

VU Research Portal

The Elephant in the Room: The Nascent Research Agenda on Corporations, Social **Responsibility, and Capitalism**

de Bakker, Frank; Matten, Dirk; Spence, L.; Wickert, Christopher

published in Business and Society 2020

DOI (link to publisher) 10.1177/0007650319898196

document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

Link to publication in VU Research Portal

citation for published version (APA)

de Bakker, F., Matten, D., Spence, L., & Wickert, C. (2020). The Elephant in the Room: The Nascent Research Agenda on Corporations, Social Responsibility, and Capitalism. *Business and Society*, *59*(7), 1295-1302. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650319898196

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address: vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl The Elephant in the Room: The Nascent Research Agenda on Corporations, Social Responsibility, and Capitalism Business & Society 1–8 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0007650319898196 journals.sagepub.com/home/bas



Frank G. A. de Bakker^{1,2}, Dirk Matten³, Laura J. Spence⁴, and Christopher Wickert⁵

Sometimes it is helpful to stop and remind ourselves of the context in which a field of study started, and to sense-check on where it is going in relation to that starting place. The role of business in society has attracted considerable research interest over the past decades. Next to themes such as sustainability, social entrepreneurship, multistakeholder initiatives, and business ethics, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has become a prominent domain in it its own right within management and organizational research. It is our intention in this special themed section to kick start the reconsideration of the socioeconomic context of CSR's starting place, broadly speaking capitalism, and to develop a more nuanced understanding of CSR in the contemporary neoliberal political economy.

CSR as a modern management practice emerged in the United States as a strategic response to the New Deal and its wide reaching impact on the *laissez faire* approach to capitalism which had previously led to the economic crisis

³York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁴Royal Holloway, University of London, UK

⁵Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Corresponding Author:

Frank G. A. de Bakker, Department of Management, IESEG School of Management, 3 rue de la Digue, 59000 Lille, France. Email: f.debakker@ieseg.fr

¹ESEG School of Management, Lille, France

²LEM-CNRS (UMR 9221), Lille, France

of the late 1920s (Kaplan, 2014). As such, CSR in particular was conceived as a voluntary strategic approach by business to forestall and prevent further regulation of "free" markets and curtailments of the extent of private property rights—hence in its essence an idea to "save" American capitalism. Similar debates in the aftermath of the financial crisis of the late 2000s have reframed CSR and related ideas as an integral part of addressing certain shortfalls in the societal impacts of the current model of global capitalism (Barton, 2011; Porter & Kramer, 2011).

Recent broader societal and political developments and their impact on organizational practices, including climate change, digitalization and the rise of artificial intelligence, different forms of inequality, and populism, raise the question of whether the dominant neoliberal capitalist system poses important constraints on corporate actions that make negative social, environmental, and ethical externalities of business conduct unavoidable, or might even systematically encourage them. In fact, even the "low-hanging fruits" of CSR, where a positive business case can be relatively easily established, including classic issues such as workplace safety, emissions reductions, or eco-efficiency, still remain subject to contestation and show strong variation across different contexts (Hartmann & Uhlenbruck, 2015; Wickert et al., 2016; Wickert & Risi, 2019) Despite a myriad of studies that try to link CSR to financial performance (e.g., Busch et al., 2016; Orlitzky et al., 2003; Vishwanathan et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016), repeated scandals, environmental exploitation, modern forms of slavery, fraud and corruption, massive tax evasion, and new forms of corporate social irresponsibility cast doubt on whether those issues are solvable within our current economic system (Crane et al., 2014). Some even argue that the business case for CSR is dead (Fleming & Jones, 2013) or has never existed at all.

Decades of both CSR research and practice that remained within the current systemic constraints (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012; de Bakker et al., 2005; Griffin & Mahon, 1997) have had debatable impact on our ability to advance humanity within so-called planetary boundaries, the biophysical conditions for human existence such as fresh water supply, biodiversity, and the state of the atmosphere (Whiteman et al., 2013). This calls for questioning larger systemic issues and sociopolitical "deep structures" that appear to impose important constraints on business sustainability (Hoffman & Jennings, 2018)—calls that are increasingly finding their way into the public debate as well (cf. Stiglitz, 2019). In pursuit of clarification of the bigger picture, we have sought to place these developments into a wider socioeconomic context. We proposed a special issue of *Business & Society*¹ in which we invited submissions that explored business and society themes from the perspective of the contemporary political economy and the predominant neoliberal economic paradigm. In a sense, we argued that we need to examine the elephant in the room, disclosing as well as calling into question the systemic constraints of the current dominant paradigm. This call was motivated by a need to better understand the role of corporations in a capitalist society and to examine what the systemic constraints are, how they are interpreted by societal actors, and how they influence the relationships between companies and their stakeholders, and indeed between business and society more generally. Some of the questions we raised were, for instance, "How are different systemic constraints perceived and interpreted by business firms and how do they manifest in organizational practice?" Or "What is the systemic nature of constraints that limit current forms of CSR to produce meaningful socioeconomic and environmental improvements?"

To a degree this debate about the link between a specific economic system and how it shapes different forms of CSR has been taken up by scholars investigating CSR from a comparative perspective (e.g., Habisch et al., 2005; Jackson & Apostolakou, 2010; Maignan & Ralston, 2002; Matten & Moon, 2008; Midttun et al., 2015). This literature argues that different "varieties of capitalism" shape different approaches of companies toward assuming their social responsibilities. Most of this has taken place in the context of transatlantic comparisons, or intra-European comparative analyses of CSR. While this strand of literature is never quite interested in examining—let alone question—the link between capitalism and CSR as such, this work still informed our interest in putting together a special issue on this topic. After all, this work provides ample basis for the argument that the wider systemic constraints of different versions of capitalism indeed have a material impact on how business assumes and responds to its societal responsibilities and expectations.

Although the call resonated well within current debates on business and society, and we received 20 very diverse submissions, in the end only two papers survived through the review process.² It was a rather sobering editorial discussion that left us with the simple insight that in the management studies community (to which this call for papers was mainly addressed), a critical and scrutinizing engagement with the systemic constraints of the wider economic system within which CSR (and related concepts) are enacted is, at best, in a nascent stage. Luckily then, both papers published now in the special section clearly meet our desire to stretch the boundaries of what has previously been investigated under the umbrella of CSR and reconsider the links between corporations, capitalism, and society from a business and society perspective.

In the first paper, Schneider (2019) argues that the need for companies to legitimize their activities in a capitalist system to a large extent shapes their

CSR effort. Whereas CSR often is portrayed as a remedy to the adversarial effects of capitalism, Schneider contends that "the close connection between CSR and capitalism inherently limits the potential of the former to address the problematic implications of the latter." In a thorough analysis, he examines the "pathologies of CSR" and pleads for a more systemic and inclusive approach to CSR to effectively address the shortcomings of capitalism. Better knowledge of the systemic constraints helps to formulate alternatives that are not so easily dismissed as naïve or utopian by mainstream actors in the field, even though the problems faced are complex and "wicked" (Reinecke & Ansari, 2016).

In the second paper, Vestergaard et al. (2019) examine cross-sector partnerships as the development agents in a capitalist system. They argue that cross-sector partnerships are currently praised as capitalism's key governance instrument to address development challenges. However, while scholars have raised some concern about the effectiveness of such partnerships, little is known about their actual impact. Often it is assumed that partnership outputs transform straightforwardly into societal impact such as poverty alleviation. The authors problematize this assumption and employ a critical microlevel study, which draws on a qualitative case study of a nongovernmental organization (NGO)-business partnership in Ghana and examines how outputs provided by a partnership are put to use and perceived as beneficial from the point of view of its beneficiaries. The findings strikingly show that the partnership results in what is termed "competences without agency" since it provides new resources and knowledge to the beneficiaries but fails to generate the conditions for these to be transformed into significant changes in their lives. Drawing on the concept of empowerment, the study presents a new framework that conceptualizes "impact as empowerment" and highlights currently unrecognized dynamics that contribute to shaping the ability of a partnership to serve as a development agent.

Although this themed section only contains two articles, we are convinced that both these articles offer an important contribution to the debate on corporations, social responsibility, and capitalism. To move the debate on the relationship between business and society forward, it is important to understand the nature of the systemic constraints and their influence on organizational practices. We need to stretch the levels of analysis of current CSR research and, in particular, investigate how the level of the broader political economy influences behavior at lower levels of analysis and this themed section aims to call attention to this need. After all, the number of studies considering these systemic tensions in management and organization studies remains limited but it is growing (e.g., Böhm et al., 2012; Ehrnström-Fuentes, 2016; Whiteman et al., 2013; Wright & Nyberg, 2015). Notwithstanding these advances, in other domains of social sciences such as sociology (Sapinski, 2015) or political economy (Sandoval, 2015), similar ideas are being developed and gaining traction. The systemic issues at hand are sufficiently problematic that broader perspectives are warranted, and hence more interdisciplinary research would be welcome here. Questions to consider which remain unanswered could include

- How are systemic constraints identified, constructed, managed, avoided, mitigated, etc.?
- What are the limits of the current economic system that might accelerate or prohibit business firms to become sustainable (i.e., to reduce their social and ecological impact)?
- How do constraints at the system level feed into organizational practice and behavior of individuals within them? How are they experienced by actors (e.g., stakeholders)?
- To what extent does the current rise of authoritarian regimes and an alleged democratic recession impose new challenges and potential constraints on business sustainability and CSR?

In this themed section we only scratch the surface of such questions. Yet, we hope by grouping these papers together we attract more attention to these relevant questions and spark more debate, both within business and society and the wider domain of management and organization studies.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Notes

- 1. This call for papers derives from the 2017 EGOS Colloquium where Andrew Crane, Frank de Bakker, and Christopher Wickert convened a track on capitalism, corporations, and society.
- We thank the reviewers for this special topic forum: Jonathan Doh, Gabriela Gutierrez-Huerter O, Patrick Haack, Rami Kaplan, Daniel Kinderman, Arno Kourula, Céline Louche, Wong-Yong Oh, Lea Stadtler, Helen Tregidga, Steen

Vallentin, Glen Whelan, and Michael Witt.

References

- Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932–968.
- Barton, D. (2011). Capitalism for the long term. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(3), 84–91.
- Böhm, S., Misoczky, M. C., & Moog, S. (2012). Greening capitalism? A Marxist critique of carbon markets. *Organization Studies*, 33(11), 1617–1638.
- Busch, T., Bauer, R., & Orlitzky, M. (2016). Sustainable development and financial markets: Old paths and new avenues. *Business & Society*, 55(3), 303–329.
- Crane, A., Palazzo, G., Spence, L. J., & Matten, D. (2014). Contesting the value of "creating shared value." *California Management Review*, 56(2), 130–153.
- de Bakker, F. G. A., Groenewegen, P., & den Hond, F. (2005). A bibliometric analysis of 30 years of research and theory on corporate social responsibility and corporate social performance. *Business & Society*, 44(3), 283–317.
- Ehrnström-Fuentes, M. (2016). Delinking legitimacies: A pluriversal perspective on political CSR. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(3), 433–462.
- Fleming, P., & Jones, M. T. (2013). The end of corporate social responsibility. SAGE.
- Griffin, J. J., & Mahon, J. F. (1997). The corporate social performance and corporate financial performance debate: Twenty-five years of incomparable research. *Business & Society*, 36(1), 5–31.
- Habisch, A., Jonker, J., Wegner, M., & Schmidpeter, R. (Eds.). (2005). Corporate social responsibility across Europe. Springer.
- Hartmann, J., & Uhlenbruck, K. (2015). National institutional antecedents to corporate environmental performance. *Journal of World Business*, 50(4), 729–741.
- Hoffman, A. J., & Jennings, P. D. (2018). Institutional-political scenarios for anthropocene society. *Business & Society*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650318816468
- Jackson, G., & Apostolakou, A. (2010). Corporate social responsibility in Western Europe: An institutional mirror or substitute? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 94(3), 371–394.
- Kaplan, R. (2014). Who has been regulating whom, business or society? The mid-20th century institutionalization of "corporate responsibility" in the USA. *Socio-Economic Review*, 13, 125–155.
- Maignan, I., & Ralston, D. A. (2002). Corporate social responsibility in Europe and the US: Insights from businesses' self-presentations. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 33(3), 497–514.
- Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). "Implicit" and "explicit" CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy* of Management Review, 33(2), 404–424.
- Midttun, A., Gjølberg, M., Kourula, A., Sweet, S., & Vallentin, S. (2015). Public policies for corporate social responsibility in four Nordic countries: Harmony of goals and conflict of means. *Business & Society*, 54(4), 464–500.

- Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., & Rynes, S. L. (2003). Corporate social and financial performance: A meta-analysis. *Organization Studies*, 24, 403–441.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(2), 62–77.
- Reinecke, J., & Ansari, S. (2016). Taming wicked problems: The role of framing in the construction of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(3), 299–329.
- Sandoval, M. (2015). From CSR to RSC: A contribution to the critique of the political economy of corporate social responsibility. *Review of Radical Political Economics*, 47(4), 608–624.
- Sapinski, J. P. (2015). Climate capitalism and the global corporate elite network. *Environmental Sociology*, 1(4), 268–279.
- Schneider, A. (2019). Bound to fail? Exploring the systemic pathologies of CSR and their implications for CSR research. *Business & Society*. https://doi. org/10.1177/0007650319856616
- Stiglitz, J. (2019). After neoliberalism. https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/after-neoliberalism-progressive-capitalism-by-joseph-e-stiglitz-2019-05
- Vestergaard, A., Murphy, L., Morsing, M., & Langevang, T. (2019). Cross-sector partnerships as capitalism's new development agents: Reconceiving impact as empowerment. *Business & Society*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650319845327
- Vishwanathan, P., van Oosterhout, H. J., Heugens, P. P., Duran, P., & Van Essen, M. (2019). Strategic CSR: A concept building meta-analysis. *Journal of Management Studies*. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/joms.12514
- Wang, Q., Dou, J., & Jia, S. (2016). A meta-analytic review of corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance: The moderating effect of contextual factors. *Business & Society*, 55(8), 1083–1121.
- Whiteman, G., Walker, B., & Perego, P. (2013). Planetary boundaries: Ecological foundations for corporate sustainability. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(2), 307–336.
- Wickert, C., & Risi, D. (2019). Corporate social responsibility [Elements in business strategy]. Cambridge University Press.
- Wickert, C., Scherer, A. G., & Spence, L. (2016). Walking and talking corporate social responsibility: Implications of firm size and organizational cost. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(7), 1169–1196.
- Wright, C., & Nyberg, D. (2015). Climate change, capitalism, and corporations. Cambridge University Press.

Author Biographies

Frank G. A. de Bakker (PhD, University of Twente) is professor of corporate social responsibility at IESEG School of Management in Lille, France, where he is a member of LEM-CNRS (UMR 9221) and is coordinating the IESEG Center for Organizational Responsibility (ICOR). In his research, he combines insights from institutional theory, social movement studies, and stakeholder theory to examine interactions between activist groups and business firms on issues of corporate social responsibility. His work

appeared in journals such as Academy of Management Review, Journal of Management Studies, Business & Society, and Organization Studies. He is one of the co-editors of Business & Society and sits on several editorial boards.

Dirk Matten (dmatten@schulich.yorku.ca) holds the Hewlett-Packard chair in corporate social responsibility at the Schulich School of Business, York University, Toronto, Canada. He is also a visiting professor at Copenhagen Business School, the University of London, the University of Nottingham, University of Melbourne (2018/2019), and at Sabanci University in Istanbul. He has published 28 books and edited collections as well as more than 90 articles and book chapters. In 2018, he won the "Paper of the Decade Award" from *Academy of Management Review* and was ranked among the "Top 100 Corporate Social Responsibility Influence Leader" by *Assent Compliance*.

Laura J. Spence is professor of Business Ethics and associate dean (Research) at Royal Holloway, University of London, United Kingdom. Her research interests are wide ranging, including critical corporate social responsibility and leading the research agenda on small business social responsibility. Her work has been published in Accounting, Organizations and Society; Human Relations; Organization Studies; and Journal of Management Studies. Her most recent book is Business Ethics, published by Oxford University Press, written with Andrew Crane, Dirk Matten and Sarah Glozer. She is consulting editor for the Journal of Business Ethics.

Christopher Wickert (PhD, Management, University of Lausanne) is an associate professor in Ethics & Sustainability at Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VU), The Netherlands. His research interests include corporate social responsibility (CSR), organization theory, critical management studies (CMS), and business ethics, zooming in on the question how and by whom CSR is organized in globally integrated business firms. He has published in journals such as *Academy of Management Discoveries, Business & Society, Human Relations, Journal of Management Studies*, and *Organization Studies* and is associate editor of the *Journal of Management Studies*.