

# THE GENUS OF CURVES OVER FINITE FIELDS WITH MANY RATIONAL POINTS

RAINER FUHRMANN AND FERNANDO TORRES

ABSTRACT. We prove the following result which was conjectured by Stichtenoth and Xing: let  $g$  be the genus of a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible, algebraic curve defined over the finite field with  $q^2$  elements whose number of rational points attains the Hasse-Weil bound; then either  $4g \leq (q - 1)^2$  or  $2g = (q - 1)q$ .

Throughout, let  $k$  be the finite field of order  $q^2$ . By a curve over  $k$  we mean a projective, non-singular, geometrically irreducible, algebraic curve defined over  $k$ . This note is concerning with the genus  $g$  of maximal curves (over  $k$ ); i.e., those whose number of  $k$ -rational points attains the Hasse-Weil upper bound:  $q^2 + 1 + 2qg$ . These curves are very useful e.g. for applications to coding theory c.f. [Sti], [Tsf-Vla]. It is known that  $2g \leq (q - 1)q$  ([Sti, V.3.3]), and that the Hermitian curve, defined in (2), is the only maximal curve whose genus satisfies  $2g = (q - 1)q$  ([R-Sti]). Here we prove the following result which was conjectured by Xing and Stichtenoth in [X-Sti].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a maximal curve over  $k$  of genus  $g$ . Then*

$$4g \leq (q - 1)^2, \quad \text{or} \quad 2g = (q - 1)q.$$

We prove this theorem by using [X-Sti, Prop. 1], [R-Sti, Lemma 1] and a particular case of the approach of Stöhr and Voloch [SV] to the Hasse-Weil bound. In Remark 1 we point out another proof of the aforementioned Rück and Stichtenoth characterization of the Hermitian curve [R-Sti]. We recall that Hirschfeld, Storme, Thas and Voloch also stated a characterization of Hermitian curves by using some results from [SV] (see [HSTV]). We use ideas from the proof of [HSTV, Lemma 1]. In [FT] is considered the case of maximal curves whose genus is bounded from above by  $(q - 1)^2/4$ .

We are indebted to Professor J.F. Voloch for pointing out to us that the proof of the theorem above in the previous version of this note was incomplete.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11G20; Secondary 14G05, 14G15.

*Key words and phrases*: finite field, the Hasse-Weil bound, curves over finite fields with many points.

The second author was supported by a grant from the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO.

**Manuscripta Math.** 89 (1996), 103–106.

**Revised version: October 2009.**

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a maximal curve of genus  $g$  over  $k$ . The starting point is the fact that there exists a  $k$ -rational point  $P_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $q$  and  $q+1$  are non-gaps at  $P_0$  ([X-Sti, Prop. 1]). Thus the linear series

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} := |(q+1)P_0|$$

is simple and  $g$  and the dimension  $N \geq 2$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  can be related to each other via Castelnuovo's genus bound for curves in projective spaces [C], [ACGH, p. 116], [Rath, Cor. 2.8]. Therefore

$$(1) \quad 2g \leq M(q - (N - 1) + e),$$

where  $M$  is the biggest integer  $\leq q/(N - 1)$  and  $e = q - M(N - 1)$ .

**Lemma 1.** (cf. [X-Sti, Prop. 3]) *If  $N \geq 3$ , then  $4g \leq (q - 1)^2$ .*

*Proof.* From (1) we have

$$2g \leq (q - e)(q - (N - 1) + e)/(N - 1) \leq (2q - (N - 1))^2/4(N - 1),$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a maximal curve over  $k$  with  $4g > (q - 1)^2$ . Then  $N = 2$  by the previous lemma. The following notation and results are from [SV].

- $0 = j_0 < j_1(P) < j_2(P)$ : the  $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -order sequence at  $P \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- $0 = \epsilon_0 < 1 = \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$ : the orders of  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- $R$  the ramification divisor of  $\mathcal{D}$ ; we have  $v_P(R) \geq j_2(P) - \epsilon_2$  and

$$\deg(R) = (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(2g - 2) + 3(q + 1);$$

- $\nu_0 = 0 < \nu_1 \in \{1, \epsilon_2\}$  the  $q^2$ -Frobenius orders;
- $S$  the  $q^2$ -Frobenius divisor; we have  $v_P(S) \geq j_1(P) + (j_2(P) - \nu_1)$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{X}(k)$  and

$$\deg(S) = \nu_1(2g - 2) + (q^2 + 2)(q + 1).$$

We claim that  $\nu_1 = \epsilon_2 = q$ . Indeed, by [R-Sti, Lemma 1],  $j_2(P) = q + 1$  for any  $P \in \mathcal{X}(k)$  and thus for such points  $v_P(S) \geq q + 1 - \nu_1$ . It follows that

$$\deg(S) = \nu_1(2g - 2) + (q^2 + 2)(q + 1) \geq (q + 1 - \nu_1)(q^2 + 1 + 2qg);$$

after some computations we get

$$(q - 1)(\nu_1(q + 1) - q) \geq 2g(q^2 - \nu_1(q + 1) + 2q)$$

so that  $\nu_1 \geq q$  and  $\nu_1 = \epsilon_2 \leq q + 1$ . We have that  $\epsilon_2 = q$  by the  $p$ -adic criterion and the claim follows.

Finally,  $v_P(R) \geq 1$  for any  $P \in \mathcal{X}(k)$  so that

$$\deg(R) = (1 + q)(2g - 2) + 3(q + 1) \geq q^2 + 1 + 2qg$$

i.e.  $2g \geq (q - 1)q$  and the result follows as we already remarked that  $2g \leq (q - 1)q$ .

*Remark 1.* We close this note by proving that a maximal curve of genus  $(q-1)q/2$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to the so-called Hermitian curve:

$$(2) \quad y^q + y = x^{q+1}.$$

The proof is inspired on the example stated in [SV, p. 16]. Let  $P_0 \in \mathcal{X}(k)$  and  $x, y \in k(\mathcal{X})$  such that

$$\operatorname{div}_\infty(x) = qP_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}_\infty(y) = (q+1)P_0.$$

Then by the Riemann-Roch theorem the  $k$ -dimension of the Riemann-Roch space  $\mathcal{L}(q(q+1)P_0)$  is equal to  $(q+1)(q+2)/2$ . Since

$$\#\{x^i y^j : (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2, iq + j(q+1) \leq q(q+1)\} = \frac{(q+1)(q+2)}{2} + 1,$$

there exists a non-trivial  $k$ -linear relation:

$$F = F(x, y) = \sum_{iq+j(q+1) \leq q(q+1)} a_{i,j} x^i y^j = 0,$$

where  $a_{q+1,0} \neq 0$  and  $a_{0,q} \neq 0$ . Let us assume  $a_{0,q} = 1$  and hence

$$(3) \quad F = y^q + a_{q+1,0} x^{q+1} + G = 0,$$

where

$$G = G(x, y) = \sum_{iq+j(q+1) < q(q+1)} a_{i,j} x^i y^j.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to the plane curve defined by  $F = 0$ . The fact that  $\nu_1 = q$  means that

$$(4) \quad y^{q^2} - y = D_x y (x^{q^2} - x)$$

where  $F_x + F_y D_x y = 0$ ,  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  being the partial derivatives with respect to the variables  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Observe that  $F_y \neq 0$  as  $\mathcal{X}$  is non-singular. From (3) and (4) we obtain

$$-a_{q+1,0}^q x^{q^2+q} - G^q - y = D_x y (x^{q^2} - x).$$

By taking a particular  $k$ -rational point of the curve, says  $P_1 = (a, b) \neq P_0$ ,  $-a_{q+1,0}^q a^{1+q} - G(a, b) - b = 0$ . It follows from (3) that  $a_{q+1,0} \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and thus we can assume  $a_{q+1,0} = -1$  as the norm function  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  is surjective. So far we have the following relations:

$$(5) \quad y^q + G = x^{q+1}, \quad G_x + G_y D_y x = x^q.$$

Let  $v$  denote the valuation associated to  $P_0$ . From (4)  $v(D_x y) = -q^2$  and hence  $v(x^q - G_x) = v(x^q) = q^2$ ; it follows from (5) that  $v(G_y) = 0$ . We deduce that  $G_y = a_{0,1} \neq 0$ . Thus once again from (4) and (5)

$$a_{0,1} x^{q^2+q} - a_{0,1} G^q - a_{0,1} y = x^{q^2+q} - x^{q+1} - G_x x^{q^2} + G_x x,$$

and so  $a_{0,1} = 1$ ,  $G_x = 0$ . Finally  $D_y x = x^q$  and thus  $y^{q^2} - y = x^q(x^{q^2} - x)$  gives

$$(y^q + y - x^{q+1})^q = y^q + y - x^{q+1}$$

and the remark follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths and J. Harris, “Geometry of algebraic curves”, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New York 1985.
- [C] G. Castelnuovo, *Ricerche di geometria sulle curve algebriche*, Atti. R. Acad. Sci. Torino **24** (1889), 196–223.
- [FT] R. Fuhmann and F. Torres, *Curves over finite fields with the maximal number of rational points*, in preparation.
- [HSTV] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, L. Storme, J.A. Thas and J.F. Voloch, *A characterization of Hermitian curves*, J. Geom. **41** (1991), 72–78.
- [Rath] J. Rathmann, *The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic  $p$* , Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 565–579.
- [R-Sti] H.G. Rück and H. Stichtenoth, *A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields*, J. reine. angew. Math. **457** (1994), 185–188.
- [Sti] H. Stichtenoth, “Algebraic functions fields and codes”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [SV] K.O. Stöhr and J.F. Voloch, *Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **52** (1986), 1–19.
- [Tsf-Vla] M. Tsfasman and S.G. Vladut, “Algebraic-Geometric Codes”, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London 1991.
- [X-Sti] C. Xing and H. Stichtenoth, *The genus of maximal functions fields*, Manuscripta Math. **86** (1995), 217–224.

FACHBEREICH 6 MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK UNIVERSITÄT ESSEN, W - 4300 ESSEN 1, FRG

*E-mail address:* rfuhr@de.ibm.com

MATHEMATICS SECTION ICTP, P.O. BOX 586-34100, TRIESTE-ITALY

*E-mail address:* fetto@ictp.trieste.it