

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

The genetic basis of energy conservation in the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7b44z00f>

Journal

Frontiers in microbiology, 5(OCT)

ISSN

1664-302X

Authors

Price, Morgan N
Ray, Jayashree
Wetmore, Kelly M
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2014

DOI

10.3389/fmicb.2014.00577

Peer reviewed



The genetic basis of energy conservation in the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20

Morgan N. Price^{1*}, Jayashree Ray¹, Kelly M. Wetmore¹, Jennifer V. Kuehl¹, Stefan Bauer², Adam M. Deutschbauer¹ and Adam P. Arkin^{1,2,3*}

¹ Physical Biosciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley Lab, Berkeley, CA, USA

² Energy Biosciences Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

³ Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Edited by:

Thomas E. Hanson, University of Delaware, USA

Reviewed by:

Caroline M. Plugge, Wageningen University, Netherlands

Ulrike Kappler, University of Queensland, Australia

*Correspondence:

Morgan N. Price and Adam P. Arkin, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, 1 Cyclotron Road Mail Stop 955-512L, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
e-mail: morgannprice@yahoo.com; aparkin@lbl.gov

Sulfate-reducing bacteria play major roles in the global carbon and sulfur cycles, but it remains unclear how reducing sulfate yields energy. To determine the genetic basis of energy conservation, we measured the fitness of thousands of pooled mutants of *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20 during growth in 12 different combinations of electron donors and acceptors. We show that ion pumping by the ferredoxin:NADH oxidoreductase Rnf is required whenever substrate-level phosphorylation is not possible. The uncharacterized complex Hdr/flox-1 (Dde_1207:13) is sometimes important alongside Rnf and may perform an electron bifurcation to generate more reduced ferredoxin from NADH to allow further ion pumping. Similarly, during the oxidation of malate or fumarate, the electron-bifurcating transhydrogenase NfnAB-2 (Dde_1250:1) is important and may generate reduced ferredoxin to allow additional ion pumping by Rnf. During formate oxidation, the periplasmic [NiFeSe] hydrogenase HysAB is required, which suggests that hydrogen forms in the periplasm, diffuses to the cytoplasm, and is used to reduce ferredoxin, thus providing a substrate for Rnf. During hydrogen utilization, the transmembrane electron transport complex Tmc is important and may move electrons from the periplasm into the cytoplasmic sulfite reduction pathway. Finally, mutants of many other putative electron carriers have no clear phenotype, which suggests that they are not important under our growth conditions, although we cannot rule out genetic redundancy.


Keywords: energy metabolism, sulfate reducing bacteria, membrane complexes, *Desulfovibrio*, electron bifurcation

1. INTRODUCTION

Sulfate-reducing bacteria are major players in the remineralization of fixed carbon and in the global sulfur cycle, but their energy metabolism remains poorly understood. Research on the mechanism of sulfate reduction has focused on members of the genus *Desulfovibrio*, which are relatively easy to culture in the laboratory. Sulfate reduction is best studied in the strain *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough (Keller and Wall, 2011), but the *Desulfovibrio* genus is quite diverse. We are studying the energy metabolism of *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20 (formerly *D. desulfuricans* G20), for which a large collection of mutants is available (Kuehl et al., 2014). G20 is a derivative of the G100A strain that was isolated from an oil well in Ventura County, California (Wall et al., 1993). Only 1871 of 3258 proteins in the genome of *D. alaskensis* G20 (Hauser et al., 2011) have orthologs in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough.

The key mystery of sulfate reduction is: how does it lead to net ATP production? Sulfate must be activated to adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (APS), which costs two ATP (Figure 1A). *Desulfovibrio* species can oxidize lactate to pyruvate and then to acetyl-CoA, which is then converted to acetate while converting

one ADP to one ATP (Figure 1B). Reducing sulfate to sulfide requires 8 electrons, while oxidizing lactate to acetate yields 4 electrons, so lactate and sulfate are utilized at a molar ratio of 2:1. Thus, the ATP from substrate-level phosphorylation is balanced out by the cost of activating sulfate (Peck, 1960). This implies that there is another source of ATP: the conversion of an ion gradient into chemical energy by ATP synthase. Furthermore, *D. alaskensis* G20 can grow via sulfate reduction while oxidizing ethanol, formate, or molecular hydrogen, and oxidation of these substrates is not expected to lead to any substrate-level phosphorylation (Figure 1B). In these conditions, reducing a molecule of sulfate gives a loss of 2 ATP, which must be made up for by ATP synthase. It is estimated that another strain, *D. vulgaris* Marburg, obtains about 1 net mole of ATP per mole of sulfate converted to sulfide while oxidizing hydrogen (Badziong and Thauer, 1978). The stoichiometry of the *Desulfovibrio* ATP synthase is unknown but in other bacteria, ATP synthase typically translocates 2–4 protons per ATP formed (Tomashek and Brusilow, 2000). This implies that to yield 1 net ATP by sulfate reduction (or 3 ATP before the cost of activating sulfate), 6–12 protons must be pumped per molecule of sulfate.



There are many theories for how the proton gradient might be formed. Key redox complexes such as the pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase which oxidizes pyruvate to acetyl-CoA, APS reductase, and sulfite reductase are located in the cytoplasm and are not associated with a membrane, which would seem to preclude

proton pumping by a membrane-bound electron transport chain. This, together with the tendency of *Desulfovibrio* species to produce a "burst" of hydrogen at the beginning of batch growth on lactate/sulfate media, led to the hydrogen cycling model (Odom and Peck, 1981). During hydrogen cycling, electrons would move

from the electron donor to cytoplasmic ferredoxin, which is believed to be a major cytoplasmic electron carrier, to a cytoplasmic hydrogenase, which combines two electrons with two protons to evolve H₂. The hydrogen then diffuses to the periplasm, where a periplasmic hydrogenase oxidizes it to produce two periplasmic protons and two electrons. The electrons would then move through transmembrane complexes (there are many candidates in the *Desulfovibrio* genomes) into the cytoplasm to reduce sulfate. In principle this mechanism can pump 2 protons per molecule of H₂, or 1 proton per electron transferred from ferredoxin, or 8 protons per molecule of sulfate. However, genetic evidence suggests that hydrogen cycling is not required for sulfate reduction by *Desulfovibrio* species. For example, in *D. alaskensis* G20, mutants of type 1 cytochrome c₃ (Tp1-c₃, also known as *cycA*), which is the major periplasmic electron carrier, grow in lactate/sulfate media but cannot utilize hydrogen as an electron donor (Rapp-Giles et al., 2000; Li et al., 2009; Keller et al., 2014). Similarly, in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, mutants of Tp1-c₃ or of various hydrogenases grow in lactate/sulfate media (Sim et al., 2013), and in *D. gigas*, mutants of the sole cytoplasmic hydrogenase or the sole periplasmic hydrogenase grow in lactate/sulfate media (Morais-Silva et al., 2013). Thus, uptake of hydrogen in the periplasm is not required to obtain energy by sulfate reduction when oxidizing lactate.

Another potential mechanism for forming a proton gradient is formate cycling. In *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, formate dehydrogenases are present only in the periplasm, but formate could be formed in the cytoplasm by pyruvate-formate lyase, which generates acetyl-CoA and formate from pyruvate and coenzyme A (Heidelberg et al., 2004). The genome of *D. alaskensis* G20 encodes these enzymes and also a putative cytoplasmic formate:hydrogen lyase that may convert cytoplasmic formic acid to H₂ and CO₂ or vice versa (Pereira et al., 2011). In either case, formic acid could diffuse through the cytoplasmic membrane and be reoxidized in the periplasm via Tp1-c₃ and periplasmic formate dehydrogenases. As with hydrogen cycling, formate cycling would pump one proton per electron transferred. There is evidence that formate cycling contributes to energy production in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, as knockouts of formate dehydrogenases had reduced growth in lactate/sulfate media (da Silva et al., 2013). In *D. alaskensis* G20, during growth on lactate/sulfate media, a *cycA* mutant accumulated formate, but the parent strain did not (Li et al., 2009), which suggests that formate might normally be formed and then immediately reoxidized.

In all of these cycling models, the electrons return to the cytoplasm to reduce sulfate via a transmembrane electron transfer protein. The genomes of both *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *D. alaskensis* G20 contain a variety of transmembrane redox complexes that could return electrons to the cytoplasm (Pereira et al., 2011). In particular, the Qrc complex can transfer electrons from the periplasmic Tp1-c₃ to menaquinone, an electron carrier in the membrane, and the Qmo complex is believed to transfer electrons from menaquinol to APS reductase, which then reduces APS to sulfite (Venceslau et al., 2010; Ramos et al., 2012; Krumholz et al., 2013). Furthermore, because the reduction and oxidation of menaquinone may involve adding protons from the cytoplasm and removing protons into the periplasm, the combination of

Qrc and Qmo could create a proton gradient. Qmo is essential for sulfate reduction (Zane et al., 2010), but Qrc (previously known as mopB) is primarily needed for hydrogen or formate oxidation (Li et al., 2009; Keller et al., 2014). A path from the periplasm to sulfite reduction is less clear, but the transmembrane complex DsrMKJOP interacts with DsrC and hence is suspected to send electrons from the periplasm and/or from menaquinol to DsrC (Grein et al., 2010; Pereira et al., 2011). (A potential issue with this model is that DsrMKJOP appears not to accept electrons from periplasmic hydrogenases or Tp1-c₃, Pires et al., 2006). The DsrC protein is part of the dissimilatory sulfite reductase (DsrABC) but is also believed to disassociate from DsrAB and act as a diffusible electron carrier for two of the six electrons that are required to reduce sulfite to sulfide (Oliveira et al., 2008). So, DsrMKJOP in combination with DsrC and DsrAB could use electrons from the periplasm to reduce sulfite to sulfide. Also, *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *D. alaskensis* G20 both contain the transmembrane redox complexes Hmc (high-molecular weight cytochrome, with a 16-heme periplasmic subunit) and Tmc (with a periplasmic type II cytochrome c₃ subunit), which are believed to accept electrons from Tp1-c₃ and transfer them across the membrane (Pereira et al., 1998, 2006; Quintas et al., 2013).

There are also a variety of alternatives to the cycling models. The genomes of both *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *D. alaskensis* G20 encode Rnf, an ion-pumping ferredoxin:NADH oxidoreductase, which can generate an ion gradient without moving electrons to the periplasm (Biegel et al., 2011). Although the best-studied Rnf complexes pump sodium ions, Rnf from *Clostridium ljungdahlii* appears to pump protons (Tremblay et al., 2013). As the *Desulfovibrio* Rnf is distantly related to all characterized Rnf, the ion pumped by Rnf in *Desulfovibrio* cannot be guessed. Below we show that in *D. alaskensis* G20, Rnf is important for growth under sulfate-reducing conditions with a variety of electron donors.

Additional possibilities for energy conservation arise because the roles of DsrMKJOP, Hmc, and Tmc are not fully understood. They might be able to move electrons between the cytoplasm and menaquinone without involving Tp1-c₃. The exchange of protons and menaquinol, the cytoplasm, and the periplasm could also create a proton gradient.

Yet another potential mechanism of energy conservation arises from electron bifurcation, which is the transfer of electrons from a single source to two different acceptors. For example, the genomes of *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *D. alaskensis* G20 both encode homologs of the electron-bifurcating transhydrogenase Nfn of *Clostridium kluyveri*, which couples electron transfer from NADPH to NAD⁺, which is energetically favorable, to electron transfer from NADPH to ferredoxin, which is energetically unfavorable, i.e., $2 \text{ NADPH} + \text{Fd}^0 + \text{NAD}^+ \leftrightarrow 2 \text{ NADP}^+ + \text{Fd}^{2-} + \text{NADH} + \text{H}^+$ (Wang et al., 2010). Both genomes also encode the putative redox complex Hdr/flox; a comparative genomics study proposed that this complex bifurcates electrons from NADH to a heterodisulfide such as DsrC (favorable) and to ferredoxin (unfavorable) (Pereira et al., 2011). Based on our data, we propose that these electron bifurcations allow an increased yield of reduced ferredoxin, which can be used by Rnf to pump additional ions into the periplasm. The combination of Hdr/flox and Rnf was

previously proposed to be involved in energy production during pyruvate fermentation by *D. alaskensis* G20 (Meyer et al., 2014).

Electron bifurcation might be involved more directly in sulfate reduction: the QmoA and QmoB subunits of the Qmo complex, which is essential for sulfate reduction, are homologous to HdrA, which is believed to perform electron bifurcations (Ramos et al., 2012). Although Qmo interacts with APS reductase *in vitro*, electron transfer from menaquinol analogs to APS reductase was not reconstituted, so it is proposed that a second electron donor might be required (Ramos et al., 2012). For example, Qmo might move electrons from menaquinol to APS reductase (which is unfavorable if proton(s) are released to the periplasm) and from ferredoxin to APS reductase (which is favorable). This would be an electron bifurcation in reverse (a confurcation).

Overall, *Desulfovibrio* genomes reveal a wide variety of potential mechanisms by which energy could be conserved, and it remains unclear which of them are important for generating energy. To address this issue, we measured the growth of thousands of pooled mutants of *D. alaskensis* G20 with 12 combinations of electron donors and electron acceptors. We also verified the phenotypes of key redox complexes by growing mutants individually. We found that Rnf, Nfn, and Hdr/flox are involved in energy conservation in some sulfate-reducing conditions. We believe that this is the first experimental evidence of a role for these complexes in energy conservation during sulfate reduction. We found that formate utilization requires the formation of H₂; we propose that this is necessary to allow the reduction of ferredoxin. We found that mutants in Tmc are deficient in hydrogen oxidation, which is consistent with a biochemical study (Pereira et al., 2006). Finally, mutants in many putative electron carriers lacked clear phenotypes, which suggests that they are not important for energy conservation (although we cannot rule out genetic redundancy). In particular, we found no evidence of energy conservation by molecular cycling. Based on our genetic data, we propose an overview of electron flow and energy conservation in *D. alaskensis* G20 (Figure 1C) and specific scenarios of energy conservation with different electron donors (Figure 2; Presentation 1 in Supplementary Material).

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. GENOME-WIDE FITNESS DATA

We used a collection of transposon mutants of *D. alaskensis* G20 that have been mapped and tagged with DNA barcodes (Kuehl et al., 2014). The DNA barcodes allow us to measure the relative fitness of strains with mutations in most of the non-essential genes in the genome during pooled (competitive) growth. Specifically, for each energetic condition, we grew two different pools of mutant strains separately and we used the strains' barcodes to measure how the abundance of each strain changed during growth in that condition. The fitness of a strain is defined as the change of abundance on a log₂ scale, i.e., log₂(end/start). The fitness of a gene is defined as the average of the fitness values for its mutant strains (i.e., strains with insertions in that gene). The fitness values are normalized so that most genes have fitness near zero; genes whose mutant strains have a growth advantage have positive fitness; and genes whose mutant strains grow poorly have negative fitness. Gene fitness values of

above +1 or below −1 (corresponding to a two-fold change in those strains' abundance) are highly reproducible (see Methods).

The fitness data includes 6500 strains that have insertions within 2369 of the 3258 protein-coding genes. We lack data for genes that are required for sulfate reduction or lactate oxidation. This is expected because most of the mutants were isolated on lactate/sulfate media (Kuehl et al., 2014). Otherwise, if we lack fitness data for one gene of interest, we usually have fitness data for functionally-related genes in the same operon, but there are a few exceptions. The energy-related genes that we lack fitness data for are described in Appendix 1.

We assayed the growth of pools of mutants in 12 different combinations of electron donors and electron acceptors, including growth with sulfate as the electron acceptor and 8 different electron donors (pyruvate, choline, lactate, fumarate, malate, ethanol, hydrogen, or formate). We also studied growth with alternate acceptors (sulfite, thiosulfate, and pyruvate fermentation)—these experiments are discussed in Appendix 2. Our energetic conditions comprise a total of 49 genome-wide fitness experiments. Some conditions were repeated with or without yeast extract or vitamins added, with a different reductant, or with a different buffer (Data Sheet 1 in the Supplementary Material). For experiments with hydrogen or formate as the electron donor, acetate was added to the media to serve as the carbon source. In the typical experiment, the mutant pools doubled 4.7 times (growth from OD₆₀₀ = 0.02–0.6). A defined ethanol/sulfate medium gave the lowest growth yield (2.5–3.1 doublings), while a pyruvate/sulfate medium with yeast extract gave the best growth yield (5.6 doublings).


As discussed below, we found that many energy-related genes are important for fitness in a subset of energetic conditions. For many of these genes, we confirmed this by growing mutant strains individually. We will first discuss the pathways for the utilization of electron donors and then the role of electron transport complexes in energy conservation.

2.2. UTILIZATION OF ELECTRON DONORS

We studied the reduction of sulfate with eight different electron donors. Unlike the other electron donors we studied, the utilization of pyruvate can yield net ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation alone: 4 molecules of pyruvate are oxidized per APS reduced, or 4−2 = +2 ATP per sulfate reduced. The oxidation of choline, lactate, malate, or fumarate yields 2 ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation per APS reduced, or +0 ATP per sulfate reduced (Figure 1B). Finally, during growth on ethanol, hydrogen, or formate, substrate-level phosphorylation is not possible (Figure 1B).

2.2.1. Pyruvate

As discussed above, we lack data for many of the genes that are required for lactate utilization, and as lactate is oxidized to pyruvate, this may also explain why we did not identify genes that were specifically important for pyruvate utilization. In particular, pyruvate is expected to be oxidized by pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase (Dde_3237), which we lack data for. Pyruvate could also be converted to acetyl-CoA and formate by pyruvate:formate lyase (Dde_3039, Dde_3055, or Dde_1273). Dde_1273 and its



putative activating enzyme Dde_1272 had a moderate fitness defect in some defined lactate/sulfate experiments (mean fitness = -0.7 to -1.1), but were not important during growth on pyruvate (fitness = -0.2 to 0). The other pyruvate-formate lyases

were not important for fitness (fitness = -0.2 to +0.4, Figure S1). Dde_1273 is related to choline:trimethylamine lyase and glycerol dehydratase (Raynaud et al., 2003; Craciun and Balskus, 2012), so given its phenotypes, we suspect that Dde_1273 is not

a pyruvate:formate lyase. Overall, pyruvate is probably consumed primarily by pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase.

2.2.2. Choline

Choline oxidation occurs in a putative microcompartment (a protein shell that contains enzymes) that is encoded by a large gene cluster (Craciun and Balskus, 2012; Kuehl et al., 2014). This cluster includes choline:trimethylamine lyase Dde_3282 (Craciun and Balskus, 2012), which splits choline to trimethylamine and acetaldehyde. The acetaldehyde is then disproportionated to acetyl-CoA and ethanol and the acetyl-CoA is converted to acetate and ATP by genes within the microcompartment (Dde_3283, Dde_3279, Dde_3267, and Dde_3276 in **Figure 3**). The ethanol probably diffuses to the cytoplasm and is utilized as under ethanol/sulfate conditions, which explains why the cytoplasmic aldehyde:ferredoxin oxidoreductase (Dde_2460) is important for fitness on choline/sulfate (fitness = -0.79) as well as on ethanol.

2.2.3. Lactate

Based on our fitness data, the major lactate dehydrogenase in most of our lactate/sulfate or lactate/sulfite experiments seems to be Dde_3239:Dde_3240 (**Figure 3**). Dde_3244:Dde_3245 was also important for fitness in a few of the lactate experiments.

A potential lactate dehydrogenase subunit (Dde_1842) may have been important for fitness in just one of the lactate/sulfate experiments (fitness = -0.57). Another lactate dehydrogenase (Dde_1085:Dde_1087) was not important for fitness (the lowest median fitness for these genes, -0.57 , was in a hydrogen/sulfate experiment; Figure S1).

Although most of our experiments were conducted with mixed D, L-lactate, we performed one experiment each with 10 mM D-lactate or 10 mM L-lactate as the electron donor and 50 mM sulfate as the electron acceptor. The fitness profiles were very similar, with a linear (Pearson) correlation of 0.90. The most prominent differences in fitness were for a L-lactate permease (Dde_3238) and a nearby transcriptional regulator (Dde_3234), both of which were more important for fitness in L-lactate than in D-lactate (-0.26 vs. $+0.41$ and -0.68 vs. 0.0). In *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, this regulator (DVU3023) binds upstream of and probably activates the expression of the permease (DVU3026) (Rajeev et al., 2011).

We observed secretion of succinate to 1.3–1.8 mM during growth in a defined medium with 60 mM lactate and 30 mM sulfate (Data Sheet 5 in Supplementary Material). Succinate was released as growth ceased and persisted throughout stationary phase (Data Sheet 5 in Supplementary Material). Keller and




FIGURE 3 | Heatmap of fitness data for genes relating to the utilization of various electron donors or acceptors, across 12 energetic conditions.

Along the x axis, the genes are grouped by biological process. Along the y axis, the experiments are grouped by electron acceptor and by electron donor. For combinations that were repeated, the height of each cell is

reduced. Each cell's color shows whether that gene was important for fitness or detrimental to fitness in that condition. Within the sulfate reduction experiments, electron donors are sorted by the net ATP from substrate-level phosphorylation minus sulfate activation (only pyruvate yields net ATP). Experiments were done both with and without added yeast extract.

colleagues reported the accumulation of succinate to 0.7 mM under similar conditions in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough (Keller et al., 2014). *D. alaskensis* G20 can ferment pyruvate to acetate and succinate (Meyer et al., 2014) and apparently a similar metabolism takes place in the presence of lactate (which is oxidized to pyruvate) and sulfate, instead of using sulfate reduction to fully oxidize all of the lactate.

2.2.4. Fumarate and malate

Fumarate and malate can be interconverted by fumarase (Dde_1254:Dde_1255). This enzyme is important for growth on either malate or fumarate with sulfate, but not under the other energetic conditions that we tested (Figure 3). The involvement of fumarase in malate utilization suggests that malate is being reduced to succinate as well as being oxidized to pyruvate. Specifically, malate would be oxidized by the decarboxylating malate dehydrogenase (Dde_1253), which would release pyruvate and reduced NADPH, while fumarate would be reduced to succinate by fumarate reductase, which would oxidize menaquinol (Figure 2A). Unfortunately, we lack fitness data for the malate dehydrogenase, but fumarate reductase is important for fitness on both malate and fumarate but not in most other energetic conditions (Figure 3).

To verify that *D. alaskensis* G20 reduces fumarate and malate in the presence of sulfate, we measured the concentration of succinate in the media during growth in a defined medium with 10 mM fumarate or malate and 50 mM sulfate. During growth with fumarate and sulfate, we observed a “succinate burst” with a peak concentration of 2.3 mM during mid log phase (at 46 h). During growth with malate and sulfate, we observed a much smaller release of succinate, to 0.2 mM. In both cases, the succinate disappeared after further growth. In the absence of sulfate, *D. alaskensis* G20 can ferment fumarate to acetate and succinate (Keller et al., 2014), and apparently this also occurs (at least temporarily) when sulfate is present.

The released succinate is probably reoxidized by fumarate reductase operating in reverse, i.e., succinate + menaquinone → fumarate + menaquinol. In other strains of *Desulfovibrio*, succinate oxidation has been observed and seems to depend on the proton gradient (Zaunmüller et al., 2006). The proton gradient should be required, as succinate oxidation with menaquinone is thermodynamically unfavorable. If succinate oxidation utilizes the proton gradient, then one might expect that the fumarate reductase reaction would create a proton gradient. However, the fumarate reductase of *D. alaskensis* G20 is related to the quinol:fumarate reductase of *Wolinella succinogenes*, which can utilize protons to oxidize succinate but does not form a proton gradient when reducing fumarate (Lancaster, 2013). So, we cannot determine whether fumarate reduction in *D. alaskensis* G20 leads to a proton gradient.

2.2.5. Ethanol

During ethanol oxidation, the ethanol is probably oxidized to acetaldehyde by one of two alcohol dehydrogenases (Dde_3523 or Dde_3534). This is expected to yield reduced NADH. Both of these genes have modest fitness defects that are specific to growth on ethanol (average fitness of -0.53 and -0.30), so they may

be partially redundant. This might also explain why they are not important for fitness on choline/sulfate. The acetaldehyde would then be oxidized in the cytoplasm by acetaldehyde:ferredoxin oxidoreductase (Dde_2460, in Figure 3). This enzyme family yields acetate, not acetyl-CoA, as the product, so there is no opportunity for substrate-level phosphorylation.


2.2.6. Interconversion of formate and hydrogen

None of the four formate dehydrogenases were important for fitness during growth with formate as the electron donor, sulfate as the electron acceptor, and acetate as the carbon source (Figure S1). Instead, we found that the periplasmic [NiFeSe] hydrogenase (*hysAB*, Dde_2135:Dde_2134), was important for fitness, as were genes that are involved in its maturation (Figure 3). These results suggested that hydrogen, which is present in our anaerobic chamber and hence in the headspace of the hungate tubes, might be utilized instead of formate. However, when we tested control cultures with acetate but no formate added, no growth was observed. In contrast, the addition of both formate and acetate allowed significant growth: in defined media, the OD₆₀₀ rose from 0.02 at inoculation to above 0.3. We then tested the growth of individual strains that had insertions in [NiFeSe] hydrogenase (*hysAB*); in *fdhAB* (Dde_0717:Dde_0718), which is the most highly expressed of the periplasmic formate dehydrogenases (Meyer et al., 2014); or in formate:hydrogen lyase (*fhl*). When grown individually, mutants of either *hysAB* or *fdhAB* showed little growth on formate but had normal growth on lactate/sulfate medium, while the *fhl* mutants grew about as well as the parent strain in either condition (Figures 4A,B).

The requirement for the [NiFeSe] hydrogenase suggests that formate is converted to hydrogen. Hydrogen release would also explain why the major formate dehydrogenase lacks a phenotype in the pooled assay (mean fitness = $+0.1$), even though the mutant strain cannot grow in isolation.

It also appears that hydrogen is converted to formate. Formate was absent from our defined media (it was not intended to be present, and empirically its concentration was under 0.01 mM) but was present in the media during growth. In most growth conditions, formate was present at about 0.2 mM, but during growth with hydrogen as the electron donor, up to 0.6 mM formate was observed (Data Sheet 5 in Supplementary Material).

If formate and hydrogen are interconverted, then the fitness patterns for the two electron donors should be very similar. Indeed, a number of energy-related genes were important for fitness on formate/sulfate but not on lactate/sulfate—these included the electron transport complexes Qrc and Rnf and genes for molybdopterin synthesis (Figure 4C). All of these genes were important during hydrogen utilization as well (Figure 4D). The role of Qrc and Rnf will be discussed in a later section. Molybdopterin synthesis is expected to be important for formate utilization because molybdopterin is part of the molybdenum or tungsten cofactor of the formate dehydrogenases. (Molybdopterin is also required for the activity of aldehyde:ferredoxin oxidoreductase or thiosulfate reductase, but these activities are probably not relevant under these conditions.) The mild loss of fitness for molybdopterin synthesis genes in the formate/sulfate fitness experiments (mean fitness = -0.47 ,



$P < 0.001$, t test) suggests that cross-feeding of hydrogen does not fully make up for the inability to use formate in the pooled assay. Conversely, the molybdopterin synthesis genes may be slightly important for fitness during growth on hydrogen but not lactate (mean fitness = -0.27 vs. $+0.09$, $P < 0.003$, paired t test). This is consistent with the conversion of hydrogen to formate.

We do not expect that the interconversion of formate and hydrogen would lead to a proton gradient. The major electron partner for both the periplasmic hydrogenases and formate dehydrogenases is probably Tp1-c₃ (Pereira et al., 1998; Venceslau

et al., 2010). (All of the periplasmic formate dehydrogenases in the *D. alaskensis* G20 genome are of the FdhAB type, without an associated cytochrome c₃ subunit.) Another potential periplasmic electron carrier might be cytochrome c₅₅₃ (Dde_1821), but this gene was not important for fitness in any of our energetic conditions (fitness = -0.2 to $+0.2$), its only established role is as an electron donor for cytochrome c oxidase (Lamrabet et al., 2011), and it has a high redox potential ($E^{\circ} = +0.02$ V, Bianco et al., 1982), which would prevent it from participating in sulfate reduction. If both hydrogen and formate are oxidized in the periplasm

to reduce Tp1-c₃, then it is hard to see how interconverting hydrogen and formate could yield energy.

Instead, we propose that utilizing formate requires converting some of it to hydrogen so that the hydrogen can diffuse to the cytoplasm and be reoxidized there (Figure 2B). This would result in reduced ferredoxin that can be utilized by Rnf (discussed below). Reduced ferredoxin might also be necessary for the reduction of APS reductase (via a confurcation with Qmo). In our model, there is no other way for electrons from formate to reach ferredoxin (Figure 1C).

2.2.7. Hydrogen oxidation

During the oxidation of hydrogen, we did not observe a strong phenotype for any of the hydrogenases (Figure 3): the subunits of the [NiFeSe] hydrogenase had an average fitness of -0.39 , and the subunits of the periplasmic [FeFe] hydrogenase had an average fitness of -0.06 . We also grew individual mutants in the NiFeSe hydrogenase with hydrogen as the electron donor, and did not observe a growth defect (the maximum OD₆₀₀ was 0.35–0.36 for the mutants and 0.36–0.38 for the parent strain). In contrast, a previous study found that a mutant in the periplasmic [FeFe] hydrogenase (*hydB*) had much reduced growth on hydrogen/sulfate media (Li et al., 2009). The difference might arise because our media contained added selenium, which would favor the expression of the [NiFeSe] hydrogenase over the [FeFe] hydrogenase (Valente et al., 2006), or because the level of hydrogen was lower in our study and the [FeFe] hydrogenase is a low-affinity hydrogenase (Caffrey et al., 2007). Overall, we propose that the periplasmic hydrogenases are redundant under our growth conditions. Another possibility is that hydrogen is primarily oxidized by the cytoplasmic [FeFe] hydrogenase (which we lack data for), but it appears that periplasmic hydrogen oxidation is important (Li et al., 2009).

2.3. NO EVIDENCE OF ENERGY CONSERVATION BY MOLECULAR CYCLING

As discussed above, we found that the periplasmic [NiFeSe] hydrogenase was important during the oxidation of formate, but we do not expect that this contributes to energy conservation. In fitness experiments with sulfate and no added hydrogen or formate, hydrogenases or formate dehydrogenases were not important for fitness: all fitness values were above -0.6 , and subtle fitness defects were not consistent across operons (Figure 3, Figure S1). And as mentioned above, individual growth assays confirmed that mutants in the major periplasmic formate dehydrogenase or hydrogenase grew as well as the parent strain under lactate/sulfate conditions (Figure 4B). In contrast, in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, formate dehydrogenases are important for growth on lactate/sulfate media (da Silva et al., 2013). It has also been proposed that carbon monoxide cycling plays a role in energy conservation in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough (Voordouw, 2002). *D. alaskensis* G20 encodes a CO dehydrogenase (Dde_3028; Dde_3029) but it is not important for fitness under any of our energetic conditions (all gene fitness values were above -0.5 , Figure S2).

Overall, our data suggests that in *D. alaskensis* G20, molecular cycling of hydrogen, formate, or carbon monoxide is not

important for energy conservation during sulfate reduction. Although we do not have fitness data for two key parts of the hydrogen cycling model, the cytoplasmic [FeFe] hydrogenase or the periplasmic electron carrier Tp1-c₃ (*cycA*), previous studies found that *cycA* mutants of *D. alaskensis* G20 grew about as well as the parent strain in lactate/sulfate media (Rapp-Giles et al., 2000; Keller et al., 2014), albeit with increased secretion of formate (Li et al., 2009), which is an alternate destination for electrons from Tp1-c₃ (Figure 1C).


2.4. ROLES OF ELECTRON TRANSPORT COMPLEXES IN ENERGY CONSERVATION

Among the electron transport systems, we identified phenotypes for mutants of *qrc*, *rnf*, *hdr/flox-1*, *nfnAB-2*, *nox*, *tmc*, and *hmc* (Figure 5). We validated the phenotypes for these (except *qrc* and *nox*) by growing mutant strains individually (Figure 6).

2.4.1. Qrc

The Tp1-c₃:menaquinone oxidoreductase Qrc (Dde_2932; Dde_2935) was important for growth with sulfate as the electron acceptor and with hydrogen, formate, fumarate, malate, or ethanol as the electron donor. Qrc was also moderately important for fitness in a few of the lactate/sulfate experiments (lowest mean fitness of -1.15). Qrc's importance for hydrogen or formate oxidation is consistent with previous reports (Li et al., 2009; Keller et al., 2014). In combination with Qmo, Qrc is believed to pump protons while feeding electrons from periplasmic Tp1-c₃ into sulfate reduction (Venceslau et al., 2010). This explains why Qrc is important for the utilization of hydrogen or formate, which are oxidized in the periplasm (Figure 2B). But we also observed that Qrc was important for the utilization of fumarate, malate, and ethanol, which are oxidized in the cytoplasm.

Qrc is probably important with these electron donors because it is part of the path to menaquinone. In particular, it appears that Tp1-c₃ is required for sulfate reduction with pyruvate as the electron donor because electrons flow via Qrc from Tp1-c₃ to form menaquinol, which is required by Qmo (Figure 2C; Keller et al., 2014). Tp1-c₃ is not required in the presence of lactate, presumably because lactate dehydrogenase reduces menaquinone to menaquinol. The requirement for oxidizing Tp1-c₃ or reducing menaquinone explains the fitness data for Qrc except for in pyruvate/sulfate and lactate/sulfate media. First, we found only a mild defect for *qrc* mutants with pyruvate as the electron donor and sulfate as the electron acceptor (mean fitness of -0.90 to -0.16). In contrast, when a *qrcA* mutant is grown individually in pyruvate/sulfate media, it grows poorly, with a greatly extended lag (Keller and Wall, Personal Communication). To explain this discrepancy, we note that *qrc* mutants grow well, relative to the parent strain, by pyruvate fermentation (Figure 5; Meyer et al., 2014). So, we propose that in the pooled assay, the *qrc* mutants are able to grow by fermenting pyruvate. Although growth by pyruvate fermentation is normally much slower than growth by pyruvate oxidation (Keller et al., 2014), the *qrc* mutants would benefit from the removal of hydrogen (or other end products) by other strains that are reducing sulfate. Second, the modest importance of Qrc in some lactate/sulfate experiments was not expected



(Li et al., 2009), but Qrc could be involved in the reoxidation of evolved hydrogen (i.e., hydrogen cycling).

2.4.2. Rnf


The ion-pumping ferredoxin:NADH oxidoreductase Rnf (Dde_0581:Dde_0587, RnfCDGEABF) was important for growth with sulfate as the electron acceptor and malate, fumarate, ethanol, hydrogen, or formate as the electron donor (Figure 5). Growth assays with individual mutant strains confirmed that Rnf mutants grew little or not at all in most of these conditions (Figure 6). (The exceptions were that a mutant in *rnfF* sometimes reached a high yield after an extended lag, and we did not test growth in malate/sulfate media.) These observations are consistent with a previous report that Rnf is required for the utilization of hydrogen or formate by *D. alaskensis* G20 (Krumholz et al., 2011, DOE Hydrogen and Cells Program Annual Progress Report). In contrast, the decaheme cytochrome (*dhcA*), which is cotranscribed with *rnfCDGEABF* and is the first gene in the operon, was not important for fitness except in one pyruvate fermentation experiment (Figure 5). The function of DhcA is not known, but our data suggests that it is not involved in electron transport by Rnf, at least not in our growth conditions.

As Rnf can create an ion gradient, the most obvious explanation for its phenotypes is that it is involved in energy conservation. Indeed, it is required for growth with all of the electron donors that do not allow for substrate-level phosphorylation and for which the requirement for ion pumping might be greatest (ethanol, hydrogen, and formate). Also, all of the electron

donors that it is important for are expected to lead to reduced ferredoxin (Figure 1C). (Malate and fumarate are oxidized to pyruvate, which is a substrate for pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase. Ethanol is oxidized to acetaldehyde, which is a substrate for acetaldehyde:ferredoxin oxidoreductase. Hydrogen is a substrate for a cytoplasmic [FeFe] ferredoxin hydrogenase. Finally, formate seems to yield cytoplasmic hydrogen as discussed above.) Another circumstantial piece of evidence for Rnf's role in energy conservation is that in *D. alaskensis* G20 and other *Desulfovibrio* species, Rnf and many genes that are involved in sulfate reduction are coregulated by the redox-responsive regulator Rex (Ravcheev et al., 2012).

A second possibility is that Rnf operates in reverse, to produce reduced ferredoxin that is otherwise unavailable. This seems unlikely because of the energetic cost and because of the expected availability of reduced ferredoxin on these electron donors. A related question is which ferredoxin is oxidized (or reduced) by Rnf. We expect that it reduces ferredoxin I (Dde_3775), which is the major cytoplasmic electron carrier (Ogata et al., 1988) and may be essential in *Desulfovibrio* (Fels et al., 2013; Kuehl et al., 2014). Other ferredoxins are not important for fitness in these conditions (all gene fitness values were above -0.5 , Figure S2).

Third, Rnf could be involved in cofactor synthesis. For example, in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, Rnf is required for nitrogen fixation (Keller and Wall, 2011), presumably because it reduces a nitrogenase-specific ferredoxin, as in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*, where Rnf was first described (Schmehl et al., 1993). However, the genome of *D. alaskensis* G20 does not include genes for nitrogen



fixation, and no other role for Rnf in cofactor synthesis has been reported (Biegel et al., 2011).

Finally, some homologs of Rnf are involved in signaling. For example, in *E. coli*, Rnf is known as Rxs: it reduces the FeS cluster of the SoxR transcriptional activator to eliminate its activity in the absence of oxidizing stresses (Koo et al., 2003). *D. alaskensis* G20 contains a potential SoxR-like regulator

(Dde_2633) but our fitness data does not suggest a relationship between SoxR and Rnf (the correlation of fitness patterns is 0.05, $P > 0.5$, $n = 49$) and SoxR did not have strong phenotypes in our energetic conditions (the range of fitness values was -0.5 to $+0.7$). Also, it is not obvious why increasing the response to a redox stress would eliminate growth under a subset of energetic conditions.

It is also interesting that the genomes of many sulfate-reducing bacteria encode Rnf but some *Desulfovibrio* species do not (Pereira et al., 2011). The *Desulfovibrio* genomes that do not encode Rnf do encode a proton-pumping hydrogenase (Ech and/or Coo), which can create an ion gradient while moving electrons from ferredoxin to hydrogen. The *D. alaskensis* G20 genome does not encode Ech or Coo. Thus, different members of the *Desulfovibrio* genus may use different systems to create an ion gradient while transferring electrons from reduced ferredoxin.

2.4.3. Hdr/flox-1

A recent review proposed that a cluster of heterodisulfide-reductase-like (hdr) genes with flavin oxidoreductase (flox) genes should be named Hdr/flox (Pereira et al., 2011). Although this complex has not been studied experimentally, it was proposed to perform an electron bifurcation from NADH to a ferredoxin and a heterodisulfide electron carrier such as DsrC. The genome of *D. alaskensis* G20 encodes two paralogous Hdr/flox operons, which we will term Hdr/flox-1 (Dde_1207:Dde_1213) and Hdr/flox-2 (Dde_3524:Dde_3530). Despite the potential redundancy of these operons, Hdr/flox-1 was important for growth on formate (mean fitness -1.2 , $P < 10^{-5}$, t test). Hdr/flox-1 also had mild fitness defects on hydrogen (mean fitness -0.54 , $P < 10^{-5}$, t test) and ethanol (mean fitness -0.44 , $P < 0.0001$, t test). Growth curves for individual mutants in *hdr/flox-1* confirmed that they had a severe growth defect in defined formate/acetate/sulfate media and a modest growth defect in defined acetate/sulfate media with added hydrogen, but they had little or no reduction in growth in defined lactate/sulfate media or in a rich formate medium (Figure 6).

As Rnf is required for growth on formate, hydrogen, and ethanol, we propose that Hdr/flox-1 converts NADH from Rnf back to ferredoxin to allow additional ion pumping, while feeding electrons into the sulfite reduction pathway. If Rnf runs twice for each iteration of Hdr/flox, and Rnf pumps one ion per pair of electrons transferred, then the overall reaction would be $\text{Fd}^{2-} + \text{DsrC}_{ox} + 2 \text{ ion}_{cytoplasm} \rightarrow \text{Fd}^0 + \text{DsrC}_{red} + 2 \text{ ion}_{periplasm}$.

2.4.4. NfnAB-2

The genome of *D. alaskensis* G20 encodes two paralogous operons for the electron-bifurcating transhydrogenase NfnAB, which we will term NfnAB-1 (Dde_3635:Dde_3636) and NfnAB-2 (Dde_1250:Dde_1251). NfnAB-2 had a mild fitness defect on malate/sulfate and fumarate/sulfate experiments (average fitness of -0.76 and -0.55). Growth curves with individual mutants confirmed that *nfn-2* mutants grew more slowly than the parent strain in malate/sulfate and fumarate/sulfate media, whether or not yeast extract was added (Figure 6). As the oxidation of malate (or fumarate) yields NADPH, we propose that Nfn is oxidizing NADPH and reducing ferredoxin and NAD^+ (Figure 2A). This is an energy conserving mechanism because the reduced ferredoxin could yield an ion gradient via Rnf.

In other conditions, Nfn might run in the opposite direction, to use the energy in low-potential ferredoxin to drive electrons to NADPH and maintain a high NADPH/NADP⁺ ratio. In fact, we do not know of another mechanism by which *Desulfovibrio* species could maintain a high NADPH/NADP⁺ ratio. However,

the phenotypes for NfnAB-2 were observed in conditions where the oxidation of malate should generate reduced NADPH, and in the presence of yeast extract, which would minimize the need for NADPH for biosynthetic reactions.

2.4.5. Nox

NADH oxidase (Nox, Dde_0374) can reduce oxygen to hydrogen peroxide (Chen et al., 1994), and there are varying reports as to whether it interacts with and transfers electrons to APS reductase (Chen et al., 1994; Chhabra et al., 2011). Thus, it is not clear whether Nox is involved in the transfer of electrons from NADH into the sulfate reduction pathway. We found that Nox was important for fitness in many of our energetic conditions, regardless of whether sulfate was present (Figure 5). Thus, although Nox seems to have an important role, it does not seem to be specific to sulfate reduction.

The phenotypes of Nox were often not consistent across similar experiments, which could indicate that the data for this gene is not reliable. However, Nox was strongly co-fit with two uncharacterized cotranscribed genes, Dde_3773:Dde_3772, across our data set ($r = 0.80$ and 0.79 , respectively; these were the two most co-fit genes). Such a correlation is very unlikely to occur by chance (uncorrected $P < 10^{-11}$, or $P = 10^{-8}$ after correcting for multiple testing across all of the genes in our data set). Finding two genes in the same operon as the most co-fit genes also suggests that the fitness pattern is genuine. We also observed that Nox is very important for surviving oxygen stress (mean fitness = -3.8), which is consistent with its biochemical function *in vitro*. We speculate that Nox is important for resisting redox stresses that are present at variable levels in our experiments.

2.4.6. Hmc and Tmc

Hmc and Tmc are multi-subunit transmembrane electron transfer complexes that are believed to exchange electrons with Tp1-c₃ and transfer them across the membrane (Pereira et al., 1998, 2006; Quintas et al., 2013). In both cases, the redox partner in the cytoplasm is not known, but DsrC or ferredoxin have been suggested (Walker et al., 2009; Venceslau et al., 2014). Some of the subunits of the two complexes are homologous to each other, and Tmc might be a simplified form of Hmc (Pereira et al., 2011). In particular, the Hmc complex, but not the Tmc complex, contains an NrfD-like subunit (HmcC). Other members of the NrfD family are proposed to be menaquinone-interacting proton pumps (Jormakka et al., 2008).

We found that Tmc was important for hydrogen oxidation (mean fitness = -1.6) but Hmc was not (mean fitness = $+0.1$). We observed other mild phenotypes for both complexes, which were consistent across mutant strains in each complex but were not consistent across similar conditions, so they are difficult to interpret (see Appendix 3). By growing individual strains, we confirmed that *hmc* mutants have a growth advantage on hydrogen/sulfate, with the lag reduced by almost one day relative to the parent strain, while *tmc* mutant strains had slower growth (Figure 6).

Our genetic data for *D. alaskensis* G20 is consistent with the observation that Tmc is reduced by Tp1-c₃ and hydrogenases *in vitro* (Pereira et al., 2006). During hydrogen oxidation, Qrc is

also important for fitness, and Qrc sends electrons from Tp1-c₃ to menaquinone, from which they probably go to Qmo and ultimately reduce APS. Another path from Tp1-c₃ to APS would seem redundant, so we propose that Tmc is necessary because it sends electrons from Tp1-c₃ toward the sulfite reduction pathway (i.e., DsrC). We also note that DsrMKJOP is believed not to accept electrons from Tp1-c₃ (Pires et al., 2006), which explains why another path from Tp1-c₃ to DsrC is needed.

We measured the release of hydrogen during growth of the parent strain and mutants in Hmc and Tmc in defined lactate/sulfate media. We observed an increased maximum level of hydrogen in *tmc* mutants (1,120–2,192 ppm vs. 641–819 ppm for G20) and the burst persisted for much longer: for example, at 140 h, *tmc* mutants had 606–879 ppm of H₂ remaining while the other strains had a maximum of 194 ppm remaining (Figure S3A). This shows that Tmc is involved in the utilization of hydrogen during growth on lactate as well.

Finally, we observed that Tmc is important for resisting tetrakis-hydroxymethyl phosphonium sulfate (THPS) stress during growth in lactate/sulfate media, with a mean fitness of -1.8 . (These experiments were conducted in “MO” media with added vitamins and the Tmc mutants were not sick in THPS-free lactate/sulfate fitness experiments that were performed on the same days.) THPS is a biocide that is effective against sulfate-reducing bacteria and gene expression data suggests that it targets their energy metabolism (Lee et al., 2010). The phenotype for the Tmc complex confirms that THPS affects the energy metabolism of *D. alaskensis* G20.

As far as we know, mutants in Tmc have not been studied before, but in both *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *D. alaskensis* G20, Hmc is important for syntrophy with a methanogen, during which the *Desulfovibrio* ferments lactate or pyruvate to acetate and either CO₂ and H₂ or formate, while the methanogen consumes the H₂ or formate so that the fermentation becomes energetically favorable (Walker et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2013). This suggests that Hmc sends electrons from a lower-potential donor in the cytoplasm such as ferredoxin (which is produced by oxidizing pyruvate) to the periplasmic Tp1-c₃. If Hmc sends electrons from ferredoxin to Tp1-c₃, then there would be sufficient energy to drive the export of 1 or 2 protons per electron pair. In *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, Hmc is also important for growth on plates with lactate as the electron donor and no reductant in the media (Dolla et al., 2000), which was explained by proposing that Hmc is required to reduce the redox potential of the media (Dolla et al., 2000), which again suggests that Hmc is sending electrons from the cytoplasm to the periplasm. Consistent with this model, we found that in *D. alaskensis* G20, Hmc was important for fitness during growth on lactate/sulfate agar plates (mean fitness = -2.2) and for surviving oxygen stress (mean fitness = -2.9). We also note that an *hmc* mutant strain of *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough showed a roughly 30% reduction in the rate of hydrogen utilization (Dolla et al., 2000). This contrasts to our finding for *D. alaskensis* G20 but could relate to hydrogen utilization in the cytoplasm by *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, which would be coupled to ferredoxin reduction.

Another recent study reported that the “Hmc” complex of *D. piger* GOR1 was important for hydrogen utilization (Rey et al.,

2013), but this complex was misannotated. *D. piger* GOR1 does not contain *hmcE* or *hmcF*, and the homolog of *hmcA* is shortened; overall, the “Hmc” operon is very similar to the Nhc operon of *D. desulfuricans* ATCC 27774, whose first gene encodes a nine-heme cytochrome rather than the 16-heme cytochrome *hmcA* (Matias et al., 1999; Saraiva et al., 2001). Hence, in both *D. piger* GOR1 and *D. desulfuricans* G20, simplified forms of Hmc (Nhc and Tmc, respectively) are involved in hydrogen utilization.

In summary, we showed that Tmc is important for utilizing hydrogen as an electron donor and for consuming hydrogen that was previously released during growth on lactate. Thus, it appears that Tmc transfers electrons from Tp1-c₃ to the sulfite reduction pathway, perhaps to DsrC (Figure 1C). In contrast, Hmc’s activity is detrimental during growth with hydrogen as the electron donor, and we propose that it transfers electrons from ferredoxin to Tp1-c₃ and creates an ion gradient.

2.5. NO GENETIC EVIDENCE FOR OTHER ROUTES OF ELECTRON TRANSFER

Besides the genes discussed so far, *D. alaskensis* contains numerous genes that have been proposed to play a role in electron transport and/or sulfate reduction (Pereira et al., 2011). Mutants in these genes were not important for fitness in any of our energetic conditions (all fitness values above -0.5 ; see Figure S2). The genes without phenotypes included a variety of putative electron carriers, such as the alternate cytoplasmic ferredoxin (ferredoxin II, Dde_0286) and other putative ferredoxins; periplasmic split-Soret cytochrome c (Dde_3211 or Dde_0653); and a periplasmic c₅₅₄-like cytochrome (Dde_2858). We cannot be sure that these proteins are not carrying a significant flow of electrons, as a strain that lacks one route of electron transfer might be able to compensate by using another redundant pathway. But the simplest interpretation of our results is that these redox proteins are not important under any of our growth conditions.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Despite the large number of electron carriers and electron transfer complexes in the genome of *D. alaskensis* G20, we identified phenotypes for many electron transfer genes under a subset of energetic conditions. These confirmed the expected path of electrons from choline, lactate, fumarate, malate, ethanol, or formate into central energy metabolism. We also showed that Tmc is involved in the oxidation of hydrogen by *D. alaskensis* G20, probably by moving electrons from periplasmic Type 1 cytochrome c₃ to the cytoplasmic sulfite reduction pathway (perhaps via DsrC). In contrast, the Hmc complex was detrimental to growth with hydrogen as the electron donor and was important for survival in the presence of oxygen.

We found little evidence for energy conservation via the cycling of hydrogen, formate, or carbon monoxide. Instead, the phenotypes for mutants in Rnf, Hdr/flox-1, and NfnAB-2 suggest that these complexes are involved in energy conservation by pumping ions or by electron bifurcations that allow the reduction of an electron carrier with a low redox potential, such as ferredoxin (Figure 1C). However, Hdr/flox has never been studied biochemically in any organism, and it will be important to identify its redox partners and to determine whether it actually

performs an electron bifurcation. Surprisingly, we found that the periplasmic [NiFeSe] hydrogenase (HysAB) is important for formate utilization. In our model, HysAB allows the conversion of formate to hydrogen in the periplasm, and the resulting hydrogen diffuses to the cytoplasm, where the cytoplasmic hydrogenase reduces ferredoxin, which enables ion pumping by Rnf and an electron confurcation by Qmo (Figure 2B).

Finally, a long-standing mystery in the energetics of *Desulfovibrio* has been the role of NAD(P)H. Reduced NADH is probably formed by ethanol dehydrogenase, and reduced NADPH is probably formed by malate dehydrogenase, but the path for electrons from NAD(P)H to sulfate reduction has not been clear. Our data suggest that electron bifurcations by Hdr/flox and Nfn can allow electrons from NAD(P)H to feed into sulfate reduction (Figure 1C).

Our genetic approach is ill suited to studying genes that are essential for sulfate reduction, such as *dsrMKJOP*, whose exact role remains unclear (Figure 1C). Another limitation of our approach is genetic redundancy. Although Hdr/flox and Nfn have paralogs in *D. alaskensis* G20, they are present as a single copy in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *D. vulgaris* Miyazaki F, and we hope to get a clearer picture of their roles by studying mutants of those organisms. Another potential way to overcome genetic redundancy would be to study double mutants. Nevertheless, we identified phenotypes for many of the energy-related genes in the genome of *D. alaskensis* G20, which allowed us to develop a detailed model of electron flow and energy conservation in a sulfate-reducing bacterium (Figures 1C, 2, and Presentation 1 in Supplementary Material).

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. STRAINS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS

D. alaskensis G20 was provided by Terry Hazen (University of Tennessee, Knoxville). Mutant strains that were used for growth curve experiments or metabolite measurements were verified by streaking out single colonies and using colony PCR to verify that the transposon insertion was at the expected location. The mutant strains and primers are listed in Data Sheet 4 in Supplementary Material.

Fitness experiments and growth curve experiments were conducted anaerobically at 30°C. Cells were grown in 18 × 150 mm hungate tubes with a butyl rubber stopper and an aluminum crimp seal (Chemglass Life Sciences, Vineland, NJ) with a culture volume of 10 ml and a headspace of about 15 ml. Media was prepared within a Coy anaerobic chamber with an atmosphere of about 2% H₂, 5% CO₂, and 93% N₂. Although some H₂ is present in all experiments, control experiments showed that it does not suffice to support growth. Also, because *D. alaskensis* G20 is expected to release hydrogen under our energetic conditions (except for hydrogen utilization), we expect that this small amount of hydrogen will not affect the fitness experiments. For growth on lactate/sulfate minimal media, we confirmed this by comparing our fitness data to fitness data that was collected with the same mutant pools and the same media but with the hydrogen removed by sparging with nitrogen gas (Hans Carlson, personal communication). After averaging across replicate experiments, the correlation of gene fitness values was 0.86. Just six genes had

fitness differences of 1 or higher, and none of these seem related to energy production (*ilvB*, *tadE* (Dde_3267), *spoT*, *gpsA*, and murein transglycosylase Dde_3580).

Two base media formulations were used—“Hazen” and “MO” media. Hazen media was used for 16 fitness experiments; MO media was used for 33 fitness experiments and for all growth curves. Hazen base media contained 30 mM PIPES buffer at pH 7.2, 20 mM NH₄Cl, other salts (see below), 0.625 mM nitriooacetic acid as a chelator, and 0.016 μM resazurin as a redox indicator. MO base media contained 30 mM Tris-HCl buffer at pH 7.2, 5 mM NH₄Cl, other salts, and 0.12 mM EDTA as a chelator, but no redox indicator. For both base media, a reductant was usually included: for Hazen media, the reductant was usually 0.38 mM titanium citrate (15/16 experiments), while for MO media, the reductant was usually 1 mM Na₂S (31/33 experiments).

For the two base media, the composition of the salts was similar, but trace metals were at roughly two-fold higher concentration in Hazen media than in MO media. For Hazen media, salts were added to a final concentration of 8 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM CaCl₂, 2.2 mM K₂HPO₄, and 62.5 μM FeCl₂, as well as trace metals: 31.25 μM MnCl₂, 16.25 μM CoCl₂, 18.75 μM ZnCl₂, 2.625 μM Na₂MoO₄, 4 μM H₃BO₃, 4.75 μM NiSO₄, 0.125 μM CuCl₂, 0.375 μM Na₂SeO₃, and 0.25 μM Na₂WO₄. For MO media, salts were added to a final concentration of 8 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM K₂HPO₄, and 60 μM FeCl₂, as well as trace metals: 15 μM MnCl₂, 7.8 μM CoCl₂, 9 μM ZnCl₂, 1.26 μM Na₂MoO₄, 1.92 μM H₃BO₃, 2.28 μM NiSO₄, 0.06 μM CuCl₂, 0.21 μM Na₂SeO₃, and 0.144 μM Na₂WO₄.

To these base media, we added various electron donors and acceptors, 0.1% yeast extract (24/49 fitness experiments), and/or 1 ml/L of Thauer's vitamin solution (22/49 fitness experiments; Brandis and Thauer, 1981). However, vitamins were not added for growth curve experiments.

If sulfate was the electron acceptor, it was added to a final concentration of either 15 mM (Hazen media), 30 mM (MO media), or 50 mM (when fumarate or malate were the electron donors). Other electron acceptors (sulfite or thiosulfate) were added at 10 mM. If lactate was the electron donor, it was usually at 60 mM (9/12 Hazen fitness experiments and 12/15 MO fitness experiments) but several fitness experiments used 10 mM or 15 mM. Choline was at 30 mM. The concentration of pyruvate was 20 mM (Hazen) or 60 mM (MO) if sulfate was the electron acceptor, 30 mM if sulfite was the electron acceptor, or 60 mM for pyruvate fermentation experiments. Ethanol was at 10 mM (Hazen) or 60 mM (MO). Fumarate or malate were at 10 mM. Formate was at 50 mM. Hydrogen gas was added by blowing a mix of hydrogen (80%) and CO₂ (20%) through the culture for 2 min, either once or periodically (five times total). For formate and hydrogen experiments, acetate was also added as a carbon source, to a final concentration of 10 mM.

Before each experiment, we recovered the pooled mutant strains (or, for growth experiments, the individual strain) from the freezer by growing them in rich lactate/sulfate medium until mid log phase. We washed these cells twice in phosphate-buffered saline (centrifuging at 4000 g for 5 min), resuspended the cells in phosphate-buffered saline, and inoculated them into the experimental medium at OD₆₀₀ = 0.02. Optical density

was measured with a Thermo Scientific Spectronic 20D+ spectrophotometer.

4.2. FITNESS EXPERIMENTS

Of the 49 energy-related fitness experiments analyzed in this paper, 43 are newly described here. Four experiments in lactate/sulfate media are described by Price et al. (2013). Two experiments with lactate/sulfate or choline/sulfate media are described by Kuehl et al. (2014). For complete metadata of all 49 energy-related fitness experiments, see Data Sheet 1 in Supplementary Material. We also conducted 33 other fitness experiments: growth on agar plates with rich or defined lactate/sulfate medium; survival of oxygen stress in rich lactate/sulfate medium followed by outgrowth in a rich lactate/sulfate medium; and growth in lactate/sulfate media in the presence of various compounds or with heat stress at 42°C. These are also included in Data Sheet 1 in Supplementary Material.

Fitness experiments were conducted and analyzed as described previously (Price et al., 2013). Briefly, for each of the two pools of mutants, we collected samples at the start of the experiment (before inoculation) and at the end of growth. For each of these four samples, we extracted genomic DNA and we used PCR to amplify the DNA barcodes from the “tag modules” that lie within the transposon and uniquely identify each strain within each pool (Oh et al., 2010). Each tag module contains an “uptag” and “downtag” barcode. For most of the fitness experiments, we amplified both the uptags and the downtags from each sample, mixed them together, hybridized them to an Affymetrix 16K TAG4 microarray, and scanned the microarray (Pierce et al., 2007). In these cases there were two microarrays per fitness experiment (as well as two microarrays for the “start” samples). For four of the fitness experiments, we amplified the uptags from one pool, the downtags from the other pool, and mixed these together, so that there was just one microarray for the fitness experiment.

To estimate the abundance of a strain, we averaged the \log_2 intensity across replicate spots and across probes for the uptag and downtag (if we amplified both tag modules from that sample). The fitness value for a strain is then the change in \log_2 intensity, i.e., $\log_2(\text{end}/\text{start})$. The fitness value for a gene is the average of fitness values for the relevant strain(s). We used smooth local regression (loess) to remove any effect of distance from the origin of replication on the fitness values. Such effects might be an artifact of variation in copy number across the chromosome in growing cells. Finally, because the scale of values from a microarray is arbitrary, these fitness values need to be recentered. We want strains that grow about as well as the parent strain to have a fitness of zero, but the parent strain does not have barcodes and hence is not included in the pools. We assume that most transposon insertions do not have a strong effect on fitness, or in other words that the typical strain should have a fitness of zero. So, within each experiment, we normalize the per-gene fitness values by subtracting the mode of the distribution (the fitness value with the highest smoothed density).

To ensure the reliability of the fitness data, we verified that every experiment showed internal consistency and biological consistency. Internal consistency was measured by taking advantage of the fact that 1091 strains are present in both of our pools, and

so we measure their fitness twice for each experiment. (Because we report a single per-gene fitness value from the combined data from the two pools, we refer to the combination as a single experiment.) In the typical energy-related experiment, the correlation, across all strains, of the two strain fitness values was 0.78 (this is the median value), and it was above 0.5 in all but two of the experiments. The median absolute difference of the two strain fitness values (m.a.d.) ranged from 0.06–0.31. The two experiments with low correlations (0.31 and 0.45) were rich pyruvate/sulfate or D-lactate/sulfate media. These experiments did not have high m.a.d. (about 0.2 for both); instead, the low correlation occurred because very few strains had fitness that was different from zero (not shown). The low m.a.d. also suggests that fitness values above 1 or below -1 should be highly reproducible. Indeed, of the 1153 cases where a strain is present in both pools and its fitness was above 1 or below -1 in either pool, the fitness values from the two pools had the same signs in 1022 cases (98%).

Biological consistency was tested based on the assumption that genes in the same operon will often have similar functions and similar phenotypes. In the typical experiment, the correlation of fitness values for adjacent genes in the same operon was 0.55, and the lowest value was 0.27 (for the same rich pyruvate/sulfate media experiment that had low strain correlation). Experiments that lacked either internal or biological consistency were considered to have failed and the data was discarded.

The per-strain and per-gene fitness values are included in Data Sheet 2 and Data Sheet 3 in Supplementary Material, respectively. All of the fitness data is also available on MicrobesOnline (<http://microbesonline.org>).

4.3. CONCENTRATIONS OF METABOLITES

To measure the concentrations of various compounds during growth, we grew the parent G20 strain in a defined MO media with no vitamins added and with malate (10 mM), fumarate (10 mM), acetate (10 mM), or lactate (60 mM) as the carbon source. Sulfate was the electron acceptor, at 50 mM for malate or fumarate experiments or 30 mM otherwise. If acetate was the carbon source, hydrogen gas was added as an electron donor at the beginning of the experiment as a 20% mix with CO_2 . Culture samples (0.5 ml) were taken roughly once per day until the culture stopped growing. For each metabolite sample, the sample was spun down at $14,000 \times g$ for 10 min at room temperature and the supernatant was analyzed by ion chromatography and HPLC.

For ion chromatography (ICS-5000+, Thermo-Fisher Scientific), a 25 μL aliquot of the supernatant was injected onto an IonPac AS11-HC Analytical Column (4×250 mm, Thermo-Fisher Scientific) equipped with a guard column (4×50 mm) of the same material. Pyruvate, formate, fumarate, lactate, sulfate, thiosulfate, phosphate, and chloride were eluted at 30°C by a gradient program of 0.2 mM sodium hydroxide for 6 min, then in 5 min to 5 mM, then in 16 min to 40 mM, at a flow rate of 2 mL/min, and detected by suppressed conductivity.

Another aliquot (20 μL) of the supernatant was injected onto an Aminex HPX-87H column (7.8×300 mm, Bio-Rad) and

malate and succinate were eluted at 50°C using 5 mM sulfuric acid at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and detected by refractive index. The identity of succinate was additionally confirmed by accurate mass spectrometry (6520 QTOF, Agilent Technologies).

The concentrations of metabolites, and the optical densities for the corresponding time points, are provided in Data Sheet 5 in Supplementary Material.

4.4. HYDROGEN MEASUREMENTS

To measure the concentration of hydrogen during growth in lactate/sulfate media, we performed a similar growth experiment but with *hmc* and *tmc* mutants as well as with the parent G20 strain, and we purged the headspace with an 80:20 N₂:CO₂ mix at the beginning of the experiment. These experiments had a much longer lag phase than the other metabolite experiments (roughly 75 h instead of 20 h for the G20 strain)—apparently the absence of hydrogen in the headspace at the start of the experiments delayed growth (Figures S3B,C). Roughly once per day, 0.5 ml of the headspace was removed and analyzed.

The concentration of H₂ gas was quantified using gas chromatography with a Bruker 450-refinery gas analyzer (Bruker Daltonics) equipped with a HayeSep N and a molecular sieve packed column coupled in series and kept at 50°C. and injected into a flow of 30 mL/min nitrogen, and hydrogen was detected by thermal-conductivity detection. A one-point calibration was performed by injecting 0.5 mL of a custom blend gas mix (prepared in nitrogen, Scott-Marrin Inc.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Adam M. Deutschbauer, Adam P. Arkin, and Morgan N. Price conceived the project. Adam P. Arkin and Adam M. Deutschbauer managed the project. Adam M. Deutschbauer, Morgan N. Price, Jayashree Ray, and Jennifer V. Kuehl designed experiments. Jayashree Ray, Kelly M. Wetmore, Jennifer V. Kuehl, and Adam M. Deutschbauer conducted fitness experiments. Jayashree Ray conducted growth experiments. Stefan Bauer and Jayashree Ray measured metabolites. Morgan N. Price analyzed the fitness data and wrote the paper.

FUNDING

This work conducted by ENIGMA was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Michelle Nguyen, Raquel Tamse, and Ronald W. Davis for microarray hybridization; Yumi Suh and Jil Geller for technical assistance with anaerobic chambers; Romy Chakraborty and John Coates for sharing equipment; and Judy Wall for providing media protocols.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <http://www.frontiersin.org/journal/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00577/abstract>

REFERENCES

- Badziong, W., and Thauer, R. K. (1978). Growth yields and growth rates of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Marburg) growing on hydrogen plus sulfate and hydrogen plus thiosulfate as the sole energy sources. *Arch. Microbiol.* 117, 209–214. doi: 10.1007/BF00402310
- Bianco, P., Haladjian, J., Pilard, R., and Bruscha, M. (1982). Electrochemistry of c-type cytochromes: electrode reactions of cytochrome c₅₅₃ from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Inter. Electrochem.* 136, 291–299. doi: 10.1016/0022-0728(82)85050-X
- Biegel, E., Schmidt, S., González, J. M., and Müller, V. (2011). Biochemistry, evolution and physiological function of the Rnf complex, a novel ion-motive electron transport complex in prokaryotes. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 68, 613–634. doi: 10.1007/s00018-010-0555-8
- Brandis, A., and Thauer, R. K. (1981). Growth of *Desulfovibrio* species on hydrogen and sulphate as sole energy source. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 126, 249–252.
- Caffrey, S. M., Park, H.-S., Voordouw, J. K., He, Z., Zhou, J., and Voordouw, G. (2007). Function of periplasmic hydrogenases in the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 6159–6167. doi: 10.1128/JB.00747-07
- Chen, L., Legall, J., and Xavier, A. V. (1994). Purification, characterization and properties of an NADH oxidase from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough) and its coupling to adenyllyl phosphosulfate reductase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 203, 839–844. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1994.2259
- Chhabra, S. R., Joachimiak, M. P., Petzold, C. J., Zane, G. M., Price, M. N., Revoco, S. A., et al. (2011). Towards a rigorous network of protein-protein interactions of the model sulfate reducer *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *PLoS ONE* 6:e21470. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021470
- Craciun, S., and Balskus, E. P. (2012). Microbial conversion of choline to trimethylamine requires a glycol radical enzyme. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 21307–21312. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215689109
- Cypionka, H. (1995). “Solute transport and cell energetics,” in *Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria*, ed L. Barton (New York, NY: Springer), 151–184.
- da Silva, S. M., Voordouw, J., Leitao, C., Martins, M., Voordouw, G., and Pereira, I. A. (2013). Function of formate dehydrogenases in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough energy metabolism. *Microbiology* 159, 1760–1769. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.067868-0
- Dolla, A., Pohorelic, B. K., Voordouw, J. K., and Voordouw, G. (2000). Deletion of the *hmc* operon of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* subsp. *vulgaris* Hildenborough hampers hydrogen metabolism and low-redox-potential niche establishment. *Arch. Microbiol.* 174, 143–151. doi: 10.1007/s002030000183
- Fels, S. R., Zane, G. M., Blake, S. M., and Wall, J. D. (2013). Rapid transposon liquid enrichment sequencing (TnLE-seq) for gene fitness evaluation in underdeveloped bacterial systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 7510–7517. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02051-13
- Fitz, R. M., and Cypionka, H. (1989). A study on electron transport-driven proton translocation in *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. *Arch. Microbiol.* 152, 369–376. doi: 10.1007/BF00425175
- Grein, F., Pereira, I. A., and Dahl, C. (2010). Biochemical characterization of individual components of the Allochromatium vinosum DsrMKJOP transmembrane complex aids understanding of complex function *in vivo*. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 6369–6377. doi: 10.1128/JB.00849-10
- Hauser, L. J., Land, M. L., Brown, S. D., Larimer, F., Keller, K. L., Rapp-Giles, B. J., et al. (2011). The complete genome sequence and updated annotation of *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 4268–4269. doi: 10.1128/JB.05400-11
- Heidelberg, J. F., Seshadri, R., Haveman, S. A., Hemme, C. L., Paulsen, I. T., Kolonay, J. F., et al. (2004). The genome sequence of the anaerobic, sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 22, 554–559. doi: 10.1038/nbt959
- Jormakka, M., Yokoyama, K., Yano, T., Tamakoshi, M., Akimoto, S., Shimamura, T., et al. (2008). Molecular mechanism of energy conservation in polysulfide respiration. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15, 730–737. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.1434
- Keller, K. L., Rapp-Giles, B. J., Semkiw, E. S., Porat, I., Brown, S. D., and Wall, J. D. (2014). New model for electron flow for sulfate reduction in *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 855–868. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02963-13
- Keller, K. L., and Wall, J. D. (2011). Genetics and molecular biology of the electron flow for sulfate respiration in *Desulfovibrio*. *Front. Microbiol.* 2:135. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00135

- Koo, M.-S., Lee, J.-H., Rah, S.-Y., Yeo, W.-S., Lee, J.-W., Lee, K.-L., et al. (2003). A reducing system of the superoxide sensor SoxR in *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J.* 22, 2614–2622. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg252
- Krumholz, L. R., Li, X., Bradstock, P., McInerney, M., Zhou, J., and Wall, J. (2011). *Genes needed for H₂ production by sulfate reducing bacteria*. Available online at: http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review11/bes019_krumholz_2011.pdf
- Krumholz, L. R., Wang, L., Beck, D. A., Wang, T., Hackett, M., Mooney, B., et al. (2013). Membrane protein complex of APS reductase and Qmo is present in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* and *Desulfovibrio alaskensis*. *Microbiology* 159, 2162–2168. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.063818-0
- Kuehl, J. V., Price, M. N., Ray, J., Wetmore, K. W., Esquivel, Z., Kazakov, A., et al. (2014). Functional genomics with a comprehensive library of transposon mutants for the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20. *mBio* 5, e01041–14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01041-14
- Lamrabet, O., Pieulle, L., Aubert, C., Mouhamar, F., Stocker, P., Dolla, A., et al. (2011). Oxygen reduction in the strict anaerobe *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough: characterization of two membrane-bound oxygen reductases. *Microbiology* 157, 2720–2732. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.049171-0
- Lancaster, C. R. D. (2013). The di-heme family of respiratory complex II enzymes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics* 1827, 679–687. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabi.2013.02.012
- Lee, M.-H. P., Caffrey, S. M., Voordouw, J. K., and Voordouw, G. (2010). Effects of biocides on gene expression in the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 87, 1109–1118. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2596-1
- Li, X., Luo, Q., Wofford, N. Q., Keller, K. L., McInerney, M. J., Wall, J. D., et al. (2009). A molybdopterin oxidoreductase is involved in H₂ oxidation in *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* G20. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 2675–2682. doi: 10.1128/JB.01814-08
- Li, X., McInerney, M. J., Stahl, D. A., and Krumholz, L. R. (2011). Metabolism of H₂ by *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20 during syntrophic growth on lactate. *Microbiology* 157, 2912–2921. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.051284-0
- Matias, P. M., Coelho, R., Pereira, I. A., Coelho, A. V., Thompson, A. W., Sieker, L. C., et al. (1999). The primary and three-dimensional structures of a nine-haem cytochrome c from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* ATCC 27774 reveal a new member of the Hmc family. *Structure* 7, 119–130. doi: 10.1016/S0969-2126(99)80019-7
- Meyer, B., Kuehl, J., Deutschbauer, A. M., Price, M. N., Arkin, A. P., and Stahl, D. A. (2013). Variation among *Desulfovibrio* species in electron transfer systems used for syntrophic growth. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 990–1004. doi: 10.1128/JB.01959-12
- Meyer, B., Kuehl, J. V., Price, M. N., Ray, J., Deutschbauer, A. M., Arkin, A. P., et al. (2014). The energy-conserving electron transfer system used by *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* strain G20 during pyruvate fermentation involves reduction of endogenously formed fumarate and cytoplasmic and membrane-bound complexes, Hdr-Flox and Rnf. *Environ. Microbiol.* doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12405. [Epub ahead of print].
- Morais-Silva, F. O., Santos, C. I., Rodrigues, R., Pereira, I. A., and Rodrigues-Pousada, C. (2013). Roles of HynAB and Ech, the only two hydrogenases found in the model sulfate reducer *Desulfovibrio gigas*. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 4753–4760. doi: 10.1128/JB.00411-13
- Odom, J., and Peck, H. (1981). Hydrogen cycling as a general mechanism for energy coupling in the sulfate-reducing bacteria, *Desulfovibrio* sp. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 12, 47–50. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1981.tb07609.x
- Ogata, M., Kondo, S., Okawara, N., and Yagi, T. (1988). Purification and characterization of ferredoxin from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Miyazaki. *J. Biochem.* 103, 121–125.
- Oh, J., Fung, E., Price, M., Dehal, P., Davis, R., Giaever, G., et al. (2010). A universal TagModule collection for parallel genetic analysis of microorganisms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, e146–e146. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq419
- Oliveira, T. F., Vonrhein, C., Matias, P. M., Venceslau, S. S., Pereira, I. A., and Archer, M. (2008). The crystal structure of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* dissimilatory sulfite reductase bound to DsrC provides novel insights into the mechanism of sulfate respiration. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 34141–34149. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M805643200
- Parey, K., Warkentin, E., Kroneck, P. M., and Ermler, U. (2010). Reaction cycle of the dissimilatory sulfite reductase from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*. *Biochemistry* 49, 8912–8921. doi: 10.1021/bi100781f
- Peck, H. (1960). Evidence for oxidative phosphorylation during the reduction of sulfate with hydrogen by *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 235, 2734–2738.
- Pereira, I. A., Romão, C. V., Xavier, A. V., LeGall, J., and Teixeira, M. (1998). Electron transfer between hydrogenases and mono- and multiheme cytochromes in *Desulfovibrio* ssp. *JBIC J. Biol. Inor. Chem.* 3, 494–498. doi: 10.1007/s007750050259
- Pereira, I. A. C., Ramos, A. R., Grein, F., Marques, M. C., Da Silva, S. M., and Venceslau, S. S. (2011). A comparative genomic analysis of energy metabolism in sulfate reducing bacteria and archaea. *Front. Microbiol.* 2:69. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00069
- Pereira, P. M., Teixeira, M., Xavier, A. V., Louro, R. O., and Pereira, I. A. (2006). The Tmc complex from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough is involved in trans-membrane electron transfer from periplasmic hydrogen oxidation. *Biochemistry* 45, 10359–10367. doi: 10.1021/bi0610294
- Pierce, S., Davis, R., Nislow, C., and Giaever, G. (2007). Genome-wide analysis of barcoded *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gene-deletion mutants in pooled cultures. *Nat. protoc.* 2, 2958–2974. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.427
- Pires, R. H., Venceslau, S. S., Morais, F., Teixeira, M., Xavier, A. V., and Pereira, I. A. (2006). Characterization of the *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* ATCC 27774 DsrMKJOP complex a membrane-bound redox complex involved in the sulfate respiratory pathway. *Biochemistry* 45, 249–262. doi: 10.1021/bi0515265
- Price, M. N., Deutschbauer, A. M., Skerker, J. M., Wetmore, K. M., Ruths, T., Mar, J. S., et al. (2013). Indirect and suboptimal control of gene expression is widespread in bacteria. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 9, 660. doi: 10.1038/msb.2013.16
- Quintas, P. O., Oliveira, M. S., Catarino, T., and Turner, D. L. (2013). Electron transfer between multihaem cytochromes c₃ from *Desulfovibrio africanus*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics* 1827, 502–506.
- Rabus, R., Hansen, T. A., and Widdel, F. (2013). “Dissimilatory sulfate- and sulfur-reducing prokaryotes,” in *The Prokaryotes — Prokaryotic Physiology and Biochemistry*, eds E. Rosenberg, E. F. DeLong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt, and F. Thompson (Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), 309–404. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-30141-4_70
- Rajeev, L., Luning, E. G., Dehal, P. S., Price, M. N., Arkin, A. P., Mukhopadhyay, A., et al. (2011). Systematic mapping of two component response regulators to gene targets in a model sulfate reducing bacterium. *Genome Biol.* 12:R99. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-10-r99
- Ramos, A. R., Keller, K. L., Wall, J. D., and Pereira, I. A. C. (2012). The membrane QmoABC complex interacts directly with the dissimilatory adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase in sulfate reducing bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 3, 137. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00137
- Rapp-Giles, B. J., Casalot, L., English, R. S., Ringbauer, J. A., Dolla, A., and Wall, J. D. (2000). Cytochrome c₃ mutants of *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 671–677. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.2.671-677.2000
- Ravcheev, D. A., Li, X., Latif, H., Zengler, K., Leyn, S. A., Korostelev, Y. D., et al. (2012). Transcriptional regulation of central carbon and energy metabolism in bacteria by redox-responsive repressor Rex. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 1145–1157. doi: 10.1128/JB.06412-11
- Raynaud, C., Sarçabal, P., Meynial-Salles, I., Croux, C., and Soucaille, P. (2003). Molecular characterization of the 1, 3-propanediol (1, 3-PD) operon of *Clostridium butyricum*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 5010–5015. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0734105100
- Rey, F. E., Gonzalez, M. D., Cheng, J., Wu, M., Ahern, P. P., and Gordon, J. I. (2013). Metabolic niche of a prominent sulfate-reducing human gut bacterium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 13582–13587. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1312524110
- Saraiva, L. M., da Costa, P. N., Conte, C., Xavier, A. V., and LeGall, J. (2001). In the facultative sulphate/nitrate reducer *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* ATCC 27774, the nine-haem cytochrome c is part of a membrane-bound redox complex mainly expressed in sulphate-grown cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1520, 63–70. doi: 10.1016/S0167-4781(01)00250-0
- Sass, H., Steuber, J., Kroder, M., Kroneck, P., and Cypionka, H. (1992). Formation of thionates by freshwater and marine strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* 158, 418–421. doi: 10.1007/BF00276302
- Schmehl, M., Jahn, A., zu Vilsendorf, A. M., Hennecke, S., Masepohl, B., Schuppeler, M., et al. (1993). Identification of a new class of nitrogen fixation genes in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*: a putative membrane complex involved in electron transport to nitrogenase. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 241, 602–615. doi: 10.1007/BF00279903
- Sim, M. S., Wang, D. T., Zane, G. M., Wall, J. D., Bosak, T., and Ono, S. (2013). Fractionation of sulfur isotopes by *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* mutants lacking hydrogenases or type I tetraheme cytochrome c₃. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:171. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00171

- Tomashek, J. J., and Brusilow, W. S. A. (2000). Stoichiometry of energy coupling by proton-translocating ATPases: a history of variability. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 32, 493–500. doi: 10.1023/A:1005617024904
- Tremblay, P.-L., Zhang, T., Dar, S. A., Leang, C., and Lovley, D. R. (2013). The Rnf complex of *Clostridium ljungdahlii* is a proton-translocating ferredoxin: NAD⁺ oxidoreductase essential for autotrophic growth. *mBio.* 4:e00406-12. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00406-12
- Väinshstein, M., Matrosov, A., Baskunov, B., Ziakun, A., and Ivanov, M. (1979). Thiosulfate as an intermediate product of bacterial sulfate reduction. *Mikrobiologiya* 49, 855–858.
- Valente, F. M., Almeida, C. C., Pacheco, I., Carita, J., Saraiva, L. M., and Pereira, I. A. (2006). Selenium is involved in regulation of periplasmic hydrogenase gene expression in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* hildenborough. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 3228–3235. doi: 10.1128/JB.188.9.3228-3235.2006
- Venceslau, S., Stockdreher, Y., Dahl, C., and Pereira, I. (2014). The “bacterial heterodisulfide” DsrC is a key protein in dissimilatory sulfur metabolism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1837, 1148–1164. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabi.2014.03.007
- Venceslau, S. S., Lino, R. R., and Pereira, I. A. (2010). The Qrc membrane complex, related to the alternative complex III, is a menaquinone reductase involved in sulfate respiration. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 22774–22783. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.124305
- Voordouw, G. (2002). Carbon monoxide cycling by *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *J. Bacteriol.* 184, 5903–5911. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.21.5903-5911.2002
- Walker, C. B., He, Z., Yang, Z. K., Ringbauer, J. A., He, Q., Zhou, J., et al. (2009). The electron transfer system of syntrophically grown *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 5793–5801. doi: 10.1128/JB.00356-09
- Wall, J. D., Rapp-Giles, B. J., and Rousset, M. (1993). Characterization of a small plasmid from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* and its use for shuttle vector construction. *J. Bacteriol.* 175, 4121–4128.
- Wang, S., Huang, H., Moll, J., and Thauer, R. K. (2010). NADP⁺ reduction with reduced ferredoxin and NADP⁺ reduction with NADH are coupled via an electron-bifurcating enzyme complex in *Clostridium kluyveri*. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 5115–5123. doi: 10.1128/JB.00612-10
- Zane, G. M., Yen, H.-C. B., and Wall, J. D. (2010). Effect of the deletion of qmoABC and the promoter-distal gene encoding a hypothetical protein on sulfate reduction in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 5500–5509. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00691-10
- Zaunmüller, T., Kelly, D. J., Glöckner, F. O., and Uden, G. (2006). Succinate dehydrogenase functioning by a reverse redox loop mechanism and fumarate reductase in sulphate-reducing bacteria. *Microbiology* 152, 2443–2453. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.28849-0

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 19 June 2014; accepted: 13 October 2014; published online: 31 October 2014.
Citation: Price MN, Ray J, Wetmore KM, Kuehl JV, Bauer S, Deutschbauer AM and Arkin AP (2014) The genetic basis of energy conservation in the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:577. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00577

This article was submitted to *Microbial Physiology and Metabolism*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Microbiology*.

Copyright © 2014 Price, Ray, Wetmore, Kuehl, Bauer, Deutschbauer and Arkin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

APPENDIX 1: ENERGY-RELATED GENES THAT WE LACK FITNESS DATA FOR

We lack data for genes that are essential for the activation of sulfate (sulfate adenylyltransferase, adenylyl kinase, and pyrophosphatase Dde_1778), the reduction of APS (APS reductase or QmoABC), or the reduction of sulfite (DsrABC or DsrMKJOP, although we have an insertion at the very 3' end of DsrP). We also lack data for other genes or operons that are probably required for energy production on lactate/sulfate media, notably a cluster of genes for the oxidation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA and conversion to acetate and ATP (Dde_3237, Dde_3241, and Dde_3242); ferredoxin I (Dde_3775), which is the redox partner of the major pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase Dde_3237 (Ogata et al., 1988); and most subunits of ATP synthase (although we have insertions in AtpA and AtpD, encoding the alpha and beta subunits, respectively).

Some genes that are likely to be important for energy production and that we lack data for are Type 1 cytochrome c_3 (*cycA*), the soluble cytoplasmic [FeFe] hydrogenase (Dde_0725), and the decarboxylating malate:NADPH dehydrogenase (Dde_1253). Type 1 cytochrome c_3 is not essential for growth of *D. alaskensis* G20 on lactate/sulfate media but is required for the utilization of hydrogen (Li et al., 2009). The cytoplasmic [FeFe] hydrogenase could be involved in hydrogen cycling or in the utilization of hydrogen as an electron donor.

There are other genes that are absent from the fitness data and are likely to be important for energy production, but in these cases we have fitness data from other genes that are in the same operon and that are expected to be part of the same complex.

APPENDIX 2: UTILIZATION OF ELECTRON ACCEPTORS RELEASE OF THIOSULFATE DURING SULFATE REDUCTION

Up to 5–7 mM thiosulfate was released during sulfate reduction with H_2 as the electron donor. This corresponds to 10 mM or more of sulfur atoms, or about a third of the sulfur that was originally added (as 30 mM sulfate). During growth with 60 mM lactate as the electron donor and 30 mM sulfate as the electron acceptor, about 1 mM thiosulfate was formed. In contrast, little if any thiosulfate was present just after cells were washed and inoculated into fresh media (maximum concentration of 0.1 mM). These results were not expected, as sulfate-reducing bacteria are believed to reduce sulfate all the way to sulfide (Rabus et al., 2013) or to release thiosulfate transiently (Vainshtein et al., 1979; Fitz and Cypionka, 1989). The highest reported level of thiosulfate release by sulfate-reducing bacteria that we are aware of is just 0.4 mM (Sass et al., 1992). However, dissimilatory sulfite reductase does release thiosulfate *in vitro* when electrons are limiting: it appears that sulfite can attack S^0 while it is bound by DsrAB to release thiosulfate (Parey et al., 2010). *In vitro*, DsrAB can reduce thiosulfate to sulfide efficiently (Parey et al., 2010), but the reduction of thiosulfate by hydrogen is thermodynamically not favorable ($\Delta G^{\circ} = -4$ kJ/mol, but this corresponds to an unrealistically high 1 atm of H_2 , Cypionka, 1995). This may explain why thiosulfate accumulates to higher levels with hydrogen as the electron donor.

SULFITE

Fitness data with sulfite as the electron acceptor and pyruvate or lactate as the electron acceptor was very similar to the corresponding experiments with sulfate as the electron acceptor (Figure 3, Figure S1). For example, after averaging replicate experiments, fitness in minimal pyruvate/sulfate medium was highly correlated with fitness in minimal pyruvate/sulfite medium ($r = 0.95$); just six genes have fitness difference of one or more, and none of them seemed to be related to energy production (*panC*, Dde_0152, Dde_0289, Dde_0538, Dde_3201, Dde_3221). A similar comparison of fitness in minimal lactate medium (with sulfate or sulfite) also showed no clear energetic differences ($r = 0.85$ and 23 genes with fitness differences of one or more, but none were energy related). Probably the genes that are involved in sulfite reduction are also essential during growth on sulfate.

THIOSULFATE

The putative thiosulfate reductase PhsAB (Dde_1076:Dde_1075) was only slightly important for fitness during growth in lactate/thiosulfate media (fitness of -0.79 and -0.30 , respectively). Although the effect is subtle, these fitness values were lower than for any other energetic condition, so this supports the annotation of these genes as thiosulfate reductase. The molybdopterin cofactor of thiosulfate reductase, also had moderate phenotypes (mean fitness -0.75 , $P = 0.005$, t test). Because DsrAB's activity on thiosulfate *in vitro* is about as rapid as its activity on sulfite (Parey et al., 2010), DsrAB is probably the other thiosulfate reductase. Similarly, in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, a *phsA* mutant has a moderately reduced growth rate in lactate/thiosulfate media (Geoffrey Christensen, personal communication).

PYRUVATE FERMENTATION

D. alaskensis G20 can disproportionate pyruvate to acetate and succinate, via reduction of pyruvate to malate and then succinate (Meyer et al., 2014). Fumarate reductase was important for fitness during pyruvate fermentation, but to a varying extent, with a mean fitness -0.92 in one experiment and -0.51 and -0.35 in the others. During the experiment in which fumarate reductase was most important, fumarase was also important (fitness = -1.0). The importance of fumarase and fumarate reductase is consistent with a previous measurement of the fitness of these pools of mutant strains during pyruvate fermentation (Meyer et al., 2014). However, the electron transport complexes Hdr/flox-1 and Rnf were important for fitness in the previous study but not in ours (mean fitness = $+0.03$ and $+0.58$, respectively). Whereas our experiments were conducted in sealed Hungate tubes, the previous study used a bioreactor with slow flushing of the headspace, which would remove H_2 from the system; this might account for the difference.

APPENDIX 3: VARIABLE FITNESS FOR Hmc AND Tmc

Except for the fitness defect for Tmc in hydrogen/sulfate conditions, the fitness values for Hmc and Tmc varied between similar experiments. For example, during formate oxidation, Tmc was important for fitness in two of three experiments (mean fitness -1.0 and -0.59) and Hmc was important in the other one

(mean fitness -0.90). The phenotype for Tmc is expected under our model; the phenotype for Hmc is not, but we speculate that it reflects oxidation of hydrogen in the cytoplasm.

The fitness values for Hmc and Tmc were quite variable across 23 lactate/sulfate fitness experiments (mean fitness -0.51 to $+0.51$ for Hmc and -1.15 to -0.28 for Tmc). However, within each complex, the fitness values were consistent: for example, the lowest pairwise correlation was 0.79 between Hmc genes or 0.90 between Tmc genes. Thus we believe that these phenotypes are genuine.

These experiments were conducted with a variety of conditions, and the variation is partly explained by which base media was used, but we do not know which component of the media was responsible. We used two different base media ("Hazen" or "MO"), at varying concentrations of lactate and sulfate, with or without yeast extract, and with or without added vitamins. Most of the experiments were conducted with 30 mM D,L-lactate, while a few experiments were done with 10 mM lactate. Neither Hmc nor Tmc had much phenotype in the 10 mM lactate experiments, so we removed those experiments before we tried to understand the source of the variation. Among the remaining experiments, 8 were conducted with Hazen media and 50 mM sulfate, and 12 with MO media and 30 mM sulfate. Within each of these groups, yeast extract was added to the media for roughly half of the experiments. Vitamins were added to the media for all of the Hazen media experiments, for all but one of the MO experiments with added yeast extract, and for one of the MO experiments without added yeast extract. The presence

of yeast extract did not have a strong effect on the fitness of Hmc or Tmc (both $P > 0.1$, t tests). Hmc was slightly detrimental to fitness on MO (mean fitness $+0.21$, $P < 0.02$, t test) while Tmc was important for fitness on MO (mean fitness -0.38 , $P < 0.01$). In contrast, on Hazen media, Tmc was very important for fitness (mean fitness -1.2 , $P < 0.01$), while Hmc was moderately important (mean fitness -0.35 , $P < 0.01$). Among the MO experiments with atypical vitamin usage, we did not see a strong fitness effect of either Hmc or Tmc. Overall, it seems that the base media is a major factor in the variation in fitness.

The major differences between Hazen and MO media were: Hazen media included a higher concentration of sulfate (50 vs. 30 mM); Hazen media included resazurin (16 nM) as a redox indicator; Hazen media usually included titanium citrate (0.38 mM) as a reductant, while MO media usually included sodium sulfide (1 mM) as a reductant; Hazen media included nitrioloacetic acid (0.625 mM) as a chelator while MO media included EDTA (0.12 mM) as a chelator (to reduce precipitation of metal sulfides); Hazen media includes trace minerals at roughly twice the concentration of MO media; and Hazen media was made with a PIPES buffer while MO media was made with a Tris-HCl buffer. In either media, there is sufficient sulfate to reduce 60 mM lactate, but sulfate could become limiting toward the end of growth in MO media. We do not see why the change in buffer or chelating agent or altering the amount of trace minerals would affect the fitness of *hmc* or *tmc* strains. The resazurin and titanium citrate in Hazen media have been suggested to be a stress for *Desulfovibrio* species (Wall, Personal Communication), so this might be a relevant difference.