

International Journal of Epidemiology, 2017, 32–43 doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw021 Advance Access Publication Date: 13 April 2016 Original article



Miscellaneous

The effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis

Reem Waziry,^{1,2} Mohammed Jawad,^{3,4} Rami A Ballout,⁵ Mohammad Al Akel¹ and Elie A Akl^{6,7}*

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon, ²Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ³Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK, ⁴Academic Department of Primary Care and Population Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, ⁵Faculty of Medicine, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon, ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, American University, Beirut, Lebanon and ⁷Department of Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

*Corresponding author. Department of Internal Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center, P.O. Box: 11-0236, Riad-El-Solh Beirut 1107 2020, Beirut, Lebanon. E-mail: ea32@aub.edu.lb

Accepted 20 January 2016

Abstract

Background and aims: A systematic review conducted in 2008 found significant associations between waterpipe tobacco smoking and lung cancer, respiratory disease, periodontal disease and low birthweight. Since then, a number of relevant studies have been published. The objective of this study was to update the systematic review on the effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes.

Methods: In May 2015 we electronically searched the following databases with no date restrictions: MEDLINE, EMBASE and the ISI Web of Science using a detailed search strategy with no language restrictions. We also screened the references' lists of the included studies. We included cohort, case-control and cross-sectional studies, and excluded case reports, conference abstracts, editorials and reviews. We excluded studies not conducted in humans, assessing physiological outcomes, not distinguishing waterpipe tobacco smoking from other forms of smoking or not reporting association measures. We assessed risk of bias for each included study and conducted meta-analyses for each of the outcomes of interest.

Results: We identified 50 eligible studies. We found that waterpipe tobacco smoking was significantly associated with: respiratory diseases [COPD; odds ratio (OR) = 3.18, 95% confidence interval CI = 1.25, 8.08; bronchitis OR = 2.37, 95% CI = 1.49, 3.77; passive waterpipe smoking and wheeze OR) = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.28, 3.04]; oral cancer OR = 4.17, 95% CI = 2.53, 6.89; lung cancer OR = 2.12, 95% CI = 1.32, 3.42; low birthweight (OR = 2.39, 95% CI = 1.32, 4.32); metabolic syndrome (OR 1.63–1.95, 95% CI = 1.25, 2.45); cardiovascular disease (OR = 1.67, 95% CI = 1.25, 2.24); and mental health (OR 1.30–2.4,

95% CI = 1.20, 2.80). Waterpipe tobacco smoking was not significantly associated with: oesophageal cancer (OR = 4.14, 95% CI = 0.93, 18.46); worse quality of life scores [stand-ardized mean difference (SMD) = -0.16, 95% CI = -0.66, 0.34]; gastric carcinoma (OR = 2.16, 95% CI = 0.72, 6.47); bladder cancer (OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 0.99, 1.57); prostate cancer (OR = 7.00, 95% CI = 0.90, 56.90); hepatitis C infection (OR = 0.98, 95% 0.80, 1.21); periodontal disease (OR = 3.00, 5.00); gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 1.01, 1.56); nasopharyngeal carcinoma (OR = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.20, 1.23); bladder cancer (OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 0.99, 1.57); infertility (OR = 2.50, 95% CI = 1.00, 6.30); and mortality (OR = 1.15, 95% CI = 0.93, 1.43).

Conclusions: There is accumulating evidence about the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with a growing number of health outcomes.

Key words: Waterpipe tobacco smoking, health effects, systematic review

Key Messages

- Waterpipe tobacco smoking is likely associated with oral cancer and lung cancer.
- It is also likely associated with respiratory diseases, low birthweight, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease and mental health.
- Waterpipe tobacco smoking is likely not associated with oesophageal cancer, gastric carcinoma, bladder cancer or prostate cancer.
- It is also likely not associated with hepatitis C infection, periodontal disease, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, infertility or mortality.

Background

The past decade has witnessed a steady increase in waterpipe tobacco smoking, especially among the younger age groups.^{1,2} A systematic review found that school and university students have the highest prevalence of waterpipe tobacco smoking across countries.³ In the Global Adult Tobacco Survey^{4,5} conducted in 13 low- and middleincome countries, the prevalence of waterpipe use among men was highest in Vietnam (13%) and Egypt (6.2%). Among women, waterpipe use was highest in Russia (3.2%) and Ukraine (1.1%). Even though the Middle Eastern youth are affected the most by the waterpipe smoking epidemic, over the past two decades many studies have reported increase in waterpipe use among youth in North America and Europe.^{6–9}

We systematically reviewed the literature in 2008 and found significant associations between waterpipe tobacco smoking and a number of health outcomes.¹⁰ For example, waterpipe tobacco smoking was associated with increased odds of lung cancer [odds ratio (OR) = 2.12] and respiratory disease (OR = 2.30). We also found evidence suggesting clinically significant association with periodontal disease (OR = 3–5) and low birthweight (OR = 2.12).

The available evidence at that time did not allow ruling out or confirming an association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and bladder cancer, nasopharyngeal cancer, oesophageal cancer, oral dysplasia and infertility. Since then, newly published studies have addressed some of these outcomes (e.g. oesophageal carcinoma)^{11–12} as well as additional outcomes [e.g. quality of life, cardiovascular disease, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD)].^{13–17} Therefore, the objective of this study was to update our systematic review of the medical literature on the effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes.

Methods

Eligibility criteria

We included observational studies (i.e. cohort studies, case-control studies and cross-sectional studies). The exposure of interest was waterpipe tobacco smoking and the outcomes of interest were any health outcomes. We excluded: case reports; case series; outbreak investigations; and abstracts. We also excluded studies: assessing waterpipe use for non-tobacco smoking purposes (e.g. marijuana smoking and other recreational drug use); not distinguishing waterpipe tobacco smoking from other forms of smoking; assessing physiological [e.g. forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)] or other surrogate outcomes (e.g., artery occlusion); and not reporting any measure of association.

Search strategy

In May 2015, we updated the literature search originally conducted in June 2008. We used the OVID interface to electronically search MEDLINE (1950 onwards) and EMBASE (1980 onwards). We also searched the ISI Web of Science. Appendix 1 & Appendix 2 (available as Supplementary data at IJE online) presents our detailed search strategy. We designed the search strategy based on extensive internet search for waterpipe synonyms, and the search strategy used by Akl et al.¹⁰ The strategy consisted of the synonyms for waterpipe (e.g. 13 synonyms in the Medline strategy) but did not include any study design filter and was not restricted to any language. Two medical librarians reviewed and provided input on the search strategy. Additional search strategies included: (i) a review of the reference lists of included studies; (ii) the use of the 'Related citations' feature in PubMed; and (iii) an ongoing surveillance of the literature in place while updating the manuscript.

Selection process

Teams of two reviewers independently screened the title and abstract of identified citations for potential eligibility. We acquired the full texts of citations judged as potentially eligible by at least one of two reviewers. Next, two reviewers used a standardized and pilot-tested form to independently screen each full text for eligibility. Disagreements were resolved by discussion or by consulting a third reviewer.

Data abstraction

Teams of two reviewers used a standardized and pilottested form to independently abstract data. Disagreements were resolved by discussion or by consulting a third reviewer. Data abstracted from individual studies included information about study design, population, exposure, outcomes, methodological features, results and funding.

Risk of bias assessment

We have assessed the risk of bias of all the included studies based on the following four commonly used criteria: selection bias; information bias; confounding; and completeness of data. The risk of bias was rated as 'high' in studies that failed three or more of these criteria, 'moderate' in studies that failed one or two criteria and 'low' in studies that failed none of them. To assess selection bias, we reviewed sampling of participants, their recruitment and their representativeness. We have assessed information bias for measurement of exposure and outcome with regard to using validated tools with adequate evidence of validation provided. Confounding assessment was based on whether authors reported controlling for relevant confounders with adequate details (e.g. in the design phase through matching and/or in the analysis through adjustment). Completeness of data was based on whether authors provided information about missing data and participation rate (Appendix 3 & Appendix 4, available as Supplementary data at *IJE* online).

Data analysis

Agreement between the reviewers was calculated using Cohen's kappa statistic. We conducted meta-analyses for the outcomes for which at least two studies reported effect estimates of their association with waterpipe tobacco smoking. When a study reported more than one relevant effect estimate, we selected the one that adjusted for the maximum number of confounders, particularly for other forms of tobacco smoking.

For continuous outcomes using different scales, we calculated the standardized mean difference (SMD) for each study and then pooled across eligible studies using the inverse variance method. For dichotomous outcomes, we used the reported ORs to calculate the Natural logarithm of odds ratios (ln(ORs)) and standard errors. We then pooled the ln(ORs) across eligible studies using the inverse variance method. We used fixed-effects models when pooling only two studies, and used the random-effects model in all other cases. We measured heterogeneity across studies using the I² statistic. We considered heterogeneity to be high when I² was greater than 50%. We used Review Manager software Version 5.0.2 for all analyses.

Results

Search results

Appendix 1 shows the study flow. Out of 360 full texts assessed, we excluded 301, with reasons for exclusion provided in Appendix 1. Of the 50 included studies, 24 were identified by the original search and 26 were identified by the update. Agreement between reviewers for study eligibility was excellent (kappa = 0.94 and 0.80 for the two teams).

The included studies assessed the associations between waterpipe tobacco smoking and the following outcomes: respiratory diseases (n=9); quality of life (n=2); oesophageal cancer (n=3); gastric carcinoma (n=3); oral cancer (n=3); bladder cancer (n=2); nasopharyngeal cancer (n=1); lung cancer (n=6); prostate cancer (n=3); periodontal disease (n=6); hepatitis C infection (n=3);

infertility (n = 1); metabolic syndrome (n = 1); gastrooesophageal reflux disease (GERD) (n = 1); cardiovascular diseases (n = 2); mental health (n = 1); and mortality outcomes (n = 1)

Methodological features

Risk of bias assessment

Out of the 50 included studies, only 8 studies were assessed to have selection bias and/or report insufficient information about the sampling techniques, and 16 studies reported the participation rate. There was no agreement across studies on a standardized way to measure exposure to waterpipe tobacco smoking, and this was the main reason for heterogeneity in the meta-analysis. There was agreement across studies on the need to adjust for potential confounders such as age, gender, education and other forms of tobacco use.

Evidence synthesis

Respiratory diseases

Nine studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and respiratory disease. Five studies assessed the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (four cross-sectional studies and one case-control) (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 1 & Figure 1).^{5,19–23} The pooled odds ratios for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking and COPD was OR = 3.18, (95% CI = 1.25, 8.08; $I^2 = 95\%$). Two studies assessed the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and bronchitis (two cross-sectional studies) (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 1 & Figure 2).^{23,25} The pooled odds ratios for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking and bronchitis was OR = 2.37, (95% CI = 1.49, 3.77).

Two cross sectional studies^{18,24} evaluated the association between passive waterpipe tobacco smoking and respiratory illness (defined as nasal congestion and wheezing) (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 1 & Figure 3). The pooled odds ratio for the association of passive waterpipe tobacco smoking and respiratory illness was 1.97 (95% CI = 1.28, 3.04).

Quality of life

Two cross-sectional studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and quality of life^{13,26} (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 2 & Figure 4). One found that waterpipe smokers have a poorer respiratory quality of life, using the Clinical COPD Questionnaire (CCQ) and the MRC dyspnoea scale.²⁶ Another found that waterpipe smokers have a higher risk for poorer health-related quality of life with regard to physical function, bodily pain, general health, mental health, vitality and social function on the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36).¹³ They also found a higher risk on the Mental Component Score (MCS) and Physical Component Score (PCS). The pooled standardized mean difference (SMD) was -0.16 (95% $CI = -0.66, 0.34; I^2 = 93\%$).

Cancer outcomes

Oesophageal cancer

Three case-control studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and oesophageal cancer: one from Iran and two from Kashmir (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 3 & Figure 5).^{11,12,27} The pooled odds ratios for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with oesophageal cancer was OR = 4.14 (95% CI = 0.93, 18.46). The level of statistical heterogeneity was high ($I^2 = 96\%$).

Gastric carcinoma

Two case-control studies and one prospective cohort study evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and gastric carcinoma (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 3 & Figure 6).^{29–31} Both studies were from Iran. The pooled odds ratio for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with gastric carcinoma was OR = 2.16 (95% CI = 0.72, 6.47). The level of statistical heterogeneity was high ($I^2 = 61\%$). One case-control study reported only means, so was not included in the meta-analysis.³¹ It reported higher frequency of waterpipe smoking among those with gastric carcinoma (mean = 3 ± 1.6) compared with healthy controls (mean = 2 ± 1.1; *P*-value = 0.4).

Oral cancer

Three cross-sectional studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and oral cancer: one from Yemen and one from India.^{41–43} The pooled odds ratio for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with oral cancer was OR = 4.17 (95% CI = 2.53, 6.89) (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 3 & Figure 7).

Bladder cancer

Two case-control studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and bladder cancer, both of which were conducted in Egypt^{32,33} (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 3 & Figure 8). The pooled odds ratios for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with bladder cancer was OR = 1.25 (95% CI = 0.99, 1.57).

ID	Study	Design	Participants(N)	Outcome	Reported OR (95% CI)
Respirator	y diseases				
1	Tamim 2003 ¹⁸	Cross-sectional	143	Wheezes/passive	2.30 (1.10, 5.10)
2	Mohammed 2013 ⁵	Cross-sectional	788	COPD	2.60 (0.60, 11.50)
3	Mohammed 2008 ¹⁹	Cross-sectional	77	COPD	N/A
ŀ	Tageldine 2012 ²⁰	Cross-sectional	61551	COPD	1.42 (1.12, 1.80)
	Salameh 2012 ²¹	Case-control	211 cases	Bronchitis	6.40 (2.55, 16.11)
5			527 controls		,
5	Waked 2011 ²²	Cross-sectional	425	COPD	2.53 (1.83, 3.50)
,	Waked 2009 ²³	Cross-sectional	1268315	Bronchitis	1.95 (0.96, 8.08)
	Mohammed 2014 ²⁴	Cross-sectional	2734	Wheezes/passive	2.05 (1.01, 4.17)
)	She 2014 ²⁵	Cross-sectional	1238	COPD	10.61 (6.89, 16.34)
Quality of					
10	Tavafian 2009 ¹³	Cross-sectional	1675	Quality of life	Physical
.0		Cross sectional	10/5	Quanty of me	2.15 (1.56, 2.96)
					Mental
					1.88 (1.36, 2.60)
1	Joseph 2012 ²⁶	Cross-sectional	2201	Quality of life	N/A
Cancers	Joseph 2012	Cross sectionar	2201	Quality of file	1011
	N 11 2010 ²⁷		105	0	
12	Malik 2010 ²⁷	Case-control	135 cases	Ooesophageal	21.44 (11.63, 39.54)
2	D 001011		195 controls		
3	Dar 2012 ¹¹	Case-control	702 cases	Ooesophageal	1.85 (1.41, 2.44)
			1663 controls		
14	Nasroallahzadeh 2008 ¹²	Case-control	300 cases	Ooesophageal	1.69 (0.76, 3.77)
	H :: 2000 ²⁸		571 controls	D	
15	Hosseini 2009 ²⁸	Case-control	300 cases	Prostate	7.00 (0.90, 56.9)
<i>,</i>	c 1: 1: 201 4 ²⁹		571 controls		2 44 (4 66 7 44)
.6	Sadjadi 2014 ²⁹	Cohort	928	Gastric	3.44 (1.66, 7.11)
.7	Shakeri 2013 ³⁰	Case-control	309 cases	Gastric	1.10 (0.30, 3.30)
	W		613 controls	- ·	27/4
18	Karajibani 2014 ³¹	Case-control	50 cases	Gastric	N/A
	71 224232		46 controls	DI 11	··· · · · ·
.9	Zheng 2012 ³²	Case-control	1886 cases	Bladder	Urothelial carcinoma:
			2716 controls		1.30 (1.00, 1.80)
					SCC:
0	D 1 : 100733		1 5 1	DI 11	1.20 (0.80, 1.70)
.0	Bedwani 1997 ³³	Case-control	151 cases	Bladder	0.80 (0.20, 4.00)
	0: 100034		157 controls	Ŧ	1 00 (0 10 0 10)
.1	Qiao 1989 ³⁴	Case-control	107 cases	Lung	1.90 (0.40, 9.40)
	L 1: 100035		107 controls	Ŧ	2.60
.2	Lubin 1990 ³⁵	Case-control	74 cases	Lung	3.60
12	T 1: 400036		74 controls	т	1.00 (0.00 4.20)
.3	Lubin 1992 ³⁶	Case-control	427 cases	Lung	1.80 (0.80, 4.20)
	II.:: 1002 ³⁷		1011 controls	T	2 00 /1 20 7 ()
24	Hsairi 1993 ³⁷	Case-control	110 cases	Lung	3.00 (1.20, 7.6)
15	C 200138	C 1	110 controls	т	1.04 (0.05 4.44)
.5	Gupta 2001 ³⁸	Case-control	265 cases	Lung	1.94 (0.85, 4.44)
6	II1 2001 ³⁹	Calar	525 controls	Luna	DD 4 20 /2 02 5 0 4
26	Hazelton 2001 ³⁹	Cohort	1289 WP only	Lung	RR 4.39 (3.82, 5.04)
			2306 WP/cigarettes		
			8416 non-smokers		

Table 1. Summary of studies published on health outcomes of waterpipe tobacco smoking between 1990 and 2015[¶]

(continued)

ID	Study	Design	Participants(N)	Outcome	Reported OR (95% CI)
Respirator	y diseases	0			<u> </u>
27	Feng 2009 ⁴⁰	Case-control	636 cases 615 controls	Nasopharyngeal	0.49 (0.20, 1.23)
28	Ali 2007 ⁴¹	Cross-sectional	33	Oral	8.33 (0.78, 9.47)
29	Dangi 2012 ⁴²	Cross-sectional	761	Oral	4.42 (2.32, 8.41)
30	Schmidt-Westhausen 2014 ⁴³	Cross-sectional	162	Oral	4.35 (1.73, 10.93)
31	Nikbakht 2015 ⁴⁴	Cross-sectional	120	Colorectal	N/A
Pregnancy	outcomes				
32	Nuwayhid 1998 ⁴⁵	Retrospective cohort	895	Low birthweight	2.17 (0.74, 6.33)
33	Aghamolaei 2007 ⁴⁶	Case-control	60 cases 60 controls	IUGR	3.50 (1.1, 12.6)
34	Tamim 2008 ⁴⁷	Retrospective cohort	1391	Low birth weight	1.20 (0.60, 2.20)
35	Eftekhar 2007 ⁴⁸	Case-control	60 cases	IUGR	3.50 (1.10, 12.60)
			60 controls		
Periodonta	l disease				
36	Natto 2005 ^{49, 50,†}	Cross-sectional	355	Periodontal disease	3.50 (1.6, 7.6)
37	Natto 2004 ⁵¹	Cross-sectional	244	Periodontal disease	N/A
38	Baljoon 2005 ⁵²	Cross-sectional	262	Periodontal disease	2.90 (1.20, 7.00)
39	Al-Belasy 2004 ⁵³	Cohort	100	Dry socket	RR 3.00 (P-value 0.001
Infectious	diseases				
40	Habib 2001 ⁵⁴	Cross-sectional	1827	HCV	1.10 (0.7, 1.5)
41	Medhat 2002 ⁵⁵	Cross-sectional	2717	HCV	0.90 (0.4, 2.0)
42	El-Sadawy 2004 ⁵⁶	Cross-sectional	782	HCV	1.02 (0.64, 1.62)
Infertility					
43	Inhorn 1994 ⁵⁷	Case-control	45	Infertility	2.50 (1.0, 6.3)
Digestive/(GIT diseases				
44	Shafique 2012 ⁵⁸	Cross-sectional	30-75	Metabolic syndrome	Hypertriglycaemia 1.63(1.25, 2.10) Hypergylcaemia 1.82 (1.37, 2.41) Hypertension 1.95 (1.52, 2.45)
45	Islami 2014 ^{17,†}	Cross-sectional	75	GERD	1.34 (1.02, 1.75)
Cardiovaso	cular disease				
46	Al-Suwaidi 2012 ¹⁴	Cohort	7939	ACS	N/A
47	Islami 2012 ^{16,†}	Cross-sectional	75	CVD	3.75 (1.52, 9.22)
Mental hea					
48	Primack 2013 ⁵⁹	Cross-sectional	100891	Mental health	1.40 (1.30, 1.50)
Mortality					

[¶]Excluding studies that did not fulfill the eligibility criteria.

WP, waterpipe; HCV, hepatitis C virus; RR, risk ratio; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; N/A, not available; IUGR, intrauterine growh retardation; GIT, gastrointestinal tract; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

[†]Indicates two studies from the same population, thus grand total = 50 studies

Nasopharyngeal cancer

One case-control study evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and nasopharyngeal cancer in Tunisia, Morocco and Ira⁴⁰ (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 3). The OR for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with nasopharyngeal cancer was 0.49 (95% CI = 0.20, 1.23).

Lung cancer

Five of six eligible studies were case-control studies measuring lung cancer diagnosis,^{34,36,38,60,61} and one was a retrospective cohort study measuring lung cancer mortality³⁹ (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 3 & Figure 9). One was conducted in Northern India, one was conducted in Tunisia and four reported data from the same population in China. Although nowadays waterpipe tobacco is processed, flavoured and indirectly heated by charcoal, in most of the included studies (those conducted in China and India) tobacco was typically unprocessed and burned directly by charcoal.

The pooled OR for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with lung cancer diagnosis was 2.12 (95% CI = 1.32, 3.42; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 3 & Figure 9). The calculated crude risk ratio (RR) for the association with lung cancer mortality was 4.39 (3.82–5.04). A sensitivity analysis restricted to one study with no major methodological limitations produced an OR of 3.00 (95% CI = 1.20, 7.60).⁶⁰

Prostate cancer

One case control study assessed the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and prostate cancer.²⁸ A sample of 137 male participants from Northern Iran, who were histologically confirmed with prostate cancer, were included in the study. The OR for the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and prostate cancer was 7.00 (95 % CI = 0.90, 56.90) (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 3).

Colorectal cancer

One cross-sectional study assessed the association between waterpipe smoking and colorectal cancer,⁴⁴ A sample of 120 participants who were recorded on the cancer registry centre of Babol were contacted to fill in a survey about demographics and risk factors including waterpipe use. Among waterpipe smokers, 22.70% of men and 15.80% of women were diagnosed with colorectal-cancer (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 3).

Pregnancy outcomes

Two retrospective cohort studies and two case-control studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and pregnancy outcomes^{45–48} (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 4 & Figure 10). One study also reported Apgar score,

pulmonary problems, malformations and perinatal complications.⁴⁶ The pooled OR for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with low birthweight was 2.39 (95% CI = 1.32, 4.32; $I^2 = 0\%$). The reported OR for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with newborn pulmonary problems was OR = 3.65 (95% CI = 1.52, 8.75). The associations were not significant for Apgar scores at 1 min and 5 min, malformations or perinatal complications.

Periodontal disease

Of the five studies that evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and periodontal disease,^{49–53} four were cross-sectional studies conducted in the same (or in a subgroup of the same) group of participants^{49–52} (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 5). These four studies assessed periodontal disease using different measures (periodontal bone height loss, plaque index and gingivitis, deepening of the sulci or pockets, vertical periodontal bone loss). We did not pool data from the four related studies as they were derived from the same participants. Their results consistently showed a significant association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with periodontal disease (OR ranging 3.00–5.00).

The fifth study was a cohort study with 7 days' followup after surgical removal of mandibular third molars, and evaluated the outcome of dry socket.⁵³ The reported RR for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with dry socket was 3.70 (P = 0.001). Dry socket, or alveolar osteitis, is the most common complication following tooth extractions. It is caused by the dislodgement of the blood clot at the site of the tooth extraction, exposing underlying bone and nerves and causing increasing pain.

Infectious disease

Three cross-sectional studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and hepatitis C.^{54–56} The three studies were conducted in Egypt and included male participants exposed to group waterpipe tobacco smoking (Table 1; Appendix 3: Table 6 & Figure 11). The pooled OR for the association of group waterpipe smoking with hepatitis C was 0.98 (95% CI = 0.80, 1.21).There were no eligible studies assessing the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and the transmission of tuberculosis. The two reports that we found of outbreak investigations suggested an association between tuberculosis and sharing tobacco waterpipes and marijuana waterpipes.^{62,63}

Infertility

One case-control study evaluated the association between waterpipe smoking and male factor infertility (based on semen analysis)⁵⁷ (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 7). The reported OR for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with male factor infertility was OR = 2.50 (95% CI = 1.00, 6.30).

Metabolic syndrome

One cross-sectional study evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and metabolic syndrome.⁵⁸ Waterpipe smokers were significantly more likely to have hypertriglyceridaemia (OR 1.63, 95% CI = 1.25, 2.10), hyper-glycaemia (OR 1.82, 95% CI = 1.37, 2.41), hypertension (OR 1.95, 95% CI = 1.51, 2.51) and abdominal obesity (OR 1.93, 95% CI = 1.52, 2.45 (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 8).

Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease

One cross-sectional study evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD).¹⁷ The reported odds ratio for the association of waterpipe tobacco smoking with having any gastro-oesophageal reflux disease symptom was 1.25 (95% CI = 1.01, 1.56) (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 8).

Cardiovascular disease

Two cross-sectional studies evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and cardiovascular disease.^{14,16} In one study, the reported odds ratio for the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and heart disease was 1.67 (95% CI = 1.25, 2.24). The other study was based on data obtained from a population based cohort study conducted in the Golestan province in Iran, and included individuals between 40 and 75 years old. The reported OR for the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and heart disease was 3.75 (95% CI = 1.55, 9.22) (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 8).

Mental health

One cross-sectional study, conducted among institutions participating in the national college health assessment of the American College Health Association, evaluated the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and mental health.⁵⁹ All mental health diagnoses were significantly associated with increased rates of waterpipe tobacco smoking, with ORs ranging from 1.30 to 2.40 (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 8).

Mortality outcomes

One cohort study associated waterpipe tobacco smoking with mortality outcomes.¹⁵ The first study, by Fen Wu *et al.*, found that waterpipe tobacco smoking was

significantly associated with increased risk of mortality from all causes (HR = 1.15; 95% CI 0.93, 1.43), cancer (HR = 1.30; 95% CI = 0.78, 2.18) and ischaemic heart disease (HR = 1.20, 95% CI = 0.87, 1.67) (Table 1; Appendix 3, Table 8).

Discussion

We systematically reviewed the medical literature for the effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes. We found that waterpipe tobacco smoking was associated with respiratory diseases (COPD, bronchitis and wheeze due to exposure to passive waterpipe smoking), oral cancer, lung cancer, low birthweight, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease and mental health. The existing evidence suggested no association with oesophageal cancer, gastric carcinoma, bladder cancer, prostate cancer, hepatitis C infection, periodontal disease, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, bladder cancer, infertility or mortality.

Cigarette smoking is known to be a major cause of respiratory diseases through promoting lung function loss and decreasing lung function rates.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ In a similar manner, waterpipe smoking was associated with significant reduction in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV-1) and forced vital capacity (FVC), by 4.04% and 1.38% respectively, compared with non waterpipe smokers.⁶⁷ This suggests an obstructive mechanism, as was similarly reported by Chaouchi et al. who have shown that chronic use of a waterpipe with one or more smoking sessions per day can lead to COPD.⁶⁸ This result is also in agreement with the reported estimates that tobacco smoking increases the risks of death from lung cancer or COPD by 20-fold.⁶ Another mechanism for the effect of waterpipe smoking on respiratory outcomes was found to be through the damage that it causes to the lung parenchyma and the associated inflammation of the airways.^{69,70}

Tobacco was found to be a source of 69 carcinogens and has been widely associated with increasing the risk of developing cancers and malignancies.^{6,71} Thus, strong associations have been established between cigarette smoking and different cancers, particularly in the lungs and the digestive system.^{65,66,72–75} These results can also be extended to include waterpipe smoking, as has been reported by a study of 56 chronic Pakistani waterpipe smokers that found markedly increased levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) as compared with non-smokers (P < 0.0001).⁷⁶ CEA is known to be elevated in lung, pancreatic, uterus and breast cancers as well as in cases of chronic inflammation. Other studies also reported increased risk of carcinogenesis among waterpipe smokers due to genotoxic and clastogenic components in the waterpipe smoke, such as tar and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.^{69,77} This likely explains the association between waterpipe tobacco smoking and cancers outside the lung such as prostate cancer, an association previously shown between cigarette smoking and prostate cancer.^{78,79} There is also evidence that smoking induces hormonal changes in men that could affect the risk of prostate cancer.⁸⁰

The effects of tobacco on atherosclerosis have been attributed to various mechanisms that promote atherosclerosis and endothelial dysfunction.^{6,81} Cigarette smoking has been associated with cardiovascular disease through promoting atherosclerosis and being highly dose related.^{81–83} Similarly, a comparative double-blinded study done on 37 waterpipe smokers who reported smoking a waterpipe 2-5 times/month showed increased mean (\pm SEM) plasma nicotine concentration $(3.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ ng/ml})$ and heart rate $(8.6 \pm 1.4 \text{ bpm})$ as compared with placebo $(0.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ ng/ml}; 1.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ bpm})$, indicating that the effects of waterpipe smoking on cardiovascular outcomes are mediated by its nicotine content.84 Some studies also attributed the deleterious effects of waterpipe smoking on cardiovascular disease to in vivo oxidation injury and systemic inflammation that increases the likelihood of atherosclerosis and arrhythmia.85-87

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, no systematic reviews have been conducted on the association between waterpipe smoking and health outcomes since our earlier review in 2010. Further strengths of the review include adhering to the Cochrane Collaboration methodology, which is considered the gold standard for systematically reviewing literature, using a sensitive search strategy and conducting screening and data extraction independently and in duplicate.

The confidence in the effects estimates in this systematic review is affected by a number of limitations. Indeed, five out of 11 meta-analyses suffered from a high degree of heterogeneity, namely oesophageal carcinoma, gastric carcinoma, low birthweight, COPD and quality of life. Also, Appendix 3 shows the methodological limitations of the included studies. Most of the studies used non-validated tools for measurement of waterpipe tobacco exposure, which is a major limitation given that the practice of waterpipe tobacco smoking can vary widely according to the quantity of tobacco used, the frequency and the length of the session.

We were not able to conduct meta-analyses for all outcomes. One reason was the high level of heterogeneity, as was the case for the quality of life outcome. Another reason was that we could not pool several outcomes derived from the same study, as was the case for the metabolic syndrome, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, gastro oesophageal reflux disease, mental health and mortality outcomes.

Additional research implications of our findings include the need for more research on this topic using validated tools for measurement of both the exposure and the outcome of interest. There is also a need to investigate the effect of second-hand exposure due to the amount of smoke generated by a waterpipe.

Our findings have both clinical and public health implications. Our findings reinforce the message that all forms of smoking are unsafe, and clinicians should be clear about delivering this unified message to patients. Given the available evidence, public health agents and policy makers need not wait for more evidence to enact and implement laws, and develop public health programmes to reduce waterpipe tobacco use, particularly among youth. This is particularly relevant given the emerging evidence that waterpipe tobacco smoking may predict cigarette initiation and thus serve as a gateway to cigarette smoking.⁸⁸

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at IJE online.

Conflict of interest: None.

References

- 1. Akl EA, Gunukula SK, Aleem S, *et al.* The prevalence of waterpipe tobacco smoking among the general and specific populations: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2011;11:244.
- Sibai AM, Tohme RA, Almedawar MM, *et al.* Lifetime cumulative exposure to waterpipe smoking is associated with coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2014;234:454–60.
- Agaku IT, King BA, Dube SR; Control CfD, Prevention. Current cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 2005–2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63:29–34.
- Palipudi KM, Gupta PC, Sinha DN, *et al*. Social determinants of health and tobacco use in thirteen low and middle income countries: evidence from Global Adult Tobacco Survey. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e33466.
- Mohammad Y, Shaaban R, Al-Zahab BA, Khaltaev N, Bousquet J, Dubaybo B. Impact of active and passive smoking as risk factors for asthma and COPD in women presenting to primary care in Syria: first report by the WHO-GARD survey group. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulm Dis* 2013;8:473–82.
- 6. World Health Organization. Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking: Health Effects, Research Needs and Recommended Actions by Regulators. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2005.
- Maziak W, Ward KD, Eissenberg T. Interventions for waterpipe smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;4:CD005549.
- Dugas E, Tremblay M, Low NC, Cournoyer D, O'Loughlin J. Water-pipe smoking among North American youths. *Pediatrics* 2010;**125**:1184–89.

- Aljarrah K, Ababneh ZQ, Al-Delaimy WK. Perceptions of hookah smoking harmfulness: predictors and characteristics among current hookah users. *Tobacco Induc Dis* 2009; 5:16.
- Akl EA, Gaddam S, Gunukula SK, Honeine R, Jaoude PA, Irani J. The effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes: a systematic review. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010;39: 834–57.
- 11. Dar NA, Bhat GA, Shah IA *et al.* Hookah smoking, nass chewing, and ooesophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Kashmir, India. *Br J Cancer* 2012;107:1618–23.
- Nasrollahzadeh D, Kamangar F, Aghcheli K *et al.* Opium, tobacco, and alcohol use in relation to ooesophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a high-risk area of Iran. *Br J Cancer* 2008; 98:1857–63.
- 13. Tavafian S-S, Aghamolaei T, Zare S. Water pipe smoking and health-related quality of life: a population-based study. *Arch Iran Med* 2009;**12**:232–37.
- Al Suwaidi J, Zubaid M, El-Menyar AA *et al.* Prevalence and outcome of cigarette and waterpipe smoking among patients with acute coronary syndrome in six Middle-Eastern countries. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2012;19:118–25.
- 15. Wu F, Chen Y, Parvez F *et al*. A prospective study of tobacco smoking and mortality in Bangladesh. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e58516.
- Islami F, Pourshams A, Vedanthan R *et al.* Smoking water-pipe, chewing nass and prevalence of heart disease: a cross-sectional analysis of baseline data from the Golestan Cohort Study, Iran. *Heart* 2013;99:272–78.
- Islami F, Nasseri-Moghaddam S, Pourshams A et al. Determinants of gastrooesophageal reflux disease, including hookah smoking and opium use-a cross-sectional analysis of 50 000 individuals. *PloS One* 2014;9:e89256.
- Tamim H, Musharrafieh U, Roueiheb ZE, Yunis K, Almawi WY. Exposure of children to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) and its association with respiratory ailments. *J Asthma* 2003;40:571–76.
- Mohammad Y, Kakah M, Mohammad Y. Chronic respiratory effect of narguileh smoking compared with cigarette smoking in women from the East Mediterranean region. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2008;3:405.
- 20. Tageldin MA, Nafti S, Khan JA *et al.* Distribution of COPDrelated symptoms in the Middle East and North Africa: Results of the BREATHE study. *Respir Med* 2012;106:S25–S32.
- Salameh P, Khayat G, Waked M, Dramaix M. Waterpipe smoking and dependence are associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a case-control study. *Open Epidemiol* J 2012;5:36–44.
- 22. Waked M, Khayat G, Salameh P. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease prevalence in Lebanon: a cross-sectional descriptive study. *Clin Epidemiol* 2011;3:315–23.
- 23. Waked M, Salameh P, Aoun Z. Water-pipe [narguile] smokers in Lebanon: a pilot study. *East Mediterr Health J* 2009; 15:432-42.
- Mohammad Y, Shaaban R, Hassan M *et al.* Respiratory effects in children from passive smoking of cigarettes and narghile: ISAAC Phase Three in Syria. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2014;18:1279–84.

- 25. She J, Yang P, Wang Y *et al*. Chinese water-pipe smoking and the risk of COPD. *Chest* 2014;146:924–31.
- 26. Joseph S, Pascale S, Georges K, Mirna W. Cigarette and waterpipe smoking decrease respiratory quality of life in adults: results from a national cross-sectional study. *Pulm Med* 2012;2012: 868294.
- Malik MA, Upadhyay R, Mittal RD, Zargar SA, Mittal B. Association of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes genetic polymorphisms with oesophageal cancer in Kashmir Valley and influence of environmental factors. *Nutr Cancer* 2010;62:734–42.
- Hosseini M, Seyed Alinaghi SA, Mahmoudi M, McFarland W. A case-control study of risk factors for prostate cancer in Iran. *Acta Med Iran* 2010;48:61–66.
- 29. Sadjadi A, Derakhshan MH, Yazdanbod A *et al.* Neglected role of hookah and opium in gastric carcinogenesis: a cohort study on risk factors and attributable fractions. *Int J Cancer* 2014;**134**:181–88.
- Shakeri R, Malekzadeh R, Etemadi A *et al.* Opium: an emerging risk factor for gastric adenocarcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 2013;133:455–61.
- Karajibani M, Montazeriifar F, Dashipour A, Hozhabrimanesh A. Nutritional risk factors in the gastric cancer patients attending in Imam Ali hospital in Zahedan, Iran. *Rawal Med J* 2014;39:19–24.
- 32. Zheng YL, Amr S, Saleh DA *et al.* Urinary bladder cancer risk factors in Egypt: a multicenter case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2012;**21**:537–46.
- Bedwani R, El-Khwsky F, Renganathan E et al. Epidemiology of bladder cancer in Alexandria, Egypt: tobacco smoking. Int J Cancer 1997;73:64–67.
- 34. Qiao YL, Taylor PR, Yao SX *et al.* Relation of radon exposure and tobacco use to lung cancer among tin miners in Yunnan Province, China. *Am J Indust Med* 1989;16:511–21.
- 35. Lubin JH, Qiao Y-l, Taylor PR *et al*. Quantitative evaluation of the radon and lung cancer association in a case control study of Chinese tin miners. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:174–80.
- Lubin JH, Jun-Yao L, Xiang-Ghen X et al. Risk of lung cancer among cigarette and pipe smokers in southern China. Int J Cancer 1992;51:390–95.
- Hsairi M, Achour N, Zouari B *et al.* Facteurs etiologiques du cancer bronchique primitif en Tunisie (etiological factors of primary lung cancer in Tunisia). *Tunis Med* 1993;71:265–8.
- Gupta D, Boffetta P, Gaborieau V, Jindal S. Risk factors of lung cancer in Chandigarh, India. *Ind J Med Res* 2001;113: 142–50.
- 39. Hazelton WD, Luebeck EG, Heidenreich WF, Moolgavkar SH. Analysis of a historical cohort of Chinese tin miners with arsenic, radon, cigarette smoke, and pipe smoke exposures using the biologically based two-stage clonal expansion model. *Radiat Res* 2001;156:78–94.
- 40. Feng B, Khyatti M, Ben-Ayoub W et al. Cannabis, tobacco and domestic fumes intake are associated with nasopharyngeal carcinoma in North Africa. Br J Cancer 2009;101: 1207–12.
- 41. Ali AA. Histopathologic changes in oral mucosa of Yemenis addicted to water-pipe and cigarette smoking in addition to takhzeen al-qat. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;103:e55–59.

- Dangi J, Kinnunen TH, Zavras AI. Challenges in global improvement of oral cancer outcomes: findings from rural Northern India. *Tob Induc Dis* 2012;10:5.
- 43. Schmidt-Westhausen AM, Al Sanabani J, Al-Sharabi AK. Prevalence of oral white lesions due to qat chewing among women in Yemen. Oral Dis 2014;20:675–81.
- 44. Nikbakht H, Aminisani N, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Hosseyni SR. Trends in the incidence of colorectal cancer and epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of survivors in Babol city in 2007–2012. J Babol Univ Med Sci 2015;17:7–14.
- Nuwayhid IA, Yamout B, Azar G, Kambris MAK. Narghile (hubble-bubble) smoking, low birth weight, and other pregnancy outcomes. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998;148:375–83.
- 46. Aghamolaei T. Retardation (IUGR) in Bandar Abbas. J Med Sci 2007;7:665–69.
- Tamim H, Yunis K, Chemaitelly H, Alameh M, Nassar A. Effect of narghile and cigarette smoking on newborn birthweight. *BJOG* 2008;115:91–97.
- Eftekhar H, Agha MT, Abedini S. Risk factors associated with intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) in Bandar Abbas, Iran. PAYESH 2007;6:201–8.
- Natto S, Baljoon M, Bergström J. Tobacco smoking and periodontal bone height in a Saudi Arabian population. J Clin Periodontol 2005;32:1000–06.
- Natto S, Baljoon M, Dahlén G, Bergström J. Tobacco smoking and periodontal microflora in a Saudi Arabian population. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005;32:549–55.
- Natto S, Baljoon M, Abanmy A, Bergstrom J. Tobacco smoking and gingival health in a Saudi Arabian population. Oral Health Prev Dent 2003;2:351–57.
- Baljoon M, Natto S, Abanmy A, Bergström J. Smoking and vertical bone defects in a Saudi Arabian population. Oral Health Prev Dent 2004;3:173–82.
- Al-Belasy FA. The relationship of 'shisha' (water pipe) smoking to postextraction dry socket. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2004;62:10–14.
- Habib M, Mohamed MK, Abdel-Aziz F *et al.* Hepatitis C virus infection in a community in the Nile Delta: risk factors for seropositivity. *Hepatology* 2001;33:248–53.
- 55. Medhat A, Shehata M, Magder LS *et al*. Hepatitis C in a community in Upper Egypt: risk factors for infection. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2002;66:633–38.
- 56. El-Sadawy M, Ragab H, El-Toukhy H et al. Hepatitis C virus infection at Sharkia Governorate, Egypt: seroprevalence and associated risk factors. J Egypt Soc Parasitol 2004;34:367–84.
- Inhorn MC, Buss KA. Ethnography, epidemiology and infertility in Egypt. Soc Sci Med 1994;39:671–86.
- Shafique K, Mirza SS, Mughal MK *et al.* Water-pipe smoking and metabolic syndrome: a population-based study. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e39734.
- Primack BA, Land SR, Fan J, Kim KH, Rosen D. Associations of mental health problems with waterpipe tobacco and cigarette smoking among college students. *Subst Use Misuse* 2013;48:211–19.
- Hsairi M, Achour N, Zouari B. Facteurs etiologiques du cancer bronchique primitif en Tunisie (etiological factors of primary lung cancer in Tunisia). *Tunis Med* 1993;71:265–68.

- Lubin JH, Qiao YL, Taylor PR *et al.* Quantitative evaluation of the radon and lung cancer association in a case control study of Chinese tin miners. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:174–80.
- Steentoft J, Wittendorf J, Andersen J. [Tuberculosis and water pipes as source of infection]. Ugeskr Laeger 2006;168:904–07.
- 63. Munckhof W, Konstantinos A, Wamsley M, Mortlock M, Gilpin C. A cluster of tuberculosis associated with use of a marijuana water pipe. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2003;7:860–65.
- Paulose-Ram R, Tilert T, Dillon CF, Brody DJ. Cigarette Smoking and Lung Obstruction Among Adults Aged 40–79: United States, 2007–2012. NCHS Data Brief 2015:1–8.
- Phillips DH, Hewer A, Martin CN, Garner RC, King MM. Correlation of DNA adduct levels in human lung with cigarette smoking. *Nature* 1988;336:790–92.
- 66. Auerbach O, Stout A, Hammond EC, Garfinkel L. Changes in bronchial epithelium in relation to cigarette smoking and in relation to lung cancer. N Engl J Med 1961;265:253–67.
- Raad D, Gaddam S, Schunemann HJ et al. Effects of water-pipe smoking on lung function: a systematic review and metaanalysis. CHEST J 2011;139:764–74.
- Chaouachi KT. The narghile (hookah, shisha, goza) epidemic and the need for clearing up confusion and solving problems related with model building of social situations. *Sci World J* 2007;7:1691–96.
- 69. Maziak W, Ward K, Soweid RA, Eissenberg T. Tobacco smoking using a waterpipe: a re-emerging strain in a global epidemic. *Tob Control* 2004;13:327–33.
- Urkin J, Ochaion R, Peleg A. Hubble bubble equals trouble: the hazards of water pipe smoking. *Sci World J* 2006;6:1990–97.
- Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R et al. A review of human carcinogens—Part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. *LancetOncol* 2009;10:1033–34.
- 72. Hecht SS. Cigarette smoking: cancer risks, carcinogens, and mechanisms. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2006;**391**:603–13.
- 73. Ko YC, Huang YL, Lee CH, Chen MJ, Lin LM, Tsai CC. Betel quid chewing, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption related to oral cancer in Taiwan. J Oral Pathol Med 1995;24:450–53.
- Crew KD, Neugut AI. Epidemiology of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2006;12:354–62.
- 75. Steevens J, Schouten LJ, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA. Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking and risk of subtypes of ooesophageal and gastric cancer: a prospective cohort study. *Gut* 2010;5939–48.
- 76. Sajid KM, Chaouachi K, Mahmood R. Hookah smoking and cancer: carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels in exclusive/ever hookah smokers. *Harm Reduct J* 2008;5:19.
- 77. Daher N, Saleh R, Jaroudi E *et al.* Comparison of carcinogen, carbon monoxide, and ultrafine particle emissions from narghile waterpipe and cigarette smoking: Sidestream smoke measurements and assessment of second-hand smoke emission factors. *Atmos Environ* 2010;44:8–14.
- Huncharek M, Haddock KS, Reid R, Kupelnick B. Smoking as a risk factor for prostate cancer: a meta-analysis of 24 prospective cohort studies. *Am J Public Health* 2010;100:693.
- Islami F, Moreira DM, Boffetta P, Freedland SJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis of tobacco use and prostate cancer mortality and incidence in prospective cohort studies. *Eur Urol* 2014;66:1054–64.

- Dai WS, Gutai JP, Kuller LH, Cauley JA. Cigarette smoking and serum sex hormones in men. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988;128: 796–805.
- Ambrose JA, Barua RS. The pathophysiology of cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: an update. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:1731–37.
- Kannel WB, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ. Fibrinogen, cigarette smoking, and risk of cardiovascular disease: insights from the Framingham Study. *Am Heart J* 1987;113:1006–10.
- Ockene IS, Miller NH. Cigarette smoking, cardiovascular disease, and stroke; a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1997;96:3243–47.
- Blank MD, Cobb CO, Kilgalen B *et al*. Acute effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking: a double-blind, placebo-control study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2011;116:102–09.

- Chen C-Y, Chow D, Chiamvimonvat N et al. Short-term secondhand smoke exposure decreases heart rate variability and increases arrhythmia susceptibility in mice. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2008;295:H632–39.
- Wolfram RM, Chehne F, Oguogho A, Sinzinger H. Narghile (water pipe) smoking influences platelet function and (iso-) eicosanoids. *Life Sci* 2003;74:47–53.
- 87. Mehrabi MR, Ekmekcioglu C, Tatzber F *et al.* The isoprostane, 8-epi-PGF2α, is accumulated in coronary arteries isolated from patients with coronary heart disease. *Cardiovasc Res* 1999;43:492–99.
- Mzayek F, Khader Y, Eissenberg T, Al Ali R, Ward KD, Maziak W. Patterns of water-pipe and cigarette smoking initiation in schoolchildren: Irbid longitudinal smoking study. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2012;14:448–54.