming a liquid bridge which temporarily 
closes the pore. As LAM progresses, the laser beam induces an indirect laser-driven gas expansion 
inside the pore which overcomes the strength of the liquid bridge, resulting in pore bursting, followed 
by the formation of an open pore and droplet spatter. This repeatable observation demonstrates pore 
bursting is a key formation mechanism of droplet spatter and open pores in LAM of oxidised powder 
and may apply to LAM of virgin powders. By 34 ms, the Marangoni convection causes the liquid metal 
to flow in the opposite direction of the scanning laser beam, showing one mechanism for pore closure.

In the third layer build, laser re-melting removes many large pores in second layer melt track but also 
introduces many new type I pores. The oxide films at the pore surface are possibly disrupted, breaking 
into small pieces during laser re-melting [18]; however, they remain inside the molten pool, promoting 
nucleation and stabilisation of pores [70].

Figure 7b (and Supplementary video 7) reveals a new pore healing mechanism during LAM. The 
laser beam penetrates through the second and third layer melt tracks, opening a pre-existing pore (361 
ms). The gas expands radially inside the pre-existing pore, pushing the liquid metal upwards (362 ms). 
Subsequently, the liquid metal driven by the high surface tension swirls back into the top track (indicated 
by dotted red arrows), healing the pore. The inward flow of liquid metal may be driven by Marangoni 
convection, combining with the weight of the liquid metal, causing the molten pool to swirl up and fall 
back down, healing the pore (361 – 363 ms).

Our results show that pore bursting can lead to either formation of droplet spatter resulting in an 
open pore (Figure 6b) or pore healing (Figure 7b). We postulate that the outcome of pore bursting 
depends on the size of the pre-existing pore before laser re-melting. In the third layer melt track, the 
diameter of the pre-existing pore is ca. 250 µm about 4 times smaller than the pore shown in the second 
layer build (Figure 6b). The gas pressure exerted from the pore in Figure 7b is expected to be lower 
than the one in Figure 6b. During laser re-melting, an interaction between the laser beam and a low 
gas pressure pore may lead to pore healing whereas the interaction between the laser beam and a high 
gas pressure pore may lead to the formation of droplet spatter. We also hypothesise that the position 
of the gas pores and the liquid bridge will affect the outcomes of pore bursting (see 34.8 ms in Figure 
6b). However, a further study is required to determine whether there are a critical pore diameter and
position that lead to pore healing or formation of droplet spatter, resulting in open pore during laser re-
melting.

3.4. Time-resolved quantification of molten pool geometry and porosity

Using the X-ray radiographs, we have quantified the changes in melt track geometry and its internal
porosity throughout LAM, see Figure 8.

Figure 8a shows the track length for oxidised powder (L-B2.1) is ca. 20% greater than virgin powder
(L-B1.1). This is due to 1) the reduced surface tension causes the molten pool to spread out further,
and 2) the increased in spatter ahead of the laser beam, extending the track towards the bottom of the
powder bed. The track depth in the oxidised powder study (D-B2.1) is twice of that in the virgin powder
study (D-B1.1), because the reversal of Marangoni convection causes the liquid to flow inwardly from
the centre to the bottom of the molten pool, advecting heat down to make a deeper pool while entraining
gas pores. The entrained porosity increases the track volume and melt depth. [61]

Figure 8b quantifies porosity evolution during LAM. In the virgin powder study (blue), the molten
pool/melt track continues to release gas bubbles in LAM as can be seen by the changes of greyscale
in Supplementary Video 8. These gases are not retained in the melt track due to their low solubility,
and thus the 2D porosity analysis shows the final porosity (Pore-B1.1) is 0.02%. From Supplementary
Video 8, it is also evident that with virgin powder, the pores are driven to the surface by centrifugal
Marangoni convection and then escape into the atmosphere.

In contrast, the melt track produced by the oxidised powder shows a large increase of porosity at
the onset of the LAM (green), resulting in up to 24% final porosity (Pore-B2.1). The overlayer of
oxide/hydroxide on the oxidised powder surfaces appears to have two strong effects on porosity. Firstly,
this generates a centripetal Marangoni convection which drives any pre-existing pores to the bottom of
the melt pool; secondly, the Marangoni convection deepens the melt pool and facilitates pore
coalescence. Our results suggest the oxide stabilises the pores once formed, reduces the interfacial
energy, and hence increases the pore size [71,72]. Given that the quantification algorithm does not take
open pores into account, the sudden decrease of porosity in the second layer build (see event 1 in
Pore-B2.2) indicates the formation of an open pore via pore bursting. In the third layer build, another
drop in porosity (see event 2 in Pore-B2.3) denotes another pore bursting event has taken place. As
LAM progresses, the porosity gradually decreases from 24% to 18% because laser remelting allows gas porosity to escape from the keyhole at a penetration depth of < 1 mm.

3.5. Post-mortem 3D analysis

The pixel resolution of the synchrotron X-ray imaging setup is 6.6 µm per pixel, this implies we are unable to quantify any pores with a diameter less than approximately 20 µm [73], additionally, the radiographic analysis does not consider the pore depth along the X-ray beam path. Hence, we performed high-resolution XCT scans (with 2.4 x 2.4 x 2.4 µm³ per voxel) to examine the samples made from virgin and oxidised powders, visualising and quantifying the morphology and pore size distributions in 3D. Figure 9a shows that the melt track produced from the virgin powder exhibits 0.08% porosity. Based on the resolution of the XCT data, the melt track shows no open pores but contains some closed pores with an area equivalent diameter ($D_{eq}$) of 10 µm. Figure 9b shows that the melt track produced from an oxidised powder has a total porosity of 15.1%, two-thirds of that (8.6%) is open pores and one-third of that (6.5%) is closed pores.

The largest closed pore in the sample made from virgin powder (B1) has a $D_{eq}$ of 70 µm whereas the largest closed and open pores in the sample made from oxidised powder (B2) having a $D_{eq}$ of 540 µm and 610 µm, respectively. The normalised frequency graphs (Figure 9c) show that both samples exhibit a significant amount of small pores with a $D_{eq}$ of 10 µm. We postulate that they are type I gas pores due to their small size. In contrast, the cumulative frequency graphs (Figure 9c) show that there are fewer and larger pores in B2 sample than those in B1 sample, suggesting that the presence of oxide films in the molten pool promotes pore formation and possibly pore growth during LAM. These pores are potent crack initiator that deteriorates the fatigue resistance of AM parts when they are under cyclic loading.[74]

4. Conclusions

This study addresses the effects of powder oxidation on the molten pool dynamics and reveals new, evolution mechanisms of spatter, porosity and denuded zone during LAM of virgin and oxidised powders.

Three types of powders were characterised by SEM-EDS, IGF-IR, and XPS, including a virgin powder for B1, an oxidised powder for B2 (same powder used for B1 but kept for 1 year), and a
reference virgin powder. The oxidised powder shows an increasing of oxide layer thickness due to either oxygen pick up from powder handling and/or long-term storage under non-ideal conditions.

Our results confirm that molten pool wetting and vapour-driven powder entrainment are key track growth mechanisms for LAM. The oxygen content from the oxidised powder is sufficient to alter the temperature coefficient of the surface tension of the molten Invar 36 from negative to positive, altering the Marangoni convection from an outward centrifugal to inward centripetal flow. The oxides may act as nucleation sites for pore formation and subsequently stabilise these pores.

Two types of pores are revealed in the melt tracks: Type I gas pores have a diameter less than 250 μm, a low solubility, and a high buoyancy in the liquid metal whereas type II pores with a diameter greater than 250 μm can be formed by coalescing type I pores and also promoted by the presence of oxide film, stabilising the pore structure and restricting pore transport.

The powder surface chemistry is very complex and has impacts to powder agglomeration and defect formation. In the oxidised powder study, a significant amount of spatter is evident during LAM and some of which are covered by powder agglomerates, removing significant of powder in the powder bed.

Laser re-melting under layer-by-layer conditions may disrupt the oxide layers within the prior melt tracks, enabling gas pores to escape into the atmosphere via keyholing. It also reduces the size of pre-existing pores if these pores are located within the laser penetration depth of ca. 1 mm for the conditions studied. Otherwise, pores are partially filled by liquid feeding, changing them from a spherical to an irregular shape.

We uncover two new phenomena associated with pore bursting during LAM: (1) promoting pore healing by liquid feeding or (2) inducing open pores by the formation of droplet spatter. This demonstrates droplet spatter can be formed by indirect laser-driven gas expansion inside the melt track and by the laser-induced vapour jet at the melt surface.

The quantified results and the proposed mechanisms show defects in the additive manufacture can be minimised by using a low oxygen content metal powder. The new formation mechanisms of open pores and droplet spatter can enhance existing process simulation models to predict these defects. The quantification of melt track geometry over time can be used to calibrate the simulation model to
accurately predict the fluid flow behaviour during LAM. Lastly, the porosity quantification over time can be used to verify and enhance existing process simulation for defect prediction during layer-by-layer build conditions.
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**Graphical abstract:** Using a laser additive manufacturing process replicator with *in situ* and *operando* X-ray imaging (a) permits capturing the formation of (b) porosity and (c) spatter during laser-matter interaction. In addition, we performed post mortem X-ray computed tomography analysis (d) reveal two types of pores inside the melt track: (i) open pores and (ii) closed pores.

**Figure 1:** Powder characterisation of Invar 36: (a) particle size distribution. Inset: oxygen EDS map overlaid on a SEM secondary electron image. (b) XRD pattern showing the presence of γ-phase.
Figure 2: XPS spectra of (a-d) virgin, and (e-h) oxidised Invar 36 powders. The high-resolution spectra are: (a, e) Ni 2p, (b, f) Fe 2p, (c, g) O 1s, and (d, h) C 1s.

Figure 3: Times-series radiographs showing melt features observed during LAM of the first layer Invar 36 melt track ($P = 150$ W and $v = 5$ mm s$^{-1}$) for (a) a virgin powder to form B1.1 (supplementary video 1) and (b) a oxidised (stored for ca. 1 year) powder to form B2.1 (supplementary video 2). Blue circle: powder spatter; red circle: molten spatter. The zoomed regions of interest (and supplementary video 3) in (b) two distinct pore evolution mechanisms are observed and shown in more detail in (c) pore coalescence and migration by the centripetal Marangoni convection (white arrows) and (d) pore growth promoted by oxide films (see orange circles).
Figure 4: Schematic showing the effect of laser beam position in the melt track on spatter evolution: (a) formation of powder spatter when laser beam positioned on the melt track and (b) formation of droplet spatter when the laser beam is positioned ahead of the melt track.

Figure 5: Spatter analysis for LAM of virgin and oxidised powder, divided into three categories: I. powder spatter only; II. powder spatter/agglomeration + droplet spatter; III. droplet spatter only. (a) Spatter size and velocity, and (b) spatter morphology for each category.
Figure 6: Times-series radiographs (complemented by supplementary video 4) showing a second layer Invar 36 melt track (B2.2) with oxidised powder \((P = 150 \text{ W} \text{ and } v = 5 \text{ mm s}^{-1})\). (a) Snapshots of LAM at \(t = 20, 460, \text{ and } 680 \text{ ms}\). The red box highlights a region of interest (ROI). (b) The ROI reveals a new pore formation mechanism, where the expanded gas ejected the liquid bridge as droplet spatter (35 - 36 ms), leaving an open pore behind (40 ms) (see supplementary video 5). Red arrows indicate gas expansion. Purple dotted lines highlight the liquid bridge region. Orange dotted circles highlight laser re-melting at the bottom of the open pore after spattering.

Figure 7: Times-series radiographs (and Supplementary video 6) showing LAM of a third layer Invar 36 melt track (B2.3) by a power of 150 W and a scan velocity of 5 mm s\(^{-1}\). (a) Snapshots of the LAM process at time \(t = 34, 300 \text{ and } 620 \text{ ms}\). (b) The ROI and Supplementary video 7 reveal a pore healing mechanism, whereby the high surface tension of the molten pool inhibits spatter ejection, falling back to heal the pore.
Figure 8: Quantification of melt features in B2: (a) length and depth of the first (B2.1), second (B2.2), and third (B2.3) layer melt tracks and (b) the changes of porosity in each melt track over time.

Figure 9: 3D volume rendering of melt tracks made from (a) virgin powder (B1) and (b) oxidised powder (B2). (c) Their corresponding pore size distributions.
Supplementary Figure 1: Droplet spatter is ejecting upwards while rotating in the clockwise direction. The red line indicates the position of the laser beam as it moves from right to left.

Supplementary Figure 2: Schematic of Marangoni convection during LAM of (a) virgin powder and (b) oxidised powder. (a) Melt fluid with a negative temperature dependent surface tension coefficient shows gas entrainment and (b) the oxide alters the flow direction, restricts the melt flow area and promotes pore growth.
Supplementary Figure 3: (a) Secondary electron images showing the melt track topology of a single layer melt track produced by LAM of virgin Invar36 powder (B1) and (b) the third layer Invar36 melt track produced from LAM of oxidised powder (B2).