

# THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF A HANKEL OPERATOR WITH PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS SYMBOL

Stephen Power

A Hankel operator  $S$  on a complex Hilbert space with complete orthonormal basis  $\{e_n; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is one whose representing matrix has the form

$$S_{ij} = c_{i+j}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

A classical theorem of Nehari [6] shows that a sequence  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  defines a bounded Hankel operator if and only if it is the sequence of positive Fourier coefficients of an essentially bounded measurable function  $\phi$  on the unit circle. Hartman subsequently showed that  $S$  is compact if and only if  $\phi$  can be chosen to be continuous (see [4] or [1]).

In this note we determine the essential spectrum of  $S$  when  $\phi$  is a function possessing left and right limits at every point on the circle.

*Notation.* Let  $L^2$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on the unit circle  $T$  with the usual orthonormal basis  $\{z^n; n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ . The unitary operator  $J$  on  $L^2$  is defined by  $Jz^n = z^{-n}$  and we shall let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $L^2$  onto the Hardy subspace  $H^2$  spanned by  $\{z^n; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

For an essentially bounded measurable function  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\phi$ , on  $H^2$ , is defined by  $T_\phi = PM_\phi|H^2$  where  $M_\phi$  is the usual multiplication operator on  $L^2$ . We call  $\phi$  the symbol of the Toeplitz operator  $T_\phi$ . The Hankel operator on  $H^2$ , with symbol  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$ , is defined by  $S_\phi = PJM_\phi|H^2$ .

Let  $PC$  denote the collection of functions on  $T$  which possess left and right limits at each point. For  $\phi$  in  $PC$  and  $\alpha$  in  $T$  we shall write

$$\phi_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \{\phi(\alpha e^{it}) - \phi(\alpha e^{-it})\}$$

and call  $\phi_\alpha$  the jump of  $\phi$  at  $\alpha$ .

Let  $T'$  denote the non-real points of  $T$  and, for  $\gamma, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $[\gamma, \nu]$  denote the line segment joining  $\gamma$  and  $\nu$ . We shall prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\phi$  be a function in  $PC$ . Then

$$\sigma_e(S_\phi) = [0, i\phi_1] \cup [0, i\phi_{-1}] \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in T'} [ -(-\phi_\alpha \phi_\alpha)^{1/2}, +(-\phi_\alpha \phi_\alpha)^{1/2} ].$$

---

Received December 8, 1976.

Michigan Math. J. 25(1978).

In particular notice that a jump at  $\alpha$  only contributes to the essential spectrum if it is accompanied by a jump at  $\bar{\alpha}$ . The key results we shall use are the following two theorems of Gohberg and Krupnik [3] (see also [2] p.20) concerning Toeplitz operators with PC symbols.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be piecewise continuous functions. Then*

$$T_{\phi\psi} - T_{\phi} T_{\psi}$$

*is compact if and only if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  do not have common points of discontinuity.*

For  $\phi$  in PC define  $\hat{\phi}$  on  $T \times [0, 1]$  by  $\hat{\phi}(e^{it}, s) = s\phi(e^{it^-}) + (1 - s)\phi(e^{it^+})$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{i=1}^n \}_{j=1}^m$  are functions in PC then  $\sum_i \prod_j T_{\phi_{ij}}$*

*is Fredholm if and only if  $\hat{\phi} = \sum_i \prod_j \hat{\phi}_{ij} \neq 0$ .*

These two theorems can be related to Hankel operators by means of the following formula which has proved useful in other situations (e.g., [9] and [7]). For  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$  let  $\tilde{\phi}(z) = \phi(\bar{z})$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *For  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  in  $L^\infty$  we have  $S_\phi S_\psi = T_{\tilde{\phi}\psi} - T_{\tilde{\phi}z} T_{\psi\bar{z}}$ .*

*Proof.*  $S_\phi S_\psi = PJM_\phi PJM_\psi | H^2 = PM_{\tilde{\phi}z} (M_z J P J M_z) M_{z\psi} | H^2$   
 $= PM_{\tilde{\phi}z} (I - P) M_{z\psi} | H^2 = T_{\tilde{\phi}\psi} - T_{\tilde{\phi}z} T_{\psi\bar{z}}$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1 through a series of lemmas which deal with simple symbol functions in PC.

Let  $\psi(e^{it}) = i(t - \pi)e^{it}$ ,  $0 \leq t < 2\pi$ . Then  $\psi \in PC$  and has a single jump at 1 where  $\psi_1 = -i\pi$ . A simple computation shows that

$$(S_\psi z^n, z^m) = (n + m + 1)^{-1}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and so  $S_\psi$  is the Hankel operator defined by Hilbert's matrix. Magnus [5] has shown that the essential spectrum of this operator is the interval  $[0, \pi]$  (i.e.,  $[0, i\psi_1]$ ). Alternatively by Lemma 4,  $S_\psi^2 = T_{(t-\pi)^2} - T_{(t-\pi)^2}$ . Theorem 3 can be applied to show that  $\sigma_e(S_\psi^2) = [0, \pi^2]$  and, since  $S_\psi$  is positive and  $\|S_\psi\| \leq \|\psi\| \leq \pi$ , we have proved Magnus's result.

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\phi$  be continuous apart from a jump at  $\alpha$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $\alpha = 1$  then  $\sigma_e(S_\phi) = [0, i\phi_1]$*
- (ii) *If  $\alpha = -1$  then  $\sigma_e(S_\phi) = [0, i\phi_{-1}]$ .*

*Proof.* (i) We may write  $\phi = \lambda\psi + \theta$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\theta$  is a continuous function. Since, by Hartman's theorem,  $S_\theta$  is compact, we have

$$\sigma_e(S_\phi) = \sigma_e(\lambda S_\psi) = [0, i\lambda\psi_1] = [0, i\phi_1].$$

(ii) Let  $V$  be the unitary operator on  $L^2$  defined by  $(Vf)(e^{it}) = f(-e^{it})$ . Then  $V$  commutes with  $J$  and  $P$  and  $V^*S_\phi V = S_{\phi'}$ , where  $\phi'(e^{it}) = \phi(-e^{it})$ . By (i)

$$\sigma_e(S_\phi) = \sigma_e(S_{\phi'}) = [0, i\phi'_1] = [0, i\phi_{-1}].$$

LEMMA 6. *Let  $\phi$  be continuous apart from a jump at  $\alpha$  and a jump at  $\bar{\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  is a non-real point of  $T$ . Then  $\sigma_e(S_\phi)$  is the line segment*

$$[-(-\phi_\alpha\phi_{\bar{\alpha}})^{1/2}, +(-\phi_\alpha\phi_{\bar{\alpha}})^{1/2}].$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi_\alpha = \lambda_1$  and  $\phi_{\bar{\alpha}} = \lambda_2$ . Since we may add a continuous function to the symbol without altering the essential spectrum we may suppose that  $\phi(\alpha-) = 0$ ,  $\phi(\alpha+) = 2\lambda_1$ ,  $\phi(\bar{\alpha}-) = 0$ , and  $\phi(\bar{\alpha}+) = 2\lambda_2$ . By Lemma 4,

$$S_\phi^2 = T_{\hat{\phi}\phi} - T_{\hat{\phi}z}T_{\phi\bar{z}}$$

so that by Theorem 1  $\sigma_e(S_\phi^2)$  is the range of  $\widehat{\hat{\phi}\phi} - \widehat{\hat{\phi}z}\widehat{\phi\bar{z}}$  on  $T \times [0, 1]$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous except possibly at  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  it suffices to consider the range of this function on  $\alpha \times [0, 1]$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \times [0, 1]$ . Now  $\hat{\phi}\phi$  is continuous near  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  and vanishes at  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$ , thus  $\sigma_e(S_\phi^2)$  is given by the range of  $-\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}$  on  $\alpha \times [0, 1]$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \times [0, 1]$ . Since  $\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}(\alpha, s) = \hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}(\bar{\alpha}, s) = 4\lambda_1\lambda_2s(1-s)$  we see that  $\sigma_e(S_\phi^2) = [0, -\lambda_1\lambda_2]$ .

We now show that  $\sigma_e(S_\phi) = -\sigma_e(S_\phi)$  which will complete the proof of the lemma.

Let  $\theta$  be a function on  $T$  which is continuous apart from a proper jump at  $\alpha$ . It follows that there exist complex numbers  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  and a continuous function  $\phi'$  on  $T$  such that  $\phi = \nu_1\theta + \nu_2\bar{\theta} + \phi'$ . Thus, since  $S_\theta^* = S_{\bar{\theta}}$  it will be sufficient to show that  $\sigma_e(S) = -\sigma_e(S)$  where  $S = \nu_1S_\theta + \nu_2S_\theta^*$ . Since  $S_\theta^2 = T_{\hat{\theta}\theta} - T_{\hat{\theta}z}T_{\theta\bar{z}}$ , it follows from Theorem 2 that  $S_\theta^2$  is compact. Let  $\pi$  be the homomorphism of  $B(H^2)$  into the Calkin algebra  $A$ , and let  $\Phi$  be a faithful representation of  $A$  as a  $C^*$ -algebra of operators on a Hilbert space. Then  $(\Phi \circ \pi(S_\theta))^2 = 0$ . By a result of Radjavi and Rosenthal ([8] Theorem 1)  $(\Phi \circ \pi)(S_\theta)$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  Thus  $(\Phi \circ \pi)(S)$

has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu_1 C \\ \nu_2 C^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and so  $\sigma(\Phi \circ \pi(S)) = -\sigma(\Phi \circ \pi(S))$  which implies

$$\sigma(\pi(S)) = -\sigma(\pi(S)),$$

completing the proof.

LEMMA 7. *Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be elements of a complex unital commutative Banach algebra  $A$  such that  $a = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$  converges in norm and*

$$a_n a_m = a_m a_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq m.$$

Then  $\sigma(a) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(a_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the set of multiplicative linear functionals  $\phi$  on  $A$ , so that  $\sigma(b) = \{\phi(b); \phi \in M\}$  for  $b$  in  $A$ . Since  $\phi(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(a_n)$  and  $\phi(a_n) \neq 0$  implies  $\phi(a_m) = 0$  for  $m \neq n$ , the result follows.

We now put together the pieces.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We first show that the theorem is true if  $\phi$  is a piecewise continuous function. In this case we can write  $\phi = \phi' + \phi'' + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi^{(\alpha_i)}$  where  $\phi'$  (resp.  $\phi''$ ) is continuous apart from possibly a jump at 1 (resp.  $-1$ ) and  $\phi^{(\alpha_i)}$  is continuous apart from possible jumps at  $\alpha_i$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_i$ . Since, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 any pair of operators  $A, B$  from  $\{\pi(S_{\phi'}), \pi(S_{\phi''}), \pi(S_{\phi^{(\alpha_i)}}); i = 1, \dots, n\}$  satisfies  $AB = 0$  the theorem follows from lemmas 5, 6 and 7.

Suppose now that  $\phi \in PC$ . We first show that there exists a sequence of piecewise continuous functions  $\phi^{(n)}$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$ , such that

(i)  $\phi^{(n)}$  and  $\bar{\phi}^{(m)}$  have no common discontinuities for  $n \neq m$ .

(ii)  $|\psi_{\alpha}^{(n)}| \leq 2^{-n}$  for  $\alpha \in T$ , where  $\psi^{(n)} = \phi - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi^{(i)}$ .

Let  $\Lambda'_n = \{\alpha; |\phi_{\alpha}| \geq 2^{-n}\}$ . Since  $\phi \in PC$  it follows that  $\Lambda'_n$  is finite. Let

$$\Lambda''_n = \{\bar{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Lambda'_n\} \cup \Lambda'_n$$

and let  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda''_1$ ,  $\Lambda_{n+1} = \Lambda''_{n+1} \setminus \Lambda''_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now choose  $\phi^{(n)}$  to be any piecewise continuous function such that  $\phi^{(n)}$  is continuous on  $T \setminus \Lambda_n$  and

$$\phi_{\alpha}^{(n)} = \phi_{\alpha} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Lambda_n.$$

Then the  $\phi^{(n)}$  satisfy (i) and (ii).

By theorem 2 and Lemma 4, (i) shows that  $\pi(S_{\phi^{(n)}}) \pi(S_{\phi^{(m)}}) = 0$  for  $n \neq m$ . Also the second condition (ii) shows that  $\|\pi(S_{\psi^{(n)}})\| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-n}$ . This can be seen by first approximating  $\psi^{(n)}$  by a piecewise continuous function  $\theta$  so that  $\|\psi^{(n)} - \theta\| \leq \epsilon$ .

Since  $|\theta_{\alpha}| \leq 2^{-n} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon$  for  $\alpha \in T$ , there exists a continuous function  $\theta'$  such that  $\|\theta - \theta'\| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-n} + \epsilon$ . Thus

$$\|\pi(S_{\psi^{(n)}})\| = \|\pi(S_{\psi^{(n)} - \theta'})\| \leq \|\psi^{(n)} - \theta'\| \leq 2(2^{-n} + \epsilon).$$

So  $\pi(S_{\phi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi(S_{\phi^{(n)}})$  and the theorem follows from Lemma 7 and the first part of this proof.

*Remarks.* Although zero is always a point in the essential spectrum of a Hankel operator it is not always true that the essential spectrum is 'connected to the origin' as in Theorem 1. To see the first half of this statement suppose that  $A$  is a left inverse for the Hankel operator  $S$  modulo the compacts, so that  $AS = I + K$  for some compact operator  $K$ . Since  $SU^n = U^{*n}S$ , where  $U$  is the shift on  $H^2$ , we have  $(I + K)U^n = AU^{*n}S$ , and so, for  $x \in H^2$ ,  $U^n x = AU^{*n}Sx - KU^n x$ . However,  $U^n x \rightarrow 0$  weakly and so  $KU^n x \rightarrow 0$  in norm. Since  $U^{*n}Sx \rightarrow 0$  in norm also, we have a contradiction when  $x \neq 0$ . The second half of our initial remark follows by considering the Hankel operator  $S_{z\phi}$  where  $\phi$  is the inner function

$$\phi(z) = \exp\left(\frac{1+z}{z-1}\right).$$

It can be shown that  $S_{z\phi}$  is a self-adjoint partial isometry (a partial symmetry?) and  $\sigma(S_{z\phi}) = \sigma_e(S_{z\phi}) = \{-1\} \cup \{0\} \cup \{1\}$ .

Just how arbitrary can the spectrum or essential spectrum of a Hankel operator be? In particular, is any compact subset of the complex plane which contains the origin the spectrum of a Hankel operator?

#### REFERENCES

1. F. F. Bonsall and S. C. Power, *A proof of Hartman's theorem on compact Hankel operators*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 78(1975), no.3, 447-450.
2. R. G. Douglas, *Branch algebra techniques in the theory of Toeplitz operators*. Expository Lectures from the CBMS Regional Conference held at the University of Georgia, Athens, Ga., June 12-16, 1972. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973.
3. I. C. Gohberg and N. Ja. Krupnik, *The algebra generated by the Toeplitz matrices*. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Prilözen 3(1969), no. 2, 46-56.
4. P. Hartman, *On completely continuous Hankel matrices*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9(1958), 862-866.
5. W. Magnus, *On the spectrum of Hilbert's matrix*. Amer. J. Math. 72(1950), 699-704.
6. Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*. Ann. of Math. (2) 65(1957), 153-162.
7. S. C. Power, *Hankel operators with discontinuous symbol*, preprint.
8. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *On roots of normal operators*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 34 (1971), 653-664.
9. D. Sarason, *On products of Toeplitz operators*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 35 (1973), 7-12.

Mathematics Department  
Dalhousie University  
Halifax, Nova Scotia  
CANADA

