

The extreme points of a class of functions with positive real part

R.A. Kortram

Introduction

In spite of its elegance, extreme point theory plays a modest role in complex function theory. In a series of papers Brickman, Hallenbeck, Mac Gregor and Wilken determined the extreme points of some classical families of analytic functions. An excellent overview of their results is contained in [4]. Of fundamental importance is the availability of the extreme points of the set P of functions f analytic on the unit disc, with positive real part, normalized by $f(0) = 1$. These extreme points can be obtained from an integral representation formula given by Herglotz in 1911 [5]. A truly beautiful derivation of $\text{Ext}P$ was given by Holland [6]. In this note we present yet another method, based on elementary functional analysis. As an application we determine the extreme points of the set F of functions f analytic on the unit disc, with imaginary part bounded by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and normalized by $f(0) = 0$. They were originally determined by Milcetic [7] but our derivation is simpler. Finally we determine the extreme points of the set P_α of functions $f \in P$ for which $|\arg f| \leq \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}$ for some constant $\alpha < 1$. These were earlier described by Abu-Muhanna and Mac Gregor [1].

Preliminaries

Let $H(\Delta)$ be the set of analytic functions on the unit disc Δ in \mathbb{C} . It is wellknown [9, page 1] that $H(\Delta)$ provided with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \max_{|z| \leq \frac{n-1}{n}} \frac{|f - g|}{1 + |f - g|}$$

Received by the editors April 1996.

Communicated by R. Delanghe.

is a locally convex space. Convergence with respect to d is the same as locally uniform convergence. There is an explicit description of the dual space $H(\Delta)^*$.

Theorem: (Toeplitz [11]). There is a 1-1 correspondence between continuous linear functionals L on $H(\Delta)$ and sequences b_n with $\limsup \sqrt[n]{|b_n|} < 1$. If $f : z \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ belongs to $H(\Delta)$ then

$$L(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n.$$

The theorem can also be expressed as follows: There is a 1-1 correspondence between continuous linear functionals on $H(\Delta)$ and analytic functions b on some open neighbourhood Δ_r of $\bar{\Delta}$. If $f \in H(\Delta)$ then

$$L(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\rho} f(z) b\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{dz}{z} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{r} < \rho < 1.$$

Proof: It is evident that each such function b defines an element of $H(\Delta)^*$. Conversely if $L \in H(\Delta)^*$ we put

$$b_n = L(z^n).$$

If the sequence b_n had a subsequence b_{n_k} (with $b_{n_k} \neq 0$) for which $\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n_k]{|b_{n_k}|} \geq 1$, then

$$\sum_{n_k} \frac{z^{n_k}}{b_{n_k}}$$

would determine an element f of $H(\Delta)$. Continuity of L would imply that

$$L(f) = \sum_{n_k} \frac{b_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}} = \infty$$

which is impossible. Therefore we conclude that $\limsup \sqrt[n]{|b_n|} < 1$.

Our main subject of interest is the set $P \subset H(\Delta)$ of functions

$$f : z \rightarrow 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

for which $\operatorname{Re} f > 0$. Evidently P is convex. P is also a compact subset of $H(\Delta)$ [9, page 2]. We have the following result.

Lemma: (Schur [10]) Let $p : z \rightarrow 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ and $q : z \rightarrow 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n$ belong to P . Then $p * q \in P$ where

$$p * q(z) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n q_n z^n.$$

Proof: For $z \in \Delta$ we have

$$0 < \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|w|=\rho>|z|} \operatorname{Re} p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) \operatorname{Re} q(w) \frac{dw}{iw} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=\rho} p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) \{q(w) + \overline{q(w)}\} \frac{dw}{w} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n q_n z^n + 1 \right\} = \operatorname{Re} p * q(z).$$

Extreme points of P

In order to determine the extreme points of P we shall apply the following result which is sometimes called the theorem of Milman and Rutman.

Lemma: Let X be a locally convex space, let Q be a compact subset of X and assume that its closed convex hull $\overline{\text{co}}(Q)$ is also compact. Then Q contains all the extreme points of $\overline{\text{co}}(Q)$.

For a proof of this (elementary) lemma we refer to [2, page 440].

For $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ we define

$$k_\theta(z) = \frac{1 + e^{i\theta}z}{1 - e^{i\theta}z} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{in\theta} z^n.$$

Note that $k_\theta \in P$.

Theorem: The set of extreme points of P is

$$E = \{k_\theta : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}.$$

Proof: It is easy to see that E is a compact subset of $H(\Delta)$.

We shall show that $\overline{\text{co}}(E) = P$. Assume that there exists a function $p \in P \setminus \overline{\text{co}}(E)$. Then, from Hahn-Banach's separation theorem [8, page 58] we deduce the existence of an $L \in H(\Delta)^*$ and a number λ such that for all $f \in \overline{\text{co}}(E)$

$$\text{Re } L(f) > \lambda > \text{Re } L(p).$$

Since $\text{Re } L(f) = \text{Re } b_0 + \text{Re } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n$ and $\text{Re } L(p) = \text{Re } b_0 + \text{Re } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n p_n$ we may assume that $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and that

$$\text{Re } L(f) > 0 > \text{Re } L(p).$$

In particular $\text{Re } L(k_\theta) = b_0 + 2 \text{Re } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e^{in\theta} > 0$.

From the maximum principle we see that for all $z \in \Delta$

$$b_0 + 2 \text{Re } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n > 0,$$

so in particular $b_0 > 0$, hence

$$\beta : z \rightarrow 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{b_0} z^n$$

belongs to P . From Schur's Lemma we conclude that

$$\beta * p : z \rightarrow 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \frac{b_n}{b_0} z^n$$

is also an element of P and since $\limsup \sqrt[n]{|b_n|} < 1$, $\beta * p$ is continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$ and we even have $\operatorname{Re} \beta * p(1) \geq 0$, i.e.

$$L(p) = b_0 \operatorname{Re} \beta * p(1) \geq 0,$$

a contradiction. Therefore we have $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(E) = P$, and as a consequence of the theorem of Milman and Rutman we see that $\operatorname{Ext} P \subset E$.

Since the group of rotations $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}z$ acts transitively on E we conclude that $\operatorname{Ext} P = E$.

Corollary: By Krein-Milman's theorem [8, p.71 th.3.22 and p.78 th.3.28] we obtain: For every $f \in P$ there exists a probability measure μ on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$f = \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta d\mu(\theta).$$

It is easy to see that there is a 1-1 correspondence between elements of P and probability measures on $[0, 2\pi]$. The integral representation is called Herglotz's integral representation.

The next theorem also follows from Hahn-Banach.

Theorem: Let A be an infinite subset of $\overline{\Delta}$ and let $h \in H(\Delta)$ be a function for which $h^{(n)}(0) \neq 0 (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$.

Then the closed linear span

$$M = \llbracket z \rightarrow h(wz) : w \in A \rrbracket$$

is equal to $H(\Delta)$.

Proof: Again by Hahn-Banach's theorem [8, page 59] if M did not contain an element f of $H(\Delta)$ there would be an $L \in H(\Delta)^*$ such that L annihilates M , but $L(f) = 1$. From

$$h(wz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(n)}(0)}{n!} w^n z^n$$

we see that for $w \in A$

$$L(h(wz)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{h^{(n)}(0)}{n!} w^n = 0$$

hence the analytic function $z \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{h^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n$ which is defined on Δ_r for some $r > 1$ has infinitely many zeros on $\overline{\Delta}$ and is therefore identically zero, so $b_n = 0$ for all n . Then $L(f) = 0$, a contradiction.

Extreme points of F

Let F be the subset of $H(\Delta)$ consisting of the functions

$$f : z \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

for which $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \text{Im } f(z) < \frac{\pi}{2}$. A function f belongs to F if and only if $\exp \circ f \in P$. The exponential function however doesn't preserve linear relations. We shall employ the following criterion

$$f \in F \iff \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2i}{\pi}f \in P \\ 1 - \frac{2i}{\pi}f \in P. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Theorem: $f \in F \iff$ There is an integrable function φ on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &\leq \varphi \leq 1 \\ \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\theta) d\theta &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and such that

$$f = \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta \varphi(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Proof: From (1) and from Herglotz's representation we deduce the existence of probability measures μ and ν on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2i}{\pi}f = \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta d\mu(\theta) \\ 1 - \frac{2i}{\pi}f = \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta d\nu(\theta). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Addition leads to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta d\frac{1}{2}(\mu(\theta) + \nu(\theta)) = 1$$

and from the uniqueness of Herglotz's representation we conclude that $\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \nu)$ is equal to normalized Lebesgue measure $\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$. As a consequence, μ and ν are absolutely continuous. Thus there exist integrable functions u and v on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $0 \leq u$, $0 \leq v$, $u + v = 2$, $\int_0^{2\pi} u d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} v d\theta = 2\pi$

$$\mu = u \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad \nu = v \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Substitution into (2) and subtraction leads to

$$f = \frac{\pi i}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta (v(\theta) - u(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

This shows that $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(v - u)$ satisfies the requirements of the theorem. Conversely, all functions

$$f = \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta \varphi(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

evidently belong to F .

Corollary: $\text{Im } f = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re } k_\theta \cdot \varphi(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$, and from well-known properties of the Poisson integral representation [3, page 5, Cor 2] we derive that

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \text{Im } f(re^{-it}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \varphi(t)$$

From the last theorem, we obtain $\text{Ext } F$ without any difficulty

Theorem: $f \in \text{Ext } F \iff$ The corresponding function φ satisfies $|\varphi| = 1$ a.e.

Proof: $f \in \text{Ext } F \iff \varphi \in \text{Ext } \{\psi \in L^1[0, 2\pi] : -1 \leq \psi \leq 1, \int_0^{2\pi} \psi = 0\}$.

If $|\varphi| \neq 1$ on some set of positive measure, then there is also a set A of positive measure such that $0 \leq \varphi < 1$ (or such that $-1 < \varphi \leq 0$).

Split A into two subsets A_1 and A_2 such that

$$\int_{A_1} (1 - \varphi) = \int_{A_2} (1 - \varphi)$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &= \varphi \cdot 1_{A^c} + 1_{A_1} + (2\varphi - 1)1_{A_2} \\ \varphi_2 &= \varphi \cdot 1_{A^c} + (2\varphi - 1)1_{A_1} + 1_{A_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2$. Conversely if $|\varphi| = 1$ a.e. then evidently

$$\varphi \in \text{Ext}\{\psi \in L^1[0, 2\pi], -1 \leq \psi \leq 1, \int_0^{2\pi} \psi = 0\}.$$

Corollary: $f \in F$ is an extreme point of F if and only if

$$|\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \text{Im } f(re^{it})| = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for almost all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Of course, the extreme points of the set of functions $f \in H(\Delta)$ for which $f(0) = 0$ and $|\text{Im } f| < a$ are precisely those functions f for which

$$|\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \text{Im } f(re^{it})| = a$$

for almost all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Example: For $\varphi = -1_{[0,\pi]} + 1_{[\pi,2\pi]}$ the corresponding function

$$f : z \rightarrow \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

maps Δ conformally onto the strip $\{z : |\text{Im } z| < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. This f is an extreme point of F .

Remark: There is an analogue of Schur's Lemma for F . Let

$$f : z \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n z^n \text{ and } g : z \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^n$$

belong to F . Then

$$z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n g_n z^n$$

belongs to F .

Proof: From (1) we see that $1 \pm \frac{2i}{\pi}f \in P$ and $1 \pm \frac{2i}{\pi}g \in P$.

Thus, by Schur's lemma

$$(1 \pm \frac{2i}{\pi}f) * (1 \pm \frac{2i}{\pi}g) \in P$$

i.e.

$$z \rightarrow 1 \pm 2\Sigma \frac{f_n g_n}{\pi^2} z^n \in P.$$

Again from (1) we deduce that $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n g_n z^n \in F$.

By similar arguments one can show that if $f : z \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n z^n \in F$ and $p : z \rightarrow 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \in P$, then

$$z \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n f_n z^n \in F.$$

Extreme points of P_α

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We focus our attention on the set

$$P_\alpha = \{f \in P : |\arg f| < \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

We have some characterizations of P_α .

$$f \in P_\alpha \iff f^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \in P \iff \frac{1}{\alpha} \log f \in F,$$

but since neither exponentiation nor log preserve linearity we cannot derive $\text{Ext } P_\alpha$ directly from this correspondence. We start with two lemmas concerning the set

$$G = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

Lemma 1: Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ have positive real part and let $z^2, w^2 \in G$.

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and if

$$|\lambda| < \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2},$$

then

$$zw(1 + \lambda \frac{z-w}{z+w}) \in G.$$

Proof: We denote $\arg z = t, \arg w = s$; then $-\alpha \frac{\pi}{4} < s, t < \alpha \frac{\pi}{4}$, hence

$$\cos(t-s) > \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} > |\lambda|.$$

By an elementary computation we obtain

$$\arg(1 + \lambda \frac{z-w}{z+w}) = \arctan \frac{2\lambda|z| |w| \sin(t-s)}{(1+\lambda)|z|^2 + (1-\lambda)|w|^2 + 2|z| |w| \cos(t-s)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2|\lambda| |z| |w|}{(1 + \lambda)|z|^2 + (1 - \lambda)|w|^2 + 2|z| |w| \cos(t - s)} < \\ & < \frac{2|z| |w| \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}{(1 + \lambda)|z|^2 + (1 - \lambda)|w|^2 + 2|z| |w| \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|\arg(1 + \lambda \frac{z - w}{z + w})| \leq \arctan(\sin |t - s|) \leq |t - s|,$$

and therefore

$$2 \min(|\arg z|, |\arg w|) \leq \arg zw(1 + \lambda \frac{z - w}{z + w}) \leq 2 \max(|\arg z|, |\arg w|),$$

i.e.

$$zw(1 + \lambda \frac{z - w}{z + w}) \in G.$$

Lemma 2: Let $z \in G$ and let $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $z + w \in G$ and $z - w \in G$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and if

$$|\lambda| < \frac{3}{16} \sin \alpha \pi$$

then

$$z \frac{z + \lambda w}{z - \lambda w} \in G.$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$|\arg z| + |\arg \frac{z + \lambda w}{z - \lambda w}| < \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Since $z \pm w \in G$ we have $w \in (-z + G) \cap (z - G)$, i.e. w is an element of the parallelogram with vertices

$$\pm z, \text{ and } \pm \frac{2}{\sin \alpha \pi} (\operatorname{Im} z \cos^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} + i \operatorname{Re} z \sin^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

λw is an element of a homothetic parallelogram. Therefore

$$\left| \arg \frac{z + \lambda w}{z - \lambda w} \right|$$

is maximal if we choose

$$w = \frac{2}{\sin \alpha \pi} (\operatorname{Im} z \cos^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} + i \operatorname{Re} z \sin^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

For this choice of w we have (since $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{4} \sin \alpha \pi$)

$$\lambda^2 |w|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \{ (\operatorname{Im} z)^2 \cos^4 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} + (\operatorname{Re} z)^2 \sin^4 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} \} \leq \frac{1}{4} |z|^2.$$

By an elementary computation we obtain

$$\arg \frac{z + \lambda w}{z - \lambda w} = \arctan 4\lambda \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2 \sin^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - (\operatorname{Im} z)^2 \cos^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}{(|z|^2 - \lambda^2 |w|^2) \sin \alpha \pi},$$

so we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \arg \frac{z + \lambda w}{z - \lambda w} \right| &\leq \arctan 4|\lambda| \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2 \sin^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - (\operatorname{Im} z)^2 \cos^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{3}{4}|z|^2 \sin \alpha \pi} \\ &\leq \arctan \frac{1}{|z|^2} \left((\operatorname{Re} z)^2 \sin^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - (\operatorname{Im} z)^2 \cos^2 \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \\ &= \arctan \sin(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg z) \cdot \sin(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2} + \arg z) \\ &= \arctan \sin(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - |\arg z|) \cdot \sin(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2} + |\arg z|) \\ &\leq \arctan \sin(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - |\arg z|) < \alpha \frac{\pi}{2} - |\arg z|, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.

Now we are able to determine $\operatorname{Ext} P_\alpha$.

Theorem: Let $f \in P_\alpha$; then $f \in \operatorname{Ext} P_\alpha$ if and only if $\frac{1}{\alpha} \log f \in \operatorname{Ext} F$.

Proof: If $f \in P_\alpha$, then $\frac{1}{\alpha} \log f \in F$. Assume that $\frac{1}{\alpha} \log f \notin \operatorname{Ext} F$.

Then there are functions $f_1, f_2 \in F$, $f_1 \neq f_2$ such that $f = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_2)$, or equivalently, there exist functions $g, h \in P_\alpha$, $g \neq h$ such that $f = \sqrt{gh}$. As a consequence of lemma 1 we have for all $|\lambda| < \cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$

$$\sqrt{gh} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{\sqrt{g} - \sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{g} + \sqrt{h}} \right) \in P_\alpha.$$

For such λ we have

$$f = \sqrt{gh} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{gh} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{\sqrt{g} - \sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{g} + \sqrt{h}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{gh} \left(1 - \lambda \frac{\sqrt{g} - \sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{g} + \sqrt{h}} \right),$$

hence $f \notin \operatorname{Ext} P_\alpha$.

Conversely, if $f \in P_\alpha$, $f \notin \operatorname{Ext} P_\alpha$, then there is a non-constant function $g \in H(\Delta)$ such that $f \pm g \in P_\alpha$. Now lemma 2 implies that for $|\lambda| < \frac{3}{16} \sin \alpha \pi$

$$f \frac{f + \lambda g}{f - \lambda g} \in P_\alpha.$$

For such λ we have

$$f = \sqrt{f \frac{f + \lambda g}{f - \lambda g}} \cdot \sqrt{f \frac{f - \lambda g}{f + \lambda g}}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \log f = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \sqrt{f \frac{f + \lambda g}{f - \lambda g}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \sqrt{f \frac{f - \lambda g}{f + \lambda g}} \right\},$$

hence $\frac{1}{\alpha} \log f \notin \operatorname{Ext} F$.

Corollary: Let $f \in P_\alpha$; then $f \in \operatorname{Ext} P_\alpha$ if and only if

$$\left| \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \arg f(re^{it}) \right| = \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for almost all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

There is an analogue of Schur's lemma for P_α . We make use of yet another characterization of P_α . The functions

$$\phi_1 : z \rightarrow \frac{i}{\sin \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} (e^{-i\alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} z - \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2})$$

and

$$\phi_2 : z \rightarrow \frac{-i}{\sin \alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} (e^{i\alpha \frac{\pi}{2}} z - \cos \alpha \frac{\pi}{2})$$

map G into the right halfplane. Note that

$$f \in P_\alpha \iff \phi_j(f) \in P \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Theorem: If $f \in P_\alpha$ and $g \in P$, then $f * g \in P_\alpha$.

Proof: $\phi_j(f * g) = \phi_j(f) * g \in P$ ($j = 1, 2$) by Schur's lemma, hence

$$f * g \in P_\alpha.$$

References

- [1] Y. Abu-Muhanna; T.H. Mac Gregor. Extreme points of families of analytic functions subordinate to convex mappings. *Math. Z.* 176 (1981) 511-519. MR 82 #30021.
- [2] N. Dunford; J. Schwartz. *Linear Operators Part 1.* Interscience (1957).
- [3] P.L. Duren. *Theory of H^p spaces.* Academic Press (1970).
- [4] D.J. Hallenbeck; T.H. Mac Gregor. *Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory.* Pitman (1984).
- [5] G. Herglotz. Über Potenzreihen mit positivem, reellen Teil in Einheitskreis. *Ber. Verh. Sachs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig* (1911) 501-511.
- [6] F. Holland. The extreme points of a class of functions with positive real part. *Math. Ann.* 202 (1973) 85-88. MR 49 # 562.
- [7] John G. Milcetic. On the extreme points of some sets of analytic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 45 (1974) 223-228. MR 50 # 4957.
- [8] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis.* Mac Graw Hill (1973).
- [9] G. Schober. *Univalent Functions. Selected topics.* Lecture Notes in Math. vol 478. Springer (1975).

- [10] I. Schur. Über Potenzreihen die im Innern der Einheitskreises beschränkt sind. *Journal für reine und angewandte Math.* 147 (1917) 205-232; 148 (1918) 122-145.
- [11] O. Toeplitz. Die linearen vollkommenen Räume der Funktionentheorie. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 23 (1949) 222-242.

Mathematisch Instituut,
Katholieke Universiteit
Toernooiveld,
6525 ED Nijmegen, the Netherlands