DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 113 342

SP. 009 579

AUTHOR

PUB DATE

NOTE

Cracraft, Joe D. The Effects of Exercise on the Firing Patterns of Single Motor Units. May 75

18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine (New Orleans, Louisiana, May 22-24, 1975)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTÓRS HF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage
Athletics; *Biochemistry; *Exercise (Physiology);
*Motor Reactions; Muscular Strength; Physical
Activities; Physical Fitness; Physiology;
Statistical Studies
*Firing Patterns

IDENTIFIERS

ABSTRACT -

In this study, the training effects of static and dynamic exercise programs on the firing patterns of 450 single motor units (SMU) in the human tibialis anterior muscle were investigated. In a six week program, the static group (N=5) participated in daily high intensity, short duration, isometric exercises while the dynamic group (N=5) participated three times weekly in low intensity, moderate duration, isotonic exercises. The control group (N=4) did not participate in an exercise program. Pre- and post-test SHU firing patterns were recorded by means of 26 gauge tygon coated monopolar needle electrodes. In order to assess the degree of impersistence or periodicity of motor unit firing, an interspike interval (ISI) longer than 260 milliseconds was defined as a lapse in motor firing. An individual ratio of normal ISIs to lapses for each SMU three minute recording run and the mean ratio for each subject (MLR) were calculated. Significant differences were found between MLR pre- and post-test values for both groups (p less than 0.05). These results indicate that changes in motor unit firing can be produced by specific exercise programs. High intensity, short duration exercises produce more variable than normal firing rates, and low intensity, long duration exercises produce firing rates less variable than normal. (Author/BD)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. EFIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original.

THE EFFECTS OF EXERCISE ON THE FIRING PATTERNS

ED113342

SP109 579

OF SINGLE MOTOR UNITS

by

Joe D. Cracraft Ph.D. School of Health, Physical Education, · and Recreation University of Southern Mississippi Hattiesburg, Mississippi 39401

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EQUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN WEPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PESIDO DE DEGATATION ORIGIN STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY BEPRE SENTOTICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Presented to

. . 9

American College of Sports Medicine Annual Meeting Free Communication Section New Orleans, Louisiana

May 22-24, 1975

THE EFFECTS OF EXERCISE ON THE FIRING PATTERNS OF SINGLE MOTOR UNITS

Research on skeletal muscle has indicated that: 1. Muscle fibers undergo dynamic alterations or adaptations in the form of structural, functional, and/or biochemical changes as a result of muscular stress or overloading.

- Skeletal muscle fibers can be divided into two general groups on the basis of structural, functional, biochemical, and electromyographical analysis.
- 3. Skeletal muscle fibers and motoneuron together act as a single functional unit, the single motor unit, and are dependent on each other for survival.
- Electromyographic techniques can be used to record and analyze the intrinsic firing patterns of single motor units and provides a means of classifying same.

The following statement evolved from these findings. If a group of skeletal muscle fibers and a motoneuron do act as a unit then changes should occur simultaneously in both as a result of overloading or exercise, and these alterations should be reflected by changes in the firing patterns of the single motor units. This study was designed to test this premise by

measuring the training effects of static and dynamic exercises on the firing patterns of single motor units.

METHODS

450 single motor units were sampled from the Tibialis Anterior of 14 male college students who were trained to isolate and control single motor units. The subjects were randomly assigned to three experimental groups --- control, static, and dynamic. The static group participated in a high resistance, short duration, isometric exercise program, 5-days-a-week, while the dynamic group participated in a low-resistance, medium duration, exercise program, 3-days-a-week for six weeks. The control group did not participate in a directed exercise program and none of the groups were restricted in daily activities.

The chronology of data collection was:

1. Pretest for single motor unit firing patterns.

2. Pretest for strength and endurance.

 Implementation of the six week exercise treatment program.

4. Posttest for strength.

5. Posttest for single motor unit firing patterns.

4

The single motor unit firing pattern data were collected and recorded on magnetic tape during a three-minute recording run. During the first minute, a controlled, slow-firing rate was recorded. Medium and fast-firing rates were recorded during the second and third minutes of each run. Figure #1 depicts short segments of each run as recorded on an EMG paper print-out. The instructions for time and rate of firing were conveyed to the subject through the use of three-remote controlled lights mounted on the EMG equipment.

The data were analyzed with the aid of a Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-9 computer. Each three-minute run was analyzed for variability in inter-spike intervals. Notice in Figure #2 the variable distance between spikes in this slow firing rate segment. This variability was used as the basic measure for determining if a change had actually occurred in the firing patterns as a result of exercise.

The result of this analysis was converted into interval histograms which delineated the non-lapse, lapse, and pause areas (Figure #3). The limits established for each area resulted from the analysis of three-minute single motor unit runs sampled from the first dorsal interroseous muscle. Subsequent analysis of tibialis anterior single motor units indicated that these are acceptable limits.

Individual lapse ratios (ILR) were then determined by dividing the non-lapse area under the interval histogram by the lapse area. The "Individual Lapse Ratio" of this three-







Figure 1. An example of three different rates of firing of a Single Motor Unit as recorded on an EMG paper print-out.







 ∞

· · · · · · · · ·

minute single motor unit run was 56.655. Mean lapse ratios for each subject resulted from the analysis of all pretest or posttest single motor unit runs for each individual.

STATISTICAL PROCEDURES

Two different statistical procedures were used to analyze the individual lapse ratio and the mean lapse ratio data. The small number of subjects necessitated the use of non-parametric statistics when analying the mean lapse ratio. Analysis of variance statistical procedures were used to analyze individual lapse ratios.

RESULTS

Table 1 provides the results of the non-parametric analysis. Section A indicates that the difference in mean lapse ratios between the groups was not significant $(p \diamond or > 0.10)$, and the groups were considered homogenous as a result. Sections B, C, and D indicate the mean lapse ratios of the dynamic and static exercise groups changed significantly between preand posttest (p < 0.05 and p < 0.05, respectively) while no significant change is indicated in the control group (p > 0.05).

A parametric statistical analysis of individual lapse ratios produced similar findings. These findings are summarized

.9

• •	'		
	Summary of	Non-Parametric Analysis	
1	• 1,	· \.	
	Mann-Whitney U	Test Values for Homogeneity	7
	between the	Three Pretest Groups	
	Noon To	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	Control (X)	Static (Y) Dynam	C(7)
	N=7	N-10 . N=9	
A	·		
	Rank	Rank Rank	
	5 R() 75* . 78	SR()64** 725R()95*** 95	· · ·
	\	2	
	*p == 0.10	**p>0.10 ***p> 0.1	LO
B .	Ranks R(+) ΣR(+) 40	Ranks R(-) 5*R(-) 5	
12 C	N_ 7		
Wilcox betw	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5	* $p \le 0.05$ gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) S R(-) 50	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ∑*R(+) 5 N=10	*p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 50 *p<0.05	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ≲*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a	*p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 50 *p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group G	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ∑*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ≤*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+)	<pre>*p≤0.05 .gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ∑ R(-) 50 *p≤0.05 .gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-)</pre>	apse Ratios Scores apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) S*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) S*R(+) 7	*p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 50 *p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 21	apse Ratios Scores apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	*p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 50 *p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios Scores apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5 * R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5 * R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ∑ R(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ∑ R(-) 21 *p >0.05	apse Ratios Scores apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) Σ R(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios apse Ratios cores
Wilcox A C Wilcox -D	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ∑*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) ∑*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I anks R(-) ΣR(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ≤*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) ∑*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I attest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ∑R(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ∑R(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios Scores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	n Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) ≲*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) ∑*R(+) 7 N=9	*p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 50 *p<0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios o Scores
Wilcox C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I and Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ΣR(-) 21 *p>0.05	apse Ratios apse Ratios cores
Wilcox betw C Wilcox	N=7 on Matched Pairs Si een Pretest and Pos Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 5 N=10 on Matched Pairs Si between Pretest a Ranks R(+) 5*R(+) 7 N=9	<pre>*p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I sttest Static Exercise Group Ranks R(-) ∑ R(-) 50 *p≤0.05 gned Ranks Tests for Mean I nd Posttest Control Group S Ranks R(-) ∑ R(-) 21 *p>0.05</pre>	apse Ratios apse Ratios cores

7

. .

..

à,

• •

• •

in Table 2. Between-groups analysis indicated that the groups were homogeneous prior to the treatment program but were significantly different after the treatment program. The within-groups, pre-test to post-test F ratios were not significant for the control and dynamic experimental groups $(p \ge 0.05$ for both), but was significant for the static experimental.group $(p \le 0.05)$.

DISCUSSION

The primary interest in this research was to determine if the firing patterns of single motor units could provide a means for measuring the dynamic changes that occur in muscle fibers as a result of muscle overloading. Overloading took the form of static and dynamic exercise which emphasized strength and endurance development, respectively. The results indicate that single motor unit activity may reflect changes that occur in the muscle since the high intensity strength exercise program produced significant increases in variability in the inter-spike intervals (ISI) of firing single motor units while the low intensity endurance exercise program produced singificant decreases in variability in the ISIs of firing single motor units.

The differentiation of single motor units on the basis of general motor function was accomplished by Tokizane and

Table II

Summary of Analysis of Variance

Source of Variance	DF	MS	ਸ F	р.	
• • • • >			•/		
BETWEEN GROUPS -	2 · ·	2462.1250	2.594	0.0753	
Error	201	946.2825			
WITHIN GROUPS	1	1730.7500	4.375	0.0354	, č
Error .	204	413.3193	•		
GROUPS BY TRIAL	• 2	1537.7812	3.887	0.0215	
- Error	201 -	395.5764		•	-
BETWEEN GROUPS					5
PRETEST	2	985.7812	1.280	0.2795	
· Error ,	217	770.4231			
POSTTEST	2	3370.9375	5.866	0.0037	3
Error	217 *	574.6509	•		
ANALYSIS BY TRIALS	· .				
(Within Groups)	4.5		1		
CONTROL GROUP	. 1	727.6875	0.887	0.6466	· ·
Error	47 -	820.0996			
DYNAMIC GROUP	. 7 .	301.1250	1.281	0.2605	
Érror	• 73	235.1515			
STATIC GROUP	1 • •.	3777.5625	12.859	0.0009	
Error	81 .	293.7654			
Construction of the second					

1

12

Shimazu (1964). Their research was based upon the premise that the single motor unit was a unitary functional unit in which the muscle fibers and motoneuron act as a single unit during voluntary muscle contraction. The unitary concept can be readily seen when viewed in terms of motoneuron and muscle fiber impulses. Tokizane and Shimazu's "law of correspondence between nerve and muscle impulses" indicated that a single impulse which passes along the motoneuron in turn initiates muscle contraction in all the fibers innervated by that neuron. "Thus to each nerve impulse precisely corresponds a muscle reaction; and conversely, every muscle fiber impulse if preceded by a specific nerve impulse . . ." From this, it was concluded that the analysis of single motor unit activity would provide one means of analyzing motor system activity during voluntary muscle contraction.

The classification of single motor units into tonic and phasic types on the basis of variability inter-spike intervals resulted from this analysis of motor activity. Tonic units had more stable inter-spike intervals during low firing frequencies than phasic single motor to its. It was assumed that the tonic single motor units corresponded to Type I fibers while phasic single motor units corresponded to Type II fibers. This relationship has been gonfirmed by extensive research on the morphological,

cytochemical and functional characteristics of muscle fiber, motoneurons and single motor units.

The ability to critically analyze single motor init activity has in turn provided a possible means for measuring. changes that may occur in the components of the single motor unit. One of the first investigations into the effects of exercise and training on single motor unit activity was undertaken by Kawakami (1955). Kawakami used the method first proposed by Tokizane in 1953 to analyze, changes in single motor unit activity which resulted from training or exercise. The results of that project indicated that the analysis of single motor unit activity could be used for measuring changes that occur in the single motor unit.

It was, therefore, hypothesized (in the null) on the basis of Kawakami's findings, that dynamic changes occurring in the components of a single motor unit result in comparable changes in single motor unit activity. Thus the dynamic changes which occurred in muscle fibers as a result of exercise (Jeffress, & Peter, 1970) could be monitored through changes in single motor unit activity. The results of this study have provided support; for this assumption.

The failure of the dynamic exercise group to change significantly may have resulted from an ineffective exercise program; the intensity and/or duration may not have produced

sufficient changes in the TA muscle. The functional demands on the muscle may also account for this lack of change; the muscle fibers may be more tonic and less subject to change as a result of dynamic exercises. Another likely source of error was sample size as small samples negate the power of statistical procedures used. A larger sample of subjects and single motor units may have resulted in significant changes between pre and posttest for the dynamic exercise group. A subsequent study using larger samples is necessary in order to substantiate the findings of this research.

REFERENCES .

- Barnard, R. J., V. R. Edgerton and J. B. Peter. Effect of exercise on skeletal muscle. I. Biochemical and histochemical. properties. <u>Journal of Applied Physiology</u> 28:762-766, 1970a.
 - Barnard, R. J., V. R. Edgerton and J. B. Peter. Effect of exercise on skeletal muscle II. Contractile properties. Journal of Applied Physiology 28:767-770, 1970b.
 - Journal of Applied Physiology 28:767-770, 1970b. 3. Basmajian, J. V. Control and training of individual motor units. Science 141:440-441, 1963.
 - Basmajian, J. R. <u>Muscles alive: Their functions revealed by</u> <u>electromyography</u>. Baltimore: The Williams and Wilkins Company, 1967.
 - Buller, A. J., J. C. Eccles and R. M. Eccles. Differentiation of fast and slow muscles in the cat hind limb. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Physiology</u> 150:399-416, 1960a.
- Buller, A. J., J. C. Eccles and R. M. Eccles. Interactions between motoneurons and muscles in respect of the characteristic speeds of their responses. <u>Journal of Physiology</u> 150:417-439, 1960b.
- Buller, A. J. and D. M. Lewis. The rate of tension development in isometric tetanic contractions of mammalian fast and slow skeletal muscle. Journal of Physiology 176:337-354, 1965a.
- skeletal muscle. Journal of Physiology 176:337-354, 1965a.
 8. Buller, A. J. and D. M. Lewis. Further observations on the differentiation of skeletal muscles in the kitten hind limb. Journal of Physiology 176:355-370, 1965b.
- Burke, R. E. Composite nature of the monosynaptic excitatory postsynaptic potential. <u>Journal of Neurophysiology</u> 30:1114-1137, 1967.
- 10. Carrow, R. E., R. E. Brown and W. D. Van Huss. Fiber sizes and capillary to fiber ratios in skeletal muscle of exercised rats. Anatomical Records 159:33-40, 1967.
- Dawson D. M. and F. C. A. Romanul. Enzymes in muscle. II. Histochemical and quantitiative studies. <u>Archives of Neurology</u> 11:369-378, 1964.
- 12. Drachman, D. B. Is acetylcholine the trophic neuromuscular transmitter? Archives of Neurology 17:206-218, 1967.
- 13. Drachman, D. B. and J. Houk. Effect of botulinum toxin on speed of skeletal muscle contraction. American Journal of Physiology 216:1453-1455, 1969.
- Drachman, D. B. and F. C. A. Romanul. Effect of neuromuscular blockade on enzymatic activities of muscles. <u>Archives of</u> Neurology 23:85-89, 1970.
- Eccles, J. C., R. M. Eccles and W. Kozak. Further investigations
 on the influence of motoneurons on the speed of muscle contraction. Journal of Physiology 163:324-339, 1962.

- 16. Eccles, J. C., *R. M. Eccles and A. Lundberg. The action potentials of the alpha motoneurons supplying fast and slow muscles. Journal of Physiology 142:275-291, 1958.
- 17. Edgerton, V. R., L. Gerchman and R. Carrow. Histochemical changes in mat skeletal muscle after exercise. Experimental Neurology 24:110-123, 1969.
- 18. Fex, S., B. Sonesson, S. Thesleff and J. Zelena. Nerve implants in botulinum poisoned mammalian muscle. Journal of Physiology 184:872-882, 1966,

19. Goldspink, G. The combined effects of exercise and reduced food intake in skeletal muscle fibers. Journal of Cellular and Comparative Physiology 63:209-216, 1964. Gollnick, P. D. and D. W. King. The immediate and chronic

20. effects of exercise on the number and structure of skeletal muscle mitochondria. Biochemistry of Exercise, Medicine and Sport 3:239-244, 1969.

- 21. Guth, L. "Tropic" influences of nerve on muscle. Physiological Reviews 48:645-687, 1968.
- 22. Guth, L. "Trophic" effects of vertebrate neurons. Neuroscience Research. Program Bulletin 7:1-73, 1969.
- Guth, L. and P. K. Watson. The influence of innervation on 23. the soluable proteins of slow and fast muscles of the rat. Experimental Neurology 17:107-117, 1967.
- 24. Guth, L., P. K. Watson and W. C. Brown'. Effects of crossreinnervation on some chemical properties of red and white muscles of rat and cat. Experimental Neurology 20:52-69, 1968.
- 25. Harrison, V. F. and O. A. Mortensen. Identification and voluntary control of single motor unit activity in the tibialis anterior muscle. Anatomical Records 144:109-116, 1962.
- 26. Henneman, E. and C. B. Olson. Relations between structure and function in the design of skeletal muscles. Journal of Neurophysiology 28:581-598, 1965. Hislop, H. J. Quantitative changes in human muscular strength
- 27. during isometric exercise. Journal of American Physical Therapy Association 42:(1)21-38, 1963.
- 28. Hogan, E. L., D. M. Dawson and F. C. A. Romanul. Enzymatic changes in denervated muscle. II. Biochemical Studies. Archives of Neurology 13:274-282, 1965. 29. Holloszy, J. O. Biochemical adaptations in muscle. Journal of
- Biológical Chemistry 242:2278-2282, 1967.
- 30. Jeffress, R. N. and J. B. Peter. Adaptation of skeletal muscle to overloading - a review. Bulletin of the Los Angeles Neurological Society 35:134-144, 1970.
- 31. Jeffress, R. N., J. B. Peter and D. R. Lamb. Effects of exercise on glycogen synthetase in red and white skeletal muscle. Life Sciences 7:957-960; 1968.

- Kawakami, M. Training effect and electro-myogram. I. Spatial distribution of spike potentials. <u>Journal of Physiology (Japan)</u> 5:1-8, 1955.
- Petajan, J. H. and R. A. Porter. Lapsing phenomenon in motor unit firing. Presented to the VIII Congress International D'EEG Marseille 1973, Marseille, France.
- Peter, J. B., R. N. Jeffress and D. R. Lamb. Exercise: effects on hexokinase activity in red and white skeletal muscle. <u>Science</u> 160:200-201, 1968.
- Prewitt, M. A. and B. Salafsky. Effect of cross innervation on biochemical characteristics of skeletal muscles. <u>American Journal</u> of Physiology 213:295-300, 1967.
 Romanul, F. C. A. Capillary supply and metabolism of muscle
- 36. Romanul, F. C. A. Capillary supply and metabolism of muscle fibers. Archives of Neurology 12:497-509, 1965.
- Romanul, F. C. A. and E. L. Hogan. Enzymatic changes in denervated muscle. I Histochemical Studies. <u>Archives of Neurology</u> 13:263-273, 1965.
- 38. Romanul, F. C. A. and J. P. Van Der Meulen. Reversal of the enzyme profiles of muscle fibres in fast and slow muscles by cross-innervation. Nature 212:1369-1370, 1966.
- 39. Romanul, F. C. A. and J. P. Van Der Meulen. Slow and fast muscles after cross innervation. <u>Archives of Neurology</u> 17:387-402, 1967.
- 40: Susheela, A, K. and J. N. Walton. Note on the distribution of histochemical fibre types in some normal human muscles a study on autopsy material. <u>Journal of Neurological Science</u> 8:201-207, 1969.
- Thesleff, S. Supersensitivity of skeletal muscle produced by botulinum toxin. Journal of Physiology 151:598-607, 1960a.
 Thesleff, S: Effects of motor innervation on the chemical
- 42. Thesleff, S: Effects of motor innervation on the chemical sensitivity of skeletal muscle. <u>Physiology Reviews</u> 40:734-752, 1960b.
- 43. Tokizane, J., and H. Shimazu. Functional differentiation of human skeletal muscle. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, 1964.
- 44. Vrbova, G. Changes in the motor reflexes produced by tenotomy. Journal of Physiology 166:241-250, 1963a.
- Vrbova, G. The effect of motoneurone activity on the speed of contraction of striated muscle. Journal of Physiology 169:513-526, 1963b.
- 46. Wuerker, R. B., A. M. McPhedran and E. Henneman. Properties of motor units in a heterogeneous pale muscle (M. gastrocnemius) of the cat. Journal of Neurophysiology 28:85-99, 1965.
- 47. Yellin, H. Neural regulation of enzymes in muscle fibers of red # and white muscle. Experimental Neurology 19:92-103, 1967a.
- Yellin, H. Muscle fiber plasticity and the creation of localized motor units. Anatomical Record 157:345, 1967b.