The Equivalence of Hamiltonians of Holstein-Primakoff and Dyson in Spin-Wave Theory in Ferromagnetism

Takehiko Oguchi

Tokyo University of Education Otsuka-Kubo-machi, Tokyo

January 27, 1961

The Heisenberg model of a ferromagnet is described by the following Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H} = g \mu H \sum_{l} S_{l}^{z} - 2J \sum_{\langle l, m \rangle} S_{l} \cdot S_{m}. \quad (1)$$

Here the notations are those that are commonly used. Following Holstein and Primakoff,¹⁾ we express (1) by the creation and annihilation operators of spin deviation as follows,

$$\mathcal{H} = g \mu H \sum_{l} (S - a_{l}^{*} a_{l})$$
$$-2J \sum_{\langle l, m \rangle} [(S - a_{l}^{*} a_{l}) (S - a_{m}^{*} a_{m})$$
$$+S(f_{l} a_{l} a_{m}^{*} f_{m} + a_{l}^{*} f_{l} f_{m} a_{m})], \quad (2)$$

where

$$f_l = (1 - a_l^* a_l / 2S)^{1/2}.$$
 (3)

We have calculated³⁰ the spontaneous magnetization from (2) by the spinwave method taking account of the spin-wave interactions as the perturbation and obtained the same result to the first order in 1/S as Dyson's³⁾ which is regarded as rigorous at low temperatures. Now we shall show that (2) can be reduced to Dyson's Hamiltonian \mathcal{K}_D by a simple transformation so that (2) is completely equivalent to \mathcal{K}_D .

Let us transform \mathcal{X} by a non-unitary matrix T as follows,

$$\mathcal{K}' = T^{-1} \mathcal{K} T, \qquad (4)$$

where \mathcal{K}' is expressed by $a_{l}', a_{l}'^{*}$, etc. instead of a_{l}, a_{l}^{*} , etc.; a_{l}' and $a_{l}'^{*}$ are defined by

$$a_{l}' = T^{-1} a_{l} T, \ a_{l}'^{*} = T^{-1} a_{l}^{*} T,$$
 (5)

and they satisfy the following commutation rule,

$$[a_{l}', a_{m}'^{*}] = \delta_{l,m}.$$
 (6)

We note that a_l' and $a_l'^*$ are not Hermitian conjugate to each other, therefore \mathcal{K}' is not Hermitian. The eigenvalues of \mathcal{K}' , however, are the same as those of \mathcal{K} . We introduce the operators α_l and α_l^* in the forms

$$a_{l}' = (1 - \alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l}/2S)^{1/2} \alpha_{l},$$

$$a_{l}'^{*} = \alpha_{l}^{*} (1 - \alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l}/2S)^{-1/2}, \quad (7)$$

where α_i and α_i^* satisfy the following relations,

$$\alpha_l^* \alpha_l = a_l'^* a_l', \qquad (8)$$

$$[\alpha_l, \alpha_m^*] = \delta_{l,m}. \tag{9}$$

Using (7) to (9), \mathcal{K}' can be written as follows,

$$\mathcal{H}' = Ng\mu SH - NzJS^{2} - g\mu H \sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l}$$
$$+ 2JS \sum_{\alpha_{l},m_{2}} (\alpha_{l}^{*} - \alpha_{m}^{*}) (\alpha_{l} - \alpha_{m})$$
$$+ J \sum_{\alpha_{l},m_{2}} \alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{m}^{*} (\alpha_{l} - \alpha_{m})^{2}.$$
(10)

This is perfectly in agreement with \mathcal{K}_p .

A similar method can be applied to antiferromagnetism. We use the operators α_l , α_l^* defined in (7) for + spin sublattice and β_m , β_m^* which are derived from the annihilation and creation operators b_m' , $b_m'^*$ of the spin deviation for - spin sublattice as follows,

$$b_{m'} = \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{m} * \beta_{m}}{2S}\right)^{-1/2} \beta_{m},$$

$$b_{m'} = \beta_{m} * \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{m} * \beta_{m}}{2S}\right)^{1/2} \quad (11)$$

$$[\beta_m, \beta_{m'}^*] = \delta_{m,m'}. \tag{12}$$

Thus the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}' = -z |J| NS^{2} - \kappa NS^{2}$$

$$+ 2 |J| S_{\langle l,m \rangle} (\alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l} + \beta_{m}^{*} \beta_{m}$$

$$+ \alpha_{l} \beta_{m} + \alpha_{l}^{*} \beta_{m}^{*})$$

$$+ g \mu H(-\sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l} + \sum_{m} \beta_{m}^{*} \beta_{m})$$

$$+ 2 \kappa s (1 - 1/2S)$$

$$\times (\sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{*} \alpha_{l} + \sum_{m} \beta_{m}^{*} \beta_{m})$$

$$- |J| \sum_{\langle l,m \rangle} \alpha_{l}^{*} (\alpha_{l} + \beta_{m}^{*})^{2} \beta_{m}$$

$$- \kappa (\sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{*2} \alpha_{l}^{2} + \sum_{m} \beta_{m}^{*2} \beta_{m}^{2}),$$
(13)

where H is directed along the antiferromagnetic axis, and κ is a constant proportional to uniaxial anisotropy energy. It is a very interesting problem to obtain a ground-state energy from (13).

The author thanks Dr. Yoshiharu Kitano for his helpful discussions.

- T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 58 (1940), 1908.
- 2) T. Oguchi, Phys. Rev. 117 (1960), 117.
- F. J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. 102 (1956), 1217, 1230.

722