

JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres
de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Alexandre PYVOVAROV

The endomorphism ring of projectives and the Bernstein centre

Tome 32, n° 1 (2020), p. 49-71.

<http://jtnb.centre-mersenne.org/item?id=JTNB_2020__32_1_49_0>

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2020, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.centre-mersenne.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.centre-mersenne.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.centre-mersenne.org/>

The endomorphism ring of projectives and the Bernstein centre

par ALEXANDRE PYVOVAROV

RÉSUMÉ. Soient F un corps local non-archimédien et \mathcal{O}_F son anneau des entiers. Soit Ω une composante de Bernstein de la catégorie des représentations lisses de $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Soient (J, λ) un Ω -type de Bushnell–Kutzko et \mathfrak{Z}_Ω le centre de Bernstein de la composante Ω . Soit σ un facteur direct de $\mathrm{Ind}_J^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} \lambda$. Nous commençons par calculer $c\text{-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$, où $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ est le corps résiduel de \mathfrak{Z}_Ω en un idéal maximal \mathfrak{m} , et \mathfrak{m} appartient à un ensemble Zariski dense dans $\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$.

Ce résultat nous permet ensuite de déduire que l’anneau des endomorphismes $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(c\text{-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \sigma)$ est isomorphe à \mathfrak{Z}_Ω , si σ apparaît avec multiplicité un dans $\mathrm{Ind}_J^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} \lambda$.

ABSTRACT. Let F be a local non-archimedean field and \mathcal{O}_F its ring of integers. Let Ω be a Bernstein component of the category of smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, let (J, λ) be a Bushnell–Kutzko Ω -type, and let \mathfrak{Z}_Ω be the centre of the Bernstein component Ω . Let σ be a direct summand of $\mathrm{Ind}_J^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} \lambda$. We will begin by computing $c\text{-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$, where $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is the residue field at maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω , and the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} belongs to a Zariski-dense set in $\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$.

This result will allow us to deduce that the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(c\text{-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \sigma)$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{Z}_Ω , when σ appears with multiplicity one in $\mathrm{Ind}_J^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)} \lambda$.

1. Introduction

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where F is a non-archimedean local field and let E be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We will consider smooth E -representations of G and of its subgroups. Let Ω be a Bernstein component of the category of smooth representations of G , let (J, λ) be a Bushnell–Kutzko Ω -type, such that J is contained in a maximal compact subgroup K . We refer the reader to notation section for the definitions.

Manuscrit reçu le 16 décembre 2018, révisé le 17 février 2020, accepté le 25 avril 2020.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 22E50, 11F70.

Mots-clefs. smooth representations, p -adic groups, Bernstein centre.

This work was supported by SFB/TR 45 of the DFG.

In [17, Section 6, just above Proposition 2] the authors define irreducible K -representations $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda)$, where \mathcal{P} is partition valued functions with finite support (cf. [17, Section 2]). One has the decomposition :

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{P}} \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda)^{\oplus m_{\mathcal{P},\lambda}},$$

where the summation runs over all partition valued functions with finite support. The integers $m_{\mathcal{P},\lambda}$ are finite and we call them multiplicities of $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda)$'s.

There is a natural partial ordering, as defined in [17], on the set of partition valued functions. Let \mathcal{P}_{\max} be the maximal partition valued function and let \mathcal{P}_{\min} the minimal one. Define $\sigma_{\max}(\lambda) := \sigma_{\mathcal{P}_{\max}}(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{\min}(\lambda) := \sigma_{\mathcal{P}_{\min}}(\lambda)$. Both $\sigma_{\max}(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{\min}(\lambda)$ occur in $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ with multiplicity 1 (see Remark 7.3).

The simplest example of a type is $(I, 1)$, where I is Iwahori subgroup of G and 1 is the trivial representation of I . In this case $\Omega = [T, 1]_G$, where T is the subgroup of diagonal matrices. We will refer to example as the Iwahori case.

In the Iwahori case, $\sigma_{\min}(\lambda)$ is st , which is the inflation of Steinberg representation of $\text{GL}_n(k_F)$ to K and $\sigma_{\max}(\lambda)$ is the trivial representation. In this simplest case, it follows from [11, Theorem 4.1] that the action of \mathfrak{Z}_{Ω} on $c\text{-Ind}_K^G 1$ induces a ring isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega} \simeq \text{End}_G(c\text{-Ind}_K^G 1)$. The isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega} \simeq \text{End}_G(c\text{-Ind}_K^G st)$ is a special case of [13, Corollary 6.1]. It was pointed out to us by an anonymous referee that the case $\sigma = st$ can be easily deduced from the case $\sigma = 1$ via the Iwahori–Matsumoto involution (cf. [14, Section 2.2]).

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the isomorphisms above to other Bernstein components and to other multiplicity one direct summands of $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. In the Iwahori case, $\sigma_{\max}(\lambda) = 1$ and $\sigma_{\min}(\lambda) = st$ are the only multiplicity free summands of $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. However, when the type is semi-simple there can be more than 2 multiplicity one direct summands of $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ (see Remark 7.3).

In order to get such an isomorphism we will study the \mathfrak{Z}_{Ω} -module $\text{Hom}_G(c\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda), c\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_{\mathcal{P}'}(\lambda))$ for any partition valued functions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' with finite support.

Before we state precisely our main results we will introduce some more notation. Recall that K is a maximal compact open subgroup of G containing J . Let \widehat{K} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of K . In order to simplify the notation, the decomposition (1.1):

$$\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{P}} \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda)^{\oplus m_{\mathcal{P},\lambda}},$$

will be written as,

$$(1.2) \quad \mathrm{Ind}_J^K \lambda = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} \sigma^{\oplus m_\sigma}.$$

The integers m_σ are the multiplicities of σ 's in $\mathrm{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. It follows that :

$$(1.3) \quad \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_J^G \lambda = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G(\mathrm{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} (\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma)^{\oplus m_\sigma}.$$

We will state now our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \widehat{K}$ with multiplicities $m_{\sigma_1}, m_{\sigma_2}$ respectively. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1, \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2)$ is a free \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module of rank $m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}$.*

As a corollary of the result above we get:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{K}$, such that $m_\sigma = 1$. Then the canonical map $\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma)$ induces a ring isomorphism:*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \simeq \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma).$$

This is the statement of Corollary 7.2.

Let me finish this introduction by saying that the representation-theoretic results proven here will be used by [15] to establish the Breuil–Schneider conjecture in many new cases, following the method of [10].

This paper is organised in the following manner. In Section 2 we will recall some facts about representations of G and prove a few easy lemmas. Next, in Section 3, we will prove some results about the Bernstein centre. These results will allow us to study the specialization of a projective generator at maximal ideals that belong to some dense set. This will be achieved in Section 4. Then in Sections 5 and 6 we collect some technical results that will be needed to prove the main result of this paper in Section 7.

Notation. Let G be p -adic reductive group and let E be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{R}(G)$ be the category of all smooth E -representations of G . We denote by $i_P^G : \mathcal{R}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(G)$ the normalized parabolic induction functor, where $P = MN$ is a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi subgroup M . Let \overline{P} be the opposite parabolic with respect to M . Let $J \subseteq K$ be two compact open subgroups of G and let H be an open subgroup of G . We use the notation $\mathrm{Ind}_J^K : \mathcal{R}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(K)$ and $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_H^G : \mathcal{R}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(G)$ to denote the induction and the compact induction functors respectively. The compact induction is not normalized by modulus character. When π is a smooth representation of G , let $\pi|_K$ be the restriction of π to K .

The Bernstein decomposition expresses the category $\mathcal{R}(G)$ as the product of certain indecomposable full subcategories, called Bernstein components. These components are parametrized by inertial classes. Let me now

recall the definition of an inertial class. Let M be a Levi subgroup of some parabolic subgroup of G and let ρ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of M and consider a set of pairs (M, ρ) as above. We say that two pairs (M_1, ρ_1) and (M_2, ρ_2) are inertially equivalent if and only if there is $g \in G$ and an unramified character χ of M_2 such that, $M_2 = M_1^g$ and $\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1^g \otimes \chi$, where $M_1^g := g^{-1}M_1g$ and $\rho_1^g(x) = \rho_1(gxg^{-1})$, $\forall x \in M_1^g$. An equivalence class of (M, ρ) will be denoted $[M, \rho]_G$. The set of all such equivalence classes will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

In order to state the Bernstein decomposition, let me introduce some further notation. We are given an inertial class $\Omega := [M, \rho]_G$, where ρ is a supercuspidal representation of M and $D := [M, \rho]_M$. To any inertial class Ω we may associate a full subcategory $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$ of $\mathcal{R}(G)$, such that (π, V) is an object of $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$ if and only if every irreducible G -subquotient π_0 of π appears as a composition factor of $i_P^G(\rho \otimes \omega)$ for ω some unramified character of M and P some parabolic subgroup of G with Levi factor M . The category $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$ is called a Bernstein component of $\mathcal{R}(G)$. We will say that a representation π is in Ω if π is an object of $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$. According to [2], we have a decomposition:

$$\mathcal{R}(G) = \coprod_{\Omega \in \mathcal{B}(G)} \mathcal{R}^\Omega(G).$$

So in order to understand the category $\mathcal{R}(G)$, it is enough to restrict our attention to the components. We may understand those components via the theory of types, developed by Bushnell and Kutzko. This theory allows us to parametrize all the irreducible representations of G up to inertial equivalence using irreducible representations of compact open subgroups of G .

Let me briefly define Bushnell–Kutzko types. Let J be a compact open subgroup of G and let λ be an irreducible representation of J . Let K be a maximal compact open subgroup of G containing J . We say that (J, λ) is an Ω -type if and only if for every irreducible object (π, V) of $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$, V is generated by the λ -isotypical component of V as G -representation.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field, with a finite residue field k_F . Let \mathcal{O}_F be its complete discrete valuation ring, let \mathfrak{p} be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_F with uniformizer ϖ , and let $q = |k_F|$. In this paper we only consider the case $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, types can be constructed in an explicit manner (cf. [7], [8] and [9]) for every Bernstein component. Throughout this paper we will use these types. Moreover, Bushnell and Kutzko have shown that the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda) := \mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda) := \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_J^G \lambda)$ is naturally isomorphic to a tensor product of affine Hecke algebras of type A.

Let $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(G)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ such that (π, V) is an object of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(G)$ if and only if V is generated by V^λ (the λ -isotypical component of V) as G -representation.

Moreover every Bernstein component has a description as a category of modules over $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$. Indeed, for any Ω -type (J, λ) , by [8, Theorem 4.2 (ii)], we have a functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_\lambda : \mathcal{R}_\lambda(G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, \lambda) - \text{Mod} \\ \pi &\mapsto \text{Hom}_J(\lambda, \pi) = \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda, \pi) \end{aligned}$$

which is an equivalence of categories. Since (J, λ) is an Ω -type, we have $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G) = \mathcal{R}_\lambda(G)$.

Denote by W the vector space on which the representation λ is realized. Next, let $(\check{\lambda}, W^\vee)$ denote the contragradient of (λ, W) .

Then by [9, (2.6)], the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda) := \text{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda)$ can be identified with the space of compactly supported functions $f : G \rightarrow \text{End}_E(W^\vee)$ such that $f(j_1.g.j_2) = \check{\lambda}(j_1) \circ f(g) \circ \check{\lambda}(j_2)$, with $j_1, j_2 \in J$ and $g \in G$ and the multiplication of two elements f_1 and f_2 is given by the convolution:

$$f_1 * f_2(g) = \int_G f_1(x) \circ f_2(x^{-1}g) dx.$$

For $u \in \text{End}_E(W^\vee)$, we write $\check{u} \in \text{End}_E(W)$ for the transpose of u with respect of the canonical pairing between W and W^\vee . This gives $(\check{\lambda}(j))^\vee = \lambda(j)$, for $j \in J$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$, define $\check{f} \in \mathcal{H}(G, \check{\lambda})$, by $\check{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})^\vee$, for all $g \in G$.

Write \mathfrak{Z}_Ω for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$ and \mathfrak{Z}_D for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^D(M)$, which is defined the same way as $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$. Recall that the centre of a category is the ring of endomorphisms of the identity functor. For example the centre of the category $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda) - \text{Mod}$ is $Z(\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda))$, where $Z(\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda))$ is the centre of the ring $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$. We will call \mathfrak{Z}_Ω a Bernstein centre.

Acknowledgments. The results of this paper are a part of the author's PhD thesis. The author is tremendously grateful to his advisor Vytautas Paškūnas for sharing his ideas with the author and for many helpful discussions. The author also would like to thank the referee for comments and suggestions, which improved considerably the exposition of this paper.

2. Classical results and commutative algebra

We will start stating a few very useful results and we will introduce more notation. Recall that $\Omega := [M, \rho]_G$, where ρ is a supercuspidal representation of M and $D := [M, \rho]_M$. Write \mathfrak{Z}_Ω for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$ and \mathfrak{Z}_D for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^D(M)$. Combining together theorem in

Section VI.4.4 (p. 232) and the first lemma in Section VI.10.3 (p. 311) both in [16], we get following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ is a free finitely generated \mathfrak{Z}_D -module.

The following result is then proved in [16, Section VI.10.3, p. 314], just before the statement of a theorem:

Lemma 2.2.

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega = \mathfrak{Z}_D^{W(D)}$$

where

$$W(D) = \left\{ g \in G \mid g^{-1}Mg = M \text{ and } [M, \rho^g]_M = D \right\} / M.$$

Since \mathfrak{Z}_D is an E -algebra of finite type we may use the results of [4, Chapitre 5, §1.9], to get:

Lemma 2.3. \mathfrak{Z}_D is a \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module(algebra) of finite type.

Let χ be an algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \rightarrow E$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\chi} E)$ a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω and $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ the residue field which is isomorphic to E . From now on we will always identify an algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \rightarrow E$ and a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\chi} E)$ of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω .

Lemma 2.4. Let A and B be two E -algebras. Let G be a finite group acting on A and H another finite group acting on B , so that $G \times H$ acts on $A \otimes_E B$. Then the invariants under action of $G \times H$ are $(A \otimes_E B)^{G \times H} = (A^G) \otimes_E (B^H)$

Lemma 2.5. Let A be a commutative E -algebra, which is also a Jacobson ring. Let $f \in A$, which is a not a zero divisor in A . Then the set $\text{m-Spec}(A[\frac{1}{f}])$ is Zariski dense in $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $Z := E[X_1, \dots, X_e]$ and $S := Z^{\mathfrak{S}_e}$, where the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_e acts by permutation of variables, i.e. $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_e$ acts by $\sigma.X_i = X_{\sigma(i)}$. Let $s_i := \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq e} X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_i}$ be the elementary symmetric polynomial, then $S \simeq E[s_1, \dots, s_e]$. Then Z is a free S -module of rank $e!$ with basis given by monomials $X^\nu := X_1^{\nu(1)} \dots X_e^{\nu(e)}$, such that $0 \leq \nu(i) < i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$. Let $\Delta = \det(\text{tr}_{Z/S}(X^\mu.X^\nu))_{\mu, \nu}$ and let $d = \prod_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)^2$. Then Δ is some power of d .

Proof. According to [5, IV.§6.1 Theorem 1c)] Z is a free S -module of rank $e!$. Let's first prove that d is irreducible in S . Assume that $d = d_1 d_2 = (-1)^{e(e-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j} (X_i - X_j)$ with d_1 and d_2 both in S and have positive degree. Let $T = \{(i, j) \mid i \neq j\}$. Since Z is an UFD, then by uniqueness of factorization we have:

$$d_k = c_k \prod_{(i,j) \in T_k} (X_i - X_j),$$

where $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $c_k \in E$. The subsets T_k of T are such that $T_k \neq \emptyset$, $T_1 \cup T_2 = T$ and $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$. Since $d_k \in S$, then for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_e$ we have that $\sigma.d_k = d_k$, then

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in T_k} (X_{\sigma(i)} - X_{\sigma(j)}) = \prod_{(i,j) \in T_k} (X_i - X_j),$$

again by uniqueness of factorization in Z , we may identify factors on both sides. In particular we have that if $(i, j) \in T_k$ then for any permutation σ we have that $(\sigma(i), \sigma(j)) \in T_k$. This implies that $T \subseteq T_k$, a contradiction.

The map $f : \text{Spec } Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$ induced by an embedding $S \hookrightarrow Z$ is étale at a point x if and only if it is unramified at x . However the zero locus of Δ , $V(\Delta)$, is equal to the set of points where the map f is ramified (i.e. is not étale), by definition of the discriminant. The map f is not étale when $X_i = X_j$ for $i \neq j$, this is the zero locus of d . Since d is irreducible in S , it follows that Δ is some power of d . \square

3. Properties of Bernstein centre

Recall that $\Omega := [M, \rho]_G$, where ρ is a supercuspidal representation of M and $D := [M, \rho]_M$. Our goal is to determine $\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ when \mathfrak{m} varies through a dense set of maximal ideals in $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$, where \mathfrak{Z}_Ω for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$ and \mathfrak{Z}_D for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^D(M)$.

Let's first describe the action of $W(D)$ on \mathfrak{Z}_D . Let $\mathcal{X}(M)$ be the group of unramified characters of M and $\mathcal{X}(M)(\rho) = \{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(M) \mid \rho \simeq \rho \otimes \chi\}$. Let M° be the intersection of the kernels of the characters $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and let T be the intersection of the kernels of the $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(M)(\rho)$. Restriction to T induces a bijection $\mathcal{X}(M)/\mathcal{X}(M)(\rho) \simeq \mathcal{X}(T)$. Let $\text{Irr}(D)$ be the set of irreducible representations in D . Every such a representation is of the form $\rho \otimes \chi$ for $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Thus we have a bijection $\mathcal{X}(M)/\mathcal{X}(M)(\rho) \simeq \text{Irr}(D)$, $\chi \mapsto \rho \otimes \chi$. Composing it with previous bijection we get a bijection $\text{Irr}(D) \simeq \mathcal{X}(T)$. Now $\mathcal{X}(T)$ is naturally isomorphic to the set of E -algebra homomorphisms from $E[T/M^\circ]$ to E . It is explained in [16, Section V.4.4] that we have an identification $\mathfrak{Z}_D \simeq E[T/M^\circ]$, so that the bijection $\text{Irr}(D) \simeq \mathcal{X}(T)$ induces a natural bijection between $\text{Irr}(D)$ and $\text{m-Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_D)$. The group $W(D)$ acts on $\text{Irr}(D)$ by conjugation. For each $w \in W(D)$ let $\xi_w \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ be any character such that $\rho^w \simeq \rho \otimes \xi_w$. We will use the same symbol ξ_w for the restriction of ξ_w to T . If $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ then $(\rho \otimes \chi)^w \simeq \rho \otimes \chi^w \cdot \xi_w$. Thus the action of $W(D)$ on $\mathcal{X}(T)$ is given by $w \cdot \chi = \chi^w \cdot \xi_w$. It is immediate that the induced action on $E[T/M^\circ] \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_D$ is given by $w \cdot (tM^\circ) = \xi_w(t)^{-1} t^w M^\circ$.

Lemma 3.1. *An E -algebra homomorphism $X : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$ can be lifted to an unramified character $\bar{\chi}$ of M , i.e. we have a surjective map:*

$$\mathcal{X}(M) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{E\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{Z}_D, E).$$

This map has the following description, given an unramified character $\bar{\chi}$ of M , we can associate to it a E -algebra homomorphism $X : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$, defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} X &: \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E \\ z &\mapsto z(\bar{\chi}), \end{aligned}$$

where $z(\bar{\chi})$ is a scalar by which z acts on one dimensional representation $\bar{\chi}$ of M .

Proof. By the description of the action of $W(D)$ on \mathfrak{Z}_D , above this lemma, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{X}(M)/\mathcal{X}(M)(\rho) \simeq \mathcal{X}(T) \simeq \text{Hom}_{E\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{Z}_D, E),$$

hence a surjective map $\mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{E\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{Z}_D, E)$. \square

Let $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}} E)$ a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω and $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ the residue field which is isomorphic to E , because E is algebraically closed.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a dense set in $\text{m-Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$ of maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{m-Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$, such that:*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \prod_{k=1}^{|W(D)|} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_i),$$

where \mathfrak{M}_i are maximal ideals of \mathfrak{Z}_D above \mathfrak{m} , and $\kappa(\mathfrak{M}_i)$ the residue fields. Moreover \mathfrak{Z}_D is free over \mathfrak{Z}_Ω of rank $|W(D)|$.

Proof. Let's first deal with two particular cases before dealing with general case.

Supercuspidal case. In this case we have $M = G$, then $\mathfrak{Z}_D \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$. Everything is clear, there is nothing to prove.

Simple type case. Assume now that (J, λ) is a simple type, without loss of generality we may assume then $M = \text{GL}_k(F)^e$ and $\rho = \pi \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi$ (e times), where π is a supercuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_k(F)$.

By Theorem (6.6.2) in [7], there is a maximal type (J_0, λ_0) of $\text{GL}_k(F)$, a field extension Γ of F and a uniquely determined representation Λ of $\Gamma^\times J_0$ such that $\Lambda|_{J_0} = \lambda_0$ and $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma^\times J_0}^{\text{GL}_k(F)} \Lambda$. From Frobenius reciprocity it follows that there is a Hecke algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(M, \lambda_M) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M)$, because any $g \in M$ that intertwines λ_M lies in \widetilde{J}_M , where \widetilde{J}_M is a subgroup of M compact modulo centre of M such that $J_M = \widetilde{J}_M \cap K \cap M$ and $J_M := J \cap M$. Since \widetilde{J}_M/J_M is a free abelian group, $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M)$ is commutative, and we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M) \simeq E[\widetilde{J}_M/J_M]$. Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_D &\simeq \mathcal{H}(M, \lambda_M) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M) \simeq E[\widetilde{J}_M/J_M] \simeq E[(\Gamma^\times J_0)^e/J_0^e] \\ &\simeq E[(\Gamma^\times J_0/J_0)^e] \simeq E[(\Gamma^\times/\mathcal{O}_\Gamma^\times)^e] \simeq E[(\varpi_\Gamma^\mathbb{Z})^e] \simeq E[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_e^{\pm 1}], \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{O}_Γ is the ring of integers of Γ and ϖ_Γ a uniformizer. Since $\rho = \pi \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi$, it follows from the description action of $W(D)$ on \mathfrak{Z}_D in the beginning of this section, that the characters ξ_w are trivial, i.e. $\xi_w = 1$ for all $w \in W(D)$. Then the group $W(D) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_e$ acts by permutation of variables X_i on $\mathfrak{Z}_D \simeq E[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_e^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $Z := E[X_1, \dots, X_e]$ and $S := Z^{\mathfrak{S}_e}$. Let $s_i := \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq e} X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_i}$ be the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial, then $S \simeq E[s_1, \dots, s_e]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega &= \mathfrak{Z}_D^{W(D)} \simeq E[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_e^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_e} \\ &\simeq E[X_1, \dots, X_e]^{\mathfrak{S}_e} \otimes_E E[X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_e^{-1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_e} \\ &\simeq E[s_1, \dots, s_e] \otimes_E E[s'_1, \dots, s'_e] \simeq E[s_1, \dots, s_e, s'_1, \dots, s'_{e-1} s_e^{-1}] \\ &\simeq E[s_1, \dots, s_{e-1}, s_e^{\pm 1}], \end{aligned}$$

where s'_i are symmetric polynomials in the variable X_j^{-1} . The last isomorphism follows from the fact that $s'_i = s_{e-i}/s_e$, for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$, and $s'_e = s_e^{-1}$. After a localization with respect of $\{s_e^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ we see that $\mathfrak{Z}_D = Z_{s_e}$ is a free $\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega = S_{s_e}$ -module of rank $|W(D)| = e!$ with basis given by monomials $X^\nu := X_1^{\nu(1)} \cdots X_e^{\nu(e)}$, such that $0 \leq \nu(i) < i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$, by Lemma 2.6. Let $d = \prod_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)^2 \in \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$. By Lemma 2.6 the discriminant is some power of d .

When the specialization $d(\mathfrak{m}) := d \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ of d at a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is non zero, then \mathfrak{m} is of form $(s_1 - a_1, \dots, s_e - a_e)$, where the a_1, \dots, a_e are such that the polynomial $f \in \kappa(\mathfrak{m})[X]$ defined by $f = X^e + \sum_{k=1}^e (-1)^k a_k X^{e-k}$ has e distinct roots, say $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e$. Let $w \in W(D) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_e$, set \mathfrak{M}_w the kernel of homomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$ sending $X_k \mapsto \alpha_{w(k)}$. Moreover \mathfrak{M}_w is a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_D above \mathfrak{m} . We have a natural surjection :

$$\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{w \in W(D)} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_w).$$

Since $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})}(\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) = |W(D)|$, this surjection is an isomorphism of E vector spaces by comparing the dimensions. Then $\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is a product of $|W(D)|$ copies of E , since E is assumed to be algebraically closed.

Moreover, the set $S := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega) \mid d(\mathfrak{m}) \neq 0\} = \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega[\frac{1}{d}])$ is not empty and Zariski dense, because of the Lemma 2.5.

General case. Now let's treat the general case, where the type (J, λ) is semi-simple. We may always assume that $M = \prod_{i=1}^s M_i$ and $\rho = \otimes_{i=1}^s \rho_i$, where $M_i = \text{GL}_{n_i}(F)^{e_i}$ and ρ_i is a supercuspidal representation of M_i . Define $G_i = \text{GL}_{n_i e_i}(F)$, $\Omega_i = [M_i, \rho_i]_{G_i}$, $D_i = [M_i, \rho_i]_{M_i}$. Let \bar{M} be the unique Levi subgroup of G which contains the $N_G(M)$ -stabilizer of the

inertia class D and is minimal for this property. Section 1.5 in [9] applied to $\mathcal{H}(\overline{M}, \lambda_M) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_D$ gives:

$$\mathfrak{Z}_D \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{Z}_{D_i}$$

and

$$W(D) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s W(D_i).$$

The action of $W(D)$ on \mathfrak{Z}_D is such that every $W(D_i)$ acts only on \mathfrak{Z}_{D_i} . An inductive application of Lemma 2.4, to the previous decomposition of \mathfrak{Z}_D gives:

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_i}.$$

By previous case we have the following non canonical isomorphisms :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_{D_i} &\simeq E[X_{1,i}^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_{e_i,i}^{\pm 1}], \\ \mathfrak{Z}_{\Omega_i} &\simeq E[X_{1,i}^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_{e_i,i}^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{e_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $W(D_i) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{e_i}$ and we may assume that \mathfrak{S}_{e_i} acts on $E[X_{1,i}^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_{e_i,i}^{\pm 1}]$ by permutation, since it is always the case after an appropriate linear change of variables. Moreover \mathfrak{Z}_{D_i} is free \mathfrak{Z}_{Ω_i} -module of rank $e_i!$, and let $d_{e_i} = \prod_{k < l} (X_{k,i} - X_{l,i})^2$.

Then \mathfrak{Z}_D is free \mathfrak{Z}_Ω module of rank $|W(D)|$ and define $d = \prod_{i=1}^s d_{e_i}$. The proof of general case ends exactly in the same way as in the simple type case and the set $S' := \text{m-Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega[\frac{1}{d}])$ is not empty and Zariski dense, by Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 3.3. $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ is a free and finitely generated \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module.

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2, that \mathfrak{Z}_D is free \mathfrak{Z}_Ω module of rank $|W(D)|$. Finally Theorem 2.1 gives the desired result. \square

Remark 3.4. Lemma 3.3 above is essentially the same as Lemma 2.1 in [11].

4. Specialization of a projective generator at maximal ideal of Bernstein centre

In this section we compute $\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ for $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$ a maximal ideal which belongs to some dense set of points in $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$, where $\Omega := [M, \rho]_G$, $D := [M, \rho]_M$, and \mathfrak{Z}_Ω for the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$. This result is an improvement of Lemma 1.2 in [11]. Let (J, λ) be a Bushnell–Kutzko Ω -type, such that J is contained in a maximal compact subgroup K . The representation $\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$.

Let $\bar{\chi}$ be any lift of $X : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$ as in Lemma 3.1. Let now $\chi = X|_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega}$. We say that a character χ on \mathfrak{Z}_Ω , obtained in this way, is induced from the unramified character $\bar{\chi}$ of M .

Once and for all we fix the following notation. For (J, λ) an Ω -type, as above, there exists a D -type (J_M, λ_M) , such that :

- (1) $J_M = J \cap M$ and $\lambda_M = \lambda|_{J_M}$.
- (2) J has an Iwahori decomposition $J \simeq (J \cap \bar{N})(J \cap M)(J \cap N)$ such that $\lambda|(J \cap \bar{N})$ and $\lambda|(J \cap N)$ are trivial. Here \bar{N} is unipotent radical of opposite parabolic subgroup $\bar{P} = M\bar{N}$.
- (3) For any parabolic subgroup P with Levi component M , there is an invertible element in $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ supported in Jz_PJ , such that the element z_P is in the centre of M and has the following properties:
 - $z_P(J \cap N)z_P^{-1} \subset J \cap N$.
 - $z_P^{-1}(J \cap \bar{N})z_P \subset J \cap \bar{N}$.
 - For any compact open subgroups H_1, H_2 of N there is an integer $m \geq 0$, such that $z_P^m H_1 z_P^{-m} \subset H_2$.
 - For any compact open subgroups \bar{H}_2, \bar{H}_2 of \bar{N} there is an integer $m \geq 0$, such that $z_P^{-m} \bar{H}_1 z_P^m \subset \bar{H}_2$.
- (4) There is a subgroup \widetilde{J}_M of M compact modulo centre of M such that $J_M = \widetilde{J}_M \cap K \cap M$.
- (5) There is an extension $\widetilde{\lambda}_M$ of λ_M to \widetilde{J}_M such that $\rho = \text{c-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^M \widetilde{\lambda}_M$ (is irreducible supercuspidal) and any $g \in M$ which intertwines λ_M lies in \widetilde{J}_M .

Observe that [9, Theorem 8.2] ensures Conditions 1, 2, 3. Conditions 4 and 5 follow from [8, Section (5.5)]. Now we state and prove the main result of this section:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\chi : \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \rightarrow E$ be an algebra homomorphism corresponding to a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\chi} E)$ of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω . Then there is a Zariski dense set S in $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega)$ such that:*

$$\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus |W(D)|}$$

$\forall \mathfrak{m} \in S$, where $P(\chi) := i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi})$ is an irreducible parabolic induction of a supercuspidal representation of a Levi subgroup of G and $\bar{\chi}$ some character corresponding to the algebra homomorphism $X : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$, as in Lemma 3.1, such that $\mathfrak{M} = \text{Ker}(X)$ is a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_D above \mathfrak{m} .

Proof. According to Section 1.5 in [12]. We have the following isomorphism:

$$(4.1) \quad i_P^G(\text{c-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M) \simeq \text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda.$$

The functor i_P^G is exact, hence:

$$\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_J^G \lambda \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq i_P^G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$$

by the previous isomorphism of representations. Let's find a decomposition of $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$. Indeed

$$(4.2) \quad \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^M \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} \lambda_M = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^M (\widetilde{\lambda}_M \otimes \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1).$$

Let us now describe the action of \mathfrak{Z}_D . From Frobenius reciprocity follows a Hecke algebras isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(M, \lambda_M) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M)$ because any $g \in M$ that intertwines λ_M lies in \widetilde{J}_M . Since \widetilde{J}_M/J_M is free abelian group, $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M)$ is commutative, and we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M) \simeq E[\widetilde{J}_M/J_M]$. Therefore we have:

$$\mathfrak{Z}_D \simeq \mathcal{H}(M, \lambda_M) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{J}_M, \widetilde{\lambda}_M) \simeq E[\widetilde{J}_M/J_M] \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\widetilde{J}_M}(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1).$$

The representation $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1$ is naturally isomorphic to the space of functions on \widetilde{J}_M which are left invariant by J_M . We have the following canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1 \simeq E[\widetilde{J}_M/J_M]$. This shows that $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1$ is free \mathfrak{Z}_D -module of rank 1.

Since $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} (\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$, it is enough to find the decomposition of $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq |W(D)|$), because of Lemma 3.2. The functor $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M$ is exact, therefore by equation (4.2):

$$\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_i) = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M (\widetilde{\lambda}_M \otimes (\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_i)).$$

Let's express $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{\widetilde{J}_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_j)$ in terms of more suitable data. Let's drop the index j temporarily and write $\mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}_j$.

Let now \mathfrak{M} be a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_D above some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega$. We may always assume that $M = \prod_{i=1}^s M_i$ and $\rho = \otimes_{i=1}^s \rho_i$, where $M_i = \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)^{e_i}$ and $\rho_i \simeq \pi_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_i$ (e_i times) is a supercuspidal representation of M_i and π_i is a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)$. Define $G_i = \mathrm{GL}_{n_i e_i}(F)$, $\Omega_i = [M_i, \rho_i]_{G_i}$, $D_i = [M_i, \rho_i]_{M_i}$. Then:

$$\mathfrak{Z}_D \simeq E[X_{1,1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_{e_1,1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_{1,s}^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_{e_s,s}^{\pm 1}].$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{m} = (s_{1,i} - a_{1,i}, \dots, s_{e_i,i} - a_{e_i,i})_{1 \leq i \leq s},$$

where $s_{k,i}$ are elementary symmetric functions in variables $X_{1,i}, \dots, X_{e_i,i}$ and $a_{k,i} \in E$. Then

$$\mathfrak{M} = (X_{1,i} - \alpha_{1,i}, \dots, X_{e_i,i} - \alpha_{e_i,i})_{1 \leq i \leq s},$$

where for each i , $\alpha_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{e_i,i}$ are the e_i distinct roots of polynomial $X^{e_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{e_i} (-1)^k a_k X^{e_i-k}$. We assumed that the extension E is algebraically closed, so all those roots lie in E . Let $\bar{\chi} := \bar{\chi}_j$, the unramified character which corresponds to \mathfrak{M} . Then $\bar{\chi} = \prod_{i=1}^s \bar{\psi}_i$, where $\bar{\psi}_i$ are unramified characters of $M_i = \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)^{e_i}$, such that $\bar{\psi}_i = \prod_{k=1}^{e_i} \bar{\psi}_{k,i}$ and if ϖ denotes the uniformizer of F and I the identity matrix of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F)$, $\bar{\psi}_{k,i}(\varpi \cdot I) = \alpha_{k,i}$.

Since $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1$ is a free \mathfrak{Z}_D -module of rank one, we have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{Z}_D -modules:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_j) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_D / \mathrm{Ker}(X_j) \simeq \mathrm{Im}(X_j)$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_i = \mathrm{Ker}(X_j)$ and the algebra homomorphism $X_j : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$ is such that the unramified character $\bar{\chi}_j$ of M maps to X_j as in Lemma 3.1. It follows from previous description of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}_j$ and the character $\bar{\chi}_j$ that:

$$\mathrm{Im}(X_j) = \mathrm{Im}(\bar{\chi}_j).$$

Then from (4.3) follows that the representation $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_j)$ is one dimensional and also we have an isomorphism of \widetilde{J}_M -representations:

$$\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_j) \simeq \bar{\chi}_j | \widetilde{J}_M.$$

Now using projection formula and previous isomorphism we may write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M (\widetilde{\lambda}_M \otimes (\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^{\widetilde{J}_M} 1) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_i)) \\ \simeq \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M (\widetilde{\lambda}_M \otimes \bar{\chi}_i | \widetilde{J}_M) \simeq \rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_j, \end{aligned}$$

because $\rho = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \widetilde{\lambda}_M$. So that we have

$$(4.4) \quad \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_i) \simeq \rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_i.$$

Using (4.1) and (4.4) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_J^G \lambda \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) &\simeq i_P^G (\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} (\mathfrak{Z}_D \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}))) \\ &\simeq i_P^G \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{|W(D)|} \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{J_M}^M \lambda_M \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_D} \kappa(\mathfrak{M}_j) \right) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{|W(D)|} i_P^G (\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_j), \end{aligned}$$

where the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} belongs to open dense set S' , defined by $S' := \mathrm{m}\text{-Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega[\frac{1}{d}])$ as in Lemma 3.2.

Let's now prove that all the $i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_j)$ are irreducible on the subset $S := \mathrm{m}\text{-Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega[\frac{1}{d\Delta}])$ of S' , with $\Delta := \prod_{k' \neq k, i' \neq i} (X_{k',i'} - qX_{k,i})(X_{k,i} - qX_{k',i'})$, for all $1 \leq k, k' \leq e_i$ and $1 \leq i, i' \leq s$, and q is the cardinality of the residue field of F . Again by Lemma 2.5 the set S is dense. Let \mathfrak{M} a maximal ideal

of \mathfrak{Z}_D above $\mathfrak{m} \in S$ corresponding to $\bar{\chi}_j$. With the same notation as above, we have then:

$$\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_j = \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \bigotimes_{k=1}^{e_i} (\pi_i \otimes \bar{\psi}_{k,i}).$$

By definition of the representations π_i , there is no integer m such that $\pi_i \simeq \pi_j \otimes |\det|^m$ (for any $i \neq j$) since all the $\alpha_{k,i}$ are distinct (for a fixed i) and $\alpha_{k,i} \alpha_{k',i'}^{-1} \neq q^{\pm 1}$ (for any $k' \neq k, i' \neq i$). Then the segments $\Delta_{k,i} = \pi_i \otimes \bar{\psi}_{k,i}$ are not linked pairwise for any k and i . Then it follows by Zelevinsky classification [19], that $i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi})$ is irreducible.

We have just proved that if $\bar{\chi}$ is the unramified character which corresponds to a maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of \mathfrak{Z}_D above $\mathfrak{m} \in S$, then $i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi})$ is irreducible. By construction all the maximal ideals \mathfrak{M}_i (which all lie above $\mathfrak{m} \in S$) are pairwise conjugated by some element $w \in W(D)$, thus so are the corresponding characters $\bar{\chi}_i$. Then for $\mathfrak{m} \in S$ all $i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_i)$ are irreducible.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \in S$, it follows from Frobenius reciprocity that $\text{Hom}_G(i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_i), i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_j)) \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq |W(D)|$ and $1 \leq j \leq |W(D)|$, because there is a $w_{i,j} \in W(D)$ such that $\bar{\chi}_i = \bar{\chi}_j^{w_{i,j}}$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq |W(D)|$, $1 \leq j \leq |W(D)|$, $i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_i) \simeq i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_j)$, because all these representations are irreducible on S . Write $P(\chi) := i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}_i)$, for some integer i .

Then on the open dense set S we get :

$$\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus |W(D)|}. \quad \square$$

5. Intertwining of representations

In this section we collect some useful lemmas. Recall that $\Omega := [M, \rho]_G$, where ρ is a supercuspidal representation of M , $D := [M, \rho]_M$, \mathfrak{Z}_Ω is the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^\Omega(G)$, and \mathfrak{Z}_D is the centre of category $\mathcal{R}^D(M)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let σ be an irreducible K -representation. With the notations of Proposition 4.1, we have:*

$$\text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma, P(\chi)) = \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), P(\chi)),$$

where $P(\chi) := i_P^G(\rho \otimes \bar{\chi})$ is an irreducible parabolic induction of a supercuspidal representation $\rho \otimes \bar{\chi}$ of a Levi subgroup of G and $\bar{\chi}$ some character corresponding to the algebra homomorphism $X : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$, as in Lemma 3.1, such that $\mathfrak{M} = \text{Ker}(X)$ is a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_D above \mathfrak{m} .

Proof. Observe that the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{X} E)$ kills $P(\chi)$. The assertion follows. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two irreducible K -representations. We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1, \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \\ \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) \\ \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1, \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma$ is a projective and is also finitely generated as a G -representation, we have an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma, B) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma, B \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})),$$

for any G -representation B . Indeed if B is a free \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module, then the assertion is clear. For general B , take a presentation of B as \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module and use the previous case. The other isomorphism is proven the same way as in the lemma above. \square

6. Computation of multiplicities

Recall the decomposition (1.2) of $\mathrm{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. Then the multiplicity of an irreducible K -representation σ appearing in $\mathrm{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ is given by $m_\sigma := \dim_E \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \sigma)$. Recall that \widehat{K} denotes the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of K . Define $I_\sigma := \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma$. Now we can deduce the following result from Proposition 4.1:

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{K}$, viewed as K -representation, and $\chi : \mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \rightarrow E$ a algebra homomorphism corresponding to maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\chi} E)$ of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω . Then there is an integer n_σ and a Zariski dense set S in $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega)$ such that:*

$$\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus n_\sigma}, \quad \forall \mathfrak{m} \in S,$$

where $P(\chi) := i_{\overline{P}}^G(\rho \otimes \overline{\chi})$ an irreducible parabolic induction of a supercuspidal representation of a Levi subgroup of G and $\overline{\chi}$ some unramified character corresponding to the algebra homomorphism $X : \mathfrak{Z}_D \rightarrow E$, as in Lemma 3.1, such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ is a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_D above \mathfrak{m} .

Moreover we have the following relations of multiplicities :

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} m_\sigma n_\sigma = |W(D)|, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} m_\sigma^2 = |W(D)|.$$

Proof. It follows from decomposition (1.2) and from Proposition 4.1 that:

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} (\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}))^{\oplus m_\sigma} \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus |W(D)|}.$$

Then we also have

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}))^{\oplus m_\sigma} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(P(\chi))^{\oplus |W(D)|}.$$

Observe that by Proposition 4.1 the representation $P(\chi)$ is irreducible, in particular is indecomposable. The same observation holds in the category of $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ -modules for $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(P(\chi))$. Moreover the $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ -module $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$ is of finite length hence by [6, §2, n°5, Theorem 2 a)] it can be written as a direct sum of indecomposable modules $I_k(\sigma)$:

$$\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) = \bigoplus_k I_k(\sigma).$$

Then, again, by theorem of Krull–Remak–Schmidt Theorem [6, §2, n°5, Theorem 2. b)], the decomposition:

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} \bigoplus_k I_k(\sigma)^{\oplus m_\sigma} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(P(\chi))^{\oplus |W(D)|},$$

into indecomposable sub-modules is unique up to permutation of factors. This theorem is applicable because all the modules in the direct sum are of finite length. It follows that by the uniqueness of such a decomposition there exists an integer $n_{k,\sigma}$, such that:

$$I_k(\sigma) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(P(\chi))^{\oplus n_{k,\sigma}}$$

Then there exists an integer $n_\sigma := \sum_k n_{k,\sigma}$ (that may depend on χ as well) such that:

$$\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(P(\chi))^{\oplus n_\sigma}.$$

So the same holds for representations:

$$\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus n_\sigma}.$$

Then by definition of n_σ we have:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} m_\sigma n_\sigma = |W(D)|.$$

Let's compute $\dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda)$ in two different ways. By restriction induction formula we have

$$\text{Res}_J^K \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda = \bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in J \backslash K / J} \text{Ind}_{K \cap J^g}^K \text{Res}_{K \cap J^g}^{J^g} \lambda^g,$$

where g is a representative of \bar{g} . Then combining it with Frobenius reciprocity we get:

$$\text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = \bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in J \backslash K / J} \text{Hom}_{J \cap J^g}(\lambda, \lambda^g).$$

By definition the space $\text{Hom}_{J \cap J^g}(\lambda, \lambda^g)$ is the intertwining space. Assume first that (J, λ) is a simple type. In the course of this proof we will use the same notation from the book [7]. Let $\Gamma = F[\beta]$ be a finite extension of F , which is denoted E in the Chapter 5 of that book. Then according to [7, (5.5.11)] $g \in G$ intertwines λ if and only if $g \in J \widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B}) J$. So

$g \in K$ intertwines λ if and only if $g \in J\widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B})J \cap K = JW_0(\mathfrak{B})J$ and $|W_0(\mathfrak{B})| = e(\mathfrak{B}|\mathfrak{o}_\Gamma)!$ by construction. In simple type case we have then $\dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = e(\mathfrak{B}|\mathfrak{o}_\Gamma)! = |W(D)|$.

In general case we have to deal with semi-simple types. The reference is [9]. Let \overline{M} be a unique Levi subgroup of G which contains the $N_G(M)$ -stabilizer of the inertia class D and is minimal for this property. The Levi subgroup \overline{M} is the G -stabilizer of a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s W_i$ of $V \simeq F^n$ as a sum of non-zero subspaces W_i . Set $G_i = \text{Aut}_F(W_i)$. We then have $M = \prod_{i=1}^s M_i$ and $K \cap M = \prod_{i=1}^s K_i$, where $M_i = M \cap G_i = \text{GL}_{n_i}(F)^{e_i}$ and $K_i = K \cap G_i$. The type (J_M, λ_M) decomposes as a tensor product of types (J_{M_i}, λ_{M_i}) , each of which admits a G_i -cover $(J_{\overline{M}_i}, \lambda_{\overline{M}_i})$ as in [9, Section 1.4]. We put $J_{\overline{M}} = \prod_{i=1}^s J_{\overline{M}_i}$ and $\lambda_{\overline{M}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \lambda_{\overline{M}_i}$. The main theorem asserts that (J, λ) is a G -cover of $(J_{\overline{M}}, \lambda_{\overline{M}})$.

It follows from Corollary 1.6 in [9], that $g \in G$ intertwines λ if and only if it is of the form $g = j_1 m j_2$, where j_1 and j_2 are in J and $m \in \overline{M}$, which intertwines $\lambda_{\overline{M}}$. The element m can be written as $m = m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_s$, where $m_i \in M_i$ intertwine $\lambda_{\overline{M}_i}$. Then according to [7, (5.5.11)] $m_i \in M_i$ intertwine $\lambda_{\overline{M}_i}$ if and only if $m \in J_{\overline{M}_i} \widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B}_i) J_{\overline{M}_i}$ (with analogous notations to [7, 5.5]). This shows that $m \in \overline{M}$ intertwine $\lambda_{\overline{M}}$ if and only if $m \in J_{\overline{M}}(\prod_{i=1}^s \widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B}_i))J_{\overline{M}}$.

The decomposition

$$\text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = \bigoplus_{\overline{g} \in J \backslash K / J} \text{Hom}_{J \cap J^{\overline{g}}}(\lambda, \lambda^{\overline{g}}),$$

where g is a representative of \overline{g} , shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = |J \setminus \{g \in K \mid g \text{ intertwines } \lambda\} / J| \\ & = |J \setminus \{g \in K \mid g = j_1 m j_2, \text{ where } j_1, j_2 \in J \text{ and } m \in \overline{M} \text{ intertwines } \lambda_{\overline{M}}\} / J| \\ & = \left| J \setminus \left\{ g \in K \mid g = j_1 m j_2; j_1, j_2 \in J \text{ and } m \in J_{\overline{M}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B}_i) \right) J_{\overline{M}} \right\} / J \right| \\ & = \left| J \setminus K \cap \left(J J_{\overline{M}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B}_i) \right) J_{\overline{M}} J \right) / J \right| \\ & = \left| J \setminus \left(J J_{\overline{M}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^s K_i \cap \widetilde{W}(\mathfrak{B}_i) \right) J_{\overline{M}} J \right) / J \right| \\ & = \left| \prod_{i=1}^s W_0(\mathfrak{B}_i) \right| = \prod_{i=1}^s |W(D_i)| = |W(D)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in every case

$$\dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = |W(D)|.$$

We have the following decomposition $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} \sigma^{\oplus m_\sigma}$, by definition of multiplicities. Then

$$\dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} m_\sigma^2. \quad \square$$

The rest of this section will be focused on proving that $m_\sigma = n_\sigma$. Let $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(K)$ denote the category of smooth K -representations generated by their λ -isotypic subspace.

Lemma 6.2. *The category $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(K)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Observe that any smooth K -representation is semi-simple, since K is compact. Then it is enough to show that a smooth representation of K is an object of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(K)$ if and only if all of its irreducible subquotients are generated by their λ -isotypic subspaces.

An irreducible summand σ of $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ will be generated by its λ -isotypic subspace. Indeed

$$n = \dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\sigma, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda) = \dim_E \text{Hom}_J(\sigma, \lambda) = \dim_E \text{Hom}_J(\lambda, \sigma) \neq 0,$$

because the restriction of σ to J is semi-simple. Therefore the K -stable subspace of σ generated by $\lambda^{\oplus n}$ is non zero. So is the whole σ , since this K -representation is irreducible. If M is any module of $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda) := \text{End}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda)$, then by writing M as a quotient of free module, we deduce that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)} \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. Thus irreducible subquotients of $M \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)} \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ will be subquotients of $\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. But this means that all irreducible subquotients are generated by the λ -isotypic subspace.

Let now δ any object of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(K)$. Since λ is a type, observe that $M(\delta) := \text{Hom}_J(\lambda, (c\text{-Ind}_K^G \delta)|J) = \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, (c\text{-Ind}_K^G \delta)|K)$ is a non zero $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ -module, which can be viewed as $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)$ -module via restriction. Moreover all the irreducible subquotients of δ appear in $M(\delta) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)} \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. By the second paragraph it follows that all irreducible subquotients of δ are generated by the λ -isotypic subspace. The other direction is trivial. \square

Lemma 6.3. *The categories $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(K)$ and $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda) - \text{Mod}$ are equivalent, where $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda) := \text{End}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda)$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of a more general result [1, Section 1 (4.1)]. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{K}$, where \widehat{K} is a set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible K -representations. Write $m_\sigma := \dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\sigma, \text{Ind}_J^K \lambda)$ for its multiplicity. Then:*

$$m_\sigma = \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(c\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma, P(\chi)) = n_\sigma.$$

Proof. We claim that $\text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda, P(\chi))$ is a free rank one module over algebra $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda) \mid \text{supp}(f) \subset K\}$, where $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda) := \text{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda)$. Let's first deal with a particular case before dealing with the general case.

Simple type case. Assume that λ is a simple type. It follows from (5.6) of [7] that there is a support preserving isomorphism of Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}(G_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$, where Γ is a well defined extension of F (denoted K in [7]), $G_\Gamma = \text{GL}_e(\Gamma)$ with I_Γ the Iwahori subgroup of G_Γ and K_Γ be a maximal compact subgroup of G_Γ . Let $M = \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda, P(\chi)) = \text{Hom}_J(\lambda, P(\chi)|J) = \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, P(\chi)|K)$, this is an $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$ -module.

Notice that when $P(\chi) = i_{\overline{P}}^G(\rho \otimes \overline{\chi})$ is irreducible, the $\mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$ -module M is simple. The module M is also naturally an $\mathcal{H}(G_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1)$ -module, and corresponds to an irreducible representation $M \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1)} \text{c-Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} 1 \simeq i_{B_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} \overline{\chi}_\Gamma$, where $\overline{\chi}_\Gamma$ is an unramified character of Borel subgroup B_Γ of G_Γ , making $i_{B_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} \overline{\chi}_\Gamma$ irreducible. Notice that $M = \text{Hom}_J(\lambda, P(\chi)|J)$ does not depend on the character $\overline{\chi}$, so that discussion above is always valid.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{G_\Gamma}(\text{c-Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} 1, i_{B_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} \overline{\chi}_\Gamma) &= \text{Hom}_{G_\Gamma}(\text{c-Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} 1, M \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1)} \text{c-Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} 1) \\ &= M. \end{aligned}$$

According to the description of Hecke algebras to [7, Section (5.6)] the isomorphism of Hecke algebras $t : \mathcal{H}(G_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$ is support preserving, in the sense that $\text{supp}(tf) = J.\text{supp}(f).J$, we have also a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}(K_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(G_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1) \mid \text{supp}(f) \subset K_\Gamma\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda) \mid \text{supp}(f) \subset K\}$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, P(\chi)|K) &= \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda, P(\chi)) = \text{Hom}_{G_\Gamma}(\text{c-Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} 1, i_{B_\Gamma}^{G_\Gamma} \overline{\chi}_\Gamma) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{K_\Gamma}(\text{c-Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1, i_{B_\Gamma \cap K_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1) = \text{Hom}_{K_\Gamma}(\text{Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1, \text{Ind}_{B_\Gamma \cap K_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{K_\Gamma}(\text{Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1, (\text{Ind}_{B_\Gamma \cap K_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1)^{K_1}) = \text{Hom}_{K_\Gamma}(\text{Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1, \text{Ind}_{I_\Gamma}^{K_\Gamma} 1) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(K_\Gamma, I_\Gamma, 1) = \mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_1 = \{g \in G_\Gamma \mid g \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_\Gamma}\}$, where \mathfrak{p}_Γ is the maximal ideal in the ring of integers of Γ .

General case. Let now λ be some general semi-simple type. The second part of Main Theorem of [9, Section 8] gives a support preserving Hecke algebra isomorphism $j : \mathcal{H}(\overline{M}, \lambda_M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$, where \overline{M} is a unique Levi subgroup of G which contains the $N_G(M)$ -stabilizer of the inertia class D and is minimal for this property. Moreover the Section 1.5 of [9] gives a tensor product decomposition $\mathcal{H}(\overline{M}, \lambda_M) = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E \mathcal{H}_s$, where

$\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}(G_i, J_i, \lambda_i)$ is an affine Hecke algebras of type A and (J_i, λ_i) is some simple type with G_i some general linear group over a p -adic field.

Let now $M = \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, P(\chi)|K)$. The same argument as in the simple type case shows that M is a simple $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ -module. Then by [6, §12, Proposition 2] M is a quotient of $M_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E M_s$, where M_i is a simple \mathcal{H}_i -module. Since E is algebraically closed we have by [6, §12 Theorem 1 a)], that $M_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E M_s$ is a simple $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E \mathcal{H}_s$ -module. Then it follows that $M \simeq M_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E M_s$.

Let K_i denote the maximal compact subgroup of G_i and $\mathcal{A}_i := \mathcal{H}(K_i, J_i, \lambda_i)$. Then by simple type case $M_i \simeq \mathcal{A}_i$.

Since the isomorphism j above is support preserving, we have a similar decomposition for $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)$, namely $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E \mathcal{A}_s$. Then $M \simeq M_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E M_s \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_E \cdots \otimes_E \mathcal{A}_s \simeq \mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)$.

By the discussion above we always have $\text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, P(\chi)|K) = \mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)$. Let now τ be a subrepresentation of $P(\chi)|K$, generated by the λ -isotypic subspace of $P(\chi)|K$. Then by the isomorphisms above we have

$$\text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \tau) = \text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda, P(\chi)|K) = \mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda),$$

as isomorphisms of $\mathcal{H}(K, J, \lambda)$ -modules. Then by equivalence of categories (as in Lemma 6.4):

$$\text{Ind}_J^K \lambda \simeq \tau \hookrightarrow P(\chi)|K,$$

where the first arrow from the left comes from the discussion above and the second one is a natural inclusion. Applying $\text{Hom}_K(\sigma, \cdot)$ to previous injection and then taking the dimensions of both sides yields an inequality:

$$m_\sigma \leq \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma, P(\chi)).$$

Moreover by Lemma 5.1 we have:

$$\dim_E \text{Hom}_G(I_\sigma, P(\chi)) = \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(I_\sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), P(\chi)).$$

Since by Corollary 6.1 we have $I_\sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus n_\sigma}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(I_\sigma, P(\chi)) &= \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(I_\sigma \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), P(\chi)) \\ &= \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(P(\chi)^{\oplus n_\sigma}, P(\chi)) = n_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $m_\sigma \leq \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma, P(\chi)) = n_\sigma$ is actually an equality because of the relations

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} m_\sigma n_\sigma = |W(D)|, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{K}} m_\sigma^2 = |W(D)|,$$

which were proven in Corollary 6.1. □

7. Consequences

In this section we will deduce a few results from previous sections and finally prove that if $m_\sigma = 1$ then :

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \simeq \text{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma).$$

Let us denote $I_\sigma := \text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma$, $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) := \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1, \text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2)$ and $A_\sigma := A(\sigma, \sigma)$. We begin with the following result:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{K}$, where \widehat{K} is the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible K -representations. Write $m_\sigma := \dim_E \text{Hom}_K(\text{c-Ind}_J^K \lambda, \sigma)$ for its multiplicity. Then $\text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1, \text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2)$ is a free \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module of rank $m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}$, for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \widehat{K}$.*

Proof. Recall the decomposition (1.3) :

$$\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}} (\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma)^{\oplus m_\sigma},$$

so that:

$$\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda) \simeq \text{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}_J^G \lambda) = \prod_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \widehat{K}} \text{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma_1, \text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma_2)^{m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}}.$$

Moreover the action of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω on $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ by multiplication, makes $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ into a sub- \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module of $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$, via the previous decomposition. Let's prove that $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is also a locally free finitely generated \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module.

By the decomposition of $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$, $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is also a direct summand of $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$. Moreover by Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{H}(G, \lambda)$ is a free \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module, and therefore $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a projective \mathfrak{Z}_Ω -module. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{Z}_Ω and let $d_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the rank of $(A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2))_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \dim_E (A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega)_{\mathfrak{m}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \dim_E (A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} (\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega)_{\mathfrak{m}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \dim_E A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \end{aligned}$$

(recall that $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq E$). We will prove now that the local rank is constant on a dense set of maximal ideals.

Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Choose now $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\chi} E) \in S$ (see Proposition 4.1 for definition of the set S). By Corollary 6.1 there is an integer n_{σ_i} such that:

$$I_{\sigma_i} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq P(\chi)^{\oplus n_{\sigma_i}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(I_{\sigma_i}, P(\chi)) &= \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(I_{\sigma_i} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), P(\chi)) \\ &= \dim_E \text{Hom}_G(P(\chi)^{\oplus n_{\sigma_i}}, P(\chi)) = n_{\sigma_i}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.4 we have:

$$m_{\sigma_i} = \dim_E \operatorname{Hom}_G(I_{\sigma_i}, P(\chi)) = n_{\sigma_i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_G(I_{\sigma_1} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), I_{\sigma_2} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_G(P(\chi)^{\oplus m_{\sigma_1}}, P(\chi)^{\oplus m_{\sigma_2}}) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{End}_G(P(\chi))^{m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}} \simeq (E)^{m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since by Schur's lemma $\operatorname{End}_G(P(\chi)) = E$. Finally by Lemma 5.2

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \dim_E A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \\ &= \dim_E \operatorname{Hom}_G(I_{\sigma_1} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), I_{\sigma_2} \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega} \kappa(\mathfrak{m})) = m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $d_{\mathfrak{m}} = m_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_2}$, $\forall \mathfrak{m} \in S$. Moreover this equality is true for all \mathfrak{m} since $\mathfrak{m} \mapsto d_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is locally constant function ([3, Chapitre 2 §5.2 Théorème 1c]) and S is a dense set. The main result of [18] allows us to conclude. \square

Now we deduce the result announced in the introduction of this paper:

Corollary 7.2. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{K}$, such that $m_\sigma = 1$. Then the canonical map $\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_G(\mathfrak{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma)$ induces a ring isomorphism:*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\Omega \simeq \operatorname{End}_G(\mathfrak{c}\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma).$$

Remark 7.3. In the Iwahori case 1 and st are multiplicity free direct summands of $\operatorname{Ind}_J^K 1$. When the type (J, λ) is simple $\sigma_{\max}(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{\min}(\lambda)$ are also multiplicity free summands of $\operatorname{Ind}_J^K \lambda$. Indeed, in this case $\Omega = [\operatorname{GL}_r(F)^e, \omega \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega]_G$ where the tensor product $\rho := \omega \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega$ is taken e times and ω is a supercuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_r(F)$. According to [7, (5.6)] there is a support preserving isomorphism of Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}(G_L, I_L, 1) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, J, \lambda)$, where L is an extension of F (denoted by K in [7]), $G_L = \operatorname{GL}_e(L)$ with I_L the standard Iwahori subgroup of G_L , and let K_L be the maximal compact subgroup of G_L . Then we have an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(G) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G, \lambda) - \operatorname{Mod} \simeq \mathcal{H}(G_L, I_L, 1) - \operatorname{Mod} \simeq \mathcal{R}_1(G_L)$, which induces an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(K) \simeq \mathcal{R}_1(K_L)$, sending $\operatorname{Ind}_J^K \lambda$ to $\operatorname{Ind}_{I_L}^{K_L} 1$, $\sigma_{\max}(\lambda)$ to 1 , $\sigma_{\min}(\lambda)$ to st , and similarly for all the other direct summands.

Assume now that the type (J, λ) is semi-simple $[M, \rho]_G$ -type and is a cover of $(J_1 \times \cdots \times J_r, \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_r)$, where the types (J_i, λ_i) are all simple and distinct. Let $P = MN$ be a parabolic subgroup of G . Then according to the end of Section 6 in [17], the $(K \cap N)$ -coinvariants of restriction to $K \cap P$ of the K -representation $\sigma := \sigma_P(\lambda)$ are $(\sigma|_{K \cap P})_{(K \cap N)} \simeq \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_s$ where $\sigma_i := \sigma_{P_i}(\lambda_i)$. It follows that if for each i , $\sigma_i = \sigma_{\max}(\lambda_i)$ or $\sigma_i = \sigma_{\min}(\lambda_i)$, then $m_\sigma := \dim_E \operatorname{Hom}_K(\operatorname{Ind}_J^K \lambda, \sigma) = 1$. In this case there will be at least 2^r multiplicity free direct summands of $\operatorname{Ind}_J^K \lambda$.

References

- [1] H. BASS, *Algebraic K-theory*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, 1968, xx+762 pages.
- [2] J. N. BERNSTEIN, “Le “centre” de Bernstein”, in *Representations of reductive groups over a local field*, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, 1984, p. 1-32.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 1 à 4*, Masson, 1985, 362 pages.
- [4] ———, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 5 à 7*, Masson, 1985, 351 pages.
- [5] ———, *Algebra II. Chapters 4–7*, Elements of Mathematics, Springer, 2003, translated from the 1981 French edition by P. M. Cohn and J. Howie, Reprint of the 1990 English edition, viii+461 pages.
- [6] ———, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitre 8. Modules et anneaux semi-simples*, Springer, 2012, second revised edition of the 1958 edition, x+489 pages.
- [7] C. J. BUSHNELL & P. C. KUTZKO, *The admissible dual of $GL(N)$ via compact open subgroups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 129, Princeton University Press, 1993, xii+313 pages.
- [8] ———, “Smooth representations of reductive p -adic groups: structure theory via types”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **77** (1998), no. 3, p. 582-634.
- [9] ———, “Semisimple types in GL_n ”, *Compos. Math.* **119** (1999), no. 1, p. 53-97.
- [10] A. CARAIANI, M. EMERTON, T. GEE, D. GERAGHTY, V. PAŠKŪNAS & S. W. SHIN, “Patching and the p -adic local Langlands correspondence”, *Camb. J. Math.* **4** (2016), no. 2, p. 197-287.
- [11] J.-F. DAT, “Caractères à valeurs dans le centre de Bernstein”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **508** (1999), p. 61-83.
- [12] ———, “Types et inductions pour les représentations modulaires des groupes p -adiques”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **32** (1999), no. 1, p. 1-38, with an appendix by Marie-France Vignéras.
- [13] D. HELM, “The Bernstein center of the category of smooth $W(k)[GL_n(F)]$ -modules”, *Forum Math. Sigma* **4** (2016), article ID e11 (98 pages).
- [14] C. JANTZEN, “On the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution and applications”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **28** (1995), no. 5, p. 527-547.
- [15] A. PYVOVAROV, “On the Breuil-Schneider conjecture: Generic case”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.01610>, 2018.
- [16] D. RENARD, *Représentations des groupes réductifs p -adiques*, Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences, vol. 17, Société Mathématique de France, 2010, vi+332 pages.
- [17] P. SCHNEIDER & E.-W. ZINK, “ K -types for the tempered components of a p -adic general linear group”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **517** (1999), p. 161-208, with an appendix by Schneider and U. Stuhler.
- [18] R. G. SWAN, “Projective modules over Laurent polynomial rings”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **237** (1978), p. 111-120.
- [19] A. V. ZELEVINSKY, “Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $GL(n)$ ”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **13** (1980), no. 2, p. 165-210.

Alexandre PYVOVAROV
 Morningside Center of Mathematics
 No.55 Zhongguancun Donglu Academy of Mathematics
 and Systems Science Beijing
 Haidian District 100190, China
 E-mail: pyvovarov@amss.ac.cn