## THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE LAPLACIAN ON TWO DIMENSIONAL RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

## SHIN OZAWA

(Received August 14, 1980, revised July 7, 1981)

1. Introduction. Let M be a two dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let w be a fixed point on M. For any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $B_{\varepsilon}$  be the geodesic disk of radius  $\varepsilon$  with the center w. We put  $M_{\varepsilon} = M \setminus \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\lambda_{1}(\varepsilon)$  be the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian  $\Delta = -\text{div grad}$  in  $M_{\varepsilon}$  under the Dirichlet condition on  $\partial B_{\varepsilon}$ .

The main result of this paper is the following:

THEOREM 1. Assume n = 2. Then

$$(1.1) \lambda_1(\varepsilon) = -2\pi |M|^{-1} (\log \varepsilon)^{-1} + O((\log \varepsilon)^{-2})$$

holds as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Here |M| denotes the area of M.

Chavel-Feldman [3] showed that  $\lambda_i(\varepsilon) \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Theorem 1 improves their result for the case n=2. The readers may also refer to Matsuzawa-Tanno [5] where the case  $M=(S^2$ , the standard metric) was studied.

In §2, we give the Schiffer-Spencer variational formula for the resolvent kernels of the Laplacian with the Dirichlet condition on the boundary. For the Schiffer-Spencer formula, the reader may refer to Schiffer-Spencer [6] and Ozawa [7]. In [7], the author gave an asymptotic formula for the j-th eigenvalue of the Laplacian when we cut off a small ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  from a given bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (n=2,3). In §3, we prove Theorem 1. In §4, we make a remark on the inequality of Cheeger.

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor S. Tanno who brought [3] to his attention when he was preparing the earlier version of this note.

2. A variant of the Schiffer-Spencer formula. Let  $L^2(M)$  (resp.  $L^2(M_{\epsilon})$ ) denote the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on M (resp.  $M_{\epsilon}$ ). By A we denote the self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(M)$  associated with the Laplacian on M. Let  $A(\epsilon)$  denote the self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(M_{\epsilon})$  associated with the Laplacian in  $M_{\epsilon}$  under the Dirichlet condition

on  $\partial M_{\epsilon}$ .

Let  $K_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$  be the integral kernel function of the operator  $(A(\varepsilon) + 1)^{-1}$  satisfying

$$K_{\varepsilon}(x, y) = 0$$
  $x \in M_{\varepsilon}, y \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}$ 

and

$$\int_{M_{\varepsilon}} K_{\varepsilon}(x, y) \cdot (\Delta_{y} + 1) \varphi(y) *_{y} 1 = \varphi(x)$$

for any fixed  $x \in M_{\varepsilon}$  and for  $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{o}(M_{\varepsilon})$ . Here  $*_{y}1$  denotes the volume element. Let K(x, y) be the integral kernel of the operator  $(A + 1)^{-1}$  satisfying

$$\int_{M} K(x, y) \cdot (\Delta_{y} + 1) \psi(y) *_{y} 1 = \psi(x)$$

for any fixed  $x \in M$  and for  $\psi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M)$ .

In this section we give the following proposition which is a variant of the formula in [6, p. 290].

PROPOSITION 1. Let M and w be as above. Then, for any fixed  $x, y \in M \setminus \{w\}$ 

(2.1) 
$$K_{\varepsilon}(x, y) - K(x, y) = (2\pi)(\log \varepsilon)^{-1}K(x, w)K(y, w) + O((\log \varepsilon)^{-2})$$
 holds as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

REMARK. It should be remarked that the remainder term  $O((\log \varepsilon)^{-2})$  in (2.1) is not uniform with respect to x, y even if w is fixed. As for further generalizations of the formula (2.1), we refer the reader to [7], [8]. See also [9].

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Let d(x, w) denote the distance between x and w. Then it is easy to see that  $K(x, w) + (2\pi)^{-1} \log d(x, w)$  is continuously differentiable with respect to x all over M. Put

$$\lim_{x o w} \left( K(x, \, w) \, + \, (2\pi)^{-1} \log d(x, \, w) 
ight) = C_w$$
 ,

and

$$q(x, w) = K(x, w) + (2\pi)^{-1} \log d(x, w) - C_w$$

Then there exists C' > 0 independent of x such that

$$|q(x, w)| \leq C'd(x, w)$$

holds. Let

$$L_\epsilon(x,\,y)=K_\epsilon(x,\,y)-K(x,\,y)-2\pi(-2\pi C_w+\log arepsilon)^{-1}K(x,\,w)K(y,\,w)\;.$$
 Then  $L_\epsilon(x,\,y)\in \mathscr{C}^\infty(M_\epsilon imes M_\epsilon)$ .

$$(2.3) (\Delta_y + 1)L_{\varepsilon}(x, y) = 0 x, y \in M_{\varepsilon},$$

and

$$(2.4) \qquad L_{\varepsilon}(x,\,y)|_{y\,\in\,\partial M_{\varepsilon}} = -K(x,\,y)|_{y\,\in\,\partial M_{\varepsilon}} + K(x,\,w)(1+p(y,\,w))|_{y\,\in\,\partial M_{\varepsilon}},$$

where

$$p(y, w) = -2\pi q(y, w)(-2\pi C_w + \log \varepsilon)^{-1}$$
.

From (2.2), (2.4), it follows that

$$\max_{y \in \partial M_s} |L_{\varepsilon}(x, y)| \leq C(x) \varepsilon$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, where C(x) denotes a continuous function of  $x \in \Omega \setminus w$ . Applying now the Hopf maximum principle to the solution  $L_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$  of the elliptic equation (2.3), we get

$$\max_{y \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} |L_{\varepsilon}(x, y)| \leq C(x)\varepsilon$$
 ,

which implies the desired result.

q.e.d.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1. We put

$$h_{\varepsilon}(x, y) = K(x, y) + (2\pi)(-2\pi C_w + \log \varepsilon)^{-1}K(x, w)K(y, w)$$
.

Let  $F_{\varepsilon}$  be the bounded linear operator in  $L^{2}(M_{\varepsilon})$  defined by

$$(F_{\varepsilon}f)(x) = \int_{M_{\varepsilon}} h_{\varepsilon}(x, y) f(y) *_{y} 1$$

for any  $f \in L^2(M_{\varepsilon})$ .

Let  $||T||_{2,\epsilon}$  denote the operator norm of a bounded operator T in  $L^2(M_{\epsilon})$ . We have the following:

Lemma 1. There exists a positive constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$||F_{\varepsilon} - (A(\varepsilon) + 1)^{-1}||_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2}$$

holds for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

PROOF. We put  $Q_{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon} - (A(\varepsilon) + 1)^{-1}$ .  $Q_{\varepsilon}$  has the integral kernel  $-L_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$ . Thus (2.3) implies that  $Q_{\varepsilon}f$  satisfies the following:

$$(3.2) (\Delta_x + 1)(Q_{\varepsilon}f)(x) = 0 x \in M_{\varepsilon}.$$

In view of (2.4) and  $K_{\varepsilon}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}$ , there exists a constant E independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\max_{x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} |Q_{\varepsilon}f(x)| \\ \leq \max_{x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} \int_{M_{\varepsilon}} |K(x, y) - K(y, w)| |f(y)| *_{y} 1 + E\varepsilon \int_{M_{\varepsilon}} |K(y, w)f(y)| *_{y} 1 \ .$$

By Schwarz's inequality we get

(3.3) 
$$\max_{x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} |Q_{\varepsilon} f(x)| \leq (|I(\varepsilon)| + C' E \varepsilon) ||f||_{2,\varepsilon}$$

for some constant C' independent of  $\varepsilon$ , where  $\|f\|_{2,\varepsilon}$  denotes the  $L^2(M_{\varepsilon})$  norm of f and

$$I(\varepsilon)^2 = \max_{x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} \int_{M_{\varepsilon}} |K(x, y) - K(y, w)|^2 *_y 1.$$

We now claim

$$|I(arepsilon)| \leq C'' arepsilon |\log arepsilon|^{1/2}$$
 ,

with a constant C'' independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Once this is proved, then the Hopf maximum principle gives us

$$\max_{x \in M_{\varepsilon}} |Q_{\varepsilon}f(x)| \leq 2C'' arepsilon |\log arepsilon|^{1/2}$$
 ,

which implies (3.1).

We now show (3.4). Let r be a small positive number so that there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Psi \colon \overline{B}_r \cong \overline{D}_1$ , where  $D_s$  is the disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $D_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| < s\}$ . We may assume that

$$(3.5) \varepsilon < |\Psi(x)| < 2\varepsilon$$

for any  $x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}$  provided  $\varepsilon$  (< r) is sufficiently small. We have  $|I(\varepsilon)| \le |I_1(\varepsilon)| + |I_2(\varepsilon)| + |I_3(\varepsilon)|$ , where

$$I_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(\varepsilon)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \max_{\scriptscriptstyle x \, \in \, \partial M_{\scriptscriptstyle E}} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle M \, \setminus \, B_{\scriptscriptstyle T}} \mid K\!\left(x, \, y\right) - K\!\left(y, \, w\right) \mid^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} *_{\scriptscriptstyle y} 1 \; ,$$

$$I_2(arepsilon)^2 = \max_{x \in \partial M_{arepsilon}} \int_{B_r \setminus \overline{B}_{arepsilon}} (K(x, y) + 2\pi \log d(x, y) 
onumber \ - (K(x, w) + 2\pi \log d(x, w)))^2 *_{u} 1$$

and

$$(3.8) \hspace{1cm} I_3(\varepsilon)^2 = (2\pi)^2 \max_{x \in \partial M_\varepsilon} \int_{B_\tau \setminus \overline{B}_\varepsilon} |\log d(x,y) - \log d(x,w)|^2 *_y 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $I_1(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Since we have  $K(x, y) + 2\pi \log d(x, y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\partial M_{\varepsilon} \times B_{r})$ , we also have  $I_2(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon)$ . (3.4) then follows from

$$I_3(arepsilon) = O(arepsilon |\log arepsilon|^{1/2})$$
 ,

which we shall prove below.

By a change of coordinates using the diffeomorphism  $\Psi$ , (3.8) is majorized by

$$(3.10) C \max_{x \in D_{2s} \setminus \overline{D}_{t}} \int_{D_{1} \setminus D_{s}} (\log|x - y| - \log|y|)^{2} dy,$$

with a constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Here we used (3.5). It is easy to see that

(3.11) 
$$\int_{D_1 \setminus D_{\varepsilon}} (\log|x - y| - \log|y|)^2 dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{\varepsilon}^1 (\log((|x|^2 + r^2 - 2|x| r \cos\theta)/r^2)^2) r dr .$$

By changing further the variable  $r=r^{-1}|x|=\eta$ , the term (3.11) is transformed into the following:

$$rac{1}{4} |x|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d heta \int_{|x|}^{|x|/\epsilon} (\log{(1+\eta^2\!-\!2\eta\cos{ heta})})^2 \eta^{-3} d\eta \ .$$

We here have

$$(\log (1 + \eta^2 - 2\eta \cos \theta))^2 \leq \max ((\log |1 - \eta|)^2, (\log |1 + \eta|)^2)$$

for any  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ ,  $\eta \in [|x|, |x|/\varepsilon]$ . Hence the term (3.11) is  $O(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)$ . We thus get (3.9), and thus (3.4).

We consider the following equations:

$$(3.12) \qquad ((A+1)^{-1}-1)\xi(x) = |M|^{-1/2}(K(x,w)-|M|^{-1})$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} K(x, w) *_{x} 1 = 1 ,$$

the right hand side of (3.12) is orthogonal to 1 in  $L^2(M)$ , while it is easy to see that the kernel of  $(A+1)^{-1}-1$  is spanned by 1. Therefore, the unique solution  $\xi$  of (3.12), (3.13) exists in  $L^2(M)$ .

Let  $\widetilde{F}_{\epsilon}$  be the linear operator defined by

$$(\widetilde{F}_{\epsilon}g)(x) = \int_{\mu} h_{\epsilon}(x, y)g(y) *_{y}1.$$

Then  $\widetilde{F}_{\varepsilon}$  is a compact self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(M)$ , since  $(A+1)^{-1}$  is a compact linear mapping from  $L^2(M)$  to  $\mathscr{C}^o(M)$ . We have the following:

LEMMA 2. If we put  $\widetilde{\mu}(\varepsilon)=1+2\pi(-2\pi C_w+\log \varepsilon)^{-1}|M|^{-1}$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}(x)=|M|^{-1/2}-2\pi(-2\pi C_w+\log \varepsilon)^{-1}\widehat{\varepsilon}(x)$ , then

$$\|(\widetilde{F}_{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{\mu}(\varepsilon))\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(M)} \leqq C(\log \varepsilon)^{-2}$$

holds as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Here C is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.16) & ((\widetilde{F}_{\varepsilon}-\widetilde{\mu}(\varepsilon))\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon})(x) \\ & = 4\pi^2(-2\pi C_w + \log \varepsilon)^{-2}(|M|^{-1}\xi(x) - K(x,w)((A+1)^{-1}\xi)(w)) \;. \end{array}$$

Since  $\xi \in L^2(M)$  and  $(A+1)^{-1}\xi \in \mathscr{C}^o(M)$ , we see that the  $L^2(M)$  norm of (3.16) is  $O((\log \varepsilon)^{-2})$ .

Let  $\chi_{\epsilon}(x)$  be the characteristic function of  $M_{\epsilon}$ . Now we want to prove the following:

$$\|\widetilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} - F_{\varepsilon}(\chi_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon})\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|,$$

where C is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . We put  $v_{\varepsilon}(x) = (\widetilde{F}_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon})(x) - (F_{\varepsilon}(\chi_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}))(x)$  for  $x \in M_{\varepsilon}$ . Then,

$$v_{\epsilon}(x) = \int_{B_{\epsilon}} h_{\epsilon}(x, y) \widetilde{\varphi}_{\epsilon}(y) *_{y} 1.$$

Also

$$(3.18) (-\Delta + 1)v_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0 x \in M_{\varepsilon}$$

and

$$(3.19) |v_{\varepsilon}(x)|_{x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} \leq \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} h_{\varepsilon}(x, y)^{2} *_{y} 1 \right)^{1/2} \Big|_{x \in \partial M_{\varepsilon}} \|\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(B_{\varepsilon})}.$$

Since  $|h_{\varepsilon}(x, y)| \leq C |\log |x - y||$  for some constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$ , we get

$$\max_{x \in \partial M_{\epsilon}} |v_{\epsilon}(x)| \leq C' \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \|\widetilde{\varphi}_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(B_{\epsilon})}$$
.

Here C' is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . By the Hopf maximum principle we obtain (3.17).

By (3.1), (3.15) and (3.17), we get the following:

LEMMA 3. There exists a constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|((A(\varepsilon)+1)^{-1}-\widetilde{\mu}(\varepsilon))(\chi_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon})\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C(\log \varepsilon)^{-2}$$

holds as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Also  $\|\chi_{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}\|_{2,\varepsilon} > 1/2$  holds for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ .

We use the following:

LEMMA 4. Let Y be a complex Hilbert space. Let T be a compact self-adjoint operator in Y. We fix  $\tau \in R \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Assume that there exists  $\psi \in Y$  satisfying  $\|\psi\| > 1/2$  and  $\|T\psi - \tau\psi\| < \delta$ . Then there exists at least one eigenvalue  $\tau^*$  of T which satisfies  $|\tau^* - \tau| \leq 2\delta$ .

PROOF. If the set  $\{\tilde{\tau}; |\tilde{\tau} - \tau| \leq 2\delta\}$  does not contain any eigenvalue, then  $\|(T - \tau)^{-1}\| < 1/2\delta$ . However, this leads to a contradiction

$$1/2 < \|(T-\tau)^{-1}(T\psi-\tau\psi)\| \le 1/2$$
.

q.e.d.

By Lemmas 3 and 4, we have the following: There exists at least one eigenvalue  $\hat{\mu}(\varepsilon)$  of  $(A(\varepsilon) + 1)^{-1}$  satisfying

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\varepsilon) - \widetilde{\mu}(\varepsilon)| \leq C'(\log \varepsilon)^{-2},$$

where C' is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

Let  $\lambda_2(\varepsilon)$  be the second positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian in M with the Dirichlet condition on  $\partial B_{\varepsilon}$ . By the Courant-Fischer mini-max principle for eigenvalues, we have

$$\lambda_2(\varepsilon) \geq \lambda_1 > 0 ,$$

where  $\lambda_1$  denotes the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian on M. Therefore, by (3.20) and (3.21) we see that

$$(\lambda_1(\varepsilon)+1)^{-1}=\widehat{\mu}(\varepsilon)$$
.

Now the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4. A remark on Cheeger's inequality. Let N be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary  $\partial N \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda_1(N)$  be the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian under the Dirichlet condition on  $\partial N$ . Then the inequality of Cheeger asserts that

$$\lambda_1(N) \ge h_D(N)^2/4,$$

where

(4.2) 
$$h_D(N) = \inf_{Z} |V_{n-1}(\partial Z)/V_n(Z)|.$$

Here Z runs through all compact n-dimensional bordered submanifolds of N satisfying  $Z \cap \partial N = \emptyset$ , and  $V_{n-1}(\partial Z)$  and  $V_n(Z)$  denote the (n-1)-dimensional volume of  $\partial Z$  and the n-dimensional volume of Z, respectively. Cheeger gave (4.1) in [4] and also treated the case  $\partial N = \emptyset$ . In that case  $h_D(N)$  should be replaced with another geometric quantity. See also Berger-Gauduchon-Mazet [1] and Buser [2]. It is well known that Cheeger' inequality is sharp, that is, we cannot replace the constant 1/4 with any larger number for general N. See, for example, Buser [2].

If we apply Cheeger's inequality to the manifold  $M_{\epsilon}$ , we get a lower bound for  $\lambda_1(\epsilon)$ . Since n=2, it is easy to see that there exists a constant  $C_{\epsilon} > 1$  such that

$$(4.3)$$
  $C_o^{-1} \varepsilon < h_D(M_{\varepsilon}) < C_o \varepsilon$ 

holds for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then by Cheeger's inequality we

get

$$\lambda_{1}(\varepsilon) > C_{0}^{-2} \varepsilon^{2}/4.$$

Since we have (1.1), (4.4) does not give a good lower bound for  $\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle l}(\varepsilon)$  when  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. Hence the following question arises: Can we replace the right hand side of (4.1) with another geometric quantity which will give a good bound for  $\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle l}(N)$  from below when the boundary  $\partial N$  is sufficiently small?

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER, P. GAUDHON AND E. MAZET, Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Math. 194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [2] P. Buser, On Cheeger's inequality  $\lambda_1 \ge h^2/4$ , Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math. Vol. 36, American Math. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island, 1980, 29-77.
- [3] I. CHAVEL AND E. A. FELDMAN, Spectra of domains in compact manifolds, J. Functional Analysis 30 (1978), 198-222.
- [4] J. Cheeger, A Lower Bound for the Smallest Eigenvalue of the Laplacian, Problems in Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
- [5] T. Matsuzawa and S. Tanno, Estimates of the first eigenvalues of a big cup domain of a 2-sphere, (preprint).
- [6] M. SCHIFFER AND D. C. SPENCER, Functionals of Finite Riemann Surfaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1954.
- [7] S. Ozawa, Singular variation of domains and eigenvalues of the Laplacian, to appear.
- [8] S. Ozawa, Surgery of domains and Green's kernels of the Laplacian, Proc. Japan Acad. 56 (1980), 459-461.
- [9] S. OZAWA, Singular Hadamard's variation of domains and eigenvalues of the Laplacian, II, Ibid. 57 (1981), 242-246.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO TOKYO, 113 JAPAN