

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Purcell NL, Goldman JG, Ouyang B, Liu Y, Bernard B, O'Keefe JA (2020) The effects of dualtask cognitive interference on gait and turning in Huntington's disease. PLoS ONE 15(1): e0226827. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827

Editor: Pedro Gonzalez-Alegre, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: July 12, 2019

Accepted: December 5, 2019

Published: January 7, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Purcell et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This research was support by the National Institutes of Health, K01 HD088762 (JAO). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript

Competing interests: The authors have declared no competing interest exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The effects of dual-task cognitive interference on gait and turning in Huntington's disease

Nicollette L. Purcell¹, Jennifer G. Goldman^{2,3}, Bichun Ouyang⁴, Yuanqing Liu⁴, Bryan Bernard⁴, Joan A. O'Keefe^{1,4}*

1 Department of Cell and Molecular Medicine, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, United States of America, 2 Shirley Ryan Ability Lab, Chicago, IL, United States of America, 3 Northwestern University-Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, United States of America, 4 Department of Neurological Sciences, Section of Parkinson Disease and Movement Disorders, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, United States of America

* joan_a_okeefe@rush.edu

Abstract

Huntington's disease (HD) is characterized by motor, cognitive, and psychiatric dysfunction. HD progression causes loss of automaticity, such that previously automatic tasks require greater attentional resources. Dual-task (DT) paradigms and fast-paced gait may stress the locomotor system, revealing deficits not seen under single-task (ST). However, the impact of gait "stress tests" on HD individuals needs further investigation. Therefore, the aims of this study were to investigate whether: 1) fast-paced and dual-task walking uncover deficits in gait and turning not seen under single-task, 2) cognitive and gait outcomes relate to fall incidence, and 3) gait deficits measured with wearable inertial sensors correlate with motor symptom severity in HD as measured by the Unified Huntington's disease Rating Scaletotal motor score (UHDRS-TMS). Seventeen HD (55 ± 9.7 years) and 17 age-matched controls (56.5 ± 9.3 years) underwent quantitative gait testing via a 25m, two-minute walk test with APDMTM inertial sensors. Gait was assessed under a 1) ST, self-selected pace, 2) fastas-possible (FAP) pace, and 3) verbal fluency DT. The UHDRS-TMS and a cognitive test battery were administered, and a retrospective fall history was obtained. During ST, DT, and FAP conditions, HD participants demonstrated slower gait, shorter stride length, and greater lateral step and stride length variability compared to controls (p<0.00001 to 0.034). Significant dual-task costs (DTC) were observed for turns; HD participants took more time (p =0.013) and steps (p = 0.028) to complete a turn under DT compared to controls. Higher UHDRS-TMS correlated with greater stride length variability, less double-support, and more swing-phase time under all conditions. Decreased processing speed was associated with increased gait variability under ST and FAP conditions. Unexpectedly, participant's selfreported falls did not correlate with any gait or turn parameters. HD participants demonstrated significantly greater DTC for turning, which is less automatic than straight walking, requiring coordination of body segments, anticipatory control, and cortical regulation. Turn complexity likely makes it more susceptible to cognitive interference in HD.

Introduction

Huntington's disease (HD) is an autosomal dominant, neurodegenerative disease caused by an expanded CAG repeat (\geq 40 repeats, full-penetrance) in the gene for the huntingtin protein (HTT), though symptoms may also occur in some with CAG repeat lengths \geq 36 (reduced penetrance range) [1]. The expansion results in aggregation of insoluble mutant HTT (mHTT) in neurons, the most vulnerable being striatal medium spiny neurons (MSNs) of the basal ganglia [2]. Ultimately, the proteinaceous aggregates disrupt neuronal function, causing cell death and subsequent motor dysfunction [3]. The progression of HD results in gait and balance impairment which can lead to an increased fall risk [4]. In addition to motor symptoms, cognitive deficits observed in HD make it difficult to focus on a task or divide one's attention between tasks. As a result, individuals with HD have difficulty responding to multiple stimuli simultaneously [5,6], which can exacerbate motor deficits.

HD progressively impairs automaticity, such that previously automatic tasks, such as walking, begin to require greater attentional resources [7,8]. Cognitive deficits are also prevalent, notably in the domains of executive function, visuospatial processing, processing speed, and short-term memory, which can further exacerbate motor impairments [9,10]. Cognitivemotor dual-task paradigms are a means to assess an individual's ability to divide their attention during concurrent tasks and have been shown to reveal motor deficits not seen under singletask in Parkinson's disease (PD) [11], HD [8,12], and multiple sclerosis (MS) [13]. Under DT, individuals with HD have exhibited a decrease in gait speed, cadence, and stride length [8,12] compared to healthy controls, characteristics which contribute to an increased fall risk in other movement disorders [11]. Previously, we found that individuals with HD exhibited significant DT cognitive motor interference for postural stability when vision was removed, and base of support was narrowed [14]. Furthermore, difficulty with dual-tasking is observed in HD during other dual-tasks as well, such that significant dual-task costs (DTC) were observed for speed to perform a cognitive-auditory dual-task [15] and greater finger tap variability during a bimanual motor-motor DT [7].

In addition to DT paradigms, stressing the locomotor systems by asking individuals to modify their pace has been shown to reveal gait deficits in the elderly and other neurodegenerative disorders [16, 17]. A disorder of locomotor timing has been reported in HD with impaired cadence regulation when required to increase their speed, as well as difficulty timing footsteps to an auditory cue [18]. However, the impact of fast-paced gait in HD has been minimally investigated and warrants further study. Therefore, the aims of this study were to investigate whether: 1) fast-paced and dual-task walking uncover deficits in gait and turning not seen under single-task, 2) cognitive and gait outcomes relate to fall incidence, and 3) gait deficits measured with wearable inertial sensors correlate with motor symptom severity in HD as measured by UHDRS-TMS. We hypothesize in HD that 1) fast-paced and dual-task gait will uncover deficits in gait and turning not observed under "normal" walking conditions, 2) greater cognitive impairment in the domains of executive function, visuospatial perception, and processing speed will be associated with greater gait and turning deficits and increased falls, and 3) greater motor symptom severity will be associated with greater gait deficits.

Materials and methods

Study participants

This study was approved by the Rush University Medical Center Institutional Regulatory Board (16050204-IRB02). HD participants were recruited from the Rush University Medical Center (RUMC) Movement Disorders HD clinic; age and sex-matched healthy controls were recruited from the community. All participants were co-recruited for a previously published study assessing the effects of dual-tasking and cognition on balance in HD [14]. Inclusion criteria were 1) a clinical diagnosis of HD by a movement disorders/HD expert (JGG) [19], 2) >21 years of age, 3) ability to ambulate for two minutes without an assistive device, and 4) the ability to follow protocol-specific directions with confirmation from family member and/or caregiver. Exclusion criteria included a diagnosis of Juvenile HD, as well as those who have had lower limb or back orthopedic surgery in the past 12 months, or any other disorders negatively affecting gait. Controls were recruited based on the same criteria, with the additional exclusion of cognitive impairment. Participants were classified as having a choreatic, hypokinetic-rigid, or mixed phenotype, as previously described [20]. Informed consent was obtained from all participants in accordance with the RUMC Institutional Regulatory Board.

Gait assessments

Quantitative gait analysis under self-selected single task (ST), fast-as-possible (FAP) and dualtask (DT) conditions was performed using the well validated, reliable inertial sensor system with gait metrics generated by Mobility LabTM software (APDM, Oregon, USA) [21]. Six OpalTM wearable sensors were placed on the wrists, dorsum of feet, sternum (2 cm below the sternal notch), and lumbar trunk (at the L5, the approximate center of mass). Participants performed three, 2-minute walk tests [22, 23] on a 25-meter walkway under a 1) self-selected (SS) pace, 2) fast-as-possible (FAP) pace, and 3) cognitive-motor DT condition (DT) at their normal pace, with rests between trials as needed. Participants were instructed to walk at their "normal", comfortable walking speed for the self-selected and DT trials, and to walk as fast as they safely could, without running, for the FAP trial. During the DT trial, participants were asked to perform an animal naming verbal fluency task, with the instruction that no animal could be repeated. Participants were carefully monitored during all trials for safety. A baseline 2-minute animal naming task was also performed while seated, and this was randomized to be conducted either before or after the gait tests for each participant. This randomization was done to assess whether participants prioritized cognition or gait while dual-tasking. The participants were not told to prioritize the cognitive or motor task during the DT gait condition.

The main outcome variable selected for analysis were 1) cadence (steps/min), 2) stride length (m), 3) gait speed (m/s), 4) swing (% gait cycle), 5) double support (% gait cycle), 6) turn duration (s), 7) number of steps to complete a turn, 8) lateral step variability (m), which groups 3 consecutive steps and derives the extent of perpendicular deviation of the middle foot placement from the first and third step, 9) stride length coefficient of variation (CoV), another common measure of gait variability, and 10) step duration. The extent of DT interference, or the dual-task cost (DTC) in gait and turn performance was defined as DTC (%) = ((DT-ST)/ ST)*100, as previously described [24].

Neuropsychological, balance and clinical rating scale assessments

As previously published [14], the following cognitive battery was administered to evaluate multiple cognitive domains known to be impaired in HD: 1) Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) (global cognition) [25], 2) Digit Span forwards, backwards, and sequencing (WAI-S-IV) (attention and working memory) [26], 3) Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT) (attention and information processing speed) [27], 4) Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD Word List Memory, delayed recall portion) (memory recall) [28], 5) Judgment of Line Orientation (JLO) (visuospatial perception) [29], and 6) Animal naming (verbal fluency) [30]. The Unified Huntington's disease Rating Scale total motor score (UHDRS-TMS) was administered by a movement disorder/HD neurologist (JGG) [19].

Higher UHDRS-TMS values reflect the presence of more severe motor symptoms. The Berg Balance Scale (BBS) [31] and the Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale (ABC) [32] were used to assess participants' awareness of their postural stability and collect performance-based balance information. Higher scores on all cognitive tests and functional balance scales are indicative of better performance. Additionally, participants were asked to recall how many falls they had in the past 12 months.

Statistical analyses

Clinical characteristics were compared between the HD and healthy control group using twotailed Student t-tests for parametric and normally distributed measures, or the Mann-Whitney U test for variables that did not have normal distributions. Differences in gait variables and animal naming cognitive assessment under ST and DT conditions, and the DTC for each of the primary outcome variables between HD participants and healthy controls were examined with the same statistical tests. For those gait variables observed to be significantly impaired in HD under all conditions compared to controls, a repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) (parametric) or a Friedman test (non-parametric) with Bonferroni post-hoc tests were performed to assess if those impairments were further exacerbated under FAP and DT conditions.

Correlations between gait and turn measures and cognitive test scores, UHDRS-TMS, and retrospective falls were examined in the HD group using Spearman's rho. The statistical significance for these comparisons was set at p = 0.05 given the exploratory nature of this work. However, due to the large number of variables tested and correlations performed, the correlations that remained significant after Bonferroni corrections using an adjusted p-value of < 0.001 were also indicated with a 'b' superscript. An exploratory linear regression analysis was then performed incorporating disease duration and UHDRS-TMS as covariates to further investigate potential cognitive and gait relationships.

Results

Participant characteristics

Seventeen individuals with HD and 17 age-matched healthy controls were enrolled in a larger dual-task study examining the effects of cognition on balance and gait in Huntington's disease; participant demographic and clinical characteristics are listed in Table 1. UHDRS-TMS scores ranged from 7–39. Five of the seventeen HD participants were not on any medications at the time of testing. The most common medications reported were an NMDA antagonist (n = 4), benzodiazepine (n = 4), cholinesterase inhibitor (n = 4), antipsychotic (n = 2), and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) (n = 2). No participants were taking vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2) inhibitors. Eight HD participants were characterized as having a mixed phenotype, 4 as choreatic, and 4 as hypokinetic-rigid, one participant did not have a UHDRS-TMS recorded. Ten out of the 17 HD individuals reported having >1 fall in the past twelve months. HD participants showed significant deficits in global cognition (MoCA, p = 0.0009, response inhibition (Stroop, p = 0.007), attention/processing speed (SDMT, p<0.0001), verbal fluency (animal naming ST and DT, p<0.0001), visuospatial processing (JLO, p = 0.0083) and working memory (Digit Span, p = 0.0087) compared to controls. HD participants' delayed recall (CERAD Word List) performance was not significantly different from controls. There were no significant DTC for the animal naming cognitive task during the two-minute walk (DT) compared to the ST while seated in HD subjects compared to controls. HD participants reported lower balance confidence on the ABC (p = 0.0001), worse scores on

Table 1. Subject characteristics.

	Healthy controls $(n = 17)$	Huntington's disease (n = 17)
Age (years)	56.47 ± 9.30 (37-69)	55 ± 9.66 (36-67)
Sex	8 Females, 9 Males	7 Females, 10 Males
BMI (kg/m)	26.29 ± 5.22 (20.8–37.8)	24.68 ± 3.79 (17.80-31.00)
Years of education	16.59 ± 2.82	15.59 ± 2.67
UHDRS-Total Motor Score		21.86 ± 9.86 (7-39)
Trunk Chorea		$0.69 \pm 0.79 (0-2)$
Trunk, upper & lower extremity chorea score		$0.94 \pm 0.66 (0-2)$
Disease Duration (years)		5 ± 2.8 (3-13)
One-year retrospective Falls (#)	0.176 ± 0.529 (0-2)	2.29 ± 2.69 (0-10)***
MoCA	26.47 ± 2.79 (20-30)	$22.70 \pm 3.46 (12-28)^{***}$
SDMT	99.34 ± 13.42 (80.4–131.1)	$70.89 \pm 20.74 (45.5 - 105.9)^{****}$
Stroop -CW	45.5 ± 8.36 (35–59)	37.19 ± 7.89 (25-52)**
CERAD-Recall	6.35± 1.69 (4-10)	5.59 ± 2.24 (2-10)
JLO	12.35 ± 1.87 (8–15)	10.06 ± 2.79 (5-14)**
Digit Span	11.12 ± 2.47 (5–14)	8.23 ± 3.45 (1-15)**
Animal Naming-ST (#)	37.41 ± 8.44 (20–51)	21.76 ± 9.73 (8-53)****
Animal Naming-DT (#)	35.06 ± 7.96 (21-49)	20.71 ± 10.25 (6-53)****
DTC Animal naming (% change)	-4.75 ± 16.18 (-35.14-31.82)	-5.44 ± 19.16 (-39.13-33.33)
ABC	95.38 ± 5.05 (83.7-100)	81.20 ± 13.2 (50.31-100)***
BBS (0–56)	55.88 ± .33 (55-56)	51.18 ± 3.15 (44-56)****

All values are mean ± SD with range in brackets unless indicated otherwise. Key: Body Mass Index (BMI), Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale-total motor score (UHDRS-TMS), Activity Specific Balance Confidence scale (ABC), Berg Balance Scale (BBS), 1 year fall history (# self-reported in last year), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), Stroop, Color-Word (CW), Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD), Judgment of Line Orientation (JLO), and Digit Span values were compared between Huntington's disease subjects and controls. The SDMT, Stroop-CW, CERAD-Recall and Digit Span were scaled to the subject's age and years of education. Note that this table was published in a previous balance paper using the same HD cohort [14].

Significant differences are bolded.

*p < 0.05 ** p< .01

*** p< 0.001

**** p < 0.0001

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827.t001

the BBS (p<0.0001), and a greater number of falls within the past twelve months (p = 0.0007) compared to controls (Table 1).

Gait: Single task, fast-as-possible, and DT conditions

Independent sample t-tests indicated there was not a significant difference between the left and right foot for all gait parameters; therefore, the average was calculated and used for all future analyses. Four spatiotemporal gait parameters were consistently observed to be significantly different between the HD and control groups under all three conditions (SS, FAP, DT); these were gait speed, stride length, lateral step variability, and stride length variability (CoV) (Fig 1). HD participants exhibited significantly slower gait speed (p = 0.034, 0.0005, 0.004), shorter stride length (p = 0.0004, 0.029, 0.005), and greater lateral step variability (p < 0.00001, 0.003, < 0.00001) and stride length variability (p = 0.00001, 0.001, 0.00001) compared to controls (Table 2). Additionally, HD individuals took longer to complete a turn compared to controls under the FAP condition (p = 0.045). Compared to SS pace trials, HD individuals were



Fig 1. Gait parameters under SS, FAP, and DT conditions. Significantly different gait parameters of HD individuals compared to healthy controls under SS (A), FAP (B), and DT (C) conditions. *p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01, **** p < 0.0001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827.g001

able to significantly increase their gait speed under FAP conditions (p < 0.00001); no difference was observed during DT. Stride length was observed to increase during FAP conditions compared to SS (p < 0.00001); no difference was seen under DT. Lateral step variability increased during DT conditions compared to SS (p = 0.0026); no difference was seen under the FAP condition. After correcting for multiple comparisons, stride length variability was not significantly different between conditions. No significant differences were found for turning across conditions in HD. Although controls increased their gait speed (p < 0.00001) and stride length (p = 0.0002) during FAP trials compared to SS trials, no significant differences were

Table 2.	Gait and	turning	during	SS, F	FAP,	and D'	l' conditions.
----------	----------	---------	--------	-------	------	--------	----------------

	Self-Selected		Fast-a	s-Possible	Dual-Task		
	Control	HD	Control	HD	Control	HD	
Gait Rhythm							
Cadence (steps/min)	113.93 ± 7.13	111.63 ± 11.31	131.41 ± 8.52	124.28 ± 14.33	115.0 ± 6.33	111.5 ± 13.33	
Pace							
Gait Speed (m/s)	1.28 ± 0.169	$\boldsymbol{1.14 \pm 0.188^*}$	1.67 ± 0.16	$1.44 \pm 0.18^{***}$	1.28 ± 0.12	1.11 ±0.19**	
Step Duration (s)	0.53 ± 0.033	0.54 ± 0.057	0.46 ± 0.03	0.49 ± 0.054	0.52 ± 0.029	0.55 ± 0.67	
Stride Length (m)	1.34 ± 0.133	$1.23 \pm 0.155^{*}$	1.52 ± 0.159	$1.40 \pm 0.157^{*}$	1.33 ± 0.12	$1.19 \pm 0.15^{**}$	
Gait Cycle Phase							
Double Support (% gait cycle)	18.97 ± 2.95	19.79 ± 3.47	15.33 ± 3.25	17.01 ± 2.91	19.43 ± 2.82	19.8 ± 3.64	
Swing (% gait cycle)	40.52 ± 1.49	40.13 ± 1.76	42.54 ± 1.92	41.62 ± 1.58	40.27 ± 1.41	40.14 ± 1.86	
Gait Variability							
Lateral Step Variability (cm)	3.70 ± 0.53	5.36 ± 0.99****	3.88 ± 0.58	5.71 ± 1.24****	3.91 ± 0.74	6.21 ± 1.39****	
Stride Length CoV	2.61 ± 0.35	5.24 ± 2.95****	3.01 ± 0.84	5.22 ± 2.55***	3.08 ± 0.79	6.55 ± 3.35****	
Movement Transition							
Turn Duration (s)	2.07 ± 0.32	2.03 ± 0.28	1.77 ± 0.24	$1.95 \pm 0.26^{*}$	1.88 ± 0.24	2.07 ± 0.32	
Steps to Turn (#)	3.70 ± 0.55	3.58 ± 0.60	3.57 ± 0.57	3.73 ± 0.46	3.37 ± 0.61	3.66 ± 0.73	

Gait and turning parameters of the control and HD group under self-selected (SS), fast-as-possible (FAP), and dual-task (DT) conditions. Data reported as mean ± SD. Significant differences are bolded.

*p < 0.05

** p< .01

*** p< 0.001

**** p < 0.0001

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827.t002

observed for lateral step and stride length variability between conditions and no differences were observed between DT and SS conditions.

The only significant DTC in HD participants were found for turn variables such that the HD group exhibited significantly greater turn durations (p = 0.013) (Fig 2A) and more steps to complete a turn (p = 0.029) (Fig 2B), than controls.

Correlations between gait, cognition, UHDRS-TMS, and falls

Correlations with cognitive tests were limited to our cognitive domains of interest: executive function (Digit Span, Stroop and animal naming), information processing speed (SDMT), and visuospatial processing (JLO). Poorer performance on the SDMT and animal naming was significantly associated with increased gait variability in individuals with HD. Lower SDMT scores were associated with increased lateral step variability under SS pace (r = -0.623, p = 0.008) (Fig 3A), as well as increased stride length CoV under SS (r = -0.547, p = 0.023) (Fig 3B) and FAP (r = -0.725, p = 0.001) (Fig 3C) conditions. Furthermore, poorer performance on animal naming was associated with greater stride length CoV during the FAP trials (r = 0.706, p = 0.002). When the significant cognitive variables were entered into the exploratory regression model controlling for disease duration and UHDRS-TMS, the significant associations between cognition and gait were no longer observed.

UHDRS-TMS were positively correlated with percent of time spent in swing phase under SS (r = 0.608, p = 0.013), FAP (r = 0.678, p = 0.004), and DT (r = 0.696, p = 0.003) conditions. Additionally, UHDRS-TMS were negatively correlated with percent of time spent in double support for all three conditions (SS: r = -0.610, p = 0.012; FAP: r = -0.670, p = 0.004; DT: r = -0.672, p = 0.004). Higher UHDRS-TMS, indicating greater clinical severity, was also associated with increased stride length CoV under SS (r = 0.504, p = 0.046), FAP (r = 0.753, p = 0.001^b) and DT (r = 0.6382, p = 0.004) conditions (<u>Table 3</u>). No gait or cognitive variables were found to be correlated with the number of falls self-reported in the past 12 months. All p-



Fig 2. Dual-task costs while turning. Dual-task costs (DTC) of HD participants during turns; Results of a two-tailed independent sample t-test indicating significant cognitive interference observed for turn duration (p = 0.013) (A) and number of steps to turn (p = 0.029) (B) in HD compared to controls. Center line indicates the median value (50th percentile), bottom line of the box represents the 25th percentile, top line of the box represents the 75th percentile, and the whiskers represent the maximum and minimum values, with the exception of one outlier in the HD group for turn duration. DTC calculated as ((DT-ST)/ST)*100. * p < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827.g002





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827.g003

values with a 'b' superscript indicate the associations are still significant after applying Bonferroni corrections with an adjusted p-value of 0.001.

Discussion

Our study found that cognitive interference is significant when individuals with HD are turning during the gait cycle. These results highlight the complexity of turning and how modifying gait patterns to complete a turn requires both motor and cognitive resources [33]. Turning is believed to rely on frontal lobe functioning significantly more than straight walking, so that the negative effects of cognitive impairment on the postural adjustments of turning are more pronounced [33]. Additionally, turning necessitates more inter-limb and spinal segment coordination and is greatly impacted by cognitive functioning, such as processing speed [34, 35], which is notably impaired in HD [10]. This knowledge is in alignment with our finding of significant cognitive interference during turns in HD. These results expand upon our previous findings where individuals with HD exhibited significant cognitive interference under environmental conditions that markedly challenge postural stability [14]. In addition, a previous study in HD indicated that multitasking was the most reported cause of falls [36], supporting the theory that cognitive deficits, in combination with loss of automaticity, can result in decreased postural stability in HD, especially during the postural adjustments required for turning.

The fact that there were no elevated DTC for spatiotemporal aspects of gait or cognition during straight walking suggests that the HD group as a whole subscribed to the "posture-

Self-Selected	UHDRS-TMS	Fast as Possible	UHDRS-TMS	Dual-Task	UHDRS-TMS	
Gait Rhythm		Gait Rhythm		Gait Rhythm		
Cadence	r = -0.215 p = 0.425	Cadence	r = 0.084 p = .757	Cadence	r = -0.324 p = 0.220	
Pace		Pace		Pace		
Gait Speed	r = -0.240 p = 0.931	Gait Speed	r = 0.193 p = 0.474	Gait Speed	r = -0.152 p = 0.574	
Step Duration	r = 0.203 p = 0.451	Step Duration	r = -0.053 p = 0.845	Step Duration	r = 0.353 p = 0.180	
Stride Length	r = 0.112 p = 0.679	Stride Length	r = 0.151 p = 0.578	Stride Length	r = -0.158 p = 0.560	
Gait Cycle Phase (% time)		Gait Phase Cycle (% time)		Gait Phase Cycle (% time)		
Double support	r = -0.610* p = 0.012	Double Support	r = -0.670** p = 0.004	Double Support	r = -0.672** p = 0.004	
Swing	r = 0.608* p = 0.013	Swing	r = 0.678** p = 0.004	Swing	r = 0.696** p = 0.003	
Gait Variability		Gait Variability		Gait Variability		
Lateral Step Variability	r = 0.394 p = 0.132	Lateral Step Variability	r = 0.05 p = 0.854	Lateral Step Variability	r = 0.246 p = 0.358	
Stride Length CoV	r = 0.504 * p = 0.046	Stride Length CoV	$r = 0.753^{**}$ $p = 0.001^{b}$	Stride Length CoV	r = 0.682** p = 0.004	
Movement Transition		Movement Transition		Movement Transition		
Turn Duration	r = -0.215 p = 0.423	Turn Duration	r = -0.098 p = 0.718	Turn Duration	r = 0.083 p = 0.761	
Steps to Turn	r = 0.081 p = 0.765	Steps to Turn	r = -0.110 p = 0.685	Steps to Turn	r = 0.112 p = 0.679	

Table 3. Relationship between UHDRS-TMS and gait variables.

Correlations between motor symptom severity, as measured by the Unified Huntington's disease rating scale-total motor score (UHDRS-TMS), and gait variables. Correlation coefficient (r) and p-values reported under all three gait conditions. The 'b' superscript indicates the associations still significant after applying Bonferroni corrections with an adjusted p-value of 0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226827.t003

second" strategy of dual-tasking observed in PD, where both elements of the DT are treated with equal attention [<u>37–39</u>]. Employing this strategy becomes an issue though, due to limited cognitive resources and impaired postural control, resulting in neither task being adequately accomplished [<u>37</u>].

Interestingly, the same four spatiotemporal gait parameters were consistently found to be impaired in HD compared to healthy controls under all testing conditions: gait speed, stride length, lateral step variability, and stride length variability. The gait domains of pace and variability are commonly reported as abnormal in previous gait studies in other movement disorders [8, 40-42]. However, a number of gait variables are included in these domains and a set of sensitive variables for the HD population has not been validated. Lateral step variability is not a commonly reported outcome measure, although our study suggests it should be investigated in the future, as it was a notable abnormal feature of HD participants. Gait variability is reported to be increased in HD [36,43,44] and is thought to be a result of a disruption of the basal ganglia's cueing mechanism to the supplementary motor cortex [18]. Disrupted cueing can then cause inefficient generation of movement timing and greater movement variability, increasing fall risk [18]. These findings lay the groundwork for future, more targeted gait studies in HD, suggesting that gait speed, stride length, stride length variability, and lateral step variability could all be sensitive outcome measures for future clinical trials.

We found that DT gait in HD, but not healthy controls, increases lateral step variability. Increased gait variability has been shown to be associated with increased gait instability and falls in other populations [45]. Although we did not find gait variability parameters to be associated with falls in the present study, perhaps due the low sample size, further prospective studies with larger subject numbers might find that increased gait variability may potentially serve as a marker or predictor of future fallers and thus have clinical utility.

A reduction in attention and information processing speed, as assessed by the SDMT, were found to be associated with greater gait variability in HD. Prior studies have indicated that lower processing speed is associated with impaired stability and increased falls in MS [46], impaired turning in PD [35], slower gait speed in an aging population [47], and worse gait and balance as assessed by the Tinetti Mobility Test in HD [9]. However, our observed association between cognition and gait was no longer significant after controlling for disease duration and UHDRS-TMS in our exploratory regression analysis. We posit that this was due to our low sample size. Future studies with larger subject numbers, as well as obtaining a total UHDRS score in order to have a more accurate measure of disease severity, will hopefully allow us to determine the impact of cognition and disease severity on gait deficits in HD.

Similar to the findings of our balance study in HD [14], we did not find the number of retrospective falls to correlate with any cognitive and gait parameters in HD individuals. We believe the lack of retrospective fall correlations can be attributed to the small sample size of this study. Additionally, retrospective fall reporting relies on a participant's memory recall and self-awareness and individuals with HD often exhibit a lack of disease insight, making a selfreported fall history prone to under-reporting. Therefore, prospective recording, caregiver corroboration, or a fall detection monitoring device would provide a more accurate fall report. Subsequent studies will include a larger cohort with more accurate means of reporting falls or prospective fall reporting to strengthen this analysis.

Previous studies in HD, PD, and cerebellar ataxia reported that participants spent more time in double support and stance phase and less time in swing phase $[\underline{48}-\underline{53}]$ as a possible compensatory measure to maintain postural stability $[\underline{52},\underline{53}]$. However, we did not find this to be the case in our HD cohort; swing and double support time were not significantly different from controls under any gait condition. We attribute these findings to the variability of motor symptoms in our HD group.

Increased motor symptom severity was associated with greater gait variability suggesting those with greater motor impairment have a more unstable gait. Higher UHDRS-TMS, indicating greater motor symptom severity, were significantly correlated with less time in double support and more time in swing phase. These results are difficult to explain but suggest further investigation into the relationship between gait and motor severity. Future studies utilizing wearable inertial sensors might aid in better characterization of choreatic gait and the poorly defined "stutter step" gait pattern exhibited by some individuals with HD [54]. The relationship we observed between gait and UHDRS-TMS is difficult to interpret due to the variability of gait impairment within our sample. Therefore, more studies with larger subject numbers and stratification based on motor severity are needed to create a thorough spatiotemporal and kinematic profile of HD gait and how gait relates to HD motor severity.

The strengths of this study include: 1) the use of a sensitive inertial sensor system to assess gait in HD under challenging conditions reflective of everyday scenarios, 2) significant contributions to the growing body of work characterizing the impact of cognition and cognitive dual-tasking on turns during ambulation in HD and 3) the use of an extensive neuropsychological test battery to assess multiple cognitive domains and their interaction with gait deficits. This study is not without limitations. As previously mentioned, future studies will have larger subject numbers and include participants with varying severities of motor and cognitive symptoms to potentially stratify HD participants and examine phenotypic differences in dual-task capabilities and costs. Future studies would also benefit from complementary neuroimaging or neurophysiological data to understand the neural mechanisms underlying gait control in HD and how basal ganglia cortical connectivity, volumetric changes, and/or activation patterns relate to turn deficits and dual-tasking.

Conclusion

In conclusion, individuals with HD exhibit detrimental effects of cognitive interference while turning, highlighting the complexity of turning and the dynamic motor and cognitive coordination necessary to safely complete a turn. Additionally, impaired attention and processing speed was associated with more gait variability and is a domain that should be investigated further as an indicator of fall risk in HD. More thorough studies need to be done to quantitatively characterize the choreatic gait pattern and distinguish it from other movement disorders, improving clinical gait assessment in patients with HD.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our HD and control subjects for their participation in this study. As well as the clinical coordinators and whole Rush Movement Disorders clinic staff for their assistance in recruitment.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Bryan Bernard, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Data curation: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Formal analysis: Nicollette L. Purcell, Bichun Ouyang, Yuanqing Liu, Bryan Bernard, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Investigation: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Methodology: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Project administration: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Resources: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Supervision: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Visualization: Nicollette L. Purcell, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Writing - original draft: Nicollette L. Purcell, Joan A. O'Keefe.

Writing - review & editing: Nicollette L. Purcell, Jennifer G. Goldman, Joan A. O'Keefe.

References

- 1. Clabough EB. Huntington's disease: the past, present, and future search for disease modifiers. Yale J Biol Med. 2013; 86: 217–233. PMID: <u>23766742</u>
- Canals JM, Pineda JR, Torres-Peraza JF, Bosch M, Martin-Ibanez R, Munoz MT, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor regulates the onset and severity of motor dysfunction associated with enkephalinergic neuronal degeneration in Huntington's disease. J Neurosci. 2004; 24: 7727–7739. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1197-04.2004</u> PMID: <u>15342740</u>
- Ross CA, Aylward EH, Wild EJ, Langbehn DR, Long JD, Warner JH, et al. Huntington disease: natural history, biomarkers and prospects for therapeutics. Nat Rev Neurol. 2014; 10: 204–216. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nrneurol.2014.24</u> PMID: <u>24614516</u>

- Rao AK, Muratori L, Louis ED, Moskowitz CB, Marder KS. Spectrum of gait impairments in presymptomatic and symptomatic Huntington's disease. Mov Disord. 2008; 23: 1100–1107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21987</u> PMID: <u>18412252</u>
- Aron AR, Watkins L, Sahakian BJ, Monsell S, Barker RA, Robbins TW. Task-set switching deficits in early-stage Huntington's disease: implications for basal ganglia function. J Cogn Neurosci. 2003; 15: 629–642. https://doi.org/10.1162/089892903322307357 PMID: 12965037
- Maurage P, Heeren A, Lahaye M, Jeanjean A, Guettat L, Verellen-Dumoulin C, et al. Attentional impairments in Huntington's disease: A specific deficit for the executive conflict. Neuropsychology. 2017; 31: 424–436. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000321 PMID: 28240935
- Thompson JC, Poliakoff E, Sollom AC, Howard E, Craufurd D, Snowden JS. Automaticity and attention in Huntington's disease: when two hands are not better than one. Neuropsychologia. 2010; 48: 171– 178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.09.002 PMID: 19747497
- Delval A, Krystkowiak P, Delliaux M, Dujardin K, Blatt JL, Destee A, et al. Role of attentional resources on gait performance in Huntington's disease. Mov Disord. 2008; 23: 684–689. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ mds.21896</u> PMID: <u>18175353</u>
- Kloos AD, Kegelmeyer DA, Fritz NE, Daley AM, Young GS, Kostyk SK. Cognitive Dysfunction Contributes to Mobility Impairments in Huntington's Disease. J Huntingtons Dis. 2017; 6: 363–370. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/JHD-170279 PMID: 29254103</u>
- Paulsen JS. Cognitive impairment in Huntington disease: diagnosis and treatment. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep. 2011; 11: 474–483. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-011-0215-x</u> PMID: <u>21861097</u>
- Amboni M, Barone P, Iuppariello L, Lista I, Tranfaglia R, Fasano A, et al. Gait patterns in Parkinsonian patients with or without mild cognitive impairment. Mov Disord. 2012; 27: 1536–1543. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25165</u> PMID: 23032876
- Fritz NE, Hamana K, Kelson M, Rosser A, Busse M, Quinn L. Motor-cognitive dual-task deficits in individuals with early-mid stage Huntington disease. Gait Posture. 2016; 49: 283–289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.07.014</u> PMID: 27474949
- Hamilton F, Rochester L, Paul L, Rafferty D, O'Leary CP, Evans JJ. Walking and talking: an investigation of cognitive-motor dual tasking in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2009; 15: 1215–1227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458509106712</u> PMID: 19667011
- Purcell NL, Goldman JG, Ouyang B, Bernard B, O'Keefe JA. The Effects of Dual-Task Cognitive Interference and Environmental Challenges on Balance in Huntington's Disease. Mov Disord Clin Pract. 2019; 6: 202–212. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mdc3.12720</u> PMID: <u>30949551</u>
- Vaportzis E, Georgiou-Karistianis N, Churchyard A, Stout JC. Dual Task Performance May be a Better Measure of Cognitive Processing in Huntington's Disease than Traditional Attention Tests. J Huntingtons Dis. 2015; 4: 119–130. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/JHD-140131</u> PMID: <u>26397893</u>
- Callisaya ML, Blizzard L, McGinley JL, Srikanth VK. Risk of falls in older people during fast-walking the TASCOG study. Gait Posture. 2012; 36: 510–515. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.05.003</u> PMID: 22682610
- Comber L, Galvin R, Coote S. Gait deficits in people with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Gait Posture. 2017; 51: 25–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.09.026</u> PMID: 27693958
- Bilney B, Morris ME, Churchyard A, Chiu E, Georgiou-Karistianis N. Evidence for a disorder of locomotor timing in Huntington's disease. Mov Disord. 2005; 20: 51–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20294</u> PMID: <u>15390128</u>
- Huntington SG. Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale: reliability and consistency. Mov Disord. 1996; 11: 136–142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870110204</u> PMID: 8684382
- Hart EP, Marinus J, Burgunder JM, Bentivoglio AR, Craufurd D, Reilmann R, et al. Better global and cognitive functioning in choreatic versus hypokinetic-rigid Huntington's disease. Mov Disord. 2013; 28: 1142–1145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25422</u> PMID: <u>23495076</u>
- Mancini M, King L, Salarian A, Holmstrom L, McNames J, Horak FB. Mobility Lab to Assess Balance and Gait with Synchronized Body-worn Sensors. J Bioeng Biomed Sci. 2011;Suppl 1: 007–9538.S1-007.
- Bohannon RW, Wang YC, Gershon RC. Two-minute walk test performance by adults 18 to 85 years: normative values, reliability, and responsiveness. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015; 96: 472–477. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.10.006</u> PMID: 25450135
- Gijbels D, Eijnde BO, Feys P. Comparison of the 2- and 6-minute walk test in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2011; 17: 1269–1272. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458511408475</u> PMID: <u>21642370</u>

- Schwenk M, Zieschang T, Oster P, Hauer K. Dual-task performances can be improved in patients with dementia: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology. 2010; 74: 1961–1968. <u>https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e3181e39696</u> PMID: 20445152
- Freitas S, Simoes MR, Alves L, Santana I. Montreal cognitive assessment: validation study for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2013; 27: 37–43. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1097/WAD.0b013e3182420bfe PMID: 22193353</u>
- 26. Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale WAIS-IV; Technical and Interpretive Manual: Pearson; 2008.
- Smith A. The symbol-digit modalities test: a neuropsychologic test of learning and other cerebral disorders. Special Child Publications. 1968; 83.
- Seo EH, Lee DY, Lee JH, Choo IH, Kim JW, Kim SG, et al. Total scores of the CERAD neuropsychological assessment battery: validation for mild cognitive impairment and dementia patients with diverse etiologies. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2010; 18: 801–809. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181cab764</u> PMID: <u>20220577</u>
- Gullett JM, Price CC, Nguyen P, Okun MS, Bauer RM, Bowers D. Reliability of three Benton Judgment of Line Orientation short forms in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Clin Neuropsychol. 2013; 27: 1167– 1178. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2013.827744</u> PMID: <u>23957375</u>
- 30. Kertesz A. Western Aphasia Battery. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 1982.
- Berg K, Wood-Dauphinee S, Williams JI. The Balance Scale: reliability assessment with elderly residents and patients with an acute stroke. Scand J Rehabil Med. 1995; 27: 27–36. PMID: <u>7792547</u>
- Powell LE, Myers AM. The Activities-specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1995; 50A: M28–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/50a.1.m28</u> PMID: <u>7814786</u>
- **33.** Herman T, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Properties of the 'timed up and go' test: more than meets the eye. Gerontology. 2011; 57: 203–210. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000314963</u> PMID: 20484884
- King LA, Mancini M, Priest K, Salarian A, Rodrigues-de-Paula F, Horak F. Do clinical scales of balance reflect turning abnormalities in people with Parkinson's disease? J Neurol Phys Ther. 2012; 36: 25–31. https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0b013e31824620d1 PMID: 22333919
- Pal G, O'Keefe J, Robertson-Dick E, Bernard B, Anderson S, Hall D. Global cognitive function and processing speed are associated with gait and balance dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2016; 13: 94. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-016-0205-y PMID: 27793167
- Grimbergen YA, Knol MJ, Bloem BR, Kremer BP, Roos RA, Munneke M. Falls and gait disturbances in Huntington's disease. Mov Disord. 2008; 23: 970–976. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22003</u> PMID: 18381643
- Bloem BR, Grimbergen YA, van Dijk JG, Munneke M. The "posture second" strategy: a review of wrong priorities in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci. 2006; 248: 196–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2006.</u> 05.010 PMID: <u>16806270</u>
- Nocera JR, Roemmich R, Elrod J, Altmann LJ, Hass CJ. Effects of cognitive task on gait initiation in Parkinson disease: evidence of motor prioritization? J Rehabil Res Dev. 2013; 50: 699–708. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1682/jrrd.2012.06.0114</u> PMID: 24013917
- de Souza Fortaleza AC, Mancini M, Carlson-Kuhta P, King LA, Nutt JG, Chagas EF, et al. Dual task interference on postural sway, postural transitions and gait in people with Parkinson's disease and freezing of gait. Gait Posture. 2017; 56: 76–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.05.006</u> PMID: 28521148
- Lord S, Galna B, Coleman S, Yarnall A, Burn D, Rochester L. Cognition and gait show a selective pattern of association dominated by phenotype in incident Parkinson's disease. Front Aging Neurosci. 2014; 6: 249. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2014.00249</u> PMID: <u>25374538</u>
- Yogev G, Giladi N, Peretz C, Springer S, Simon ES, Hausdorff JM. Dual tasking, gait rhythmicity, and Parkinson's disease: which aspects of gait are attention demanding? Eur J Neurosci. 2005; 22: 1248– 1256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04298.x</u> PMID: <u>16176368</u>
- Maki BE. Gait changes in older adults: predictors of falls or indicators of fear. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1997; 45: 313–320. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1997.tb00946.x PMID: 9063277
- Vuong K, Canning CG, Menant JC, Loy CT. Gait, balance, and falls in Huntington disease. Handb Clin Neurol. 2018; 159: 251–260. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63916-5.00016-1</u> PMID: <u>30482318</u>
- Hausdorff JM, Cudkowicz ME, Firtion R, Wei JY, Goldberger AL. Gait variability and basal ganglia disorders: stride-to-stride variations of gait cycle timing in Parkinson's disease and Huntington's disease. Mov Disord. 1998; 13: 428–437. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870130310 PMID: 9613733
- Kwon MS, Kwon YR, Park YS, Kim JW. Comparison of gait patterns in elderly fallers and non-fallers. Technol Health Care. 2018; 26: 427–436. <u>https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-174736</u> PMID: <u>29758966</u>

- 46. Wajda DA, Motl RW, Sosnoff JJ. Dual task cost of walking is related to fall risk in persons with multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci. 2013; 335: 160–163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2013.09.021</u> PMID: <u>24090757</u>
- Mielke MM, Roberts RO, Savica R, Cha R, Drubach DI, Christianson T, et al. Assessing the temporal relationship between cognition and gait: slow gait predicts cognitive decline in the Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2013; 68: 929–937. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/gls256</u> PMID: 23250002
- Beckmann H, Bohlen S, Saft C, Hoffmann R, Gerss J, Muratori L, et al. Objective assessment of gait and posture in premanifest and manifest Huntington disease—A multi-center study. Gait Posture. 2018; 62: 451–457. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.03.039</u> PMID: 29660633
- Pyo SJ, Kim H, Kim IS, Park YM, Kim MJ, Lee HM, et al. Quantitative Gait Analysis in Patients with Huntington's Disease. J Mov Disord. 2017; 10: 140–144. <u>https://doi.org/10.14802/jmd.17041</u> PMID: 28851209
- Pistacchi M, Gioulis M, Sanson F, De Giovannini E, Filippi G, Rossetto F, et al. Gait analysis and clinical correlations in early Parkinson's disease. Funct Neurol. 2017; 32: 28–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.11138/ FNeur/2017.32.1.028</u> PMID: 28380321
- O'Keefe JA, Robertson-Dick EE, Hall DA, Berry-Kravis E. Gait and Functional Mobility Deficits in Fragile X-Associated Tremor/Ataxia Syndrome. Cerebellum. 2016; 15: 475–482. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12311-015-0714-4</u> PMID: <u>26298472</u>
- 52. Cruz-Jimenez M. Normal Changes in Gait and Mobility Problems in the Elderly. Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. 2017; 28: 713–725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2017.06.005 PMID: 29031338
- Cromwell RL, Newton RA. Relationship between balance and gait stability in healthy older adults. J Aging Phys Act. 2004; 12: 90–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.12.1.90</u> PMID: <u>15211023</u>
- 54. Termsarasab P, Frucht SJ. The "Stutter-Step": A Peculiar Gait Feature in Advanced Huntington's Disease and Chorea-Acanthocytosis. Mov Disord Clin Pract. 2018; 5: 223–224. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mdc3.12586</u> PMID: <u>30746406</u>