

REVIEW ARTICLE

Check for updates

The Epigenetics of Triple-Negative and Basal-Like Breast Cancer: Current Knowledge

Daiana Cosmina Temian^{1,2}, Laura Ancuta Pop¹, Alexandra Iulia Irimie³, Ioana Berindan-Neagoe^{1,4,5}

¹Research Center for Functional Genomics, Biomedicine and Translational Medicine, ²Faculty of Medicine, ³Division of Dental Propaedeutics, Aesthetic, Department of Prosthetic Dentistry and Dental Materials, and ⁴MedFUTURE Research Center for Advanced Medicine, "Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca; ⁵Department of Functional Genomics and Experimental Pathology, The Oncology Institute "Prof. Dr. I Chiricuta", Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Breast cancer has the highest incidence among all malignancies diagnosed in women. Therapies have significantly improved over the years due to extensive molecular and clinical research; in a large number of cases, targeted therapies have provided better prognosis. However, one specific subtype remains elusive to targeted therapies—the triple-negative breast cancer. This immunohistochemically defined subtype is resistant to both endocrine and targeted therapies, leading to its poor prognosis. A field that is of great promise in current cancer research is epigenetics. By

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most diagnosed type of cancer in women, and it has the second highest mortality rate in this group, following only lung cancer [1]. Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) defines a subtype of breast cancer that does not express estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). Approximately 20% of breast cancers in women are TNBC, and this type is more aggressive and often diagnosed in younger patients [2,3]. It is a clinical challenge to treat TNBC, as no therapeutic targets have so far been successfully described, and its prognosis remains modest.

Different research groups have proposed molecular classifications based on gene expression profiling (Figure 1). Thus,

Correspondence to: Alexandra Iulia Irimie

Division of Dental Propaedeutics, Aesthetic, Department of Prosthetic Dentistry and Dental Materials, "Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, 23 Marinescu Street, Cluj-Napoca 40015, Romania Tel: +40-374834810, Fax: +40-264594289 E-mail: irimie.alexandra@umfcluj.ro

This study was supported by the "Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy in Cluj-Napoca (internal grant number 4995/16/08.03.2016) awarded to Daiana Cosmina Temian.

Received: May 3, 2018 Accepted: July 6, 2018

studying the epigenetic mechanisms underlying tumorigenesis— DNA methylation, histone modifications, and noncoding RNAs advances in cancer treatment, diagnosis, and prevention are possible. This review aims to synthesize the epigenetic discoveries that have been made related to the triple-negative breast cancer.

Key Words: Breast neoplasms, DNA methylation, Histone code, Triple negative breast neoplasms, Untranslated RNA

five intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer have initially been defined [4,5]. Subsequently, in order to translate these findings and make them suitable for clinical application, immunohistochemical equivalents to the intrinsic subtypes have been established [6,7]. Among these subtypes of TNBC, approximately 80% are basal-like [8,9]. However, not all basal-like breast cancers are triple-negative, and up to 20% of basal-like tumors are either ER+ or HER2+ [8]. Other subtypes include the claudin-low [10] and the interferon-rich, which is closely related to TNBC [11].

Most gene expression profiling studies on TNBC have been conducted in order to establish better prognostic or predictive factors. One of the first studies by Lehmann et al. in 2011 described six subtypes: two basal-like (BL1 and BL2), one immunomodulatory (IM), one mesenchymal (M), one mesenchymal stem-like (MSL), and one luminal androgen receptor (LAR) [12]. The MSL and IM subtypes were subsequently removed because they are formed by tumor-associated stromal cells and infiltrating lymphocytes, respectively [13] (Figure 1). Burstein et al. [14], in 2015, described four subtypes with clinical significance: luminal-AR (LAR), mesenchymal (MES), basal-like immune-suppressed (BLIS), and basal-like immune-activated (BLIA). Among these, BLIA and BLIS had the best and worst prognoses, respectively, according to both dis-

© 2018 Korean Breast Cancer Society. All rights reserved.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Classification systems developed for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). (A) Intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer, first established in 2001 by Sørlie et al. [5]. This classification is most widely used by both clinicians and researchers due to numerous confirmed prognostic, predictive and therapeutic correlations. The pie chart represents the distribution of these intrinsic subtypes among the imunohistochemically defined TNBC, the majority being represented by basal-like tumors. (B) TNBCtype-4 comprised of six subtypes was established in 2011 by Lehmann et al. [12] and subsequently redefined to TNBCtype-4 in 2016 [13]. The pie chart represents the distribution of these subtypes among TNBC, again the majority being represented by basal-like tumors.

ER=estrogen receptor; PR=progesterone receptor; HER2=human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; BL=basal-like; M=mesenchymal; LAR=luminal androgen receptor; EGF=epidermal growth factor; NGF=nerve growth factor; MET=tyrosine-protein kinase Met encoded by the *MET* gene; IGF1R=insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor.

ease-free and disease-specific survival parameters. Moreover, subtype-specific targets were identified [14]. Another study identified three clusters of TNBC by microarray profiling: luminal androgen receptor (C1), basal-like with low immune response and high M2-like macrophages (C2), and basal-enriched with high immune response and low M2-like macrophages (C3) [15], with the better outcome being attributed to the C3 cluster. A review of currently developed systems of classification for TNBC is provided by Ahn et al. [16].

These findings all suggest that immunohistochemically defined TNBCs generally display basal-like properties when gene expression is analyzed. In this review, we will focus on discoveries that have been made regarding TNBC, including its basal-like equivalent, as there is an 80% overlap between the two entities [8,9]. However, it is important to mention that these two terms are not synonymous, as they both define molecularly heterogeneous entities.

EPIGENETIC MODIFICATIONS: AN OVERVIEW

Considering the heterogeneity of TNBC, current research is focused on finding new approaches to target this neoplasia; one such strategy employs the use of epigenetics. Being increasingly recognized in all types of cancer, epigenetics plays a major role in tumorigenesis. This field is defined as the study of heritable changes in gene expression without alteration in DNA sequences [17]. Multiple epigenetic modifications with diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic significance have already been reported in a number of malignancies, including breast cancer [18].

The first and main epigenetic modifications described and accepted by a large number of authors are DNA methylation and posttranscriptional modifications of histones [17,19,20]. Other more recently described and accepted modifications are noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) [17-19], as well as chromatin re-

modeling [19,20], nucleosome positioning, and chromosomal looping [17]. All these markers are strongly interconnected, and one epigenetic modification can easily induce another, as shown in certain circumstances presented later in the article.

DNA methylation is one of the most well-described epigenetic events. Cytosine methylation in CpG islands is a recognized marker of epigenetic silencing and is performed by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), among which DNMT1 is responsible for maintaining methylation patterns following replication, and DNMT3a and DNMT3b initiate *de novo* methylation [17].

Histone modifications are covalent posttranslational alterations to histone proteins that influence the chromatin structure and consequent gene transcription; hence, they are important epigenetic markers. Histone modifications include methylation, phosphorylation, acetylation, ubiquitylation, and sumoylation, and they can either activate or deactivate gene expression. Some specific modifications have been correlated with carcinogenic events. Methylation of histone H3 at lysine residues 9 and 27 (H3K9me3, H3K27me3) by the polycomb repressor complex 2 (PRC2) is a hallmark of silenced chromatin [20]. Specific modifications such as lysine acetylation (H3K9ac, H3K18ac, and H4K12ac), lysine trimethylation (H3K4me3), and arginine dimethylation (H4R3me2) are recognized markers of gene activation; on the other hand, lysine methylation (H3K9me2 or H3K9me3 and H4K20me3) is usually associated with gene silencing [21].

ncRNAs do not encode protein, but rather modulate chromatin regulation and gene expression [17]. They include transfer RNAs (tRNAs), ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), and the recently discovered and largely studied long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) [22]. Both lncRNAs and miRNAs are widely studied and have been strongly linked to a large number of diseases.

For didactic reasons, this article has been further divided according to the most relevant types of epigenetic modifications, namely DNA methylation, noncoding RNAs, and histone modifications, as these are the most well-described and widely studied (Supplementary Table 1, available online). However, all these modifications are strongly interconnected, and the regulation of one gene may be the product of more than one epigenetic modification.

DNA METHYLATION

Several studies have looked into the DNA methylation patterns in various breast cancers, including TNBC and its closely related basal-like counterpart.

DNA methylation in triple-negative breast cancer

One of the most comprehensive analyses of the TNBC methylome stratified patient samples into three methylation clusters based on differentially methylated regions (DMRs) [23]. The hypomethylated profile was associated with better survival within the first 5 years post-diagnosis compared with the more heavily methylated subtypes, while the medium methylated cluster was associated with the worst survival. It also identified 17 individual DMRs capable of stratifying TNBC patients into good and poor prognosis groups. Among the genes included are the WT1 gene and its antisense counterpart, WT1-AS, for which high levels of methylation correlated with elevated levels of expression and poor survival. Hypermethylation of the bi-directional promoter is associated with decreased WT1 and WT1-AS expression and improved survival; however, these findings remain to be verified on a larger cohort [23]. The study also described hypermethylation events to mostly occur in CpG islands in the context of global hypomethylation (Figure 2). The hypermethylated regions correlated strongly with the regions of human mammary epithelial cells marked with H3K27me3, a marker of epigenetic silencing. Specifically, 12 methylated genes were identified as both mutated and downregulated; these included ROBO3 and SEMA5A [23], which are genes involved in axon guidance, a pathway that has been newly implicated in tumor initiation and progression in breast cancer [24]. This pathway, originally described in brain development [25], includes the Slit, Netrin, Eph/ephrin, and Semaphorin proteins, which have recently been found to regulate normal mammary development, as well as breast cancer initiation, progression, and angiogenesis [26]. Promoter hypermethylation was found in seven members of this pathway, which may prove to be promising for fu-



Figure 2. Patterns of methylation described in triple-negative breast cancer. Hypermethylation of CpG islands and shores with hypomethylation of intragenic regions leads to epigenetic silencing. At nucleosomal level the patterns in DNA methylation translate to tri-methylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27me3), another marker of epigenetic silencing.

ture investigations in targeted cancer therapy [23].

An earlier study also described a specific methylation pattern for TNBC by analyzing the hypermethylation of 110 CpG islands in 69 cancer-related genes. The TNBC-specific profile was defined by the methylation of five genes (*CD44*, *MGMT*, *CDKN2B*, *RB*, and *p73*) and the non-methylation of 11 genes (*GSTP1*, *PMS2*, *MSH2*, *MLH1*, *MSH3*, *MSH6*, *DLC1*, *CACNA1A*, *CACNA1G*, *TWIST1*, and *ID4*), with *MGMT*, *MMR*, and *ID4* showing the strongest association [27]. Interestingly, there was no significant difference in the methylation of the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* promoters between triple-negative and non-triple-negative tumors. However, *ID4*, one of the genes in the non-methylated group, is a negative regulator of *BRCA1*; this may imply a new mechanism of *BRCA* silencing that is worth investigating.

DNA methylation and BRCAness in triple-negative breast cancer

Up to 30% of TNBC cases have a *BRCA* mutation [28], and there is a strong association between the two entities, usually leading to a poorer prognosis [29]. However, a large number of tumors share the molecular features of *BRCA*-mutant cancer, a state defined as "BRCAness" [30]. This particular status may be due to the hypermethylation of the promoter region of the *BRCA1* gene [31-33]. There seems to be a mutually exclusive relationship between a *BRCA1* mutation and promoter methylation [31]. Moreover, TNBC with BRCAness may not only benefit from therapy with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors and platinum agents [34,35], but also show a survival benefit from anthracycline-based chemotherapy [36].

DNA methylation and triple-negative breast cancer progression

Another whole genome methylation analysis compared the primary tumor to normal adjacent tissues and lymph node metastases, and identified a set of aberrations that may explain the progression of TNBC [37]. Sixteen genes that were identified to be specific to TNBC also had differentially methylated probes, including five genes classified as DMRs—ANKRD30B, COL14A1, IGF1, IL6ST, and MEG3. An additional set of genes were found to be differentially methylated in the lymph node metastases. Some of these genes correlated with better survival; particularly, the higher methylation of SPRY2, EGR1, GREB1, ITIH5, and LRRC17, and the low methylation of AMIGO2. The same study found that EGR1 downregulation is inversely correlated with its methylation [37]. Furthermore, a specific gene, BRMS1, may epigenetically influence the metastatic potential of TNBC [38]. The expression of BRMS1 was found to be significantly reduced in TNBC tissue samples and cell lines when compared to normal breast tissue; it was also inversely correlated with lymph node metastasis. DNA methylation-dependent inactivation was proven on breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, HCC-1937, and MDA-MB-435), a normal breast tissue cell line (MCF-10A), and on primary breast cancer tissues with matched nonmalignant breast tissue [38]. Methylation of this gene significantly correlated with larger size and higher tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage of the tumor, suggesting that this gene may function as a tumor suppressor.

DNA methylation and cancer stem cells in triple-negative breast cancer

The role of DNA methylation in TNBC was elucidated by investigating the regulation of breast cancer stem cells (CSC) through promoter methylation [39]. One study found that the promoter regions of *CD44*, *CD133*, and *Musashi-1* (*MSI1*), which are genes associated with stem cell properties [39], were hypomethylated in primary breast cancer samples, and this correlated with a TNBC subtype and a clinically aggressive phenotype.

DNA methylation in basal-like breast cancer

In a study by The Cancer Genome Atlas Network, primary breast cancer tissues were analyzed using multiple platforms, including DNA methylation, exome sequencing, messenger RNA (mRNA) arrays, and miRNA sequencing [40]. There was a high degree of overlap between basal-like and TNBCdefined samples, and the basal-like subtype clustered together most distinctively across all platforms. The study described a hypomethylated phenotype of basal-like tumors, and their findings showed that this subtype correlated with the lowest levels of DNA methylation and up to 80% frequency of TP53 mutations, as well as being frequently associated with loss of RB1 and BRCA. Interestingly, these findings suggest that basal-like tumors are similar to serous ovarian carcinomas, raising the hypothesis that common therapeutic approaches should be considered [40]. Moreover, the frequency of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in these tumors is similar to that of TNBCs, confirming that these subtypes may benefit from PARP inhibitors and platinum compounds.

Specific DNA methylation patterns in basal-like tumors have also been reported in comparison to that in luminal A and B tumors in a study that investigated the methylation profiles of the five intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer [41]. *RASSF1* and *GSTP1*, genes usually associated with the ER+ phenotype [42], were unmethylated in basal-like tumors, in contrast to that of the luminal B phenotype; on the other hand, *ARHGDIB*, *GRB7*, and *SEMA3B* were found to be significantly more methylated in basal-like tumors [41]. Another significant difference observed between *BRCA* mutation carriers is that *BRCA2* tumors were significantly more methylated than *BRCA1* tumors. Overall, the basal-like phenotypes had lower overall methylation than the other subgroups [41], which supports the findings of the aforementioned studies.

A hypermethylator phenotype has also been described for basal-like breast cancer, namely the CpG island methylator phenotype [23,43]. This does not refer to global hypermethylation but describes concurrent methylation-dependent silencing of a number of genes, including a specific set of genes with predictive power (*CDH1, CEACAM6, CST6, ESR1, LCN2*, and *SCNN1A*) that are involved in a wide range of malignancies [44]. This specific pattern of methylation may be linked to the overexpression of *DNMT3b*. Moreover, *DNMT3b* seems to be a promising target for TNBC, as shown by the targeted inhibition of *DNMT3b* by RNAi-mediated knockdown in three cell lines (MDA-MB-453, BT549, and Hs578T); all cell lines subsequently showed increased sensitivity to doxorubicin, paclitaxel, and 5-fluorouracil [45].

DNA methylation is one of the most well-studied epigenetic events, and it has also been well-studied in TNBC. However, findings on methylation patterns need to be translated into clinical practice, either by using this data to stratify patients' prognoses and expected outcomes [23,27,37,40] or by further investigating the pathways that have been indicated to show promising results [23,31,40,45].

NONCODING RNAs

Long noncoding RNAs in triple-negative breast cancer

A novel classification scheme for TNBC was established by Liu et al. [46] by integrating the profiles of mRNAs and lncRNAs. Four distinct clusters have been described—IM, LAR, MES, and BLIS—and these partly correlated with the Lehmann subtypes that have been described before [9]; furthermore, the BLIS subtype has been described as the most aggressive phenotype [46].

Further microarray profiling of TNBC has identified a number of lncRNAs with different expression patterns compared to normal tissue [47]. However, the functions, pathway interactions, and importance of these remain to be established. Similarly, another microarray profiling study of lncRNAs in TNBC patient tissue samples found that the dysregulation of the ER in TNBC may be associated with lncRNA *LINC00993* [48]. Recently, another lncRNA, *MALAT1*, was found to play a role in the metastatic potential of TNBC and is reported as a potential prognostic marker for lymph node-negative HER2+ and TNBC [49].

Long noncoding RNAs in basal-like breast cancer

lncRNAs are ncRNAs longer than 200 nucleotides and have various functions in the genome [22]. The expression of lncRNAs in breast cancer has also been investigated using The Cancer Genome Atlas project database [50]. Four clusters were described, with the first being almost entirely populated by the basal-like subtype. *HOTAIRM1* was found to be over-expressed in this cluster; however, its role still remains to be determined [50].

Another promising lncRNA target is *FOXCUT*, which may be a cancer-promoting gene responsible for the aggressive phenotype of basal-like tumors. A study has reported that *FOXCUT* was significantly more highly expressed in basal-like than in non-basal breast cancer subtypes, and that its knockdown inhibited cell migration and proliferation. These data show that *FOXCUT* may be a potential diagnostic and therapeutic marker of basal-like TNBC [51].

A lncRNA linked to aggressive progression in breast cancer through H3K27 methylation [52], *HOTAIR*, has been found to be upregulated in MCF-7-TNR cells, the basal-like derivative of the luminal-like MCF-7 cells. *HOTAIR* and its partner enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) form a complex that seems to play a critical role in the maintenance of the basallike phenotype; when either *HOTAIR* or EZH2 was inhibited, the dysregulated expression of luminal-like and basal-like markers was attenuated and the proliferation of MCF-7-TNR cells was inhibited (Figure 3). *HOTAIR* is also required for the expression of basal-like genes and the proliferation of MDA-MB-157 cells [53]. Furthermore, it was shown that co-target-



Target DNA - basal-like subtype associated genes D. Temian

Figure 3. *HOTAIR* in basal-like breast cancer. *HOTAIR* forms a complex with the enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) subunit of the polycomb repressor complex 2 (PRC2) complex, which leads to H3K27 trimethylation and maintenance of the basal-like phenotype. H3K27me3=tri-methylation of lysine 27 on histone H3.

ing *EGFR* and *c-ABL* in TNBC cell lines through lapatinib and imatinib, respectively, inhibited growth by downregulating *HOTAIR* [54].

MicroRNA in triple-negative breast cancer

miRNAs are small ncRNAs approximately 20 nucleotides long that can posttranscriptionally alter gene expression [22]. Gasparini et al. [55] identified a four-miRNA signature in TNBC that allowed the stratification of patients into highand low-risk groups. Upregulation of miR-493 and miR-155 correlated with better patient outcome, whereas downregulation of miR-30e and miR-27a correlated with a negative outcome [55].

miRNAs have also been described to be potential TNBC biomarkers. miR-10b, miR-26a, miR-146a, and miR-153 were investigated in breast cancer cell lines and were linked to BRCA1 expression. In MDA-MB-231 cells, BRCA1 expression is downregulated by miR-10b and miR-26a. miR-146a is significantly overexpressed in TNBCs without affecting the expression of BRCA1, while miR-153 can upregulate BRCA1 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells [56]. However, Kumaraswamy et al. [57] reported that BRCA1 expression positively correlates with miR-146a and leads to the downregulation of EGFR. Furthermore, Garcia et al. [58] reported that miR-146a and miR-146b-5p downregulate BRCA1 in TNBC. In a study by Murria et al. [32], miR-590-5p and miR-4417 were found to be hyperexpressed in TNBC. miR-590 can impact ER regulation by interacting with the two mRNA sequences of ESR1, while miR-4417 can regulate BRCA1 mRNA [32].

miRNAs are also drivers of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), an important process in initiating metastasis. An insight into the mechanism that controls their expression in TNBC and correlation to node metastasis was provided by a recent study in which the interaction between two epigenetic mechanisms was shown. miR-200c/miR-141 locus methylation is associated with low miR-200c expression and lymph node invasion in TNBC, favoring metastasis and altering TNBC prognosis [59]. This has also been associated with high levels of ZEB1 transcription factor, which is involved in EMT, suggesting the miR-200c/ZEB1 axis as a possible therapeutic target in metastatic TNBC. Moreover, the mir-200 family of miRNAs has been shown to play important roles in TNBC. Ectopic expression of miR-200b suppressed TNBC migration and metastasis in a mouse mammary xenograft tumor model by inhibiting protein kinase Ca [60]. Another member, miR-200a, has also been shown to modulate TNBC migration by regulating the EPHA2 oncogene [61], while overexpression of miR-200b-3p and miR-429-5p inhibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of TNBC cells by inhibiting the LIMK1/

CFL1 (LIM domain kinase 1/cofilin 1) pathway [62], thus opening new possibilities for targeted therapies in TNBC.

A comprehensive summary of miRNAs with profiling, functional, prognostic, and therapeutic potential is provided by Mathe et al. [63].

HISTONE MODIFICATIONS

Histone modifications in triple-negative breast cancer

Eight key histone modifications-H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K9me3, H3K9ac, H3K27me3, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, and H3K79me2—have been profiled across 13 cell lines, including four TNBCs-MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436, MDA-MB-468, and HCC1937 [64]. Subtype-specific histone modification profiles have also been discovered, including distinct H3K36me3 patterns in TNBC cell lines. The androgen receptor (AR) pathway genes were active especially in claudin-low TNBC cell lines, while AR pathway regulators had lower expression levels in basal-like cells lines [64]. Another specific TNBC chromatin state that was identified is the AFAP1-AS1 marked by the active H3K4me3 and H3K79me2 modifications. The authors reported that this gene has not been linked to TNBC before but is highly expressed and predicts poor prognosis in other cancers, including esophageal adenocarcinoma, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, lung cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and colorectal cancer; it may also promote tumor invasion by EMT. Small interfering RNA mediated depletion of AFAP1-AS1 in MDA-MB-231 and HCC1937 cells led to decreased proliferation and colony formation [64].

A transcription factor that has been recently characterized, *BCL11A*, is overexpressed in TNBCs, including basal-like subtypes [65]; it is important for mammary stem and progenitor cells [65] and it promotes tumor formation by interacting with a common subunit (RBBP4/7) of the histone methyltransferase (PRC2) and histone deacetylase (NuRD, SIN3A) complexes [66] to regulate transcription and promote tumorigenesis.

Another family of proteins involved in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression is the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) family; they recognize acetylated lysine residues in nucleosomal histones [67,68]. Inhibition of these proteins has been shown to exhibit antitumoral efficacy in solid tumors, including TNBC [68-71]. Many BET inhibitors have shown promising results in preclinical research studies, including synergistic effects with already established therapies [67,70,72,73] and the compound OTX015/MK-8628, is in clinical development for TNBC [67].

Histone modifications seem to play an important role in the

EMT of TNBC, as reported by a study using the basal-like cell line, MDA-MB-231. The downregulation of histone methyltransferase G9a, histone acetyltransferase *KAT5*, and H3K79 methylator *DOT1L* induce E-cadherin expression and promote an epithelial phenotype with lower migratory and invasive capacity [74]. These findings may prove to be useful insights into using epigenetic targets as means of reducing the risk of metastasis. EMT and mesenchymal state maintenance may also be influenced by a histone 2 variant, macroH2A1. Overexpression of macroH2A1.1 correlated with mesenchymal markers of the claudin-low breast cancer subtype and with poor prognosis in TNBCs [75].

Histone modifications in the basal-like subtype

A histone modification profile specific for breast cancer subtypes was generated from a series of 880 human breast carcinomas [21]. Moderate to low levels of lysine acetylation (H3K9ac, H3K18ac, and H4K12ac), lysine methylation (H3K4me2 and H4K20me3) and arginine methylation (H4R3me2) were reported in carcinomas of poor prognostic subtypes, including basal carcinomas. However, even if the basal-like carcinomas were represented in the low histone modification cluster, the HER2-positive cancers had even lower frequencies of histone modifications [21]. Similar findings were described with regard to a single histone alteration, H3K27me3, that is inversely associated with HER2-positive and basal-like breast cancers [76]. In the latter, H3K27me3 seems to be mediated by a higher expression of EZH2, a member of PRC2, leading to histone-mediated silencing of PRC2 target genes [41].

Breast cancer stem cells (BCSC) being important drivers of TNBC aggressiveness is supported by a new study by Li et al. [77], wherein they sorted a population of CD44+/CD24–BCSCs from a culture of MDA-MB-231 cells. Their findings showed that both DNA and histone methylation differed between CSCs and non-CSCs. In particular, H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 were both decreased in CSCs and may have affected both Wnt and GnRH signaling. The sorted CSCs demonstrated greater invasive and tumorigenic capacities both *in vivo* and *in vitro* [77]. However, the exact mechanisms underlying these remain to be elucidated.

Therapeutic potential of histone modifications

Even if histone modification mechanisms in TNBC are still not fully understood, therapies based on these hallmarks already show promising results in preclinical studies. Some of the most widely used epigenetic therapies are based on histone deacetylases (HDACs). These enzymes remove acetyl groups from histones and are thus responsible for regulating gene expression, including tumor suppressors. HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) are currently being investigated in a large number of solid and hematological malignancies, and they have been shown to inhibit tumor growth and induce apoptosis by targeting multiple pathways [78]. A study has shown that HDACi suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (vorinostat) and sodium butyrate inhibit cell proliferation, induce apoptosis, and downregulate transcription of mutant p53 in TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 [79]. Targeting p53 is a strategy that has been successfully investigated in TNBC [80-82]. Similar results have been found for HDACi with panabinostat, which has been shown to induce hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4, decrease proliferation and survival, and induce apoptosis in TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-157, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and BT-549. Panabinostat also decreased tumor size in vivo in mice models for the MDA-MB-231 and BT549 lines [83]. Vorinostat enhanced the growth inhibitory ability of PARP inhibitor olaparib in TNBC cells with overexpressed PTEN, while PTEN knockdown cells were resistant to this combination. The results were confirmed in an in vivo MDA-MB-231 mouse model [84].

HDACi may aid in targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, which regulates T cell function [85]. Several breast cancer cell lines, including TNBC MDA-MB-231, were treated with both class nonspecific (vorinostat and panobinostat) and specific HDACi (valproic acid and entinostat), leading to the upregulation of PD-L1 on tumor cells [86]. A combination of vorinostat and immune checkpoint inhibitors (PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade) on mice models of TNBC led to decreased tumor growth and prolonged survival. The authors described that vorinostat also promoted Treg downregulation *in vitro* and increased T cells tumor infiltration *in vivo*. This data suggests that HDACi potentiates immune checkpoint inhibitor blockade in TNBC [86].

EMT and metastasis become irreversible when a subpopulation of tumor cells gains the ability to spread from the primary tumor and establish secondary localizations. HDACi entinostat reduces the expression of markers associated with this cell population in TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-231, BT549, and Hs578T; decreases the ability of MDA-MB-231 to form lung metastasis in an *in vivo* mouse model; and reduces tumor formation from patient-derived xenografts [87]. Furthermore, vorinostat also has the ability to prevent brain metastasis of TNBC *in vivo* [88], proving that HDACi should be further investigated for use in the management of metastatic TNBC. Finally, Mekala et al. [89] suggested that HDACi may also aid in re-expression of miRNAs and, by regulating the miR-200 family through HDACi, open a new avenue for research in TNBC. Other histone-modifying enzymes that can promote aggressiveness of TNBC are histone methyltransferases. A histone methyltransferase, hSETD1A, has been associated with poor outcome and decreased overall survival rates in a retrospective study on 159 TNBC patients [90], indicating that it could be further investigated as a prognostic marker.

OTHER EPIGENETIC CHANGES

These epigenetic changes have an important impact on how genomic DNA is organized, either into tightly packed heterochromatin or as loosely packed euchromatin. DNA methylation, histone modifications, or ncRNAs may recruit protein complexes that indirectly regulate gene expression by how much access to DNA they allow transcription machinery.

Chromatin remodeling refers to the regulation of gene expression by modifying the chromatin architecture to either allow or restrict transcription. This can be done either by post-transcriptional modifications of histones or ncRNAs and their recruitment of the PRC2 complex as previously mentioned, or by ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling protein complexes [19]. SWI/SNF is one of the most well-described complexes with this function [19]. Two ATPases of this complex, *BRG1* and *BRM*, have elevated levels in breast cancer, and their knockdown in a TNBC cell line led to reduced tumor formation *in vivo* and reduced cell proliferation *in vitro* [91,92]. In addition, knockdown of *BRG1* sensitized a TNBC cell line to doxorubicin, 5-fluorouracil, gemcitabine, cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, and paclitaxel [93].

CONCLUSION

TNBC is a heterogeneous oncological entity for which, even if major breakthroughs have been made to describe subtypes with relevance in clinical practice, no specifically designed tool for management exist to date. Epigenetic modifications are currently being intensely studied in all malignant diseases, including breast cancer. TNBC may especially benefit from advances in this domain, considering that no therapeutic targets currently exist for this subtype. We now have at our disposal a multitude of methods to study these epigenetic machinery, and a large amount of data is constantly being generated. Several studies employing epigenetic drugs, namely HDACi, already show promising results. One particular drug, tinostamustine, is a first-in-class alkylating deacetylase inhibitor that combines the DNA-damaging effect of bendamustine with the HDACi vorinostat in a completely new chemical entity [94]. Tinostamustine is currently being tested in a phase I/II clinical trial that also enrolls TNBC patients (NCT03345485). However, the main challenge in translating data into clinical practice still remains. A targeted approached based on identifying which mechanisms drive TNBC in the absence of known receptors and which mechanisms are responsible for its aggressiveness may prove to be a more efficient method for developing new treatments and markers. This malignancy would especially benefit from better classification tools that can identify the patients who can benefit from a certain treatment. The epigenetic field is a very promising area where such answers may be found.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

ORCID

Daiana Cosmina Temian https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0292-4218

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:7-30.
- Gierach GL, Burke A, Anderson WF. Epidemiology of triple negative breast cancers. Breast Dis 2010;32:5-24.
- Chiorean R, Braicu C, Berindan-Neagoe I. Another review on triple negative breast cancer: are we on the right way towards the exit from the labyrinth? Breast 2013;22:1026-33.
- Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000;406:747-52.
- Sørlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, et al. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98: 10869-74.
- Senkus E, Kyriakides S, Penault-Llorca F, Poortmans P, Thompson A, Zackrisson S, et al. Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2013;24 Suppl 6:vi7-23.
- 7. Lachapelle J, Foulkes WD. Triple-negative and basal-like breast cancer: implications for oncologists. Curr Oncol 2011;18:161-4.
- Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS. Triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1938-48.
- Lehmann BD, Pietenpol JA. Identification and use of biomarkers in treatment strategies for t riple-negative breast cancer subtypes. J Pathol 2014;232:142-50.
- Perou CM. Molecular stratification of triple-negative breast cancers. Oncologist 2011;16 Suppl 1:61-70.
- Weigelt B, Baehner FL, Reis-Filho JS. The contribution of gene expression profiling to breast cancer classification, prognostication and prediction: a retrospective of the last decade. J Pathol 2010;220:263-80.
- 12. Lehmann BD, Bauer JA, Chen X, Sanders ME, Chakravarthy AB, Shyr Y,

et al. Identification of human triple-negative breast cancer subtypes and preclinical models for selection of targeted therapies. J Clin Invest 2011;121:2750-67.

- Lehmann BD, Jovanović B, Chen X, Estrada MV, Johnson KN, Shyr Y, et al. Refinement of triple-negative breast cancer molecular subtypes: implications for neoadjuvant chemotherapy selection. PLoS One 2016;11:e0157368.
- Burstein MD, Tsimelzon A, Poage GM, Covington KR, Contreras A, Fuqua SA, et al. Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies novel subtypes and targets of triple-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:1688-98.
- Jézéquel P, Loussouarn D, Guérin-Charbonnel C, Campion L, Vanier A, Gouraud W, et al. Gene-expression molecular subtyping of triplenegative breast cancer tumours: importance of immune response. Breast Cancer Res 2015;17:43.
- Ahn SG, Kim SJ, Kim C, Jeong J. Molecular classification of triplenegative breast cancer. J Breast Cancer 2016;19:223-30.
- 17. Kanwal R, Gupta K, Gupta S. Cancer epigenetics: an introduction. Methods Mol Biol 2015;1238:3-25.
- Basse C, Arock M. The increasing roles of epigenetics in breast cancer: implications for pathogenicity, biomarkers, prevention and treatment. Int J Cancer 2015;137:2785-94.
- Tammen SA, Friso S, Choi SW. Epigenetics: the link between nature and nurture. Mol Aspects Med 2013;34:753-64.
- 20. Jones PA, Baylin SB. The epigenomics of cancer. Cell 2007;128:683-92.
- Elsheikh SE, Green AR, Rakha EA, Powe DG, Ahmed RA, Collins HM, et al. Global histone modifications in breast cancer correlate with tumor phenotypes, prognostic factors, and patient outcome. Cancer Res 2009;69:3802-9.
- Palazzo AF, Lee ES. Non-coding RNA: what is functional and what is junk? Front Genet 2015;6:2.
- Stirzaker C, Zotenko E, Song JZ, Qu W, Nair SS, Locke WJ, et al. Methylome sequencing in triple-negative breast cancer reveals distinct methylation clusters with prognostic value. Nat Commun 2015;6:5899.
- Harburg GC, Hinck L. Navigating breast cancer: axon guidance molecules as breast cancer tumor suppressors and oncogenes. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 2011;16:257-70.
- Robichaux MA, Cowan CW. Signaling mechanisms of axon guidance and early synaptogenesis. Curr Top Behav Neurosci 2014;16:19-48.
- 26. Braicu C, Chiorean R, Irimie A, Chira S, Tomuleasa C, Neagoe E, et al. Novel insight into triple-negative breast cancers, the emerging role of angiogenesis, and antiangiogenic therapy. Expert Rev Mol Med 2016;18:e18.
- Branham MT, Marzese DM, Laurito SR, Gago FE, Orozco JI, Tello OM, et al. Methylation profile of triple-negative breast carcinomas. Oncogenesis 2012;1:e17.
- Greenup R, Buchanan A, Lorizio W, Rhoads K, Chan S, Leedom T, et al. Prevalence of BRCA mutations among women with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) in a genetic counseling cohort. Ann Surg Oncol 2013;20:3254-8.
- Pop LA, Cojocneanu-Petric RM, Pileczki V, Morar-Bolba G, Irimie A, Lazar V, et al. Genetic alterations in sporadic triple negative breast cancer. Breast 2018;38:30-8.
- Lord CJ, Ashworth A. BRCAness revisited. Nat Rev Cancer 2016;16: 110-20.

- Lips EH, Mulder L, Oonk A, van der Kolk LE, Hogervorst FB, Imholz AL, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer: BRCAness and concordance of clinical features with BRCA1-mutation carriers. Br J Cancer 2013;108: 2172-7.
- 32. Murria R, Palanca S, de Juan I, Alenda C, Egoavil C, Seguí FJ, et al. Immunohistochemical, genetic and epigenetic profiles of hereditary and triple negative breast cancers: relevance in personalized medicine. Am J Cancer Res 2015;5:2330-43.
- 33. Sharma P, Stecklein SR, Kimler BF, Sethi G, Petroff BK, Phillips TA, et al. The prognostic value of BRCA1 promoter methylation in early stage triple negative breast cancer. J Cancer Ther Res 2014;3:1-11.
- Stefansson OA, Villanueva A, Vidal A, Martí L, Esteller M. BRCA1 epigenetic inactivation predicts sensitivity to platinum-based chemotherapy in breast and ovarian cancer. Epigenetics 2012;7:1225-9.
- 35. Veeck J, Ropero S, Setien F, Gonzalez-Suarez E, Osorio A, Benitez J, et al. BRCA1 CpG island hypermethylation predicts sensitivity to poly(adenosine diphosphate)-ribose polymerase inhibitors. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:e563-4.
- 36. Mori H, Kubo M, Nishimura R, Osako T, Arima N, Okumura Y, et al. BRCAness as a biomarker for predicting prognosis and response to anthracycline-based adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with triplenegative breast cancer. PLoS One 2016;11:e0167016.
- Mathe A, Wong-Brown M, Locke WJ, Stirzaker C, Braye SG, Forbes JF, et al. DNA methylation profile of triple negative breast cancer-specific genes comparing lymph node positive patients to lymph node negative patients. Sci Rep 2016;6:33435.
- Kong B, Lv ZD, Wang Y, Jin LY, Ding L, Yang ZC. Down-regulation of BRMS1 by DNA hypermethylation and its association with metastatic progression in triple-negative breast cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8:11076-83.
- Kagara N, Huynh KT, Kuo C, Okano H, Sim MS, Elashoff D, et al. Epigenetic regulation of cancer stem cell genes in triple-negative breast cancer. Am J Pathol 2012;181:257-67.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2012;490:61-70.
- Holm K, Hegardt C, Staaf J, Vallon-Christersson J, Jönsson G, Olsson H, et al. Molecular subtypes of breast cancer are associated with characteristic DNA methylation patterns. Breast Cancer Res 2010;12:R36.
- 42. Sunami E, Shinozaki M, Sim MS, Nguyen SL, Vu AT, Giuliano AE, et al. Estrogen receptor and HER2/neu status affect epigenetic differences of tumor-related genes in primary breast tumors. Breast Cancer Res 2008;10:R46.
- Hughes LA, Melotte V, de Schrijver J, de Maat M, Smit VT, Bovée JV, et al. The CpG island methylator phenotype: what's in a name? Cancer Res 2013;73:5858-68.
- Roll JD, Rivenbark AG, Jones WD, Coleman WB. DNMT3b overexpression contributes to a hypermethylator phenotype in human breast cancer cell lines. Mol Cancer 2008;7:15.
- 45. Sandhu R, Rivenbark AG, Coleman WB. Enhancement of chemotherapeutic efficacy in hypermethylator breast cancer cells through targeted and pharmacologic inhibition of DNMT3b. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;131:385-99.
- 46. Liu YR, Jiang YZ, Xu XE, Yu KD, Jin X, Hu X, et al. Comprehensive transcriptome analysis identifies novel molecular subtypes and subtype-specific RNAs of triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer

Res 2016;18:33.

- Shen X, Xie B, Ma Z, Yu W, Wang W, Xu D, et al. Identification of novel long non-coding RNAs in triple-negative breast cancer. Oncotarget 2015;6:21730-9.
- 48. Chen C, Li Z, Yang Y, Xiang T, Song W, Liu S. Microarray expression profiling of dysregulated long non-coding RNAs in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 2015;16:856-65.
- 49. Jadaliha M, Zong X, Malakar P, Ray T, Singh DK, Freier SM, et al. Functional and prognostic significance of long non-coding RNA MALAT1 as a metastasis driver in ER negative lymph node negative breast cancer. Oncotarget 2016;7:40418-36.
- 50. Su X, Malouf GG, Chen Y, Zhang J, Yao H, Valero V, et al. Comprehensive analysis of long non-coding RNAs in human breast cancer clinical subtypes. Oncotarget 2014;5:9864-76.
- Liu J, Shen L, Yao J, Li Y, Wang Y, Chen H, et al. Forkhead box C1 promoter upstream transcript, a novel long non-coding RNA, regulates proliferation and migration in basal-like breast cancer. Mol Med Rep 2014;11:3155-9.
- Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature 2010;464:1071-6.
- 53. Zhuang Y, Nguyen HT, Burow ME, Zhuo Y, El-Dahr SS, Yao X, et al. Elevated expression of long intergenic non-coding RNA HOTAIR in a basal-like variant of MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Mol Carcinog 2015;54: 1656-67.
- 54. Wang YL, Overstreet AM, Chen MS, Wang J, Zhao HJ, Ho PC, et al. Combined inhibition of EGFR and c-ABL suppresses the growth of triple-negative breast cancer growth through inhibition of HOTAIR. Oncotarget 2015;6:11150-61.
- 55. Gasparini P, Cascione L, Fassan M, Lovat F, Guler G, Balci S, et al. MicroRNA expression profiling identifies a four microRNA signature as a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in triple negative breast cancers. Oncotarget 2014;5:1174-84.
- 56. Fkih M'hamed I, Privat M, Ponelle F, Penault-Llorca F, Kenani A, Bignon YJ. Identification of miR-10b, miR-26a, miR-146a and miR-153 as potential triple-negative breast cancer biomarkers. Cell Oncol (Dordr) 2015;38:433-42.
- 57. Kumaraswamy E, Wendt KL, Augustine LA, Stecklein SR, Sibala EC, Li D, et al. BRCA1 regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression in human breast cancer cells involves microRNA-146a and is critical for its tumor suppressor function. Oncogene 2015;34:4333-46.
- Garcia AI, Buisson M, Bertrand P, Rimokh R, Rouleau E, Lopez BS, et al. Down-regulation of BRCA1 expression by miR-146a and miR-146b-5p in triple negative sporadic breast cancers. EMBO Mol Med 2011;3:279-90.
- 59. Damiano V, Brisotto G, Borgna S, di Gennaro A, Armellin M, Perin T, et al. Epigenetic silencing of miR-200c in breast cancer is associated with aggressiveness and is modulated by ZEB1. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2017;56:147-58.
- 60. Humphries B, Wang Z, Oom AL, Fisher T, Tan D, Cui Y, et al. MicroRNA-200b targets protein kinase Cα and suppresses triple-negative breast cancer metastasis. Carcinogenesis 2014;35:2254-63.
- 61. Tsouko E, Wang J, Frigo DE, Aydoğdu E, Williams C. miR-200a inhibits migration of triple-negative breast cancer cells through direct repres-

sion of the EPHA2 oncogene. Carcinogenesis 2015;36:1051-60.

- 62. Li D, Wang H, Song H, Xu H, Zhao B, Wu C, et al. The microRNAs miR-200b-3p and miR-429-5p target the LIMK1/CFL1 pathway to inhibit growth and motility of breast cancer cells. Oncotarget 2017;8: 85276-89.
- 63. Mathe A, Scott RJ, Avery-Kiejda KA. miRNAs and other epigenetic changes as biomarkers in triple negative breast cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:28347-76.
- 64. Xi Y, Shi J, Li W, Tanaka K, Allton KL, Richardson D, et al. Histone modification profiling in breast cancer cell lines highlights commonalities and differences among subtypes. BMC Genomics 2018;19:150.
- 65. Khaled WT, Choon Lee S, Stingl J, Chen X, Raza Ali H, Rueda OM, et al. BCL11A is a triple-negative breast cancer gene with critical functions in stem and progenitor cells. Nat Commun 2015;6:5987.
- 66. Moody RR, Lo MC, Meagher JL, Lin CC, Stevers NO, Tinsley SL, et al. Probing the interaction between the histone methyltransferase/deacetylase subunit RBBP4/7 and the transcription factor BCL11A in epigenetic complexes. J Biol Chem 2018;293:2125-36.
- Ocaña A, Nieto-Jiménez C, Pandiella A. BET inhibitors as novel therapeutic agents in breast cancer. Oncotarget 2017;8:71285-91.
- Filippakopoulos P, Knapp S. Targeting bromodomains: epigenetic readers of lysine acetylation. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2014;13:337-56.
- Sahai V, Redig AJ, Collier KA, Eckerdt FD, Munshi HG. Targeting BET bromodomain proteins in solid tumors. Oncotarget 2016;7:53997-4009.
- Shu S, Lin CY, He HH, Witwicki RM, Tabassum DP, Roberts JM, et al. Response and resistance to BET bromodomain inhibitors in triplenegative breast cancer. Nature 2016;529:413-7.
- Sahni JM, Gayle SS, Bonk KL, Vite LC, Yori JL, Webb B, et al. Bromodomain and extraterminal protein inhibition blocks growth of triple-negative breast cancers through the suppression of aurora kinases. J Biol Chem 2016;291:23756-68.
- 72. Nieto-Jiménez C, Alcaraz-Sanabria A, Pérez-Peña J, Corrales-Sánchez V, Serrano-Heras G, Galán-Moya EM, et al. Targeting basal-like breast tumors with bromodomain and extraterminal domain (BET) and pololike kinase inhibitors. Oncotarget 2017;8:19478-90.
- 73. Vázquez R, Riveiro ME, Astorgues-Xerri L, Odore E, Rezai K, Erba E, et al. The bromodomain inhibitor OTX015 (MK-8628) exerts anti-tumor activity in triple-negative breast cancer models as single agent and in combination with everolimus. Oncotarget 2017;8:7598-613.
- 74. Gregoire JM, Fleury L, Salazar-Cardozo C, Alby F, Masson V, Arimondo PB, et al. Identification of epigenetic factors regulating the mesenchyme to epithelium transition by RNA interference screening in breast cancer cells. BMC Cancer 2016;16:700.
- Lavigne AC, Castells M, Mermet J, Kocanova S, Dalvai M, Bystricky K. Increased macroH2A1.1 expression correlates with poor survival of triple-negative breast cancer patients. PLoS One 2014;9:e98930.
- 76. Healey MA, Hu R, Beck AH, Collins LC, Schnitt SJ, Tamimi RM, et al. Association of H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 repressive histone marks with breast cancer subtypes in the Nurses' Health Study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2014;147:639-51.
- 77. Li G, Wang D, Ma W, An K, Liu Z, Wang X, et al. Transcriptomic and epigenetic analysis of breast cancer stem cells. Epigenomics 2018;10: 765-83.
- 78. Mottamal M, Zheng S, Huang TL, Wang G. Histone deacetylase inhibi-

tors in clinical studies as templates for new anticancer agents. Molecules 2015;20:3898-941.

- Wang ZT, Chen ZJ, Jiang GM, Wu YM, Liu T, Yi YM, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors suppress mutant p53 transcription via HDAC8/ YY1 signals in triple negative breast cancer cells. Cell Signal 2016;28: 506-15.
- 80. Pileczki V, Pop L, Braicu C, Budisan L, Bolba Morar G, Del C Monroig-Bosque P, et al. Double gene siRNA knockdown of mutant p53 and TNF induces apoptosis in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Onco Targets Ther 2016;9:6921-33.
- Braicu C, Pileczki V, Pop L, Petric RC, Chira S, Pointiere E, et al. Dual targeted therapy with p53 siRNA and epigallocatechingallate in a triple negative breast cancer cell model. PLoS One 2015;10:e0120936.
- Braicu C, Pileczki V, Irimie A, Berindan-Neagoe I. p53siRNA therapy reduces cell proliferation, migration and induces apoptosis in triple negative breast cancer cells. Mol Cell Biochem 2013;381:61-8.
- 83. Tate CR, Rhodes LV, Segar HC, Driver JL, Pounder FN, Burow ME, et al. Targeting triple-negative breast cancer cells with the histone deacety-lase inhibitor panobinostat. Breast Cancer Res 2012;14:R79.
- 84. Min A, Im SA, Kim DK, Song SH, Kim HJ, Lee KH, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitor, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), enhances anti-tumor effects of the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor olaparib in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res 2015;17:33.
- 85. Topalian SL, Drake CG, Pardoll DM. Targeting the PD-1/B7-H1(PD-L1) pathway to activate anti-tumor immunity. Curr Opin Immunol 2012;24:207-12.
- 86. Terranova-Barberio M, Thomas S, Ali N, Pawlowska N, Park J, Krings G, et al. HDAC inhibition potentiates immunotherapy in triple negative breast cancer. Oncotarget 2017;8:114156-72.

- Schech A, Kazi A, Yu S, Shah P, Sabnis G. Histone deacetylase inhibitor entinostat inhibits tumor-initiating cells in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Mol Cancer Ther 2015;14:1848-57.
- Palmieri D, Lockman PR, Thomas FC, Hua E, Herring J, Hargrave E, et al. Vorinostat inhibits brain metastatic colonization in a model of triplenegative breast cancer and induces DNA double-strand breaks. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:6148-57.
- Mekala JR, Naushad SM, Ponnusamy L, Arivazhagan G, Sakthiprasad V, Pal-Bhadra M. Epigenetic regulation of miR-200 as the potential strategy for the therapy against triple-negative breast cancer. Gene 2018;641: 248-58.
- 90. Zhu Y, Bai K, Yu J, Guo M. Association between histone methyltransferase hSETD1A and prognosis in patients with triple-negative breast cancer after surgery: a retrospective study in the Chinese female population. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e3783.
- Wu Q, Madany P, Akech J, Dobson JR, Douthwright S, Browne G, et al. The SWI/SNF ATPases are required for triple negative breast cancer cell proliferation. J Cell Physiol 2015;230:2683-94.
- 92. Wu Q, Madany P, Dobson JR, Schnabl JM, Sharma S, Smith TC, et al. The BRG1 chromatin remodeling enzyme links cancer cell metabolism and proliferation. Oncotarget 2016;7:38270-81.
- 93. Wu Q, Sharma S, Cui H, LeBlanc SE, Zhang H, Muthuswami R, et al. Targeting the chromatin remodeling enzyme BRG1 increases the efficacy of chemotherapy drugs in breast cancer cells. Oncotarget 2016;7: 27158-75.
- 94. Festuccia C, Mancini A, Colapietro A, Gravina GL, Vitale F, Marampon F, et al. The first-in-class alkylating deacetylase inhibitor molecule tinostamustine shows antitumor effects and is synergistic with radiotherapy in preclinical models of glioblastoma. J Hematol Oncol 2018;11:32.

Supplementary Table 1. Current epigenetic modifications in TNBC and basal-like tumors

No.	Findings	Samples	Methods	Ref.
	DNA methylation			
1	 3 Methylation clusters, with the most hypomethylated associated with better prognosis and the medium methylated with worst prognosis 17 Potential prognostic regions—lower methylation in low-risk groups Hypermethylation of genes in axon guidance pathway 	19 Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded TNBC tissue and 6 matched normal samples	- Whole genome methylation capture sequencing (MBDCap-Seq)	[23]
	- Methylation of gene bodies W11 and W11-AS vs. promoter methylation			
2	- Methylation of 5 genes (<i>CDKN2B</i> , <i>CD44</i> , <i>MGMT</i> , <i>RB</i> , and <i>p73</i>) and non-methylation of 11 genes (<i>GSTP1</i> , <i>PMS2</i> , <i>MSH2</i> , <i>MLH1</i> , <i>MSH3</i> , <i>MSH6</i> , <i>DLC1</i> , <i>CACNA1A</i> , <i>CACNA1G</i> , <i>TWIST1</i> , and <i>ID4</i>) are specific to TNBC	- 61 Breast cancer tissue samples, includ- ing 28 TNBC	- Methylation profile of 110 CpGI located within 69 cancer-involved genes (MS-MLPA)	[27]
3	- 27%–37% of TNBC samples show <i>BRCA1</i> promoter methylation	377 TNBC samples	 Array Comparative Genomic Hybridisa- tion (aCGH) BRCA1 promoter methylation 	[31]
4	 BRCA1 and ESR1 methylation in TNBC compared to non-TNBC miR-4417, miR-590-5p higher expression in TNBC 	278 Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded breast cancers containing 79 TNBC	 Promoter methylation (MS-MLPA) of 24 tumor suppressor genes qRT-PCR for miR expression 	[32]
5	 EGR1 downregulation inversely correlated to methylation 16 TNBC specific genes show altered DNA methylation, including <i>IGF1</i> and <i>IL6ST</i> Higher methylation of <i>SPRY2</i>, <i>EGR1</i>, <i>GREB1</i>, <i>ITIH5</i>, <i>LRRC17</i> and lower methylation of <i>AMIGO</i> are associated with better survival 	23 Primary TNBC samples, 12 matched lymph node metastases, 11 matched normal adjacent tissues	- 450 K DNA methylation BeadChip array analysis (Illumina)	[37]
6	 BRMS1 downregulated by DNA methylation in TNBC cell lines and breast cancer samples Inverse correlation with lymph node metastasis 	 TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-231, HCC- 1937, MDA-MB-435 and normal breast tissue MCF-10A 42 Paired normal and TNBC tissue sam- ples 	- RT-PCR - Methylation specific PCR	[38]
7	- Cancer stem cells are regulated by hypomethylation of specific CpG sites of genes associated with stem cell properties <i>CD44</i> , <i>CD133</i> , and <i>Musashi-1</i> (<i>MSII</i>), promoter methylation being lower in TNBC	 4 TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-231, BT- 549, BT-20, and HCC1937) and 5 non- TNBC cell lines (MCF-7, T47D, ZR-75-1, ZR-75-30, and SK-BR-3) 91 Invasive ductal carcinomas, including 32 TNBC 	- Methylation analysis (MassARRAY Epi- TYPER sequencing)	[39]
8	 5 Distinct DNA methylation groups Group 5-most hypomethylated-associated with basal-like tumors 80% TP53 mutations in basal-like tumors Loss of <i>RB1</i>, <i>BRCA1</i> in basal-like tumors 	- Primary breast tumor samples and germ- line DNA from 825 patients (802 samples for DNA methylation)	 DNA methylation Exome sequencing mRNA arrays miRNA sequencing Reverse-phase protein arrays 	[40]
9	 -Specific methylation patterns corresponding to luminal A, B and basal-like subtypes, the most hypomethyl-ated being basal-like and most hypermethylated luminal B - BRCA2 carriers tumors more methylated than BRCA1 - RASSF1, GSTP1 unmethylated in basal-like tumors - ARHGDIB, GRB7, SEMA3B methylated in basal-like tumors 	- 189 Fresh frozen primary breast tumors and 4 normal breast tissue samples	Array based methylation assay for 1505 CpG loci corresponding to 807 cancer related genes	[41]

No.	Findings	Samples	Methods	Ref.
10	 Methylation of 6 genes (CDH1, CEACAM6, CST6, ESR1, LCN2, and SCNN1A) in basal-like cell lines Aberrant DNMT3b expression Elevated total DNA methyltransferase activity 	12 Breast cancer cell lines (BT20, BT549, Hs578T, MCF7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-415, MDA-MB-435S, MDA-MB-436, MDA-MB-453, MDA- MB-468, SKBR3, and ZR-75-1) and normal breast epithelial cell line MCF12A	 Gene expression (RT-PCR), promoter methylation of 64 genes DNA methyltransferase machinery as- sessment (total DNMT activity and ex- pression of DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DN- MT3b proteins) 	[44]
11	 Enhanced effect of doxorubicin, paclitaxel and 5-fluorouracil after DNMT3b inhibition Re-expression of methylated genes, including ESR1 	MDA-MB-453, BT549, Hs578T cell lines	 Treatment with 5-aza RNAi-mediated DNMT3b mediated knockdown and treatment with doxoru- bicin, paclitaxel and 5-fluorouracil 	[45]
	Noncoding RNAs			
12	 TNBC classification by mRNA and IncRNA profiling 4 clusters: immunomodulatory (IM), luminal androgen receptor (LAR), mesenchymal-like (MES) and basal- like and immune suppressed (BLIS) 	165 TNBC samples	- Transcriptome profiling (human tran- scriptome microarrays)	[46]
13	 IncRNAs with differential expression were found in TNBC, with no functional correlations so far 	3 Pairs of TNBC and adjacent non-tumor tissues plus 12 paired samples for vali- dation	 IncRNA expression microarray qRT-PCR validation 	[47]
14	 IncRNAs with differential expression were found in TNBC Possible association between ER, ANKRD30A and Inc RNA LINC0099 	3 Pairs of TNBC and adjacent non-tumor tissues plus 48 paired samples for vali- dation	 IncRNA expression microarray qRT-PCR validation Bioinformatics analysis for IncRNA functions (gene ontology) 	[48]
15	- IncRNA <i>MALAT1</i> promotes metastasis of TNBC and may be useful as a prognostic marker in lymph-node negative patients	 TCGA microarray data set (493 breast cancer samples) Normal breast cell line MCF10A and breast cancer cell lines -TNBC subtype: MDA-MB-231, Hs578T, HCC1806; HER2+ subtype: SKBR3; luminal subtype: MCF7, T-47D for interrogating functional roles 	- MALAT1 expression (qRT-PCR)	[49]
16	- HOTAIRM1 is upregulated in basal-like tumors	658 Infiltrating breast ductal carcinomas, including 126 basal-like samples (from the TCGA breast cancer RNA-Seq data)	- Bioinformatic analysis	[50]
17	- IncRNA FOXCUT is overexpressed in basal-like tumors	 - 55 Primary breast cancer samples, including 25 basal-like - MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cell lines 	- Expression profile (RT-qPCR and siRNA transfection)	[51]
18	 IncRNA HOTAIR is up-regulated in MCF-7-TNR cells (basal-like derivative of the luminal-like MCF-7), BT- 549 and MDA-MB-157 and plays a role in maintaining the basal-like phenotype 	MCF-7-7TNR, MC-7, BT-549 and MDA-MB-157 cell lines	- HOTAIR expression and siRNA inhibition	[53]
19	- IncRNA HOTAIR expression is repressed by combined treatment of lapatinib plus imatinib through β -catenin downregulation	 MCF-7, T47D, BT474, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, ZR-75–1, SK-BR3, SUM159 and HCC1806 cell lines 21 Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded primary breast tumor tissue, including 11 TNBC 	 Lapatinib+imatinib treatment of TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, HCC1806, and SUM159) HOTAIR expression 	[54]

No.	Findings	Samples	Methods	Ref.
20	 Upregulation of miR-493 and miR-155 correlate with better outcome Downregulation of miR-30e and miR27a correlate with poor outcome 	173 Paraffin-embedded TNBC samples	- miRNA expression profiling	[55]
21	- miR-10b and miR-26a can downregulate <i>BRCA1</i> expression in MDA-MB-231 (TN) and MCF7 (luminal) cell lines	9 Sporadic human breast cancer cell lines of which 7 TNBC and 1 normal breast tissue sample	- miRNA expression profiling	[56]
22	- BRCA1 expression positively correlates with miR-146a and leads to downregulation of <i>EGFR</i>	Breast cancer cell lines including 3 TNBC, SKOV3 ovarian cancer cell line and HMLE, MCF10A mammary epithelial cell lines	- miRNA profiling - miR knockdown - Protein expression studies - Mammosphere formation assay	[57]
23	- miR-146a and miR-146b-5p downregulate <i>BRCA1</i> in TNBC	 3 Normal mammary cell lines and 15 breast cancer cell lines, including 3 TNBC 76 Primary breast tumor tissues 167 Breast tumor tissues 	 miRNA target prediction algorithms miR-146a/b-5p expression and inhibition studies 	[58]
24	- miR-4417, miR-590-5p higher expression in TNBC	278 Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded breast cancers containing 79 TNBC	- qRT-PCR for miR expression	[32]
25	 miR-200c downregulation correlates with locus meth- ylation and is associated with lymph node metastasis in TNBC Low levels of miR-200c are associated with high lev- els of ZEB1 transcription factor which promotes EMT miR-200c/ZEB1 axis as target for metastatic TNBC 	 - 51 TNBC samples - TCGA data set - MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-157 cell lines for functional analysis 	- qRT-PCR and methylation analysis	[59]
26	- miR-200b suppresses TNBC migration and metastasis by inhibiting protein kinase $C\alpha$	 MCF-7, T-47D, BT-474, MDA-MB-453, SKBR-3, MDA-MB-468, BT-20, Hs578T and BT-549 cell lines Mouse mammary xenograft tumor model 	 miR-200b expression and knockdown studies In vitro and in vivo migration and metastasis studies respectively 	[60]
27	- miR-200a modulates TNBC migration through regulat- ing the EPHA2 oncogene	 Breast cancer dataset for mRNA levels of EPHA2 and corresponding patient sur- vival HC11, MDA-MB-231, SUM159 cell lines 	 miR200a transfection study miRNA expression analysis Proliferation and migration assays 	[61]
28	- Overexpression of miR-200b-3p and miR-429-5p in- hibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of TNBC cell lines	MDA-MB-231 and HCC1937 TNBC cells	 miR transfection Proliferation, migration and invasion assays 	[62]
	Histone modifications			
29	 Distinct H3K36me3 patterns in the TNBC cell lines AR pathway genes active especially in claudin-low TNBC cell lines, while AR pathway regulators had lower expression levels in basal-like AFAP1-AS1 found as TNBC specific gene marked by the active H3K4me3 and H3K79me2 modifications 	 2 Normal immortalized cell lines, 76NF2V and MCF10A 2 Luminal A lines, MCF7, ZR751 2 Luminal B lines, MB361, UACC812 2 HER2 lines SKBR3, AU565, HCC1954 2 Basal TNBC cell lines, MB468 and HCC1937 2 Claudin low TNBC cell lines, MB231 and MB436 	 ChIP-Seq, GRO-Seq and RNA-Seq analysis siRNA mediated depletion of AFAP1- AS1 in MDA-MB-231 and HCC1937 	[64]

(Continued to the next page)

No.	Findings	Samples	Methods	Ref.
30	- BCL11A interacts with histone methyltransferase (PRC2) and histone deacetylase (NuRD and SIN3A) complexes and contributes to maintenance of a chemoresistant breast cancer stem cell population in TNBC including basal-like	 Microarray data sets Immortalized non-tumourigenic mouse EpH4 and human HMLE cell lines TNBC cell lines 4T1 (mouse), MDA231, SUM159 and HMLER (human) Immune compromised mice BCL11A conditional knockout and knock-in mice 	 BCL11A overexpression studies and mammosphere assay shRNA knockdown of BCL11A Modified cells' injection to assess tumor formation TNBC-like tumor promotion by DMBA staining 	[65]
31	- <i>KAT5</i> (histone acetylase inhibitor), <i>DOT1L</i> (H3K79 methylator) and <i>G9a</i> (histone methyltransferase) downregulation induce E-CAD expression to promote an epithelial phenotype	- MDA-MB-231 cell line	- siRNA library screening for EMT regula- tors (729 chromatin modifying targets)	[74]
32	- Overexpression of macroH2A1.1 correlates with claudin-low subtype and TNBC poor outcome	- GEO, EMBL-EBI and publisher databases - MCF-7, MDA-MB231, ZR-75, MDA-MB436 and Hs578T cell lines	 Biostatistical correlation studies on in- trinsic molecular subclasses of breast cancer and molecular characteristics of EMT Protein quantification, qRT-PCR 	[75]
33	 3 Groups of histone modification patterns Hypomodified cluster, characterized by moderate to low levels of lysine acetylation (H3K9ac, H3K18ac, and H4K12ac), lysine (H3K4me2 and H4K20me3), and arginine methylation (H4R3me2) associated with basal-like and HER2+ subtypes 	- 880 Invasive breast carcinomas	 Tissue microarray, immunohistochemis- try Immunofluorescence and western blot- ting for validation 	[21]
34	 Differential H3K4me2 & H3K27me3 methylation between CSC and non-CSC suggest Wnt & GnRH signaling pathways are responsible for aggressiveness in TNBC 	- MDA-MB-231 cell line - BALB/c nude+ mice	 Invasion and xenotransplantation assays RN-seq, WGBS and CHIP-seq analysis 	[77]
35	 HDACi suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (vorinostat) and sodium butyrate inhibit cell proliferation, induce apoptosis and downregulate transcription of mutant p53 in TNBC cell lines 	- TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-231 and BT-549	 Transfection studies Cell cycle and apoptosis assays 	[79]
36	 HDACi panabinostat induces hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4, decreases proliferation and survival, and induces apoptosis in TNBC cell lines and decreases tumor size <i>in vivo</i> 	 TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-157, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, BT-549 Orthotopic MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 mouse xenograft models 	 Histone acetylation assays Proliferation assay and cell cycle analysis Protein expression studies 	[83]
37	 HDACi vorinostat enhances the growth inhibitory ability of PARP inhibitor olaparib in TNBC cells with overex- pression of PTEN and <i>in vivo</i> in an MDA-MB-231 mouse model 	 Human breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-157, -231, -453, -468, BT-549, MCF7, T47D, SK-BR-3, HCC70, HCC1143, and Hs578T) Breast cancer xenograft mouse model 	 Cytotoxic assay and cell cycle analysis <i>PTEN</i> transfection Proliferation, apoptosis and autophagy analysis 	[84]
38	- Combination of vorinostat and immune checkpoint in- hibitors (PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade) on mice models of TNBC lead to decreased tumor growth and pro- longed survival	 Human breast cancer cell lines, including 1 TNBC Mouse breast cancer cells <i>In vivo</i> model of mouse breast cancer cell line similar to TNBC 	 PD-L1 expression analysis Co-culture with peripheral blood mono- nuclear cells <i>In vivo</i> therapy of mouse model with vorinostat, anti-PD-1 blockade or both drugs 	[86]
39	 HDACi entinostat decreases the ability of TNBC to form lung metastasis in an <i>in vivo</i> mouse model and reduces tumor formation from patient derived xeno- grafts 	Breast cancer cell lines including 1 TNBCMDA-MB-231 mouse xenograftPatient derived xenograft	 Protein and miRNA expression analysis Mammosphere formation assay Tumor formation and metastasis development <i>in vivo</i> 	[87]

(Continued to the next page)

No.	Findings	Samples	Methods	Ref.
40	- Vorinostat has the ability to prevent brain metastasis of TNBC <i>in vivo</i>	- Mouse model of 231-BR brain trophic subline of the MDA-MB-231 TNBC cell line	 Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies of vorinostat uptake in the brain Histone acetylation, cell cycle and apop- tosis analysis <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> 	[88]
41	- Histone methyltransferase hSETD1A positivity corre- lated with worse outcome	- 159 TNBC samples	 Protein expression studies—immuno- histochemistry, qRT-PCR 	[90]

TNBC=triple-negative breast cancer; RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; qRT-PCR=quantitative RT-PCR; mRNA=messenger RNA; miRNA=microRNA; RNAi=RNA interference; lncRNA=long noncoding RNA; ER=estrogen receptor; TCGA=The Cancer Genome Atlas; siRNA=small interfering RNA; EMT=epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; AR=androgen receptor; HER2=human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; shRNA=small hairpin RNA; DMBA=7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene – a potent carcinogen; CSC=cancer stem cells; HDACi=histone deacetylase inhibitors; PARP=poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase.