

The Efficacy of Botulinum Toxin in Patients with Cervicogenic Headache: a Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial

Ömer Karadaş¹, Bilgin Öztürk², Ümit Hıdır Ulaş², Yaşar Kütükçü², Zeki Odabaşı²

¹Department of Neurology, Erzincan Military Hospital, Erzincan, Turkey

²Department of Neurology, Gülhane Military Medical Academy, Ankara, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: Botulinum toxin type-A (BoNTA) has been considered a treatment option for CH. The aim of this study was to assess the effectiveness of BoNTA treatment in patients with medically resistant CH.

Materials and Methods: Forty patients with CH were included in the study. Patients in the BoNTA group (n=20) were administered 10 U of BoNTA bilaterally to the frontal muscles, 20 U to the temporal muscles, 15 U to the semispinalis capitis, 15 U to the splenius capitis, and 15 U to the trapezius muscles (total: 150 U). Patients in the placebo group (n=20) received 0.2 mL of saline administered to the same sites. All participations were evaluated 6 and 12 weeks after treatment; side effects, the number of painful days, severity (by visual analogue scale, VAS) and frequency of pain were evaluated.

Results: In the BoNTA group, the severity and frequency of pain 6 and 12 weeks post treatment were significantly lower than pre-treatment levels (p<0.05). At 12 weeks post treatment, the severity and frequency of pain in the BoNTA group were lower than in the placebo group (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The findings suggest that BoNTA was an effective treatment for CH.

Key Words: Cervicogenic headache, Botulinum toxin A

Received: 24.05.2011

Accepted: 12.12.2011

Introduction

Cervicogenic headache (CH) was first reported by Sjaastad in 1983 (1). Fredriksen et al. presented a more detailed description in a patient diagnosed as CH in 1987 (2). CH was added as a headache disorder in the International Headache Society Classification published in 2004 (3). CH may be due to many factors associated with the back of the head and neck. Lesions that affect nerves, ganglia, nerve roots, vertebrae, joints, the periosteum, muscles, and ligaments may be etiological in CH (4-7).

The reported prevalence of CH varies. For example, the prevalence of CH in migraine patients was 0%, versus 80% in patients with only headache (8, 9). In the general population, the prevalence of CH is between 0.4% and 2.5%, and between 15% and 20% in patients with headache (10-14). Shah and Nafee reported that 20.9% of CH patients were male and 79.1% were female (15). Traumatic and degenerative changes increase the incidence of CH (16).

CH is unilateral and always located on the same side. CH typically begins at the back of the head, neck, and ear, and spreads over the zygomatic region. Pain associated with CH is sometimes throbbing. The most important feature of CH is that it is caused by mechanical triggers. Compression of the great occipital nerve may cause pain. Additionally, head

and neck flexion, extension, and rotation may cause pain; the sensitivity and specificity of this maneuver is 91% and 90%, respectively (17). The pain may begin up to 30 min or immediately after these maneuvers. The duration of a CH attack is variable and may be several days or several weeks.

The pathophysiological mechanism of CH is thought to be related to the trigeminocervical nucleus, which is located at the C1-C3 level (18, 19). Any kind of direct or indirect effects on the great and small occipital nerves might cause cervicogenic pain, yet, despite surgical evidence, this is not a fully proven theory. All structures associated with the trigeminocervical nucleus may cause CH (18, 19).

Simple analgesics, ergotamine, oxygen inhalation, triptans, amitriptyline, botulinum toxin type A (BoNTA), sterile water, nerve blockades, epidural blockade, steroids, and surgical procedures have been used as treatment for CH (20-29). A few studies have assessed the usefulness of BoNTA treatment for CH. Studies have reported variable findings concerning the usefulness of BoNTA for the treatment of CH (30). One of the most important findings was obtained in a placebo-controlled study that included 33 patients with CH; analgesic use and duration of pain were found to be decreased in the BoNTA group, as compared to the placebo group (31). The present study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of BoNTA in the treatment of CH by comparing a placebo group

Table 1. Dose of BoNTA (Botulinum Neurotoxin Type-A) and injection muscles

Muscles	Frontal	Temporal	Semispinalis	Splenius Capitis	Trapezius
Total BoNTA Dose (U)	20	40	30	30	30
Number of Injections	2	2	2	2	2

Table 2. Patient characteristics

	BoNTA	Placebo	p
Patients (n)	20	20	
Age (years)	40.05±11.23	38.75±10.92	0.892
Sex (female/male)	16/4	17/3	0.799

and a BoNTA treatment group consisting of medically resistant CH patients.

Material and Methods

Patients who presented to our clinic with complaints of head and neck pain and diagnosed as CH were included in the study. Among these patients, those 18-65 years of age with normal general physical and neurological examination results, a ≤6-month history of one-sided cervical pain radiating to the occulo-fronto-temporal region, no cervical abnormalities related to their complaints observed with MRI, no complaints of painful periods, and resistance to medical treatment were included in the BoNTA treatment group.

Patients who were treated with cervical and cranial surgery, received interventional treatment, had a diagnosis of any psychiatric disease, used antipsychotic, antidepressant, or anti-epileptic drugs during the 3 months preceding the study, were receiving coagulopathy, were pregnant, had a neuromuscular disease, were responsive to medical treatment, and had previously received BoNTA treatment were excluded from the study.

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and all the participants provided written informed consent. In total, 40 patients were included in the study, according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Demographic characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. Prior to receiving BoNTA treatment, all patients were evaluated for severity of pain using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and frequency of pain scores were recorded.

Administration of BoNTA

Patients in the BoNTA group (n=20) were administered 10 U of BoNTA (Dysport®) bilaterally to the frontal muscles, 20 U to the temporal muscles, 15 U to the semispinalis capitis, 15 U to the splenius capitis, and 15 U to the trapezius muscles (total: 150 units). Patients in the placebo group (n=20) received 0.2 mL of saline administered to the same sites (Table 2). Following administration of BoNTA and saline, both groups were observed for 30 min for side effects. All participants were evaluated 6 and 12 weeks post treatment; side effects, VAS and frequency of pain scores were evaluated.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v.16. Two groups in their pre-treatment, the frequency of the 6th and

12th weeks, and VAS scores were evaluated using the Wilcoxon test. Comparison of the 2 groups was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Results

Significant differences were not observed in age, or pre-treatment pain intensity and frequency between the BoNTA and placebo groups (p>0.05). In the BoNTA group, pain intensity and frequency 6 and 12 weeks post treatment were significantly lower than pre-treatment levels (all p<0.05) (Table 3).

In the placebo group, the severity of pain 6 weeks post treatment was significantly lower than the pre-treatment level (p=0.029), but there was no significant difference in the severity of pain between pre-treatment and 12 weeks post treatment (p=0.441). There was no difference in the frequency of pain between 6 and 12 weeks post treatment in the placebo group (p=0.086 and p=0.496, respectively).

The severity of pain at 6 weeks post treatment did not differ significantly between the 2 groups (p=0.071), but the frequency of pain in the BoNTA group was significantly lower (both p<0.001 and p<0.001). The intensity and frequency of pain in the BoNTA group were lower than in the placebo group at the second visit in the 12th week (p=0.006 and p<0.001, respectively) (Table 3).

All patients were carefully monitored for serious adverse effects. We did not observe any serious side effects resulting in the need to withdraw from the study. Side effects are summarized in Table 4.

Discussion

The present study's results indicate that BoNTA can be a beneficial treatment for patients with CH. The BoNTA group had significantly lower severity and frequency of pain 6 and 12 weeks post treatment, as compared to pre-treatment levels. Despite a significant decrease in the severity of pain in the placebo group 6 weeks post treatment, there was no significant difference between pre-treatment and 12 weeks post treatment. The results show that BoNTA therapy was superior to saline.

BoNTA has been used to treat many types of headache, and some randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled studies examined the use of BoNTA as a prophylactic treatment for migraine and tension headaches. However, there are only a few case reports on BoNTA treatment for cluster headache, and overall the results have been inconsistent (32). Despite the fact that in Schneider et al.'s randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study there was no significant difference in the severity of pain between the BoNTA and placebo groups, the duration of pain in the BoNTA group decreased (31).

Clostridium botulinum is an anaerobic bacteria which synthesizes toxins that target presynaptic proteins and block ace-

Table 3. Pain severity and frequency

	BoNTA group		Placebo group		BoNTA vs. Placebo groups
	Mean±SD		Mean±SD		p
VAS					
Pre-treatment	73.50±9.04		71.50±11.70		0.660
6 weeks post treatment	48.75±17.00	0.001* α	58.75±18.76	0.029* α	0.071
12 weeks post treatment	50.00±18.06	0.001* β	66.00±15.44	0.441 β	0.006*
Frequency of pain					
Pre-treatment	17.80±3.81		18.95±5.37		0.496
6 weeks post treatment	9.15±5.65	0.001* α	17.45±5.46	0.086 α	0.000*
12 weeks post treatment	10.55±5.78	0.001* β	18.50±4.77	0.496 β	0.000*

*p<0.05, α :Pretreatment versus 6th week, β :Pretreatment versus 12th week

Table 4. Side effects

Side effects	BoNTA group	Placebo group
Localized pain at the injection site	2	2
Dizziness	2	1
Backache	1	1
Stiff neck	0	1
Confusion	0	1
Neck muscle weakness	1	0

tylcholine secretion. BoNTA is a presynaptic neurotoxin that causes dose-dependent weakness or paralysis of skeletal muscles by blocking calcium-mediated release of acetylcholine in the motor nerve terminals; parasympathetic and sympathetic cholinergic synapse activity also decreases. Inhibition lasts between weeks and 3-4 months, and requires a germination for nerve function recovery. Protective (immune) resistance develops in response to long-term use (33).

It was reported that BoNTA is associated with substance P release from neuronal cell cultures obtained from dorsal root ganglia of mouse embryos and CGRP release from neuronal cell cultures obtained from trigeminal ganglia (34). Subcutaneous BoNTA administration to the paws of mice significantly reduced the inflammatory response induced by subcutaneous formalin, which has an analgesic effect by blocking glutamate release from peripheral axons. Moreover, reduced activity was observed in dorsal root neurons in the spinal cord (34). The direct inhibitory effect of BoNTA on nociceptors due to inhibition of neuropeptide release might be responsible for central or peripheral pain pathway sensitization and neurotransmission. In addition to being a potent inhibitor of acetylcholine release, as BoNTA inhibits neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, it has anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects (34).

To further elucidate BoNTA's inhibitory effects on nociceptors additional research is needed. There are 4 possible mechanisms by which BoNTA decreases pain signals (34):

- Normalization of muscular hyperactivity;
- Normalization of excessive muscle activity;

Neuronal retrograde flow to the central nervous system (CNS); Inhibition of neuropeptide release from nociceptors in peripheral tissues and the CNS.

Release of neuropeptides and inflammatory mediators in response to injury stimuli causes peripheral sensitization. Peripheral sensitization of the trigeminal nucleus and spinal cord causes an increase in the impulse, resulting in CNS sensitization. BoNTA directly limits peripheral sensitization via inhibition of the release of neurotransmitters that occurs after nociceptive stimulation or peripheral nerve injury, and indirectly limits central sensitization by inhibiting such neurotransmitters as glutamate and substance P (35).

Most likely, a complex of mechanisms rather than a single mechanism are involved. Headaches arise from nociceptors in the occipital region of the head and neck. Myelinated A delta fibers transmit high-speed pain signals and unmyelinated C fibers slow-speed burning pain signals; data in the literature are compatible with peripheral nerve/nerve root dysfunction or lesions. Ongoing neuropathic pain causes CNS sensitization and over time leads to chronic pain (18, 19).

Hobson and Gladish reported the efficacy of BoNTA treatment in a CH patient (23). There may be evidence that muscles play a role in the formation or spread of pain. Freund et al. reported a significant reduction in the frequency and severity in headaches in patients with chronic cervical pain treated with BoNTA (21). However, patients in this study had chronic pain secondary to cervical vertebrae injury. As such, these patients were reported as cervical-associated headache instead of cervicogenic headache.

The absence of clinically significant side effects in the present study indicates the reliability of BoNTA as a prophylactic treatment for CH. The findings and doses reported herein are specific for the formula produced by Ipsen Biopharm Ltd. (UK). Differences in the results of various studies are due to many factors, such as BoNTA dose, BoNTA administration method, and patient population. According to the present results (similar to other published results), BoNTA can be an effective treatment method in patients with CH. The results of controlled studies on patients with chronic daily headache show that BoNTA is well tolerated and effective in reducing the frequency of painful episodes and the number of painful days (36, 37).

The present study has some limitations; the patients received only 1 dose of BoNTA, the same dose, and at the same sites. Larger placebo-controlled trials on BoNTA that use multiple dosing, different doses, and different administration methods are needed to more definitively demonstrate the therapeutic efficacy of BoNTA.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

References

- Sjaastad O, Saunte C, Hovdahl H, Breivik H, Grønbaek E. "Cervicogenic" headache. An hypothesis. *Cephalalgia* 1983;3:249-56. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Fredriksen TA, Hovdal H, Sjaastad O. "Cervicogenic headache": clinical manifestation. *Cephalalgia* 1987;7:147-60. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- IHS, Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. The international Classification of headache disorders:2nd edition. *Cephalalgia* 2004;24:9-160.
- Wight S, Osborne N, Breen AC. Incidence of ponticulus posterior of the atlas in migraine and cervicogenic headache. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1999;22:15-20. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hack G. Cervicogenic headache: new anatomical discovery provides the missing link. *Chiroprac Rep* 1998;12:1-3.
- Mitchell BS, Humphreys BK, O'Sullivan E. Attachments of the ligamentum nuchae to cervical posterior spinal dura and the lateral part of the occipital bone. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1998;21:145-8.
- Alix ME, Bates DK. A proposed etiology of cervicogenic headache: the neurophysiologic basis and anatomic relationship between the dura mater and the rectus posterior capitis minor muscle. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1999;22:534-9. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Leone M, D'Amico D, Moschiano F, Farinotti M, Filippini G, Bussoni G. Possible identification of cervicogenic headache among patients with migraine: an analysis of 374 headaches. *Headache* 1995;35:461-4. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rothbart P. Cervicogenic headache: a pain in the neck. *Can J Diagn* 1996;13:64-6.
- Sjaastad O, Fredriksen TA. Cervicogenic headache: criteria, classification and epidemiology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000;18:3-6.
- Nilsson N. The prevalence of cervicogenic headache in a random population sample of 20-59 year olds. *Spine* 1995;20:1884-8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- IHS, Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias, and facial pain. *Cephalalgia* 1988;8:1-96.
- Kränzlin P, Wälchli B. The concept of cervicogenic headache. Annual postgraduate course of the association of Swiss chiropractors, vol. 13. Interlaken, Switzerland: 1993.
- Anthony M. Cervicogenic headache: prevalence and response to local steroid therapy. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000;18:59-64.
- Shah PA, Nafee A. Clinical profile of headache and cranial neuralgias. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1999;47:1072-5.
- Bono G, Antonaci F, Ghirmai S, D'Angelo F, Berger M, Nappi G. Whiplash injuries: clinical picture and diagnostic work-up. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000;18:23-8.
- Oqince M, Hall T, Robinson K, Blackmore AM. The diagnostic validity of the cervical flexion-rotation test in C1/C2 related cervicogenic headache. *Man Ther* 2007;12:256-62. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bovim G. Cervicogenic headache: Studies on clinical, anatomical and differential diagnostic factors. Tapir, Trondheim 1993.
- Sjaastad O, Fredriksen T.A, Bono G, Nappi G. Cervicogenic Headache, Basic Concepts. European Headache Federation. Smith-Gordon, London 2003.
- Bovim G, Berg R, Dale LG. Cervicogenic headache: anesthetic blockades of cervical nerves (C2-C5) and facet joint (C2/C3). *Pain* 1992;49:315-20. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Freund BJ, Schwartz M. Treatment of chronic cervical-associated headache with botulinum toxin A: a pilot study. *Headache* 2000;40:231-6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sand T, Bovim G, Helde G. Intracutaneous sterile water injections do not relieve pain in cervicogenic headache. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1992;86:526-8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hobson DE, Gladish DF. Botulinum toxin injection for cervicogenic headache. *Headache* 1997;37:253-5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Whittingham W, Ellis WB, Molyneux TP. The effect of manipulation (toggle recoil technique) for headaches with upper cervical joint dysfunction: a pilot study. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1994;17:369-75.
- Vernon HT. Spinal manipulation and headaches of cervical origin. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1989;12:455-68.
- Farina S, Granella F, Malferrari G, Manzoni GC. Headache and cervical spine disorders: classification and treatment with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Headache* 1986;26:431-3. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Nilsson N. A randomized controlled trial of the effect of spinal manipulation in the treatment of cervicogenic headache. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1995;18:435-40.
- Nilsson N, Christensen HW, Hartvigsen J. The effect of spinal manipulation in the treatment of cervicogenic headache. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 1997;20:326-30.
- Howe D, Newcombe R, Wade M. Manipulation of the cervical spine a pilot study. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1983;33:574-9.
- Evers S, Rahmann A, Vollmer-Haase J, Husstedt IW. Treatment of headache with botulinum toxin A--a review according to evidence-based medicine criteria. *Cephalalgia* 2002;22:699-710. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Schnider P, Moraru E, Bittner C, Vigl M, Wöber C, Maly J et al. Physical therapy and botulinum toxin type A in patients with cervical associated headache according to IHS criteria: double-blind, placebocontrolled study. *Neurology* 2001;56:A349.
- Evers S. Status on the use of botulinum toxin for headache disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2006;19:310-5.
- Brunton LL, Lazo JS, Parker KL. Goodman & Gilman's Tadalafil farmakolojik temeli. Süzer Ö, Akın D, Süzer AH, Dedeoğlu BD, Küçüküseyin C. (Çevirenler) 1. Baskı, İstanbul: Nobel Matbaacılık, 2009;225-30.
- Colhado OC, Boeing M, Ortega LB. Botulinum toxin in pain treatment. *Rev Bras Anestesiol* 2009;59:366-81.
- Aoki KR. Review of a proposed mechanism for the antinociceptive action of botulinum toxin type A. *Neurotoxicology* 2005;26:785-93. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mathew NT, Frishberg BM, Gawel M, Dimitrova R, Gibson J, Turkel C. Botulinum toxin type A (BOTOX) for the prophylactic treatment of chronic daily headache: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Headache* 2005;45:293-307. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Dodick DW, Manskop A, Elkind AH, DeGryse R, Brin MF, Silberstein SD; BOTOX CDH Study Group. Botulinum Toxin Type A for the Prophylaxis of Chronic Daily Headache: Subgroup Analysis of Patients Not Receiving Other Prophylactic Medications: A Randomized Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. *Headache* 2005;45:315-24. [\[CrossRef\]](#)