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## Introduction

This paper has twin aims. On the one hand we prove the local Langlands conjecture for $G L_{n}$ over a $p$-adic field. On the other hand in many cases we are able to identify the action of the decomposition group at a prime of bad reduction on the $l$-adic cohomology of the "simple" Shimura varieties studied by Kottwitz in [Ko4]. These two problems go hand in hand.

The local Langlands conjecture is one of those hydra like conjectures which seems to grow as it gets proved. However the generally accepted formulation seems to be the following (see $[\mathrm{He} 2]$ ). Let $K$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We will denote the absolute value on $K$ which takes uniformisers to the reciprocal of the number of elements in the residue field by $\left|\left.\right|_{K}\right.$. We will let $W_{K}$ denote its Weil group. Recall that local class field theory gives us a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Art}_{K}: K^{\times} \rightarrow W_{K}^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

(Normalised so that geometric Frobenius elements correspond to uniformisers.) The local Langlands conjecture provides some sort of description of the whole of $W_{K}$ in the same spirit.

We will let $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes or irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{n}(K)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ (or what comes to the same thing: irreducible smooth representations). If $\left[\pi_{1}\right] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n_{1}}(K)\right)$ and $\left[\pi_{2}\right] \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n_{2}}(K)\right)$ then there is an $L$-factor $L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)$ and an epsilon factor $\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \psi\right)$ associated to the pair $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ (see for instance [JPSS]).

On the other hand let $\mathrm{WDRep}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of $n$-dimensional Frobenius semi-simple Weil-Deligne representations of the Weil group, $W_{K}$, of $K$ over $\mathbb{C}$. By a Frobenius semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation of $W_{K}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ we mean a pair $(r, N)$ where $r$ is a semi-simple representation of $W_{K}$ on a finite dimensional complex vector space, $V$, which is trivial on an open subgroup and an element $N \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ such that

$$
r(\sigma) N r(\sigma)^{-1}=\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}(\sigma)\right|_{K} N
$$

for all $\sigma \in W_{K}$. Again if $[(r, N)] \in \mathrm{WDRep}_{n_{1}}\left(W_{K}\right)$ then there is an $L$-factor $L((r, N), s)$ and an epsilon factor $\epsilon((r, N), s, \psi)$ associated to $(r, N)$ (see for instance [Tat2] and section 12 of this paper for the precise normalisations we are using).

By a local Langlands correspondence for $K$ we shall mean a collection of bijections

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{WDRep}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

for every $n \geq 1$ satisfying the following properties.

1. If $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{1}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)=\pi \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}$.
2. If $\left[\pi_{1}\right] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n_{1}}(K)\right)$ and $\left[\pi_{2}\right] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n_{2}}(K)\right)$ then

$$
L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)=L\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \psi\right)=\epsilon\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s, \psi\right)
$$

3. If $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{1}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi \otimes(\chi \circ \operatorname{det}))=$ $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}(\chi)$.
4. If $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ and $\pi$ has central character $\chi$ then $\operatorname{det} \operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)=$ $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\chi)$.
5. If $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)=\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)^{\vee}$ (where $\vee$ denotes contragredient).

Henniart showed (see [He5]) that there is at most one set of bijections $\operatorname{rec}_{K}$ with these properties. The commonest formulation of the local Langlands conjecture for $G L_{n}$ is the following theorem.

Theorem A A local Langlands correspondence $\operatorname{rec}_{K}$ exists for any finite extension $K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

However it seems to us that one would really like more than this simple existence theorem. On the one hand it would be very useful if one had some sort of explicit description of this map $\mathrm{rec}_{K}$. Our methods shed no light on this. One might well hope that the methods of Bushnell, Henniart and Kutzko will lead to an explicit version of this theorem. On the other hand one would also like to know that the local reciprocity map $\mathrm{rec}_{K}$ is compatible with global reciprocity maps whenever the global map is known to exist. Our methods do not resolve this latter question but they do shed considerable light on it. For instance in the cases considered by Clozel in [Cl1] we settle this question affirmatively up to semisimplification (in particular we do not identify the two $N$ 's).

Maybe a remark on the history of this problem is in order. The existence of $\left.\operatorname{rec}_{K}\right|_{\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{1}(K)\right)}$ with the desired properties follows from local class field theory (due originally to Hasse [Has]), but this preceded the general conjecture. The key generalisation to $n>1$ is due to Langlands (see [Lan]), who formulated some much more wide ranging, if less precise, conjectures. The formulation in the form described here, with its emphasis on epsilon factors
of pairs, seems to be due to Henniart (see [He2]). Henniart's formulation has the advantage that there is a most one such correspondence, but as remarked above it limits somewhat the scope of Langlands' original desirata. The existence of $\left.\operatorname{rec}_{K}\right|_{\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{2}(K)\right)}$ with the desired properties was established by Kutzko ( $[\mathrm{Ku}]$ ), following earlier partial work by a number of people. The existence of $\left.\operatorname{rec}_{K}\right|_{\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{3}(K)\right)}$ with almost all the desired properties was established by Henniart ([He1]). In particular, his correspondence had enough of these properties to characterise it uniquely. Both the work of Kutzko and Henniart relied on a detailed classification of all elements of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$. These methods have since been pushed much further, but to date have not provided a construction of $\operatorname{rec}_{K}$ which demonstrably has the desired properties on $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ for any $n>3$. In the case of completions of functions fields of transcendence degree 1 over finite fields, the corresponding theorem was proved by Laumon, Rapoport and Stuhler ([LRS]).

We will let Cusp $\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ denote the subset of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ consisting of equivalence classes of supercuspidal representations. Let $\operatorname{Rep}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)$ denote the subset of $\mathrm{WDRep}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)$ consisting of equivalence classes of pairs $(r, N)$ with $N=0$. Also let $\operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)$ denote the subset of $\operatorname{Rep}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)$ consisting of equivalence classes of pairs $(r, 0)$ with $r$ irreducible. It follows from important work of Zelevinsky [Z] that it suffices to construct bijections

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

with the properties listed above (see [He2].) In a key breakthrough, Henniart [He4] showed that there did exist bijections

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right),
$$

which preserved conductors and were compatible with twists by unramified characters. He was however unable to show that these bijections had enough of the other desired properties to characterise them uniquely. The usefulness of this result is that it allows one to use counting arguments, for instance any injection Cusp $\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)$ satisfying the desired properties must be a bijection. (This result is usually referred to as the numerical local Langlands theorem.)

We will give a natural construction of a map

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

which we will show is compatible with the association of $l$-adic representations to many automorphic forms on certain unitary groups. Using this global
compatibility and some instances of non-Galois automorphic induction discovered by one of us (M.H., see [Har2]) we will see that there is a subset $\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

and such that $\left.\operatorname{rec}_{K}\right|_{\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)^{\prime}}$ has all the desired properties. The subset $\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)^{\prime}$ may be described as those elements of Cusp $\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)$ which become unramified after some series of cyclic base changes. Appealing to Henniart's numerical local Langlands theorem ([He4]) we can conclude that $\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)=\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right)^{\prime}$ and so deduce theorem A.

One of us (M.H. see [Har1]) had previously given a different construction of a map

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

In some cases he was able to show its compatibility with the association of $l$ adic representations to certain classes of automorphic forms on unitary groups. As a result he could deduce the local Langlands conjecture only for $p>n$ (see [BHK] and [Har2]). A posteriori we can show that $\operatorname{rec}_{K}^{\prime}=\operatorname{rec}_{K}$. Since the distribution of a preliminary version of this paper, but before the distribution of the final version, Henniart [He6] has given a much simpler proof of theorem A by making much cleverer use of the non-Galois automorphic induction of [Har2] and of his own numerical local Langlands theorem [He4]. He does not need the a priori construction of a map rec ${ }_{K}$ compatible with some instances of the global correspondence, and thus he is able to by-pass all the main results in this paper. For the reader interested only in theorem A his is clearly the better proof. None the less we believe the results of this paper are still important as they establish many instances of compatibility between the global and local correspondences.

Let us now explain our construction of maps

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{n}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{n}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

To this end choose a prime $l \neq p$ and fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$. Let $k$ denote the residue field of $K$. For any $g \geq 1$ there is, up to isomorphism, a unique one-dimensional formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $\Sigma_{K, g} / k^{a c}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-height $g$. Then End $\mathcal{O}_{K}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong D_{K, g}$, the division algebra with centre $K$ and Hasse invariant $1 / g$. Drinfeld showed that the functor which associates to any Artinian local $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebra $A$ with residue field $k^{a c}$ the set of isomorphism classes of deformations of $\Sigma_{K, g}$ to $A$ is prorepresented by a complete noetherian local $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ algebra $R_{K, g}$ with residue field $k^{a c}$. (In fact he showed that $R_{K, g}$ is
a formal power series ring in $g-1$ variables over the ring of integers of the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $K$.) We will let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}$ denote the universal deformation of $\Sigma_{K, g}$ over $R_{K, g}$. (In the case $g=1$ one just obtains the base change to the ring of integers of the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $K$ of any Lubin-Tate formal $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ module over $K$.) Drinfeld further showed that for any integer $m \geq 0$ there is a finite flat $R_{K, g^{-}}$ algebra $R_{K, g, m}$ over which $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}$ has a universal Drinfeld level $p^{m}$-structure. We will consider the direct limit over $m$ of the formal vanishing cycle sheaves of Spf $R_{K, g, m}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$. This gives a collection $\left\{\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}\right\}$ of infinitedimensional $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ vector spaces with natural admissible actions of the subgroup of $G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times} \times W_{K}$ consisting of elements $(\gamma, \delta, \sigma)$ such that

$$
|\operatorname{det} \delta||\operatorname{det} \gamma|^{-1}\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1} \sigma\right|=1
$$

For any irreducible representation $\rho$ of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$we set

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}}\left(\rho, \Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}\right) .
$$

This becomes an admissible $G L_{g}(K) \times W_{K}$-module. In the case $g=1$ we have $\Psi_{K, l, 1}^{i}=(0)$ for $i>0$, while it follows from the theory of Lubin-Tate formal groups (see [LT]) that $\Psi_{K, l, 1}^{0}(\rho)=\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ with an action of $K^{\times} \times W_{K}$ via $\rho^{-1} \times\left(\rho \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right)$ (see section 3.4 of [Car3]).

To describe $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho)$ in greater generality we must recall that Deligne, Kazhdan and Vigneras (see [DKV]) and Rogawski ([Rog2]) have given a bijection between irreducible representations of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$and (quasi-)square integrable irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{g}(K)$ characterised by a natural character identity (see appendix IV). This generalises work of Jacquet and Langlands in the case $g=2$ so we will denote the correspondence $\rho \mapsto \mathrm{JL}(\rho)$. Carayol essentially conjectured ([Car3]) that if $\operatorname{JL}(\rho)$ is supercuspidal then

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{g-1}(\rho) \cong \mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee} \times \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\mathrm{JL}(\rho) \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{(1-g) / 2}\right)
$$

We do not quite prove this (though it may be possible by our methods to do so). However motivated by Carayol's conjecture our first main theorem is the following. To state it let $\left[\Psi_{K, g}(\rho)\right]$ denote the virtual representation $(-1)^{g-1} \sum_{i=0}^{g-1}(-1)^{i}\left[\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho)\right]$.

Theorem B If $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ then there is a (true) representation

$$
r_{l}(\pi): W_{K} \rightarrow G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)
$$

such that in the Grothendieck group

$$
\left[\Psi_{K, l, g}\left(\mathrm{JL}(\pi)^{\vee}\right]=\left[\pi \otimes r_{l}(\pi)\right]\right.
$$

In the case $n=1$ Lubin-Tate theory allows one to identify

$$
r_{l}(\pi)=\pi^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1} .
$$

We use this theorem to define

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{g}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

by the formula

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\vee} \otimes(| | \circ \operatorname{det})^{(1-g) / 2}\right)
$$

It will also be convenient for us to extend $r_{l}$ to all irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{g}(K)$ as follows. If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$, then we can find positive integers $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}$ which sum to $g$ and irreducible supercuspidal representations $\pi_{i}$ of $G L_{g_{i}}(K)$ such that $\pi$ is a subquotient of $n$ - $\operatorname{Ind}\left(\pi_{1} \times \ldots \times \pi_{t}\right)$, where we are using the usual normalised induction (see appendix I). Then we set

$$
r_{l}(\pi)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} r_{l}\left(\pi_{i}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(g_{i}-g\right) / 2}
$$

This is well defined and

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)=\left(r_{l}\left(\pi^{\vee} \otimes(| | \circ \operatorname{det})^{(1-g) / 2}\right), N\right)
$$

for some $N$.
Our second key result is that $r_{l}$ is compatible with many instances of the global Langlands correspondence. The following theorem strengthens a theorem of Clozel [Cl1] (in which he only identifies $\left[\left.R(\Pi)\right|_{W_{F_{y}}}\right]$ for all but finitely many places $y$, and specifically for none of the bad places).

Theorem C Suppose that $L$ is a CM field and that $\Pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\Pi^{\vee} \cong \Pi^{c}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation over $\mathbb{C}$ of the restriction of scalars from $L$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ of $G L_{g}$,
- and for some finite place $x$ of $L$ the representation $\Pi_{x}$ is square integrable.

Then there is a non-zero integer $a(\Pi)$ and a continuous representation $R(\Pi)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ such that for any finite place $y$ of $L$ not dividing $l$ we have

$$
\left[\left.R(\Pi)\right|_{W_{L_{y}}}\right]=a(\Pi)\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{y}\right)\right]
$$

In the case $n=2$ and $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ both theorems B and C were essentially proved by Deligne in his beautiful letter [De2]. (The argument was completed by Brylinski [Bry].) Carayol [Car2] generalised Deligne's method to essentially prove both theorems B and C in the general $n=2$ case. We will simply generalise Deligne's approach to $n>2$. The combination of theorems B and C, Henniart's numerical local Langlands theorem $[\mathrm{He} 4]$ and the non-Galois automorphic induction of [Har2] suffice to prove theorem A.

Both theorems B and C follow without great difficulty from an analysis of the bad reduction of certain Shimura varieties. We will next explain this analysis. Unfortunately we must first establish some notation. Let $E$ denote an imaginary quadratic field in which $p$ splits: $p=u u^{c}$. Let $F^{+}$denote a totally real field of degree $d$ and set $F=E F^{+}$. Fix a place $w$ of $F$ above $u$. Let $B$ be a division algebra with centre $F$ such that

- the opposite algebra $B^{\text {op }}$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes_{E, c} E$;
- $B$ is split at $w$;
- at any place $x$ of $F$ which is not split over $F^{+}, B_{x}$ is split;
- at any place $x$ of $F$ which is split over $F^{+}$either $B_{x}$ is split or $B_{x}$ is a division algebra,
- if $n$ is even then $1+d n / 2$ is congruent modulo 2 to the number of places of $F^{+}$above which $B$ is ramified.

Let $n$ denote $[B: F]^{1 / 2}$. We can pick a positive involution of the second kind $*$ on $B$ (i.e. $\left.*\right|_{F}=c$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{B / \mathbb{Q}}\left(x x^{*}\right)>0$ for all nonzero $x \in B$ ). If $\beta \in B^{*=-1}$ then we will let

- $G$ denote the algebraic group with $G(\mathbb{Q})$ the subgroup of elements $x \in$ $\left(B^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$so that $x^{*} \beta x=\nu(x) \beta$ for some $\nu(x) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$,
- $\nu: G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ the corresponding character,
- $G_{1}$ the kernel of $\nu$,
- and (, ) the pairing on $B$ defined by

$$
(x, y)=\left(\operatorname{tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)\left(x \beta y^{*}\right)
$$

We can and will choose $\beta$ such that

- $G$ is quasi-split at all rational primes $x$ which do not split in $E$
- and $G_{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cong U(n-1,1) \times U(n)^{\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]-1}$.

If $U \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ is an open compact subgroup we will consider the following moduli problem. If $S$ is a connected $F$-scheme and $s$ is a closed geometric point of $S$ then we consider equivalence classes of quadruples $(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta})$ where

- $A$ is an abelian scheme of dimension $\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right] n^{2}$;
- $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ is a polarisation;
- $i: B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\lambda \circ i(b)=i\left(b^{*}\right)^{\vee} \circ \lambda$ for all $b \in B$ and such that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\left.b\right|_{\operatorname{Lie}(A)}\right)=\left(c \circ \operatorname{tr}_{F / E} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)(n b)+\operatorname{tr}_{B / F}(b)-\left(c \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)(b)
$$

for all $b \in B$;

- $\bar{\eta}$ is a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U$-orbit of isomorphisms of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty}$-modules $\eta: V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty} \rightarrow V A_{s}$ which take the standard pairing $($,$) on V$ to a scalar multiple of the $\lambda$-Weil pairing on $V A_{s}$.

We consider two such quadruples equivalent if the abelian varieties are isogenous in a way that preserves the rest of the structure (but only need preserve the polarisation up to $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$multiples). The set of equivalence classes is canonically independent of the choice of $s$. If $U$ is sufficiently small this moduli problem is represented by a smooth proper scheme of finite type $X_{U} / F$.

If $\xi$ is a representation of the algebraic group $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ then we can define a lisse $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ on $X_{U}$. Then we will consider the $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-vector spaces

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

This is naturally an admissible $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F\right)$-module. In fact we can write

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\bigoplus_{\pi} \pi \otimes R_{\xi}^{i}(\pi)
$$

where $\pi$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and $R_{\xi}^{i}(\pi)$ is a finite dimensional continuous representation of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. We will focus on the virtual representation

$$
\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]=(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left[R_{\xi}^{i}(\pi)\right]
$$

Kottwitz (see $[\mathrm{Ko} 4]$ ) determined $\operatorname{tr}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{x}\right)$ in terms of $\rho$ for all but finitely many places $x$ of $F$. He thus completely determined the virtual representation $\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]$. We will extend Kottwitz's description to all places $x \nmid l$ of $F$ (see corollary 11.12).

We have an isomorphism

$$
G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \cong E_{u^{c}}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid u}\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

and hence a decomposition

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \cong G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times E_{u^{c}}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid u}\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

Thus we may decompose an irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ as

$$
\pi \cong \pi^{p} \otimes \pi_{p, 0} \otimes \bigotimes_{x \mid u} \pi_{x}
$$

For $h=1, \ldots, n$ we will let $P_{h}^{\text {op }}$ denote the parabolic subgroup of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ consisting of block lower triangular matrices with an $(n-h) \times(n-h)$-block in the top left and an $h \times h$ block in the bottom right. It has Levi component $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Let $N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ denote its unipotent radical. Suppose that $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$. We will let $\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}} \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$ denote a pseudo-coefficient for $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}$ (so that $\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}}(\rho)^{\vee}$ is compactly supported mod centre, and for any irreducible tempered admissible representation $\alpha$ of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ with the same central character as $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}$ we have $\operatorname{tr} \alpha\left(\varphi_{\left.\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)$if $\alpha \cong \operatorname{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}$ and $=0$ otherwise $)$. Then we define a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{n-red}_{\rho}^{(h)}: \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

as a composite

$$
\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right),
$$

where

- the first map takes the class, $[\pi]$, of an irreducible admissible representation, $\pi$, to the class, $\left[J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}(\pi)\right]$, of its normalised Jacquet module (see appendix I),
- and the second map takes the class, $[\alpha \otimes \beta]$, to
$-\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \alpha\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}}\right)$ times $[\beta]$ if the central characters of $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{\vee}$ are equal,
- and to 0 otherwise.

Our key technical result is the following theorem relating $R_{\xi}(\pi)$ and $\left[\Psi_{F_{w}, l, g}\right]$ for $1 \leq g \leq n$. From it both theorem B and theorem C follow without undue difficulty. (As does corollary 11.12.)

Theorem D Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that $\left.\pi_{p, 0}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left[\pi_{w}\right]\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]= & \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]\right) \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\rho} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}^{o p}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\left(\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}\left[\pi_{w}\right]\right)\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\left.\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}(\rho) \otimes\left(\left(\pi_{p, 0}^{-1} \otimes| |_{p}^{-h / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]\right) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$.
Almost all of this paper is devoted to proving this theorem. In the rest of this introduction we will give a very brief sketch of the strategy. We caution the reader that in the rest of this introduction we will not make precise mathematical statements, but rather comments that we hope will convey an idea of our methods. We refer the reader to the body of our article for the accurate formulation of these ideas.

We compute the cohomology groups $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times F_{w}^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ via vanishing cycle sheaves on the special fibre $\bar{X}_{U}$ of $X_{U}$. Thus we are led to try to compute the cohomology groups

$$
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U} \times k(w)^{a c}, R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

where $k(w)$ denotes the residue field of $w$. The first key idea is to introduce a certain stratification on $\bar{X}_{U}$ and compute stratum by stratum. Consider the $w^{\infty}$ torsion points on the universal abelian variety over $X_{U}$. It has an action of $B_{w} \cong M_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Applying the idempotent $\left(e_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ with $e_{11}=1$ and $e_{i j}=0$ otherwise, we obtain a divisible $\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}$-module $\mathcal{G} / X_{U}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}$-height $n$ and of dimension 1. For $h=0, \ldots, n-1$ we will let $\bar{X}_{U}^{(h)}$ denote the ( $h$-dimensional) locally closed reduced subscheme of $\bar{X}_{U}$ where the maximal etale quotient of
$\mathcal{G}$ has $\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}$-height $h$. (Then the closure of $\bar{X}_{U}^{(h)}$ is the union of the $\bar{X}_{U}^{\left(h^{\prime}\right)}$ for $h^{\prime} \leq h$.) It will suffice to compute

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

Next we restrict to $U$ of the form $U^{w} \times U_{w}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{E, u^{c}}^{\times} \subset U^{w} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times$ $E_{u^{c}}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid u, x \neq w}\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$and $U_{w} \subset G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$. If $U_{w}$ is the group of matrices in $G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ congruent to 1 modulo $w^{m}$ we will write $U=U^{w}(m)$. To analyse $\bar{X}_{U(m)}^{(h)}$ we introduce an analogue of Igusa curves in this setting: we call them Igusa varieties of the first kind. More precisely $I_{U w, m}^{(h)}$ will denote the etale cover of $\bar{X}_{U(0)}^{(h)}$ which parametrises isomorphisms

$$
\left(\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}} / w^{m}\right)^{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{et}}\left[w^{m}\right] .
$$

One can define this Igusa variety of the first kind not only as a variety in characteristic $p$ but as a formal scheme. Thus we obtain formal schemes $\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ with special fibre $I_{U w, m}^{(h)}$ over which there is a universal deformation of the formal $\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}$-module $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ together with its Drinfeld level $w^{t}$-structure.

One can show that $\bar{X}_{U(m)}^{(h)}$ is a disjoint union of copies of $I_{U w, m}^{(h)}$ except that the structure map down to $\bar{X}_{U(0)}^{(h)}$ is twisted by a power of Frobenius. If $P_{h}$ denotes the opposite parabolic to $P_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ then one can obtain an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\rightarrow m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U(m)}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \cong \\
& \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)} \lim _{\rightarrow m, t} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)_{\eta}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it will suffice to compute

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{w}, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)_{\eta}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

The next step is to understand the vanishing cycle sheaves

$$
R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)_{\eta}}
$$

To do so we introduce a second generalisation of Igusa varieties, which we will call Igusa varieties of the second kind. More specifically we let $J_{U w, m, s}^{(h)} / I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times$ $k(w)^{a c}$ denote the moduli space for isomorphisms

$$
\alpha: \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\left[w^{s}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{s}\right],
$$

which for every $s^{\prime}>s$ lift etale locally to isomorphisms of the $w^{s^{\prime}}$-division schemes. As $s$ varies we get a system of finite etale Galois covers and the system has Galois group $\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}$. It is perhaps worth noting three things. One is that we need now the technical condition that the isomorphism must lift locally - this is because $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\left[w^{s}\right]\right)$ is not usually surjective. Secondly we remark that if one looked at a similar construction in the case of the ordinary locus of a modular curve one would just obtain the familiar Igusa curves. This is because in that case there is a duality between the connected and etale part of the analogue of $\mathcal{G}$ (i.e. the $p$-divisible group of the universal elliptic curve). To the best of our knowledge, for $n-h>1$ the varieties $J_{U w, m, s}^{(h)}$ do not occur in the reduction of any Shimura variety. They seem to naturally exist only in characteristic $p$.

The idea is now that over the "pro-object" $\lim _{\leftarrow-s} J_{U w, m, s}^{(h)}$ we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{0} \cong \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}$ and $R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(e_{\left.F_{w} / \mathbb{Q}_{p} t\right)_{\eta}}\right.}$ becomes the constant sheaf $R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\left(\mathrm{Spf} R_{F w, n-h, t}\right)_{\eta}}$. If one descends this isomorphism back down to $I_{U w, s}^{(h)}$ one obtains an isomorphism

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow t} R^{j} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)_{\eta}} \cong \bigoplus_{\rho} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}[\rho]\right),
$$

where $\rho$ runs over irreducible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$(up to unramified twist). If $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}$ is the lisse $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-sheaf on $I_{U w, m}^{(h)}$ associated to the representation $\rho$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(J_{U w, m, \infty}^{(h)} / I_{U w, m}^{(h)}\right)$ then the sheaf $\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}[\rho]\right)$ is closely related to

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho),
$$

where now the rather mysterious action of

$$
G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \times I_{F_{w}}
$$

is concentrated on the constant sheaf $\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\pi)$. At least in our unskilled hands it took some effort to make sense of the non-mathematical ideas of this paragraph. We are very grateful to Berkovich for providing a key step in the argument.

In this way we obtain an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\rightarrow m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U(m)}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, R^{j} \Psi\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\oplus(n-h)} \cong \\
& \bigoplus_{\rho} \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\lim _{\rightarrow m} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\rho}\right)\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho)^{\oplus(n-h) / e[\rho]}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some explicit integers $e[\rho] \mid(n-h)$ (see section 3). (Here we are using unnormalised induction.) To complete the proof of theorem D it remains to
compute

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\pi}\right)
$$

as a $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times E_{u^{c}}^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{x \mid u, x \neq w}\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$-module.
At this point we return to Langlands' idea of using the Lefschetz trace formula to calculate the trace of the action of correspondences on Shimura varieties in characteristic $p$. In our case we use Fujiwara's "Deligne conjecture" to compute the trace of a Hecke operator acting on

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\pi}\right)
$$

in terms of data at fixed points. For this to be applicable there is a condition on the Hecke operator which corresponds to it being sufficiently twisted by Frobenius. Following Kottwitz we combine the results of Honda and Tate with some group theory (which we need in order to understand polarisations) to describe the points of $I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}$. We find an expression for the sum of the terms at fixed points in terms of orbital integrals in the group

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times E_{u^{c}}^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{x \mid u, x \neq w}\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} .
$$

Unlike Kottwitz's work there is no distinguished Frobenius element and we use a classification of points over $k(w)^{a c}$ rather than over finite extensions of $k(w)$. We are able to manipulate this expression so that it becomes a sum of orbital integrals in $G(\mathbb{A})$. In doing so we use again the condition that the Hecke operator "was sufficiently twisted by Frobenius" (cf [Cas]). Next we apply the trace formula on $X_{U} \times F_{u}^{a c}$ to relate traces of Hecke operators on

$$
\sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left[\lim _{\rightarrow} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U w, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\pi}\right)\right]
$$

with traces of related Hecke operators on

$$
\sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left[\lim _{\rightarrow} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times F_{w}^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

From this comparison it is not hard to deduce theorem D.
We remark that we recover in this way some of Kottwitz's results from [Ko4]. Although we have borrowed many of Kottwitz's ideas our argument in the case of overlap does seem to be somewhat different. For instance we make no appeal to the fundamental lemma for stable base change (at this point in the argument).
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## 1 Notation

In this section we will introduce some notation which we will use throughout this paper. The reader should also consult appendix I for some basic group theory notation.

We will let $p$ and $l$ denote distinct rational primes, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ring of elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with denominator coprime to $p, \operatorname{val}_{p}$ the $p$-adic valuation (so that $\operatorname{val}_{p}(p)=1$ and $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{p}\right.$ the $p$-adic absolute value (so that $| p\right|_{p}=1 / p$ ).

If $X$ is a scheme and $x$ is a point of $X$ we will let $k(x)$ denote the residue field at $x$. We will let $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ denote the local ring of $X$ at $x$ and we will let $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\wedge}$ denote its completion at its maximal ideal. If $Y \subset X$ is a locally
closed subscheme we will let $X_{Y}$ denote the completion of $X$ along $Y$. For instance $X_{x}^{\wedge}=\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\wedge}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme then $\mathcal{X}$ has a unique largest ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$. The formal scheme with the same underlying topological space as $\mathcal{X}$ and with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} / \mathcal{I}$ is in fact a scheme which we will refer to as the reduced subscheme of $\mathcal{X}$, and will denote $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}}$. (See section 10.5 of [EGAI].)

If $k$ is a field and $A / k$ is an abelian variety we will let $T A$ denote the Tate module of $A$, i.e.

$$
T A=\lim _{\leftarrow N} A[N]\left(k^{a c}\right),
$$

where the limit is over all positive integers $N$. We will also introduce the characteristic zero version of the Tate module

$$
V A=T A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} .
$$

If $S$ is a finite set of rational primes we will let $T^{S} A$ and $V^{S} A$ denote the "away from $S$ " Tate modules, i.e.

$$
T^{S} A=\lim _{\leftarrow N} A[N]\left(k^{a c}\right)
$$

where the limit is over all positive integers coprime to $S$, and $V^{S} A=T^{S} A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We similarly define the "at $S$ " Tate modules $T_{S} A$ and $V_{S} A$.

If $A$ and $A^{\prime} / S$ are abelian schemes then by an isogeny $\alpha: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ we shall mean an invertible element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We will denote $\operatorname{Hom}(A, A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ $\mathbb{Q}$ by $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$. By a polarisation $\lambda$ of $A$ we shall mean a homomorphism $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ such that for each geometric point $s$ of $S$ the homomorphism $\lambda_{s}$ is a polarisation in the usual sense. If $p$ is a rational prime then by a prime-to- $p$-isogeny we shall mean an invertible element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. By a prime-to- $p$-polarisation of $A$ we shall mean a polarisation $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ which is also a prime-to- $p$-isogeny.

If $L^{\prime} / L$ is a finite field extension we will let $N_{L^{\prime} / L}$ denote the norm from $L^{\prime}$ to $L$.

If $R$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-algebra we will let $\mathrm{Fr}: R \rightarrow R$ denote the Frobenius morphism which takes $x \in R$ to $x^{p} \in R$. If $X / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a scheme we will let $\mathrm{Fr}^{*}: X \rightarrow X$ denote the Frobenius morphism induced by Fr on structure sheaves. If $Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of schemes over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ then we will let $Y_{X}^{(p)}$ (or simply $Y^{(p)}$, if no confusion seems likely to arise) denote the pull back of $Y$ by $\mathrm{Fr}^{*}: X \rightarrow X$. We will also let $F_{Y / X}: Y \rightarrow Y_{/ X}^{(p)}$ (or simply $F: Y \rightarrow Y^{(p)}$ when no confusion seems likely to arise) denote the relative Frobenius, i.e. the morphism that arises from $\mathrm{Fr}: Y \rightarrow Y$ and the universal property of the pull back $Y_{/ X}^{(p)}$. If
$Y / X$ is a finite flat group scheme then we will let $V: Y^{(p)} \rightarrow Y$ denote the dual of $F: Y^{\vee} \rightarrow Y^{\vee,(p)}=Y^{(p), \vee}$, where $Y^{\vee}$ is the Cartier dual of $Y$. This definition then extends to $p$-divisible groups $Y / X$. The morphism $V: Y^{(p)} \rightarrow Y$ induces a morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules

$$
V_{*}:\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{*}\right)^{*} \operatorname{Lie} Y \cong \operatorname{Lie} Y^{(p)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie} Y .
$$

Combining this with the natural map Fr : Lie $Y \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{*}\right)^{*}$ Lie $Y$ we get a map, which we will also denote $V_{*}$, from $\operatorname{Lie} Y$ to itself over $X$, which satisfies

$$
V_{*}(x y)=x^{p} V_{*}(y)
$$

for $x$ a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ and for $y$ a section of $\operatorname{Lie} Y$.
If $k / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a finite extension we will let $\operatorname{Frob}_{k} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(k^{a c} / k\right)$ denote $\mathrm{Fr}^{-\left[k: \mathbb{F}_{p}\right]}$, i.e. it will denote a geometric Frobenius element.

We will let $K$ denote a $p$-adic field, i.e. a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. We will let $v_{K}: K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote its unique valuation which is normalised to send uniformisers to 1 . We will let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ denote its ring of integers, $\wp_{K}$ the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $k\left(v_{K}\right)=k\left(\wp_{K}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}$ its residue field. We will often use $\varpi_{K}$ to denote a uniformiser in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. We will define an absolute value $\left|\left.\right|_{K}=| |_{v_{K}}\right.$ on $K$ by

$$
|x|_{K}=\left(\# k\left(v_{K}\right)\right)^{-v_{K}(x)},
$$

for $x \in K^{\times}$. We will let $\mathbb{C}_{v_{K}}=\mathbb{C}_{\wp_{K}}$ denote the completion of the algebraic closure of $K$. We will let $K^{\mathrm{nr}}$ denote the maximal unramified extension of $K$ and we will let $\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ denote the completion of $K^{\mathrm{nr}}$.

We will let $I_{K} \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{a c} / K\right)$ denote the inertia subgroup, so that

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{a c} / K\right) / I_{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\mathrm{nr}} / K\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c} / k\left(v_{K}\right)\right) .
$$

We will let $W_{K} \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{a c} / K\right)$ denote the Weil group, i.e. the inverse image in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{a c} / K\right)$ of $\operatorname{Frob}_{k\left(v_{K}\right)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c} / k\left(v_{K}\right)\right)$. We will write $\operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}$ for $\operatorname{Frob}_{k\left(v_{K}\right)}$, and will without comment think of it as an element of $W_{K} / I_{K}$. If $\sigma \in W_{K}$ then we define $v_{K}(\sigma)$ by $\sigma I_{K}=\operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{v_{K}(\sigma)}$. We will let $f_{K}=f_{v_{K}}=$ $\left[k\left(v_{K}\right): \mathbb{F}_{p}\right]$ and $e_{K}=e_{v_{K}}=\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] / f_{K}$. If $g$ is a positive integer we will let $D_{K, g}$ denote the division algebra with centre $K$ and Hasse invariant $1 / g$. The algebra $D_{K, g}$ has a unique maximal order, which we will denote $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}$. We will also let det denote the reduced norm from $D_{K, g}$ to $K$ and $\Pi_{K, g}$ a uniformiser in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}$.

Local class field theory gives us a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Art}_{K}: K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{K}^{\mathrm{ab}} .
$$

There is a choice of sign in the definition of $\mathrm{Art}_{K}$. We will choose a normalisation which makes uniformisers and geometric Frobenius elements correspond. If $\sigma \in W_{K}$ we will define

$$
|\sigma|_{K}=\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1} \sigma\right|_{K}=p^{-f_{K} v_{K}(\sigma)}
$$

We will let $c$ denote the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$. We take Art $\mathbb{C}$ : $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{C})$ to be the trivial homomorphism and we take

$$
\operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}^{\times} / \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})
$$

to be the unique isomorphism. We take $\left|\left.\right|_{\mathbb{R}}\right.$ to be the usual absolute value and $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{\mathbb{C}}\right.$ to be the square of the usual absolute value, i.e. $| z\right|_{\mathbb{C}}=\left|z z^{c}\right|_{\mathbb{R}}$.

If $L$ is a number field we will let $\mathbb{A}_{L}$ denote the adeles of $L$. If $S$ is a finite set of places of $L$ we decompose $\mathbb{A}_{L}=\mathbb{A}_{L}^{S} \times L_{S}$ where $\mathbb{A}_{L}^{S}$ denotes the adeles away from $S$ and where $L_{S}=\prod_{x \in S} L_{x}$. Also let $\overline{\mathbb{A}}_{L}^{S}$ denote

$$
\lim \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{L^{\prime}}^{S\left(L^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where $L^{\prime}$ runs over finite extensions of $L$ and where $S\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ is the set of places of $L^{\prime}$ above $S$. The product of the normalised absolute values gives a homomorphism

$$
\left|\left|=\prod_{x}\right|\right|_{L_{x}}: L^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{L}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}
$$

Global class field theory tells us that the product of the local Artin maps gives an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Art}_{L}: L^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{L}^{\times} /\left(L_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

where $\left(L_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{0}$ denotes the connected component of the identity in $L_{\infty}^{\times}$.
Suppose that $\imath: \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. If

$$
\psi: \mathbb{A}_{L}^{\times} / L^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

is a continuous character, we will call $\psi$ algebraic if we can find integers $n_{\sigma}$ for each embedding $\sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\left.\psi\right|_{L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}}=\prod_{\sigma}(\sigma \otimes 1)^{n_{\sigma}}
$$

In this case there is a unique continuous character

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{l, \imath}(\psi)=\operatorname{rec}(\psi): \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)^{\times}
$$

such that for any finite place $x \nmid l$ of $L$ we have

$$
\imath \circ \operatorname{rec}_{l, \imath}(\psi) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{L_{x}}=\left.\psi\right|_{L_{x}^{\times}} .
$$

More explicitly $\operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}(\psi)=\psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{L}^{-1}$ where

$$
\psi^{\prime}: L^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{L}^{\times} /\left(L_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{0} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)^{\times}
$$

is defined by

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x)=\imath\left(\psi(x) \prod_{\sigma}(\sigma \otimes 1)\left(x_{\infty}\right)^{-n_{\sigma}}\right) \prod_{\sigma}((\imath \circ \sigma) \otimes 1)\left(x_{l}\right)^{n_{\sigma}} .
$$

If $M$ is any $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right)$-module we will let

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}(L, M)
$$

denote the subset of $H^{1}(L, M)$ of elements that become trivial in $H^{1}\left(L_{x}, M\right)$ for every place $x$ of $L$.

If $L$ is a CM field we will let $c$ denote complex conjugation on $L$, i.e. the unique automorphism of $L$ which coincides with complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}$ for any embedding $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\varepsilon \in M_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the idempotent

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
& \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus for any ring $R$ we have isomorphisms

- $M_{n}(R) \varepsilon \cong R^{n}$ via the map sending $x$ to its first column;
- $\varepsilon M_{n}(R) \cong\left(R^{n}\right)^{\vee}$ via the map sending $x$ to its first row;
- the map $M_{n}(R) \varepsilon \otimes_{R} \varepsilon M_{n}(R) \rightarrow M_{n}(R)$ sending $x \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon y$ to $x \varepsilon y$ is an isomorphism.

Suppose that $L$ is a field of characteristic 0 and that $C$ is a finite dimensional semi-simple $L$-algebra. Suppose that $*$ is an involution on $C$ (i.e. $*: C \rightarrow C$ satisfies $(x+y)^{*}=x^{*}+y^{*},(x y)^{*}=y^{*} x^{*}$ and $*^{2}=1$ ) such that
$\left.*\right|_{L} \neq 1$. Set $L^{+}=L^{*=1}$. Suppose that $W$ is a $C$-module which is finite dimensional over $L$. We will call a non-degenerate $L^{+}$-alternating pairing

$$
\langle,\rangle: W \times W \longrightarrow L^{+}
$$

*-Hermitian if

$$
\langle\gamma x, y\rangle=\left\langle x, \gamma^{*} y\right\rangle
$$

for all $x, y \in W$ and all $\gamma \in C$. We will call two such pairings $\langle$,$\rangle and \langle,\rangle_{1}$ equivalent if we can find $\delta \in \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)^{\times}$and $\mu \in\left(L^{+}\right)^{\times}$such that

$$
\langle x, y\rangle_{1}=\mu\langle\delta x, \delta y\rangle
$$

for all $x, y \in W$. We may classify equivalence classes of non-degenerate $L^{+}{ }_{-}$ alternating $*$-Hermitian pairings $W \times W \rightarrow L^{+}$as follows.

Fix one such pairing $\langle,\rangle_{0}$ (if one exists). We will denote by $*_{0}$ the involution on $\operatorname{End}_{L^{+}}(W)$ such that

$$
\langle\delta x, y\rangle=\left\langle x, \delta^{*} y\right\rangle
$$

for all $x, y \in W$ and all $\delta \in \operatorname{End}_{L^{+}}(W)$. Note that $\left.*_{0}\right|_{C}=*$ and that $*_{0}$ preserves $\operatorname{End}_{C}(W)$. Define a reductive algebraic group $H / L^{+}$by setting, for any $L^{+}$-algebra $R, H(R)$ equal to the set of $\delta \in\left(\operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L^{+}} R\right)^{\times}$such that

$$
\delta \delta^{*_{0}} \in R^{\times} .
$$

Note that if $R$ is an $L$-algebra then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L^{+}} R \cong\left(\operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L^{+}} L\right) \otimes_{L} R \cong \\
& \operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L} R \oplus \operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L,\left.*\right|_{L}} R
\end{aligned}
$$

and $*_{0}$ interchanges the two factors. Then $H(R)$ consists of the set of pairs $\left(x, \lambda x^{* 0}\right)$, where $x \in\left(\operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L} R\right)^{\times}$and $\lambda \in R^{\times}$, i.e.

$$
H(R) \cong\left(\operatorname{End}_{C}(W) \otimes_{L} R\right)^{\times} \times R^{\times}
$$

By Hilbert 90 we see that $H^{1}(L, H)=(0)$ and so

$$
H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L^{+}\right), H(L)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}\left(L^{+}, H\right)
$$

We can describe $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L^{+}\right), H(L)\right)$ as the set of equivalence classes of pairs $(\lambda, \gamma) \in L^{\times} \times \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)^{\times}$such that $\lambda$ has norm 1 down to $L^{+}$and such that

$$
\gamma^{* 0}=\lambda^{*} \gamma
$$

We consider $(\lambda, \gamma)$ and $\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent if there exists $\mu \in L^{\times}$and $\delta \in$ End $_{C}(W)^{\times}$such that

$$
\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\lambda \mu / \mu^{*}, \mu^{-*} \delta \gamma \delta^{*_{0}}\right)
$$

Applying Hilbert 90 to $L / L^{+}$we see that $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L^{+}\right), H(L)\right)$ is also in bijection with equivalence classes of

$$
\gamma \in \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)^{\times} \cap \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)^{* 0=1}
$$

where we consider $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ equivalent if there exists $\mu \in\left(L^{+}\right)^{\times}$and $\delta \in$ End $_{C}(W)^{\times}$such that

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\mu \delta g a m m a \delta^{* 0}
$$

Any non-degenerate, $L^{+}$-alternating, $*$-Hermitian form $W \times W \rightarrow L^{+}$is of the form

$$
\langle x, y\rangle_{\delta}=\langle\delta x, \delta y\rangle_{0}
$$

for some $\delta \in \operatorname{End}_{L^{+}}(W)^{\times}$with

$$
\delta^{* 0} \delta \in \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)^{*_{0}=1}
$$

Moreover $\langle,\rangle_{\delta}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{\delta^{\prime}}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists $\gamma \in$ End $C_{C}(W)^{\times}$and $\lambda \in\left(L^{+}\right)^{\times}$such that

$$
\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{*_{0}} \delta^{\prime}=\lambda \gamma^{*_{0}}\left(\delta^{*_{0}} \delta\right) \gamma
$$

Note that any element $\gamma \in \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)^{*_{0}=1}$ can be written $\delta^{*_{0}} \delta$ for some $\delta \in \operatorname{End}_{L^{+}}(W)$. (If we choose an $L^{+}$-basis of $W$ we get an isomorphism of $\operatorname{End}_{L^{+}}(W)$ with $M_{2 N}\left(L^{+}\right)$for some integer $N$. Moreover $\langle$,$\rangle is represented$ by an anti-symmetric matrix $J \in G L_{2 N}\left(L^{+}\right)$and if $\delta \in M_{2 N}\left(L^{+}\right)$then $\delta^{*_{0}}=$ $J^{-1} \delta^{t} J$ (where $t$ denotes the transpose). Thus if $\delta^{*_{0}}=\delta$ we see that $J \delta$ is antisymmetric and hence that

$$
J \delta=\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{t} J \delta^{\prime}
$$

for some $\delta^{\prime} \in G L_{2 N}\left(L^{+}\right)$. Thus $\delta=\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)^{* 0} \delta^{\prime}$.) We deduce that the correspondence which associates $\langle,\rangle_{\delta}$ with $\delta \delta^{*}$ sets up a bijection between

- equivalence classes of non-degenerate $L^{+}$-alternating $*$-Hermitian forms on $W$
- and $H^{1}\left(L^{+}, H\right)$.

Suppose now that $L$ is a number field. Suppose that two classes $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in$ $H^{1}\left(L^{+}, H\right)$ correspond to non-degenerate $L^{+}$-alternating $*$-Hermitian forms $\langle,\rangle_{1}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{2}$. Then the same arguments show that $\langle,\rangle_{1}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{2}$ become equivalent over $\mathbb{A}_{L^{+}}^{S}$ (with the same definition of equivalence as over a field) if and only if $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ have the same image in $H^{1}\left(L^{+}, \overline{\mathbb{A}}_{L^{+}}^{S}\right)$.

We will call an $L^{+}$-bilinear pairing

$$
(, \quad): W \times W \longrightarrow L
$$

*-symmetric if ( , ) is $L$-linear in the first variable and satisfies

$$
(y, x)=(x, y)^{*}
$$

for all $x, y \in W$. We will call this pairing non-degenerate if $(x, y)=0$ for all $y \in W$ implies that $x=0$. We will call it 8-Hermitian if

$$
(\gamma x, y)=\left(x, \gamma^{*} y\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in W$ and all $\gamma \in C$. We call two $*$-Hermitian $*$-symmetric pairings $(,)_{1}$ and $(,)_{2}$ equivalent if there exists $\delta \in \operatorname{End}_{C}(W)$ and $\lambda \in\left(L^{+}\right)^{\times}$such that

$$
(x, y)_{2}=\lambda(\gamma x, \gamma y)
$$

for all $x, y \in W$.
Suppose that $L=L^{+}(\sqrt{a})$ where $\sqrt{a}^{2}=a \in L^{+}$. Then there is a bijection between equivalence classes of non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $*$-symmetric pairings $W \times W \rightarrow L$ and equivalence classes of non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $L^{+}$-alternating pairings $W \times W \rightarrow L^{+}$given as follows. If $\langle$,$\rangle is a non-$ degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $L^{+}$-alternating pairing $W \times W \rightarrow L^{+}$then associate to the equivalence class of $\langle$,$\rangle the equivalence class of the non-degenerate,$ $*$-Hermitian, $*$-symmetric pairing given by

$$
(x, y)=\langle\sqrt{a} x, y\rangle+\sqrt{a}\langle x, y\rangle .
$$

conversely if ( , ) is a non-degenerate, *-Hermitian, $*$-symmetric pairing $W \times$ $W \rightarrow L^{+}$then associate to the equivalence class of ( , ) the equivalence class of the non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $L^{+}$-alternating pairing given by

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=\operatorname{tr}_{L / L^{+}} \sqrt{a}(x, y)
$$

This bijection is independent of the choice of $\sqrt{a} \in L$.

Suppose that $L=\mathbb{C}, L^{+}=\mathbb{R}, C=\mathbb{C}^{I}, *=c^{I}$ and $W=\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)^{I}$. Then any non-degenerate $*$-Hermitian, $*$-symmetric pairing $W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of the form

$$
\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \times\left(\vec{y}_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \longmapsto \sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_{i}^{t} J_{i} \vec{y}_{i},
$$

where $J_{i}$ is the diagonal $n \times n$-matrix with 1 on the diagonal $a_{i}$ times and -1 on the diagonal $b_{i}=n-a_{i}$ times. This establishes a bijection between equivalence classes of non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $*$-symmetric pairings $W$ times $W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and equivalence classes of $I$-tuples $\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ of pairs of non-negative integers $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $a_{i}+b_{i}=n$ for all $i \in I$. We call the $I$-tuples $\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ and $\left(\left(b_{i}, a_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ equivalent, but consider no other pairs of $I$-tuples equivalent. We deduce that equivalence classes of non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairings $W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are also parametrised by such equivalence classes of $I$-tuples.

Now suppose that $L=\mathbb{C}, L^{+}=\mathbb{R}, C=M_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{I},\left(\gamma_{i}\right)^{*}=\left(\gamma_{i}^{c, t}\right)$ and $W=$ $C$. Then equivalence classes of non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairings $W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are still parametrised by equivalence classes of $I$-tuples $\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ of pairs of non-negative integers $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $a_{i}+b_{i}=n$ for all $i \in I$. again we call the $I$-tuples $\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ and $\left(\left(b_{i}, a_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ equivalent, but consider no other pairs of $I$-tuples equivalent. To see this one can note that to give a non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $\mathbb{R}$-alternating form $W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the same as giving a non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian for $\mathbb{C}^{I}, \mathbb{R}$-alternating form $\varepsilon W \times \varepsilon W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The equivalence sends $\langle$,$\rangle to the I$-tuple parametrising

$$
\left.\langle,\rangle\right|_{\varepsilon W \times \varepsilon W}
$$

Now suppose that $L$ is an imaginary quadratic field, that $M$ is a totally real field, that $C$ is a central simple $L M$-algebra with $\operatorname{dim}_{L M} C=n^{2}$, that $*$ is an involution of the second kind on $C$ (i.e. $\left.*\right|_{L M}=c$ ), that $*$ is positive (i.e. $\operatorname{tr}_{L M / \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{tr}_{C / L M}\left(\gamma \gamma^{*}\right)>0$ for all non-zero $\left.\gamma \in C\right)$ and that $W=C$. Then the 5 -tuple $\left(L_{\infty}, L_{\infty}^{+}, C_{\infty}, *, W_{\infty}\right)$ is isomorphic to the 5 -tuple

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, M_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{R})},\left(\gamma_{\tau}\right) \mapsto\left(\gamma_{\tau}^{c, t}\right), M_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{R})}\right)
$$

In particular we see that equivalence classes of non-degenerate, $*$-Hermitian, $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairings $W_{\infty} \times W_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are parametrised by equivalence classes of $\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{R})$-tuples as above.

We will let $E$ denote an imaginary quadratic field in which $p$ splits. We will let $c$ denote complex conjugation in $\operatorname{Gal}(E / \mathbb{Q})$. We will choose a prime $u$ of $E$ above $p$. We will also let $F^{+} / \mathbb{Q}$ denote a totally real field of degree $d$. We
will set $F=E . F^{+}$so that $F$ is a CM-field with maximal totally real subfield $F^{+}$. Let $w=w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r}$ denote the places of $F$ above $u$ and let $v=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ denote their restrictions to $F^{+}$. We will denote $\left[k\left(w_{i}\right): \mathbb{F}_{p}\right]$ by $f_{i}$. We will let $B / F$ denote a division algebra of dimension $n^{2}$ such that

- $F$ is the centre of $B$;
- the opposite algebra $B^{\mathrm{op}}$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes_{E, c} E$;
- $B$ is split at $w$;
- at any place $x$ of $F$ which is not split over $F^{+}, B_{x}$ is split;
- at any place $x$ of $F$ which is split over $F^{+}$either $B_{x}$ is split or $B_{x}$ is a division algebra,
- if $n$ is even then $1+d n / 2$ is congruent modulo 2 to the number of places of $F^{+}$above which $B$ is ramified.

We will let $\operatorname{tr}_{B / F}$ denote the reduced trace and $\operatorname{det}_{B / F}$ the reduced norm for $B / F$. When no confusion seems likely to arise we may drop the subscripts. Define $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by $[B: F]=n^{2}$.

We may pick an involution of the second kind $*$ on $B$. (That we may chose such an involution follows from the second and fourth of the above assumptions on $B$. More precisely lemma 8.1 of [ Sc$]$ defines homomorphism $\operatorname{Br}(F)^{\mathrm{op}=c} \rightarrow\left(F^{+}\right)^{\times} / N\left(F^{\times}\right)$and shows that $B$ has an involution of the second kind if and only if $[B]$ is in the kernel of this homomorphism. But $[B]$ is in the kernel if and only if $\left[B_{x}\right]$ is in the kernel for all places $x$ of $F$ which are non split over $F^{+}$.) We may and will further assume that $*$ is positive, i.e. for all nonzero $x \in B$ we have $\left(\operatorname{tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)\left(x x^{*}\right)>0$. (To see that we may suppose that $*$ is positive one may argue as follows. The involutions of the second kind on $B$ are exactly the maps of the form

$$
x \longmapsto b x^{*} b^{-1}
$$

where $b \in B^{\times}$and $b^{*} b^{-1} \in F$. By Hilbert's theorem 90 we may alter any such $b$ by an element of $F^{\times}$so that $b^{*}=b$. Thus we may suppose that $b^{*}=b$. By lemma 2.8 of $[\mathrm{Ko} 3]$ the set of invertible $b \in\left(B^{*=1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $x \mapsto b x^{*} b^{-1}$ is positive is a non-empty open set. Thus we can find an invertible $b \in B^{*=1}$ such that $x \mapsto b x^{*} b^{-1}$ is positive.)

We will let $V$ denote the $B \otimes_{F} B^{\text {op }}$ module $B$. We will be interested in
 $V$. Any such pairing is of the form

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)_{\beta}=\left(\operatorname{tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)\left(x_{1} \beta x_{2}^{*}\right),
$$

for some $\beta \in B^{*=-1}$. Define an involution of the second kind $\#_{\beta}$ on $B$ by $x^{\# \beta}=\beta x^{*} \beta^{-1}$. Then we have that

$$
\left(\left(b_{1} \otimes b_{2}\right) x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1},\left(b_{1}^{*} \otimes b_{2}^{\# \beta}\right) x_{2}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in V, b_{1} \in B$ and $b_{2} \in B^{\mathrm{op}}$. Also let $G_{\beta} / \mathbb{Q}$ be the algebraic group whose $R$-points (for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$ ) are the set of pairs

$$
(\lambda, g) \in R^{\times} \times\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R\right)^{\times}
$$

such that

$$
g g^{\#_{\beta}}=\lambda .
$$

This comes with a homomorphism $\nu: G_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ which sends $(\lambda, g)$ to $\lambda$. We will let $G_{\beta, 1}$ denote the kernel of $\nu$. Note that the structure map $G_{\beta, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}$ factors through Spec $F^{+}$so we may also consider $G_{\beta, 1}$ as an algebraic group over $F^{+}$.

Choose a distinguished embedding $\tau_{0}: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 1.1 We can choose an element $0 \neq \beta \in B^{*=-1}$ such that

1. if $x$ is a rational prime which is not split in $E$ then $G_{\beta}$ is quasisplit at $x$,
2. and if $\tau: F^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $G_{\beta, 1} \times{ }_{F^{+}, \tau} \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to $U(1, n-1)$ if $\tau=\tau_{0}$ and $U(n)$ otherwise.

Proof: Choose $0 \neq \beta_{0} \in B^{*=-1}$ and suppose that if $x$ is an infinite place of $F^{+}$ then $G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\left(F_{x}^{+}\right) \cong U\left(p_{0, x}, q_{0, x}\right)$. We will look for an element $\alpha \in B$, such that the element $\beta=\alpha \beta_{0}$ satisfies the conditions of the lemma. Firstly we require that

$$
\alpha^{\# \beta_{0}}=\alpha .
$$

Thus $\alpha$ defines a class in $H^{1}\left(F / F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)$, where $P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}$ is the adjoint group of $G_{\beta_{0}, 1}$. Every class in $H^{1}\left(F / F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)$ arises in this way. (By definition such a class is represented by $\alpha \in\left(B^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$such that $\alpha \alpha^{-\#_{\beta_{0}}}=\lambda \in F^{\times}$. Then $\lambda$ has norm 1 in $F^{+}$and so by Hilbert's theorem 90 can be written as $\mu^{c} / \mu$. Then $\mu \alpha$ represents the same class as $\alpha$ and $\mu \alpha=(\mu \alpha)^{\#_{\beta_{0}}}$.) Moreover $G_{\alpha \beta_{0}, 1}$ is the inner form of $G_{\beta_{0}, 1}$ classified by

$$
[\alpha] \in H^{1}\left(F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)
$$

If $x$ is a place of $F^{+}$which splits in $F$ and if $y$ is a place of $F$ above $x$ then we have natural maps

$$
H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(F_{y}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \cong H^{2}\left(F_{y}, \mu_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}
$$

If $x$ is a finite place of $F^{+}$which does not split in $F$ then according to section 2 of [Cl1] we have

$$
H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

Moreover if $x$ is an infinite place then $H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)$ is in bijection with the set of unordered pairs of non-negative integers $\left\{p_{x}, q_{x}\right\}$ with $p_{x}+q_{x}=n$. In both these cases the map

$$
H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{x}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)
$$

is trivial. Clozel also shows (lemma 2.1 of [Cl1]) that if $n$ is odd then the map

$$
H^{1}\left(F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x} H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)
$$

is surjective. If on the other hand $n$ is even he shows there is a map

$$
\bigoplus_{x} H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

whose kernel coincides with the image of $H^{1}\left(F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)$. Clozel describes this map as the sum of the natural maps

$$
H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

if $x$ is finite; and the map

$$
H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

which sends $\left\{p_{x}, q_{x}\right\}$ to $p_{x, 0}-p_{x} \bmod 2$, if $x$ is infinite. (See section 2 of [Cl1], particularly lemma 2.2.)

Suppose that $B$ is ramified above $s$ places of $F^{+}$which split in $F$. If $x$ is a place of $F^{+}$which does not split in $F$ let $u_{x} \in H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)$ denote the class of the quasi-split inner form of $P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}$ over $F_{x}^{+}$. If $n$ is even then we see that

$$
s+n d / 2+\sum_{x \mid \infty} p_{x, 0}+\sum_{x \nmid \infty} u_{x} \equiv 0 \bmod 2 .
$$

Let $A=\mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$ if $n$ is odd and $A=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ if $n$ is even. Also suppose that $B$ is ramified above $s$ places of $F^{+}$which split in $F$. Then we see that we get maps

$$
H^{1}\left(F / F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x} H^{1}\left(F_{x}^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right) \longrightarrow A
$$

where $x$ runs over places of $F^{+}$which do not split in $F$, where the second map is as described above and where the sequence is exact in the middle. The lemma requires us to find a class in $H^{1}\left(F / F^{+}, P G_{\beta_{0}, 1}\right)$ which maps to

- $u_{x}$ if $x$ is a finite place of $F^{+}$which does not split in $F$,
- $\{1, n-1\}$ if $x$ is an infinite place corresponding to $\tau_{0}: F^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
- and $\{0, n\}$ if $x$ is any other infinite place of $F^{+}$.

If $n$ is odd this will be possible. If $n$ is even this is possible if

$$
1+\sum_{x \nmid \infty} u_{x}+\sum_{x \mid \infty} p_{x, 0} \equiv 0 \bmod 2,
$$

i.e. if

$$
1 \equiv s+n d / 2 \bmod 2
$$

The lemma follows.
Now fix $\beta$ as in the lemma. We will drop the subscript $\beta$ from $\#, G$ and $G_{1}$. Note that the corresponding alternating form on $V$,

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\operatorname{tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)\left(x_{1} \beta x_{2}^{*}\right),
$$

has parameters $(n, 0)$ at any embedding $\tau \neq \tau_{0}$ and $(n-1,1)$ at $\tau_{0}$.
If $R$ is an $E$-algebra then $G(R)$ can be identified with the set of pairs

$$
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{E} R\right) \times\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{E, c} R\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left(g_{1} g_{2}^{\#}, g_{2} g_{1}^{\#}\right) \in R^{\times}
$$

Thus we have

$$
G(R) \cong\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{E} R\right)^{\times} \times R^{\times}
$$

where

$$
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \longmapsto\left(g_{1}, g_{1} g_{2}^{\#}\right)
$$

and inversely

$$
(g, \nu) \longmapsto\left(g, \nu g^{-\#}\right) .
$$

In particular we get an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}\left(G \times_{\mathbb{Q}} E\right) \cong \mathrm{RS}_{Q}^{E}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \times H_{B^{\mathrm{op}}},
$$

where RS denotes Weil's restriction of scalars and where $H_{B \text { op }} / \mathbb{Q}$ is the algebraic group defined by

$$
H_{B^{\mathrm{op}}}(R)=\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R\right)^{\times} .
$$

Suppose that $x$ is a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits as $x=y y^{c}$ in $E$. Then the choice of a place $y \mid x$ allows us to consider $\mathbb{Q}_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{y}$ as an $E$-algebra and hence to identify

$$
G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \cong\left(B_{y}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_{x}^{\times}
$$

In particular, we get an isomorphism

$$
G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

which sends $g$ to $\left(\nu(g), g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right)$. We will often let

$$
\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

denote a typical element of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Similarly we will decompose a typical element $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ as $\left(g_{x}\right)_{x \neq p} \times\left(g_{p, 0}, g_{w_{1}}, \ldots, g_{w_{r}}\right)$ with $g_{x} \in G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right), g_{p, 0} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$ and $g_{w_{i}} \in B_{w_{i}}^{\times}$; or as $g^{p} \times g_{p, 0} \times g_{w} \times g_{p}^{w}$, where $g^{p}=\left(g_{x}\right)_{x \neq p}, g_{w}=g_{w_{1}}$ and $g_{p}^{w}=\left(g_{w_{2}}, \ldots, g_{w_{r}}\right)$. We will let $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{w}\right)$ denote the subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A})$ consisting of elements with $g_{p, 0}=1$ and $g_{w}=1$. Similarly if $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 we may decompose it $\pi \cong \pi^{p} \otimes \pi_{p} \cong \pi^{p} \otimes \pi_{p, 0} \otimes \pi_{p, 1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \pi_{p, r} \cong \pi^{p} \otimes \pi_{p, 0} \otimes \pi_{w} \otimes \pi_{p}^{w} \cong$ $\pi^{w} \otimes \pi_{p, 0} \otimes \pi_{w}$. Note that $\pi_{p, 0}=\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{E_{u c}^{\star}}$.

Fix a maximal order $\Lambda_{i}=\mathcal{O}_{B_{w_{i}}}$ in $B_{w_{i}}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, r$. Our pairing $($,$) gives a perfect duality between V_{w_{i}}$ and $V_{w_{i}^{c}}$. Let $\Lambda_{i}^{\vee} \subset V_{w_{i}^{c}}$ denote the dual of $\Lambda_{i} \subset V_{w_{i}}$. Then if

$$
\Lambda=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Lambda_{i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Lambda_{i}^{\vee} \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}
$$

we see that $\Lambda$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-lattice in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and that the pairing $($,$) on V$ restricts to give a perfect pairing $\Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

There is a unique maximal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p) \text {-order }} \mathcal{O}_{B} \subset B$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{B}^{*}=\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}=\mathcal{O}_{B_{w_{i}}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{B, p}$ equals the set of elements of $B_{p}$ which carry $\Lambda$ into itself. On the other hand the stabiliser of $\Lambda$ in $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{B_{w_{i}}}^{\times}$.

Fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{B_{w}} \cong M_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$. Composing this with the transpose map $t$ we also get an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{B_{w}}^{\text {op }} \cong M_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$. Moreover we get an isomorphism

$$
\varepsilon \Lambda_{1} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n}\right)^{\vee}
$$

The action of $g \in M_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{B_{w}}^{\text {op }}\right)$ on this module is via right multiplication by $g^{t}$. We will write $\Lambda_{11}$ as an abbreviation for $\varepsilon \Lambda_{1}$. We get an identification

$$
\Lambda \cong\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n} \otimes \Lambda_{11}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n} \otimes \Lambda_{11}\right)^{\vee}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r}\left(\Lambda_{i} \oplus \Lambda_{i}^{\vee}\right)
$$

Under this identification $\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right) \in G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ acts as

$$
\left(\left(1 \otimes g_{1}\right) \oplus g_{0}\left(1 \otimes g_{1}^{-1}\right)^{\vee}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r}\left(g_{i} \oplus g_{0}\left(g_{i}^{-1}\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

Fix a square root

$$
\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{1 / 2}:\left(K^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)^{\times}\right.
$$

of $\left|\left.\right|_{K}: K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{\times}\right.$, i.e. fix a square root of $p^{f_{K}}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$. If $f_{K}$ is even we assume that this square root is chosen to be $p^{f_{K} / 2}$. Also choose $\imath: \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c} \cong \mathbb{C}$, such that $\imath \circ\left|\left.\right|^{1 / 2}\right.$ is valued in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$. We apologise for making such an ugly choice. The reader will see that all our main results are independent of the choice of $\imath$, but it would require a lot of extra notation to make the proofs free of such a choice. Some of our main results do involve the choice of $\left|\left.\right|^{1 / 2}\right.$, but in each case this choice is involved in more than one place and all that matters is that the same choice is made at each place.

We will let $\xi$ denote an irreducible representation of the algebraic group $G$ on a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ vector space $W_{\xi}$.

## 2 Barsotti-Tate groups

For the definition of a Barsotti-Tate group over a scheme $S$ we refer the reader to section 2 of chapter I of [Me]. Suppose that $S$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ scheme, then by a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H / S$ we shall mean a Barsotti-Tate group $H / S$ together with an embedding $\mathcal{O}_{K} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(H)$ (ring morphisms are assumed to send the multiplicative identity to itself) such that the induced action of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ on Lie $H$ coincides with the action coming from the structural morphism $H \rightarrow S \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K}$. We call a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H$ ind-etale if the underlying Barsotti-Tate group is ind-etale (see example 3.7 of chapter I of $[\mathrm{Me}]$ ). There is an equivalence of categories between ind-etale BarsottiTate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules and finite, torsion free lisse etale $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$-sheaves on $S$ (see example 3.7 of chapter I of [Me]). If $S$ is connected we define the height of a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H$ to be the unique integer $h(H)$ such that $H\left[\wp_{K}^{n}\right]$ has rank $q_{K}^{h(H)}$ for all $n \geq 1$. The usual height of $H$ as a Barsotti-Tate group is
$h(H)\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$. In general we will let $H^{\vee}$ denote the unique Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ module such that $H^{\vee}\left[p^{r}\right]$ is the Cartier dual of $H\left[p^{r}\right]$ for all $p$ and such that the inclusions $H^{\vee}\left[p^{r}\right] \hookrightarrow H^{\vee}\left[p^{s}\right]$ for $s \geq r$ are the Cartier duals of $H\left[p^{s}\right] \xrightarrow{p^{s-r}} H\left[p^{r}\right]$. We will refer to $H^{\vee}$ as the Cartier dual of $H$.

Now suppose that $p$ is locally nilpotent on $S$. We will call a BarsottiTate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H$ formal if the $p$-torsion $H[p]$ in $H$ is radicial. There is an equivalence of categories between the category of formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ module and the category of formal Lie groups $\Theta / S$ together with a morphism $\mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\Theta)$ such that

- $\Theta[p]$ is finite and locally free;
- $p: \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$ is an epimorphism;
- the induced action of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ on $\operatorname{Lie} \Theta$ coincides with the action coming from the structural morphism $\Theta \rightarrow S \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K}$.
(This follows from corollary 4.5 of chapter II of [Me].)
Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally noetherian formal scheme with ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$. We will let $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ denote the scheme with underlying topological space $\mathcal{X}$ and structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} / \mathcal{I}^{n}$. By a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $\mathcal{H}$ over the locally noetherian formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ we shall mean a system of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ modules $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ over the schemes $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ together with compatible isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n} \times_{\mathcal{X}_{n}} \mathcal{X}_{m} \cong \mathcal{X}_{m}
$$

whenever $m \leq n$. This definition is easily checked to be canonically independent of the choice of ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$. We will call $\mathcal{H}$ ind-etale (resp. formal) if each $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ is ind-etale (resp. formal). Note that in fact $\mathcal{H}$ is indetale (resp. formal) if and only if $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ is ind-etale (resp. formal, see paragraph 3.2 of chapter II of [Me]). If $A$ is a noetherian ring complete with respect to the $I$-adic topology for some ideal $I$, then there is a natural functor from Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules $H / \operatorname{Spec} A$ to Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules $\mathcal{H} / \operatorname{Spf} A$. It follows from lemma 4.16 of chapter II of [Me] that if $I$ contains some power of $p$ then this is in fact an equivalence of categories which preserves exact sequences. We remark that $\mathcal{H} / \operatorname{Spf} A$ may be formal while the corresponding Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H / \operatorname{Spec} A$ is not.

Lemma 2.1 Suppose that p is locally nilpotent on a locally noetherian scheme $S$ and that $H / S$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module. Then for $h \in Z_{\geq 0}$ we can find reduced closed subschemes $S^{[h]} \subset S$ such that

1. $S^{[h]} \supset S^{[h-1]}$;
2. the codimension of any component of $S^{[h-1]}$ in any component of $S^{[h]}$ which contains it is at most 1 ;
3. for any geometric point $s$ of $S$ we have that $s$ lies in $S^{[h]}$ if and only if $\# H[p](k(s)) \leq p^{\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] h} ;$
4. on $S^{(h)}=S^{[h]}-S^{[h-1]}$ there is a short exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

where $H^{0}$ is a formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module and where $H^{\text {et }}$ is an ind-etale Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $h$.

Proof: By proposition 4.9 of $[\mathrm{Me}]$ it suffices to show that for $g \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$ we can find closed subschemes $S_{g}^{\prime} \subset S$ such that

1. $S_{g}^{\prime} \supset S_{g-1}^{\prime}$;
2. if $s$ is a geometric point of $S$ then $s$ lies in $S_{g}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\# H[p](k(s)) \leq$ $p^{g}$;
3. the codimension of any component of $S_{g-1}^{\prime}$ in any component of $S_{g}^{\prime}$ which contains it is at most 1 .

The question is local on $S$ so we may assume that $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$ for a noetherian ring $R$. We may further assume that $S$ is reduced. By a simple inductive argument it suffices in fact to show that if for any geometric point $s$ of $S$ we have $\# H[p](k(s)) \leq p^{g}$ then we can find a reduced closed subscheme $S^{\prime} \subset S$ such that

1. a geometric point $s$ of $S$ lies in $S^{\prime}$ if and only if $\# h[p](k(s))<p^{g}$;
2. any irreducible component of $S^{\prime}$ has codimension at most one in any irreducible component of $S$ containing it.

Finally we may assume that $S$ is in fact integral.
We now follow the arguments of page 97 of [O]. Let $\mathcal{H} / S$ denote the locally free sheaf $\operatorname{Lie}\left(H[p]^{\vee}\right)=$ Lie $H^{\vee}$ (the equality here follows from remark 3.3.20 of chapter II of [Me] because $p=0$ on $S$ ). For any geometric point $s$ of $S$ there is a canonical perfect pairing between $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{V_{*}=1}$ and $H_{s}[p](k(s))$. (This seems to be well known, but we know of no reference for the statement in exactly this form, so we will sketch the proof. On page 138 of [Mu1] we see that we can
identify $\mathcal{H}_{s}$ with $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{s}[p], \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)$ and that $V_{*}$ then becomes identified with the $\operatorname{map} \phi \mapsto \phi \circ \mathrm{Fr}^{*}$. We get a pairing

$$
\begin{array}{ccclc}
H_{s}[p](k(s)) & \times & \mathcal{H}_{s} & \longrightarrow & k(s) \\
x & \times & \phi & \longmapsto & \phi \circ x,
\end{array}
$$

where $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{s}[p], \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)$ and $\phi \circ x \in \mathbb{G}_{a}(k(s))=k(s)$. We see that it restricts to a pairing

$$
H_{s}[p](k(s)) \times \mathcal{H}_{s}^{V_{*}=1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

If $\phi \circ x=0$ for all $x \in H_{s}[p](k(s))$ then $\phi$ factors through the local ring of $\mathbb{G}_{a}$ at 0 . If moreover $\phi \circ \mathrm{Fr}^{*}=\phi$ then we see that $\phi=0$. Thus our pairing gives an injection

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s}^{V_{*}=1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{s}[p](k(s)), \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)
$$

To show this is in fact an isomorphism one can count orders. Suppose that $\# H_{s}[p](k(s))=p^{h}$. Then we have an embedding $\mu_{p}^{h} \hookrightarrow H_{s}[p]^{\vee}$ and so an embedding Lie $\mu_{p}^{h} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s}$. But $\left(\text { Lie } \mu_{p}\right)^{V_{*}=1}$ has order $p$ (as follows easily from the results on page 143 of [Mu1]), and so

$$
\# \mathcal{H}_{s}^{V_{*}=1} \geq p^{h}=\# H_{s}[p](k(s))
$$

The perfection of our pairing follows at once.)
Again shrinking $S$ we may assume that in fact $\mathcal{H}$ is free. Choose a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}$ and suppose that $V_{*} e_{i}=\sum_{j} v_{i, j} e_{j}$. Then

$$
V_{*} \sum_{i} x_{i} e_{i}=\sum_{i, j} x_{i}^{p} v_{i, j} e_{j} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^{V_{*}=1}$ denote the subscheme of $\mathrm{Aff}_{R}^{m}$ defined by the equations

$$
x_{j}=\sum_{i, j} v_{i} x_{i}^{p}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{V_{*}=1} / S$ is quasi-finite and etale (as the Jacobian is the identity matrix). Generically $\mathcal{H}^{V_{*}=1} / S$ has degree $\leq p^{g}$. We may suppose that in fact generically the degree equals $p^{g}$. The locus where the degree drops is closed (as the degree is locally constant). We must show that it has codimension 1. Let $T$ denote the normalisation of $S$ in a finite separable extension of the fraction field of $R$ over which $\mathcal{H}^{V_{*}=1}$ has $p^{g}$ points. As $T / S$ is finite, it suffices to prove the result for $T$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p^{g}}$ denote the sections of $\mathcal{H}^{V_{*}=1}$ over the generic point of $T$. Then $T^{\prime}$ is simply the locus where some $x_{i}$ is not regular. But the locus where any given $x_{i}$ is not regular has codimension 1 because $T$ is normal.

Corollary 2.2 Suppose that $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over a locally noetherian formal scheme. Suppose also that $p=0$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}}$ and that the function from geometric points of $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$ to integers

$$
s \mapsto \# \mathcal{H}[p](k(s))
$$

is constant. Then there is an exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\text {et }} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

over $\mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{H}^{0}$ formal and $\mathcal{H}^{\text {et }}$ ind-etale.
For any $g \geq 1$ there is a unique 1-dimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ module $\Sigma_{K, g}$ over $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ of height $g$. In fact if $k$ is any separably closed field containing $k\left(v_{K}\right)$ then any one-dimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $k$ is of the form $\Sigma_{K, g} \times\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ for some $g$ and $h$. (If $H / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ is a onedimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $k$ we have an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

where $H^{0}$ is formal and $H^{\text {et }}$ is ind-etale. By proposition 1.7 of [ Dr ] we see that $H^{0} \cong \Sigma_{K, g}$. It only remains to find a splitting $H^{\text {et }} \rightarrow H$, but this is the same as finding a splitting $H^{\text {et }}(k) \rightarrow H(k)$. Finally note that $H(k) \rightarrow$ $H^{\mathrm{et}}(k)$ is an isomorphism.) Moreover End $\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / k\right)=\operatorname{End}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right) \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}$ (proposition 1.7 of [Dr]). We can extend the (left)-action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}$on $\Sigma_{K, g} / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ to an action of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$on $\Sigma_{K, g} / k\left(v_{K}\right)$, such that for $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$

commutes. To see this one need only consider the case $v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta) \geq 0$ : for $v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)<0$ we define the action of $\delta$ to be the inverse of the action of $\delta^{-1}$. If $v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta) \geq 0$ then the kernel of $\delta \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\right)$ is the same as the kernel of $F^{f_{K} v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}: \Sigma_{K, g} \rightarrow \Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(f_{K} v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)\right)}$. Thus $\delta$ induces a map $\Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(f_{K} v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)\right)} \rightarrow \Sigma_{K, g}$. We define our semi-linear action of $\delta$ as the top row of the following diagram

where the left hand square is a pullback.
Consider the functor from Artinian local $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebras with residue field $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ to sets which sends $A$ to the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(H, j)$ where $H / \operatorname{Spec} A$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module and $j: \Sigma_{K, g} \xrightarrow{\sim} H \times{ }_{A} k\left(\wp_{K}\right)^{a c}$. This functor is pro-represented by a complete noetherian local ring $R_{K, g}$ with residue field $k\left(\wp_{K}\right)^{a c}$ and in fact $R_{K, g} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}} \mathrm{n}\left[\left[T_{2}, \ldots, T_{g}\right]\right]$ (proposition 4.2 of [Dr]). The universal deformation exists over $\operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g}$ (not just over $\operatorname{Spf} R_{K, g}$, see lemma 4.16 of chapter II of [Me]). We will denote this universal deformation by $\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}, \widetilde{j}\right) / \operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g}$. Note that $R_{K, g}$ has a continuous left action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{g}}^{\times}$. (If $\delta \in \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}$ then the push forward of $\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}, \widetilde{j}\right)$ along $\delta: R_{K, g} \rightarrow R_{K, g}$ is $\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}, \widetilde{j} \circ \delta\right)$.) We will let $\bar{R}_{K, g}$ denote $R_{K, g} \times_{W\left(k\left(\wp_{K}\right)\right)} k\left(\wp_{K}\right)^{a c}$.

Set $H_{0}=\Sigma_{k, g} \times\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$. Let $T H_{0}$ denote its Tate module, i.e. $T H_{0}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}, H_{0}\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{K}^{h}$. Now consider the functor from Artinian local $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebras with residue field $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ to sets which sends $A$ to the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(H, j)$ where $H / \operatorname{Spec} A$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module and $j: H_{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} H \times_{A} k\left(\wp_{K}\right)^{a c}$. This functor is again pro-represented, this time by $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$. By $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$ we mean the $R_{K, g}$-formal scheme such that for any Artinian local $R_{K, g}$ algebra $S$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)(S)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}(S)\right)
$$

Noncanonically we have $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right) \cong \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}^{h}$, where the fibre product is taken over Spf $R_{K, g}$. We also have, again noncanonically, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}}\left[\left[T_{2}, \ldots, T_{g+h}\right]\right]$. The universal deformation of $H_{0}$ over $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$ is then the extension of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}$ by $\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ classified by the tautological class in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)(S)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}(S)\right) \cong \\
& \operatorname{Ext}\left(T H_{0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right), \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}(S)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{S}\left(T H_{0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right), \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$. (See proposition 4.5 of [Dr] and its proof.)
Lemma 2.3 Suppose that $S / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ is reduced of finite type. Suppose also that $H / S$ is a one-dimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module. Suppose moreover that over $S$ there is an exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

where $H^{\text {et }}$ has constant height $h$ and $H^{0}$ has constant height $g$. Let $s$ be a closed point of $S$ and choose an isomorphism $j: \Sigma_{K, g} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{s}^{0}$.

1. Then we get a morphism $S_{s}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spf} R_{K, g}$ which in fact factors through $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$.
2. We also get a morphism $S_{s}^{\wedge} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$ which in fact factors through $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \Sigma_{K, g}\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$.

Proof: The statements are easily seen to be equivalent. We will prove the first one. Write $R_{K, g}=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}}\left[\left[\left[T_{2}, \ldots, T_{g}\right]\right]\right.$, let $P$ be a minimal prime of $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}$ and let $k$ denote the field of fractions of the image $R_{K, g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} / P$. As $S$ is reduced, it suffices to show that $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{g}$ map to 0 in $k$. Suppose not.

For the rest of this proof we will use without comment the notation of [Dr]. We can arrange that $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}$ corresponds to a morphism $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}=\mathcal{O}_{K}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right] \rightarrow$ $R_{K, g}$ which

- sends $g_{p^{f} K^{i}-1}$ to $T_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g-1$;
- and sends $g_{j}$ to zero for $1 \leq j<p^{f_{K} g}-1$ and $j \neq p^{f_{K} i}-1$ for some $i$ in the above range.
(See the proof of proposition 4.2 of [Dr].) Choose $i$ minimal such that $T_{i}$ does not map to zero in $k$. Then $H^{0} \times{ }_{S} k$ corresponds to a morphism $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \rightarrow k$ which sends $g_{j}$ to 0 for $j=1,2, \ldots, p^{f_{K}(i-1)}-2$ and sends $g_{p^{f_{K}(i-1)}-1}$ to something nonzero. Thus $H^{0} \times{ }_{S} k$ has height $i-1<g$ (see the proof of proposition 1.6 of $[\mathrm{Dr}])$. This contradicts the fact that $H \times{ }_{S} \operatorname{Spec} k$ is a formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $g$ (because $H / S$ is a formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $g$ ).

Corollary 2.4 Suppose that $S / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ is a smooth scheme of finite type. Suppose that $H / S$ is a one-dimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $g$. Suppose moreover that for each closed point $s$ of $S$ the formal completion $S_{s}^{\wedge}$ is isomorphic to the equidimensional universal formal deformation space of $H_{s}$. Then for $h=0, \ldots, g-1$ the locally closed subscheme $S^{(h)}=S^{[h]}-S^{[h-1]}$ of $S$ is either empty or smooth of dimension $h$. If $s$ is a closed point of $S^{(h)}$ and if $j: \Sigma_{K, g-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{s}^{0}$ then we get an identification $S_{s}^{\wedge} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g-h}\right) \times_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} k\left(v_{K}\right)$ and under this identification $\left(S^{(h)}\right)_{s}^{\wedge} \subset S_{s}^{\wedge}$ corresponds to $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \Sigma_{K, g-h}\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g-h}\right) \times_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} k\left(v_{K}\right)$.

Proof: Because the formal completion of $S$ at any closed point is isomorphic to $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\left[\left[T_{2}, \ldots, T_{g}\right]\right]$, every component of $S$ has dimension $g-1$. We must have $S=S^{[g-1]}$. Hence by lemma 2.1 every irreducible component of $S^{[h]}$ has dimension at least $h$. Thus the same is true for $S^{(h)}$. On the other hand
by the previous lemma if $s$ is any closed point of $S^{(h)}$ then the formal completion $\left(S^{(h)}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}$ corresponds to a sub-formal scheme of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \Sigma_{K, g-h}\right) \subset$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g-h}\right)$. Thus we must have $\left(S^{(h)}\right)_{s}^{\wedge} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{s}, \Sigma_{K, g-h}\right)$ and, assuming such a closed point exists, we have that $S^{(h)}$ is smooth at $s$ of dimension $h$.

The functor on schemes $S / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ which sends $S$ to Aut $\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] / S\right)$ is represented by a scheme $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ of finite type over $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$. (To see this simply think of these automorphisms as maps on sheaves of Hopf algebras.) If $m_{1}>m_{2}$ there is a natural morphism

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m_{1}}\right]\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m_{2}}\right]\right) .
$$

We will let $\operatorname{Aut}{ }^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ denote the intersection of the scheme theoretic images of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m^{\prime}}\right]\right)$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ as $m^{\prime}$ varies over integers greater than or equal to $m$.

Lemma 2.5 Aut $^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ is zero dimensional and

$$
\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{red}} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}
$$

Proof: From the definitions we see that the scheme theoretic image of the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m+1}\right]\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)
$$

is just $\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$. Suppose first that $\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ has an irreducible component $V_{m}$ of dimension $>0$. Then we can find irreducible components $V_{m^{\prime}}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{\left.m^{\prime}\right]}\right]\right)$ for $m^{\prime}>m$ such that whenever $m^{\prime \prime} \geq m^{\prime} \geq m$ then $V_{m^{\prime \prime}}$ maps to $V_{m^{\prime}}$ and is dominating. Let $k\left(V_{m^{\prime}}\right)$ denote the function field of $V_{m^{\prime}}^{\text {red }}$, so that whenever $m^{\prime \prime} \geq m^{\prime} \geq m$ we have $k\left(V_{m^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow k\left(V_{m^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. Let $k$ be algebraically closed extension field of $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ of uncountable transcendence degree. Then there are uncountably many maps $k\left(V_{m}\right) \hookrightarrow k$ and each can be extended into a compatible series of injections $k\left(V_{m^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow k$ for $m^{\prime}>m$. Thus $\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)(k)$ has uncountably many points which can be lifted compatibly to each Aut ${ }^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m^{\prime}}\right]\right)(k)$ with $m^{\prime}>m$. This implies that the image of

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / k\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] / k\right)
$$

is uncountably infinite. On the other hand it follows from proposition 1.7 of [Dr] that this image is just $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$, which is finite. This contradiction shows that Aut $^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ is zero dimensional.

As each Aut $^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ is zero dimensional and as for $m^{\prime}>m$ the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m^{\prime}}\right]\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)
$$

is dominating we see that for $m^{\prime} \geq m$

$$
\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m^{\prime}}\right]\right)\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)$ equals the image of

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)
$$

Again by proposition 1.7 of $[\mathrm{Dr}]$ this is just $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$and so the lemma follows.

We remark that for $m>1$ the scheme $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$ has dimension $>0$. By an explicit calculation with Dieudonne modules we checked in an earlier version of this paper that Aut ${ }^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)^{\text {red }}$ coincides with the reduced subscheme of the image of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m+1}\right]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)$. However we will not actually need that stronger result here, so we do not reproduce the argument.

Now suppose that $S$ is a reduced $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$-scheme and that $H / S$ is a onedimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $g$. We want to investigate how far $H$ differs from $\Sigma_{K, g} \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}} S$. Consider the functor on $S$-schemes which sends $T / S$ to the set of isomorphisms (over T)

$$
j: \Sigma_{k, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}} T \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \times_{S} T .
$$

It is easy to see that this functor is represented by a scheme $X_{m}(H / S)$ of finite type over $S$. (Think about $j$ as a map of sheaves of Hopf algebras on $T$.) Then we define $Y_{m}(H / S)$ to be the intersection of the scheme theoretic images of the

$$
X_{m^{\prime}}(H / S) \longrightarrow X_{m}(H / S)
$$

for $m^{\prime} \geq m$. Finally we set $J^{(m)}(H / S)=Y_{m}(H / S)^{\text {red }}$. We will also let $j^{\text {univ }}$ denote the universal isomorphism

$$
j^{\text {univ }}: \Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]
$$

over $J^{(m)}(H / S)$.
For instance

$$
J^{(m)}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{red}} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times} .
$$

In fact if $S / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ is any reduced scheme then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{(m)}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / S\right)=\left(J^{(m)}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right) \times S\right)^{\mathrm{red}}=\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)_{S}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{red}} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)_{S}^{\times} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of the schemes $X_{m}(H / S), Y_{m}(H / S)$ and $J^{(m)}(H / S)$ has a natural right action of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$. $\left(\delta \in \mathcal{O}_{D, q}^{\times}\right.$takes $j$ to $j \circ \delta$.) If $S=$ $T \times_{\text {Spec } k\left(v_{K}\right)} \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ for a reduced scheme $T / k\left(v_{K}\right)$ and if $H=H_{0} \times_{T} S$ for a formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H_{0} / T$, then this action extends to one of $D_{K, g}^{\times} /\left(1+\wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)$ on each of $X_{m}(H / S), Y_{m}(H / S)$ and $J^{(m)}(H / S)$ thought of as $T$-schemes. More precisely if $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$we get a commutative diagram

commutes. (Let $X / S$ denote the pull back of $X_{m}(H / S)$ by

$$
T \times \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c} \xrightarrow{\left(1 \times \operatorname{Frob} v_{v_{K}}^{*}\right)^{v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}} T \times \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}
$$

Then over $X$ we get an isomorphism $j^{\prime}: \Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(p^{-f_{K}{ }^{v}}{ }^{(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right)}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$. On the other hand $\delta$ gives an isomorphism

$$
\delta: \Sigma_{K, g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(p^{-f_{K^{v}} K_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right)} .
$$

Thus over $X$ we get

$$
j^{\prime} \circ \delta: \Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] .
$$

This induces a map over $S$ from $X$ to $X_{m}(H / S)$. Composing this with the inverse of the pull back of $\left(1 \times \mathrm{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{*}\right)^{v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}$ we get the desired automorphism of $X_{m}(H / S)$. The following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_{m}(H / S) & \longleftarrow & X & \rightarrow & X_{m}(H / S) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
T \times \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c} & \longleftarrow & T \times \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c} & & T \times \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}
\end{array}
$$

(where the leftwards arrow on the bottom row is $\left.\left(1 \times \operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{*}\right)^{v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right)$ illustrates this construction.)

Before going on to the main result of this section let us take the opportunity to record a simple result in commutative algebra for which we do not know a reference.

Lemma 2.6 Let $k$ be a perfect field, $A / k$ a $k$-algebra of finite type, $\mathfrak{m}$ a maximal ideal of $A$ and $B / A$ a finite $A$-algebra.

1. Suppose also that $B$ is reduced. Then the completion $B_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}$ of $B$ with respect to the ideal $\mathfrak{m} B$ is also reduced.
2. More generally if $N$ is the nilradical of $B$ then $N_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}$ is the nilradical of $B_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}$.

Proof: Consider the first part of the lemma. $B$ has finitely many maximal ideals $\mathfrak{n}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{n}_{r}$ above $\mathfrak{m}$ and we have that

$$
B_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}=B_{\mathfrak{n}_{1}}^{\wedge} \oplus \ldots \oplus B_{\mathfrak{n}_{r}}^{\wedge}
$$

(see corollary 2 of section 7 of chapter VIII of $[\mathrm{ZS}]$ ). Thus we can reduce to the case $B=A$.

Note that as $A$ is reduced the same is true of the localisation $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Let $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{s}$ denote the minimal primes of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. As $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is reduced we have a finite embedding

$$
A_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow\left(A_{\mathfrak{m}} / Q_{1}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus\left(A_{\mathfrak{m}} / Q_{s}\right)
$$

Hence we also have an embedding

$$
A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} \hookrightarrow\left(A_{\mathfrak{m}} / Q_{1}\right)^{\wedge} \oplus \ldots \oplus\left(A_{\mathfrak{m}} / Q_{s}\right)^{\wedge}
$$

(use theorem 16 of section 6 of chapter VIII of $[\mathrm{ZS}]$ and the flatness of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} / A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ). Thus we may suppose that $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an integral domain. In this case the result follows from lemmas 1 and 4 of section 13 of chapter VIII of [ZS].

For the second part consider the exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow B^{\prime} \longrightarrow(0) .
$$

Again using theorem 16 of section 6 of chapter VIII of $[\mathrm{ZS}]$ and the flatness of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} / A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we see that

$$
(0) \longrightarrow N_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

is also exact. By the first part of this lemma we know that $\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}$ is reduced and the second part follows.

The following proposition is of key importance for us.
Proposition 2.7 Suppose that $S / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ is a reduced scheme of finite type and let $H / S$ be a one-dimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $g$. Then for each $m \geq 1, J^{(m)}(H / S) / S$ is finite etale and $G a$ lois with Galois group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right) \times$. (N.B. We are not asserting that $J^{(m)}(H / S)$ is connected.)

Proof: It suffices to show that for any closed point $s$ of $S$

- the group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$has a faithful and transitive action on the points of $J^{(m)}(H / S)_{s}$;
- and if $t$ is any point of $J^{(m)}(H / S)_{s}$ then $J^{(m)}(H / S)_{t}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{s}^{\wedge}$.
(See for instance theorem 3 of section 5 of chapter 3 of [Mu2].) Equivalently it suffices to check that for all closed points $s$ of $S$ we have

$$
J^{(m)}(H / S) \times_{S} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{S}, s}}}^{\times}
$$

We note that by lemma 2.3

$$
H \times_{S} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} \cong \Sigma_{K, g} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}
$$

Because $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}$ is flat we see that for any closed point $s$ of $S$ we have

$$
Y_{m}(H / S) \times_{S} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} \cong Y_{m}\left(H / \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}\right)
$$

(The formation of scheme theoretic images commutes with flat base change.) Thus by lemma 2.3 we see that

$$
Y_{m}(H / S) \times_{S} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} \cong Y_{m}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right) \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}
$$

is finite and flat over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}$. We conclude that $Y_{m}(H / S)$ is finite and flat over $S$. (Use the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}$ is faithfully flat over $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}$. More precisely it suffices to show that for any closed point $s$ of $S$ the ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{m}(H / S)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S}} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}$ is finite and free over $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}$. We have seen that this is true after tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}$. Thus we can find a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}$-modules $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{m}(H / S)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S}} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}$ which becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}$. Faithful flatness implies that $\left.\mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{Y_{m}(H / S)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S}} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}.\right)$

Thus $J^{(m)}(H / S)$ is finite over $S$. The previous lemma then shows that for any closed point $s$ of $S$

$$
J^{(m)}(H / S) \times{ }_{S} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\sim} J^{(m)}\left(H / \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}\right)
$$

Thus by lemma 2.3 we have that

$$
J^{(m)}(H / S) \times_{S} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge} \cong J^{(m)}\left(\Sigma_{K, g} / \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S, s}^{\wedge}\right) \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{S}, s}}}^{\times}
$$

This proves the proposition.
Although we will not need it in this paper, it may be of interest to point out the following corollary of the proceeding proposition.

Corollary 2.8 Suppose that $S / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ is a reduced, connected scheme of $f$ nite type, and suppose that $s$ is a geometric point of $S$. If $H / S$ is a onedimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $g$ then it gives rise to a continuous homomorphism

$$
\rho_{H}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(S, s) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times} .
$$

This gives rise to a bijection between isomorphism classes of one-dimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of height $g$ on $S$ and conjugacy classes of continuous homomorphisms

$$
\rho: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(S, s) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times} .
$$

Proof: As we will not use this result elsewhere in this paper we will simply sketch the proof.

First we explain the construction of $\rho_{H}$ from $H$. Choose a compatible system of geometric points $s_{m}$ of $J^{(m)}(H / S)$ above $s$ (i.e. if $m^{\prime}>m$ then $s_{m^{\prime}}$ maps to $s_{m}$ under $J^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}(H / S) \rightarrow J^{(m)}(H / S)$ ). If $\sigma \in \pi_{1}^{\text {alg }}(S, s)$ then $\sigma s_{m}=\rho_{H, m}(\sigma) s_{m}$ for some (unique) element $\rho_{H, m}(\sigma) \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$. Moreover for $m^{\prime}>m$ we have $\rho_{H, m^{\prime}}(\sigma) \equiv \rho_{H, m}(\sigma) \bmod \wp_{K}^{m}$. We set

$$
\rho_{H}=\lim _{\leftarrow} \rho_{H, m}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(S, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times} .
$$

It is a continuous homomorphism. The construction appears to depend on the choice of the system $\left\{s_{m}\right\}$, but a different choice simply changes $\rho_{H}$ by conjugation in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}$.

Next we explain how one goes from a continuous homomorphism

$$
\rho: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}(S, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}
$$

to a one-dimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $H_{\rho} / S$. The reduction $\rho \bmod \wp_{K}^{m}$ gives rise to a Galois finite etale cover (not necessarily connected) $S_{m} \rightarrow S$ with Galois group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$. Consider

$$
\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \times S_{m} \longrightarrow S_{m}
$$

with the diagonal action of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}} / \wp_{K}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)^{\times}$. We may quotient out by the action of this finite group and we obtain a finite flat group scheme $H_{m} / S$. We set $H_{\rho}=\lim _{\rightarrow} H_{m}$.

We leave the reader both to check that $H_{\rho} / S$ is a one-dimensional formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module, and that these two constructions are inverse to each other.

We end this section with some results about lifting extensions of BarsottiTate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules. We are very grateful to Johan de Jong for explaining to us how to prove corollary 2.10 below.

Lemma 2.9 Let $A$ be a noetherian ring and $J$ an ideal of $A$ which contains some power of $p$ and which satisfies $J^{2}=(0)$. Suppose that over $\operatorname{Spec} A / J$ we have an exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

with $H^{0}$ formal and $H^{\text {et }}$ ind-etale. Suppose moreover that $\widetilde{H}^{0}$ is a lift of $H^{0}$ to a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $\operatorname{Spec} A$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{0} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{\text {et }} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules over $\operatorname{Spec} A$, which reduces modulo $J$ to the above exact sequence.

Proof: For the proof we use Grothendieck-Messing Dieudonne theory (see [Me] as completed by [I]). This associates to

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

an exact sequence of crystals in finite locally free modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow D\left(H^{0}\right) \longrightarrow D(H) \longrightarrow D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

on $\operatorname{Spec} A / J$. (For exactness use $[\mathrm{BBM}]$ combined with the compatibility of the theories in $[\mathrm{Me}]$ and $[\mathrm{BBM}]$, which is proved in $[\mathrm{BM}]$. .) Moreover we have locally free submodules $V\left(H^{0}\right) \subset D\left(H^{0}\right)_{A / J}$ (resp. $V(H) \subset D(H)_{A / J}$, resp. $\left.V\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)=D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A / J}\right)$ with locally free quotients. To $\widetilde{H}^{0}$ we may associate a locally free submodule $V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right) \subset D\left(H^{0}\right)_{A}$ with a locally free quotient and with $V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right) / J V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right)=V\left(H^{0}\right)$. We will look for a locally free submodule $V \subset D(H)_{A}$ with locally free quotient such that

- $V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right) \rightarrow V$,
- $V \rightarrow D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A}$,
- and $V / J V=V(H)$.

Assuming we can find such a $V$ we would get a complex of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{0} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{\text {et }} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

lifting

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

It follows from lemma 4.10 chapter II of [Me] that the lifted sequence is in fact exact.

It remains to construct such a $V$. This is equivalent to constructing a splitting for the exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow D\left(H^{0}\right)_{A} / V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right) \longrightarrow D(H)_{A} / V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right) \longrightarrow D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

above the splitting of

$$
(0) \longrightarrow D\left(H^{0}\right)_{A / J} / V\left(H^{0}\right) \longrightarrow D(H)_{A / J} / V\left(H^{0}\right) \longrightarrow D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A / J} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

provided by $V(H) / V\left(H^{0}\right)$. As $D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A}$ is locally free we can find such a splitting Zariski locally on $\operatorname{Spec} A$. It is not unique but determined up to an element of

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A / J}, J\left(D\left(H^{0}\right) / V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Thus the obstruction to the existence of a global splitting lies in

$$
H^{2}\left(\operatorname{Spec} A / J, \operatorname{Hom}\left(D\left(H^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{A / J}, J\left(D\left(H^{0}\right) / V\left(\widetilde{H}^{0}\right)\right)\right)\right)
$$

Because $\operatorname{Spec} A / J$ is affine this group vanishes and so we can find such a splitting globally.

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2.10 Let $A$ be a noetherian ring complete with respect to the topology defined by an ideal I. Suppose that I contains a power of p. Suppose also that over $\operatorname{Spec} A / I$ we have an exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\text {et }} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

with $H^{0}$ formal and $H^{\text {et }}$ ind-etale. Suppose moreover that $\widetilde{H}^{0}$ is a lift of $H^{0}$ to a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module over $\operatorname{Spf} A$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{0} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{\text {et }} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules over $\operatorname{Spf} A$, which reduces modulo $I$ to the previous exact sequence.

## 3 Drinfeld level structures

Suppose that $H / S$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $h$ over a scheme $S$. By a Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$-structure on $H / S$ we shall mean a morphism of abelian groups

$$
\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)
$$

such that the set of $\alpha(x)$ for $x \in\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ forms a full set of sections of $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ in the sense of $[\mathrm{KM}]$ section 1.8. We will collect together here some of the basic properties of Drinfeld level structures.

Lemma 3.1 In this lemma $S$ will denote an $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-scheme and $H / S$ will be a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $h$.

1. Suppose that $\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure and that $T / S$ is any scheme. Then the composite

$$
\alpha_{T}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S) \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](T)
$$

is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure for $H \times_{S} T$.
2. Suppose that $S / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is reduced. If $H / S$ is one-dimensional and formal then $H$ contains a unique finite flat subgroup scheme of any order $p^{s}$, namely the kernel of $F^{s}$.
3. Suppose that $S / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is reduced. If $H / S$ is one-dimensional and formal then there is a unique Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure on $H / S$, namely the trivial homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\text {triv }}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} & \longrightarrow H(S) \\
x & \longmapsto 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$. (We will refer to this as the trivial Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure.)
4. Suppose that there is an exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

over $S$ with $H^{0}$ formal, $H^{\text {et }}$ ind-etale and both of constant height. Then

$$
\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \rightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)
$$

is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure if and only if there is a direct summand $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$ submodule $M \subset\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ such that

- $\left.\alpha\right|_{M}: M \rightarrow H^{0}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure,
- $\alpha$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\alpha:\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} / M\right)_{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] .
$$

5. Suppose that $S$ is reduced and that $p=0$ on $S$. Suppose also that there is an exact sequence of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow H^{0} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

over $S$ with $H^{0}$ formal, $H^{\text {et }}$ ind-etale and both of constant height. If $H / S$ admits a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-level structure (with $m \geq 1$ ) then there is a unique splitting

$$
H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \cong H^{0}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \times H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]
$$

over $S$. On the other hand if there is a splitting $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \cong H^{0}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \times$ $H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] / S$ then to give a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure $\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \rightarrow$ $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)$ is the same as giving a direct summand $M \subset\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ and an isomorphism

$$
\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} / M\right)_{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] .
$$

6. For any $m \geq 0$ there is a scheme $S(m)$ which is finite over $S$ and a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure

$$
\alpha^{\text {univ }}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S(m))
$$

on $H \times S(m)$, which is universal in the sense that if $T / S$ is any $S$-scheme and if

$$
\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](T)
$$

is any Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure on $H \times T$ then $T \rightarrow S$ factors uniquely through $S(m)$ in such a way that $\alpha^{\text {univ }}$ pulls back to $\alpha$. Moreover $S(m) / S$ has a right action of $G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$, which can be characterised as follows. If $g \in G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ then under the morphism $g: S(m) \rightarrow S(m)$, $\alpha^{\text {univ }}$ pulls back to $\alpha^{\text {univ }} \circ g$.
7. Suppose that $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$ with $R$ a noetherian local ring and that $H / S$ is one-dimensional and formal. Then $H \cong \operatorname{Spf} R[[T]]$. Choose a uniformiser $\varpi_{K} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and let $f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T) \in R[[T]]$ be the power series representing multiplication by $\varpi_{K}^{m}$ (i.e. $f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)=\left(\varpi_{K}^{m}\right)^{*}(T)$ ). Suppose that $\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \rightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](R)$. Then the following are equivalent

- $\alpha$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-level structure,
- $\prod_{x}(T-T(\alpha(x))) \mid f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)$,
- $f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)=g(T) \prod_{x}(T-T(\alpha(x)))$ for some unit $g(T) \in R[[T]]^{\times}$.

Proof: 1. This follows from proposition 1.9.1 of [KM].
2. We may suppose that $S$ is connected. From the discussion on page 26 of [Me] we see that ker $F^{s}$ locally isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S}[T] /\left(T^{p^{s}}\right)$. Thus ker $F^{s}$ is indeed a finite flat group scheme of rank $p^{s}$. If $A \subset H$ is any finite flat subgroup scheme then we will show that $A=\operatorname{ker} F^{s}$ for some $s$. Choose $s$ maximal such that $\operatorname{ker} F^{s} \subset A$. We must show we actually have equality. Modding out by $\operatorname{ker} F^{s}$ we may suppose that $s=0$. We must show that $A=(0)$. If for any point $s$ of $S$, $\left.\operatorname{ker} F\right|_{A_{s}}=(0)$ then as $A_{s}$ is connected we must have $A_{s}=(0)$. As $S$ is connected the rank of $A$ is constant and we would then have that $A=(0)$ as desired. Thus suppose that for all points $s$ of $S$ we have that $\left(\operatorname{ker} F_{A}\right)_{s} \neq(0)$. As ker $F$ is finite flat of rank $p$ we see that we must have $\left(\operatorname{ker} F_{A}\right)_{s}=(\operatorname{ker} F)_{s}$ for all points $s$ of $S$. Over $S$ we have $\left.\operatorname{ker} F\right|_{A}$ is a closed subscheme of ker $F$ which becomes equal to ker $F$ when pulled back to any point of $S$. As $S$ is reduced we must have $\operatorname{ker} F=\left.\operatorname{ker} F\right|_{A} \subset A$, a contradiction.
3. To see that there is no more than one Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure it suffices to check that $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)=\{0\}$. This follows because $S$ is reduced and $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] / S$ is radicial (see proposition 4.4 of chapter II of [Me]). (If $f: T \rightarrow S$ is finite and radicial and if $S$ is reduced then there is at most one section to $f$. To see this one reduces to the case that $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$ and $T=\operatorname{Spec} B$. We are looking for sections to $f^{*}: A \rightarrow B$. Suppose $g_{1}^{*}$ and $g_{2}^{*}$ are two such sections. As $S$ is reduced we can embed $A$ into a product of fields. Thus if $g_{1}^{*} \neq g_{2}^{*}$ we can find a field $k$ and a homomorphism $\phi^{*}: A \rightarrow k$ such that $\phi^{*} \circ g_{1}^{*} \neq \phi^{*} \circ g_{2}^{*}$. On the other hand we must have $g_{1}^{*} \circ f^{*}=g_{2}^{*} \circ f^{*}$ and so $\phi^{*} \circ g_{1}^{*} \circ f^{*}=\phi^{*} \circ g_{2}^{*} \circ f^{*}$. This contradicts the fact that $f$ is radicial. (The finiteness hypothesis is presumably unnecessary, but this additional hypothesis does us no harm.))

It remains to show that $\alpha^{\text {triv }}$ is indeed a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure. We must have that $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]=\operatorname{ker} F^{f_{K} h m}$ and hence $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{S}[T] /\left(T^{p^{f_{K} h m}}\right)$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S}[T] /\left(T^{p^{f_{K} h m}}\right)$ and we write $f=f_{0}+f_{1} T+\ldots+$ $f_{p^{f} K^{h m}-1} T^{p^{f_{K} h m}-1}$, then the norm down to $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ of $f$ is $f_{0}^{p_{K}{ }^{h m}}=f(0)^{p^{f_{K}}{ }^{h m}}$. This verifies condition (2) on page 33 of [KM].
4. This follows from proposition 1.11.2 and lemma 1.8.3 of [KM].
5. Suppose that $\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \rightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$ structure. Let $M=\operatorname{ker} \alpha$. By parts 2 and 3 we see that the composite

$$
\alpha:\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) / M\right)_{S} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]
$$

is an isomorphism. A splitting of $H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ into $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ is provided by the image of $\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) / M\right)_{S}$ in $H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$. To see the splitting is unique we argue as follows. To give a splitting is the same as giving a morphism $\gamma$ : $\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) / M\right)_{S} \rightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ such that the composite

$$
\gamma:\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) / M\right)_{S} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] \longrightarrow H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]
$$

coincides with the map induced by $\alpha$. To give $\gamma$ is the same as giving

$$
\gamma:\left(\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) / M\right) \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\mathrm{et}}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S) .
$$

Thus there is only one possible choice for $\gamma$. The second assertion of this part now follows from parts 3 and 4.
6. Let $S(m)$ be the closed subscheme of $T=H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]^{\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}}$ where the tautological map

$$
\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](T)
$$

is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K_{K}}^{m}$-structure (use lemma 1.9.1 of [KM]).
7. That $H \cong \operatorname{Spf} R[[T]]$ follows from page 26 of $[\mathrm{Me}]$. Let $T^{s}$ be the first power of $T$ whose coefficient in $f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)$ is not in the maximal ideal of $R$. Consider the map

$$
\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} R T^{i} \longrightarrow R[[T]] /\left(f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)\right) \cong R^{f_{K} m h}
$$

After tensoring with the residue field of $R$ we get an isomorphism. Thus this map is already an isomorphism and $s=f_{K} m h$. We conclude that $T^{p^{f} K^{m h}}$ is a linear combination of $1, T, \ldots, T^{p^{f} K^{m h}-1}$ in $R[[T]] / f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)$, and again by reducing modulo the maximal ideal of $R$ we see that $T^{p^{f} K^{m h}}$ is a linear combination of $1, T, \ldots, T^{p^{f} K^{m h}-1}$ with coefficients in the maximal ideal of $R$. Put another way we can find a monic polynomial $h(T)$ of degree $p^{f_{K} m h}$ over $R$ all whose nonleading coefficients are in the maximal ideal of $R$, and a power series $g(T) \in R[[T]]$ such that $h(T)=g(T) f_{\varpi_{K}^{m}}(T)$. We see at once that the constant term of $g(T)$ is a unit in $R$ and hence that $g(T) \in R[[T]]^{\times}$. We see at once that the second and third conditions are equivalent. The first and third conditions are equivalent by lemma 1.10.2 of [KM].

Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme with ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$. We will let $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ denote the scheme with underlying topological space $\mathcal{X}$ and structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} / \mathcal{I}^{n}$. By a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure on a BarsottiTate $\mathcal{O}_{K^{-}}$module $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$ we shall mean a compatible system of Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$ structures

$$
\alpha_{n}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}\right)
$$

for $\mathcal{H} \times{ }_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_{n}$. This is easily checked to be canonically independent of the choice of ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$.

If $A$ is a noetherian ring complete with respect to the $I$-adic topology for some ideal $I$ and if $H / \operatorname{Spec} A$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module with Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$-structure $\alpha$, then we obtain a a natural Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$ structure $\alpha$ on the corresponding Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $\mathcal{H} / \operatorname{Spf} A$ (take the push forward of $\alpha$ on $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ for each $n$ ). This establishes a bijection from Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structures on $H / \operatorname{Spec} A$ to Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$-structures on $\mathcal{H} / \operatorname{Spf} A$. (Given a compatible system

$$
\alpha_{n}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\left(A / I^{n}\right)
$$

using the completeness of $A$ we get in the limit a homomorphism

$$
\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](A) .
$$

Using lemma 1.9.1 of [KM] we see that $\alpha$ is in fact a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure.)
We will next recall some results of Drinfeld about formal deformations of one-dimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules with Drinfeld level structures. Consider first the case of a formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module. More precisely consider the functor which associates to any local Artinian $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebra $A$ with residue field $k(v)^{a c}$ the set of isomorphism classes of triples $(H, j, \alpha)$ where $H / A$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module, where $j: \Sigma_{K, g} \xrightarrow{\sim} H \times{ }_{\text {Spec } A} \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ and where $\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](\operatorname{Spec} A)$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure. Proposition 4.3 of [ Dr ] tells us that this functor is pro-represented by a regular finite flat local $R_{K, g}$-algebra, which we will denote $R_{K, g, m}$. We will denote by $\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}, \widetilde{j}, \widetilde{\alpha}\right)$ the universal triple. Again by lemma 4.16 of chapter II of $[\mathrm{Me}]$ we see that $\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}, \widetilde{j}\right)$ is actually defined over $\operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g, m}$. In fact $\widetilde{\alpha}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\left(\operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g, m}\right)\left(\right.$ as $\Sigma_{K, g}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] / \operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g, m}$ is finite) and by proposition 1.9.1 of [KM] $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure over Spec $R_{K, g, m}$. Thus we get a map $\operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g, m} \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g}\right)(m)$, which is an isomorphism after tensoring with any Artinian quotient of $R_{K, g}$ and hence is an isomorphism. We also have that $R_{K, g, m}$ is degree $\# G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ over $R_{K, g}$. (To see this it suffices to look at the generic fibre $R_{K, g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, where the degree is easy to calculate because $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}$ becomes ind-etale and a Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{-}}^{m}$-structure is nothing but an isomorphism

$$
\left.\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)_{S}^{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right] .\right)
$$

We next turn to deformations of $H_{0}=\Sigma_{K, g} \times\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} / k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$. Fix a surjection

$$
\delta:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g+h} \rightarrow \wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}
$$

and let $G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)_{\delta}$ denote the group of $x \in G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ such that $\delta \circ x=\delta$. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$
(0) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}, \operatorname{ker} \delta\right) \rightarrow G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)_{\delta} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{ker} \delta) \rightarrow(0)
$$

Consider the functor which associates to any local Artinian $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebra $A$ with residue field $k(v)^{a c}$ the set of isomorphism classes of triples $(H, j, \alpha)$ where $H / A$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module, where $j: \Sigma_{K, g} \xrightarrow{\sim} H \times_{\text {Spec } A} \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ and where $\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g+h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](\operatorname{Spec} A)$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure such that the composite

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g+h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](\operatorname{Spec} A) \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\left(\operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right) \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} \\
& \longrightarrow H_{0}\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\left(\operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)=\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

equals $\delta$. This functor is pro-representable by $\left(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{j}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{H}\right) / \operatorname{Spf} R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}$ (by proposition 4.5 of [Dr]).

To describe how it is pro-represented it is convenient to also fix a homomorphism $\gamma:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g+h} \longrightarrow\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g}$ such that

$$
\delta \oplus \gamma:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g+h} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}\right) \oplus\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g} .
$$

This first of all gives rise to a splitting Aut $(\operatorname{ker} \delta) \hookrightarrow G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)_{\delta}$. Secondly it gives rise to an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Spf} R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right) \times_{\text {Spec } R_{K, g}} \operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g, m} .
$$

Over this ring we have the pull back from $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)$ of the tautological extension

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H} \longrightarrow T H_{0} \otimes\left(K / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

as well as a second extension

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{\prime} \longrightarrow T H_{0} \otimes\left(K / \wp_{K}^{-m}\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

There is a natural isogeny $\widetilde{H} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{\prime}$ whose kernel projects isomorphically to $\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}$, and so we get a splitting

$$
i: \wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{H}
$$

Then

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}_{H}=\widetilde{\alpha} \circ \gamma+i \circ \delta .
$$

(See the (rather sketchy) proof of proposition 4.5 in [Dr].)

The deformation space $\operatorname{Spf} R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}$ has a right action of $G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)_{\delta}$ which may be described as follows. The splitting $\gamma$ allows one to write the group $G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)_{\delta}$ as a semidirect product of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}, \operatorname{ker} \delta\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{ker} \delta)$. It thus suffices to describe the action of both these groups on $\operatorname{Spf} R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}$. Now Aut $(\operatorname{ker} \delta)$ is isomorphic via $\gamma$ to $G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ and simply acts on the factor $\operatorname{Spf} R_{K, g, m}$. On the other hand composition with $\widetilde{\alpha}$ gives a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}, \operatorname{ker} \delta\right) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\left(R_{K, g, m}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)\left(R_{K, g . m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The action of an element $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}, \operatorname{ker} \delta\right)$ on

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g}} \operatorname{Spec} R_{K, g, m}
$$

is simply by translation by the image of $\phi$ in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)\left(R_{K, g . m}\right)$.
If we write $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T H_{0}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}\right)=\operatorname{Spf} R$ then $\widetilde{H}$ is defined over Spec $R$. Moreover as before we can identify $(\operatorname{Spec} R)(m)$ with

$$
\coprod_{\delta} \operatorname{Spec} R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}
$$

where the disjoint union is over surjections

$$
\delta:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g+h} \rightarrow \wp_{K}^{-m} T H_{0} / T H_{0}
$$

If $x \in G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ then $x$ takes $R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}$ isomorphically to $R_{\delta \circ x}^{\text {univ }}$. If $x \in$ $G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)_{\delta}$ then the two actions of $x$ on Spec $R_{\delta}^{\text {univ }}$ coincide. In particular we see that $(\operatorname{Spec} R)(m)$ is regular and is finite and flat over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ of degree $\# G L_{g+h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$.

We now record a few more basic facts about Drinfeld level structures which can be proved by reduction to the universal case.

Lemma 3.2 In this lemma $S$ will denote an $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-scheme which we will assume is locally noetherian with a dense set of points with residue field algebraic over $k(v)$. Also $H / S$ will be a one-dimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $h$.

1. $S(m) / S$ is finite, flat of degree $\# G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$.
2. Suppose that

$$
\alpha:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \longrightarrow H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S)
$$

is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure. Suppose also that $M \subset\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-submodule. Then there is a unique $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-invariant finite flat subgroup scheme $N \subset H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ such that the set of $\alpha(x)$ for $x \in M$ form a full set of sections for $N / S$. If moreover

$$
\delta:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m^{\prime}} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{h}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} / M
$$

is a map of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules, then $\alpha \circ \delta$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m^{\prime}}$ structure for $H / N$.
The construction of $N$ is compatible with base change in the following sense. If $T / S$ is a locally noetherian $S$-scheme with a dense set of points with residue field algebraic over $k(v)$ and if

$$
\alpha_{T}:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](S) \longrightarrow\left(H \times_{S} T\right)\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right](T),
$$

then the set of $\alpha_{T}(x)$ for $x \in M$ is a full set of sections for $N \times{ }_{S} T$.
Proof: The first part is proved by a straightforward reduction to the universal formal case. We will prove the second part only, as the argument in this case is slightly more difficult. The last paragraph of the second part follows from lemma 1.9.1 of [KM]. Thus we concentrate on the proof of the first paragraph of part 2.

By corollary 1.30.3 of $[\mathrm{KM}]$ there is a unique closed subscheme $N \subset H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]$ which is locally free over $S$ and for which the set of $x \in M$ form a full set of sections. From the uniqueness it follows that $N$ is invariant by the action of $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$. Thus it suffices to check that

1. $N$ is a subgroup scheme;
2. if

$$
\delta:\left(\wp_{K}^{-m^{\prime}} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{h}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h} / M
$$

is a map of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-modules, then $\alpha \circ \delta$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m^{\prime}}$ structure for $H / N$.
There is a closed subscheme $S^{\prime} \subset S$ such that for any scheme $T / S, N \times{ }_{S}$ $T \subset H \times{ }_{S} T$ has the two properties above if and only if $T \rightarrow S$ factors through $S^{\prime} .\left(H\left[\wp_{K}^{m}\right]\right.$ is the spectrum of a sheaf of locally free Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H} / S$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the subsheaf of ideals defining $N$. The first property above is equivalent to the composite map

$$
\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} / \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{H} / \mathcal{I}
$$

where the middle map is the comultiplication, being zero. It follows that there exists a closed $S^{\prime \prime} \subset S$ universal for the truth of the first property. The
existence of $S^{\prime} \subset S^{\prime \prime}$ follows from proposition 1.9.1 of [KM].) What we must show is that $S^{\prime}=S$.

We may at once reduce to the case that $S=\operatorname{Spec} A$ for an Artinian local ring $A$. (Look at $\mathcal{O}_{S, s} / \mathfrak{m}_{s}^{a}$ as $s$ runs over a dense set of points and $a$ runs over positive integers.) Then by tensoring with $W\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)$ we may assume that the residue field of $A$ is $k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$. Next we may replace $H / A$ by the universal deformation of $H \times \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}$ together with its Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-structure, and so we may suppose that $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$ for a complete noetherian local ring which is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}}$. . Then we may replace $S$ by $S \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, which is dense in $S$. But $H / S \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is ind-etale and the result is easy.

The following corollary follows readily.
Corollary 3.3 In this lemma $\mathcal{X}$ will denote a locally noetherian $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-formal scheme. We will assume that $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$ has a dense set of points with residue field algebraic over $k(v)$. Also $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$ will denote a one-dimensional Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of constant height $h$.

1. There is a formal scheme $\mathcal{X}(m) / \mathcal{X}$ and a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-level structure $\alpha^{\text {univ }}$ on $\mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}(m)$ which is universal in the following sense. If $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{X}$ is any morphism of formal schemes and if $\delta$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m}$-level structure on $\mathcal{H} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ then there is a unique morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(m)$ over $\mathcal{X}$ under which $\alpha^{\text {univ }}$ pulls back to $\delta$. Moreover $\mathcal{X}(m) / \mathcal{X}$ finite, flat of degree $\# G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$.
2. Suppose that $\alpha$ is a Drinfeld $\wp_{K^{m}}^{m}$-structure on $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$. Suppose also that $M \subset\left(\wp_{K}^{-m} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{h}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-submodule. Then we can find a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module $\mathcal{H} / \alpha(M)$ over $\mathcal{X}$ and a morphism $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} / \alpha(M)$ over $\mathcal{X}$ such that when restricted to any closed subscheme $X \subset \mathcal{X}$ the morphism

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{H}\right|_{X} \longrightarrow(\mathcal{H} / \alpha(M))\right|_{X}
$$

is surjective and the set of $\left.\alpha\right|_{X}(x)$ for $x \in M$ form a complete set of sections for the kernel.
The construction of $\mathcal{H} / \alpha(M)$ is compatible with base change in the following sense. If $\mathcal{Y} / \mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian $\mathcal{X}$-formal scheme such that $\mathcal{Y}^{\text {red }}$ has a dense set of points with residue field algebraic over $k(v)$ and if $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Y}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\alpha_{\mathcal{Y}}$ ) denotes the pull back of $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\alpha$ ) to $\mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \alpha_{\mathcal{Y}}(M)$ is canonically the pull back of $\mathcal{H} / \alpha(M)$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.
We have seen that $R_{K, g}$ has a natural continuous left action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}$. The same is true of $R_{K, g, m}$ and so in fact $R_{K, g, m}$ has a continuous left action of

$$
G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times} \rightarrow G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right) \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times} .
$$

In fact we can extend this action to a continuous left action of $G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times}$ on the direct system of the $R_{K, g, m}$ such that

commutes if $m_{2} \gg m_{1}$.
To describe this first suppose that $(\gamma, \delta) \in G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times}$, that $\gamma^{-1} \in$ $M_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$, that $v_{K}(\operatorname{det}(\delta)) \leq 0$ and that $\gamma \mathcal{O}_{K}^{g} \subset \wp_{K}^{m_{1}-m_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{K}^{g}$. It suffices to define a $W\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)$-linear map

$$
(\gamma, \delta): R_{K, g, m_{1}} \longrightarrow R_{K, g, m_{2}} \widehat{\otimes}_{W\left(k(v)^{a c}\right) \operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)-v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)}} W\left(k(v)^{a c}\right) .
$$

Next, by the universal property of $R_{K, g, m_{1}}$ it suffices to give a deformation of $\left(\Sigma_{K, g}, j, \alpha^{\text {triv }}\right)$ to $R_{K, g, m_{2}} \widehat{\otimes}_{W\left(k(v)^{a c}\right), \operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)-v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)} W} W\left(k(v)^{a c}\right)$. By lemma 3.2 there is a unique finite flat subgroup scheme $A \subset \widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g}$ over $R_{K, g, m_{1}}$ such that the set of $\alpha^{\text {univ }}(x)$ for $x \in\left(\gamma \mathcal{O}_{K}^{g}\right) / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{g}$ are a complete set of sections for $A$. For our deformation of $\Sigma_{K, g}$ we will take

$$
\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} / A\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} W\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right),\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{*}\right)^{v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)-v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)}} \operatorname{Spf} W\left(k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c}\right)
$$

It has a Drinfeld $\wp_{K}^{m_{1}}$-structure coming from

$$
\left(\wp_{K}^{-m_{1}} / \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{g} \stackrel{\gamma}{\hookrightarrow} \wp_{K}^{-m_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{K}^{g} /\left(\gamma \mathcal{O}_{K}^{g}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\text {univ }}}\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} / A\right)\left(R_{K, g, m_{2}}\right) .
$$

(Use lemma 3.2.) Reducing modulo the maximal ideal of

$$
R_{K, g, m_{2}} \widehat{\otimes}_{W\left(k(v)^{a c}\right), \operatorname{Frob}_{v_{K}}^{v_{K}}(\operatorname{det} \delta)-v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)} W\left(k(v)^{a c}\right)
$$

and using $\widetilde{j}$ we obtain

$$
\Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(p^{-f_{K} v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)}\right)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c},\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{K}\left(v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)-v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)\right)}} \operatorname{Spec} k\left(v_{K}\right)^{a c} \cong \Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(p^{-f_{K} v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right)}
$$

Finally we identify this with $\Sigma_{K, g}$ via

$$
\delta^{-1}: \Sigma_{K, g}^{\left(p^{-v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_{K, g} .
$$

We note that if $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $x \neq 0$ then the element $\left(x^{-1}, x^{-1}\right)$ acts trivially. Thus we obtain an action of

$$
G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times} \rightarrow\left(G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times}\right) / K^{\times}
$$

where $K^{\times}$is embedded diagonally.

Lemma 3.4 Let us fix $K, g$ and $m$. For each positive integer $s$ we can find an integer $N(s)$ such that

- $N(s)$ increases monotonically to infinity as $s \rightarrow \infty$;
- any element of $\varpi_{K}^{s-m} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}$ lifts to an endomorphism of

$$
\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} \times\left(R_{K, g, m} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{K, g, m}}^{N(s)}\right)
$$

- and $\left(1+\varpi_{K}^{s} \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}\right)$ acts trivially on $R_{K, g, m} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{K, g, m}}^{N(s)}$.

Proof: Note that if we can choose $N(s)$ satisfying the first two conditions, then the third will also be satisfied. (If $\delta \in \mathcal{O}_{D_{g}}^{\times}$with $\delta \equiv 1 \bmod p^{s}$ then $(\delta-1) / \varpi_{K}^{m}$ and $\left(\delta^{-1}-1\right) / \varpi_{K}^{m}$ lift to endomorphisms of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} \times\left(R_{K, g, m} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{K, g, m}}^{N(s)}\right)$. By the uniqueness of such lifts (see part 2 of lemma 1.1.3 of [Kat]) we see that $\delta$ lifts to an automorphism of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{K, g} \times\left(R_{K, g, m} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{K, g, m}}^{N(s)}\right)$ which is the identity on $\varpi_{K^{-}}^{m}$ torsion.)

Now take $N(s)$ to be the integer part of $\sqrt{\left(s / e_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}-m\right)}$ (or 0 if this is not defined). As $p^{N(s)}$ is zero on ( $R_{K, g, m} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{K, g, m}}^{N(s)}$ ) the second condition on $N(s)$ follows from part 3 of lemma 1.1.3 of [Kat].

The following lemma will be proved in section 5 .
Lemma 3.5 We can find an inverse system of proper schemes of finite type $X_{m} / \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$ with compatible actions of $G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ and a closed point $x \in X_{m}$ such that

1. $\operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \rightarrow G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp^{m}\right)\right)$ acts trivially on $X_{m}$,
2. the generic fibre of $X_{m} / X_{0}$ is finite, etale and Galois with Galois group $\operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \rightarrow G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp^{m}\right)\right)$,
3. $x$ is totally ramified in each $X_{m}$,
4. and the inverse system of formal schemes $\left(X_{m}\right)_{x}^{\wedge}$ with action of $G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ is isomorphic to the inverse system of the $\operatorname{Spf} R_{K, g, n}$.

Suppose that $l$ is a prime not equal to $p$. We will let $\Psi_{K, l, g, m}^{i}$ denote the $i^{\text {th }}$ vanishing cycle sheaf with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ for $\operatorname{Spf} R_{K, g, m}$ in the sense of Berkovich (see appendix II). Thus $\Psi_{K, l, g, m}^{i}$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-vector space equal to $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{l}} \lim _{\rightarrow s} R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{s} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We set $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}=\lim _{\rightarrow m} \Psi_{K, l, g, m}^{i}$ and
we may drop the subscripts $K$ and/or $l$ when no confusion can arise. Let $A_{K, g}$ denote the set of $(\gamma, \delta, \sigma) \in G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times} \times W_{K}$ such that

$$
v_{K}(\gamma)=v_{K}(\delta)+v_{K}(\sigma)
$$

The action of $G L_{g}(K) \times D_{K, g}^{\times}$on the tower of the $R_{K, g, m}$ gives rise to an action of $A_{K, g}$ on the tower $R_{K, g, m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}} \mathrm{nr}} L$ for any finite extension $L / \widehat{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. More precisely $(\gamma, \delta, \sigma) \in A_{K, g}$ acts as $(\gamma, \delta) \otimes \sigma$. In this way we get a left action of $A_{K, g}$ on $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}$.

Lemma 3.6 The action of $A_{K, g}$ on $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}$ is admissible/continuous.
Proof: The action of $G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ is smooth from the definitions. It follows from lemma 3.4, corollary 4.5 of [Berk3] and lemma 3.5 that the action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}$is smooth. Let $X_{m}$ denote the kernel of $G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \rightarrow G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{m}\right)$. It follows from lemmas 3.5 and II. 3 that $\Psi_{K, l, g, m}^{i} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}\right)^{X_{m}}$. Finally it follows from lemma 3.5, the comparison theorem of [Berk3] and lemma II. 1 that $\Psi_{K, l, g, m}^{i}$ is finite dimensional and has a continuous action of $I_{K}$.

If $\rho$ is a irreducible admissible representation of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ (and hence necessarily finite dimensional) then we set

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}}\left(\rho, \Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}\right) .
$$

This is naturally an admissible $G L_{g}(K) \times W_{K}$-module. More precisely

$$
((\gamma, \sigma) \phi)(x)=(\gamma, \delta, \sigma) \phi\left(\rho(\delta)^{-1} x\right)
$$

for any $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$with $v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)=v_{K}(\gamma)-v_{K}(\sigma)$. Define a homomorphism $d: G L_{g}(K) \times W_{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $d_{g}(\gamma, \sigma)=v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \gamma)-v_{K}(\sigma)$. The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 3.7 If $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)^{\times}$then

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}\left(\rho \otimes\left(\psi \circ v_{K} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right) \cong \Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho) \otimes\left(\psi^{-1} \circ d_{g}\right) .
$$

There is a natural map of $A_{K, g}$-modules

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho) \otimes \rho \longrightarrow \Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}
$$

which sends $f \otimes v$ to $f(v)$. We will denote the image of this map $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]$ and we will let $\Psi_{K, l, g, t}^{i}[\rho]$ denote the preimage of $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]$ in $\Psi_{K, l, g, t}^{i}$. We will call irreducible admissible representations of $D_{g}^{\times}$inertially equivalent if they differ
by twisting by a character of the form $\psi \circ v_{K} \circ \operatorname{det}$ for some character $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)^{\times}$. The submodule $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]$ only depends on the inertial equivalence class of $\rho$. Because $\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}$is compact it is easy to check that

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i} \cong \bigoplus \rho \otimes \Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]
$$

where the sum is over one representative of each inertial equivalence class of irreducible admissible representation of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$.

We will let $e[\rho]$ denote the number of irreducible components of $\left.\rho\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}}$. It also equals the number of characters $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)^{\times}$such that $\rho \cong$ $\rho \otimes\left(\psi \circ v_{K} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$. Let $\Delta[\rho]$ be a set of $e[\rho]$ elements $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$such that the set of $v_{K}(\operatorname{det} \delta)$ for $\delta \in \Delta[\rho]$ run over a set of representatives of the congruence classes mode $[\rho]$. If $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$we will we will let

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]^{\delta}
$$

denote $\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]$ but with its $A_{K, g}$ action twisted so that $(\gamma, \epsilon, \sigma)$ acts via $\left(\gamma, \delta^{-1} \epsilon \delta, \sigma\right)$. Then there is an isomorphism of $A_{K, g}$-modules

$$
\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta[\rho]} \Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}[\rho]^{\delta},
$$

which sends $f \otimes v$ to $\left(f\left(\delta^{-1} v\right)\right)_{\delta}$.
We also introduce the virtual $G L_{g}(K) \times W_{K}$-module

$$
\left[\Psi_{K, l, g}(\rho)\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}(-1)^{g-1-i}\left[\Psi_{K, l, g}^{i}(\rho)\right] .
$$

The following lemma is proved in [Car3].
Lemma 3.8 If $\rho$ is a character of $K^{\times}$then

$$
\Psi_{K, l, 1}(\rho) \cong \rho^{-1} \otimes \rho \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}
$$

## 4 Some simple Shimura varieties

In this section we shall introduce some Shimura varieties which will be the main object of study in this paper. This class (or one close to it) of Shimura varieties, which are particularly simple in a number of respects, were first singled by Kottwitz (see [Ko4]).

We will use without comment notation established in section 1 . Let $U$ be a sufficiently small open compact subgroup of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. By sufficiently small we shall mean that the projection of $U$ to $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ for some prime $x$ contains no element of finite order other than 1 . Let $S$ be a connected, locally noetherian $F$-scheme and $s$ a geometric point of $S$. Consider the functor that to $(S, s)$ associated the set of equivalence classes of quadruples $(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta})$ where

- $A$ is an abelian scheme of dimension $d n^{2}$;
- $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ is a polarisation;
- $i: B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$ such that $\lambda \circ i(b)=i\left(b^{*}\right)^{\vee} \circ \lambda$ for all $b \in B$ and

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\left.b\right|_{L i e(A)}\right)=\left(c \circ \operatorname{tr}_{F / E} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)(n b)+\operatorname{tr}_{B / F}(b)-\left(c \circ \operatorname{tr}_{B / F}\right)(b)
$$

for all $b \in B$;

- $\bar{\eta}$ is a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U$-orbit of isomorphisms of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty}$-modules $\eta: V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty} \rightarrow V A_{s}$ which take the standard pairing (, ) on $V$ to a $\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{\times}$-multiple of the $\lambda$-Weil pairing on $V A_{s}$ (see [Ko3] pages 390-391).
We consider two quadruples $(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta})$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \bar{\eta}^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent if there is an isogeny $\alpha: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ which takes $\lambda$ to a $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$multiple of $\lambda^{\prime}$, takes $i$ to $i^{\prime}$ and takes $\bar{\eta}$ to $\bar{\eta}^{\prime}$ (see [Ko3] page 390). If $s^{\prime}$ is a second geometric point of $S$ then there is a canonical bijection between the image of $(S, s)$ and of $\left(S, s^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we obtain a functor from connected, locally noetherian schemes $S / F$ to sets (see [Ko3] page 391).

Because $U$ is sufficiently small this functor is represented by a smooth projective scheme $X_{U} / F$ (see [Ko3] page 391). If $V \subset U$ there is a natural finite etale map $X_{V} \rightarrow X_{U}$. There is also a natural right action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ on the inverse system of the $X_{U}$ : if $g^{-1} V g \subset U$ then $g: X_{V} \rightarrow X_{U}$ by $(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}) \mapsto(A, \lambda, i, \overline{\eta \circ g})$. If $U$ and $V$ are sufficiently small open compact subgroups of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and if $V$ is a normal subgroup of $U$ then the finite etale cover $X_{V} \rightarrow X_{U}$ is Galois with group $U / V$. Thus if $x$ is a geometric point of $X_{U}$ we obtain a continuous homomorphism

$$
\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{alg}}\left(X_{U}, x\right) \longrightarrow U
$$

(This map is only determined up to conjugation unless one chooses a compatible choice of liftings of $x$ to all the covers $X_{V}$.)

If $\xi$ is a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $G$ on a $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-vector space $W_{\xi}$ then we obtain a representation

$$
\xi: \pi_{1}\left(X_{U}, x\right) \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}\right) \xrightarrow{\xi} \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{\xi}\right) .
$$

Thus we also obtain a lisse etale $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} / X_{U}$. Although the construction of $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ appears to depend on a choice of base points this is illusory (as we will explain below). If $g \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and $U, V$ are sufficiently small open compact subgroups of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ with $g^{-1} V g \subset U$ then we have the morphism

$$
g: X_{V} \longrightarrow X_{U}
$$

and $\xi\left(g_{l}\right)$ also gives rise to a morphism of sheaves

$$
g: g^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\xi}
$$

(We will explain the construction of this map $g$ and why the choice of base point does not effect the construction of $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ by looking at the case of a locally constant etale sheaf of $\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$-modules. We will leave to the reader the standard and rather tiresome extension to $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-sheaves. Thus suppose that a finite group $G$ acts freely on a scheme $Y$ (on the right). Suppose also that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are subgroups of $G$ and that $g \in G$ with $g H_{1} g^{-1} \subset H_{2}$. Let $X_{i}=Y / H_{i}$ so that we get a morphism $g: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$. Suppose that $V$ is a finite free $\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}$-module and that $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ is a homomorphism. As above we obtain a sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\rho}$ on each $X_{i}$. Let us describe this sheaf without reference to base points. If $U \rightarrow X_{i}$ is a finite etale cover of a Zariski open subset of $X_{i}$ then $\mathcal{L}_{\rho}(U)$ is the set of functions

$$
f: \pi_{0}\left(Y \times_{X_{i}} U\right) \longrightarrow V
$$

such that for all $\sigma \in H_{i}$ and $C \in \pi_{0}\left(Y \times_{X_{i}} U\right)$ we have

$$
f(C \sigma)=\rho(\sigma)^{-1} f(C)
$$

To define a map $g^{*}: \mathcal{L}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\rho}$ over $X_{1}$ it suffices to give compatible maps

$$
g: \mathcal{L}_{\rho}\left(U_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\rho}\left(U_{1}\right)
$$

whenever we have a commutative diagram

with the vertical maps being finite etale covers of Zariski opens. If $f: \pi_{0}\left(Y \times X_{2}\right.$ $\left.U_{2}\right) \rightarrow V$ then we let $g(f): \pi_{0}\left(Y \times_{X_{1}} U_{1}\right) \rightarrow V$ be the function defined by

$$
g(f)(C)=\rho(g) f(C g) .)
$$

We will set

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times_{F} F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

If $g \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and $U, V$ are sufficiently small open compact subgroups of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ with $g^{-1} V g \subset U$ then we get a morphism

$$
g: H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times_{F} F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{V} \times_{F} F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .
$$

If $V \subset U$ then we see that

$$
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times_{F} F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \cong H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{V} \times_{F} F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{U / V}
$$

Thus $H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ becomes an admissible $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-module, in fact an admissible/continuous $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F\right)$-module. We will let $\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]$ denote the virtual $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F\right)$-module

$$
\sum_{i}(-1)^{n-1-i}\left[H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

(see appendix I).
Our distinguished embedding $\tau_{0}: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ allows us to speak of the complex points of $X_{U}$, which we will denote $X_{U}(\mathbb{C})$, a smooth manifold. We get an isomorphism

$$
H_{\mathrm{et}}^{i}\left(X_{U} \times_{F} F^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{i}\left(X_{U}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .
$$

There is an element $I \in B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ (unique if $n>2$ ) with the following properties.

- $I^{2}=-1$.
- $\beta I^{*}=-I \beta$.
- The pairing $b_{1} \times b_{2} \mapsto\left(b_{1}, b_{2} I\right)$ is a positive definite symmetric form on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$.
- For any embedding $\sigma: F^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the space $V \otimes_{F^{+}, \sigma} \mathbb{R}$ is an $\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\right.$ $\mathbb{R})[I] /\left(I^{2}\right)$-module. Our choice of $\tau_{0}$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\right.$ $\mathbb{R})[I] /\left(I^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[I] /\left(I^{2}\right)$. If $\sigma \neq\left.\tau_{0}\right|_{F+}$ we require that $I=-i$ on $V \otimes_{F^{+}, \sigma} \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand we require that the $i$ eigenspace of $I$ on $V \otimes_{F^{+}, \tau_{0}} \mathbb{R}$ has $\mathbb{C}$-dimension $n$.

We will let $U_{\infty}$ denote the centraliser of $I$ in $G(\mathbb{R})$. It is a maximal connected compact mod centre subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. We will let $\widetilde{U}_{\infty}$ denote a maximal compact mod centre subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$ containing $U_{\infty}$. As on page 400 of
[Ko3] we can give a more explicit description of the smooth manifold $X_{U}(\mathbb{C})$. It is the disjoint union of

$$
\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)=\# \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(F^{+}\right)^{\times} / \mathbb{Q}^{\times} N_{F / F^{+}}\left(F^{\times}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F^{+}}^{\times} / \mathbb{A}^{\times} N_{F^{+} / F}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}\right)\right)
$$

copies of the manifold

$$
G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) / U \times G(\mathbb{R}) / U_{\infty}\right)
$$

Under this identification the right action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ on inverse system of the $X_{U}$ 's corresponds to the action by right translation on the

$$
G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) / U \times G(\mathbb{R}) / U_{\infty}\right)
$$

Using in addition our identification $\imath: \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ we see from Matsushima's formula that we get an isomorphism

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^{\infty} \otimes H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Lie} G(\mathbb{R}), U_{\infty}, \pi_{\infty} \otimes \xi\right)
$$

where $\pi$ runs over irreducible constituents of the space of automorphic forms for $G(\mathbb{A})$, each taken with its multiplicity in the space of automorphic forms. (See [Ko4] page 655.) Thus we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 As virtual $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-modules we have an equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]=} \\
& =\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G) \sum_{\pi}\left[\pi^{\infty}\right] \sum_{i}(-1)^{n-1-i} \operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Lie} G(\mathbb{R}), U_{\infty}, \pi_{\infty} \otimes \xi\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi$ runs over irreducible constituents of the space of automorphic forms for $G(\mathbb{A})$, each taken with its multiplicity in the space of automorphic forms.

Let us now give a slightly modified definition of $X_{U}$. For this purpose suppose that $U=U^{p} \times U_{p, 0} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} U_{w_{i}}$, where $U^{p} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right), U_{p, 0} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$and for $i \geq 1, U_{w_{i}} \subset\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. We give an equivalent moduli problem represented by $X_{U} / F_{w}$. We consider the functor which takes a connected locally noetherian $F_{w}$-scheme and a geometric point $s$ to equivalence classes of $(r+5)$-tuples $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}\right) / S$ where

- $A / S$ is an abelian scheme of dimension $d n^{2}$;
- $\lambda: A \longrightarrow A^{\vee}$ is a polarisation;
- $i: B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\lambda \circ i(b)=i\left(b^{*}\right)^{\vee} \circ \lambda$ for all $b \in B$, for $i>1$ we have $\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{F_{p}} F_{w_{i}}=(0)$ and $\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{F_{p}} F_{w_{i}^{c}}$ is a projective sheaf of rank $\left[F_{v_{i}}^{+}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] n^{2}, \operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{F_{p}} F_{w}$ is a projective sheaf of rank $n$, and $\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{F_{p}} F_{w^{c}}$ is a projective sheaf of $\operatorname{rank}\left(\left[F_{w}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] n-1\right) n$;
- $\bar{\eta}^{p}$ is a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U^{p}$-orbit of isomorphisms of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules $\eta^{p}: V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow V^{p} A_{s}$ which take the standard pairing (, ) on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ to a $\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$multiple of the $\lambda$-Weil pairing on $V^{p} A_{s}$;
- $\bar{\eta}_{p, 0}$ denotes a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U_{p}^{0}$-orbit of isomorphisms $\mathbb{Q}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_{p}(1)$;
- $\bar{\eta}_{w_{1}}$ is a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U_{w_{1}}$-orbit of isomorphisms of $F_{w}$-modules $\bar{\eta}_{w_{1}}$ : $\Lambda_{11} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varepsilon V_{w_{1}} A_{s} ;$
- for $i>1, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}$ is a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U_{w_{1}}$-orbit of isomorphisms of $B_{w_{i}}$ modules $\bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}: \Lambda_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{w_{i}} A_{s}$.
We call two $(r+5)$-tuples $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime},\left(\bar{\eta}^{p}\right)^{\prime}, \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}^{\prime}, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent if there exists an isogeny $\alpha: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$such that $\alpha$ carries $\lambda$ to $\gamma \lambda^{\prime}, i$ to $i^{\prime}, \bar{\eta}^{p}$ to $\left(\bar{\eta}^{p}\right)^{\prime}$, and $\bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}$ to $\bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}^{\prime}$; and such that $\bar{\eta}_{p, 0}=\gamma \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}^{\prime}$. The image of this functor is canonically independent of the base point $s$ so we can think of it as a functor on connected locally noetherian $F_{w}$-schemes. Then this functor is also represented by $X_{U} \times_{F} F_{w}$. The isomorphism between these two moduli problems is given by mapping $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}\right)$ to $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\eta^{\prime}=\eta^{p} \times\left(\left(\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n}} \otimes \eta_{w_{1}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \eta_{w_{i}}\right) \oplus\left(\left(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n}} \otimes \eta_{w_{1}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \eta_{w_{i}}\right)^{\vee_{\eta_{p, 0}}}\right) .
$$

The dual $\vee_{\eta_{p, 0}}$ is taken with respect to the canonical pairing on $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\eta_{p, 0}^{-1}$ composed with the $\lambda$-Weil pairing on $V_{p} A$. The action of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ in this picture may be described as follows. An element $\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times$ $\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \operatorname{maps}\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}}\right)$ to $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, g_{0} \bar{\eta}_{p, 0}, \bar{\eta}_{w_{i}} \circ g_{i}\right)$.

## 5 Integral models

Next we wish to describe an integral model for $X_{U}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$. More precisely suppose that $U^{p} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ is a sufficiently small open compact subgroup and suppose that $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}$. Then we will let $U^{p}(m) \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ denote the product

$$
U^{p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{B_{w_{i}}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{B_{w_{i}}}^{\mathrm{op}} / w_{i}^{m_{i}}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

For simplicity we will restrict attention to open compact subgroups of this form. Given $U^{p}$ and $m$ as above we shall consider the functor from locally noetherian connected $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-schemes $S$ with a geometric point $s$ to sets which takes $(S, s)$ to the set of equivalence classes of $(r+4)$-tuples $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ where

- $A / S$ is an abelian scheme of dimension $d n^{2}$;
- $\lambda: A \longrightarrow A^{\vee}$ is a prime to $p$ polarisation;
- $i: \mathcal{O}_{B} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ such that $\lambda \circ i(b)=i\left(b^{*}\right)^{\vee} \circ \lambda$ for all $b \in \mathcal{O}_{B}$, for $i>1$ we have $\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, p}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}}=(0)$ and $\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, p}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}^{c}}$ is a projective sheaf of rank $\left[F_{v_{i}}^{+}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] n^{2}, \operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, p}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ is a projective sheaf of rank $n$, and $\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, p}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w^{c}}$ is a projective sheaf of rank $\left(\left[F_{w}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] n-1\right) n$;
- $\bar{\eta}^{p}$ is a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant $U^{p}$-orbit of isomorphisms of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules $\eta^{p}: V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow V^{p} A_{s}$ which take the standard pairing (, ) on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ to a $\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$multiple of the $\lambda$-Weil pairing on $V^{p} A_{s}$;
- $\alpha_{1}: w_{1}^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \varepsilon A\left[w_{1}^{m_{1}}\right](S)$ is a Drinfeld $w_{1}^{m_{1}}$-structure;
- for $i>1, \alpha_{i}:\left(w_{i}^{-m_{i}} \Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i}\right)_{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} A\left[w_{i}^{m_{i}}\right]$ is an isomorphism of $S$-schemes with $\mathcal{O}_{B}$-actions.

Two ( $r+4$ )-tuples $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime},\left(\bar{\eta}^{p}\right)^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if there exists a prime to $p$ isogeny $\delta: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{\times}$such that $\delta$ carries $\lambda$ to $\gamma \lambda^{\prime}$, $i$ to $i^{\prime}, \bar{\eta}^{p}$ to $\left(\bar{\eta}^{p}\right)^{\prime}$, and $\alpha_{i}$ to $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$. Again this functor is canonically independent of the base point $s$ so we can think of it as a functor from connected locally noetherian $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-schemes to sets. On connected locally noetherian $F_{w}$-schemes it is naturally isomorphic to the functor defined in the last section. (Note that because we are now assuming that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \subset U$, we no longer require an analogue of $\bar{\eta}_{p, 0}$.)

If $m_{1}=0$ then it is known that this functor is represented by a projective scheme $X_{U^{p}, m} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$. (Representability and quasi-projectivity follow as on page 391 of [Ko3] or as in section 5.3 of [Car1]. Properness follows from the valuative criterion as in section 5.5 of [Car1], the point being that if $A$ is an abelian variety of dimension $d n^{2}$ with an action of an order in $B$ over the field of fractions of a DVR and if $A$ has semistable reduction then $A$ has good reduction (otherwise the toric part of the reduction has too small a dimension to have an action of an order in $B$ ). The level structure then extends uniquely to the Neron model $\widetilde{A}$ of $A$, because $\widetilde{A}[\mathfrak{n}]$ is etale over the DVR for $\mathfrak{n}$ supported on
$w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r}$ and the primes not dividing $p$ (use the fact that Lie $A\left[w_{i}\right]^{\infty}=(0)$ for $i>1$ ).) Hence by 3.1, this functor is represented for all $m$ by a projective scheme $X_{U^{p}, m} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$.

The inverse system of the $X_{U^{p}, m} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ again has an action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. The action of $g \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ just sends $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ to $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p} \circ g, \alpha_{i}\right)$. The action of $\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right) \in G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is slightly trickier to describe. To do so let us suppose that for each $i \geq 1$ we have the following integrality conditions

- $g_{i}^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$,
- $g_{0}^{-1} g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\text {op }}$,
- $w_{i}^{m_{i}-m_{i}^{\prime}} g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Under these assumptions we will define a morphism

$$
\left(g_{i}\right): X_{U^{p}, m} \longrightarrow X_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}
$$

It will send $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ to $\left(A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right), p^{\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)} \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i} \circ g_{i}\right)$, where

- $C_{1} \subset \varepsilon A\left[w_{1}^{m_{1}}\right]$ is the unique closed subscheme for which the set of $\alpha_{1}(x)$ with $x \in g_{1} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11}$ is a complete set of sections;
- for $i>1, C_{i}=\alpha_{i}\left(g_{i} \Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i}\right) ;$
- $C=\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, w}} C_{1}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} C_{i} \subset A\left[u^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)}\right] ;$
- $C^{\perp}$ is the annihilator of $C \subset A\left[u^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)}\right]$ inside $A\left[\left(u^{c}\right)^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)}\right]$ under the $\lambda$-Weil pairing;
- $p^{\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)} \lambda$ is the polarisation $A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right) \rightarrow\left(A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right)\right)^{\vee}$ which makes the following diagram commute

- $\alpha_{1} \circ g_{1}: w_{1}^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon A\left[w_{1}^{\infty}\right] / C_{1}\right)(S)$ is the homomorphism making
the following diagram commute

- for $i>1, \alpha_{i} \circ g_{i}: w_{i}^{-m_{i}^{\prime}} \Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i} \rightarrow A\left[w_{i}^{\infty}\right] / C_{i}$ is the homomorphism making the following diagram commute


It is tedious but straightforward to check that this does define an action. We see that $\left(p^{-2}, p^{-1}, \ldots, p^{-1}\right)$ acts in the same way as $p \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ and so acts invertibly on the inverse system. Thus this definition can be extended to the whole of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. We also see that on the generic fibre (i.e. over $\left.F_{w}\right)$ this definition (when it makes sense) agrees with the action previously defined. (A less tedious argument is to first note that this definition coincides with the previously defined action on the generic fibre and then use the fact that the generic fibre is Zariski dense in $X_{U^{p}, m}$ to check that first two assertions. That the generic fibre is indeed dense follows at once from lemma 5.1 below.)

We remark that the lisse $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ can be defined over the whole of $X_{U^{p}, m}$ in exactly the same manner it was defined over the generic fibre $X_{U^{p}(m)}$. If $g \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ maps $X_{U^{p}, m}$ to $X_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}$ then again $\xi\left(g_{l}\right)$ induces a morphism of sheaves

$$
g: g^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\xi}
$$

over $X_{U^{p}, m}$.
We next establish some important pieces of notation. We will let $\mathcal{A} / X_{U^{p}, m}$ denote the universal abelian variety. If $A / S$ is an abelian scheme and if $i$ :
$\mathcal{O}_{B} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A / S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ then we will let $G_{A}$ denote the Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w^{-}}$ module $\varepsilon A\left[w^{\infty}\right]$. We will simply use $\mathcal{G} / X_{U^{p}, m}$ to denote $G_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $s$ is a closed geometric point of $X_{U^{p}, m}$ we will let $h(s)$ denote the height of $\mathcal{G}_{s}^{\text {et }}$. We will let $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}$ denote the reduction $X_{U^{p}, m} \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)$. We will let $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{[h]}$ denote the reduced closed subscheme of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}$ which is the closure of the set of closed geometric points $s$ with $h(s) \leq h$. We will also let

$$
\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}=\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{[h]}-\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{[h-1]} .
$$

The action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ on the inverse system of the $X_{U^{p}, m}$ takes the inverse system of locally closed subschemes $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ to itself (because they are defined in an invariant manner).

Lemma 5.1 Throughout this lemma we suppose that $U^{p}$ is sufficiently small. Suppose also that $m_{1}=0$ and that $m_{i}^{\prime}=m_{i}$ for $i>1$. Let $s$ be a closed point of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m} \times{ }_{\text {Spec } k(w)} k(w)^{\text {ac }}$ and fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{s}^{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}$.

1. The formal completion of $X_{U^{p}, m} \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w r}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$ at $s$ is isomorphic to the universal formal deformation space for the Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w^{-}}$ module $\mathcal{G}_{s}$. Thus we get an identification

$$
\left(X_{U^{p}, m} \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\text {nr }}}\right)_{s}^{\wedge} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) ;
$$

while $\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h(s))} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}$ is identified to the closed formal subscheme

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right)
$$

2. $X_{U^{p}, m} / \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ is smooth. Moreover each $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} / \operatorname{Spec} k(w)$ is either empty or smooth of dimension $h$.
3. The closed points of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}} \times \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} k(w)^{\text {ac }}$ above $s$ are in natural bijection with the surjective homomorphisms

$$
\delta: w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{s}^{\mathrm{et}}\left[w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right](k(s)) .
$$

We will write $s_{\delta}$ for the point corresponding to $\delta$. Then we can identify the formal completion of $X_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}} \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F_{w}}}$ at $s_{\delta}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s), m_{1}^{\prime}},
$$

such that the morphism

$$
\left(X_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}} \times \times_{\text {Spec } \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)_{s_{\delta}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow\left(X_{U^{p}, m} \times \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}
$$

corresponds to the natural morphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) \times \times_{\text {Spf } R_{F w}, n-h(s)} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s), m_{1}^{\prime}} \\
\downarrow \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover the formal completion of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h(s))}$ at $s_{\delta}$ corresponds to the closed formal subscheme $\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right)$ inside

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s), m_{1}^{\prime}} .
$$

4. $X_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ is regular and flat.
5. $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} / k(w)$ is smooth and the morphism $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ is finite and flat of degree $\# G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right) / \# G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$.
6. Suppose that $\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime \prime} \subset U^{p}$ and that for all $i$ we have $m_{i}^{\prime \prime} \geq m_{i}^{\prime}$. Then the natural morphism

$$
X_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime \prime}, m^{\prime \prime}} \longrightarrow X_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}
$$

is finite and flat of degree

$$
\left[U^{p}:\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{r} \# G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}} / w_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}}\right) /\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \# G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}} / w_{i}^{m_{i}^{\prime}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

If $m_{1}^{\prime \prime}=m_{1}^{\prime}$ then this morphism is in fact etale.
Proof: First of all it is standard that $\left(X_{U^{p}, m} \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}$ is the formal deformation space for $(r+2)$-tuples deforming $\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}, \lambda_{s}, i_{s}, \alpha_{i, s}\right)$ (where $i>1$ ). By the Serre-Tate theorem this is the same as deformations of the $(r+2)$-tuple $\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}\left[p^{\infty}\right], \lambda_{s}, i_{s}, \alpha_{i, s}\right)$. As $\lambda: \mathcal{A}_{s}\left[u^{\infty}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{s}\left[\left(u^{c}\right)^{\infty}\right]$ we see that this is the same as deformations of the $(r+1)$-tuple $\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}\left[u^{\infty}\right], i_{s}, \alpha_{i, s}\right)$. As $\mathcal{A}_{s}\left[w_{i}^{\infty}\right]$ is ind-etale for $i>1$ it has a unique deformation over any Artinian local ring with residue field $k(s)$ as does $\alpha_{i, s}$. Thus we need only consider deformations of the pair $\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}\left[w^{\infty}\right], i_{s}\right)$. As $\mathcal{O}_{B, w} \cong M_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ this is the same as deformations of $\mathcal{G}_{s}=\varepsilon \mathcal{A}_{s}\left[w^{\infty}\right]$ with its $\mathcal{O}_{F, w^{-} \text {-action. This proves the first assertion of the }}$ lemma.

The rest of the first part of the lemma follows from the discussion before lemma 2.3 and from corollary 2.4. The second part of the lemma follows from the first.

The first assertion of the third part of the lemma follows from lemma 3.1. The second assertion follows from the discussion proceeding lemma 3.2. $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h(s))}$ can be constructed as the reduced subscheme of the fibre product of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h(s))}$ and $X_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}$ over $X_{U^{p}, m}$. Thus $\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h(s)}\right) \wedge_{s_{\delta}}$ is the reduced formal subscheme of the fibre product over $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s), m_{1}^{\prime}}$. (Here we make use of lemma 2.6.) Hence $\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h(s))}\right)_{s_{\delta}}^{\wedge}$ is the reduced formal subscheme of

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h(s), m_{1}^{\prime}},
$$

i.e. $\operatorname{Hom}\left(w^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} T \mathcal{G}_{s}, \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h(s)}\right)$.

The fourth part now follows on applying proposition 4.3 of [Dr] because both these properties can be detected on formal completions at closed points. (If $A$ is a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ then $\operatorname{dim} A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge}=\operatorname{dim} A$, $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}^{\wedge} /\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\wedge}\right)^{2}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\wedge} / A$ is faithfully flat.) As for the fifth part, finiteness follows from lemma 3.1. Smoothness and flatness follow from the computation of the formal completions. The degree can also be computed on formal completions: suppose that $s$ is a closed point of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$. The number of closed points of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ above $s$ is the number of surjective homomorphisms from $\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{n}$ to $\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{h}$. If $s_{\delta}$ is one of these points the degree of $\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}\right)_{s_{\delta}}^{\wedge}$ over $\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}\right)_{s}^{\wedge}$ is the rank of $\sum_{F_{w}, n-h}^{h}\left[w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right]$. Thus the degree of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}$ over $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\# \mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{h(n-h)}\left(\# G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right) / \# G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)_{\delta}\right)= \\
& =\# G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right) / \# G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can divide the proof of the sixth part into two cases: the case where $m_{1}^{\prime \prime}=m_{1}^{\prime}$ and the case where $U^{p}=\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $m_{i}^{\prime \prime}=m_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i>1$. In the second of these two cases it is standard the morphism is etale of the stated degree. In the first case it follows from lemma 3.2.
(We remark that one can use the results of Drinfeld's paper [Dr] to show that in fact if $m_{1}=0$ then $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{[h]}$ is smooth. We will not give details here as we will not need this result. It seems to us an interesting question whether this remains true for $m_{1}>0$.)

The next lemma will be proved in section 8 below.

Lemma 5.2 The scheme $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(0)}$ is non-empty.
As a first application of this lemma we have the following corollary.
Corollary 5.3 The scheme $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ for $h=0, \ldots, n-1$ is smooth of pure dimension $h$.

As a second application we now provide the postponed proof of lemma 3.5.
Proof of lemma 3.5: Choose a totally real field $F^{+}$with a place $w$ above $p$ such that $F_{w}^{+} \cong K$ and choose an imaginary quadratic field $E$ in which $p$ splits. We may then choose $u, B, *, \tau_{0}, \beta$ and $\Lambda_{i}$ as in section 1 and such that $\operatorname{dim}_{F} B=g^{2}$. Also choose a sufficiently small open compact subgroup $U^{p} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$. Let

$$
X_{m}=X_{U^{p},(m, 0, \ldots, 0)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{n r}}
$$

and let $x$ be any closed point of

$$
\bar{X}_{U^{p},(0,0, \ldots, 0)}^{(g)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c} \subset X_{0}
$$

(The existence of $x$ follows from the last lemma.) That the collection of the $X_{m}$ and the $x$ follows from lemma 5.1.

Now let $\Phi^{i}$ denote the vanishing cycles for $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m} \subset X_{U^{p}, m}$. Then we have a spectral sequence

$$
H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}\left(X_{U^{p}, m} \times F_{w}^{a c}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

(See lemma II.2.) If $(g, \sigma) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$ then we have a natural map

$$
(g, \sigma):\left(g \times \operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*} \Phi^{j}=\left(g \circ\left(F r^{*}\right)^{f_{1} w(\sigma)} \times 1\right)^{*} \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \longrightarrow \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}
$$

Thus we get a smooth/continuous action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$ on

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

which is compatible with the action on $H^{i+j}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ and the above spectral sequence.

For any $0<h \leq n-1$ we get a long exact sequence (see for example [FK] I.8.7 (3))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ldots \longrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{[h]} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{[-1]} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these two observations we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4 Suppose that for each $0 \leq h \leq n-1,0 \leq i \leq 2 h$ and $0 \leq$ $j \leq n-1$ the $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-module $\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is admissible/continuous. Then the same is true for each $\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m} \times\right.$ $\left.k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ and we have an equality of virtual $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-modules

$$
\sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left[H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}\right]=\sum_{i, j, h}(-1)^{i+j}\left[\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

We wish to further analyse the structure of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}$. For $s$ a closed point of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ let $M_{s}$ denote the kernel of the composite

$$
\alpha_{1}: w^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{s}\left[w_{1}^{m_{1}}\right](k(s)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{s}^{\mathrm{et}}\left[w_{1}^{m_{1}}\right](k(s)) .
$$

Then $M_{s}$ is a direct summand of $w^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11}$ which is free over $\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}$ of rank $(n-h)$. The function $s \mapsto M_{s}$ is locally constant on $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$. (Suppose that $H$ is a finite abelian group, that $S$ is a connected scheme and that $\mathcal{F}$ is a lisse etale sheaf on $S$. If $\alpha: H_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism of etale sheaves then there is a subgroup $H^{\prime} \subset H$ such that ker $\alpha=H_{S}($ cf page 49 of $[\mathrm{KM}])$.) Thus we have a decomposition

$$
\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}=\coprod_{M} \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M},
$$

where $M$ runs over free $\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}$-submodules of $w^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11}$ of rank $n-h$ and where $M_{s}=M$ for $s$ a closed point of $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}$. If $g \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$then $g$ gives an isomorphism

$$
g: \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, g^{-1} M} .
$$

The following lemma follows at once (using lemma 5.1).
Lemma 5.5 Suppose that $m_{1}=0$ and $m_{i}^{\prime}=m_{i}$ for $i>1$. Then $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}, M}^{(h)}$ is smooth of dimension $h$ and $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}, M}^{(h)} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ is finite flat of degree

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right) / \# G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)= \\
& =\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{h(n-h)} \# G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose that $M \subset \Lambda_{11}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-submodule which is both a direct summand and free of rank $n-h$. We will let $P_{M} \subset$ Aut $\left(\Lambda_{11}\right)$ denote the maximal parabolic subgroup which stabilises $M$. Then we will set

$$
G_{M}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)=G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{r=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

We will also set

$$
\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}=\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, w^{-m_{1}} M / M} .
$$

For fixed $M$ the inverse system of the $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}$ inherits an action of $G_{M}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. Then

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

is a smooth/continuous $G_{M}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-module.
We will next describe a natural map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}, w^{m 1}\right)}^{\left(\mathcal{O}_{c}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \\
\downarrow \\
\quad H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

A typical element of $\left.\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right)}^{\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}^{\text {op }} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i} \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is represented by a function

$$
f:\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times} \longrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

such that

$$
f(\gamma g)=\gamma f(g)
$$

for all $\gamma \in P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right)$ and all $g \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\text {op }} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}$. We map $f$ to

$$
\left(\# P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right) / \#\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}\right) \sum_{g \in P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right) \backslash\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}} g^{-1} f(g) .
$$

This map is easily checked to be a well defined isomorphism of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$modules.

Similarly we can define a natural map

$$
\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}} \times\right.} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

as follows. A typical element of $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is represented by a locally constant function

$$
f:\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \longrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

such that

$$
f(\gamma g)=\gamma f(g)
$$

for all $\gamma \in P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and all $g \in\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. We map $f$ to

$$
\int_{P_{M}\left(F_{W}\right) /\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}} g^{-1} f(g) d g
$$

where we choose Haar measures to give $P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) /\left(B_{w}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$volume 1, and where the integral makes sense as it is in fact a finite sum (as $f$ is locally constant and $P_{M}\left(F_{W}\right) /\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$is compact). This is a morphism of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-modules.

Lemma 5.6 Suppose that $H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is an admissible $G_{M}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-module. Then the above map gives an isomorphism of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-modules

$$
\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}} \times\right.} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

In particular $H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is an admissible $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$ module.

Proof: Recall the Iwasawa decomposition $\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}=P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. It follows that

$$
P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) \backslash\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \cong P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \backslash\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \rightarrow P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right) \backslash\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}
$$

This gives rise to maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}, w^{m_{1}}\right)}^{\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)_{c}^{i}} H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\quad \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which are compatible with the maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \\
\downarrow \\
\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

As each of the maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ind}{\underset{P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right)}{\left(\mathcal{O}_{o p}^{\text {op }} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)}_{\downarrow}^{\quad H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an isomorphism, the lemma will follow on passing to the limit as long as we can check that the map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right)}^{\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\mathrm{op}} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \\
\downarrow \\
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is an isomorphism. Injectivity is straightforward. As for surjectivity any $f \in$ Ind ${ }_{P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ being locally constant factors through one of the finite quotients $P_{M}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right) \backslash\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w}^{\text {op }} / w^{m_{1}}\right)^{\times}$. Then $f$ will be in the image of


Putting together the analysis of this section we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.7 For $h=0, \ldots, n-1$ choose a direct summand $M_{h} \subset \Lambda_{11}$ of rank $n-h$. Suppose that for each $0 \leq h \leq n-1,0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2 h$ the $G_{M_{h}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-module $H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M_{h}}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is admissible. Then we have an equality of virtual $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-modules

$$
\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}\right]=\sum_{h, j, i}(-1)^{n-1+i+j} \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M_{h}}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}}\left[H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M_{h}}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

We will let $\left(X_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ (resp. $\left.X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}\right)$ denote the formal completion of $X_{U^{p}, m}$ along the locally closed subscheme $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ (resp. $\left.\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}\right)$. The comparison theorem of $[\operatorname{Berk} 3]$ implies that $\left.\Phi^{i}\right|_{\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left.\Phi^{i}\right|_{\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}}\right)$ coincides with the formal vanishing cycles for $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \subset\left(X_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ (resp. $\left.\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \subset X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}\right)$ (see appendix II). In terms of $\mathcal{G} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{G} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}\right)$ the formal completion is completely characterised by the following useful universal property.

Lemma 5.8 Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-formal scheme and assume $p=0$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}}$. Suppose also that $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-module and that $\gamma$ is a Drinfeld $w^{m_{1}}$-structure on $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$. Moreover suppose that we are given a morphism $f: \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}\right)$ under which $\mathcal{G}$ with its canonical Drinfeld $w^{m_{1}}$-structure pulls back to $\left.\mathcal{H}\right|_{\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}}$ with the Drinfeld $\tilde{w}^{m_{1}}$-structure $\left.\gamma\right|_{\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}}}$. Then there is a unique extension of $f$ to a morphism $\widetilde{f}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}$ under which $\mathcal{G}$ with its canonical Drinfeld $w^{m_{1}}$-structure pulls back to $\mathcal{H}$ and $\gamma$ respectively.

Proof: Let $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ be the pull back to $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$ of the universal object over $X_{U^{p}, m}$. Exactly as in the first paragraph of the proof of lemma 5.1 we see that deformations of $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ to $\mathcal{X}$ are in natural bijection with the deformations of $\left(f^{*} \mathcal{G}, f^{*} \alpha_{1}\right)$. Thus we have a unique deformation $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime},\left(\bar{\eta}^{p}\right)^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ over $\mathcal{X}$ of $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ which gives rise to $(\mathcal{H}, \gamma)$. Thus we have a unique morphism $\tilde{f}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X_{U^{p}, m}$ such that the universal $(r+4)$-tuple pulls back to $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime},\left(\bar{\eta}^{p}\right)^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. This morphism restricts on $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$ to $f$ and so must factor through $X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}$. We see that $\tilde{f}$ is also the unique such morphism extending $f$ under which ( $\mathcal{G}, \alpha_{1}$ ) pulls back to $(\mathcal{H}, \gamma)$.

## 6 Igusa varieties of the first kind

In our setting there seem to be two natural analogues of the familiar Igusa curves in the theory of elliptic modular curves. We will call these Igusa varieties of the first and second kind. When we speak of these Igusa varieties we will refer only to the analogue of the ordinary locus on the usual Igusa curves. We have not looked at the question of whether our Igusa varieties admit natural smooth compactifications, although we feel this is a natural and interesting question. In the case of elliptic modular curves, the Weil pairing on the $p$ divisible group of an elliptic curve allows one to identify these two kinds of Igusa variety.

In this section we introduce the more naive notion of Igusa variety of the first kind in the context of the Shimura varieties we are studying. To this end fix an integer $h$ in the range $0 \leq h \leq n-1$. Also if $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}$ then let $\bar{m}$ denote $\left(0, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$.

By an Igusa variety of the first kind $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}$ we shall mean the moduli space for isomorphisms $\alpha_{1}^{\text {et }}:\left(w^{-m_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)_{\bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{h}}^{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{\text {et }}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$. Thus $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}$ is Galois (and in particular finite etale, but not necessarily connected) with Galois group $G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}}\right)$. The morphism $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}$ factors naturally through $I_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}$ if $m_{1}^{\prime}<m_{1}$ and $m_{i}^{\prime}=m_{i}$ for $i>1$. The inverse system of the $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ has a natural action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \times$ $\prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$.

Let $\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}$denote the sub-semigroup of elements $(c, g) \in \mathbb{Z} \times$ $G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ such that $w$ to the integral part of $-c /(n-h)$ times $g$ is integral. Then the inverse system of the $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ has an action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times$ $\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$extending that of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \times$
$\prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. We leave the action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ to the reader. First suppose that $\left(g_{0}, c, g_{1}^{\text {et }}, g_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$also satisfies

- for $i>1$ we have $g_{i}^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\text {op }}$ and $g_{0}^{-1} g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\text {op }}$,
- $\left(g_{1}^{\text {et }}\right)^{-1} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ and $g_{0}^{-1} g_{1}^{\text {et }} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$,
- $(n-h) w\left(g_{0}\right) \leq c \leq 0$,
- for $i>1$ we have $w_{i}^{m_{i}-m_{i}^{\prime}} g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$,
- $w_{1}^{m_{1}-m_{1}^{\prime}} g_{1}^{\text {et }} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$.

Under these assumptions we will define a morphism

$$
\left(g_{0}, c, g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{i}\right): I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \longrightarrow I_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}
$$

It will send $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ to $\left(A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right), p^{\mathrm{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)} \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}} \circ g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}, \alpha_{i} \circ g_{i}\right)$, where we have set

- $C_{1} \subset \varepsilon A\left[w_{1}^{m_{1}}\right]$ is the unique closed subscheme for which there is an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} F^{-f_{1} c} \longrightarrow C_{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\left(F_{w}^{h} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}\left[\left(g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\right)^{-1}\right]\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

(this makes sense as if $d$ denotes the integral part of $-c /(n-h)$ then ker $F^{-f_{1} c} \supset G_{A}^{0}\left[w^{d}\right]$ and $G_{A}^{\mathrm{et}}\left[w^{d}\right] \supset \alpha\left(F_{w}^{h} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}\left[\left(g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\right)^{-1}\right]\right.$ ) (we are using the fact that $\left.\left.\left(c, g_{1}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}\right)\right)$;

- for $i>1, C_{i}=\alpha_{i}\left(g_{i} \Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i}\right) ;$
- $C=\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, w}} C_{1}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} C_{i} \subset A\left[u^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)}\right] ;$
- $C^{\perp}$ is the annihilator of $C \subset A\left[u^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)}\right]$ inside $A\left[\left(u^{c}\right)^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)}\right]$ under the $\lambda$-Weil pairing;
- $p^{\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)} \lambda$ is the polarisation $A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right) \rightarrow\left(A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right)\right)^{\vee}$ which makes the following diagram commute

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & p^{-\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(g_{0}\right)} \lambda & A^{\vee} \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow \\
A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(A /\left(C \oplus C^{\perp}\right)\right)^{\vee} ;
\end{array}
$$

- $\alpha_{1}^{\text {et }} \circ g_{1}^{\text {et }}:\left(w_{1}^{-m_{1}^{\prime}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)_{I_{U P, m}^{(h)}}^{h} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon A\left[w_{1}^{\infty}\right] / C_{1}\right)^{\text {et }}$ is the homomorphism making the following diagram commute

- for $i>1, \alpha_{i} \circ g_{i}: w_{i}^{-m_{i}^{\prime}} \Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i} \rightarrow A\left[w_{i}^{\infty}\right] / C_{i}$ is the homomorphism making the following diagram commute


It is tedious but straightforward to check that this does define an action. We see that $\left(p^{-2}, p^{-1}, \ldots, p^{-1}\right)$ acts in the same way as $p \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ and so acts invertibly on the inverse system. Thus this definition can be extended to the whole of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. (A less tedious argument is to use the compatibility described below with the action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times$ $P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$on the inverse system of the $\left.\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}.\right)$

We will denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}^{*}}{ }^{f_{1}}$ the element $\left(p^{-f_{1}},-1,1\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}$. We see that

1. $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ is generated by $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}$and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}^{*}}{ }^{-f_{1}}$;
2. $\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}^{f_{1}}: I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \rightarrow I_{\left(U^{p}\right), m}^{(h)}$ is just $\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{1}}$. (Note that according to the definitions above $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}^{*}}$ does take $I_{\left(U^{p}\right), m}^{(h)}$ to itself.)

Now fix $j: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}$ with kernel $M$. This induces a homomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
j_{*}: P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \\
g & \left.\longmapsto w \circ \operatorname{det}\left(\left.g\right|_{M}\right) \times j \circ g \circ j^{-1}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

We will define a morphism $j^{*}: I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}$ such that

commutes. More precisely $j^{*}$ is the map which takes $\left(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{1}^{\text {et }}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ to $\left(A^{\left(p^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}\right)}, \lambda^{\left(p^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}\right)}, i, \bar{\eta}^{p}, \alpha_{1}, F^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)} \circ \alpha_{i}\right)$ where

$$
\alpha_{1}(x)=F^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)} \circ \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}} \circ j(x) .
$$

(To see that $\alpha_{1}$ is well defined and that it is a Drinfeld level structure use lemma 3.1.) Because $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}$ is finite flat of degree

$$
\#\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{h(n-h)} \# G L_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

(see lemma 5.5), because $\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}$ is smooth and hence normal (see lemma $5.5)$, and because the composite

$$
I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \longrightarrow \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)} \stackrel{\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{*}\right)}{\longrightarrow}{ }^{f_{1}(n-h) m_{1}} \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}
$$

is also finite flat of the same degree we see that $j^{*}$ is an isomorphism.
Suppose that $g \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$and that $j_{*}(g) \in$ $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. Suppose also that $\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime} \supset$ $g^{-1} U^{p} g$. If for each $i$ we have $m_{i} \gg m_{i}^{\prime}$ then

commutes.
We now look at natural formal extensions of these Igusa varieties. In particular we will let $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge} /\left(X_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ denote the unique etale covering with reduced subschemes $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}$ (see [Berk2]). If $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then we will let $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) /\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ denote the moduli space for Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structures on $\mathcal{G} /\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$.

Lemma 6.1 1. The natural morphism $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \longrightarrow\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ is finite and flat of degree $\# G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{t}\right)$.
2. $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) /\left(I_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ is the unique etale cover with reduced subschemes $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} / \bar{X}_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}$.
3. $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ has the following universal property. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-formal scheme and assume $p=0$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$. Suppose also that $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{X}$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-module and that we are given a morphism $f: \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ under which $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\left.\mathcal{H}\right|_{\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}}}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\text {et }} \rightarrow(0)
$$

over $\mathcal{X}$, with $\mathcal{H}^{0}$ formal and $\mathcal{H}^{\text {et }}$ ind-etale. Suppose finally that $\gamma$ is a Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure on $\mathcal{H}^{0} / \mathcal{X}$. Then there is a unique extension of $f$ to a morphism $\tilde{f}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ under which $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\mathcal{H}$ and the canonical Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure on $\mathcal{G} /\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ pulls back to $\gamma$.

Proof: The first part follows from corollary 3.3. The second part follows because

$$
\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge} \times_{\left(I_{U P}^{(h)}(\bar{m})^{\wedge}\right.}\left(I_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) .
$$

From the definition of $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ the third part reduces to the special case $t=0$. In this case, by lemma 5.8 , we obtain a unique morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow\left(I_{U^{p}, \bar{m}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ under which $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\mathcal{H}$. The third part of the lemma now follows from the following standard result (which in turn follows easily from, for instance, proposition 1.1 of section I of [Arti]).

Lemma 6.2 Suppose that $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a finite etale morphism of locally noetherian formal schemes. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is also a locally noetherian formal scheme and that we have a commutative diagram


Then there is a unique diagonal morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ making the diagram still commute.

We will let $\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}$denote the set of pairs $\left(g^{0}, g^{\text {et }}\right)$ in $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ for which there exists a scalar $a \in F_{w}^{\times}$such that both $a g^{\text {et }} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ and $\left(a g^{0}\right)^{-1} \in M_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$. This is a subsemigroup of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$. There is a natural homomorphism from

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

to

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

under which

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, g_{w}^{0}, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \longmapsto\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{w}^{0}\right), g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

We will denote this map $g \mapsto[g]$. Under this homomorphism

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

is taken to

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} .
$$

If $\varpi$ is a uniformiser in $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ then we will let

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}_{\varpi}^{*}} f_{1}(n-h)=\left(1, p^{-f_{1}(n-h)}, \varpi^{-1}, 1,1\right)
$$

in

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} .
$$

Then

$$
\left[{\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}_{w}^{*}}}^{f_{1}(n-h)}\right]={\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}^{*}}}^{f_{1}(n-h)}
$$

and $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$is generated as a semi-group by $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}_{\varpi}^{*}}-f_{1}(n-h)$.

The inverse system of the $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ has a natural action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times$ $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$, which is compatible via [ ] with the action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}} \times{ }^{\times}\right.$on the inverse system of the $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$. We will leave the action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ to the reader and describe the action of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. To this end suppose that

$$
\left(g_{0}, g_{1}^{0}, g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times},
$$

and that

- for $i>1$ we have $g_{i}^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $g_{0}^{-1} g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$,
- $\left(g_{1}^{\text {et }}\right)^{-1} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ and $g_{0}^{-1} g_{1}^{\text {et }} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$,
- $g_{0}^{-1} g_{1}^{0} \in M_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$,
- for $i>1$ we have $w_{i}^{m_{i}-m_{i}^{\prime}} g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$,
- $w_{1}^{m_{1}-m_{1}^{\prime}} g_{1}^{\text {et }} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$,

Also choose $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $w^{a} g_{1}^{\text {et }} \in M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ and $\left(w^{a} g_{1}^{0}\right)^{-1} \in M_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$ (if there is a choice, choose the maximal such $a$ ). Finally also suppose that

- $w^{t-t^{\prime}+a} g_{0} \in M_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)$.

We will define a morphism

$$
\left(g_{0}, g_{1}^{0}, g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{i}\right):\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \longrightarrow\left(I_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(t^{\prime}\right),
$$

which extends

$$
\left[\left(g_{0}, g_{1}^{0}, g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{i}\right)\right]: I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \longrightarrow I_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} .
$$

Let $\bar{C}_{1}$ be the unique closed subscheme of $\mathcal{G}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right] / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ for which there is a short exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} F^{-f_{1} w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{1}^{0}\right)} \longrightarrow \bar{C}_{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\left(F_{w}^{h} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}\left[\left(g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\right)^{-1}\right]\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

To define the desired extension $\left(g_{0}, g_{1}^{0}, g_{1}^{\text {et }}, g_{i}\right)$ of $\left[\left(g_{0}, g_{1}^{0}, g_{1}^{\text {et }}, g_{i}\right)\right]$ it suffices (by the universal property of $\left.\left(I_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)$ to specify a lifting $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{G} / \overline{C_{1}}$ from $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ to $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ together with a Drinfeld $w^{t^{\prime}}$-level structure on $\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)^{0}$.

We now explain the construction of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ and the Drinfeld $w^{t^{\prime}}$-structure on $\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)^{0}$. To do so fix a uniformiser $\varpi$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$. Note that we have an embedding

$$
\left(F_{w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)^{h}\left[\left(g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\right)^{-1}\right] \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}} \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{et}}\left[w^{a}\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right]
$$

(By an embedding we mean a compatible system of embeddings over each closed subscheme of $\left(I_{U p, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$.) We also have a Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure $\varpi^{-a} \alpha_{1}^{0}$ on $\left(\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right]\right)^{0}$. We set
$\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right]\right) /\left(\left(\varpi^{-a} \alpha_{1}^{0}\right)\left(F_{w}^{n-h} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n-h}\left[\left(w^{a} g_{1}^{0}\right)^{-1}\right]\right)+\alpha_{1}^{\text {et }}\left(\left(F_{w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)^{h}\left[\left(g_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\right)^{-1}\right]\right)\right)$.
This does not depend on the choice of $\varpi$. By corollary 3.3 we see that the composite of $\varpi^{-a} \alpha_{1}^{0}$ with

$$
\left(w^{-t^{\prime}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)^{n-h} \stackrel{w^{a} g_{1}^{0}}{\hookrightarrow}\left(w^{-t} \mathcal{O}_{F, w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)^{n-h} /\left(\left(F_{w} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)^{n-h}\left[\left(w^{a} g_{1}^{0}\right)^{-1}\right]\right)
$$

gives a Drinfeld $w^{t^{\prime}}$-structure on $\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)^{0}$. This Drinfeld $w^{t^{\prime}}$-structure is also independent of the choice of $\varpi$.

It is tedious but straightforward to check that this does define an action. We see that $\left(p^{-2}, p^{-1}, \ldots, p^{-1}\right)$ acts in the same way as $p \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$ and so acts invertibly on the inverse system. Thus this definition can be extended to the whole of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. (A less tedious argument is to use the compatibility described below with the action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$on the inverse system of the $\left.X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}.\right)$ Note that $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}_{\varpi}^{*}} f_{1}^{(n-h)} \operatorname{maps}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ to itself and defines a lifting of $\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{1}(n-h)}$, which is analogous to the canonical lifting of Frobenius in the theory of elliptic modular curves.

Now fix homomorphisms $j^{\text {et }}: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}$ and $j^{0}: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n-h}$ such that $j^{0} \oplus j^{\text {et }}$ is an isomorphism. Let $M=\operatorname{ker} j^{\text {et }}$. These choices induce homomorphisms define a Levi component $L_{\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)} \subset P_{M}$, i.e. the elements of $P_{M}$ which also preserve ker $j^{0}$. They also induce an isomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{*}: L_{\left(j^{0}, j^{\text {et }}\right)} & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} & G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \\
g & \longmapsto\left(j^{0} \circ g \circ\left(j^{0}\right)^{-1}, j^{\text {et }} \circ g \circ\left(j^{\text {et }}\right)^{-1}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

If $\varpi$ is a uniformiser in $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$, we will define a morphism

$$
\left(j^{0}, j^{\text {et }}, \varpi\right)^{*}:\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(m_{1}\right) \longrightarrow X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}
$$

which extends the morphism

$$
\left(j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)^{*}: I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \longrightarrow \bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M}
$$

To define such a morphism it suffices (by lemma 5.8) to specify a deformation of the pair

$$
\left(\mathcal{G}^{\left(p^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}\right)}, F^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)} \circ \alpha_{1}^{\mathrm{et}} \circ j^{\mathrm{et}}\right) / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}
$$

to $\left(I_{U p, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(m_{1}\right)$. As a deformation of $\mathcal{G}^{\left(p^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}\right)}$ we take $\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$. Then we have the identification

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right] \times \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{et}}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right] & \stackrel{\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]}{(x, y)} & \longmapsto
\end{array} \varpi^{-m_{1}} x+y .
$$

As a deformation of $F^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)} \circ \alpha_{1}^{\text {et }} \circ j^{\text {et }}$ we take $\left(\alpha_{1}^{0} \circ j^{0}\right) \oplus\left(\alpha_{1}^{\text {et }} \circ j^{\text {et }}\right)$. (Note that over $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ we are identifying $\mathcal{G}^{\left(p^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$ so that $F^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}$ : $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\left(p^{m_{1} f_{1}(n-h)}\right)}$ corresponds to the natural projection $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$.)

Lemma 6.3 1. The morphism $\left(j^{0}, j^{\text {et }}, \varpi\right)^{*}$ is an isomorphism.
2. Suppose that $g \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times L_{\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}} \times\right.$ and suppose that $\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)_{*}(g) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}$. Suppose also that $\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime} \supset g^{-1} U^{p} g$ and that for each $i$ we have $m_{i} \gg m_{i}^{\prime}$. Then

commutes, where the vertical maps are $\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}, \varpi\right)^{*}$.
Proof: The second part is formal. To prove the first part we will verify that $X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}$ has the same universal property as $\left(I_{U^{p}, m, M}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(m_{1}\right)$. That is we will show that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-formal scheme, if $p=0$ on
 morphism under which $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\left.\left(\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]\right)\right|_{\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}}$ and if $\gamma$ is a Drinfeld $w^{m_{1}}$-structure on $\mathcal{H}^{0} / \mathcal{X}$, then there is a unique extension of $f$ to a morphism $\widetilde{f}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow\left(I_{U p, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}$ under which $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$ and the canonical Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure $\alpha_{1} \circ j^{0}$ on $\mathcal{G}^{0} / X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}$ pulls back to $\varpi^{-m_{1}} \gamma$. To see that $X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}$ has this universal property we use lemma 5.8 and note that there is a natural bijection between

- Drinfeld $w^{m_{1}}$-structures $\gamma$ on $\mathcal{H}^{0} / \mathcal{X}$
- and Drinfeld $w^{m_{1}}$-structures $\delta: w^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{0}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]\right)\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$ over $\mathcal{X}$ which restrict to $\alpha_{1} \circ j^{\text {et }}$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$.

This bijection sends $\delta$ to $\varpi^{m_{1}} \delta \circ\left(\left.j^{0}\right|_{w^{-m_{1} M / M}} ^{-1}\right)$ and $\gamma$ to $\varpi^{-m_{1}} \gamma \circ j^{0}+\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}^{\text {et }}$, where $\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}^{\text {et }}:\left(w^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\text {et }}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]$ is the unique lifting over $\mathcal{X}$ of the pull back from $X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge}$ to $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$ of $\alpha_{1}:\left(w^{-m_{1}} \Lambda_{11} / \Lambda_{11}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\left[w^{m_{1}}\right]^{\text {et }}$.

We will let $\Phi^{i}(t) / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times_{\text {Spec } k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ denote the formal vanishing cycles for $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \subset\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$. (If $t=m_{1}$ then it follows from the last lemma that $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ is isomorphic to the completion of a proper scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ along a locally closed subscheme of the special fibre. In general $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ is etale locally isomorphic to $\left(I_{U^{p}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ with $m_{1}^{\prime}=t$. Thus $\Phi^{i}(t) / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ is well defined.)

Note that, if $U^{p} \supset\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}$ and for each $i$ we have $m_{i} \leq m_{i}^{\prime}$, then the restriction of $\Phi^{i}(t) / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ to $I_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Phi^{i}(t) / I_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{(k)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ (see [Berk3]).

Suppose that $x$ is a closed point of $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ and suppose that $j_{x}: \Sigma_{n-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}$. Then we obtain a natural map

$$
j_{x}^{*}:\left(\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{n r}}\right)_{x}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t},
$$

and hence a homomorphism

$$
\left(j_{x}^{*}\right)^{*}: \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j} \longrightarrow \Phi^{j}(t)_{x}
$$

## Lemma 6.4

$$
\left(j_{x}^{*}\right)^{*}: \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^{j}(t)_{x} .
$$

Proof: We will let $\operatorname{Spf} R\left(\mathcal{G}_{x}\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Spf} R_{t}\left(\mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}\right)$ ) denote the universal deformation space for $\mathcal{G}_{x}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}$ with its (unique) Drinfeld $w^{t}$ level structure). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(I_{U p, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nn}}}\right)_{x}^{\wedge} \cong \operatorname{Spf} R\left(\mathcal{G}_{x}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{0}\left(\mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}\right)} \operatorname{Spf} R_{t}\left(\mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{x}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{x}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h}$ are formally smooth we see that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(T \mathcal{G}_{x}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}
$$

induces an isomorphism on vanishing cycles (see lemma II.4). The lemma follows.

The inverse system of sheaves

$$
\Phi^{i}(t) / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}
$$

has an action of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}}$ in the following sense. If

$$
(g, \sigma) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}}
$$

and if $[g]: I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \rightarrow I_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)}$ then for $t \gg t^{\prime}$ we get a natural map

$$
(g, \sigma):\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \Phi\left(t^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \Phi(t)
$$

on $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$.
We now wish to describe the action of $(g, \sigma)$ on stalks. Thus let $x$ be a closed point of $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ and let $y=\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*}\right) x$, a closed point of $I_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$. Suppose also that $j_{x}: \Sigma_{n-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}$. If $\delta \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$and if $w(\operatorname{det} \delta)=w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{w}^{0}\right)-w(\sigma)$ then we will define

$$
\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*} \times \delta\right)\left(j_{x}\right): \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{y}^{0} .
$$

To do so it suffices to give an isomorphism

$$
\Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\left(p_{1}^{f_{1}\left(w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{w}^{0}\right)-w(\sigma)\right)}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}
$$

We simply take $j_{x} \circ \delta$. We will write simply

$$
j_{y}=\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*} \times \delta\right)\left(j_{x}\right),
$$

but recall that it depends on a choice of $\delta$. We see that, for $t \gg t^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)_{x}^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{j_{x}^{*}} & \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\left(\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spf}_{\mathcal{O}_{F, w}}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}\right)_{y}^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{j_{y}^{*}} & \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t^{\prime}}
\end{array}
$$

commutes (the left vertical arrow being $g \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*}$ and the right vertical arrow $\left.\left(g_{w}^{0}, \delta\right)\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
\Phi^{j}(t)_{x} \\
\uparrow
\end{array} & \stackrel{g \times \sigma}{\gtrless} & \Phi^{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)_{y} \\
\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j} & \stackrel{\left(g_{w}^{0}, \delta, \sigma\right)}{\gtrless} & \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j}
\end{array}
$$

also commutes (the left vertical arrow being $\left(j_{x}^{*}\right)^{*}$ and the right vertical arrow being $\left.\left(j_{y}^{*}\right)^{*}=\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*} \times \delta\right)\left(j_{x}\right)^{* *}\right)$.

If we set

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m, t} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j}(t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

then $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ becomes a smooth

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}}
$$

module. Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 6.5 1. If $\Gamma_{t}$ denotes the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \rightarrow G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{t}\right)
$$

then for $t \leq t^{\prime}$ we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\Phi^{i}(t) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{\Gamma_{t}} .
$$

2. The action of the semigroup

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}}
$$

on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is admissible/continuous.
Proof: The first part follows by calculating on stalks using isomorphisms $\left(j_{x}^{*}\right)^{*}$ and the above compatibility. The second part follows from the first.

We also have the following lemma which we will prove in the next section.
Lemma 6.6 ${\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}_{\varpi}^{*}}}^{f_{1}(n-h)}$ acts invertibly on each

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w) \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j}(t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

We are now in a position to prove the following result.

Proposition 6.7 1. The action of the semi-group

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}}
$$

on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ extends uniquely to an admissible/continuous action of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}} .
$$

2. If we fix a triple $\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}, \varpi\right)$ as above then we get an isomorphism of smooth

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}} \cong \\
& \cong G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times L_{\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \times W_{F_{w}} \times
\end{aligned}
$$

modules

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .
$$

3. The unipotent radical of $P_{M}\left(F_{w}\right)$ acts trivially on

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .
$$

Proof: The first part follows from the lemma 6.6. For the second part we consider the maps

$$
{\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}_{\varpi}^{r}}}^{f_{1}(n-h) m_{1}} \circ\left(\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}, \varpi\right)^{*}\right)^{-1}: X_{U^{p}, m, M}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}\left(m_{1}\right)
$$

and the induced maps

$$
\left(\left(\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}, \varpi\right)^{*}\right)^{-1}\right)^{*} \circ\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}_{\varpi}^{*}} f_{1}(n-h) m_{1}\right)^{*}
$$

from

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j}\left(m_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

to

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{U^{p}, m, M} \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec} k(w)} \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

Combining lemmas 6.3 and 6.5 we see that these latter maps are isomorphisms. Again by lemma 6.3 they are compatible as $U^{p}$ and $m$ vary and give in the limit an isomorphism

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{M}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) .
$$

That this isomorphism is compatible with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}} \cong \\
& \left.\cong G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times L_{\left(j^{0}, j^{\mathrm{et}}\right)} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

actions again follows from lemma 6.3.
The final part follows from the second and from lemma I.1.
Corollary 6.8 For $h=0, \ldots, n-1$ choose homomorphisms $j_{h}^{0}: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n-h}$ and $j_{h}^{\mathrm{et}}: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}$ such that $j_{h}^{0} \oplus j_{h}^{\mathrm{et}}$ is an isomorphism. Let $M_{h}=\operatorname{ker} j_{h}^{\text {et }}$. Then we have an equality of virtual $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-modules

$$
\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}\right]=\sum_{h, j, i}(-1)^{n-1+i+j}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M_{h}}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{c}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}} H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

## $7 \quad$ Igusa varieties of the second kind

We now come to the slightly less obvious generalisation of Igusa curves. More precisely we define the Igusa variety of the second kind,

$$
J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}=J^{(s)}\left(\mathcal{G}^{0} / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right) .
$$

Then $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)} / I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ is Galois with group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}} / w^{s}\right)^{\times}$(acting on the right).

We will describe an action of the semigroup

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}
$$

on the inverse system of the $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$. Consider an element
$\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$.
Choose $a \in F_{w}^{\times}$with $c+(n-h)>(n-h) w(a) \geq c$. If $\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime} \supset\left(g^{p}\right)^{-1} U^{p} g^{p}$ and if for all $i$ we have $m_{i} \gg m_{i}^{\prime}$, then we let

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right): I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c} \longrightarrow I_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}
$$

be the map

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{*}\right)^{c-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)} .
$$

We will extend this to a compatible series of morphisms

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right): J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)} \longrightarrow J_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{(h)}
$$

for $s>s^{\prime}$. For this it suffices to give compatible isomorphisms

$$
\left(\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{*}\right)^{c-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)} \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\right)\left[w^{s^{\prime}}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right)^{*} \mathcal{G}^{0}\right)\left[w^{s^{\prime}}\right]
$$

over $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$. First note that $a^{-1} \delta$ gives an isomorphism

$$
a^{-1} \delta:\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{*}\right)^{c-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)} \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h} / \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\left[a F^{-f_{1} c}\right] .
$$

Also note that

$$
a: \mathcal{G}^{0} / \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[a F^{-c f_{1}}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right)^{*} \mathcal{G}^{0} .
$$

Thus for our isomorphism

$$
\left(\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{*}\right)^{c-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)} \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\right)\left[w^{s^{\prime}}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right)^{*} \mathcal{G}^{0}\right)\left[w^{s^{\prime}}\right]
$$

we may simply take $a^{-1} \delta$, followed by the map induced by the universal isomorphism

$$
\Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\left[w^{s}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{s}\right]
$$

over $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$, in turn followed by $a$. It is straight forward but tedious to check this is independent of the choice of $a$ and does define an action.

Note that the element $\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}^{*}}, 1\right)$ simply acts as $\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{1}}$. (So for instance on $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ it acts as $\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{1}}=\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{1}} \times\left(\mathrm{Frob}_{w}^{*}\right)^{-1}$. $)$

We will be most interested in the part of this action which is an action of $k(w)^{a c}$-schemes. To this end define

$$
\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}
$$

to be the set of elements

$$
(\delta, \gamma) \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)
$$

such that $(w(\operatorname{det} \delta), \gamma) \in\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+}$. Also set

$$
G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)=G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

and

$$
G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}=G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} .
$$

If we embed $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}$into

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}
$$

by sending $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, \delta, g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}\right)$ to $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, w(\operatorname{det} \delta), g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right)$, then $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ acts on the inverse system of the $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ over $k(w)^{a c}$. We note that this action is compatible with $w \circ$ det : $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and the action of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

on the inverse system of the $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$.
If $\rho$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ we get a lisse etale sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\rho} / J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ coming from the restriction of $\rho$ to

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times} \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}} / w^{s}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

If
$\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$
defines a map

$$
J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)} \longrightarrow J_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{(h)}
$$

then we obtain a morphism

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right):\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}
$$

Note moreover that

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times} \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}} / w^{s}\right)^{\times}\right.
$$

acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ over $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$.

We remark that as a sheaf (without the action of any groups) $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}$ only depends on $\rho$ up to twists by unramified characters. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\rho^{\prime}}$ for some $\rho^{\prime}$ with finite image. The representation $\rho^{\prime}$ can be thought of a representation of the fundamental group of $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ and hence defines a lisse etale sheaf over $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ which has base change to $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}$.

We will set

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

Then $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ has an admissible action of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right)
$$

and the element $\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}^{*}}{ }^{f_{1}}, 1\right)$ acts trivially. Thus this action factors through the surjection from

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right)
$$

to $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) / C O_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}$which sends

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right)
$$

to

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0} p^{f_{1}(w(\operatorname{det} \delta)-c)}, \delta, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

Thus we may and will consider $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ as an admissible $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ module. This is compatible with the action of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}$on the inverse system of the $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ over $k(w)^{a c}$.

Similarly the action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}$on $\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}$ (resp. $\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]$, where $\rho$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $\left.D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}\right)$and on the inverse system of the $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ defines a sheaf $\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]\right)\right)$ on each $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$. If
$\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$
defines a map

$$
J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)} \longrightarrow J_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{(h)}
$$

and if

$$
(\delta, \gamma, \sigma) \in A_{F_{w}, n-h}
$$

defines a map

$$
\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j} \longrightarrow \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}
$$

then we obtain a morphism $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}},(\delta, \gamma, \sigma)\right)$ :

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}
$$

(resp.

$$
\left.\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi} .\right)
$$

Note moreover that

- $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times} \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}} / w^{s}\right)^{\times}\right)$acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ over $J_{U^{p}, m . s}^{(h)}$,
- that if $\Gamma_{t}=\operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right) \rightarrow G L_{n-h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w} / w^{t}\right)\right)$ then

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j}\right)^{\Gamma_{t}}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j}[\rho]\right)^{\Gamma_{t}},
$$

- and that

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)=\bigoplus_{\rho} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]\right)
$$

where $\rho$ runs over a set representatives of the inertial equivalence classes of representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$.
We will set

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m, t} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

and
$H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow U^{p}, m, t} H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$.
Then $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ and $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ have admissible actions of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times\left(A_{F_{w}, n-h} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right) \cong \\
& \cong G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again the element $\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}^{*}}{ }^{f_{1}}, 1\right)$ acts trivially. We see that

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\bigoplus_{\rho} H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

where again $\rho$ runs over a set of representatives of the inertial equivalence classes of representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$.

One also has that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}(\rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta[\rho]} \delta^{*} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}[\rho]\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho) \cong H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{e[\rho]}
$$

where the action of

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \gamma, \sigma\right)
$$

on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}[\rho]\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{e[\rho]}$ is compatible with the action of $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0} p^{f_{1}(w(\operatorname{det} \gamma)-w(\sigma)-c)}, \delta, g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \otimes(\gamma, \sigma) \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$ on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho)$, where $\delta \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$satisfies

$$
w(\operatorname{det} \delta)=w(\operatorname{det} \gamma)-w(\sigma)
$$

We record this as the following lemma.
Lemma 7.1 We have a natural isomorphism

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{n-h} \cong \bigoplus_{\rho}\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho)\right)^{(n-h) / e[\rho]}
$$

under which the action of

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \gamma, \sigma\right)
$$

on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ is compatible with the action of $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0} p^{f_{1}(w(\operatorname{det} \gamma)-w(\sigma)-c)}, \delta, g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \otimes(\gamma, \sigma) \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$ on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho)$, where $\delta \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$satisfies

$$
w(\operatorname{det} \delta)=w(\operatorname{det} \gamma)-w(\sigma)
$$

By a closed point $x_{\infty}$ of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ we shall mean a compatible system of closed points $x_{s}$ of $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ as $s$ varies. If $x$ is a closed point of $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ then the following are equivalent

- the choice of an isomorphism $j: \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{x}^{0}$
- and the choice a closed point $x_{\infty}$ of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ above $x$.

We will write $j_{x_{\infty}}$ for the isomorphism corresponding to $x_{\infty}$. Note that if

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

if $\delta \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$and if $e=c-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)$ then

$$
\left(\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{e}\right)^{*} \times \delta\right)\left(j_{x_{\infty}}\right)=j_{\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{e t}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right) x_{\infty}}
$$

If $x_{\infty}$ is a closed point of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ above a closed point $x$ of $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times$ Spec $k(w)^{a c}$ then we set

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x_{\infty}}=\lim _{\rightarrow s} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x_{s}} .
$$

We then have canonical isomorphisms (the composite depending on the choice of $x_{\infty}$ above $x$ )

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x_{\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j} .
$$

Moreover for $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}},(\delta, \gamma, \sigma)\right)$ in

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Z} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times A_{F_{w}, n-h}
$$

for

$$
y=\left(\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{*}\right)^{c-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right) x
$$

and for

$$
y_{\infty}=\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, c, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \delta\right) x_{\infty}
$$

we have a commutative diagram


The following proposition is of key importance for us. In the original version of this paper we reduced its proof to an abstract result on formal vanishing cycles which Vladimir Berkovich kindly proved for us. This result with Berkovich's proof is reproduced in his appendix to this paper. In this version of the paper we have found it simpler to incorporate Berkovich's argument directly into this paper. Thus the latter half of the proof given below is entirely due to to Berkovich.

Proposition 7.2 There is a unique homomorphism

$$
\kappa: \Phi^{j}(t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)
$$

over $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{\text {ac }}$ such that if $x_{\infty}$ is a closed point of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ above a closed point $x$ of $I_{U p, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$, then

$$
\kappa: \Phi^{j}(t)_{x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x}
$$

coincides with the inverse of the composite

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right)_{x_{\infty}} \longrightarrow \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j} \xrightarrow{\left(j_{j_{\infty}^{*}}^{*}\right)^{*}} \Phi^{j}(t)_{x} .
$$

Proof: Because of the uniqueness it suffices to work locally on $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times$ Spec $k(w)^{a c}$. Thus let $W \subset I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ be an open subset such that $W^{\wedge}$, the restriction of $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w r}^{\text {nr }}}$ to $W$, is affine. For any positive integer $s$ let $W_{s}$ denote the pull back of $W$ to $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ and let $W_{s}^{\wedge} / W^{\wedge}$ denote the unique etale cover with reduced subschemes $W_{s} / W$.

Choose a lifting of $W / k(w)^{a c}$ to a smooth scheme of finite type $Y / \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{n r}}$. Set

$$
Y^{\wedge}=Y_{W}^{\wedge} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{F}}^{\mathrm{wr}}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t},
$$

and more generally

$$
Y_{s}^{\wedge}=\left(Y_{W}^{\wedge}\right)_{s} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{F}_{W}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t},
$$

where $\left(Y_{W}^{\wedge}\right)_{s} / Y_{W}^{\wedge}$ is the unique etale cover with reduced subschemes $W_{s} / W$. Also set

$$
Y^{\wedge}\{N\}=Y_{W}^{\wedge} \times_{\operatorname{Spf}_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{F}}^{\mathrm{nr}}}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} / \mathfrak{m}_{F_{w}, n-h, t}^{N},
$$

and more generally

$$
Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N\}=\left(Y_{W}^{\wedge}\right)_{s} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{F}_{w}^{n r}}} \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} / \mathfrak{m}_{F_{w}, n-h, t}^{N} .
$$

Note also that the formal vanishing cycles for $Y_{s}^{\wedge}$ are just the constant sheaves $\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}$.

Choose $N(s)$ as in lemma 3.4 for the triple $F_{w}, n-h, t$. Then the action of $\left(1+w^{s} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right)$ on $\Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}$ lifts to an action on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h} \times_{R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}}$ $R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}}^{N(s)}$. Moreover for any positive integer $a \geq t$ the action of $\left(1+w^{s-t+a} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right)$ on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h}\left[w^{a}\right] \times_{R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}}^{N(s)}$ is trivial. Thus $\left(1+w^{s} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F w}, n-h}\right) /\left(1+w^{s+a-t} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right)$ acts diagonally on

$$
\widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h, t}\left[w^{a}\right] \times Y_{s+a-t}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\} .
$$

As $Y_{s+a-t}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\} / Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\}$ is finite, etale and Galois with Galois group

$$
\left(1+w^{s} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right) /\left(1+w^{s+a-t} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right)
$$

the quotient is a finite, flat group scheme $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right] / Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\}$. The direct system of the $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right]$ define a formal Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-module $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0} / Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0}\left[w^{t}\right] \cong \widetilde{\Sigma}_{F_{w}, n-h, t}\left[w^{t}\right]$, and so $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0}$ inherits a Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure. Also note that over $W_{s+a-t}$ we have an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right] \cong \Sigma_{F_{w}, n-h}\left[w^{a}\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{0}\left[w^{a}\right] .
$$

The composite isomorphism descends to an isomorphism over $W_{s}$. Thus we see that over $W_{s}$ we get a canonical isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0}$. More generally for $s^{\prime}>s$ we get a canonical isomorphism between the pull back of $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{0}$ to $Y_{s^{\prime}}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\}$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{H}_{s^{\prime}}^{0}$ to $Y_{s^{\prime}}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{s}^{\text {et }} / Y_{s}^{\wedge}$ denote the unique lifting of $\mathcal{G}^{\text {et }} / W_{s}$ to an ind-etale BarsottiTate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-module. By corollary 2.10 we can recursively find an extension of Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}$-modules

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s}^{\text {et }} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

over $Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\}$ which restricts over $Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s-1)\}$ to the pull back

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s-1}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{s-1}^{\mathrm{et}} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

We will simply write $\mathcal{H}$ for any $\mathcal{H}_{s}$.
From the universal property of $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t)$ we obtain a unique morphism of formal schemes over $\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F_{w}}}$,

$$
\kappa_{s}^{*}: Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\} \longrightarrow\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}\right)^{\wedge}(t) \times \operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}
$$

which extends the natural map $W_{s} \rightarrow I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}$ and such that $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\mathcal{H}$ and the universal Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure on $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ pulls back to the Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure we have just defined on $\mathcal{H}^{0}$. From the definition of $W_{s}^{\wedge}$ and lemma 6.2 we see that $\kappa_{s}^{*}$ lifts to a unique morphism

$$
\kappa_{s}^{*}: Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N(s)\} \longrightarrow W_{s}^{\wedge}
$$

which extends the identity map on $W_{s}$ and such that $\mathcal{G}$ pulls back to $\mathcal{H}$ and the universal Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure on $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ pulls back to the Drinfeld $w^{t}$-structure we have just defined on $\mathcal{H}^{0}$. Note that

commutes. Note also that if $x$ is a closed point of $W_{s}$ and if $x_{\infty}$ is a closed point of $J_{U p, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ lying above $x$ then

commutes, where the right hand vertical arrow is $j_{x_{\infty}}^{*}$.
The rest of the argument is entirely due to Vladimir Berkovich, to whom we are extremely grateful. By his lemma II. 5 we see that for every positive integer $N$ we can find a positive integer $s$ such that $N(s) \geq N$ and a morphism

$$
\kappa(N)^{*}: Y_{s}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow W_{s}^{\wedge}
$$

such that for each closed point $x$ of $W_{s}$ and each closed point $x_{\infty}$ of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ lying above $x$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(Y_{s}^{\wedge}\{N\}\right)_{x}^{\wedge} & \stackrel{\kappa(N)^{*}}{ } & \left(W_{s}^{\wedge}\right)_{x}^{\wedge} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}}^{N} & \hookrightarrow & \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}
\end{array}
$$

commutes, where the right hand vertical arrow is $j_{x_{\infty}}^{*}$.
We will let $\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ denote the $j^{\text {th }}$ vanishing cycle sheaf constructed for the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}$ on $\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}$ and $\Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ the $j^{\text {th }}$ vanishing cycle sheaf constructed for the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}$ on $W_{s}^{\wedge}$. Thus

$$
\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j} \cong\left(\lim _{\leftarrow r} \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{l}} \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}
$$

and

$$
\Phi^{j}(t) \cong\left(\lim _{\leftarrow r} \Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{l}} \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}
$$

By theorem 4.1 of [Berk3], for any positive integer $r$ we may choose a positive integer $N$ such that any two morphisms of formal schemes over $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{f}_{w}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$,

$$
\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{h}\right]\right] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}
$$

which agree on $\operatorname{Spf}\left(R_{F_{w}, n-h, t} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{F w}, n-h, t}^{N}\right)\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{h}\right]\right]$ induce the same map on vanishing cycles

$$
\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) \longleftarrow \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

As the formal completion of any $W_{s}^{\wedge}$ or $Y_{s}^{\wedge}$ at a closed point is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{h}\right]\right]$ we see that we can find a positive integer $s$ (still perhaps depending on $r$ ) and a morphism of constructible sheaves over $W_{s}$,

$$
\kappa: \Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

such that for any closed point $x$ of $W_{s}$ and any closed point $x_{\infty}$ of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ above $x$ the morphism

$$
\kappa: \Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)_{x} \longrightarrow \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

coincides with the inverse of $\left(j_{x_{\infty}}^{*}\right)^{*}$. In particular we see that $\kappa$ is an isomorphism.

Moreover if $\delta \in \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}$we see that the natural map $\delta^{*} \Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ (which arises as $\Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is a pull back from $W$ ) corresponds under $\kappa$ to the composite of the natural map $\delta^{*} \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ (which arises as $\Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is a pull back from $\left.\operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}\right)$ with the automorphism $\delta$ of $\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ (which arises from the action of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}$ on $\left.\operatorname{Spf} R_{F_{w}, n-h, t}\right)$. (This can be checked by working on stalks and using the commutativity of the diagram

where the right hand vertical arrow is $\delta$.)
We will let $\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ denote the etale sheaf over $W$ obtained by descending $\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) / W_{s}$ by the diagonal action of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}} / w^{s}\right)^{\times}$. Thus

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \cong\left(\lim _{\leftarrow r} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{l}} \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}
$$

We see that $\kappa$ descends to an isomorphism

$$
\kappa: \Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)
$$

over $W$ such that for any closed point $x$ of $W$ and any closed point $x_{\infty}$ of $J_{U^{p}, m, \infty}^{(h)}$ above $x$ the morphism

$$
\kappa: \Phi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)_{x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)_{x}
$$

coincides with the inverse of

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)_{x_{s}} \cong \Psi^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{r} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(j_{x}^{*}\right)^{*}} \Phi_{x}^{j},
$$

where $x_{s}$ is the image in $W_{s}$ of $x_{\infty}$. By looking at stalks we see that the morphisms $\kappa$ are compatible as $r$ varies and hence we can glue the morphisms $\kappa$ to give the map whose existence is asserted in the proposition.

The next two corollaries are checked by working on stalks.
Corollary 7.3 The homomorphism $\kappa$ in the proposition is an isomorphism.
Corollary 7.4 Suppose that $g=\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, g_{w}^{0}, g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}\right)$ is an element of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times},
$$

and that

$$
[g]: I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \longrightarrow I_{\left(U^{p}\right)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}^{(h)} .
$$

Suppose also that $\left(g_{w}^{0}, \delta, \sigma\right) \in A_{F_{w}, n-h}$ and that $t \gg t^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w(\sigma)}\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \Phi^{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right) & \stackrel{g \times \sigma}{\longrightarrow} & \Phi^{j}(t) \\
\downarrow & \\
\left([g] \times\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{w}^{w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{w}^{0}\right)-w(\operatorname{det} \delta)}\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t^{\prime}}^{j}\right) & \stackrel{[g] \times\left(g_{w}^{0}, \delta, \sigma\right)}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}\right)
\end{array}
$$

commutes (where the vertical arrows are induced by $\kappa$ ).
The next three corollaries follow easily from the previous one.
Corollary 7.5 Under $\kappa$ the homomorphism $\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}_{w}^{*}} f_{1}(n-h) \times 1$ from

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j}(t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

to

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \Phi^{j}(t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

corresponds to the homomorphism $1 \times\left(\varpi^{-1}, \varpi^{-1}, 1\right)$ from

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

to

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h, t}^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

Hence lemma 6.6 follows.
Corollary $7.6 \kappa$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}\left(\Psi^{j}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)
$$

Moreover if $g=\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, g_{w}^{0}, g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}\right)$ is an element of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

and if $\sigma \in W_{F_{w}}$, then the action of $g \times \sigma$ on the left hand side corresponds to the action of $[g] \times g_{w}^{0} \times \sigma$ on the right hand side.

Corollary 7.7 The action of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)^{+} \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ extends uniquely to an action of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}
$$

Combining these with lemma 7.1 we get the following corollary.
Corollary 7.8 We have an isomorphism

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \Phi^{j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{n-h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\rho}\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho)\right)^{(n-h) / e[\rho]}
$$

where $\rho$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$up to inertial equivalence. Moreover if $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, g_{w}^{0}, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \sigma\right)$ is an element of

$$
G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times W_{F_{w}}
$$

then the action of $\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, g_{w}^{0}, g_{w}^{\mathrm{et}}, g_{w_{i}}, \sigma\right)$ on the left hand side corresponds to the action of

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0} p^{-f_{1} w(\sigma)}, w(\delta), g_{w}^{\text {et }}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \times\left(g_{w}^{0}, \sigma\right)
$$

on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right) \otimes \Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}^{j}(\rho)$, where $\delta \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$satisfies

$$
w(\operatorname{det} \delta)=w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{w}^{0}\right)-w(\sigma)
$$

We will let $d_{h}$ denote the homomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}} & \longrightarrow G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}} \\
\left(g_{w}^{0}, \sigma\right) & \longmapsto\left(1, p^{-f_{1} w(\sigma)}, \delta, 1,1\right),
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
w(\operatorname{det} \delta)=w\left(\operatorname{det} g_{w}^{0}\right)-w(\sigma)
$$

If we set

$$
\left[H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]=\sum_{i}(-1)^{h-i}\left[H_{c}^{i}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

then we can combine corollaries 6.8 and 7.8 to obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 7.9 For $h=0, \ldots, n-1$ choose homomorphisms $j_{h}^{0}: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{n-h}$ and $j_{h}^{\mathrm{et}}: \Lambda_{11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{h}$ such that $j_{h}^{0} \oplus j_{h}^{\text {et }}$ is an isomorphism. Let $M_{h}=\operatorname{ker} j_{h}^{\text {et }}$. Then we have an equality of virtual $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}$-modules
$\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}\right]=\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\rho} e[\rho]^{-1} \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{M_{h}}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}}\left(\left[H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right] *_{d_{h}}\left[\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}(\rho)\right]\right)$,
where $\rho$ runs over representatives of the inertial equivalence classes of irreducible admissible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$
(See the discussion before lemma 3.7 for the definition of $d_{n}$. See appendix I for the definition of $*_{d_{n}}$.)

## $8 k(w)^{a c}$ points.

The purpose of this section is to give a reasonably explicit description of the inverse system of sets $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ together with its action of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. This we will do by combining the theory of Honda and Tate (which describes simple abelian varieties over $k(w)^{a c}$ up to isogeny - see [Tat1]) with some Galois cohomology calculations which closely follow work of Kottwitz (and which allows us to describe the possible polarisations on these abelian varieties - see [Ko3] and [Ko4]).

If $U^{p}$ is an open compact subgroup of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$, if $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}$ and if $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then set
$U^{p}(m, s)=U^{p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times\left(1+w^{s} \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right) \times\left(1+w^{m_{1}} M_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, w}\right)\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(1+w_{i}^{m_{i}} \mathcal{O}_{B, w_{i}}^{\text {op }}\right)$,
an open compact subgroup of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$.
Also set

$$
J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)=\lim _{U^{p}, m, s} J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)
$$

This set has a natural right action of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}$and we see that

$$
J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)=J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) / U^{p}(m, s) .
$$

Also if $x \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ then we define the stalk of $\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ to be the direct limit of its stalks at the images of $x$ in each $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$. For each such $x$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho \otimes \xi
$$

such that for any $g \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}$we have a commutative diagram


As a first step we will see that when considering $k(w)^{a c}$-points one can (as in characteristic zero) work with abelian varieties up to isogeny, rather than up to prime to $p$-isogeny. To this end we have the following lemma, whose proof is straight forward. (The main point being that if $x$ is a closed geometric point of $J_{U^{p}, m, s}^{(h)}$ then $\mathcal{G}_{x} \cong \mathcal{G}_{x}^{0} \times \mathcal{G}_{x}^{\text {et }}$.)

Lemma 8.1 1. $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ is in bijection with equivalence classes of $(r+$ 6)-tuples

$$
\left(A, \lambda, i, \eta^{p}, \eta_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

where

- $A / k(w)^{a c}$ is an abelian variety of dimension $d n^{2}$;
- $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ is a polarisation;
- $i: B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ such that
- for all $b \in B$ we have $\lambda \circ i(b)=i\left(b^{*}\right)^{\vee} \circ \lambda$,
- for $i>1$ the ring $\mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}}$ acts trivially on Lie $A$,
- Lie $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, p}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ is a one-dimensional $k(w)^{a c}$-vector space;
- $\eta^{p}: V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{p} A$ is an isomorphism of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules under which the standard pairing, (, ), on $V$ corresponds to $a\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$ multiple of the $\lambda$-Weil pairing on $V^{p} A$;
- $\eta_{p, 0}: \mathbb{Q}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_{p}(1)$;
- $\eta_{w}^{0}: \Sigma_{n-h} \longrightarrow \varepsilon A\left[w^{\infty}\right]^{0}$ is an isogeny;
- $\eta_{w}^{e}: F_{w}^{h} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varepsilon V_{w} A$;
- for $i>1, \eta_{w_{i}}: \Lambda_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{w_{i}} A$ is an isomorphism of $B_{w_{i}}-$ modules.

Here we call two such $(r+6)$-tuples, $\left(A, \lambda, i, \eta^{p}, \eta_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime},\left(\eta^{p}\right)^{\prime}, \eta_{p, 0}^{\prime},\left(\eta_{w}^{0}\right)^{\prime},\left(\eta_{w}^{e}\right)^{\prime}, \eta_{w_{i}}^{\prime}\right)$, equivalent if there is an isogeny $\alpha$ : $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}, \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$such that

- $\gamma \lambda=\alpha^{\vee} \circ \lambda^{\prime} \circ \alpha$,
- $\alpha \circ i(b)=i^{\prime}(b) \circ \alpha$ for all $b \in B$,
- $\left(\eta^{p}\right)^{\prime} \circ \alpha=\eta^{p}$,
- $\gamma a \eta_{p, 0}=\eta_{p, 0}^{\prime}$,
- $\left(\eta_{w}^{0}\right)^{\prime} \circ \alpha=\eta_{w}^{0}$,
- $\left(\eta_{w}^{e}\right)^{\prime} \circ \alpha=\eta_{w}^{e}$,
- $\left(\eta_{w_{i}}\right)^{\prime} \circ \alpha=\eta_{w_{i}}$.

2. Under this bijection the action of

$$
\left(g^{p}, g_{p, 0}, g_{w}^{0}, g_{w}^{e}, g_{w_{i}}\right) \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}
$$

on $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ corresponds to the map which sends

$$
\left(A, \lambda, i, \eta^{p}, \eta_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

to

$$
\left(A, \lambda, i, \eta^{p} \circ g^{p}, \eta_{p, 0} \circ g_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0} \circ g_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e} \circ g_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}} \circ g_{w_{i}}\right) .
$$

3. In particular the action of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+}$on $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ extends to an action of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$.

In the rest of this section we will give a group theoretic description of $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$. We start with an application of the theory of Honda and Tate (see [Tat1]).

Lemma 8.2 1. There is a bijection between isogeny classes of pairs $(A, i)$ where

- $A / k(w)^{a c}$ is an abelian variety of dimension $d n^{2}$;
- $i: B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ such that
- for $i>1$ the ring $\mathcal{O}_{F, w_{i}}$ acts trivially on Lie $A$,
- Lie $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F, p}} \mathcal{O}_{F, w}$ is a one-dimensional $k(w)^{\text {ac }}$-vector space;
- $\varepsilon A\left[w^{\infty}\right]^{e}$ has height $h$;
and pairs $(M, \tilde{w})$ where
- $M / F$ is a CM field extension which is embeddable into $B$ over $F$,
- $\tilde{w}$ is a place of $M$ above $w$ such that

$$
\left[M_{\tilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] n=[M: F](n-h),
$$

- there is no intermediate field $M \supset N \supset F$ such that $\left.\tilde{w}\right|_{N}$ is inert in $M$.

2. Suppose that $(A, i)$ and $(M, \tilde{w})$ correspond. Then $C=\operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}(A)$ is the division algebra with centre $M$ and invariants as follows.

- If $x$ is a place of $M$ not dividing $w w^{c}$ then $\operatorname{inv}_{x}(C)=\operatorname{inv}_{x}\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{F}\right.$ $M)$.
- If $x$ is a place of $M$ which divides ww but not $\tilde{w} \tilde{w}^{c}$ then $\operatorname{inv}_{x}(C)=$ 0.
- $\operatorname{inv}_{\tilde{w}}(C)=[M: F] / n$.
- $\operatorname{inv}_{\tilde{w}^{c}}(C)=-[M: F] / n$.

Moreover

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{M}\left(\operatorname{End}_{B}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right)=(n /[M: F])^{2} .
$$

Proof: In the proof of this lemma we will use results from appendix III without comment.

We will first show that any $A$ as in the first part of the lemma is a simple object in the category of abelian varieties up to isogeny with $B$-action. To this end choose a simple factor $A^{\prime}$ of $A$ in the category of abelian varieties up to isogeny with $B$-action. Suppose that $A^{\prime}$ corresponds to a $p$-adic type over $F$ with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$. Choose a place $x$ of $F$ such that $B_{x}$ is a division algebra and such that $x \not \backslash u^{c}$. Let $y$ be a place of $M$ above $x$. If $x \mid p$ then (as $x \mid u$ but $x \neq w) A\left[y^{\infty}\right]$ is etale and hence $\eta_{y}=0$. In any case we see that, if $C^{\prime}=\operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\operatorname{inv}_{y}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=-\left[M_{y}: F_{w}\right] \operatorname{inv}_{x}(B)
$$

and so

$$
\left[C^{\prime}: M\right]^{1 / 2} \geq n /[M: F]
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim} A^{\prime} \geq d n^{2}
$$

which implies $A=A^{\prime}$, as desired.
Thus let $A$ correspond to a $p$-adic type over $F$ with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$. Note that if $y$ is a place of $M$ dividing $u$ but not dividing $w$ then $A\left[y^{\infty}\right]$ is etale and hence $\eta_{y}=0$. Moreover as $A\left[w^{\infty}\right]^{0}$ is a simple object in the category of Barsotti-Tate groups up to isogeny with $B_{w}$-action we see that there is a unique place $\tilde{w}$ of $M$ above $w$ with $\eta_{\tilde{w}} \neq 0$. Then $A\left[w^{\infty}\right]^{0}=A\left[\tilde{w}^{\infty}\right]$ and as this Barsotti-Tate group has height $\left[F_{w}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] n(n-h)$ we see that

$$
\left[M_{\tilde{w}}: F_{w}\right][C: M]^{1 / 2}=n-h
$$

Also as the Newton polygon of $A\left[w^{\infty}\right]^{0}$ has Newton polygon which is pure of slope $1 /\left(\left[F_{w}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right](n-h)\right)$ we see that

$$
\eta_{\tilde{w}}=e_{\tilde{w} / w} /\left((n-h) f_{w / p}\right)
$$

Hence we see that

- $\operatorname{inv}_{\tilde{w}} C=\left[M_{\tilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] /(n-h)=[C: M]^{-1 / 2}$,
- $\operatorname{inv}_{\tilde{w}^{c}} C=-\left[M_{\tilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] /(n-h)=-[C: M]^{-1 / 2}$,
- and for any other place $x$ of $M$ we have

$$
\operatorname{inv}_{x} C=-\left[M_{x}: F_{x}\right] \operatorname{inv}_{x}(B)
$$

As

$$
d n^{2}=\operatorname{dim} A=[M: \mathbb{Q}] n[C: M]^{1 / 2} / 2
$$

we see that

$$
n=[M: F][C: M]^{1 / 2}
$$

and hence that

$$
(n-h)[M: F]=n\left[M_{\tilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] .
$$

Because we can find an extension $N: M$ such that $N$ splits $B$ and $[N: F]^{2}=$ $[B: F]$, we see that $M$ embeds in $B$ over $F$. Finally as $(M, \eta)$ was chosen minimal we see that there is no intermediate field $M \supset N \supset F$ such that $\left.\tilde{w}\right|_{N}$ is inert in $M$.

Conversely if $(M, \tilde{w})$ is as in the theorem we consider the $p$-adic type over $F,(M, \eta)$ where

- $\eta_{\tilde{w}}=e_{\tilde{w} / w} /\left((n-h) f_{w / p}\right)$,
- $\eta_{x}=0$ for any other place $x \mid u$ of $M$,
- $\eta_{\tilde{w}^{c}}=e_{\tilde{w} / p}\left(1-1 /\left((n-h)\left[F_{w}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]\right)\right)$,
- $\eta_{x}=e_{x / p}$ for any other place $x \mid u^{c}$ of $M$.

Let $(A, i)$ be the corresponding abelian variety with $B$-action. Again using the results listed in appendix III we see that $(A, i)$ has the properties listed in the lemma.

We now want to add polarisations to the picture. To this end we follow the approach of Kottwitz, [Ko3]. The next lemma is presumably the analogue in our language of "the vanishing of the Kottwitz invariant".

Lemma 8.3 Keep the notation of the last lemma and suppose that $(A, i)$ and $(M, \tilde{w})$ correspond. Then we can find

- a polarisation $\lambda_{0}: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ such that the $\lambda_{0}$-Rosati involution preserves $B \otimes M$ and induces the involution $* \otimes c$ on it,
- and a finitely generated $B \otimes M$-module $W_{0}$ together with an alternating pairing

$$
\langle,\rangle_{0}: W_{0} \times W_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

which is $* \otimes c$-Hermitian
such that

1. there is an isomorphism of $B \otimes M \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules

$$
W_{0} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{p} A
$$

which takes $\langle,\rangle_{0}$ to an $\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$-multiple of the $\lambda_{0}$-Weil pairing on $V^{p} A$,
2. and there is an isomorphism of $B \otimes \mathbb{R}$-modules

$$
W_{0} \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes \mathbb{R}
$$

which takes 〈, > $\rangle_{0}$ to $a \mathbb{R}^{\times}$multiple of our standard pairing (, ) on $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: By lemma 9.2 of [Ko3] there is a polarisation $\lambda_{0}: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ such that the $\lambda_{0}$-Rosati involution preserves $B \otimes_{F} M$ and acts on it as $* \otimes c$. Let $C=\operatorname{End}_{B}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and let $\ddagger_{0}$ denote the $\lambda_{0}$-Rosati involution restricted to $C$. We see from lemma 8.2 that $C$ is a division algebra with centre $M$ and that $\left.\ddagger_{0}\right|_{M}=c$.

The first step of the proof will be to show that, up to isogeny, we can lift $A$ with its $B \otimes_{F} M$-action and its polarisation over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}}$.

Let $M^{+}$denote the maximal totally real subfield of $M$. For $a \mid[C: M]^{1 / 2}$ we will let $X_{a} \subset C$ denote the locally closed $M^{+}$-subvariety of semi-simple elements $\delta$ such that $\delta^{\ddagger_{0}}=\delta$ and the characteristic polynomial of $\delta$ over $M$ is an $a^{\text {th }}$, but no higher, power. (Note that if a polynomial over $F^{+}$is a $a^{\text {th }}$ power over $\left(M^{+}\right)^{a c}$ it is already one over $M^{+}$.) Then

$$
C^{\ddagger_{0}=1}=\coprod X_{a}\left(M^{+}\right) .
$$

Computing over $\left(M^{+}\right)^{a c}$ we see that

$$
\operatorname{dim} X_{a}=[C: M]+(a-[C: M] / a)
$$

As $C^{\ddagger_{0}=1}$ is an affine space over $M^{+}$of dimension $[C: M]$ and as for $a \neq[C$ : $M]^{1 / 2}$ we have $\operatorname{dim} X_{a}<[C: M]$, we see that we can find an element

$$
\delta \in C^{\ddagger_{0}=1}-\coprod_{a \neq[C: M]^{1 / 2}} X_{a}\left(M^{+}\right) .
$$

Set $N=M(\delta)$. Then $N$ is a maximal subfield of $C$, which is preserved by $\ddagger_{0}$, which is a CM-field and which satisfies $\left.\ddagger_{0}\right|_{N}=c$. Set $N^{+}=M^{+}(\delta)$ the maximal totally real subfield of $N$.

We see that $N$ also splits $B$ (as it does so locally at all places of $F$ by lemma 8.2). Choose an isomorphism $\alpha: B \otimes_{F} N \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{n}(N)$ and let $*_{\alpha}$ denote the involution $\alpha \circ(* \otimes c) \circ \alpha^{-1}$ on $M_{n}(N)$. If $x \in M_{n}(N)$ we will define $x^{\prime} \in M_{n}(M)$ by $\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{i j}=x_{j i}^{c}$. Then we have $x^{* \alpha}=a x^{\prime} a^{-1}$ for some $a \in G L_{n}(N)$ with $a^{\prime} a^{-1} \in N^{\times}$. We see that $\left(a^{\prime} a^{-1}\right)\left(a^{\prime} a^{-1}\right)^{c}=\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(a^{\prime} a^{-1}\right) a=1$ and so by Hilbert's theorem $90 a^{\prime} a^{-1}=\gamma / \gamma^{c}$ for some $\gamma \in N^{\times}$. Replacing $a$ by $\gamma a$ we see that we may suppose that $a^{\prime}=a$. If we compose $\alpha$ with conjugation by $b$ in $G L_{n}(N)$ then we change $a$ to $b a b^{\prime}$. Thus by suitable choice of $\alpha$ we may suppose that

$$
\alpha\left(x^{* \otimes c}\right)=a \alpha(x)^{\prime} a^{-1}
$$

for some diagonal matrix $a \in G L_{n}\left(N^{+}\right)$, with diagonal entries $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ say. As $* \otimes c$ is a positive involution on $M_{n}(N)$ we see that $a_{i} a_{j}$ is totally positive for all $i$ and $j$. Thus multiplying $a$ by a scalar we may suppose that each $a_{i}$ is totally positive.

Considering $\varepsilon \in M_{n}(N)$, let $A_{1}=\varepsilon A$ and let $j: A_{1} \hookrightarrow A$ denote the tautological inclusion. Let $i_{1}: N \hookrightarrow$ End ${ }^{0}\left(A_{1}\right)$ be the map induced by $i$ and let $\lambda_{1}=j^{\vee} \circ \lambda_{0} \circ j$, a polarisation on $A_{1}$. If for $i=1, \ldots, n$ we let $\varepsilon_{i}$ denotes the element of $M_{n}(N)$ which has a 1 in the $i^{t h}$ entry in the first column and zeroes elsewhere, then we get an isogeny

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{1} \circ j\right)+\ldots+\left(\varepsilon_{n} \circ j\right): A_{1}^{n} \longrightarrow A
$$

Then the diagram

commutes. Note that, as the centraliser of $B \otimes_{F} N$ in $B \otimes_{F} \operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}(A)$ is $N$, the centraliser of $i_{1}(N)$ in End ${ }^{0}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is just $i_{1}(N)$ itself.

Now (up to isogeny) we can lift $A_{1}$ to an abelian scheme $\widetilde{A}_{1} / \mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}}$ in such a way that the action $i_{1}$ of $N$ lifts to an action $\tilde{i}_{1}$ of $N$ on $\widetilde{A}_{1}$. (See [Tat1].) Choose a polarisation $\mu: \widetilde{A}_{1} \times F_{w}^{a c} \rightarrow \widetilde{A}_{1}^{\vee} \times F_{w}^{a c}$ for which the Rosati involution induces $c$ on $\tilde{i}_{1}(N)$ (see lemma 9.2 of [Ko3]). It extends to a homomorphism $\mu: \widetilde{A}_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{A}_{1}^{\vee}$, which is again a polarisation (see [Ko3], page 392). Let $\bar{\mu}$ denote the pull back of $\mu$ to $A_{1}$. As $\lambda_{1}$ and $\bar{\mu}$ both induce $c$ on $N$ and as $i_{1}(N)$ is its own centraliser in $\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{1}\right)$ we see that $\lambda_{1}=\bar{\mu} \circ x$ for some $x \in N$. As $\lambda_{1}$ and $\bar{\mu}$ are both polarisations, $x$ must in fact be a totally positive element of $N^{+}$. Then $\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}=\mu \circ x$ is a polarisation of $\widetilde{A}_{1}$ which reduces to $\lambda_{1}$. Set $\widetilde{A}=\left(\widetilde{A}_{1}\right)^{n}$, $\tilde{i}=M_{n}\left(\tilde{i}_{1} \circ \alpha\right): B \otimes_{F} M \rightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}(\widetilde{A})$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{0}=\oplus_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{\lambda}_{1} \circ \tilde{i}\left(a_{j} / a_{1}\right)$.

Because Lie $\widetilde{A}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}^{a c}} F_{w}^{a c}$ is a $F \otimes F_{w}^{a c} \cong\left(F_{w}^{a c}\right)^{\operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right) \text {-module, we get a }}$ decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Lie} \widetilde{A}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}}} F_{w}^{a c} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Lie} \widetilde{A}_{1}\right)_{\sigma} .
$$

We also have a decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Lie} \widetilde{A}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}}} F_{w}^{a c} \cong \operatorname{Lie} \widetilde{A}_{1}\left[p^{\infty}\right] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}}} F_{w}^{a c} \cong \bigoplus_{x} \operatorname{Lie} \widetilde{A}_{1}\left[x^{\infty}\right] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}}} F_{w}^{a c}
$$

where $x$ runs over places of $F$ above $p$. Then

$$
\text { Lie } \widetilde{A}_{1}\left[x^{\infty}\right] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}} F_{w}^{a c} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma}\left(\text { Lie } \widetilde{A}_{1}\right)_{\sigma},
$$

where now $\sigma$ runs over embeddings $\sigma: F \hookrightarrow F_{w}^{a c}$ such that $x$ is the induced place of $F$. Let $\operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right)^{+}$denote those embeddings which induce the place $u$ on $E$, so that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right)^{+} \coprod \operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right)^{+} \circ c$. Then we deduce that there is a unique $\sigma_{0} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F, F_{w}^{a c}\right)^{+}$such that $\left(\operatorname{Lie} \widetilde{A}_{1}\right)_{\sigma_{0}} \neq(0)$. Moreover $\sigma_{0}$ induces $w$ on $F$ and $\left(\text { Lie } \widetilde{A}_{1}\right)_{\sigma_{0}} \cong F_{w}^{a c}$.

We can find an embedding $\kappa: F_{w}^{a c} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\kappa \circ \sigma_{0}=\tau_{0}$, our distinguished embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Set

$$
W_{0}=H_{1}\left(\left(\widetilde{A} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}, \kappa}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\right)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

This is a $B \otimes_{F} M$-module with an alternating pairing (coming from $\tilde{\lambda}_{0}$ ) which is $* \otimes c$-Hermitian for the action of $B \otimes_{F} M$. We see at once that $W_{0} \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ is equivalent to $V^{p} A$ as a $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-module with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-alternating pairing.

It remains to show that $W_{0} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has invariants (see section 1$)(n-1,1)$ at $\tau_{0}$ and $(n, 0)$ at any other embedding $F^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Note first that the invariants of $W_{0} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ are the same as the invariants of the $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-module with $c$-Hermitian alternating pairing $\langle,\rangle_{1}$ on $W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
W_{1}=H_{1}\left(\left(\widetilde{A}_{1} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}, \kappa}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\right)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

and $\langle,\rangle_{1}$ comes from $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}$. As an $F$-module we see that $W_{1} \cong F^{n}$. Also

$$
W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong\left(\text { Lie } \widetilde{A}_{1}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}^{a c}, k}} \mathbb{C}
$$

and so it is an $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-module, not simply an $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-module. Corresponding to $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ we get a decomposition

$$
W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau}
$$

As $W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong\left(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)^{n}$ we see that for all $\tau$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau \circ c}=n
$$

On the other hand

- $\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\kappa \circ \sigma_{0}} \cong \mathbb{C}$,
- but if $\tau \neq \kappa \circ \sigma_{0}$ while $\left.\tau\right|_{E}=\left(\left.\kappa \circ \sigma_{0}\right|_{E}\right.$ then $\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau}=(0)$.

As the alternating form on $\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)$ is $c \otimes c$-Hermitian for the action of $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ we see that

$$
W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}=\bigoplus_{\tau}\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau}
$$

is an orthogonal direct sum for this alternating form.
For a suitable choice of $i \in \mathbb{C}$ a square root of -1 the $c$-symmetric form $\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right) \times\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
x \times y \longmapsto\langle i x, y\rangle_{1}+i\langle x, y\rangle_{1}
$$

is positive definite. Choose $\sqrt{-1} \in E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ such that $\kappa \circ \sigma_{0}(\sqrt{-1})=-i$. If $\left.\tau\right|_{E}=\left.c \circ \kappa \circ \sigma_{0}\right|_{E}$ then

$$
x \times y \longmapsto\langle\sqrt{-1} x, y\rangle_{1}+\sqrt{-1}\langle x, y\rangle_{1}
$$

is positive definite on $\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau}$, while if $\left.\tau\right|_{E}=\left.\kappa \circ \sigma_{0}\right|_{E}$ then it is negative definite on $\left(W_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)_{\tau}$. It follows that the invariants of $W_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ coincide with those of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ and so these are equivalent as $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-modules with 8 -Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairings up to $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$-multiples.

Keep the notation of the last two lemmas. Let $\ddagger_{0}$ denote the $\lambda_{0}$-Rosati involution on $C=\operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}(A)$. Let $H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}} / \mathbb{Q}$ denote the reductive algebraic group such that for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$ the $R$-points of $H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ are the set of $g \in C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R$ such that $g^{\ddagger_{0}} g \in R^{\times}$. Also let $D=\operatorname{End}_{B \otimes M}\left(W_{0}\right)$, so that $D$ is isomorphic to the centraliser of $M$ in $B^{\text {op }}$, and let $\dagger_{0}$ denote the involution on $B^{\mathrm{op}}=$ End ${ }_{B}\left(W_{0}\right)$ and on $D$ induced by $\langle,\rangle_{0}$. Let $H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}} / \mathbb{Q}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.G_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ denote the reductive algebraic group such that for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$ the $R$-points of $H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}$ are the set of $g \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R$ such that $g^{\dagger_{0}} g \in R^{\times}$(resp. $g \in B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R$ such that $g^{\dagger_{0}} g \in R^{\times}$). Then

- $H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}} \subset G_{0}$,
- $G$ and $G_{0}$ are inner forms of each other,
- and $H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ and $H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}$ are inner forms of each other. (If Isom $\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right)$ denotes the variety of isomorphisms between these algebraic groups, we need to show that Isom $\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right)$ has a connected component which is geometrically connected. Thus it suffices to show that there is an isomorphism $H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}$ which is conjugate to all its $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ transforms. The existence of such a isomorphism follows from the equivalence of $W_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}$ and $V^{p} A \otimes_{\mathbb{A}}{ }^{\infty, p} \overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}$ as $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-alternating pairing.)

Moreover we have a natural isomorphism

$$
H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \cong H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)
$$

Also let $\phi_{0}$ denote the class in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right)$ which represents the difference between $(V,()$,$) and \left(W_{0},\langle,\rangle_{0}\right)$.

We see that there are natural bijections between the following sets.

1. $G(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugacy classes of $F$-embeddings $j: M \hookrightarrow B^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that $\# \circ j=$ $j \circ c$.
2. Equivalence classes of $B \otimes_{F} M$-modules together with a $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{Q}$-alternating form on that module which are equivalent to $V$ as $B$ modules with $*$-Hermitian $\mathbb{Q}$-alternating pairing.
3. The preimage of $\phi_{0}$ under $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right)$.
(The map from the first to the second set sends $j$ to $(V,()$,$) considered as$ a $B \otimes_{F} M$-module via Id $\otimes j: B \otimes_{F} M \rightarrow B \otimes_{F} B^{\text {op }}$.)

Lemma 8.4 This bijection induces a bijection between the following sets.

1. $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy classes of $F$-embeddings $j: M \hookrightarrow B^{\text {op }}$ such that $\# \circ j=j \circ c$.
2. The preimage of $\phi_{0}$ under $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$.

Proof: We see that $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy classes of $F$-embeddings $j: M \hookrightarrow$ $B^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that $\# \circ j=j \circ c$ are in bijection with classes $x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$ which lift to a class $y \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right)$ mapping to $\phi_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right)$. Any such $x$ certainly maps to $\phi_{0}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$. So we must show that if $x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$ maps to $\phi_{0}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$, then we can lift $x$ to such a $y$.

Note that $\phi_{0}$ maps to zero in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, G_{0}\right)$ (by lemma 8.3) and in

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, G_{0}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p},\left(B_{u}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{a c}\right)^{\times} \times\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{a c}\right)^{\times}\right)=(0)
$$

Thus $x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \subset H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right)$ maps to $\phi_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right)$.
Let $A\left(G_{0}\right)$ and $A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right)$ be the groups defined in section 2.1 of [Ko2]. Then according to proposition 2.6 of [Ko2] we have a commutative diagram with exact rows as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{rccccccc}
(0) & \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) & \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) & \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right) & \rightarrow & A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
(0) & \rightarrow & \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right) & \rightarrow & H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right) & \rightarrow & H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right) & \rightarrow
\end{array}
$$

The lemma now follows from a diagram chase, because of the following two observations.

1. $\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right)$.
2. $A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \hookrightarrow A\left(G_{0}\right)$.

The first of these follows because letting $Z_{0}$ denote the centre of $G_{0}$ the composite homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism by the argument on pages 393 and 394 of [Ko3]. The second follows by direct computation from the definitions. In fact, if $\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right][B$ : $F]^{1 / 2}=\left[M^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right][D: M]^{1 / 2}$ is odd then $A\left(G_{0}\right)=(0)$ and $A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right)=(0)$. If on the other hand $\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right][B: F]^{1 / 2}=\left[M^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right][D: M]^{1 / 2}$ is even then the natural homomorphism $A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \rightarrow A\left(G_{0}\right)$ is the unique isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

We also see that there are bijections between the following sets.

1. Equivalence classes of polarisations $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ such that the $\lambda$-Rosati involution takes $B \otimes_{F} M$ to itself and acts on it as $* \otimes c$, and where two polarisations $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists $\delta \in \operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}(A)$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$-multiple of $\delta^{\vee} \lambda \delta$.
2. Equivalence classes of non-zero elements $\gamma \in C^{\ddagger_{0}=1}$ such that $\gamma=\delta^{\ddagger_{0}} \delta$ for some $\delta \in C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$, and where $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^{\times}$such that $\gamma^{\prime}=\mu \delta^{\ddagger 0} \gamma \delta$.
3. Equivalence classes of non-zero elements $\gamma \in C^{\ddagger_{0}=1}$ such that $\gamma=\mu \delta^{\ddagger}{ }_{0} \delta$ for some $\delta \in C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ and some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, and where $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$such that $\gamma^{\prime}=\mu \delta^{\ddagger}{ }^{0} \gamma \delta$.
4. $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}(E)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{C})\right)\right)$.
5. $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)\right)$.
(The equivalence between the first two parts sends $\gamma$ to $\lambda_{0} \circ \gamma$. The equivalence between the last two parts results because

$$
\left.H^{1}\left(E, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)=H^{1}\left(E,\left(C \otimes_{E} E^{a c}\right)^{\times} \times\left(E^{a c}\right)^{\times}\right)=(0) .\right)
$$

We deduce that we have a bijection between the following two sets.

1. Equivalence classes of polarisations $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ such that

- the $\lambda$-Rosati involution takes $B \otimes_{F} M$ to itself and acts on it as $* \otimes c$,
- there is an equivalence of $B \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with $*$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p_{-}}$ alternating pairings between $V^{p} A$ with the $\lambda$-Weil pairing and $V \otimes$ $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ with our standard pairing (, );
and where two polarisations $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists $\delta \in$ $\operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}(A)$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$-multiple of $\delta^{\vee} \lambda \delta$.

2. Those elements of $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)\right)$ which map to $\phi_{0}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$.

We will call two polarisations $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ nearly equivalent if $V^{p} A$ with its $\lambda$-Weil pairing is equivalent to $V^{p} A$ with its $\lambda^{\prime}$-Weil pairing as a $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-module with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-alternating pairing. This is a strictly coarser equivalence relation than our previous notion of equivalent. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5 There are bijections between the following sets.

1. Near equivalence classes of polarisations $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ such that

- the $\lambda$-Rosati involution takes $B \otimes_{F} M$ to itself and acts on it as * $\otimes c$,
- there is an equivalence of $B \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with $*$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}{ }_{-}$ alternating pairing between $V^{p} A$ with its $\lambda$-Weil pairing and $V \otimes$ $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ with our standard pairing (, ).

2. The preimage of $\phi_{0}$ under

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)
$$

3. $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy classes of $F$-embeddings $j: M \hookrightarrow B^{\text {op }}$ such that $\# \circ j=j \circ c$.

This bijection can be arranged so that $\lambda$ and $j$ correspond if and only if $V \otimes \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ with its standard pairing (, ) is equivalent to $V^{p} A$ with its $\lambda$-Weil pairing as $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-alternating pairings.

Proof: We have to show that the preimage of $\phi_{0}$ under the homomorphism

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)
$$

is contained in the image of $\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)\right)$. Suppose that $x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$ maps to $\phi_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$. Again by proposition 2.6 of [Ko3] we have an exact sequence

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) \longrightarrow A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)
$$

and so it suffices to check that $x$ maps to zero under the map

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \longrightarrow A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)
$$

By lemma 2.8 of [Ko3] we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) & \sim & H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow & H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) & = & A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) & \hookrightarrow & A\left(G_{0}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

(The injectivity of the map $A\left(H_{0}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right) \rightarrow A\left(G_{0}\right)$ was explained in the proof of lemma 8.4.) Thus it suffices to show that $\phi_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$ maps to zero in $A\left(G_{0}\right)$. But $\phi_{0} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, G_{0}\right)\right)$ by lemma 8.3 and $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, G_{0}\right)=(0)$. Thus $\phi_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}\right)\right)$ has the same image in $A\left(G_{0}\right)$ as $\phi_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right.$ ), i.e. 0 (by proposition 2.6 of $[\mathrm{Ko} 3]$ ).

Now let $\mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}$ denote the set of triples $(M, \widetilde{w},[j])$ where

- $M$ is a CM-field extension of $F$,
- $\widetilde{w}$ is a place of $M$ above $w$ such that

$$
\left[M_{\widetilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] n=[M: F](n-h),
$$

- there is no intermediate field $M \supset N \supset F$ such that $\left.\widetilde{w}\right|_{N}$ is inert in $M$,
- $[j]$ is a $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy class of $F$-embeddings

$$
j: M \hookrightarrow B^{\mathrm{op}}
$$

such that $\# \circ j=j \circ c$.
Combining lemmas 8.1 and 8.5 we see that there is a surjective map

$$
\mathcal{P}: J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}
$$

which sends

$$
\left(A, \lambda, i, \eta^{p}, \eta_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

to the triple $(M, \widetilde{w},[j])$ associated to the near equivalence class of $(A, i, \lambda)$ by lemma 8.5. Note that the action of $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ on $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ preserves the fibres of $\mathcal{P}$.

Let $z=(M, \widetilde{w},[j]) \in \operatorname{PHT}^{(h)}$. Suppose that $j \in[j]$. We make the following definitions.

1. $D_{j}$ will denote the centraliser in $B^{\mathrm{op}}$ of $j M$ and $\dagger_{j}$ will denote the restriction of \# to $D_{j}$.
2. $V_{j}$ will denote the $B \otimes_{F} M$-module $V$ with its $B \otimes_{F} M$ action via $\operatorname{Id} \otimes j$ : $B \otimes_{F} M \rightarrow B \otimes_{F} B^{\text {op }}$. If $j^{\prime} \in[j]$ then the $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-alternating pairings $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ and $V_{j^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ are equivalent. This equivalence can be realised by an element of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$.
3. $H_{j} / \mathbb{Q}$ will denote the reductive algebraic group coming from the $B \otimes_{F} M$ automorphisms of $V_{j}$ which preserve the standard alternating pairing (, ) up to a scalar multiple. This group comes with a natural embedding $\iota_{j}: H_{j} \hookrightarrow G$. Moreover, if $j^{\prime} \in[j]$ then we have an isomorphism

$$
H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{j^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}
$$

which is canonical up to conjugation in $H_{j^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$. This isomorphism is achieved via the maps $\iota_{j}$ and $\iota_{j^{\prime}}$ and conjugation in $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$.
4. Unless $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$, there is a distinguished extension $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)$ : $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $\tau_{0}: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It is defined as follows. The equivalence class of $V_{j}$ as a $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-module with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairing is classified by a collection of pairs $\left(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}\right)$ for $\tau: M^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In fact we have $\left(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}\right)=(0, n /[M: F])$ for all but one embedding $\tau_{1}$ for which
$\left(a_{\tau_{1}(j)}, b_{\tau_{1}}(j)\right)=(1, n /[M: F]-1)$. Moreover $\left.\tau_{1}\right|_{F^{+}}=\left.\tau_{0}\right|_{F^{+}}$. We see that $\tau_{1}$ is uniquely determined except in the exceptional case excluded at the start of this paragraph. We define $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)$ to be the unique embedding $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending $\tau_{1}$ on $M^{+}$and $\tau_{0}$ on $F$.

If $j, j^{\prime} \in[j]$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)=\widetilde{\tau}_{0}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ then we have an equivalence of $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $\mathbb{A}$-modules with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{A}$-alternating pairings between $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}$ and $V_{j^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}$. This equivalence can be realised by an element of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$
H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{j^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A},
$$

which is canonical up to conjugation in $H_{j^{\prime}}(\mathbb{A})$. (If $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ we may suppress the requirement that $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)=\widetilde{\tau}_{0}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$.)

Lemma 8.6 Keep the above notation.

1. For any embedding $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending $\tau_{0}$ we can find a $j^{\prime} \in[j]$ with $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}\left(j^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{\tau}_{0}$.
2. If $j, j^{\prime} \in[j]$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)=\widetilde{\tau}_{0}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ then we can find an isomorphism $H_{j} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $H_{j^{\prime}}$ compatible with one of our canonical isomorphisms $H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{j^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{Q}$ A. (If $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ we may suppress the requirement that $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)=$ $\left.\widetilde{\tau}_{0}\left(j^{\prime}\right).\right)$

Proof: We first look at part one. Choose $j_{0} \in[j]$. As in the discussion before lemma 8.4 we see that $G(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugacy classes of elements of $[j]$ correspond to elements of

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty}\right)\right) \oplus H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)\right)
$$

Thus the possibilities for $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ correspond to the image of

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty}\right)\right) \oplus H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)\right)
$$

in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{j_{0}}\right)$. As in lemma 8.4 we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
(0) & \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\right) & \rightarrow & H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\right) & \rightarrow & H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right) & \rightarrow & A\left(H_{j_{0}}\right) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
(0) & \rightarrow & \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G) & \rightarrow & H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G) & \rightarrow & H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G(\overline{\mathbb{A}})) & \rightarrow \\
\hline & A(G) .
\end{array}
$$

where

- $\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j_{0}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$
- and $A\left(H_{j_{0}}\right) \hookrightarrow A(G)$.

Then a diagram chase shows that the possibilities for $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ correspond to

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{j_{0}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathbb{R}, G)\right),
$$

i.e. to equivalence classes of $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-modules with a $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating form which are equivalent as $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-module with $*$-Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating form to $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ with its standard pairing (, ). The first part of the lemma follows.

We now turn to the second part of the lemma. Let $Z_{j}$ denote the centre of $H_{j}$. The key point will be that

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j}\right) .
$$

This is proved as on pages 393 and 394 of [Ko3]. As $V_{j} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ is equivalent to $V_{j^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ the difference between $V_{j}$ and $V_{j^{\prime}}$ corresponds to an element of

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{j}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)\right),
$$

i.e. to an element of

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{j}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)\right) .
$$

Let this element of $\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{j}\right)$ be represented by a cocycle $\sigma \mapsto z_{\sigma}$. Then we can find $\alpha \in G\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c}\right)$ and $\beta \in Z_{j}(\mathbb{A})$ with

$$
z_{\sigma}=\alpha^{-1} \sigma(\alpha)=\beta^{-1} \sigma(\beta)
$$

for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. If $h \in H_{j}$ then $\alpha h \alpha^{-1} \in H_{j^{\prime}}$. Moreover if $\sigma \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ then

$$
\sigma\left(\alpha h \alpha^{-1}\right)=\alpha z_{\sigma} \sigma(h) z_{\sigma}^{-1} \alpha^{-1}=\alpha \sigma(h) \alpha^{-1} .
$$

Thus conjugation by $\alpha$ gives an isomorphism $H_{j} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{j^{\prime}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Suppose that $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ gives an isomorphism $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{j^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}$. Then $\beta \alpha^{-1} g \in H_{j}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})$. For all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ we see that $\sigma\left(\beta \alpha^{-1} g\right)=\beta \alpha^{-1} g$, and so $\beta \alpha^{-1} g \in H_{j}(\mathbb{A})$. Altering $g$ on the right by an element of $H_{j}(\mathbb{A})$ we see that we may suppose that

$$
g=\alpha \beta^{-1} .
$$

As conjugation by $\beta^{-1}$ is trivial on $H_{j}$ we see that $g$ and $\alpha$ induce the same isomorphism

$$
H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{j^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A},
$$

as desired.
We will write $D_{z}$ for any of the $M$-algebras $D_{j}$ for any $j \in[j]$. (They are all isomorphic as their invariants are given by

$$
\left.\operatorname{inv}_{x}\left(D_{j}\right)=\left[M_{x}: F_{x}\right] \operatorname{inv}_{x}\left(B^{\mathrm{op}}\right) .\right)
$$

Note that up to conjugacy we have a unique embedding $D_{z} \hookrightarrow B^{\text {op }}$. If $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}$ : $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends $\tau_{0}: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ then we will write $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}} / \mathbb{Q}$ for any one of the algebraic groups $H_{j}$ for $j \in[j]$ with $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}(j)=\widetilde{\tau}_{0}$. It comes with an embedding $\iota_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}: H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}} \hookrightarrow G$ which is well defined up to $G(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy.

Now suppose that $(A, i, \lambda)$ is a triple associated to $z$. We will make the following definitions.

1. We will let $C_{(A, i, \lambda)}$ denote $\operatorname{End}_{B}^{0}(A)$ and we will let $\ddagger_{(A, i, \lambda)}$ denote the $\lambda$-Rosati involution on $C_{(A, i, \lambda)}$.
2. We will let $H_{(A, i, \lambda)} / \mathbb{Q}$ denote the algebraic group such that for any $\mathbb{Q}$ algebra $R, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(R)$ is the group of elements $g \in C_{(A, i, \lambda)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R$ with $g^{\ddagger(A, i, \lambda)} g \in R^{\times}$.

If $j \in[j]$ then we get an equivalence of $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with $* \otimes c$ Hermitian $\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-alternating pairings between $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ and $V^{p} A$. Thus we get an isomorphism

$$
H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{(A, i, \lambda)} \times \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}
$$

which is well defined up to $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy. We also then get an isomorphism

$$
H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{(A, i, \lambda)} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^{a c}
$$

which is specified up to $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c}\right)$-conjugacy by the condition it is compatible with the isomorphism

$$
V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{p} A \otimes_{\mathbb{A}}, p, \overline{\mathbb{A}}^{\infty, p}
$$

(itself defined up to $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy). Thus $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}$ is an inner form of $H_{j}$ (cf the discussion after lemma 8.3). Since the $\lambda$-Rosati involution is positive, $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact mod centre.

Lemma 8.7 Suppose that $(A, i, \lambda)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ are both associated to $z$. Suppose also that $j \in[j]$. Then we can find an isomorphism

$$
H_{(A, i, \lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}
$$

compatible with some choices of the canonical isomorphisms $H_{j} \times \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $H_{(A, i, \lambda)} \times \propto_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ and $H_{j} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$.

Proof: We can find an isogeny

$$
\alpha: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime}
$$

such that

- $i^{\prime}(x)=\alpha i(x) \alpha^{-1}$ for all $x \in B \otimes_{F} M$,
- and $\lambda^{\prime}=\alpha^{-\vee} \lambda \gamma \alpha^{-1}$ for some $\gamma \in C_{(A, i, \lambda)}^{\ddagger(A, i, \lambda)}=1$ with $\gamma=\delta^{\ddagger}(A, i, \lambda) \delta$ for some $\delta \in C_{(A, i, \lambda)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$.

Then $(\gamma, 1) \in C_{(A, i, \lambda)}^{\times} \times E^{\times}$represents an element of

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(E)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right)\right)
$$

Let $Z_{(A, i, \lambda)}$ denote the centre of $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}$. As

- $H^{1}\left(E, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\right)=(0)$,
- $H^{1}\left(E, Z_{(A, i, \lambda)}\right)=(0)$,
- and $\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{(A, i, \lambda)}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\right)$ (again by the same argument used on pages 393 and 394 of [Ko3]),
we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(E)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(\overline{\mathbb{A}})\right)\right)
$$

equals

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}, Z_{(A, i, \lambda)}(E)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(E / \mathbb{Q}, Z_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Thus we can find $(\delta, \mu) \in C_{(A, i, \lambda)}^{\times} \times E^{\times}$such that

$$
\left(\mu^{-c} \delta^{\ddagger}(A, i, \lambda) \gamma \delta, \mu / \mu^{c}\right) \in M^{\times} \times E^{\times}
$$

Note that we may take $\mu=1$. Then replacing $\alpha$ by $\alpha \delta$ we see that without loss of generality we may suppose that $\gamma \in M^{\times}$(and hence that $\gamma$ is a totally positive element of $M^{+}$).

It follows (from $\gamma \in M$ ) that $\alpha$ induces an isomorphism $C_{(A, i, \lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} C_{\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}$ ( $x \mapsto \alpha x \alpha^{-1}$ ) which takes $\ddagger_{(A, i, \lambda)}$ to $\ddagger_{\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}$ and hence induces an isomorphism $H_{(A, i, \lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}$.

We may choose $\mu \in\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$and $\delta \in\left(\mathbb{A}_{M}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$such that $\gamma=\mu^{-1} \delta^{c} \delta$. Suppose that $\beta: V^{p} A \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{p} A^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$-modules with alternating pairings up to $\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)^{\times}$-multiples. Then we see that

$$
\beta^{-1}\left(V^{p} \alpha\right) \delta^{-1} \in H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) .
$$

Altering $\beta$ on the right by an element of $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$, we may suppose that $\beta=\left(V^{p} \alpha\right) \delta^{-1}$ and hence that $\beta$ and $V^{p} \alpha$ induce the same isomorphism

$$
H_{(A, i, \lambda)} \times \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)} \times{ }_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} .
$$

the second part of the lemma follows.
We will write $C_{z}$ for any of the $M$-algebras $C_{(A, i, \lambda)}$. (They are all isomorphic as they have the same invariants by lemma 8.2.) Also from the invariants we see that for any place $x \neq \widetilde{w}$ or $\widetilde{w}^{c}$ of $M$ we have $C_{z, x} \cong D_{z, x}$. We will write $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ for any of the algebraic groups $H_{(A, i, \lambda)}$ for $(A, i, \lambda)$ associated to $z$. This is well defined up to inner automorphism. If $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any extension of $\tau_{0}: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ then there is an isomorphism

$$
H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p},
$$

which is canonical up to $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy. In particular we have an embedding

$$
\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}: H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \hookrightarrow G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)
$$

well defined up to $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$-conjugacy. We also have isomorphisms

$$
H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times C_{z, u}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times C_{z, \widetilde{w}}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid w, x \neq \widetilde{w}} G L_{n /[M: F]}\left(M_{x}\right) \times \prod_{i>1} \prod_{x \mid w_{i}} C_{z, x}^{\times} .
$$

But there exist embeddings (unique up to conjugation)

- $C_{z, \widetilde{w}} \hookrightarrow D_{F_{w}, n-h}$ over $F_{w}$ (firstly $M_{w} \hookrightarrow D_{F_{w}, n-h}$ because $\left[M_{\widetilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] \mid(n-$ $h)$, and secondly we find that the centraliser of $M_{w}$ in $D_{F_{w}, n-h}$ has invariant $\left.\left[M_{\widetilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] /(n-h)=[M: F] / n=\operatorname{inv} \widetilde{w} C_{z}\right)$,
- $\prod_{x \mid w, x \neq \widetilde{w}} M_{n /[M: F]}\left(M_{x}\right) \hookrightarrow M_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ over $F_{w}$ (because

$$
\left.(n /[M: F]) \sum_{x \mid w, x \neq \widetilde{w}}\left[M_{x}: F_{w}\right]=n-n\left[M_{\widetilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] /[M: F]=h\right),
$$

- and for each $i>1, \prod_{x \mid w_{i}} C_{z, x} \cong D_{z, w_{i}} \hookrightarrow B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ over $F_{w_{i}}$.

Thus we may extend $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ to an embedding

$$
\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}: H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \hookrightarrow G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)
$$

well defined up to $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-conjugacy.
We finish this section with the promised description of $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$.
Proposition 8.8 The map

$$
\mathcal{P}: J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}
$$

is a surjection with fibres preserved by $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. If $z \in \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}$ then $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(z)$ is isomorphic as a right $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-set to $\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)$ copies of

$$
\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

This identification is canonical up to replacing $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ by $y \mapsto g \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(x y x^{-1}\right) g^{-1}$ for $g \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and $x \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and simultaneously left translating the isomorphism by $g$.

Proof: We have already proved the first sentence, so fix $z \in \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}$. Then $z$ corresponds to a near equivalence class of triples $(A, i, \lambda)$. This near equivalence class consists of $\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)$ equivalence classes. Fix one such equivalence class $C=[(A, i, \lambda)]$ and let $\widetilde{C}$ denote the set of points

$$
\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \eta^{p}, \eta_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

of $J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ with $\left(A^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent to $(A, i, \lambda)$. We will show that $\widetilde{C}$ is isomorphic as a right $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-set to

$$
\iota_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(H_{(A, i, \lambda)}(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}
$$

The proposition will then follow.
First we see that any point of $\widetilde{C}$ can be written in the form

$$
\left(A, \lambda, i, \eta^{p}, \eta_{p, 0}, \eta_{w}^{0}, \eta_{w}^{e}, \eta_{w_{i}}\right)
$$

Thus $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ acts transitively on $\widetilde{C}$. Finally the stabiliser in $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ of $x_{0}$ is just $\iota_{(A, i, \lambda)}\left(H_{(A, i, \lambda)}\right) \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$.

## 9 An application of Fujiwara's trace formula.

Let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{F w}, n-h}^{\times}\right)$. In this section we will find (subject to some restrictions) a group theoretic description for

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)
$$

Our main tool will be Fujiwara's trace formula (see [Fu]). This is a form of the Lefschetz trace formula for the cohomology with compact supports of smooth but not necessarily proper varieties over finite fields. This formula was conjectured by Deligne and had been proved modulo some sort of resolution of singularities by Pink (see [P]).

Any such $\varphi$ can be written as a finite sum of the form

$$
\varphi=\sum_{g} a_{g} \operatorname{char}_{U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)}
$$

for some fixed $U^{p}$ and $m$ (depending on $\varphi$ ). As always we can and will assume that $U^{p}$ is sufficiently small.

By a fixed point of $\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]$ we will mean a point

$$
x \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) /\left(U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right)
$$

such that $x=x g \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) / U^{p}(m, 0)$. This set appears to depend on $g$ not just on $U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)$, but if we replace $g$ by $u_{1} g u_{2}$ (with $\left.u_{1}, u_{2} \in U^{p}(m, 0)\right)$ the two sets are in natural bijection via $x \mapsto x u_{1}^{-1}$. (If $u_{1} g u_{2}=u_{1}^{\prime} g u_{2}^{\prime}$, with $u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime} \in U^{p}(m, 0)$ as well, then $x \mapsto x\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ gives the same map.) We will denote this set defined up to canonical bijection $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$.

Suppose $x$ is such a fixed point. Choose $g \in U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)$ and a point $\widetilde{x} \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ above $x \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) / U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}$. Then we see that

$$
\widetilde{x} g=\widetilde{x} u
$$

for some $u \in U^{p}(m, 0)$. We will set

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right] \mid\left(\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)_{x}=\operatorname{tr}(\pi \otimes \xi)\left(g u^{-1}\right)
$$

We will check that this is independent of the various choices. First if we replace $\widetilde{x}$ by $\widetilde{x} v$ for some $v \in U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}$ then $g u^{-1}$ is replaced by $v^{-1} g u^{-1} v$ and so the value of the trace is unchanged. Secondly if we replace $g$ by $u_{1} g u_{2}$ and $\widetilde{x}$ by $\widetilde{x}\left(u_{1}\right)^{-1}$ then $g u^{-1}$ is replaced by $u_{1} g u^{-1} u_{1}^{-1}$ and again the
value of the trace is unchanged. Thus $\operatorname{tr}\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right] \mid\left(\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)_{x}$ is a well-defined function on $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$.

Again suppose that $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$ and again choose $g \in$ $U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)$ and $\widetilde{x} \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right)$ above $x \in J^{(h)}\left(k(w)^{a c}\right) / U^{p}(m, 0) \cap$ $g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}$. Let $z=\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{x})$. Then we can represent $\widetilde{x}$ by an element $y \in$ $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$, and we see that

$$
y g=\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a) y u
$$

for some $a \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and some $u \in U^{p}(m, 0)$. We will show that the conjugacy class $\left[a\right.$ ] of $a$ in $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ depends only on $x$. We have to check independence of the following choices.

- We could postmultiply $a$ by an element of

$$
\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)^{-1}\left(y U^{p}(m, 0) y^{-1}\right) \cap H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})
$$

But as $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact modulo the centre this intersection is a finite group and so as $U^{p}(m, 0)$ is sufficiently small we see that

$$
\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)^{-1}\left(y U^{p}(m, 0) y^{-1}\right) \cap H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})=\{1\}
$$

- We could replace $y$ by $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(b) y v$ with $b \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $v \in U^{p}(m, 0) \cap$ $g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}$. In this case $a$ is replaced by $b a b^{-1}$ and $u$ is replaced by $v^{-1} u\left(g^{-1} v g\right)$.
- We could replace $g$ by $u_{1} g u_{2}$ and $y$ by $y u_{1}^{-1}$ with $u_{1}, u_{2} \in U^{P}(m, 0)$. Then $a$ remains unchanged and $u$ is replaced by $u u_{2}$.
- We could preconjugate $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ by $b \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and postconjugate by $g^{\prime} \in$ $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ while replacing $y$ by $g^{\prime} y$. Then $u$ is unchanged and $a$ is replaced by $b^{-1} a b$.

Thus we may write $[a(x)]$ for this conjugacy class. Notice that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right] \mid\left(\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\rho \otimes \xi)\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a(x))\right)
$$

because $g u^{-1}=y^{-1} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a) y$.
Now we ask the converse question: given $a \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ how many points $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$ are there with $[a(x)]=[a]$ ? One may check that the answer is the cardinality of the double coset space

$$
\#\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash X / U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
X=\left\{y \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right): y^{-1} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}([a]) y \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

If $a, b \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and if both $y^{-1} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a) y$ and $y^{-1} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(b) y \in g U^{p}(m, 0)$ then $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(a^{-1} b\right) \in y^{-1} g U g^{-1} y$ and so (because $U^{p}$ is sufficiently small and $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact modulo its centre) we see that $a=b$. We deduce that the number of $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$ with $[a(x)]=[a]$ is also given by

$$
\#\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash X^{\prime} / U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
X^{\prime}=\left\{y \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right): y^{-1} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a) y \in g U^{p}(m, 0)\right\}
$$

A similar argument shows that for any $y \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right) y\left(U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right)= \\
& =\coprod_{b \in Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(b) y\left(U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so the number of $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$ with $[a(x)]=[a]$ is also given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{vol}\left(U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \operatorname{vol}\left(\left\{y \in \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right): y^{-1} \iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a) y \in g U^{p}(m, 0)\right\}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use any Haar measure on $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and where we use a Haar measure on $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right)$ which gives each point volume 1 . This can be rewritten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{vol}\left(U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \operatorname{vol}\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)\right) O_{\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}\left(\operatorname{char}_{g U^{p}(m, 0)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where again the measure on $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right)$ gives each point volume 1 and where the Haar measures on each other groups are arbitrary as long as they are chosen consistently for each occurrence of a given group. This appears to depend on the choice of $g \in\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]$. Adding the formulas for $g$ running over a set of representatives for $U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0) / U^{p}(m, 0)$ and dividing by

$$
\#\left(U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0) / U^{p}(m, 0)\right)=\left[U^{p}(m, 0): U^{p}(m, 0) \cap g U^{p}(m, 0) g^{-1}\right]
$$

we see that the number of $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$ with $[a(x)]=[a]$ is also given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{vol}\left(U^{p}(m, 0)\right)^{-1} \\
& \operatorname{vol}\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right) \backslash Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)\right) O_{\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}\left(\operatorname{char}_{U^{p}(m, 0) g U^{p}(m, 0)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that this number may be infinite.
We will say that $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$is acceptable if it can be written as a finite sum

$$
\varphi=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{char}_{U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)}
$$

with $U^{p}$ sufficiently small and where

1. for $y \in \bigcup_{i} U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)$ the $p$-adic valuation of every eigenvalue of $y_{w}^{0}$ is strictly less than the $p$-adic valuation of every eigenvalue of $y_{w}^{e}$;
2. each $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)$ is a finite set;
3. and, for each $i$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right] \mid H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)= \\
& \quad=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right]\right)} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right] \mid\left(\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right]$ defines a correspondence on $I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)}$ which lifts to a natural cohomological correspondence on $\mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ over $\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times\right.$ Spec $\left.k(w)^{a c}\right)$. It therefore acts on $H_{c}^{i}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$. (See [Fu].)

This definition is only useful if we have a good supply of acceptable functions $\varphi$. This is provided by the following lemma, whose key ingredient is Fujiwara's trace formula.

Lemma 9.1 Suppose that $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$. Fix $\delta \in$ $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$with $w(\operatorname{det} \delta)=1$. Then for $N \gg 0$ the function $\varphi \mid\left(1, p^{f_{1} N}, \delta^{N}, 1,1\right)$ defined by

$$
\left(\varphi \mid\left(1, p^{f_{1} N}, \delta^{N}, 1,1\right)\right)(y)=\varphi\left(y\left(1, p^{f_{1} N}, \delta^{N}, 1,1\right)\right)
$$

is acceptable.
Proof: The first condition is easily checked. The latter two conditions follow from corollary 5.4.5 of [Fu] because

$$
\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i}\left(1, p^{-f_{1} N}, \delta^{-N}, 1,1\right) U^{p}(m, 0)\right]=\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{*}\right)^{f_{1} N} .\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right]
$$

in the notation of $[\mathrm{Fu}]$ (see the third paragraph of section 7 ).
We now show how we can calculate the trace of an acceptable function on the cohomology of Igusa varieties of the first kind.

Lemma 9.2 Suppose that $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$is acceptable, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)=(-1)^{h} \sum_{\left.z \in \operatorname{PHT}^{(h)}\right)} \sum_{[a] \subset H^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})}\left(\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)\right) \\
& \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{A v}}(a)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~V}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) O_{c_{z}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{tr}(\rho \otimes \xi)\left(c_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This sum is finite and all the terms occurring are finite numbers. For each non-zero term we have $F(a) \supset M$ and

$$
Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)=\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) .
$$

We may make any consistent choices for the Haar measures implicit in this formula as long as the Haar measure on $Z_{H_{2}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})$ gives points measure 1 and as long as the measures used on $Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)$ and $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{V}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ correspond under $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$.

Proof: We first check that if $O_{t_{z}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \neq 0$ then

$$
Z_{\left.G^{(h)}\right)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)=t_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}_{z}}\left(Z_{\mathrm{H}_{z}^{\mathrm{A}}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) .
$$

If $O_{\iota \underset{z}{\mathrm{~V}}(a)}^{G^{(h)}\left(A^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \neq 0$ then the $p$-adic valuation of every eigenvalue of $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)_{w}^{0}$ is strictly less than the $p$-adic valuation of every eigenvalue of $\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)_{w}^{e}$ (because $\varphi$ is acceptable). Thus there is a constant $\kappa$ such that a place $x$ of $M(a) \subset C_{z}$ above $w$ divides $\widetilde{w}$ if and only if

$$
|a|_{x}^{1 /\left[M(a) x: F_{w}\right]}>\kappa .
$$

Hence if $x$ is a place of $M(a)$ above $\widetilde{w}$ then any other place of $M(a)$ above $\left.x\right|_{F(a)}$ also lies above $\widetilde{w}$. Let $N /(F(a) \cap M)$ denote the normal closure of $M(a) / F(a) \cap M$, then $N$ has the same property that two places $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ of $N$ above the same place of $F(a)$ either both lie above $\widetilde{w}$ or neither lie above $\widetilde{w}$. Fix a place $x$ of $N$ above $\widetilde{w}$ and let $\Delta$ denote the decomposition group for $x$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a) \cap M)$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a) \cap M)$. Then some (resp. all) places of $N$ above the place $\left.\sigma(x)\right|_{F(a)}$ lie above $\widetilde{w}$ if and only if

$$
(\operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a)) \sigma \Delta) \cap(\operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta) \neq \emptyset
$$

(resp.

$$
(\operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a)) \sigma \Delta) \subset(\operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta))
$$

Thus we see that $\operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta$ is a union of double cosets of the form

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a)) \sigma \Delta,
$$

i.e.

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a)) \operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta=\operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta
$$

As $\operatorname{Gal}(N /(F(a) \cap M))$ is generated by $\operatorname{Gal}(N / F(a))$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(N / M)$ we see that

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(N /(F(a) \cap M))=\operatorname{Gal}(N /(F(a) \cap M)) \operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta=\operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \Delta .
$$

This translates into $\left.\widetilde{w}\right|_{F(a) \cap M}$ being inert in $M$. By the minimality of $(M, \widetilde{w})$ we conclude that $F(a) \supset M$ and so

$$
Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a) \subset Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(M)=H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)
$$

This establishes the desired equality.
If

$$
\varphi=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{char}_{U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(U^{p}(m, 0)\right) \sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i}(-1)^{h-j} \\
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\left[U^{p}(m, 0) g_{i} U^{p}(m, 0)\right] \mid H_{c}^{j}\left(I_{U^{p}, m}^{(h)} \times \operatorname{Spec} k(w)^{a c}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the same Haar measure to compute $\operatorname{tr} \varphi$ as we do to compute $\operatorname{vol}\left(U^{p}(m, 0)\right)$. The lemma now follows from the definition of acceptable and from our previous calculations.

Although it appears that we have a purely group theoretic expression for the trace this is illusory as the definition of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ involves abelian varieties. Thus we must further massage the formula.

Let $\nu_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}: H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ denote the multiplier character (so that $\nu_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(x)=$ $\left.x^{\ddagger} x\right)$. Also let $Z_{H_{z}^{A V}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ (resp. $\left.Z_{H_{z}^{A V}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)$ denote the kernel of

$$
|\nu|: Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}
$$

(resp. the kernel of

$$
\left.|\nu|: Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}\right) .
$$

We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\{1\} \rightarrow Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1} \rightarrow Z_{H_{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{H_{H}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1} \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow\{1\},
\end{array}
$$

and hence $\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)$ equals

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1}
$$

Moreover if we use Tamagawa measure on $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ then the main theorem of [Ko5] tells us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) \\
= & \# A\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right)\left(\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right)^{-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{\mathrm{AV}}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
= & \kappa_{B}\left(\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right)\right)^{-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa_{B}=2$ if $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is even and $\kappa_{B}=1$ otherwise. (See the introduction to $[\mathrm{Ko} 5]$, formula 4.2 .2 of $[\mathrm{Ko1}]$, compute $A\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{Q})\right)$ directly from the definition and note that $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is even if and only if $\left[Z_{C_{z}}(a): \mathbb{Q}\right] / 2$ is.) Thus the right hand side of the main equality of lemma 9.2 can be rewritten

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(-1)^{h} \kappa_{B} \sum_{z \in \operatorname{PHT}^{(h)}} \sum_{\sum_{i a] c H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(\mathbb{Q})}\left(\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) / \# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right)\right) \\
O_{\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)(a)}^{G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(\rho \otimes \xi)\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)\right),
\end{array}
$$

where we use measures on $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}$ and $Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)$ compatible with Tamagawa measure on $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ and the exact sequence

$$
\{1\} \rightarrow Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1} \rightarrow Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1} \rightarrow Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)\right) \rightarrow\{1\}
$$

Now suppose that $a$ and $a^{\prime} \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ are conjugate under $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$. Then $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)$ and $Z_{H_{Z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ are inner forms of each other which become isomorphic over $\mathbb{A}$. Moreover the Tamagawa measures on $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ and $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ agree under this isomorphism (use the definition of Tamagawa measure and the discussion in paragraph two on page 631 of [Ko3]). Thus

$$
O_{\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{Al}}(a)}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1}=O_{\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1}
$$

The number of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugacy classes in the $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy class of $a$ in $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is

$$
\# \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)\right)
$$

For the moment let $Z$ (resp. $Z^{\prime}$ ) denote the centre of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ (resp. $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)$ ). Then we have homomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, Z) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)
$$

where as on pages 393 and 394 of [Ko3] we see that

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\# \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)\right)
$$

equals

$$
\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)\right) / \# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\right)
$$

In particular this number only depends on $a$ up to $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy. Thus we may again rewrite the right hand side of the main equality of lemma 9.2 as

$$
(-1)^{h} \kappa_{B} \sum_{z \in \operatorname{PHT}^{(h)}} \sum_{a} O_{\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(\rho \otimes \xi)\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)\right),
$$

where the second sum runs over representatives of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy classes of elements $a \in H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $F(a) \supset M$ (if $\left.z=(M, \widetilde{w},[j])\right)$ and where we use Haar measures on $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}$ and $Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)$ compatible with Tamagawa measure on $Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ and the exact sequence

$$
\{1\} \rightarrow Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1} \rightarrow Z_{H_{z}^{\mathrm{Av}}}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1} \rightarrow Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(a)\right) \rightarrow\{1\}
$$

Consider the following three sets.

1. The set $\operatorname{FP}_{\mathrm{AV}}^{(h)}$ of pairs $(z,[a])$ where $z=(M, \widetilde{w},[j]) \in \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}$ and $[a]$ is a $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy class in $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $F(a) \supset M$.
2. The set $\mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{LA}}^{(h)}$ of triples $\left(z, \widetilde{\tau}_{0},[a]\right)$ where $z=(M, \widetilde{w},[j]) \in \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}, \widetilde{\tau}_{0}$ : $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends $\tau_{0}$ and $[a]$ is a $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{A})$ conjugacy class of elements $a \in H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $F(a) \supset M$ and with $a$ is elliptic in both $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $D_{z, \tilde{w}}^{\times}$. (If $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ drop the $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}$, so that $\mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{LA}}^{(h)}$ simply consists of pairs $(z,[a])$.))
3. The set $\mathrm{FP}^{(h)}$ of equivalence classes of pairs $(a, \widetilde{w})$ where $a \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ is an element which is elliptic in $G(\mathbb{R})$ and where $\widetilde{w}$ is a place of the field $F(a)$ above $w$ such that

$$
(n-h)[F(a): F]=n\left[F(a)_{\widetilde{w}}: F_{w}\right] .
$$

We consider two pairs $(a, \widetilde{w})$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent if $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are conjugate by an element of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which induces an isomorphism $F(a)_{w} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $F\left(a^{\prime}\right)_{w}$ taking $\widetilde{w}$ to $\widetilde{w}^{\prime}$.

If $(a, \widetilde{w}) \in \mathrm{FP}^{(h)}$ then we define an element $\iota(a, \widetilde{w}) \in G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ as follows. We set $\iota(a, \widetilde{w})^{p}=a \in G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$. We set $\iota(a, \widetilde{w})_{p, 0}=\nu(a) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$. If $x \mid u$ is a place of $F$ other than $w$ then we set $\iota(a, \widetilde{w})_{x}=a \in\left(B_{x}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\times}$. We set $\iota(a, \widetilde{w})_{w}^{0}=$ $a \in F(a)_{\widetilde{w}}^{\times} \hookrightarrow D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$. Finally we set $\iota(a, \widetilde{w})_{w}^{e}=a \in \prod_{x} F(a)_{x}^{\times} \subset G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$, where the product is over places $x \neq \widetilde{w}$ of $F(a)$ which divide $w$. Note that $\iota(a, \widetilde{w})$ is well defined up to $G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$-conjugacy.

Our expression for $\operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)$ is in terms of a sum over $\mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{AV}}^{(h)}$. We wish to turn this into a sum over $\mathrm{FP}^{(h)}$. To this end we will consider two maps.

1. The map $\phi: \mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{LA}}^{(h)} \rightarrow \mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{AV}}^{(h)}$ which sends $\left(z, \widetilde{\tau}_{0},[a]\right)$ to the pair $\left(z,\left[a^{\prime}\right]\right)$ where $a^{\prime}$ is an element of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$ conjugate to $a$ in $H_{z}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \cong$ $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$. We will see below that such an $a^{\prime}$ exists and is unique up to $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy.
2. The map $\psi: \mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{LA}}^{(h)} \rightarrow \mathrm{FP}^{(h)}$ which sends $\left(z, \widetilde{\tau}_{0},[a]\right)$ (with $z=(M, \widetilde{w},[j])$ to $\left(\iota_{z}^{\mathrm{LA}}(a), \widetilde{w}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\widetilde{w}^{\prime}$ is the unique place of $M(a)$ above the place $\widetilde{w}$ of $M$.

Lemma 9.3 The map $\phi$ is well defined. It is surjective and the fibre of $(z,[a])$ has $[F(a): F]$ elements, unless $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}, n=2$ and $[F(a): F]=2$ in which case it has 1 element.

Proof: Fix $z=(M, \widetilde{w},[j]) \in \mathrm{PHT}^{(h)}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending $\tau_{0}$. We will give a natural map from conjugacy classes in $H_{z, \widetilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{A})$, which are elliptic in $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $D_{z, \tilde{w}}^{\times}$, to conjugacy classes in $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$ as follows.

1. We use the isomorphism $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \cong H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$, which is canonical up to conjugacy, to associate conjugacy classes in these two groups.
2. We use the isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid u, x \neq \tilde{w}} D_{z, x}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid u, x \neq \tilde{w}} C_{z, x}^{\times},
$$

which is canonical up to conjugacy, to associate conjugacy classes in these two groups.
3. We use the natural identification with elliptic conjugacy classes in $D_{z, \widetilde{w}}^{\times} \cong$ $G L_{n /[M: F]}\left(M_{\widetilde{w}}\right)$ with conjugacy classes in $C_{z, \widetilde{w}}^{\times} .\left(C_{z, \widetilde{w}}\right.$ is a division algebra with centre $M_{\widetilde{w}}$ and dimension $(n /[M: F])^{2}$.)
4. We use the natural map from elliptic conjugacy classes in $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{R})$ to conjugacy classes in its compact mod centre inner form, $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{R})$. This map is surjective. (Elliptic conjugacy classes transfer to any inner form and in $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{R})$ stable conjugacy and conjugacy coincide because $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact mod centre.)
We claim that under this map the preimage of $[a]$ has $\left[\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)\left(a_{\infty}\right)\right.$ : $\left.M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right]$ elements. (Unless $M^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ when it has only 1 element.) If [ $\left.a^{\prime}\right]$ is one such preimage then the other preimages are just the $\left[\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\infty} \times a_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ with $a_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}$ stably conjugate to $a_{\infty}^{\prime}$ in $H_{z, \widetilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus the preimages are in bijection with

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, Z_{H_{z, \tau_{0}}^{\mathrm{L}}}\left(a_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}\right)\right)
$$

Elements of this set also parametrise equivalence classes of $B \otimes_{F}\left(\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R})\left(a_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)\right)$-modules with a $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairing which are equivalent as $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-modules with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairing to $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with its standard pairing, (, ). These in turn are parametrised by sequences of pairs of integers $\left(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}\right)$ for $\tau:\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)\left(a_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\left(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}\right)=\left(n /\left[\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)\left(a_{\infty}^{\prime}\right): F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right], 0\right)$ for all but one such embedding $\tau_{1}$ for which $\left.\tau_{1}\right|_{M}=\widetilde{\tau}_{0}$ and $\left(a_{\tau_{1}}, b_{\tau_{1}}\right)=\left(n /\left[\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)\left(a_{\infty}^{\prime}\right): F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right]-1,1\right)$. Thus the preimage of $[a] \subset H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$ does have $\left[\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right)\left(a_{\infty}\right): M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}\right]$ elements, unless $M^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ when it has only 1 element.

To complete the proof of the lemma it suffices to show that $[a] \subset H_{z, \tau_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{A})$ maps to $\left[a^{\prime}\right] \subset H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{A})$ then $[a]$ contains an element of $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}(\mathbb{Q})$ if and only if [ $a^{\prime}$ ] contains an element of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}(\mathbb{Q})$. This follows from theorem 6.6 of [Ko2] if we can verify that the group $\mathfrak{R}(I / \mathbb{Q})$ of that paper vanishes for every centraliser $I$ of a semi-simple element in $H(\mathbb{Q})$ where $H / \mathbb{Q}$ is a quasi-split inner form of $H_{z}^{\mathrm{AV}}$ (and hence of $H_{z, \tilde{\tau}_{0}}^{\mathrm{LA}}$ ). This can be verified as in lemma 2 of [Ko4]. Alternatively one can note that it fits in an exact sequence

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, I) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{R}(I / \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A(I) \rightarrow A(H) \rightarrow(0)
$$

(combine section 4.6 of [Ko2] with the definition of $A(H)$ and $A(I)$ and the isomorphism 4.2 .2 of $[\mathrm{Kol}]$ ). If $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is odd then a direct calculation shows that $A(H)=A(I)=(0)$, while if $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is even then the morphism $A(I) \rightarrow A(H)$ is the unique isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand the map $\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, I) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H)$ is surjective because (arguing as on pages 393 and 394 of [Ko3] we see that) the composite

$$
\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, Z(H)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, Z(I)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, I) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, H)
$$

(where $Z(H)$ (resp. $Z(I))$ denotes the centre of $H$ (resp. $I)$ ) is an isomorphism. Thus $\mathfrak{R}(I / \mathbb{Q})=(0)$.

Lemma 9.4 The map $\psi$ is a bijection

$$
\psi: \mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{LA}}^{(h)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{FP}^{(h)} .
$$

Proof: Suppose $(a, \widetilde{w})$ represents an element of $\mathrm{FP}^{(h)}$. Let $M$ denote the minimal subfield of $F(a)$ which contains $F$ and for which $\left.\widetilde{w}\right|_{M}$ is inert in $F(a)$. (It is an exercise in the splitting of primes in number fields to show such a unique minimal subfield exists.) Let $j: M \hookrightarrow B^{\mathrm{op}}$ be the tautological embedding. Then the equivalence class of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ as a $B \otimes_{F} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$-module (via $j$ ) with $* \otimes c$-Hermitian $\mathbb{R}$-alternating pairing has invariants ( $a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}$ ) (for $\left.\tau: M^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $\left(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}\right)=(n /[M: F], 0)$ for all $\tau$ except one for which we get $(n /[M: F]-1,1)$. Call this exceptional embedding $\widetilde{\tau}_{0}$. Its restriction to $F^{+}$is $\tau_{0}$. Then $\left(\left(M,\left.\widetilde{w}\right|_{M},[j]\right), \widetilde{\tau}_{0},[a]\right)$ is a point of $\mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{LA}}^{(h)}$ mapping to the class of $(a, \widetilde{w})$ and it is unique. The lemma follows. (If $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2 \operatorname{drop} \widetilde{\tau}_{0}$, which is no longer well defined.)

Putting these results together we get the following formula for the trace of $\varphi$ on $H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$.

Proposition 9.5 Suppose that $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)^{+} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}}, n-h}^{\times}\right)$is acceptable, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)=(-1)^{h} \kappa_{B} \sum_{[(a, \widetilde{w})] \in \mathrm{FP}^{(h)}} \\
& {[F(a): F]^{-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}\right)^{-1} O_{\iota(a)}^{G^{(h)}}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{tr}(\rho \otimes \xi)(\iota(a)),}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa_{B}=2$ if $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is even and $\kappa_{B}=1$ otherwise. (If $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ we must drop the $[F(a): F]^{-1}$ term.)

This sum is finite and all the terms occurring are finite numbers.
We choose measures on $Z_{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(a)$ and on $Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}$ compatible with

- Tamagawa measure on $Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$,
- the exact sequence

$$
\{1\} \longrightarrow Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1} \longrightarrow Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{A})^{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{G}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow\{1\}
$$

- the association of measures on $Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}$ and $Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})^{1}$ (see page 631 of [Ko5]),
- the association of measures on

$$
Z_{\left(B_{w}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \times}(a) \cong \prod_{x \mid w} G L_{n /[F(a): F]}\left(F(a)_{x}\right)
$$

and on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(\iota(a)) \cong \\
& \left.D_{F(a)_{\tilde{w}},(n-h) /[F(a)}^{\times}: F_{w}\right]
\end{aligned} \times \prod_{x \mid w, x \neq \widetilde{w}} G L_{h /\left([F(a): F]-\left[F(a)_{\tilde{w}}: F_{w}\right]\right)}\left(F(a)_{x}\right)
$$

(see page 631 of [Ko5]),

- and the isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{G}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \cong \\
& Z_{G}(a)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times Z_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(\iota(a)) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r} Z_{\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)} \times(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 10 The cohomology of Igusa varieties

In this section we compute $H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$ in terms of $H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$. We will use results and notation from appendix IV without comment.

For $h=0, \ldots, n-1$ let $P_{h} \subset G L_{n}$ denote the parabolic subgroup consisting of block upper triangular matrices with an $(n-h) \times(n-h)$-block in the top left hand corner and an $(h \times h)$-block in the bottom right hand corner. We will let $P_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ denote the opposite parabolic (lower triangular matrices with the same block structure). Also let $N_{h}$ (resp. $N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ ) denote the unipotent radical of $P_{h}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$ and $L_{h}$ denote the Levi component $P_{h} \cap P_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}\left(\cong G L_{n-h} \times G L_{h}\right)$.

Fix an irreducible admissible representation $\rho$ of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$. We will define a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}: \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

as follows.
First we have a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

which sends $[\pi]$ to $\left[J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}(\pi) \otimes \delta_{P_{h}}^{1 / 2}\right]$ (see appendix I). Secondly we have a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

which sends $[\alpha \otimes \beta]$ to

$$
\sum_{\psi} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \alpha\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee} \otimes \psi\right)}\right)[\psi \otimes \beta]
$$

where $\psi$ runs over characters of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}$so that $\alpha$ and $\rho^{\vee} \otimes \psi$ have the same central character, and where we use associated measures on $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$. (That this second map is well defined follows from corollary IV.5.) $\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}$ will denote the composite.

The homomorphism $\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}$ extends naturally to a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}: \operatorname{Groth}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)
$$

We will need a couple of lemmas in local harmonic analysis. It is convenient to fix

- the Haar measure on $F_{w}^{\times}$giving $\mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{\times}$volume 1,
- the Haar measure on $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$giving $\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{W}, n-h}}^{\times}$volume 1,
- and the Haar measure on $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ associated to our choice of Haar measure on $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$.

Lemma 10.1 Suppose that $\varphi^{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F_{w, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$. Then we can find an element $\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$ with the following properties.

1. If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ then

$$
\left.\operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right)=\sum_{\psi} \operatorname{tr} \psi\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}(\rho \vee} \otimes \psi\right)\right)
$$

where $\psi$ runs over characters of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}$so that $\alpha$ and $\rho^{\vee} \otimes \psi$ have the same central character.
2. If $g \in G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ is a non-elliptic semi-simple element then

$$
O_{g}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

3. If $g \in G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ is an elliptic semi-simple element and if $\widetilde{g} \in D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$ is an element with the same characteristic polynomial then

$$
O_{g}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right)=(-1)^{(n-h)\left(1-\left[F_{w}(g): F_{w}\right]^{-1}\right)} O_{\widetilde{g}}^{D_{F w, n-h}^{\times}}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \operatorname{tr} \rho(\widetilde{g})
$$

4. If $g \in G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ is in the support of $\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)$ and if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $g$ then $(n-h) w(\lambda)$ is in the image under $w \circ$ det of the support of $\varphi^{0}$.

Proof: Via $w \circ$ det : $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F w, n-h}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$ we may and we shall think of $\varphi^{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$. We will let $A^{0}$ denote the set of elements of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ all whose eigenvalues have $w$-valuation in the image under $(n-h)^{-1} w \circ$ det of the support of $\varphi^{0}$. We will let $A_{m} \subset G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ denote $(w \circ \operatorname{det})^{-1}(\{m\})$. We then set

$$
\operatorname{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)=\left(\varphi^{0} \circ w \circ \operatorname{det}\right) \varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)} \operatorname{char}_{A^{0}}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}=\left(\varphi^{0} \circ w \circ \operatorname{det}\right) \varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)} .
$$

Note that by definition $\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)$ has property 4 of the lemma.
It follows from lemma IV. 1 that for a non-elliptic regular semi-simple element $g \in G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ we have

$$
O_{g}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(\widetilde{\varphi})=0
$$

while if $g \in G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ is a elliptic regular semi-simple element and if $\widetilde{g} \in$ $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$is an element with the same characteristic polynomial then

$$
O_{g}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(\widetilde{\varphi})=(-1)^{n-h-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / Z_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}}(\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi^{0}(\widetilde{g}) \operatorname{tr} \rho(\widetilde{g})
$$

Parts 2 and 3 of the lemma follow (because the valuation of all eigenvalues of an elliptic element of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ are equal).

It also follows that at all regular semi-simple elements of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ the functions $\widetilde{\varphi}$ and $\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)$ have the same orbital integrals. Hence by theorem 2 f of appendix 1 of $[\mathrm{DKV}]$ we see that for any admissible representation $\pi$ of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ we have

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi(\widetilde{\varphi})=\operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right)
$$

Thus it suffices to prove the first part of the lemma with $\widetilde{\varphi}$ replacing $\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)$.
Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ with central character $\psi_{\pi}$. Also let $\psi_{\rho}$ denote the central character of $\rho$. If $\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}^{\times}} \neq\left.\psi_{\rho}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}^{\times}} ^{-1}$ then $\operatorname{tr} \pi(\widetilde{\varphi})=0$ as desired. Thus suppose that $\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{F w}} ^{\times}=$ $\left.\psi_{\rho}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}^{\times}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \pi(\widetilde{\varphi}) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{0}(x) \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)} \operatorname{char}_{A_{x}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-h} \sum_{x \equiv i \bmod n-h} \varphi^{0}(x)\left(\psi_{\pi} \psi_{\rho}\right)((x-i) /(n-h)) \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)} \operatorname{char}_{A_{i}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-h-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}(n-h)^{-1} \sum_{\psi^{n-h}=\psi_{\pi} \psi_{\rho}} \varphi^{0}(x) \psi(x-i) \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)} \operatorname{char}_{A_{i}}\right) \\
&=(n-h)^{-1} \sum_{\psi^{n-h}}=\psi_{\pi} \psi_{\rho} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{0}(x) \psi(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-h-1} \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right) \otimes \psi} \operatorname{char}_{A_{i}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\psi^{n-h}=\psi_{\pi} \psi_{\rho}} \operatorname{tr} \psi\left(\varphi^{0}\right)(n-h)^{-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right) \otimes \psi}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\psi^{n-h}=\psi_{\pi} \psi_{\rho}} \operatorname{tr} \psi\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right) \otimes \psi}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma follows.

Lemma 10.2 Let $\rho$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$. Suppose that $\varphi^{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F w, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$and that $\varphi^{e} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$. Suppose moreover that if $g^{0}$ (resp. $g^{e}$ ) is in the support of $\varphi^{0}$ (resp. $\varphi^{e}$ ) then the $p$ adic valuation of every eigenvalue of $g^{0}$ is strictly less than the p-adic valuation of every eigenvalue of $g^{e}$. Also fix Haar measures $\mu_{n}$ and $\mu_{h}$ on $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and $G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Then we can find a function $\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$ with the following properties.

1. Let $g \in G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ be a semi-simple element. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O_{g}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right)= \\
& (-1)^{n-h-1} \sum_{\left(g^{0}, g^{e}\right)} O_{g^{e}}^{G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\varphi^{e}\right) O_{g^{0}}^{D_{F}^{\times}, n-h}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \operatorname{tr} \rho\left(g^{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(g^{0}, g^{e}\right)$ runs over a set of representatives for conjugacy classes in $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ such that, if $\widetilde{g}^{0}$ denotes a semi-simple element of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ with the same characteristic polynomial as $g^{0}$, then $\left(\widetilde{g}^{0}, g^{e}\right)$ is conjugate to $g$ in $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Whenever $\left(\operatorname{tr} \rho\left(g^{0}\right)\right) O_{g^{e}}^{G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\varphi^{e}\right) \neq 0$ we see that $Z_{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\widetilde{g}^{0} \times g^{e}\right)=Z_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\widetilde{g}^{0}, g^{e}\right)$ and we take corresponding measures on these two groups in the two sides of this equality of orbital integrals.
2. If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and if

$$
\left[J_{N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\pi) \otimes \delta_{P_{h}}^{1 / 2}\right]=\sum_{\alpha, \beta} m_{\alpha, \beta}[\alpha \otimes \beta]
$$

with $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) running over irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ (resp. $G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ ) and with $m_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and almost all 0 ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right)= \\
& =\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \psi} \operatorname{tr} \psi\left(\varphi^{0}\right) m_{\alpha, \beta} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \alpha\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee} \otimes \psi\right)}\right) \operatorname{tr} \beta\left(\varphi^{e}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$, resp. $\psi$ ) running over irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ (resp. $G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$, resp. $\left.D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F_{w}, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$.

Proof: The choice of measure $\mu_{h}$ on $G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and our fixed choice of Haar measure on $G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ determine a Haar measure on $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$.

Let $\mathfrak{E}^{0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{E}^{e}$ ) denote the set of $p$-adic valuations of elements in the support of $\varphi^{0}$ (resp. $\varphi^{e}$ ). By our assumptions $\mathfrak{E}^{0}$ and $\mathfrak{E}^{e}$ are disjoint finite
sets. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the set of elements $g^{0} \times g^{e} \in L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ such that the $p$-adic valuations all the eigenvalues of $g^{0}$ lie in $\mathfrak{E}^{0}$ and the $p$-adic valuations of all the eigenvalues of $g^{e}$ lie in $\mathfrak{E}^{e}$. If $g \in \mathfrak{S}$ then $Z_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}(g)=Z_{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(g)$. By lemma 10.1 $\mathfrak{S}$ contains the support of $\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}$.

Define a function on $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ by

$$
W(g)=\sum_{g^{\prime}} O_{g^{\prime}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}\right)
$$

where the sum is over sets of representatives of $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-conjugacy classes contained in the $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ conjugacy class of $g$. We will show that this function satisfies the hypotheses of theorem B of section 1.n. of [V1]. It will then follow from that theorem that there is a function

$$
\operatorname{IPC}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

such that for all $g \in G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ we have

$$
O_{g}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\operatorname{IPC}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right)=\sum_{g^{\prime}} O_{g^{\prime}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}\right),
$$

where the sum is over sets of representatives of $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-conjugacy classes contained in the $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ conjugacy class of $g$, where we use our fixed measures on $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$, and where whenever $O_{g^{\prime}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}\right) \neq 0$ we use conjugate measures on the conjugate groups $Z_{G L_{n}}(g)\left(F_{w}\right)$ and $Z_{L_{h}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left(F_{w}\right)$. The first part of the lemma will then follow from this and lemma 10.1.

First note that $W$ is clearly invariant under conjugation.
Now suppose that $(T, u)$ is a standard pair (for $\left.G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$ in the sense of section 1.m of [V1]. If $t \in T$ we will let $\mathfrak{E}(t)$ denote the multiset of $p$-adic valuations of eigenvalues of $t$. We will also let $\mathfrak{E}^{0}(t)$ (resp. $\left.\mathfrak{E}^{e}(t)\right)$ denote the submultiset of $\mathfrak{E}(t)$ consisting of elements which also lie in $\mathfrak{E}^{0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{E}^{e}$ ). Note that $t u$ is conjugate to an element of $\mathfrak{S}$ if and only if $t$ is conjugate to an element of $\mathfrak{S}$ if and only if $\# \mathfrak{E}^{0}=n-h$ and $\# \mathfrak{E}^{e}=h$. In particular the support of $W$ in $T u$ is contained in the set $t u$ such that the $p$-adic valuation of all the eigenvalues of $t$ lie in the finite set $\mathfrak{E}^{0} \cup \mathfrak{E}^{e}$. Hence $W$ has compact support in Tu.

We will let $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ denote the set of elements $t \in T$ which are conjugate to an element of $\mathfrak{S}$. If $s \in \mathfrak{S}(T)$ and $g s g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}$ then

$$
g T u g^{-1} \subset Z_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(g t g^{-1}\right) \subset L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)
$$

If $t \in T \cap g^{-1} \mathfrak{S} g$ then

$$
W(t u)=O_{g t u g^{-1}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}\right)
$$

In particular if $s$ is a regular element of $T$ (in the sense of section 1.l. of [V1]) then we see that $W$ is constant in some neighbourhood of $s$ (by, for instance, theorem A of section 1.n. of [V1]).

Suppose $s \in T$. Let $u_{1}=u, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ be unipotent elements of $Z_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}(s)$ such that

- for all $i$ the $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$-orbit of $s u_{i}$ is open in the union of the $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ orbits of $s u_{j}$ for $j \leq i$;
- and for any unipotent element $v \in Z_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}(s)$, su is in the closure of the $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$-orbit of $s v$ if and only if $s v$ is $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ conjugate to some $s u_{j}$.
(See section 1.j. of [V1].) Fix a Haar measure on $Z_{G L_{n}}(s)\left(F_{w}\right)$. This determines a canonical Haar measure on each $Z_{G L_{n}}\left(s u_{j}\right)\left(F_{w}\right)$ (see section 1.d. of [V1]). Then we can choose functions $f_{1}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}, \ldots, f_{m}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$ such that
- for $j<i$ the support of $f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}$ does not meet the $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$-orbit of $s u_{j}$,
- if $j \neq i$ then $O_{s u_{j}}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)=0$,
- and $O_{s u_{i}}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)=1$.
(See section 1.k. of [V1].) To verify the hypotheses of theorem B of section 1.n. of [V1] it remains to show that there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $s$ in $T$ such that for all $t \in V$ which are regular in $T$ (in the sense of section 1.l. of [V1]) we have the equality

$$
W(t u)=\sum_{i} W\left(s u_{i}\right) O_{t u}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)
$$

(This equality is independent of the choice of Haar measure on $Z_{G L_{n}}(t u)\left(F_{w}\right)$ as long as in the case $W(t u) \neq 0$ we choose the conjugate Haar measure on $Z_{L_{h}}\left(a t u a^{-1}\right)\left(F_{w}\right)=a Z_{G L_{n}}(t u)\left(F_{w}\right) a^{-1}$, where $\left.a t u a^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}.\right)$

First suppose that $s \notin \mathfrak{S}(T)$. Then each $W\left(s u_{i}\right)=0$. On the other hand we can find a neighbourhood $V$ of $s$ in $T$ such that if $t \in V$ then $\mathfrak{E}(t)=\mathfrak{E}(s)$. Then $V \cap \mathfrak{S}(T)=\emptyset$ and so for any $t \in V$ we have $W(t u)=0$ and the desired equality holds.

Now suppose that $s \in \mathfrak{S}(T)$ and that $g s g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}$. Replacing $T$ by $g T g^{-1}, s$ by $g s g^{-1}$ and $u$ by $g u g^{-1}$ we may suppose that $T \subset L_{h}, s \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $u \in L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Because $s \in \mathfrak{S}$ we see

- that for each $i, u_{i} \in L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$;
- that for all $i$ the $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-orbit of $s u_{i}$ is open in the union of the $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ orbits of the $s u_{j}$ for $j \leq i$;
- and that for any unipotent element $v \in Z_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}(s)$, su is in the closure of the $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-orbit of $s v$ if and only if $s v$ is $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-conjugate to some $s u_{j}$.

It follows from lemma 2.5. of [V1] that we can find a neighbourhood $V$ of $s$ in $T$ such that

- $V \subset \mathfrak{S}$
- and for any compact set $A \subset G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ one can find a compact set $C \subset$ $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \backslash G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ such that, if $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) g \notin C$ then for each $i=1, \ldots, m$

$$
g^{-1} V u_{i} g \cap A=\emptyset .
$$

As in section 2.5. of [V1] it follows that we can find $h \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)} h(x g) d x
$$

is 1 if $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) g \in C$ and 0 otherwise. If we set

$$
f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(g)=\int_{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)} h(x) f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(x^{-1} g x\right) d x
$$

then we see that

- $f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$,
- for $j<i$ the support of $f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}$ does not meet the $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-orbit of $s u_{j}$,
- for $t \in V$ and for any $i, j=1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$
O_{t u_{j}}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)=O_{t u_{j}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)
$$

(argue as page 954 of [V1]),

- if $j \neq i$ then $O_{s u_{j}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)=0$,
- and $O_{s u_{i}}^{L_{L}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right)=1$.

Using theorem A of section 1.n. of [V1] we see that for $t \in V$ which is also regular as an element of $T$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(t u) & =\sum_{i} O_{s u_{i}}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}\right) O_{t u}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i} W\left(s u_{i}\right) O_{t u}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(f_{i}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma.
For the second part, the Weyl integration formula tells us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right)=\sum_{T}\left(\# W_{G}(T)\right)^{-1} \\
& \int_{T^{\mathrm{reg}}} D_{G}(t) O_{t}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right) \chi_{\pi}(t) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $T$ runs over $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$-conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$,
- $W_{G}(T)$ denotes the normaliser of $T$ in $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ modulo $T$,
- $T^{\mathrm{reg}}$ denotes the subset of regular elements of $T$,
- $D_{G}(t)=\mid \operatorname{det}((\operatorname{ad}(t)-1) \mid$ Lieg/LieT $) \mid$.
(See for instance section A.3.f of [DKV] and note that $\chi_{\pi}$ is locally integrable.) By the first part of the lemma this can be rewritten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right)=\sum_{T}\left(\# W_{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(T)\right)^{-1} \\
& \int_{T^{\mathrm{reg}}} D_{G}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right) O_{t^{0}}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right) O_{t^{e}}^{G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\varphi^{e}\right) \chi_{\rho}(t) d t^{0} d t^{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

where now

- $T$ runs over $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$-conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$,
- and $W_{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(T)$ denotes the normaliser of $T$ in $L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)$ modulo $T$.

Let $P_{t^{0} \times t^{e}}$ denote the parabolic associated to $t^{0} \times t^{e}$ as in section 2 of [Cas]. If $P_{t^{0} \times t^{e}}$ is not a subset of $P_{h}^{\text {op }}$ then by the assumption on the supports of $\varphi^{0}$ and $\varphi^{e}$ and by lemma 10.1 we see that

$$
O_{t^{0}}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right) O_{t^{e}}^{G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\varphi^{e}\right)=0
$$

If on the other hand $P_{t^{0} \times t^{e}} \subset P_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ then

- $D_{G}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right)=D_{L_{h}}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right) \delta_{P_{h}}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right)$ (from the definitions)
- and $\chi_{\pi}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right)=\chi_{\pi_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right)($ by theorem 5.2 of [Cas] $)$.

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{T}\left(\# W_{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}(T)\right)^{-1} \\
& \int_{T^{\mathrm{reg}}} D_{L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(t^{0} \times t^{e}\right) O_{t^{0}}^{G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right) O_{t^{e}}^{G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\varphi^{e}\right) \chi_{\pi_{N_{h}}^{\mathrm{op}}}(t) \delta_{P_{h}}(t) d t \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left(J_{N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\pi) \otimes \delta_{P_{h}}^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}\right) \times \varphi^{e}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the second part of the lemma follows.
Corollary 10.3 If $\pi$ is an admissible representation of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and if $\varphi^{0}$, $\varphi^{e}, \mu_{n}$ and $\mu_{h}$ are as in the lemma then

$$
\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}(\pi)\left(\varphi^{0} \times \varphi^{e}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right)
$$

We can now prove our second main theorem.

## Theorem 10.4

$$
\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{\rho}^{\times}}\right]=n\left[H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{F}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]
$$

in $\operatorname{Groth}\left(G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)$.
Proof: By lemma 9.1, it suffices to check that for any

- $\varphi^{p} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}\right)$,
- $\varphi^{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F w, n-h}}^{\times}\right)$,
- and $\varphi^{e} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)$,
such that $\varphi=\varphi^{w} \times \varphi^{0} \times \varphi^{e}$ is acceptable, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}\left(H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)\right)(\varphi)=n \operatorname{tr} H_{c}\left(I^{(h)}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\rho}\right)(\varphi)
$$

(Note that, if $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi_{0}, \ldots, \psi_{m}$ are characters of $\mathbb{Z}$ we can a function $\varphi^{\prime} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{>C}\right)$ such that $\psi_{0}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=1$ but $\psi_{i}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.)

But by corollary 10.3, proposition VII. 1 and lemma 10.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}\left(H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)\right)(\varphi) \\
= & \operatorname{tr} H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\left(\varphi^{w} \times \operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{n} \kappa_{B} n \sum_{a}[F(a): F]^{-1}(-1)^{n /[F(a): F]} \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& O_{a}^{G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}\left(\varphi^{w} \times \operatorname{IPC}_{\rho}\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{e} ; \mu_{n}, \mu_{h}\right)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{h} \kappa_{B} n \sum_{[(a, \widetilde{w})] \in \mathrm{FP}^{(h)}[F(a): F]^{-1}(-1)^{n /[F(a): F]-(n-h) /\left[F(a) \widetilde{w}: F_{w}\right]}} \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(a)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}\right)^{-1} O_{\iota(a)}^{G^{(h)}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{tr}(\rho \otimes \xi)(\iota(a)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we drop the term $[F(a): F]^{-1}$ if $n=2$ and $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$. Here $\kappa_{B}=2$ if $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is even and $=1$ otherwise and the choices of measures are as in proposition 9.5. Comparing this formula with proposition 9.5, the trace identity and hence the theorem follows.

## 11 The main theorems

To state our third main theorem let us establish a little more notation. Let

$$
\operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}: \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

to be the composite of the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \\
{[\pi] } & \longmapsto\left[J_{N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\pi) \otimes \delta_{P_{h}}^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the map

$$
\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n-h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)\right)
$$

which sends $[\alpha \otimes \beta]$ to

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \alpha\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)}\right)[\beta]
$$

if the product of the central characters of $\alpha$ and $\rho$ is 1 and sends $[\alpha \otimes \beta]$ to 0 otherwise. Then $\operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}$ extends to a homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Groth}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{Red}_{\rho}^{(h)}(\pi)=\sum_{\psi} \psi \otimes \operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}(\pi),
$$

where $\psi$ runs over characters of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{D_{F w, n-h}}^{\times}$.
Combining theorems 7.9 and 10.4 we at once obtain the following result.
Theorem 11.1 In $\operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}\right)$ we have

$$
n\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{\rho}^{\times}}\right]=\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\rho} \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)} \operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{\rho}^{\times}}\right] *_{\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|}\left[\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}(\rho)\right]
$$

where $\rho$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$and where $\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|: W_{F_{w}} \rightarrow p^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$.

Let us rephrase this theorem another way. Define
$\operatorname{n-red} \rho_{\rho}^{(h)}: \operatorname{Groth}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(B_{w_{i}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}\right)$
in the same manner we defined $\operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}$ except that we replace $J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}(\pi) \otimes \delta_{P_{h}}^{1 / 2}$ by simply $J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}(\pi)$. We may decompose

$$
\left[H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right]=\sum_{\pi}[\pi]\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]
$$

where $\pi$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and where $\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right] \in \operatorname{Groth}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F\right)\right)$. We will need the following lemma, which follows from lemma 4.1 and corollary VI.2.

Lemma 11.2 Suppose that $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are irreducible admissible representations of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that

- $\pi^{p} \cong\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{p}$,
- $\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right] \neq 0$,
- and $\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right] \neq 0$.

Then $\pi_{p} \cong \pi_{p}^{\prime}$.
Then we have the following reformulation of theorem 11.1.
Theorem 11.3 Suppose that $\pi=\pi^{p} \times \pi_{p, 0} \times \pi_{w_{1}} \times \ldots \times \pi_{w_{r}}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that $\left.\pi_{p, 0}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1$. Then in $\operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left[\pi_{w}\right]\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]= & \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]\right) \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\rho} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}}^{G F_{w}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\left(\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}\left[\pi_{w}\right]\right)\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\left.\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}(\rho) \otimes\left(\left(\pi_{p, 0}^{-1} \otimes| |_{p}^{-h / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]\right) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$.
As a special case we get the following consequence.
Corollary 11.4 Suppose that $\pi=\pi^{p} \times \pi_{p, 0} \times \pi_{w_{1}} \times \ldots \times \pi_{w_{r}}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that $\left.\pi_{p, 0}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1$ and $\pi_{w}$ is supercuspidal. Then in $\operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}\right)$ we have

$$
n\left[\pi_{w}\right]\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]=\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]\right)\left[\left.\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi_{w}\right)^{\vee}\right) \otimes\left(\pi_{p, 0}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right] .
$$

Proof: Use the following facts.

- If $\pi_{w}$ is supercuspidal and if $N$ is the unipotent radical of a proper parabolic subgroup of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ then $J_{N}\left(\pi_{w}\right)=(0)$.
- If $\pi_{w}$ is a supercuspidal representation of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ and if $\pi_{w}^{\prime}$ is a square integrable representation of $G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)$ with the same central character, then it follows from the results listed in appendix IV that $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{w}\left(\varphi_{\pi_{w}^{\prime}}\right)=0$ unless $\pi_{w}^{\prime} \cong \pi_{w}$ in which case it equals $\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)$.

Theorem 11.5 Suppose that $K$ is a p-adic field and $l \neq p$ is a prime. Suppose that $\pi$ is a supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then there is a continuous semi-simple representation

$$
r_{l}(\pi): W_{K} \longrightarrow G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left[\Psi_{K, l, g}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)\right)\right]=\left[\pi \otimes r_{l}(\pi)\right] .
$$

Proof: Choose $\left(E, F^{+}, w, B, *, \tau_{0}, \beta, \Lambda_{i}, \xi\right)$ as in section 1 and such that

- $F_{w}^{+} \cong K$,
- $[B: F]=g^{2}$,
- and $\xi$ is sufficiently regular that $H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)=(0)$ for $i \neq g-1$ (see the last paragraph of section 1 of $[\mathrm{Ko4} 4]$ for the existence of such a $\xi$ ).

By corollary VII. 2 we may choose an irreducible admissible representation $\widetilde{\pi}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that

- $\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right] \neq 0$,
- $\widetilde{\pi}_{w} \cong \pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})$ for some unramified character $\psi$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$,
- and $\left.\pi_{p, 0}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1$.

By the previous corollary we see that in $\operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(G L_{g}(K) \times W_{K}\right)$ we have

$$
g\left[\left.\widetilde{\pi}_{w} \otimes R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right|_{W_{K}}\right]=\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right)\left[\left.\Psi_{K, l, g}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{w}^{\vee}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right] .
$$

Thus, if we set

$$
\left[r_{l}(\pi)\right]=g\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\left.\left.R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right|_{W_{K}} \otimes\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}} \otimes\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right)\right],
$$

we see that

$$
\left[r_{l}(\pi)\right] \in \operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left[\Psi_{K, l, g}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)\right)\right]=[\pi]\left[r_{l}(\pi)\right] .
$$

Clearly $\operatorname{dim}\left[r_{l}(\pi)\right]=g$. Finally by our assumption on $\xi$, we see that $R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})$ is a true representation and hence $\left[r_{l}(\pi)\right]$ can also be represented by a true representation $r_{l}(\pi)$.

The following lemma follows from the definitions, from lemma 3.7 and from lemma 3.8.

Lemma 11.6 Suppose that $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are $p$-adic fields and $l \neq p$ is a prime.

1. If $\sigma: K \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-algebras then for any irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{g}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ \sigma\right)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

where, if we fix an extension $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ to an isomorphism $\widetilde{\sigma}: K^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{a c}$, then $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}(x)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\left(\widetilde{\sigma} x \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\right)$.
2. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)$ and if $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ then

$$
r_{l}(\sigma(\pi))=\sigma\left(r_{l}(\pi)\right) .
$$

3. If $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ and if $\psi$ is a character of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$then

$$
r_{l}(\pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det}))=r_{l}(\pi) \otimes\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right) .
$$

4. If $\pi$ is a character of $K^{\times}$then $r_{l}(\pi)=\pi^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}$.

For the rest of this section we will use without comment the notation established in appendix IV.

Theorem 11.7 Suppose that $K$ is a p-adic field and $l \neq p$ is a prime. Suppose also that $s$ and $g$ are positive integers and that $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Psi_{K, l, g s}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)^{\vee}\right)\right)\right]=} \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{s}(-1)^{s-j}\left[\mathrm{Sp}_{j}(\pi) \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right] \\
& \quad\left[r_{l}\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{g(1-s) / 2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We will argue by induction on $s$. The case $s=1$ is just the previous theorem. Thus suppose the theorem is proved for all $s^{\prime}<s$.

Choose ( $\left.E, F^{+}, w, B, *, \tau_{0}, \beta, \Lambda_{i}, \xi\right)$ as in section 1 and such that

- $F_{w}^{+} \cong K$,
- and $[B: F]=g^{2} s^{2}$.

By corollary VII. 2 we may choose an irreducible admissible representation $\widetilde{\pi}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that

- $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right] \neq 0$,
- $\widetilde{\pi}_{w} \cong \operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi) \otimes(\psi \otimes \operatorname{det})$ for some character $\psi$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$,
- and $\left.\pi_{p, 0}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1$.

If we write $\pi^{\prime}$ for $\pi \otimes(\psi \circ$ det $)$, then by theorem 11.3 and lemma IV. 3 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g s\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]= \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right) \sum_{h^{\prime}=0}^{s-1} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{g h^{\prime}}(K)}^{G L_{s g}(K)}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-h^{\prime}}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\left.\Psi_{K, l, g\left(s-h^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s-h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0}^{-1} \otimes| |_{p}^{-g h^{\prime} / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inductive hypothesis we may rewrite this

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g s\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi}) \otimes\left(\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0} \otimes| |_{p}^{g(s-1) / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right]= \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right)\left[\Psi_{K, l, g s}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}\right)\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}^{-1}\right| g(s-1) / 2\right]+ \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right) \sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s-h^{\prime}}(-1)^{s-h^{\prime}-j} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h_{g},(K)}^{G L_{s}(K)}}^{G(K)}\left[\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{j}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right) \boxplus\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\ldots \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-h^{\prime}-1}\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-h^{\prime}}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By lemma IV. 2 this can be rewritten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Psi_{K, l, g s}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}\right)\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{g(s-1) / 2}\right]=} \\
& g s\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi}) \otimes\left(\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0} \otimes| |_{p}^{g(s-1) / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right]- \\
& \sum_{h^{\prime \prime}=1}^{s-1}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1-h^{\prime}}\right)\right]- \\
& \sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{s=1} \sum_{j=1-h^{\prime}}^{s-1}(-1)^{s-h^{\prime}-j}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s-1-h^{\prime}-j, h^{\prime}+1}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{j-1}\right)\right]- \\
& \sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s-h^{\prime}}(-1)^{s-h^{\prime}-j}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s-h^{\prime}-j, h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right)\right]= \\
& g s\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi}) \otimes\left(\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0} \otimes| |_{p}^{g(s-1) / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{-1}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right]- \\
& \sum_{h_{p}=1}^{s-1}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1-h^{\prime}}\right)\right]+ \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} \sum_{h^{\prime \prime}=2}^{s-j}(-1)^{s-h^{\prime \prime}-j}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s-h^{\prime}-j, h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\left.\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|\right|^{j-1}\right)\right]- \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{s-j}(-1)^{s-h^{\prime}-j}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s-h^{\prime}-j, h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right)\right]= \\
& g s\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi})\right]\right)^{-1}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[R_{\xi}(\widetilde{\pi}) \otimes\left(\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0} \otimes| |_{p}^{g(s-1) / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)_{W_{K}}\right]- \\
& \sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{s-1}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1-h^{\prime}}\right)\right]+ \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{s-1}(-1)^{s-j}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s-1-j, 1}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right)\right]= \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{j}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right) \boxplus \ldots \otimes\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right)\right]+ \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[A^{\prime}\right],}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\left[A^{\prime}\right] \in \operatorname{Groth}\left(W_{K}\right)$. Then by lemma 3.7 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Psi_{K, l, g s}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)^{\vee}\right)\right)\right]=} \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{j}(\pi) \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{g(1-s) / 2}\right]+\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)\right][A]}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $[A] \in \operatorname{Groth}\left(W_{K}\right)$.
It remains to show that $[A]=0$. By lemma VII. 4 we may choose an irreducible admissible representation $\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that

- $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right] \neq 0$,
- $\widetilde{\pi}_{w}^{\prime} \cong\left(\pi \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)^{-1}$ for some character $\psi^{\prime}$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$,
- and $\left.\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0}^{\prime}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1$.

Now let $\pi^{\prime}$ denote $\pi \otimes\left(\psi^{\prime} \circ \text { det }\right)^{-1}$. By theorem 11.3 and lemma IV. 3 we also see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g s\left[\pi^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right]\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]= \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \sum_{h^{\prime}=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{s-1-h^{\prime}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{g h^{\prime}}(K)}^{G L_{g s}(K)}}\left[\left(\pi^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}-1}\right)\right) \times\right. \\
& \Psi_{K, l, g\left(s-h^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s-h^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}}\right)^{V^{\prime}}\right)\right) \otimes \\
& \left.\left.\left(\left(\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, 0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \otimes| |_{p}^{-g h^{\prime} / 2}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}^{-1}{ }_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inductive hypothesis and what we have already proved, this can be rewritten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g s\left[\pi^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right]\left[\left.\left.R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{W_{K}} \otimes\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, o}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right]= \\
& (-1)^{s-1}\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[A \otimes\left(\psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right]+ \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \sum_{h^{\prime}=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s-h^{\prime}}(-1)^{j-1} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{g h^{\prime}( }(K)}^{G L(K)}\left[\left(\pi^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\left.\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|\right|^{h^{\prime}-1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{j}\left(\left.\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|\right|^{h^{\prime}}\right) \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}+j}\right) \boxplus \not \ldots^{\prime} \boxplus \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}+j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{g(1-s) / 2}\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using lemma IV. 2 this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g s\left[\pi^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right]\left[\left.\left.R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{W_{K}} \otimes\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{p, o}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{W_{K}}\right]= \\
& (-1)^{s-1}\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[A \otimes\left(\psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right]+ \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \sum_{h^{\prime}=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s-h^{\prime}}(-1)^{j-1}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{h^{\prime}, j, s-1-h^{\prime}-j}^{\prime}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}| h^{h^{\prime}+j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{g(1-s) / 2}\right]-} \\
& \sum_{h^{\prime \prime}=0}^{s-2} \sum_{j^{\prime}=2}^{s-h^{\prime \prime}}(-1)^{j^{\prime}-1}\left[\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{h^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime}, s-1-h^{\prime \prime}-j^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}| h^{h^{\prime}+j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right| g(1-s) / 2\right]=} \\
& (-1)^{s-1}\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[A \otimes\left(\psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right]+ \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \sum_{h h^{\prime}=0}^{s-1}\left[\pi^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right| g(1-s) / 2\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular we see that $[A]=0$, as desired.
Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then we can find

- a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G L_{n}$ with a Levi component isomorphic to $G L_{g_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{g_{t}}$,
- and an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi_{i}$ of $G L_{g_{i}}(K)$;
such that $\pi$ is a subquotient of

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi_{1} \times \ldots \times \pi_{t}\right)
$$

Moreover the multiset $\left\{\pi_{i}\right\}$ is independent of all choices. Thus we may define

$$
\left[r_{l}(\pi)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{i}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(g_{i}-g\right) / 2}\right]
$$

The following lemma follows at once from lemma 11.6.
Lemma 11.8 Suppose that $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are $p$-adic fields and $l \neq p$ is a prime.

1. If $\sigma: K \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-algebras then for any irreducible admissible representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{g}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ \sigma\right)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

where, if we fix an extension $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ to an isomorphism $\widetilde{\sigma}: K^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{a c}$, then $r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma}(x)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\left(\widetilde{\sigma} x \widetilde{\sigma}^{-1}\right)$.
2. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)$ and if $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ then

$$
r_{l}(\sigma(\pi))=\sigma\left(r_{l}(\pi)\right)
$$

3. If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ and if $\psi$ is a character of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$then

$$
r_{l}(\pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det}))=r_{l}(\pi) \otimes\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right)
$$

We now return to the analysis of $\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F w}}\right]$.
Theorem 11.9 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. Then

$$
n\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]=\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]\right)\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\pi_{p, 0} \circ\left|\operatorname{Art}_{F_{w}}^{-1}\right|\right)\right] .
$$

Proof: Consider the two homomorphisms

$$
\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}: \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right) \times W_{F_{w}}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\Theta_{1}([\pi])=\left[\pi \otimes r_{l}(\pi)\right]
$$

for any irreducible $\pi$ and

$$
\Theta_{2}([\pi])=\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\rho} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{red}_{\rho}^{(h)}[\pi]\left[\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}(\rho) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{-h / 2}\right]
$$

for any admissible $\pi$ (where $\rho$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $\left.D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}\right)$. By theorem 11.3 we only need to show that $\Theta_{1}=\Theta_{2}$. Moreover it follows from lemma A.4.f of [DKV] that we only need check that $\Theta_{1}([\pi])=$ $\Theta_{2}([\pi])$ when $\pi$ is a full induced from square integrable.

Thus suppose that we have positive integers $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{t}$ and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{t}$ such that $n=s_{1} n_{1}+\ldots+s_{t} n_{t}$. Suppose also that for $i=1, \ldots, t$ we have an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi_{i}$ of $G L_{n_{i}}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Let $P \subset G L_{n}$ be a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{s_{1} n_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{s_{t} n_{t}}$ and write $\pi$ for

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)
$$

We must check that $\Theta_{1}([\pi])=\Theta_{2}([\pi])$.
If $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{t}$ are positive integers such that $h_{i} \leq s_{i}$ we will let

- $P_{h_{i}}^{\prime} \subset G L_{s_{i} n_{i}}$ denote a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{h_{i} n_{i}} \times$ $G L_{\left(s_{i}-h_{i}\right) n_{i}}$,
- $h=h_{1} n_{1}+\ldots+h_{t} n_{t}$,
- $P_{\left\{h_{i}\right\}} \subset G L_{h}$ denote a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{h_{1} n_{1}} \times$ $\ldots \times G L_{h_{t} n_{t}}$,
- $P_{\left\{h_{i}\right\}}^{\prime} \subset G L_{n-h}$ denote a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{\left(s_{1}-h_{1}\right) n_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{\left(s_{t}-h_{t}\right) n_{t}}$,

By lemma IV. 8 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{2}([\pi])= \\
& \sum_{h_{i}} \sum_{\rho} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times} / F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{-1} \\
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\left\{i_{i}\right\}}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{w}}\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}-h_{1}}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}-h_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)\right)\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho^{\vee}\right)}\right) \\
& \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left[\left(\mathrm{nn}^{\prime}-\operatorname{Ind}_{\left.P_{\left\{h_{i}\right\}}\right\}}^{G L_{h}\left(F_{w}\right)} \operatorname{Fp}_{h_{1}}\left(\pi_{1} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}-h_{1}}\right) \times \ldots\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times \operatorname{Sp}_{h_{t}}\left(\pi_{t} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{t}-h_{t}}\right)\right) \times\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l, n-h}(\rho) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{F_{w}}^{-1}\right|^{-h / 2}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{t}$ run over positive integers with $h_{i} \leq s_{i}$, where $\rho$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $D_{F_{w}, n-h}^{\times}$. Using the second part of
lemma IV. 4 we see that this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{2}([\pi])= \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{h_{i}=0}^{s_{i}-1} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times\right. \\
& \left(\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{h_{i}}}^{G L_{s_{i} i i_{i}}\left(F_{w}\right)} \mathrm{Fp}_{w}\right) \operatorname{Sp}_{h_{i}}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{i}-h_{i}}\right) \times\left(\Psi_{F_{w}, l,\left(s_{i}-h_{i}\right) n_{i}}\left(\mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}-h_{i}}\left(\pi_{i}\right)^{\vee}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\otimes \mid \operatorname{Art}_{F_{w}}^{-1_{w} \mid\left(n_{i}\left(s_{i}-h_{i}\right)-n\right) / 2}\right)\right) \times \ldots \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by theorem 11.7 this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{2}([\pi])= \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{h_{i}=0}^{s_{i}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{s_{i}}-h_{i}}(-1)^{s_{i}-h_{i}-j} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left[\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times\right. \\
& \left(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{h_{i}}{ }^{\prime}\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{s_{i}}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{j}\left(\pi_{i}\right) \boxplus\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{i}-1-h_{i}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\times \operatorname{Sp}_{h_{i}}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{s_{i}-h_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(n_{i}-n\right) / 2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next by lemma IV. 2 we rewrite this

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{2}([\pi])= \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{h_{i}=1}^{s_{i}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}-h_{i}}(-1)^{s_{i}-h_{i}-j} \\
& \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left[\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s_{i}-h_{i}-j, h_{i}}\right) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right) \otimes \mid \text { Art }\left._{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(n_{i}-n\right) / 2}\right]+} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{h_{i}=0}^{s_{i}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}-h_{i}}(-1)^{s_{i}-h_{i}-j} \\
& \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left[\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j, s_{i}-1-h_{i}-j, h_{i}+1}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(n_{i}-n\right) / 2}\right]=} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(F_{w}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{w}\right)}\left[\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{j,-1, s_{i}+1-j}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right]\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(n_{i}-n\right) / 2}\right]= \\
& {[\pi] \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{s_{i}-1}\left[r_{l}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right) \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{\left(n_{i}-n\right) / 2}\right]=} \\
& \Theta_{1}([\pi]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorem follows.

Corollary 11.10 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$. Then either $\pm\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]$ is a true representation.

Proof: Suppose not, then by the Weil conjectures for all but finitely many finite places $x$ of $F$ we have $\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F_{x}}}\right]$ is neither a true representation, nor the negative of a true representation. This contradicts theorem 11.9.

We now use our results to extend a theorem of Clozel [Cl1].
Theorem 11.11 Suppose that $L$ is a CM field and that $\Pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\Pi^{\vee} \cong \Pi^{c}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation over $\mathbb{C}$ of the restriction of scalars from $L$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ of $G L_{g}$,
- and for some finite place $x$ of $L$ the representation $\Pi_{x}$ is square integrable.

Then there is a strictly positive integer $a(\Pi)$ and a continuous representation $R_{l}(\Pi)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ such that for any finite place $y$ of $L$ not dividing $l$ we have

$$
\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{W_{F_{y}}}\right]=a(\Pi)\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{y}\right)\right] .
$$

Proof: Suppose first that $L=E F^{+}$where $E$ is an imaginary quadratic field such that $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$ and where $F^{+}$is a totally real field with $\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$ even. In this case we will write $F$ for $L$.

Choose $\left(B, *, \tau_{0}, \beta, \Lambda_{i}\right)$ as in section 1 such that $B$ is a division algebra with centre $F$ such that

- $[B: F]=g^{2}$,
- $B$ splits at all places of $F$ other than $x$ and $x^{c}$
- and $B_{x}$ and $B_{x^{c}}$ are division algebras.
(Here we are using the assumption that $\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$ is even.) By theorem VI.4, corollary VI. 5 and lemma VI. 6 we can find
- an algebraic representation $\xi$ of $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$,
- and an automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$
such that if we set $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=(\psi, \widetilde{\Pi})$, then
- JL $(\widetilde{\Pi})=\Pi$
- and $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right] \neq 0$.

If $e(\pi)$ is the sign of $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]$, set

- $\left[R_{l}(\Pi)\right]=e(\pi) g\left[\left.R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}\left(\psi^{c}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F\right)}\right]$
- and $a(\Pi)=e(\pi) \operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right]$.

From theorem 11.9 it follows that for all finite places $y$ of $F$ such that

- $y \chi x x^{c}$,
- $\left.y\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$
- and $y ~ X l$,
we have

$$
\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{W_{F_{y}}}\right]=a(\Pi)\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{y}\right)\right] .
$$

Next suppose that $\left.y\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is inert in $E$ or that $y \mid x x^{c}$. Let $p$ denote the rational prime under $y$. We can find a real quadratic field $A$ such that

- $A_{p} \cong E_{p}$
- and $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $A$.

Let $E^{\prime}$ denote the third quadratic subfield of $A E$, let $\left(F^{+}\right)^{\prime}=A F^{+}$and let $F^{\prime}=E^{\prime}\left(F^{+}\right)^{\prime}=A F$. Note that $E^{\prime}$ is an imaginary quadratic field in which both $p$ and $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ split. Let $x^{\prime}$ denote a prime of $F^{\prime}$ above $x$ and let $y^{\prime}$ denote a prime of $F^{\prime}$ above $y$ which does not divide $x^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{c}$. Note also that $F_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{x^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $F_{y} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{y^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. The $x^{\prime}$ component of the automorphic restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)$ is square integrable and hence $\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)$ is cuspidal. Moreover by strong multiplicity one $\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)^{c} \cong \operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)^{\vee}$, and $\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $G L_{g}\left(F^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Thus we can associate to $\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)$ a continuous representation $R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F^{\prime}\right)$ and a positive integer $a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)$ such that for all places $z$ of $F^{\prime}$ for which

- $z \nmid x^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{c}$,
- $\left.z\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E^{\prime}$
- and $z \backslash l$,
we have

$$
\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{W_{F_{z}^{\prime}}}\right]=a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)_{z}\right)\right]
$$

It follows from the Cebotarev density theorem that

$$
a(\Pi)\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\right]=a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\left[R_{l}(\Pi)| |_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F^{\prime}\right)}\right] .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{W_{F_{y}}}\right]= \\
& a(\Pi)\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{W_{F^{\prime}}} ^{F}\right]= \\
& a(\Pi) a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)_{y^{\prime}}\right)\right]= \\
& a(\Pi) a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime}}^{F}(\Pi)\right)\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{y}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have established the theorem in the special case.
Now we will turn to the proof in the general case.
If $A$ is an imaginary quadratic field such that $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$ then set

- $F_{A}^{+}$to be the maximal totally real subfield of $A L$,
- $F_{A}=A F_{A}^{+}=A L$,
- $x_{A}$ a prime of $F_{A}$ above $x$,
- $\sigma_{A}$ to be the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{A} / L\right)$
- and $\epsilon_{A}$ to be the non-trivial character of $\operatorname{Gal}(A / \mathbb{Q})$.

Then $\left[F_{A}^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$ is even and $\left.x_{A}\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $A$. Moreover $\left.L_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{A}\right|_{x_{A}}$ and so as before we see that $\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{F}(\Pi)$ continues to satisfy the conditions of the theorem, but for $F_{A}$. Thus, from what we have already proved, there is a continuous representation $R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A}\right)$ and a positive integer $a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)$ such that for all places $z \nmid l$ of $F_{A}$ we have

$$
\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{W_{F_{A, z}}}\right]=a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)_{z}\right)\right] .
$$

It follows from the Cebotarev density theorem that

$$
\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)^{\sigma_{A}}\right]=\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]
$$

and that if $A^{\prime}$ is a second such field then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A^{\prime}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A} F_{A^{\prime}}\right.}\right]= \\
& a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A^{\prime}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A} F_{A^{\prime}}\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix one such quadratic extension $A_{0}$. Let $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}$ be a set of representatives of the equivalence classes of irreducible continuous representations of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}}\right)$ on finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-vector spaces. Let $I$ be the set of indices such that $\rho_{i}^{\sigma_{A_{0}}} \cong \rho_{i}$ and $\rho_{i}$ is a constituent of $\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A_{0}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]$. Let $J$ be
the set of indices such that $\rho_{i}^{\sigma_{A_{0}}} \not \not \not 二 \rho_{i}$ and $\rho_{i}$ is a constituent of $\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A_{0}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]$. For $i \in I$ choose an extension $\widetilde{\rho}_{i}$ of $\rho_{i}$ to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right)$. Also write

$$
\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A_{0}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]=\sum_{i \in I \cup J} b_{i}\left[\rho_{i}\right] .
$$

Let

$$
\rho=\bigoplus_{i \in I \cup J} \rho_{i} .
$$

Let $H$ denote the Zariski closure of the image of $\rho$ and let $H^{0}$ denote the connected component of the identity in $H$. Also let $M / F_{A_{0}}$ denote the fixed field of $\rho^{-1} H^{0}$. If $N / L$ is a finite Galois extension disjoint from $M$ then $\rho \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / N A_{0}\right)$ is Zariski dense in $H$ and so we have the following results.

1. If $i \in I \cup J$ then $\left.\rho_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / N A_{0}\right)}$ is irreducible.
2. If $i \in I$ then $\left.\widetilde{\rho}_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / N\right)}$ is irreducible.
3. If $i, j \in I \cup J$ and $\left.\left.\rho_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / N A_{0}\right)} \cong \rho_{j}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / N A_{0}\right)}$ then $i=j$.
4. If $i, j \in I$, if $\delta=0$ or 1 and if $\left.\left.\widetilde{\rho}_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / N\right)} \cong \widetilde{\rho}_{j}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / N\right)} \otimes \epsilon_{A_{0}}^{\delta}$ then $i=j$ and $\delta=0$.

In particular if $A / \mathbb{Q}$ is a quadratic extension as above such that $F_{A}$ is linearly disjoint from $M$ over $L$ then

- $\left.\rho_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}} A\right)}$ is irreducible for all $i \in I \cup J$
- and if, for some $i, j \in I \cup J$, we have $\left.\left.\rho_{i}^{\sigma_{A_{0}}}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}} A\right)} \cong \rho_{j}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}} A\right)}$ then $\rho_{i}^{\sigma_{A_{0}}}=\rho_{j}$.

We have also seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A_{0}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]\right)\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c /} / F_{A_{0}} A\right)}\right]= \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]\right) \sum_{i \in I \cup J} b_{i}\left[\left.\rho_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}} A\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A_{0}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]\right)\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]= \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]\right)\left(\sum_{i \in J}\left(b_{i} / 2\right)\left[\left.\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(\rho_{i}^{a c}\right)} \rho_{i}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A}\right)}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{i \in I} b_{i}\left[\left.\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{i} \otimes \epsilon_{A_{0}}^{\delta_{A i}}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A}\right)}\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{A i}=0$ or 1 .

Choose such an extension $A_{1}$ so that $F_{A_{1}}$ is linearly disjoint from $M$ over $L$. Set

$$
\left[R_{l}(\Pi)\right]=\sum_{i \in J}\left(b_{i} / 2\right)\left[\operatorname{Ind} \underset{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{0}}\right)}{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L\right)} \rho_{i}\right]+\sum_{i \in I} b_{i}\left[\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{i} \otimes \epsilon_{A_{0}}^{\delta_{A_{1} i}}\right)\right] .
$$

Also set

$$
a(\Pi)=a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A_{0}}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}(\pi)\right]=a(\Pi) g
$$

Suppose now that $A$ is such a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $F_{A}$ is linearly disjoint from $M A_{1}$ over $L$. Then

$$
\left.\sum_{i \in I} b_{i}\left[\left.\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{i} \otimes \epsilon_{A_{0}}^{\delta_{A_{1} i}}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A_{1}} A\right)}\right]\right)=\sum_{i \in I} b_{i}\left[\left.\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{i} \otimes \epsilon_{A_{0}}^{\delta_{A i} i}\right)\right|_{\left.\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A} A_{1}\right)\right]}\right)
$$

and so $\delta_{A i}=\delta_{A_{1} i}$ for all $i \in I$. Hence

$$
\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]\right)\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / F_{A}\right)}\right]=\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}(\Pi)\right]\right)\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]
$$

Given any finite place $y \ell l$ of $L$ we can choose an imaginary quadratic extension $A / \mathbb{Q}$ such that

- $L A$ is disjoint from $M A_{1}$ over $L$,
- $y$ splits as $y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}$ in $L A$
- and $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $A$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right]\right)\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{W_{F_{y}}}\right]= \\
& \left.\left.\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}(\Pi)\right]\right)\left[R_{l} \operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{W_{F_{y^{\prime}}}}\right]= \\
& \left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{l}(\Pi)\right]\right) a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{A}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{y}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{W_{F y}}\right]=a(\Pi)\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{y}\right)\right]
$$

as desired.
(Attempts to construct Galois representations by first constructing them over many quadratic extensions are not new (see for instance [BR]).)

From this one can deduce the following extension of theorem 11.9.

Corollary 11.12 Suppose that $\pi$ is an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such $\pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi$. Let $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=(\psi, \Pi)$ and suppose that JL ( $\Pi$ ) is cuspidal. Then JL (П) satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 11.11 and

$$
n a(\mathrm{JL}(\Pi))\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right]=\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right]\right)\left[\left.R_{l}(\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)) \otimes \operatorname{rec}(\psi)\right|_{\text {Gal }\left(F^{a c} / F\right)} ^{-1}\right]
$$

In particular if $y$ Xl is a place of $F$ then

$$
n\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right]=\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right]\right)\left[\left.r_{l}\left(\operatorname{JL}(\Pi)_{y}\right) \otimes\left(\psi_{\left.y\right|_{E}}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{E_{y}}^{-1}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{a c} / F\right)}\right]
$$

Proof: Using the Cebotarev density theorem, this follows easily from theorems 11.9 and 11.11.

We remark that the results of this section depend on the main theorem of [Ko4] via theorem VI. 1 and corollary VI.5, both of which rely on theorem A.4.2 of [CL] (the former via theorem A.5.2 of [CL]). However we believe that we could have avoided this logical dependence on the main theorem of [Ko4] at the expense of doing a little more work. More precisely one can calculate directly from the definitions $\Psi_{K, l, g}(\rho)^{G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)}$. If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ this suffices to calculate

$$
\sum_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi_{w}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F_{w}}\right)}\right)\left[\left.R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right|_{W_{F w}}\right]
$$

where $\pi^{\prime}$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ for which $\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{w} \cong \pi^{w}$ (by using an argument similar to the proof of the last theorem). This tells us $\left[\left.R_{\xi}(\pi)\right|_{W_{F_{w}}}\right]$ for all but finitely many places $w$ of $F$ which lie over a rational prime which splits in $E$, and this in turn suffices to prove theorem A.4.2 of [CL].

## 12 The local Langlands conjecture.

We will start this section by checking various basic functoriality properties of our map $r_{l}$. Throughout this section $K$ will denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $l$ will denote a prime other than $p$. Recall that we have fixed an isomorphism $\imath: \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 12.1 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ and that $\chi$ is a smooth character of $K^{\times}$. Then

$$
\left[r_{l}(\pi \otimes(\chi \circ \operatorname{det}))\right]=\left[r_{l}(\pi) \otimes\left(\chi^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right)\right] .
$$

Proof: It is easy to reduce to the case that $\pi$ is supercuspidal, so suppose that $\pi$ is supercuspidal. Choose an imaginary quadratic field $M$ in which $p$ splits and a totally real field $L^{+}$with a place $x(+)$ such that $L_{x(+)}^{+} \cong K$. Set $L=M L^{+}$and choose a place $x$ of $L$ above $x(+)$. Thus $L_{x} \cong K$. Let $\widetilde{\chi}$ be a continuous character of $\mathbb{A}_{L}^{\times} / L^{\times} L_{\infty}^{\times}$such that $\chi \widetilde{\chi}_{x}^{-1}$ is unramified. By corollary VII. 3 we may choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ such that

- $\Pi^{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{g}\right)$,
- and $\Pi_{x} \cong \pi \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$.

From theorem 11.11 (applied at good places of $L$ ) and from the Cebotarev density theorem we see that

$$
a(\Pi)\left[R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\widetilde{\chi} \circ \operatorname{det}))\right]=a(\Pi \otimes(\widetilde{\chi} \circ \operatorname{det}))\left[R_{l}(\Pi) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}(\widetilde{\chi})^{-1}\right] .
$$

Applying theorem 11.11 at $x$ we conclude that

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x} \otimes \widetilde{\chi}_{x}\right)\right]=\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x}\right) \otimes\left(\widetilde{\chi}_{x}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

The lemma now follows from lemma 11.6.

Lemma 12.2 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ with central character $\psi_{\pi}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{det} r_{l}(\pi)=\left(\psi_{\pi} \otimes| |^{g(g-1) / 2}\right)^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}
$$

Proof: Again it is easy to reduce to the case that $\pi$ is supercuspidal, so suppose that $\pi$ is supercuspidal. Choose an imaginary quadratic field $M$ in which $p$ splits and a totally real field $L^{+}$with a place $x(+)$ such that $L_{x(+)}^{+} \cong K$. Set $L=M L^{+}$and choose a place $x$ of $L$ above $x(+)$. Thus $L_{x} \cong K$. By corollary VII. 3 we may choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ such that

- $\Pi^{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{g}\right)$,
- and $\Pi_{x} \cong \pi \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$.

From theorem 11.11 (applied at good places of $L$ ) and from the Cebotarev density theorem we see that for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ there exist $\alpha_{1}(\sigma), \ldots, \alpha_{n}(\sigma) \in$ $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$ such that

- $R_{l}(\Pi)(\sigma)$ has eigenvalues $\alpha_{1}(\sigma), \ldots, \alpha_{n}(\sigma)$, each with multiplicity $a(\Pi)$,
- and $\alpha_{1}(\sigma) \ldots \alpha_{n}(\sigma)=\operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\psi_{\pi} \otimes| |^{g(g-1) / 2}\right)^{-1}(\sigma)$.
(See for instance the first paragraph of the proof of proposition 1 of [Tay] for more details of this sort of argument.) Applying theorem 11.11 at $x$ we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{det} r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x}\right)=\left(\psi_{\Pi, x} \otimes| |^{g(g-1) / 2}\right)^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}
$$

The lemma now follows from lemma 11.6.

Lemma 12.3 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)\right]=\left[r_{l}\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{1-g}\right)^{\vee}\right]
$$

Proof: Again it is easy to reduce to the case that $\pi$ is supercuspidal, so suppose that $\pi$ is supercuspidal. Choose an imaginary quadratic field $M$ in which $p$ splits and a totally real field $L^{+}$with a place $x(+)$ such that $L_{x(+)}^{+} \cong K$. Set $L=M L^{+}$and choose a place $x$ of $L$ above $x(+)$. Thus $L_{x} \cong K$. By corollary VII. 3 we may choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ such that

- $\Pi^{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{g}\right)$,
- and $\Pi_{x} \cong \pi \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$.

From theorem 11.11 (applied at good places of $L$ ) and from the Cebotarev density theorem we see that

$$
a\left(\Pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{1-g}\right)\left[R_{l}\left(\Pi^{\vee}\right)\right]=a\left(\Pi^{\vee}\right)\left[R_{l}\left(\Pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{1-g}\right)^{\vee}\right] .
$$

Applying theorem 11.11 at $x$ we conclude that

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\vee}\right)\right]=\left[r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{1-g}\right)^{\vee}\right] .
$$

The lemma now follows from lemma 11.6.
Now suppose that $K^{\prime} / K$ is a cyclic Galois extension of prime degree $q$. If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ then one can associate to $\pi$ its base change lifting $\operatorname{Res}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}(\pi)$ to $K^{\prime}$ (see theorem 6.2 of chapter 1 and the discussion on pages 59 and 60 of [AC]). Also if $\pi^{\prime}$ is a $\mathrm{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)$-regular (see section 2.4 of $[\mathrm{HH}]$ for the definition of this concept) generic irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ one can associate to $\pi^{\prime}$ its automorphic induction $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{K^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ to $K$ (see theorem 2.4 of $[\mathrm{HH}]$ ). Then $\operatorname{Res}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}(\pi)$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ind}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{q g}(K)$.

We will need to make use of the global analogues of these constructions, which we now recall. Suppose that $L^{\prime} / L$ is a cyclic Galois extension of number fields of prime degree $q$. Let $\tau$ denote a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / L\right)$ and let $\eta$ denote a non-trivial character of

$$
\mathbb{A}_{L}^{\times} / L^{\times}\left(L_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{0}\left(N_{L^{\prime} / L} \mathbb{A}_{L^{\prime}}^{\times}\right)
$$

If $\Pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ then we can associate to $\Pi$ an "induced from cuspidal" (see definition 4.1 of chapter 3 of [AC]) representation $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L^{\prime}}\right)$ with the following properties.

1. $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)$ is cuspidal if and only if $\Pi=\Pi \otimes(\eta \circ$ det $)$.
2. A cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L^{\prime}}\right)$ is of the form $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi$ for some cuspidal automorphic $\Pi$ if and only if $\Pi^{\prime} \cong \Pi^{\prime} \circ \tau$.
3. If $x$ is a place of $L$ which splits in $L^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{x}$ is a place of $L^{\prime}$ above $x$ then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{\tilde{x}} \cong \Pi_{x}
$$

4. If $x$ is a finite place of $L$ which is inert in $L^{\prime}$ then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{x} \cong \operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{x}}^{L_{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}\right)
$$

(See theorems 4.2 and 5.1 of [AC].) Now suppose that $\Pi^{\prime}$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L^{\prime}}\right)$. Then there is an "induced from cuspidal" representation $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{g q}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ with the following properties.

1. $\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\eta \circ \operatorname{det}) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)$.
2. If $\Pi^{\prime} \not \not \Pi^{\prime} \circ \sigma$ then $\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)$ is cuspidal.
3. If $x$ is a place $L$ which splits as $x_{1} \ldots x_{q}$ in $L^{\prime}$ then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)_{x} \cong \mathrm{n}^{\left.-\operatorname{Ind}_{Q\left(L_{x}\right)}^{G L}\right)}\left(\Pi_{x_{1}}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times \Pi_{x_{q}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G L_{q g}$ with Levi component $G L_{g}^{q}$.
4. For all but finitely many places $x$ of $L$ which are inert in $L^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)_{x} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}^{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

(See theorem 6.2, lemma 6.4 and corollary 6.5 of [AC].) The following lemma seems to be well known (see section 1.5 of [HH]), but for lack of an explicit reference we give the proof.

Lemma 12.4 Keep the above notation and suppose that $x$ is a finite place of $L$ which is inert in $L^{\prime}$.

1. $\Pi_{x}^{\prime}$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{x}^{\prime} / L_{x}\right)$-regular.
2. The only generic, irreducible, admissible representation $\pi$ of $G L_{q g}\left(L_{x}\right)$ such that

- $\pi \otimes\left(\eta_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right) \cong \pi$
- and
(where $Q \subset G L_{q g}$ is the parabolic subgroup defined above)
is $\operatorname{Ind}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime}\right)$.

3. $\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)_{x} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: Note that the first part follows from lemma 2.3 of $[\mathrm{HH}]$. Also note that the third part follows from the second part and the definition of $\operatorname{Ind} L_{L^{\prime}}^{L}$ (see section 6 of chapter 3 of $[\mathrm{AC}]$ ). Thus it remains to prove the second part.

We can write

$$
\Pi_{x}^{\prime} \cong\left(\boxplus_{i \in I^{\prime}} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \boxplus\left(\boxplus_{i \in J^{\prime}} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $\pi_{i}^{\prime} \cong \pi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau$ if $i \in I^{\prime}$, but not if $i \in J^{\prime}$. For $i \in I^{\prime}$ choose an irreducible admissible representation $\widetilde{\pi}_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime} x}^{L_{x}} \widetilde{\pi}_{i} \cong \pi_{i}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{Q\left(L_{x}^{\prime}\right)}^{G L_{q g}\left(L_{x}^{\prime}\right)} \Pi_{x}^{\prime} \cong\left(\boxplus_{i \in I^{\prime}} \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\boxplus q}\right) \boxplus\left(\boxplus_{i \in J^{\prime}} \boxplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau^{j}\right)\right)
$$

(use the fact that $\Pi_{x}^{\prime}$ is Gal $\left(L_{x}^{\prime} / L_{x}\right)$-regular generic). Moreover

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}^{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\boxplus_{i \in I^{\prime}} \boxplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{i} \otimes\left(\eta_{x}^{j} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right) \boxplus\left(\boxplus_{i \in J^{\prime}} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

(see $[\mathrm{HH}]$ and assertion 2.6 (a) of [BHK]), and hence

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}} \operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}^{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\boxplus_{i \in I^{\prime}} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\boxplus q}\right) \boxplus\left(\boxplus_{i \in J^{\prime}} \boxplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau^{j}\right)\right)
$$

(see [AC] and assertion 2.6 (b) of [BHK]). In particular

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}} \operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{Q\left(L_{x}^{\prime}\right)}^{G L_{q g}\left(L_{x}^{\prime}\right)} \Pi_{x}^{\prime} .
$$

Now suppose that $\pi$ is a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of $G L_{q g}\left(L_{x}\right)$ such that

- $\pi \otimes\left(\eta_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right) \cong \pi$
- and

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}}(\pi) \cong \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{Q\left(L_{x}^{\prime}\right)}^{G L_{q g}\left(L_{x}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times\left(\Pi_{x}^{\prime} \circ \tau^{q-1}\right)\right)
$$

We may write

$$
\pi \cong\left(\boxplus_{i \in I} \boxplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{i}}\left(\pi_{i} \otimes\left(\eta_{x}^{j} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right) \boxplus\left(\boxplus_{i \in J} \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{i}}\left(\pi_{i}\right)\right)
$$

where $\pi_{i} \cong \pi_{i} \otimes\left(\eta_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$ if $i \in J$, but not if $i \in I$. If $i \in J$ then we can write $\pi_{i}=\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L_{x}^{x}}^{L_{x}} \widetilde{\pi}_{i}^{\prime}$, where $\widetilde{\pi}_{i}^{\prime}$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation. Then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}} \pi \cong\left(\boxplus_{i \in I} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}} \pi_{i}\right)^{\boxplus q}\right) \boxplus\left(\boxplus_{i \in J} \boxplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{i}}\left(\widetilde{\pi}_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau^{j}\right)\right)
$$

(see $[\mathrm{AC}]$ and assertion 2.6 (b) of $[\mathrm{BHK}]$ ). Note that for $i \in I \operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}} \pi_{i} \cong$ $\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{x}}^{L_{x}} \pi_{i}\right) \circ \tau$, while for $i \in J$ we have $\widetilde{\pi}_{i}^{\prime} \neq \widetilde{\pi}_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau$. Thus we may identify $I$ with $\bar{I}^{\prime}$ and $J$ with $J^{\prime}$ so that

- for $i \in I$ we have $\operatorname{Res}_{L_{x}^{x}}^{L_{x}} \pi_{i} \cong \pi_{i}^{\prime}$
- and for $i \in J$ we have $\widetilde{\pi}_{i}^{\prime} \cong \pi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \tau^{j(i)}$ for some $j(i)$.

Then

- for $i \in I$ we have $\pi_{i} \cong \pi_{i}^{\prime} \otimes\left(\eta_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)^{j(i)}$, for some $j(i)$
- and for $i \in J$ we have $\pi_{i} \cong \widetilde{\pi}_{i}$.

Thus

$$
\pi \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{L_{x}^{\prime}}^{L_{x}} \Pi_{x}^{\prime}
$$

as desired.

Lemma 12.5 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}(\pi)\right)\right]=\left[r_{l}(\pi)| |_{W_{K^{\prime}}}\right] .
$$

Proof: Again one may reduce to the case that $\pi$ is square integrable, so suppose that $\pi$ is square integrable. (See section 6.2 of chapter 1 and pages 59 and 60 of [AC].) Choose an imaginary quadratic field $M$ in which $p$ splits. Also choose a cyclic Galois extension $\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{+} / L^{+}$of totally real fields and a place $x(+)$ of $L^{+}$such that $x(+)$ is inert in $\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{+}$and the extension $\left(L^{\prime}\right)_{x(+)}^{+} / L_{x(+)}^{+}$ is isomorphic to the extension $K^{\prime} / K$. Set $L=M L^{+}$(resp. $\left.L^{\prime}=M\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{+}\right)$and choose a place $x$ of $L$ above $x(+)$. Thus the extension $L_{x}^{\prime} / L_{x}$ is also isomorphic to $K^{\prime} / K$. Choose a place $y$ of $L$ which splits completely in $L^{\prime}$ and which lies above a rational prime other than $p$ which splits in $M$. By corollary VII. 3 we may choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ such that

- $\Pi^{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{g}\right)$,
- $\Pi_{x} \cong \pi \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$ for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $K^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$,
- and $\Pi_{y}$ is supercuspidal.

Then there is an "induced from cuspidal" representation $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L^{\prime}}\right)$ such that for all places $w$ of $L$ we have

- $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{w}=\operatorname{Res}_{L_{w}}^{L_{w}}\left(\Pi_{w}\right)$ if $w$ is inert in $L^{\prime}$,
- and $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{w}=\Pi_{w}^{\otimes q}$ if $w$ splits in $L^{\prime}$.

From the second of these conditions we see that $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)$ is supercuspidal at every place above $y$ and hence is cuspidal automorphic. From the second of these conditions we also see that $\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L^{\prime}}\left(G L_{g}\right)$.

If $w$ is a finite place of $L$ at which $\Pi_{w}$ is unramified and if $w^{\prime}$ is a prime of $L^{\prime}$ above $w$ then, from the compatibility of base change with parabolic induction and from the explicit description of base change when $g=1$ (see part (d) of
theorem 6.2 of chapter 1 of $[\mathrm{AC}]$ ), we see that if $w^{\prime}$ is a prime of $L^{\prime}$ above $w$ then

$$
\left[\left.R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\right|_{W_{L_{w^{\prime}}^{\prime}}}\right]=a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[\left.r_{l}\left(\Pi_{w}\right)\right|_{W_{L_{w^{\prime}}^{\prime}}}\right]
$$

Thus, from theorem 11.11 (applied at good places of $L^{\prime}$ ) and from the Cebotarev density theorem we see that

$$
a(\Pi)\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)\right]=a\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi)\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{a c} / L^{\prime}\right)}\right] .\right.
$$

Applying theorem 11.11 at $x$ we conclude that

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\Pi_{x}\right)\right)\right]=\left[\left.r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x}\right)\right|_{W_{L_{x^{\prime}}^{\prime}}}\right]
$$

The lemma now follows from lemma 11.6.

Lemma 12.6 Suppose that $\pi^{\prime}$ is a generic, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)$-regular, irreducible, admissible representation of $G L_{g}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{g(1-q) / 2}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}} r_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Proof: One may reduce to the case that $\pi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Sp}_{2}\left(\pi^{0}\right)$, where $\pi^{0}$ is supercuspidal, so suppose that $\pi^{\prime}$ has this form. (See corollary 5.5 and theorem 5.6 of $[\mathrm{HH}]$.) Note in particular that in this case $g$ is even. Choose an imaginary quadratic field $M$ in which $p$ splits. Also choose a cyclic Galois extension $\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{+} / L^{+}$of totally real fields and a place $x(+)$ of $L^{+}$such that $x(+)$ is inert in $\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{+}$and the extension $\left(L^{\prime}\right)_{x(+)}^{+} / L_{x(+)}^{+}$is isomorphic to the extension $K^{\prime} / K$. Set $L=M L^{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.L^{\prime}=M\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{+}\right)$and choose a place $x$ of $L$ above $x(+)$. Thus the extension $L_{x}^{\prime} / L_{x}$ is also isomorphic to $K^{\prime} / K$. Choose a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / L\right)$. Choose a place $y$ of $L$ which is inert in $L^{\prime}$ and which lies above a rational prime other than $p$ which splits in $M$. Choose a supercuspidal representation $\pi_{y}$ of $G L_{g}\left(L_{y}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\pi_{y} \not \approx \pi_{y}^{\sigma} \otimes \psi$ for any character $\psi$ of $\left(L_{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}, y \cdot}^{\times}$. (To see that this is possible one may argue as follows. First choose any irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi_{y}^{0}$ of $G L_{g}\left(L_{y}^{\prime}\right)$. Then take $\pi_{y}=\pi_{y}^{0} \otimes \chi$, where $\chi$ is a character of $\left(L_{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$such that

$$
\left.\left.\left(\psi_{\pi_{y}} \chi^{g}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}, y}^{\times}} \neq\left.\left(\psi_{\pi_{y}} \chi^{g}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}, y}^{\times}} \circ \sigma .\right)
$$

By corollary VII. 3 we may choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ such that

$$
\text { - } \Pi^{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}
$$

- $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L^{\prime}}\left(G L_{g}\right)$,
- $\Pi_{x} \cong \pi \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{K^{\prime}}^{\times}$,
- and $\Pi_{y} \cong \pi_{y} \otimes\left(\psi_{y} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for some character $\psi_{y}$ of $\left(L_{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}, y}^{\times}$.

Note that in particular $\Pi^{\sigma} \not \approx \Pi$.
Consider the cuspidal automorphic representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi$ of $G L_{q g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$. Using the the strong multiplicity one theorem we see that

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi\right)^{\vee} \cong\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi\right)^{c}
$$

If $w$ is an infinite place of $L$ which splits as $w_{1} \ldots w_{q}$ in $L^{\prime}$, then $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L} \Pi\right)_{w}$ is a subquotient of n-Ind ${ }_{Q\left(L_{w}\right)}^{G L_{q g}\left(L_{w}\right)}\left(\Pi_{w_{1}} \times \ldots \times \Pi_{w_{q}}\right)$, where $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G L_{q g}$ with Levi component $G L_{g}^{q}$. In particular this allows one to check that $\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{q g}\right)$ (use the fact that $g$ is even). Moreover by proposition 5.5 of $[\mathrm{HH}]$ we see that $\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)_{y}$ is supercuspidal.

From theorem 11.11 (applied at good places of $L$ ) and from the Cebotarev density theorem we see that

$$
a(\Pi)\left[R_{l}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi) \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{g(1-q) / 2}\right)\right]=a\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L^{\prime}}^{L}(\Pi)\right)\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{a c} / L^{\prime}\right)}^{\mathrm{Gal}\left(\left(L^{\prime a c} / L\right)\right.} R_{l}(\Pi)\right]
$$

Applying theorem 11.11 at $x$ we conclude that

$$
\left[r_{l}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\Pi_{x}\right) \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{g(1-q) / 2}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}} r_{l}\left(\Pi_{x}\right)\right)\right]
$$

The lemma now follows from lemma 11.6.
If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ we will set

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi)=r_{l}\left(\pi^{\vee} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{(1-g) / 2}\right)
$$

With this new normalisation we have the following restatement of lemma 11.8 and of the preceding lemmas.

Lemma 12.7 Let $K^{\prime} / K$ be a cyclic Galois extension of prime degree $q$ and let $\pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then we have the following results.

1. If $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{a c} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi \circ \tau)=\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi)^{\tau}$.
2. If $g=1$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi)=\pi \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}$.
3. If $\psi_{\pi}$ is the central character of $\pi$ then $\operatorname{det} \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi)=\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\psi_{\pi}\right)$.
4. If $\chi$ is a character of $K^{\times}$then $\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi \otimes(\chi \circ \operatorname{det}))=\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\chi)$.
5. $\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}(\pi)\right)=\left.\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi)\right|_{W_{K^{\prime}}}$.
6. If $\pi^{\prime}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{K^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$.

We next turn to some cases of non-Galois global automorphic induction, which were established by one of us (M.H.) in [Har2]. Indeed in a sense the rest of this section is superfluous, as we could simply refer to section 4 of [Har2]. However we will repeat the arguments here in somewhat greater detail, as we can now be slightly more direct. We will repeat not only arguments of [Har2], but also arguments of Henniart from [BHK] and [He6].

Proposition 12.8 Suppose that $L_{3} \supset L_{2} \supset L_{1}$ are CM-fields with $L_{3} / L_{1}$ soluble and Galois. Suppose that $\chi$ be a character of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{2}}^{\times} / L_{2}^{\times}$such that

1. $\chi^{c}=\chi^{-1}$;
2. for every embedding $\tau: L_{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ giving rise to an infinite place $x$ we have

$$
\chi_{x}: z \rightarrow(\tau z / c \tau z)^{p_{\tau}}
$$

where $p_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if $\tau \neq \tau^{\prime}$ then $p_{\tau} \neq p_{\tau}^{\prime}$;
3. there is a finite place $y$ of $L_{1}$ which is inert in $L_{3}$, which does not divide $l$ and and for which the stabiliser of the character $\chi_{y} \circ N_{L_{3} / L_{2}}$ of $\left(L_{3}\right)_{y}^{\times}$ in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{1}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{2}\right)$.

Let $\phi$ be a character of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times}$such that

1. $\phi^{c}=\phi^{-1}$;
2. if $\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]$ is odd then $\phi_{\infty}=1$;
3. if $\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]$ is even, then for every embedding $\tau: L_{1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ giving rise to an infinite place $x$ we have

$$
\phi_{x}: z \rightarrow(\tau z /|\tau z|)^{ \pm 1}
$$

4. $\phi_{y}$ is unramified.

Then there is a cuspidal automorphic representation $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)$ of $G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}\right)$ such that

- $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)^{c} \cong I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)^{\vee}$;
- $\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L_{1}}\left(G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\right)$;
- $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)_{y}$ is supercuspidal;
- and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)\right]=a\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{1}\right.}^{\left.\operatorname{Gac} / L_{2}\right)}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: The proof will be by induction on $\left[L_{3}: L_{1}\right]$, there being nothing to prove in the case $\left[L_{3}: L_{1}\right]=1$.

Now consider the inductive step. Because $L_{3} / L_{1}$ is soluble we may choose a subextension $L_{3} \supset L_{4} \supset L_{1}$ with $L_{4} / L_{1}$ cyclic Galois with prime degree $q$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{4} / L_{1}\right)$ and let $\widetilde{\sigma}$ be a lift of $\sigma$ to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{1}\right)$. We will consider separately the cases $L_{4} \subset L_{2}$ and $L_{4} \cap L_{2}=L_{1}$.

Suppose first that $L_{4} \subset L_{2}$. Set $\phi^{\prime}=\phi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}}$ unless [ $L_{2}: L_{4}$ ] is odd in which case set $\phi^{\prime}=1$. Then from the inductive hypothesis we see that there is a cuspidal automorphic representation $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)$ of $G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{4}\right]}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L_{4}}\right)$ such that

- $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)^{c} \cong I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)^{\vee}$;
- $\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\phi^{\prime} \circ \text { det }\right)\right)_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L_{4}}\left(G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{4}\right]}\right)$;
- $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}$ is supercuspidal;
- and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\phi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=} \\
& a\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\phi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}^{a c}\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{4}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By theorem 11.11 and lemma 12.7 we see that

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, y}}}^{W_{L_{4, y}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{y}\right)\right]
$$

and hence that

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}^{\sigma}\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\tilde{\sigma} L_{2, y}}}^{W_{L_{4, y}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{y}^{\tilde{\sigma}}\right)\right]
$$

Thus

$$
\left[\left.\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}\right)\right|_{W_{L_{3, y}}}\right]=\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{4}\right)}\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{y} \circ N_{L_{3, y} / L_{2, y}} \circ \tau\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\left.\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}^{\sigma}\right)\right|_{W_{L_{3, y}}}\right]=\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{4}\right)}\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{y} \circ N_{L_{3, y} / L_{2, y}} \circ \tau \widetilde{\sigma}\right)\right]
$$

In particular by our assumption on $\chi_{y}$ we see that

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}\right)\right] \neq\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}^{\sigma}\right)\right]
$$

and conclude that

$$
I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y} \not \approx I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}^{\sigma}
$$

Now set

$$
I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)=\operatorname{Ind}_{L_{4}}^{L_{1}} I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)
$$

By the strong multiplicity one theorem we see that

$$
I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)^{\vee} \cong I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)^{c} .
$$

If $w$ is an infinite place of $L_{1}$ below places $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$ of $L_{4}$ then $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)_{x}$ is a subquotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{Q\left(L_{1, x}\right)}^{G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\left(L_{1, x}\right)}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{x_{1}} \times \ldots \times I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{x_{q}}\right)$, where $Q \subset G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{4}\right]}^{q}$. Using this one can check that $\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L_{1}}\left(G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\right)$. Moreover

$$
I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)_{y}=\operatorname{Ind}_{L_{4, y}}^{L_{1, y}}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{y}\right)
$$

is supercuspidal by proposition 5.5 of $[\mathrm{HH}]$. From theorem 11.11 we see that for any finite place $x$ of $L_{4}$ not dividing $l$ and lying below places $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $L_{2}$ we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{4}}(\chi)_{x} \otimes\left(\phi_{x}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, x_{i}}}}^{W_{L_{4, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x_{i}}\left(\phi_{x}^{\prime} \circ N_{L_{2, x_{i}} / L_{4, x_{i}}}\right)\right)\right]
$$

By lemma 12.7 we conclude that for all but finitely many finite places $x$ of $L_{1}$ lying below places $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $L_{2}$ we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)_{x} \otimes\left(\phi_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, x_{i}}}}^{W_{L_{1, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x_{i}}\left(\phi_{x} \circ N_{L_{2, x_{i}} / L_{1, x}}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Finally using the Cebotarev density theorem and theorem 11.11 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det})\right]=\right.} \\
& a\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{\text {ac }} / L_{2}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1}^{a c} / L_{1}\right)} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\phi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} / L_{1}}\right)| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we turn to the case $L_{2} \cap L_{4}=L_{1}$. In this case by inductive hypothesis there is a cuspidal automorphic representation $I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)$ of $G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L_{4}}\right)$ such that

- $I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)^{c} \cong I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)^{\vee} ;$
- $\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right) \otimes\left(\phi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \circ \text { det }\right)\right)_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L_{4}}\left(G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\right)$;
- $I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)_{y}$ is supercuspidal;
- and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right) \otimes\left(\phi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=} \\
& a\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right) \otimes\left(\phi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right) \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2} L_{4}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{a c} / L_{4}\right)} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\left(\chi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)\left(\phi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{1}}\right)| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

By theorem 11.11 and lemma 12.7 we see that for any prime $x$ of $L_{4}$ not dividing $l$ and lying below primes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $L_{2} L_{4}$ we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)_{x}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\left(L_{2} L_{4}\right) x_{i}}}^{W_{L_{4, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x} \circ N_{\left(L_{2} L_{4}\right) x_{i} / L_{2, x_{i}}}\right)\right] .
$$

In particular we see that

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)_{x}\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)_{\sigma x}^{\sigma}\right)\right]
$$

and so by the strong multiplicity one theorem

$$
I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right) \cong I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)^{\sigma} .
$$

Thus by theorem 4.2 of chapter 3 of [AC] there is a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Res}_{L_{4}}^{L_{1}}(\Pi)=I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)$.

Again theorem 4.2 of chapter 3 of [AC] tells us that

$$
\Pi^{\vee} \cong \Pi^{c} \otimes(\eta \circ \operatorname{det})
$$

for some character $\eta$ of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times} L_{1, \infty}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}}^{\times}\right)$. The norm map gives an isomorphism

$$
N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}}: \mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times} L_{1, \infty}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}}^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{L_{1}^{+}}^{\times} /\left(L_{1}^{+}\right)^{\times}\left(\left(L_{1, \infty}^{+}\right)^{\times}\right)^{0}\left(N_{L_{4}^{+} / L_{1}^{+}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}^{+}}^{\times}\right)
$$

On the other hand because $L_{4}^{+}$is totally real we see that

$$
\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}^{+}}^{\times} /\left(L_{1}^{+}\right)^{\times}\left(\left(L_{1, \infty}^{+}\right)^{\times}\right)^{0}\left(N_{L_{4}^{+} / L_{1}^{+}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}^{+}}^{\times}\right)=\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}^{+}}^{\times} /\left(L_{1}^{+}\right)^{\times}\left(L_{1, \infty}^{+}\right)^{\times}\left(N_{L_{4}^{+} / L_{1}^{+}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}^{+}}^{\times}\right)
$$

and hence that

$$
N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}}: \mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times} L_{1, \infty}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}}^{\times}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times} L_{1, \infty}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{4}^{+} / L_{1}^{+}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}^{+}}^{\times}\right) .
$$

Thus we can find a character $\psi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times} L_{1, \infty}^{\times}$such that $\psi \circ N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}}=\eta$ and hence

$$
(\Pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det}))^{\vee}=(\Pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det}))^{c}
$$

Note that therefore

$$
\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\psi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{2}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)^{\vee}=\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\psi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{2}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)^{c} .
$$

If $x$ is an infinite place of $L_{1}$ lying under an infinite place $\widetilde{x}$ of $L_{4}$ we see that $\Pi_{x} \cong I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}\left(\chi \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)_{\tilde{x}}$. Thus $(\Pi \otimes(\phi \circ \text { det }))_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L_{1}}\left(G L_{\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]}\right)$. From lemma 6.12 of chapter 1 and the discussions on pages $52 / 53$ and $59 / 60$ of [AC] we see that $\Pi_{y}$ must be supercuspidal.

By lemma 12.7 , for all but finitely many pairs $(x, \widetilde{x})$ of a place $x$ of $L_{1}$ and a place $\widetilde{x}$ of $L_{4}$ above $x$ we have

$$
\left[\left.\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left((\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))_{x}\right)\right|_{W_{L_{4}, \tilde{x}}}\right]=\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left((\phi \psi) \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)_{\tilde{x}}\right)\right] .
$$

It follows from theorem 11.11 and the Cebotarev density theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\phi \psi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{4}\right)}\right]= \\
& a(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))\left[R_{l}\left(I_{L_{2} L_{4}}^{L_{4}}(\chi) \otimes\left(\phi \psi \circ N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left.R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{4}\right)}\right]=a(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2} L_{4}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal})} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\left(\chi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)\left(\phi^{-1} \psi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{1}}\right)| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\left.\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2} L_{4}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{a}^{a c} / L_{4}\right)} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\chi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} L_{4} / L_{2}}\right)\right|_{W_{L_{4, y}}}$ is irreducible we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))\right]=a(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det}))} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{2}^{a /}\right)} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\phi^{-1} \psi^{-1} \eta^{\prime} \circ N_{L_{2} / L_{1}}\right)| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some character $\eta^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} / L_{1}^{\times} L_{1, \infty}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{4} / L_{1}} \mathbb{A}_{L_{4}}^{\times}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det})) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\psi \otimes\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)^{c}\right]=} \\
& {\left[\left(R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\phi \psi \circ \operatorname{det})) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\psi \otimes\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)^{\vee}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence by theorem 11.11, lemma 12.7 and the strong multiplicity one theorem we see that

$$
\left(\Pi \otimes\left(\eta^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)^{c}=\left(\Pi \otimes\left(\eta^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)^{\vee}
$$

Replacing $\Pi$ by $\Pi \otimes\left(\eta^{\prime} \circ\right.$ det $)$, we have that $\Pi^{c}=\Pi^{\vee}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}\left(\Pi \otimes\left(\phi \psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=a\left(\Pi \otimes\left(\phi \psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{c} / L_{2}^{c} / L_{2}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal})} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\phi^{-1} \psi^{-1} \eta^{\prime} \circ N_{L_{2} / L_{1}}\right)| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right],}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence (using theorem 11.11, lemma 12.7 and the Cebotarev density theorem)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R_{l}(\Pi \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det}))\right]=a(\Pi \otimes(\phi \circ \operatorname{det}))} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{1}\right)} \operatorname{rec}_{l, 2}\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\phi^{-1} \circ N_{L_{2} / L_{1}}\right)| |^{\left(1-\left[L_{2}: L_{1}\right]\right) / 2}\right)\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we may set $I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)=\Pi$.
Recall that if $r$ is a continuous representation of $W_{K}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and if $\Psi$ is a continuous additive character of $K$ then we have the following.

- An $L$-factor

$$
L(r, s)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(1-\operatorname{Frob}_{p} /\left(\# k\left(\wp_{K}\right)\right)^{s}\right) \mid W_{r}^{I_{K}}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $W_{r}^{I_{K}}$ denotes the inertial invariants of $r$.

- An $\epsilon$-factor

$$
\epsilon(r, s, \Psi)
$$

(See for instance [Tat2]. In the notation of [Tat2] we have $\epsilon(r, s, \Psi)=$ $\epsilon\left(r \omega_{s}, \Psi, \mu_{\Psi}\right)$, where $\mu_{\Psi}$ is the additive Haar measure on $K$ which is self dual with respect to $\Psi$.)

- A $\gamma$-factor

$$
\gamma(r, s, \Psi)=L\left(r^{\vee}, 1-s\right) \epsilon(r, s, \Psi) / L(r, s)
$$

If moreover $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{g_{1}}(K)$ and $G L_{g_{2}}(K)$ then we also have the following.

- An $L$-factor $L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)$.
- An $\epsilon$-factor $\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)$.
- A $\gamma$-factor

$$
\gamma\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)=L\left(\pi_{1}^{\vee} \times \pi_{2}^{\vee}, 1-s\right) \epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right) / L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)
$$

(See [JPSS] for the definitions. In the case $g_{2}=1$ and $\pi_{2}$ is trivial we will simply drop it from the notation.) Note that if $\pi$ is unramified then

$$
L(\pi, s)=L\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi), s\right)
$$

Corollary 12.9 Suppose that $L_{3} \supset L_{2} \supset L_{1}$ are CM-fields with $L_{3} / L_{1}$ soluble and Galois. Suppose that $\chi$ be a character of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{2}}^{\times} / L_{2}^{\times}$such that

1. $\chi^{c}=\chi^{-1}$;
2. for every embedding $\tau: L_{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ giving rise to an infinite place $x$ we have

$$
\chi_{x}: z \rightarrow(\tau z / c \tau z)^{p_{\tau}}
$$

where $p_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if $\tau \neq \tau^{\prime}$ then $p_{\tau} \neq p_{\tau}^{\prime}$;
3. and there is a finite place $y$ of $L_{1}$ which is inert in $L_{3}$, which does not divide $l$, which is unramified over $L_{1}^{+}$and for which the stabiliser of the character $\chi_{y} \circ N_{L_{3} / L_{2}}$ of $\left(L_{3}\right)_{y}^{\times}$in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{1}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{2}\right)$.

Then for all but finitely many places $x$ of $L_{1}$ we have

$$
L\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)_{x}, s\right)=L\left(\left.\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3}^{a c} / L_{2}\right)}^{\mathrm{Gal}\left(L_{a}^{a c} / L_{1}\right)} \operatorname{rec}_{l, l}(\chi)\right)\right|_{W_{L_{1, x}}}, s\right)=\prod_{\widetilde{x} \mid x} L\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{\widetilde{x}}\right), s\right)
$$

Proof: We only need show that we can choose a character $\phi$ as in proposition 12.8. Let $\phi_{\infty}$ be a character of $L_{1, \infty}^{\times}$of the form described in proposition 12.8. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{array}{cccccl}
(0) & \rightarrow & L_{1, \infty}^{\times} \times \prod_{x} \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, x}^{\times} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} & \rightarrow \\
& & \mathrm{Cl}\left(L_{1}\right) \\
(0) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}}^{\times} N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}}\left(L_{1, \infty}^{\times} \times \prod_{x} \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, x}^{\times}\right) & \rightarrow & L_{1}^{\times} N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}}^{\times} \mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times} & \rightarrow \\
& \uparrow & \mathrm{Cl}\left(L_{1}\right)^{1+c}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{Cl}\left(L_{1}\right)$ denotes the ideal class group of $L_{1}$. Thus it suffices to define $\phi$ on $\prod_{x} \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, x}^{\times} /\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, y}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}} \prod_{x} \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, x}^{\times}\right)\right)$so that it equals $\phi_{\infty}^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}}^{\times}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}^{+}}^{1}$
denote those elements of $\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}^{+}}^{\times}$with norm down to $\mathbb{Q}$ equal to 1 . Then $\phi_{\infty}$ is trivial on $\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}}^{1}$. Thus it suffices to check that

$$
\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}}^{\times} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, y}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}} \prod_{x} \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, x}^{\times}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}^{++}}^{1}
$$

So suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}}^{\times} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, y}^{\times}\left(N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}} \prod_{x} \mathcal{O}_{L_{1}, x}^{\times}\right)\right)$. Then, because $y$ is unramified over $L_{1}^{+}$, we see that $\alpha \in\left(L_{1}^{+}\right)^{\times} \cap\left(N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\infty}\right)^{\times}\right)$. But we have a right exact sequence

$$
\left(L_{1}^{+}\right)^{\times} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x}\left(L_{1}^{+}\right)_{x}^{\times} / N_{L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}} L_{1, x}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / L_{1}^{+}\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

Thus $\alpha$ must fail to be a norm at an even number of infinite places $x$ of $L_{1}^{+}$, i.e. $\alpha$ is negative at an even number of infinite places $x$ of $L_{1}^{+}$. Thus the norm down to $\mathbb{Q}$ of $\alpha$ is positive and hence 1 .

Corollary 12.10 Suppose that $L_{3} / L_{1}$ is a soluble Galois extension of CMfields and suppose that $L_{2}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ are intermediate fields between $L_{3}$ and $L_{1}$. Let $\Psi=\prod_{x} \Psi_{x}$ be a non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}} / L_{1}$. Suppose that $\chi$ (resp. $\chi^{\prime}$ ) is a character of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{2}}^{\times} / L_{2}^{\times}$(resp. $\left.\mathbb{A}_{L_{2}^{\prime}}^{\times} /\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}\right)$such that

1. $\chi^{c}=\chi^{-1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{c}=\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)$;
2. for every embedding $\tau: L_{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\tau^{\prime}: L_{2}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) giving rise to an infinite place $x$ (resp. $x^{\prime}$ ) we have

$$
\chi_{x}: z \longmapsto(\tau z / c \tau z)^{p_{\tau}}
$$

(resp.

$$
\left.\chi_{x^{\prime}}^{\prime}: z \longmapsto\left(\tau^{\prime} z / c \tau^{\prime} z\right)^{p_{\tau^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where $p_{\tau}$ (resp. $\left.p_{\tau^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if $\tau \neq \tau_{1}$ (resp. $\tau^{\prime} \neq \tau_{1}^{\prime}$ ) then $p_{\tau} \neq p_{\tau_{1}}$ (resp. $p_{\tau^{\prime}}^{\prime} \neq p_{\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ );
3. there is a finite place $y$ (resp. $y^{\prime}$ ) of $L_{1}$ which is inert in $L_{3}$, which does not divide $l$, which is unramified over $L_{1}^{+}$and for which the stabiliser of the character $\chi_{y} \circ N_{L_{3} / L_{2}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\chi_{y^{\prime}}^{\prime} \circ N_{L_{3} / L_{2}^{\prime}}$ in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{1}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{2}\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{3} / L_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ ).

Suppose that $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ are algebraic characters of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times}$. Then for all places $x$ of $L_{1}$ which are inert in $L_{3}$ and which do not divide l we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi)_{x} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, x}}}^{W_{L_{1, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x}\left(\psi_{x} \circ N_{L_{2, x} / L_{1, x}}\right)\right)\right]\right.
$$

and

$$
\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\left(I_{L_{2}^{\prime}}^{L_{1}}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)_{x} \otimes\left(\psi_{x}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, x}^{\prime}}}^{W_{L_{1, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x}^{\prime}\left(\psi_{x}^{\prime} \circ N_{L_{2, x}^{\prime} / L_{1, x}}\right)\right)\right]\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(\left(I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\chi) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)_{x} \times\left(I_{L_{2}^{\prime}}^{L_{1}}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\psi^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)\right)_{x}, s, \Psi_{x}\right)= \\
& \gamma\left(\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, x}}}^{W_{L_{1, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x}\left(\psi_{x} \circ N_{L_{2, x} / L_{1, x}}\right)\right)\right) \otimes\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L_{2, x}^{\prime}}}^{W_{L_{1, x}}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi_{x}^{\prime}\left(\psi_{x}^{\prime} \circ N_{L_{2, x}^{\prime} / L_{1, x}}\right)\right)\right), s, \Psi_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: This follows from the previous corollary and from theorem 4.1 of [He3].

Now fix a non-trivial additive character $\Psi$ of $K$.
Lemma 12.11 Fix a finite Galois extension $K_{3} / K$. For each pair $\left(K_{2}, \chi\right)$, where $K_{2} / K$ is a finite subextension of $K_{3} / K$ and where $\chi$ is a character of $K_{2}^{\times}$of finite order, we can choose an irreducible admissible representation $I_{K_{2}}^{K}(\chi)$ of $G L_{\left[K_{2}: K\right]}(K)$ which satisfies the following properties.

1. $\left[\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(I_{K_{2}}^{K}(\chi)\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K_{2}}}^{W_{K}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\chi)\right]$.
2. Whenever $\left(K_{2}, \chi\right)$ and $\left(K_{2}^{\prime}, \chi^{\prime}\right)$ are two such pairs (with both $K_{2}$ and $K_{2}^{\prime}$ inside the same fixed $K_{3}$ ) and $\psi$ is a character of $K^{\times}$of finite order we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(\left(I_{K_{2}}^{K}(\chi) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})\right) \times I_{K_{2}^{\prime}}^{K}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right), s, \Psi\right)= \\
& \gamma\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K_{2}}}^{W_{K}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi\left(\psi \circ N_{K_{2} / K}\right)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K_{2}^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}} \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right), s, \Psi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Choose an extension of totally real fields $L_{3}^{0} / L^{0}$ and a place $x_{0}$ of $L^{0}$, which is inert in $L_{3}^{0}$ and for which the extension $L_{3, x_{0}}^{0} / L_{x_{0}}^{0}$ is isomorphic to $K_{3} / K$. (This may be constructed as in lemma 3.6 of [He1]. Using weak approximation one can ensure that all the number fields of that argument can be taken to be totally real). Choose an imaginary quadratic field $M$ and a real quadratic field $N$ such that $N$ is disjoint from $L_{3}^{0}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and such that $p$ splits completely in $M N$. Let $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ denote two places of $M N$ above $p$
which have the same restriction to $M$. Set $L_{1}=M N L^{0}$ and let $x$ (resp. $y$ ) denote the places of $L$ which lie above $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ (resp. $x_{0}$ and $y_{1}$ ). Also set $L_{1}=L^{0} M N$ and $L_{3}=L_{3}^{0} M N$. Let $x$ (resp. $y$ ) denote the place of $L_{1}$ above $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ (resp. $y_{1}$ ). Thus $x$ and $y$ are inert in $L_{3}$ and the extension $L_{3, x} / L_{1, x}$ and $K_{3} / K$ and $L_{3, y} / L_{1, y}$ are all isomorphic.

Fix a pair $\left(K_{2}, \chi\right)$ as in the lemma and let $L_{2} / L_{1}$ be the subfield of $L_{3}$ corresponding to $K_{2} \subset K_{3}$ under the isomorphism of $L_{3, x} / L_{1, x}$ and $K_{3} / K$.

Choose $p_{\tau}$ as in corollary 12.10 and all divisible by the inertial degree $f_{K_{2} / K}$. Let $\chi_{\infty}$ denote the character of $L_{2, \infty}^{\times}$corresponding to this choice of $p_{\tau}$. Also choose a character $\chi_{y}$ of $K_{2}^{\times}$for which the stabiliser of $\chi_{2} \circ N_{K_{3} / K_{2}}$ in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{3} / K_{1}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{3} / K_{2}\right)$. (For this it suffices to choose a finite order character $\chi_{y}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{2}}^{\times}$with the same property. Again it suffices to choose a continuous homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{K_{2}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with the same property (then compose it with a character of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of sufficiently large order). Again it suffices to choose a $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-linear map $\mathcal{O}_{K_{2}}^{\times} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with the same property. But using the $p$-adic log and the normal basis theorem we find that there is a commutative diagram

where the top horizontal map is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{3} / K\right)$-equivariant, where the left hand vertical map is induced by the norm map and where the right hand vertical map is the natural projection. The existence of the desired homomorphism is now immediate.) Now as in the proof of corollary 12.9 we can find a character $\widetilde{\chi}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{2}}^{\times}$such that

- $\tilde{\chi}^{-1}=\widetilde{\chi}_{c}$,
- $\left.\widetilde{\chi}\right|_{L_{2, \infty}^{\times}}=\chi_{\infty}$,
- $\widetilde{\chi}_{x} \chi^{-1}$ is unramified,
- and $\tilde{\chi}_{y} \chi_{y}^{-1}$ is unramified.
(One must use the fact that $x$ and $y$ are split over the maximal totally real subfield $L_{2}^{+}$of $L_{2}$. The argument is easier than in the proof of corollary 12.9 because $\left.\chi_{\infty}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{2}^{+}}^{\times}}=1$.)

Now set $\psi_{\infty}=\left.\chi_{\infty}\right|_{L_{1, \infty}^{\times}} ^{-1 / f_{K_{2} / K}}$ and choose a character $\psi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{L_{1}}^{\times}$which is unramified at $x$ and which restricts to $\psi_{\infty}$ at $\infty$. One can check that $\widetilde{\chi}_{x}\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ $N_{L_{2, x} / L_{1, x}}$ ) has finite order and hence is a twist of $\chi$ by an unramified character
of finite order. Replacing $\psi$ by a twist by a suitable character of finite order one may assume that $\chi=\widetilde{\chi}_{x}\left(\psi_{x} \circ N_{L_{2, x} / L_{1, x}}\right)$.

Finally we set

$$
I_{K_{2}}^{K}(\chi)=I_{L_{2}}^{L_{1}}(\widetilde{\chi})_{x} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right) .
$$

The lemma follows from corollary 12.10.
We will let Cusp $\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{g}(K)$ and we will let

$$
\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}=\bigcup_{g=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right) .
$$

We will let $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right]$ denote the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis the elements of Cusp $_{K}$. Then we may extend the definition of $L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right), \epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Phi\right)$ and $\gamma\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Phi\right)$ to bilinear maps from $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right] \times \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right]$ to the multiplicative abelian group of non-zero meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$. We may also extend

- $\operatorname{rec}_{l}$ to a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Groth}_{l}\left(W_{K}\right)$,
- $\vee$ to a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right]$,
- and $\otimes(\psi \circ$ det $)$ to a $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right]$, for any character $\psi$ of $K^{\times}$.
(Note that to any irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G L_{g}(K)$ we can associate a class $[\pi] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}\right]$, i.e. if $\pi$ is a subquotient of n - $\operatorname{Ind}\left(\pi_{1} \times \ldots \times \pi_{r}\right)$ with each $\pi_{i}$ irreducible supercuspidal then $[\pi]=\left[\pi_{1}\right]+\ldots+\left[\pi_{r}\right]$. Note however that we do not in general have $L(\pi, s)=L([\pi], s)$ etc.)

Lemma 12.12 Fix a finite Galois extension $K_{3} / K$. We can associate to any irreducible $g$-dimensional representation $r$ of $W_{K} / W_{K_{3}}$, an element $\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right]$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathrm{Cusp}_{K}\right]$ with the following properties.

1. For any such $r$ we have $\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right]=r$.
2. For any irreducible representations $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ of $W_{K} / W_{K_{3}}$ and any character $\psi$ of $K^{\times}$of finite order, we have
$\gamma\left(\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})\right] \times\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)=\gamma\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi) \otimes\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)$.
Proof: This follows from the previous lemma and from Brauer's theorem that representations induced from characters of subgroups form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the Grothendieck group of virtual representations of the finite group $W_{K} / W_{K_{3}}$.

Corollary 12.13 Fix a finite Galois extension $K_{3} / K$. If $r$ is an irreducible representation of $W_{K}$ then $\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right]$ can be represented by a supercuspidal representation $\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)$. The map

$$
r \longmapsto \pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)
$$

is an injection from the irreducible representations of $W_{K} / W_{K_{3}}$ to $\operatorname{Cusp}_{K}$.
Proof: Suppose that $\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right]=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left[\pi_{i}\right]$ where the $\left[\pi_{i}\right]$ are distinct elements of Cusp ${ }_{K}$. Then

$$
\gamma\left(\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right] \times\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right]^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

has a zero at $s=0$ of order $\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}$ (see proposition 8.1 of [JPSS]). On the other hand

$$
\gamma\left(\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right] \times\left[\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)\right]^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)=\gamma\left(r \otimes r^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

and so has a simple zero at $s=1$. The corollary follows.

Corollary 12.14 Fix a finite Galois extension $K_{3} / K$. If $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are irreducible representations of $W_{K} / W_{K_{3}}$ and if $\psi$ is a continuous character of $K^{\times}$ of finite order then

$$
L\left(\left(\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})\right) \times \pi_{K_{3} / K}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s\right)=L\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi) \otimes\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(\left(\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})\right) \times \pi_{K_{3} / K}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi) \otimes\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

In particular $\pi_{K_{3} / K}(r)$ and $r$ have the same conductor.
Proof: This follows from lemma 12.12 and the previous corollary as in lemma 4.4 and proposition 4.5 of [ He 3$].$

Lemma 12.15 We can associate to any irreducible continuous $g$-dimensional representation $r$ of $W_{K}$ with finite image, an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi(r)$ of $G L_{g}(K)$ with the following properties.

1. For any such $r$ we have $\operatorname{rec}_{l}[\pi(r)]=r$.
2. For any continuous irreducible representations $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ of $W_{K}$ with finite images and for any character $\psi$ of $K^{\times}$of finite order, we have

$$
L\left((\pi(r) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})) \times \pi\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s\right)=L\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi) \otimes\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left((\pi(r) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})) \times \pi\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi) \otimes\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

Proof: As $K$ has finitely many extensions of given degree there are countably many irreducible continuous representations $r$ of $W_{K}$. List them $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots$ and set $g_{i}=\operatorname{dim} r_{i}$. There are only finitely many irreducible supercuspidal representations of $G L_{g_{i}}(K)$ with the same conductor as $r_{i}$ and with central character $\operatorname{det}\left(r_{i}\right) \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}$. (For instance, as there are only finitely many irreducible representations of $D_{K, g_{i}}^{\times}$which are trivial on a given open compact subgroup and which have given central character, this can be deduced from the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence (see sections 2.5 and 2.6 of [He4]).) For any positive integer $I$ we may find a set $\mathcal{K}_{I}$ of finite Galois extensions of $K$ such that

- if $K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{K}_{0}$ then either $K^{\prime} \supset K^{\prime \prime}$ or $K^{\prime \prime} \supset K^{\prime}$;
- $\bigcup_{K^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}_{I}} K^{\prime}=K^{a c}$;
- $\mathcal{K}_{I} \subset \mathcal{K}_{I-1}$;
- and for each $K^{\prime}, K^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{K}_{I}$ and each $i \leq I$ we have

$$
\pi_{K^{\prime} / K}\left(r_{i}\right)=\pi_{K^{\prime \prime} / K}\left(r_{i}\right)
$$

(Argue by recursion on $I$.) Set $\pi\left(r_{i}\right)=\pi_{K^{\prime} / K}\left(r_{i}\right)$ for any $K^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}_{i}$. The lemma now follows easily.

Corollary 12.16 If $r$ is an irreducible continuous representation of $W_{K}$ with finite image and if $\psi$ is a character of $K^{\times}$of finite order then

$$
\pi\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi)\right)=\pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det}) .
$$

Proof: Look at the zero at $s=0$ of

$$
\gamma\left([\pi(r) \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})] \times\left[\pi\left(r \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}(\psi)\right)\right]^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)=\gamma\left(r \otimes r^{\vee}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

Corollary 12.17 The map rec $_{l}$ gives a bijection between isomorphism classes of irreducible supercuspidal representations of $G L_{g}(K)$ with central character of finite order and equivalence classes of $g$-dimensional irreducible continuous representations of $W_{K}$ with finite image.

Proof: This now follows from the previous lemma and corollary and from theorem 1.2 of [ He 4$]$.

Corollary 12.18 The map $\mathrm{rec}_{l}$ gives a bijection between $\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ and the set of equivalence classes of $g$-dimensional irreducible continuous representations of $W_{K}$. Moreover $\pi_{1} \in \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{g_{1}}(K)\right)$ and $\pi_{2} \in \operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{g_{2}}(K)\right)$ then

$$
L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)=L\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s, \Psi\right)
$$

Proof: Any irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ is of the form $\pi \otimes(\psi \circ \operatorname{det})$, where $\psi_{\pi}$ is finite order and $\psi$ is unramified. The corollary follows as in sections 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 of [He5].

Corollary 12.19 The bijection $\mathrm{rec}_{l}$ from $\operatorname{Cusp}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ to the set of equivalence classes of $g$-dimensional irreducible continuous representations of $W_{K}$ is independent of the choice of $l \neq p$ and of the choice of isomorphism $\imath: \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{\text {ac }} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ (which we have assumed is chosen so that $\imath\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{1 / 2}\right.$ is valued in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$).

Proof: This follows from the last corollary and from theorem 4.1 of [He5].

As described in section 4.4 of [Rod] one may naturally extend

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{l}: \operatorname{Cusp}_{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Irr}_{l}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

to a series of bijections

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}: \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{WDRep}_{g}\left(W_{K}\right)
$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We will let $\operatorname{Sp}_{g}=(r, N)$ denote the $g$-dimensional WeilDeligne representation of $W_{K}$ on a complex vector space with basis $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{g-1}$ where

- $r(\sigma) e_{i}=\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i} e_{i}$ for all $\sigma \in W_{K}$ and all $i=0, \ldots, g-1$
- and where $N e_{i}=e_{i+1}$.

Then Rodier sets

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)=\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}\left(\pi_{t}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{K, l}(\pi)=\left(\operatorname{rec}_{l}(\pi), N(\pi)\right)
$$

for some $N(\pi)$.
Theorem 12.20 The map $\mathrm{rec}_{K}$ is a local Langlands correspondence. (See the introduction for the definition of a local Langlands correspondence.)

Proof: That this follows from what we have already proved seems to be well known, but for lack of an explicit reference we sketch the argument.

It follows from lemma 12.7 and the definition of $\mathrm{rec}_{K}$ that

- if $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{1}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)=\pi \circ \operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}$;
- if $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{1}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi \otimes(\chi \circ \operatorname{det}))=$ $\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}(\chi) ;$
- and if $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{det} \operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)=\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\psi_{\pi}\right)$.

Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$. Then we can write

$$
\pi \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)
$$

with $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{t}$ irreducible supercuspidals. Moreover we have

$$
\pi^{\vee} \cong \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}^{\vee} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{1-s_{1}}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}^{\vee} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{1-s_{t}}\right)
$$

(In the case $t=1$ this can be deduced from proposition 1.1 (d) and proposition 2.10 of $[\mathrm{Z}]$. Then the case that $\pi$ is tempered follows from another application of proposition 1.1 (d) of [Z]. Finally the general case follows from proposition 1.1 (d) of [Z] and corollary 2.7 of chapter XI of [BW].) Hence using lemma 12.7, the definition of $\mathrm{rec}_{K}$ and the isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{s}^{\vee} \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{s} \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{1-s}
$$

we see that

- if $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ then $\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)=\operatorname{rec}_{K}(\pi)^{\vee}$.

It remains to check that if $\left[\pi_{1}\right] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{g_{1}}(K)\right)$ and $\left[\pi_{2}\right] \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G L_{g_{2}}(K)\right)$ then

$$
L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)=L\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s, \Psi\right)
$$

Recall that in [JPSS] the factors $L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)$ and $\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)$ are only defined directly for $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ generic. In this case if

$$
\pi_{i} \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t_{i}}}\left(\pi_{i, t_{i}}\right)
$$

with each $\pi_{i, j}$ supercuspidal, then

$$
L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)=\prod_{j_{1}=1}^{t_{1}} \prod_{j_{2}=1}^{t_{2}} L\left(\pi_{1, j_{1}} \times \pi_{2, j_{2}}, s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)=\prod_{j_{1}=1}^{t_{1}} \prod_{j_{2}=1}^{t_{2}} \epsilon\left(\pi_{1, j_{1}} \times \pi_{2, j_{2}}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

(see theorems 3.1 and 9.5 of [JPSS]). In general these formulae are used to define $L\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s\right)$ and $\epsilon\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}, s, \Psi\right)$ for any irreducible admissible $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. As by definition we have

$$
L\left(r_{1} \oplus r_{2}, s\right)=L\left(r_{1}, s\right) L\left(r_{2}, s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(r_{1} \oplus r_{2}, s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(r_{1}, s, \Psi\right) \epsilon\left(r_{2}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

for all Weil-Deligne representations $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, we only need to check that

$$
L\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{2}}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s\right)=L\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{2}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{2}}, s\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{2}}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_{K}\left(\pi_{2}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{2}}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

for all irreducible supercuspidal representations $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$, and for all positive integers $s_{1} \geq s_{2}$.

By theorems 3.1 and 8.2 of [JPSS] (see also equation (14) of section 8.2 of [JPSS]), we see that if $s_{1} \geq s_{2}$ then

$$
L\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{2}}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{s_{2}-1} L\left(\pi_{1} \times\left(\pi_{2} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}+i-1}\right), s\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{2}}\left(\pi_{2}\right), s, \Psi\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{s_{1}-1} \prod_{j=0}^{s_{2}-1} \gamma\left(\left(\pi_{1} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{i}\right) \times\left(\pi_{2} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}\right), s, \Psi\right)
$$

Using corollary 12.18 , we see that it suffices to check if $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are irreducible Weil-Deligne representations of $W_{K}$ and if $s_{1} \geq s_{2}$ are positive integers, then

$$
\left.L\left(r_{1} \otimes r_{2} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{2}}, s\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{s_{2}-1} L\left(r_{1} \otimes r_{2} \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{s_{1}+i-1}\right), s\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma\left(r_{1} \otimes r_{2} \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{2}}, s, \Psi\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{s_{1}-1} \prod_{j=0}^{s_{2}-1} \gamma\left(r_{1} \otimes r_{2} \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i+j}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

Note that if $s_{1} \geq s_{2}$ then

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}} \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{2}} \cong \sum_{i=1}^{s_{2}} \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}+s_{2}+1-2 i} \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i-1}
$$

The desired equality of $L$-factors follows at once from the definitions in section 4.1.6 of [Tat2]. The desired equality of $\gamma$-factors follows easily if we can show that for any irreducible Weil-Deligne representation $r$ of $W_{K}$ we have

$$
\gamma\left(r \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{t}, s, \Psi\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \gamma\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

To prove this we consider two cases. If $r$ is ramified then according to section 4.1.6 of [Tat2] we have

$$
\gamma\left(r \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{t}, s, \Psi\right)=\epsilon\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{t-1} r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}, s, \Psi\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \gamma\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}, s, \Psi\right)
$$

Thus we may suppose that $r$ is unramified and hence that $\operatorname{dim} r=1$. Again using the formulae of section 4.1.6 of [Tat2] we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(r \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{t}, s, \Psi\right)= \\
& \epsilon\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{t-1} r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}, s, \Psi\right)(-1)^{t-1} \prod_{i=0}^{t-2}\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}\right)\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{K}\right) \\
& \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} L\left(r^{\vee} \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{-i}, 1-s\right)\left(\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} L\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}, s\right)\right)^{-1}= \\
& \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \gamma\left(\left.r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|\right|^{s+i}, s, \Psi\right) \prod_{i=0}^{t-2}\left(-\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right| s^{s+i}\right)\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{K}\right)\right. \\
& \left.L\left(r^{\vee} \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{-i-1}, 1-s\right) / L\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{i}, s\right)\right)= \\
& \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \gamma\left(r \otimes\left|\operatorname{Art}_{K}^{-1}\right|^{s+i}, s, \Psi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorem follows.

## I Appendix: Generalities on admissible representations.

If $G$ is a group and $g \in G$ we will let

- $Z(G)$ denote the centre of $G$,
- $Z_{G}(g)$ denote the centraliser of $g$ in $G$,
- and $[g]$ the conjugacy class of $g$ in $G$.

If $\pi$ is a representation of $G$ we will let $W_{\pi}$ denote the vector space on which the image of $\pi$ acts, and we will let $\psi_{\pi}$ denote the central character of $\pi$ (if it has one).

Now suppose that $G$ is a topological group such that every neighbourhood of the identity contains a compact open subgroup. Suppose also that $\Omega$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . then we will let

$$
C_{c}^{\infty}(G)
$$

denote the space of locally constant $\Omega$-valued functions on $G$ with compact support. If $\psi: Z(G) \rightarrow \Omega^{\times}$is an admissible character of $Z(G)$ then we will let

$$
C_{c}^{\infty}(G, \psi)
$$

denote the space of locally constant $\Omega$-valued functions $\varphi$ on $G$ such that

- $\varphi(z g)=\psi(z) \varphi(g)$ for all $z \in Z(G)$ and $g \in G$
- and the image of the support of $\varphi$ in $G / Z(G)$ is compact.

We may choose a (left or right) Haar measure $\mu$ on $G$ such that every compact subgroup of $G$ has measure in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then we may speak of an $\Omega$ valued Haar measure meaning a non-zero element of $\Omega \mu$. If $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$, if $\pi$ is an admissible representation $G$ over $\Omega$ and if we fix an ( $\Omega$-valued) Haar measure on $G$ then we have a well defined endomorphism $\pi(\varphi)$ of $W_{\pi}$. The endomorphism $\pi(\varphi)$ has finite rank and so $\operatorname{tr} \pi(\varphi)$ makes sense. Similarly if $\psi$ is an admissible character of $Z(G)$, if $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G, \psi^{-1}\right)$, if $\pi$ is an admissible representation $G$ with central character $\psi$ and if we fix Haar measures on $G$ and $Z(G)$ then again we have a well defined endomorphism $\pi(\varphi)$ of $W_{\pi}$. Again the endomorphism $\pi(\varphi)$ has finite rank and so $\operatorname{tr} \pi(\varphi)$ makes sense. If $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$ or $C_{c}^{\infty}(G, \psi)$ and if we fix Haar measures on $G$ and $Z_{G}(g)$ then we will let

$$
O_{g}^{G}(\varphi)
$$

denote the integral

$$
\int_{G / Z_{G}(g)} \varphi\left(x g x^{-1}\right) d x
$$

if this integral converges. All these notations depend on a choice of Haar measure(s) which we are suppressing. We will try to make clear in the accompanying text which measures we have chosen. If the choice of Haar measure on a particular group enters twice into a particular formula we will always suppose that we make the same choice both times, unless there is an explicit statement to the contrary. In such cases it will often be irrelevant which choice we make, only that we make a consistent choice.

Now suppose that $H / K$ is a reductive algebraic group. Let $P \subset H$ be a parabolic subgroup with unipotent radical $N \subset P$. If $\pi$ is an admissible representation of $(P / N)(K)$ then we define an admissible representation Ind ${ }_{P}^{H}(\pi)$ of $H(K)$ as follows. The underlying space will be the set of function $f: H(K) \rightarrow W_{\pi}$ such that

- $f(h g)=\pi(h)(f(g))$ for all $g \in H(K)$ and $h \in P(K)$;
- there exists an open subgroup $U \subset H(K)$ such that $f(g u)=f(g)$ for all $u \in U$ and $g \in H(K)$.

If $f$ is such a function and $g \in H(K)$ then we set

$$
(g(f))(h)=f(h g)
$$

for all $h \in H(K)$. Conversely if $\pi$ is an admissible representation of $G(K)$ then the space of $N(K)$-coinvariants $W_{\pi, N(K)}$ is naturally an admissible representation $\pi_{N}$ of $(P / N)(K)$.

Often it is convenient to use instead an alternative normalisation. To describe this, choose a square root $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{1 / 2}: K^{\times} \rightarrow \Omega^{\times}\right.$of $|\right|_{K}$. If $f_{K}$ is even we will suppose that $\left|\left.\right|_{K} ^{1 / 2}\right.$ takes a uniformiser to $p^{-f_{K} / 2}$. Then we will let n- $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}(\pi)$ denote the normalised induction as in [BZ]. Thus n- $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}(\pi)=$ Ind ${ }_{P}^{H}\left(\pi \otimes \delta_{P}^{1 / 2}\right)$ where $\delta_{P}^{1 / 2}(h)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}(h)\right|_{\text {LieN }}\right)\right|_{K}^{1 / 2}$. Similarly if $\pi$ is an admissible representation of $H(K)$, we will define the Jacquet module $J_{N}(\pi)$ to be the admissible representation $\pi_{N} \otimes \delta_{P}^{-1 / 2}$ of $(P / N)(K)$.

Now return to the general topological group $G$ such that every neighbourhood of the identity in $G$ contains a compact open subgroup. Let $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of $G$ over $\Omega$. Let Groth $(G)$ denote the abelian group of formal sums

$$
\sum_{\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} n_{\Pi} \Pi
$$

where $n_{\Pi} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where for any open compact subgroup $U \subset G$ there are only finitely many $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ with both $\Pi^{U} \neq(0)$ and $n_{\Pi} \neq 0$. If $(\pi, V)$ is an admissible representation of $G$ then we will define

$$
[\pi]=\sum_{\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} n_{\Pi}(\pi) \Pi \in \operatorname{Groth}(G)
$$

as follows. Given $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ choose an open compact subgroup $U \subset G$ such that $\Pi^{U} \neq(0)$. Then $\Pi^{U}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / U)$-module. We let $n_{\Pi}(\pi)$ denote the number of $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / U)$-Jordan-Holder factors of $\pi^{U}$ isomorphic to $\Pi^{U}$. This is independent of the choice of $U$. (To see this suppose $U^{\prime} \subset U$. Let $F^{i}$ be a Jordan-Holder filtration on $\pi^{U}$. Let $\left(F^{i}\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}\left(U^{\prime} \backslash G / U\right) F^{i}$. It suffices to show that $\left(F^{i}\right)^{\prime} /\left(F^{i+1}\right)^{\prime}$ contains $\Pi^{U^{\prime}}$ once or not at all depending on whether $F^{i} / F^{i+1}$ is or is not congruent to $\Pi^{U}$. This is easy to verify.) We list some basic properties of this construction.

1. [ ] is additive on short exact sequences.
2. Let $K$ denote a $p$-adic field. Suppose that $G=G_{1} \times G L_{n}(K)$ and that $H=G_{1} \times P(K)$ where $P \subset G L_{n}$ is a parabolic subgroup. Then there is a unique homomorphism $\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{n}(K)}: \operatorname{Groth}(H) \rightarrow \operatorname{Groth}(H)$ such that for any admissible representation $\pi$ of $H$ we have $\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{n}(K)}[\pi]=$ $\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{n}(K)} \pi\right]$.
3. Suppose that $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$ and that $\pi_{i}$ is an admissible representation $G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $\pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{2}$ is an admissible representation of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$. If $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are irreducible so is $\pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{2}$. We can define a product $\operatorname{Groth}\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Groth}\left(G_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ which sends $\left[\Pi_{1}\right] \otimes\left[\Pi_{2}\right]$ to $\left[\Pi_{1} \otimes \Pi_{2}\right]$ for any irreducible admissibles $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$. Then for any admissible representations $\pi_{i}$ of $G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ we have $\left[\pi_{1} \otimes \pi_{2}\right]=\left[\pi_{1}\right]\left[\pi_{2}\right]$.
4. More generally suppose that $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$ and that we have a continuous homomorphism $d: G_{2} \rightarrow Z\left(G_{1}\right)$ with discrete image. Suppose that $\pi_{1}$ is an admissible representation of $G_{1}$ and that $\pi_{2}$ is an admissible representation of $G_{2}$. Then we define the representation $\pi_{1} \otimes_{d} \pi_{2}$ of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ by $\left(\pi_{1} \otimes_{d} \pi_{2}\right)\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(g_{1} d\left(g_{2}\right)\right) \otimes \pi_{2}\left(g_{2}\right)$. Then $\pi_{1} \otimes_{d} \pi_{2}$ is admissible. If $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ are irreducible so is $\Pi_{1} \otimes_{d} \Pi_{2}$ and so we can define a product $\operatorname{Groth}\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Groth}\left(G_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Groth}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ which sends $\left[\Pi_{1}\right] \otimes\left[\Pi_{2}\right]$ to $\left[\Pi_{1} \otimes_{d} \Pi_{2}\right]$. We will denote this product $*_{d}$. Then for any admissible representation $\pi_{1}$ of $G_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ of $G_{2}$ we have $\left[\pi_{1} \otimes_{d} \pi_{2}\right]=$ $\left[\pi_{1}\right] *_{d}\left[\pi_{2}\right]$.

It some special cases it will be convenient to introduce a slight variant of $\operatorname{Groth}(G)$. For this suppose that $l$ is a prime number and $\Omega=\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$. Suppose that $G$ has an open subgroup $H \times \Gamma$. By an $H \times \Gamma$-smooth/continuous representation of $G$ we shall mean a representation $\pi$ of $G$ such that

- $\left.\pi\right|_{H}$ is smooth (i.e. the stabiliser of any element of $W_{\pi}$ is open)
- we can write $W_{\pi}=\lim _{\rightarrow} W_{i}$ where $W_{i}$ are finite dimensional $\Gamma$ invariant subspaces of $W_{\pi}$ such that the representation

$$
\pi: \Gamma \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{i}\right)
$$

is continuous with respect to the $l$-adic topology on $W_{\pi}^{U}$.
By an $H \times \Gamma$-admissible/continuous representation of $G$ we shall mean a representation $\pi$ of $G$ such that

- $\left.\pi\right|_{H}$ is smooth (i.e. the stabiliser of any element of $W_{\pi}$ is open)
- and for any open subgroup $U \subset H$, the vector space $W_{\pi}^{U}$ is finite dimensional and the representation

$$
\pi: \Gamma \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{\pi}^{U}\right)
$$

is continuous with respect to the $l$-adic topology on $W_{\pi}^{U}$.
We will let $\operatorname{Irr}_{H \times \Gamma, l}(G)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $H \times$ $\Gamma$-admissible/continuous representations of $G$. We will also let $\operatorname{Groth}_{H \times \Gamma, l}(G)$ denote the abelian group of formal sums

$$
\sum_{\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{H \times \Gamma, l}(G)} n_{\Pi} \Pi
$$

where $n_{\Pi} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where for any open compact subgroup $U \subset H$ there are only finitely many $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{H \times \Gamma, l}(G)$ with both $\Pi^{U} \neq(0)$ and $n_{\Pi} \neq 0$. If $(\pi, V)$ is an $H \times \Gamma$-admissible/continuous representation of $G$ then we can define $[\pi] \in \operatorname{Groth}_{H \times \Gamma, l}(G)$ as before. We will usually suppress the choice of $H$ and $\Gamma$. Our examples will all be of one of the following forms. Here $K$ will denote a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

1. $H$ is a topological group such that every neighbourhood of the identity in $G$ contains a compact open subgroup, $\Gamma$ is a Galois group with the Krull topology and $G=H \times \Gamma$.
2. $H$ is a topological group such that every neighbourhood of the identity in $G$ contains a compact open subgroup, $\Gamma=I_{K}$ and $G=H \times W_{K}$.
3. $G=A_{K, g}$ (see section 3), $H=G L_{g}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \times \mathcal{O}_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}$and $\Gamma=I_{K}$.

In the latter two cases it is a theorem of Grothendieck that $\operatorname{Irr}_{l}(G)=\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and hence that $\operatorname{Groth}_{l}(G) \subset \operatorname{Groth}(G)$.

Finally consider the following special situation. Let $K$ be a $p$-adic field. For $h=1, \ldots, n-1$ we will let $P_{h}$ denote the parabolic subgroup of $G L_{n}(K)$ consisting of matrices $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ with $g_{i j}=0$ if $i>n-h$ and $j \leq n-h$. We will let $N_{h}$ denote the unipotent radical of $P_{h}$ and $L_{h}$ the Levi component consisting of matrices $\left(g_{i j}\right) \in P_{h}$ with $g_{i j}=0$ for $i \leq n-h$ and $j>n-h$. Also let $Z_{h}$ denote the centre of $L_{h}$. Abusing notation we will write $N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ for the elements in $P_{h}(F) \cap \wp_{K}^{m} M_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$. This is in fact a group.

Lemma I. 1 1. If $V$ is an admissible $P_{h}(K)$-module and if for all $u \in$ $N_{h}(K)$ we have $(u-1)^{2}=0$ on $V$ then $N_{h}(K)$ acts trivially on $V$.
2. If $V$ is a smooth $P_{h}(K)$-module which is admissible as a $L_{h}(K)$-module then $N_{h}(K)$ acts trivially on $V$.
3. If $G_{1}$ is any locally compact totally disconnected group and if $V$ is a smooth $G_{1} \times P_{h}(K)$-module which ia admissible as a $G_{1} \times L_{h}(K)$-module then $N_{h}(K)$ acts trivially on $V$.

Proof: For the first part consider the open compact subgroups $U_{m}$ consisting of elements of $P_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ which reduce modulo $\wp_{K}^{m}$ to the identity and modulo $\wp_{K}^{2 m}$ to an element of $N_{h}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} / \wp_{K}^{2 m}\right)$. Note that $U_{m}$ is normalised by $N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m}\right)$. Thus $V^{U_{m}}$ is a finite dimensional smooth $N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{m}\right)$-module, and as $N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m}\right)$ is compact, $V^{U_{m}}$ is semi-simple. Thus if $u \in N\left(\wp_{K}^{-m}\right)$ we see that $u=1$ on $V^{U_{m}}$. As $N_{h}(K)=\bigcup_{m} N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{-m}\right)$ and $V=\bigcup_{m} V^{U_{m}}$, the first part of the lemma follows.

Now consider the second part of the lemma. If $\chi$ is a character of $Z_{h}(K)$ then set

$$
V_{i}^{\chi}=\bigcap_{z \in Z_{h}(K)} \operatorname{ker}(z-\chi(z))^{i}
$$

and

$$
V_{\infty}^{\chi}=\bigcup_{i} V_{i}^{\chi}
$$

Because $V$ is an admissible $L_{h}(K)$-module we have

$$
V=\bigoplus_{\chi} V_{\infty}^{\chi}
$$

(This follows because for any open compact subgroup $U \subset L_{h}(K), V^{U}$ is a finite dimensional smooth $Z_{h}(K)$-module, and hence

$$
\left.V^{U}=\bigoplus_{\chi}\left(V_{\chi}^{\infty} \cap V^{U}\right) .\right)
$$

Thus it suffices to show for each $\chi$ and $i$ that $V_{i}^{\chi}$ is a $P_{h}(K)$-module on which $N_{h}(K)$ acts trivially. We will do this by induction on $i$ for fixed $\chi$. For $i=0$ there is nothing to prove. Thus assume the result is true for $V_{i-1}^{\chi}$ and we will prove it for $V_{i}^{\chi}$. By the first part of this lemma it suffices to show that $V_{i}^{\chi} / V_{i-1}^{\chi}$ is a $P_{h}(K)$-submodule of $V / V_{i-1}^{\chi}$ on which $N_{h}(K)$ acts trivially. Suppose that $v \in V / V_{i-1}^{\chi}$. By smoothness, $v$ is invariant by $N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{m}\right)$ for some $m$. If $u$ is any element of $N_{h}(K)$ we may choose $z \in Z_{h}(K)$ such that $z u z^{-1} \in N_{h}\left(\wp_{K}^{m}\right)$. Then we have

$$
u v=u z^{-1} \chi(z) v=\chi(z) z^{-1}\left(z u z^{-1}\right) v=\chi(z) z^{-1} v=v
$$

The second part of the lemma follows.
The third part of the lemma follows easily from the second.

## II Appendix: Vanishing cycles

Here we will collect some facts about vanishing cycles.
Let $K / \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ be a finite field extension, let $\mathcal{O}$ denote its ring of integers and let $l$ be a prime integer different from $p$. Suppose that $X / \mathcal{O}$ is a proper scheme of finite type.

Lemma II. 1 If $x$ is a closed point of $X$ then $\left(R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{X}\right)_{x}$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-vector space with a continuous action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{a c} / K\right)$.

Lemma II. 2 If $\mathcal{L} / X$ is a lisse $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{\text {acc }}$-sheaf then

$$
R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}(\mathcal{L}) \cong\left(R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{s},
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{s}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to the special fibre of $X$.
Lemma II. 3 Suppose that $Y / X$ is a finite cover with an action of a finite group $G$. Suppose that the generic fibres are a Galois etale cover with group $G$. Suppose that $x$ is a closed point of $X$ which is totally ramified in $Y$, and let $y$ be its preimage in $Y$. Then

$$
\left(R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{X}\right)_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{Y}\right)_{y}^{G}
$$

In [Berk3], a vanishing cycles functor is constructed for a certain class of formal schemes over $\mathcal{O}$. The comparison theorem 3.1 of [Berk3] implies that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a formal scheme over $\mathcal{O}$, which is isomorphic to the formal completion of a proper scheme of finite type $X / \mathcal{O}$ along a subscheme $Y$ of the special fibre of $X$, then there is a canonical isomorphism of sheaves

$$
\left.R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\mathcal{X}_{\eta}} \cong R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)_{X_{\eta}}\right|_{Y} .
$$

It follows that the projective system of constructible sheaves $R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Z} / l^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)_{\mathcal{X}_{\eta}}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$-sheaf and, therefore, there is a well defined $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-sheaf $R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X}}$. It is canonically isomorphic to $\left.R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{X}\right|_{Y}$, and the automorphism group of the formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ acts on it. More generally the construction of $R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X}}$ is functorial in $\mathcal{X}$, i.e. if $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are two such formal schemes and if $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ then there is a natural map of $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-sheaves on $\mathcal{X}^{\text {red }}$

$$
f^{*}:\left(f^{\mathrm{red}}\right)^{*} R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X}}
$$

The continuity theorem from [Berk3] implies that there exists an ideal of definition of $\mathcal{X}$ such that any automorphism of $\mathcal{X}$, trivial modulo this ideal, acts trivially on the $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$-sheaves $R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X}}$. The construction of $R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X}}$ extends to any special formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ which is etale locally isomorphic to the formal completion of a proper scheme of finite type $\mathbb{X} / \mathcal{O}$ along a subscheme $Y$ of the special fibre of $X$. Again this construction is functorial in $\mathcal{X}$. The following lemma follows easily by reduction to the algebraic case using Berkovich's comparison theorem.

Lemma II. 4 Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are special formal schemes each of which is etale locally isomorphic to the formal completion of a proper scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{O}$ along a subscheme of its special fibre. Then

$$
R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \cong \bigoplus_{j} R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes R^{i} \Psi_{\eta}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}\right)_{\mathcal{Y}}
$$

We end this section with a lemma of Berkovich's (see the lemma in [Berk4]).
Lemma II. 5 (Berkovich) Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be special affine formal schemes, say $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ and $\mathcal{Y}=\operatorname{Spf} B$. Let $J \subset B$ be the maximal ideal of definition for $\mathcal{Y}$, and set $\mathcal{Y}\{N\}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(B / J^{N+1}\right)$. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to the formal completion of an affine scheme of finite type $X=\operatorname{Spec} A^{\prime} / \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ along a closed subscheme of its special fibre. Let $I^{\prime} \subset A^{\prime}$ be the maximal ideal of definition of this subscheme. Furthermore assume that we are given projective
systems $\left\{\mathcal{X}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{Y}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ of finite etale coverings of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{n}\{N\}$ denote the pull back of $\mathcal{Y}\{N\}$ to $\mathcal{Y}_{n}$. Suppose finally that we are given compatible morphisms

$$
\varphi_{n}: \mathcal{Y}_{n}\{n\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{n}
$$

Then given any positive integer $N$ for $m \gg 0$ (depending on $N$ ) we can find a morphism

$$
\varphi: \mathcal{Y}_{m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{m}
$$

such that

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathcal{Y}_{m}\{N\}}=\left.\varphi_{m}\right|_{\mathcal{Y}_{m}\{N\}} .
$$

Proof: As in the proof of the lemma in [Berk4] we see that we have $\mathcal{X}_{n}=$ $\operatorname{Spf} A_{n}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{n}=\operatorname{Spf} B_{n}$, where $A_{n} / A$ and $B_{n} / B$ are finite etale. We set $B_{\infty}=\lim _{\rightarrow} B_{n}$ and $J_{\infty}=J B_{\infty}$. Again as in the proof of the lemma of [Berk4] we see that $\left(B_{\infty}, J_{\infty}\right)$ is a Henselian pair. The map $\varphi_{n}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{n}^{\prime}: A^{\prime} \longrightarrow A_{n} \longrightarrow B_{n} / J^{n+1} B_{n} \longrightarrow B_{\infty} / J_{\infty}^{n+1}
$$

By corollary 1 on page 567 and remark 2 on page 587 of [El] we see that there exists an integer $t$ such that for all $n \gg 0$ there exists a homomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{n}: A^{\prime} \longrightarrow B_{\infty}
$$

with

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{n} \equiv \varphi_{n}^{\prime} \bmod J_{\infty}^{n+1-t}
$$

Fix such an $n$, which we also suppose greater than $N+t$.
Note that as $A^{\prime} / \mathcal{O}$ is finitely generated $\widetilde{\varphi}_{n}$ is in fact valued in some $B_{m}$ for $m \gg 0$. As $J_{\infty}^{a} \cap B_{m}=J^{a} B_{m}$ we see that for $m \gg 0$

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{n} \equiv \varphi_{m} \bmod J^{N+1} B_{m}
$$

Thus $\widetilde{\varphi}_{n}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \subset J B_{m}$ and so we may extend $\widetilde{\varphi}_{n}$ to a continuous homomorphism $A \rightarrow B_{m}$ such that

commutes. By lemma 6.2 we see that we get a morphism

$$
\varphi: A_{m} \longrightarrow B_{m}
$$

with $\varphi \equiv \varphi_{m} \bmod J^{N+1} B_{m}$, as desired.

## III Appendix: Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$.

In this appendix we will explain how the theory of Honda and Tate [Tat1] allows us to classify simple abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$.

By a CM field we will mean a number field $M$ such that for any embedding $i: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the image $i M$ is stable under complex conjugation and such that the automorphism $c$ of $M$ induced by complex conjugation on $i M$ is independent of the embedding $i$. Equivalently either $M$ is totally real or a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field. We will let $\mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right]$ denote the free abelian group on the places of $M$ above $p$. If $i: M \hookrightarrow N$ is a finite extension we get natural maps $i_{*}: \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{N}\right]$ induced by $x \mapsto \sum_{y \mid x} e_{y / x} y$ and $i^{*}: \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{N}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right]$ induced by $y \mapsto f_{y / x} x$ if $y \mid x$. If $I$ is a fractional ideal of $M$ then we set $[I]=\sum_{x} x(I) x \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right]$.

By a $p$-adic type for a CM field $M$ we shall mean an element $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right]$ such that $\eta+c_{*} \eta=[p]$. We will call $p$-adic types $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right]$ and $\eta^{\prime} \in$ $\mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M^{\prime}}\right]$ equivalent if there is a $C M$ field $M^{\prime \prime}$, a $p$-adic type $\eta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M^{\prime \prime}}\right]$ and embeddings $i: M^{\prime \prime} \hookrightarrow M$ and $i^{\prime}: M^{\prime \prime} \hookrightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that $i_{*}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta$ and $i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime}$. By a $p$-adic type we shall mean an equivalence class of $p$-adic types for various CM fields $M$. Then any $p$-adic type $\mathfrak{b}$ has a minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ such that if $\left(M^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{b}$ then there exists $i: M \hookrightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that $\eta^{\prime}=i_{*} \eta$. (To see this choose $\left(M^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{b}$ with $M^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}$ Galois, let $H$ denote the subgroup of $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ such that $\sigma_{*} \eta^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}$ and set $M=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{H}$.) We call a $p$-adic type $\mathfrak{b}$ ordinary if for any $(M, \eta) \in \mathfrak{b}$ and any $x \in \mathfrak{P}_{M}$ we have $\eta_{x} \eta_{c x}=0$.

Suppose that $q=p^{r}$ is a power of $p$. By a $q$-number one means an algebraic number $\pi$ such that for any embedding $i: \mathbb{Q}[\pi] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have $\pi c(\pi)=q$. To any $q$-number $\pi$ we associate a CM-type $\mathfrak{b}(\pi)=[(\mathbb{Q}[\pi],[\pi] / r)]$. To $\pi$ Honda and Tate also associate a simple abelian variety $A_{\pi} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$. The simple factors of $A_{\pi} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathbb{F}_{q^{s}}$ are all isogenous to $A_{\pi^{s}}$. Thus all the simple factors of $A_{\pi} \times_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$ are isogenous: we will denote them $A_{\pi}^{\prime} / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$. We see that $A_{\pi}^{\prime} \sim A_{\pi^{s}}^{\prime}$. If $\mathfrak{b}(\pi)=\mathfrak{b}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ then we can find positive integers $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ such that (up to Galois conjugation) $\left[\pi^{n}\right]=\left[\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{n^{\prime}}\right]$. Then (after replacing $\pi$ by a suitable Galois conjugate) $\pi^{n} /\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{n^{\prime}}$ is a unit with all archimedean absolute values 1 and hence a root of unity. Thus we can find a positive integer $m$ such that $\pi^{n m}$ and $\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{n^{\prime} m}$ are Galois conjugate. Thus $A_{\pi^{n m}}$ is isogenous to $A_{\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{n^{\prime} m}}$ and so $A_{\pi}^{\prime} \sim A_{\pi^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. This allows us to write $A_{\mathfrak{b}(\pi)}$ for $A_{\pi}^{\prime} / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$. If $\eta$ is is any $p$-adic type for a CM field $M$ the for some positive integer $r$ we have that $r \eta \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M}\right]$ and hence for a second positive integer $h$ we have that $r h \eta=[\alpha]$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{M}$. Then $\beta=\alpha c(\alpha) / p^{r h}$ is a unit in the ring of integers of the maximally totally real subfield $M^{+}$of $M$. Thus $\pi=\alpha^{2} \beta^{-1}$ is a $p^{2 h r}$-number and $\mathfrak{b}(\pi)=[(M, \eta)]$.

Thus to any $p$-adic type we can associate a well defined isogeny class of simple abelian varieties $A_{\mathfrak{b}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$. It follows easily from the theory of Honda and Tate that this gives a bijection between $p$-types and isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$. The following further results also follow easily from their theory.

1. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a $p$-adic type with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ then $\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)$ is the division algebra with centre $M$ and invariants

- $\operatorname{inv}{ }_{x} \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)=1 / 2$ if $x$ is real;
- $\operatorname{inv}{ }_{x} \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)=\eta_{x} f_{x / p}$ if $x \mid p ;$
- $\operatorname{inv}_{x} \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)=0$ otherwise.

2. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a $p$-adic type with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ then $\operatorname{dim} A_{\mathfrak{b}}=$ $[M: \mathbb{Q}]\left[\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right): M\right]^{1 / 2} / 2$.
3. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a $p$-adic type with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ and if $x$ is a place of $M$ above $p$ then $A_{\mathfrak{b}}\left[x^{\infty}\right]$ has height $\left[M_{x}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]\left[\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right): M\right]^{1 / 2}$ and its Newton polygon has pure slope $\eta_{x} / e_{x / p}$.

Now fix a CM field $F$ and a central simple $F$-algebra $B$. If $M / F$ and $M^{\prime} / F$ are CM field extensions and if $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are $p$-adic types for $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ respectively the we will call them equivalent over $F$ if there is a CM field extension $M^{\prime \prime} / F$, a $p$-adic type $\eta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\mathfrak{P}_{M^{\prime \prime}}\right]$ and embeddings $i: M^{\prime \prime} \hookrightarrow M$ and $i^{\prime}: M^{\prime \prime} \hookrightarrow M$ over $F$ such that $i_{*}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta$ and $i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime}$. By a $p$-adic type over $F$ we shall mean an $F$-equivalence class of $p$-adic types for various CM fields $M / F$. Then any $p$-adic type $\mathfrak{b}$ has a minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ such that if $\left(M^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{b}$ then there exists $i: M \hookrightarrow M^{\prime}$ over $F$ such that $\eta^{\prime}=i_{*} \eta$.

Now let $B$ be an $F$-division algebra. We will consider the category of pairs $(A, i)$ up to isogeny, where $A / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}$ is an abelian variety and $i: B \hookrightarrow$ End ${ }^{0}\left(A / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{a c}\right)$. As in section 3 of $[\mathrm{Ko} 3]$ we can use the results of the last paragraph to describe the simple objects of this category. They are in bijection with $p$-adic types over $F$. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is such a type we will let $\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}, i_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)$ denote the corresponding simple object. We have the following additional properties.

1. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a $p$-adic type over $F$ with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ then End ${ }_{B}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)$ is the division algebra with centre $M$ and invariants

- $\operatorname{inv}_{x} \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)=1 / 2-\operatorname{inv}_{x}\left(B \otimes_{F} M\right)$ if $x$ is real;
- $\operatorname{inv}_{x} \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)=\eta_{x} f_{x / p}-\operatorname{inv}_{x}\left(B \otimes_{F} M\right)$ if $x \mid p$;
- $\operatorname{inv}{ }_{x} \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)=-\operatorname{inv}{ }_{x}\left(B \otimes_{F} M\right)$ otherwise.

2. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a $p$-adic type over $F$ with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ then $\operatorname{dim} A_{\mathfrak{b}}=[M: \mathbb{Q}][B: F]^{1 / 2}\left[\operatorname{End}_{B}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right): M\right]^{1 / 2} / 2$.
3. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a $p$-adic type over $F$ with minimal representative $(M, \eta)$ and if $x$ is a place of $M$ above $p$ then $A_{\mathfrak{b}}\left[x^{\infty}\right]$ has height $\left[M_{x}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right][B$ : $F]^{1 / 2}\left[\operatorname{End}\left(A_{\mathfrak{b}}\right): M\right]^{1 / 2}$ and its Newton polygon has pure slope $\eta_{x} / e_{x / p}$.

## IV Appendix: The local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, pseudo-coefficients and Zelevinsky's classification

Let $\Omega$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and of cardinality equal to that of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $K$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Suppose that $V / \Omega$ is a vector space and that $\pi: G L_{g}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ is an irreducible admissible representation with central character $\psi_{\pi}$. We will call $\pi$ supercuspidal if for any $v \in V$ and $f$ in the smooth dual of $V$ the function $G L_{g}(K) \rightarrow \Omega$ which sends

$$
x \longmapsto f(x v)
$$

is compactly supported modulo the centre $K^{\times}$of $G L_{g}(K)$. Choose an embedding of fields $\imath: \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We will call $\pi$ square integrable if for any $v \in V$ and $f$ in the smooth dual of $V$ the function $G L_{g}(K) / K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which sends

$$
x \longmapsto|\imath(f(x v))|^{2}\left|\imath\left(\psi_{\pi}(\operatorname{det} x)\right)\right|^{-2 / g}
$$

is integrable. It follows from Zelevinsky's classification [Z] that this definition is independent of the choice of $\imath$. We will call $\pi, \imath$-preunitary if there is a pairing $($,$) from V \times V$ to $\mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\left(a v_{1}+v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=\imath(a)\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right)+\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ for all $a \in \Omega$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in V$,
- $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$ for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$ (where $c$ denotes complex conjugation),
- $(v, v)>0$ for all non-zero $v \in V$,
- $\left(\pi(x) v_{1}, \pi(x) v_{2}\right)=\left|\imath\left(\psi_{\pi}(\operatorname{det} g)\right)\right|^{2 / g}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$ and $x \in$ $G L_{g}(K)$.

Rogawski ([Rog2]) and Deligne, Kazhdan and Vigneras (see [DKV]) have shown the existence of a unique bijection, which we will denote JL, from irreducible admissible representations of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$to square integrable irreducible admissible representations of $G L_{g}(K)$ such that if $\rho$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$then the character $\chi_{\mathrm{JL}(\rho)}$ of $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)$ satisfies

- $\chi_{\mathrm{JL}(\rho)}(\gamma)=0$ if $\gamma \in G L_{g}(K)$ is regular semi-simple but not elliptic,
- $\chi_{\mathrm{JL}(\rho)}(\gamma)=(-1)^{g-1} \operatorname{tr} \rho(\delta)$ if $\gamma \in G L_{g}(K)$ is regular semi-simple and elliptic and if $\delta$ is an element of $D_{K, g}^{\times}$with the same characteristic polynomial as $\gamma$.

If $\pi$ is a square integrable irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ with central character $\psi_{\pi}$ then it seems that Deligne, Kazhdan and Vigneras also show the existence of a function $\varphi_{\pi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G L_{g}(K), \psi_{\pi}^{-1}\right)$, which we will call a pseudo-coefficient for $\pi$, with the following properties. (We always use associated measures on inner forms of the same group.)

- $\operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / K^{\times}\right)$.
- Suppose that

$$
G L_{g_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{g_{s}}=L \subset P \subset G L_{g}
$$

is a Levi component of a parabolic subgroup of $G L_{g}$. Suppose also that for $i=1, \ldots, s$ we are given a square integrable irreducible admissible representation $\pi_{i}$ of $G L_{g_{i}}(K)$ such that $\pi_{i} \not \not \approx \pi$ and such that $\psi_{\pi_{1}} \ldots \psi_{\pi_{s}}=$ $\psi_{\pi}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi_{1} \times \ldots \times \pi_{s}\right)\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)=0
$$

- If $\gamma \in G L_{g}(K)$ is a non-elliptic regular semi-simple element then

$$
O_{\gamma}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)=0
$$

- If $\gamma \in G L_{g}(K)$ is an elliptic regular semi-simple element and if $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$ has the same characteristic polynomial as $\gamma$ then

$$
O_{\gamma}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)=(-1)^{g-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / Z_{D_{K, g}^{\times}}(\delta)\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)(\delta) .
$$

(See section A. 4 of [DKV], especially the introduction to that section and subsection A.4.1 .)

Lemma IV. 1 Let $\pi$ be a square integrable representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ and let $\varphi_{\pi}$ be a pseudo-coefficient for $\pi$ as above.

1. If $\gamma \in G L_{g}(K)$ is a non-elliptic semi-simple element then

$$
O_{\gamma}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)=0 .
$$

2. If $\gamma \in G L_{g}(K)$ is an elliptic semi-simple element and if $\delta \in D_{K, g}^{\times}$has the same characteristic polynomial as $\gamma$ then

$$
O_{\gamma}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)=(-1)^{g\left(1-[K(\gamma): K]^{-1}\right)} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / Z_{D_{K, g}^{\times}}(\delta)\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)(\delta) .
$$

Proof: Consider the first part. Let $T$ be a maximal torus containing $\gamma$. Then

$$
0=\sum_{u} \Gamma_{u}(t) O_{\gamma u}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)
$$

where $u$ runs over a set of representatives of the unipotent conjugacy classes in $Z_{G L_{g}}(\gamma)(K)$, where $\Gamma_{u}$ denotes the Shalika germ associated to $u$ and where and where $t$ is any regular element of $T$ sufficiently close to $\gamma$. Then homogeneity ([HC], theorem 14(1)) tells us that

$$
0=\Gamma_{1}(t) O_{\gamma u}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)
$$

for any regular $t \in T$ sufficiently close to $\gamma$. By $[\operatorname{Rog} 1], \Gamma_{1}(t)$ is not identically zero near $\gamma$ and the first part of the lemma follows.

Consider now the second part. Let $T$ be an elliptic maximal torus in $G L_{g}(K)$ containing $\gamma$. We can and will also think of $T \subset D_{K, g}^{\times}$. Then we can take $\delta$ to be $\gamma \in T \subset D_{K, g}^{\times}$. For $t$ a regular element of $T$ sufficiently close to $\gamma$ we have

$$
(-1)^{g-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / T\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)(t)=\sum_{u} \Gamma_{u}(t) O_{\gamma u}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)
$$

where $u$ runs over a set of representatives of the unipotent conjugacy classes in $Z_{G L_{g}}(\gamma)(K)$ and where $\Gamma_{u}$ denotes the Shalika germ associated to $u$. Again using homogeneity ([HC], theorem 14(1)) we see that

$$
(-1)^{g-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / T\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)(\delta)=O_{\gamma}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow \gamma} \Gamma_{1}(t)
$$

Thus it suffices to check that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{1}(t)=(-1)^{g /[K(\gamma): K]-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{D_{K, g}^{\times}}(\delta) / T\right) .
$$

This is independent of the choices of measures, as long as we choose associated measures on $Z_{G L_{g}}(\gamma)(K)$ and $Z_{D_{K, g}}(\delta)$. (Choices of Haar measures on
$Z_{G L_{g}}(\gamma)(K)$ and $T$ are implicit in the definition of $\Gamma_{u}$.) Thus we may choose any measure on $K^{\times}$and Euler-Poincaré measure on $T$ and $Z_{G L_{g}}(\gamma)(K)$ (see section 1 of $[K o 5])$. Then we must use $(-1)^{g /[K(\gamma): K]-1}$ times Euler-Poincaré measure on $Z_{D_{K, g}}^{\times}(\delta)$ (by theorem 1 of [Ko5]). According to [Rog2] with these choices of measures $\Gamma_{1}(t)=1$ for regular $t \in T$ sufficiently close to $\gamma$. On the other hand according to [Se] with these measures $\operatorname{vol}\left(T / K^{\times}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{D_{K, g}}(\delta) / K^{\times}\right)=1$. The second part of the lemma follows.

Suppose that $s \mid g$ is a positive integer and that $\pi$ is a supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$. Let $Q_{s}$ denote a parabolic subgroup of $G L_{g}$ with Levi component $G L_{g / s}^{s}$. Zelevinsky ([Z]) describes the irreducible subquotients of

$$
\operatorname{nn-Ind}_{Q_{s}(K)}^{G L g_{g}(K)}\left(\pi \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}| \times \ldots \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)
$$

as follows. Let $\Gamma(s, \pi)$ be the graph with vertices labelled $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, s-1$ and with one edge between $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{j}$ and $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j+1}$ for $j=0, \ldots, s-2$ and no other edges. Zelevinsky shows that there is a bijection between directed graphs $\vec{\Gamma}$ with underlying undirected graph $\Gamma(s, \pi)$ and irreducible subquotients of

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{Q_{s}(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}| \times \ldots \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)
$$

which, following Zelevinsky's notation, we will denote $\vec{\Gamma} \mapsto \omega(\vec{\Gamma})$.
Some particular subquotients will be of special importance for us. So we will let $\vec{\Gamma}_{a b c}(\pi)$ denote the directed graph with vertices labelled $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots a+b+c-1$ and

- a single edge from $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ to $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j-1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, a-1$ and for $j=a+b+1, \ldots, a+b+c-1$,
- and a single edge from $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{j-1}$ to $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ for $j=a, \ldots, a+b$.

Similarly we will let $\vec{\Gamma}_{a b c}^{\prime}(\pi)$ denote the directed graph with vertices labelled $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots a+b+c-1$ and

- a single edge from $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{j-1}$ to $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, a$ and for $j=a+b, \ldots, a+b+c-1$,
- and a single edge from $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j+1}$ to $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j}$ for $j=a, \ldots, a+b-2$.

More over we will denote $\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{t, s-1-t, 0}\right)=\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{0, t, s-1-t}^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{t}(\pi) \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{t}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right),
$$

for any $t=0, \ldots, s-1$.
Zelevinsky ([Z]) has proved the following results.

- $\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)$ is square integrable and any square integrable representation is of this form for a unique positive integer $s \mid g$ and a unique supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G L_{g / s}(K)$.
- The only generic ("non-degenerate" in Zelevinsky's terminology) subquotient of $n-\operatorname{Ind}_{Q_{s}(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}| \times \ldots \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)$.

Moreover Tadic ([Tad]) has shown that

- for any embedding $\imath: \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the only $\imath$-preunitary subquotients of $\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{Q_{s}(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}| \times \ldots \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)$ are $\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)$ and $\pi \boxplus(\pi \otimes$ $|\operatorname{det}|) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)$.

Lemma IV. 2 Suppose that $s_{1}+s_{2}=s \mid g$ are positive integers and that $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$. Set $g_{i}=s_{i} g / s$ and let $P$ denote a parabolic subgroup of $G L_{s}$ with Levi component $G L_{g_{1}} \times G L_{g_{2}}$. Let $\vec{\Gamma}_{1}$ (resp. $\vec{\Gamma}_{2}$ ) be an oriented graph with unoriented underlying graph $\Gamma\left(s_{1}, \pi\right)$ (resp. $\Gamma\left(s_{2}, \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}\right)$ ). Let $\vec{\Gamma}$ and $\vec{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ be the two (distinct) oriented graphs with underlying unoriented graph $\Gamma(s, \pi)$ which agree with $\vec{\Gamma}_{1}$ on $\Gamma\left(s_{1}, \pi\right)$ and with $\vec{\Gamma}_{2}$ on $\Gamma\left(s_{2}, \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{2}}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L g(K)}\left(\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \times \omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{2}\right)\right)$ has a JordanHolder series of length two and the two Jordan-Holder factors are $\omega(\vec{\Gamma})$ and $\omega\left(\overrightarrow{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)$.

Proof: We may and will assume that $Q_{s} \subset P$. Let $U_{s}$ denote the unipotent radical of $Q_{s}$. By section 1.6 and theorem 2.8 of $[\mathrm{Z}]$ we can compute the Jordan-Holder factors of $J_{U_{s}}\left(\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{n}(K)}\left(\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \times \omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}_{2}\right)\right)\right)$. We find that they are all products (each taken with multiplicity one) of the form

$$
\left(\rho \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j_{1}}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(\rho \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{j_{s}}\right),
$$

where $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}$ runs over all permutations of $0, \ldots, s-1$ such that $j_{i}<j_{i^{\prime}}$ if there is an edge of either $\vec{\Gamma}_{1}$ or $\vec{\Gamma}_{2}$ running from $i$ to $i^{\prime}$. This is the same as the union (as sets with multiplicities) of the Jordan-Holder factors of $\omega(\vec{\Gamma})$ and $\omega\left(\vec{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ (see theorem 2.8 of $[\mathrm{Z}]$ ). The lemma then follows from theorem 2.2 of [Z].

Lemma IV. 3 Suppose that $s \mid g$ are positive integers and that $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$. For $h=0, \ldots, g-1$ let $N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ be the unipotent subgroup of $G L_{g}$ introduced at the start of section 10.

1. If $g \nmid$ sh then $J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)\right)=(0)$ and $J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}\left(\pi \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right)=(0)$.
2. If $s h=g h^{\prime}$ for some positive integer $h^{\prime}$ then

$$
J_{N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)\right)=\operatorname{Sp}_{h^{\prime}}\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-h^{\prime}}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s-h^{\prime}}(\pi)
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
J_{N_{h}^{\text {op }}}\left(\pi \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right)=\left(\pi \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}-1}\right)\right) \times \\
\times\left(\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{h^{\prime}}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof: These results follow easily from theorem 2.2 of $[\mathrm{Z}]$.

Lemma IV. 4 Suppose that $s \mid g$ are positive integers and that $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$.

1. If $\pi^{\prime}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ which is not a subquotient of $\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{Q_{s}(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}| \times \ldots \times \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)$ then

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)}\right)=0
$$

2. If $P$ is a proper parabolic subgroup of $G L_{g}$ with Levi component $G L_{g_{1}} \times$ $G L_{g_{2}}$ and if $\pi_{1}$ (resp. $\pi_{2}$ ) is an irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g_{1}}(K)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.G L_{g_{2}}(K)\right)$ then

$$
\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}\right)\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)}\right)=0
$$

3. Suppose that $\vec{\Gamma}$ is an oriented graph with underlying unoriented graph $\Gamma(s, \pi)$ and that $\vec{\Gamma}$ has s edges oriented from $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{j}$ to $\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|{ }^{j+1}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{tr} \omega(\Gamma)\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)}\right)=(-1)^{s} \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / K^{\times}\right) .
$$

Proof: For the first part note that the proof of lemma A.4.f of [DKV] shows that $\pi^{\prime}$ can be written in Groth $\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ as an integral linear combination of n- $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{i}(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ where $P_{i}$ runs over parabolic subgroups of $G L_{g}$, where $\pi_{i}^{\prime}$ is an irreducible square integrable representation of the Levi component of $P_{i}(K)$ and where no $\left(P_{i}, \pi_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is conjugate to $\left(G L_{g}, \mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)\right)$. (In fact in the notation of [DKV] we have $r\left(\pi_{i}^{\prime}\right) \neq r\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)\right)$.)

For the second part note that it follows from lemma A.4.f of [DKV] that we may write $\pi_{1} \in \operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{g_{1}}(K)\right)$ as a finite sum

$$
\pi_{1}=\sum_{i} a_{1 i} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1 i}(K)}^{G L_{g_{1}}(K)} \pi_{1 i},
$$

where $a_{1 i} \in \mathbb{Z}, P_{1 i} \subset G L_{g_{1}}$ is a parabolic subgroup and $\pi_{1 i}$ is an irreducible square integrable representation of the Levi component of $P_{1 i}(K)$. Similarly we have

$$
\pi_{2}=\sum_{i} a_{2 i} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{2 i}(K)}^{G L_{g_{2}}(K)} \pi_{2 i} .
$$

For each pair of indices $i, j$ choose a parabolic subgroup $P_{i j}^{\prime} \subset P \subset G L_{g}$ such that $P_{i j}^{\prime}(K) \cap G L_{g_{1}}(K)=P_{1 i}(K)$ and $P_{i j}^{\prime}(K) \cap G L_{g_{2}}(K)=P_{2 i}(K)$. Note that for all $i, j$ we have $P_{i j} \neq G L_{g}$. In $\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{g}(K)\right)$ we have the equality

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}=\sum_{i j} a_{1 i} a_{2 j} \mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{i j}^{\prime}(K)}^{G L L_{g}(K)} \pi_{1 i} \times \pi_{2 j}
$$

The second part of the lemma follows.
The third part follows by a simple recursion from the second part and lemma IV.2.

Corollary IV. 5 If $s \mid g$ are positive integers, if $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$ and if $\pi^{\prime}$ is an admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ then

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{K, g}^{\times} / K^{\times}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Corollary IV. 6 If $s \mid g$ are positive integers, if $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$ and if $\pi^{\prime}$ is a generic irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)}\right) \neq 0
$$

then $\pi^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)$.
Corollary IV. 7 If $s \mid g$ are positive integers, if $\pi$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g / s}(K)$, if $\imath: \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and if $\pi^{\prime}$ is an 七-preunitary irreducible admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{Sp}_{s}(\pi)}\right) \neq 0
$$

then either $\pi^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Sp}_{s}(\pi)$ or $\pi^{\prime} \cong \pi \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)$.
Suppose that $s_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ are positive integers for $i=1, \ldots, t$ such that $g=$ $g_{1} s_{1}+\ldots+g_{t} s_{t}$. Suppose moreover that for $i=1, \ldots, t$ we are given an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi_{i}$ of $G L_{g_{i}}(K)$. Suppose first that

- if $i<j$ then $\pi_{j} \not \neq \pi_{i} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{a}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with

$$
1+s_{i}-s_{j} \leq a \leq s_{i}
$$

Also let $P$ denote the parabolic subgroup of $G L_{g}$ consisting of block diagonal matrices with diagonal blocks of size $s_{1} g_{1} \times s_{1} g_{1}, \ldots, s_{t} g_{t} \times s_{t} g_{t}$ from top left to bottom right. Then

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L g_{g}(K)}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)
$$

has a unique irreducible quotient which we will denote

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)
$$

If $\sigma$ is any permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\left(s_{\sigma 1}, \pi_{\sigma 1}\right), \ldots,\left(s_{\sigma t}, \pi_{\sigma t}\right)$ still satisfies the above condition then

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{\sigma 1}}\left(\pi_{\sigma 1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{\sigma t}}\left(\pi_{\sigma t}\right) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)
$$

Thus whether or not $\left(s_{1}, \pi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(s_{t}, \pi_{t}\right)$ satisfy the above condition we may define

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)=\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{\sigma 1}}\left(\pi_{\sigma 1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{\sigma t}}\left(\pi_{\sigma t}\right)
$$

for any permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\left(s_{\sigma 1}, \pi_{\sigma 1}\right), \ldots,\left(s_{\sigma t}, \pi_{\sigma t}\right)$ does satisfy the above condition. It follows from theorem 2.8 of $[\mathrm{Z}]$ that this notation is compatible with our previous use of $\boxplus$. Moreover any irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G L_{g}(K)$ is of this form and, moreover, the multiset $\left\{\left(s_{1}, \pi_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(s_{t}, \pi_{t}\right)\right\}$ is uniquely determined by $\pi$. (For these results see section 4.3 of [Rod], and note that a sketch of the unpublished result of I.N.Bernstein (proposition 11 of [Rod]) can be found in [JS].)

We will call the collection $\left\{\left(s_{i}, \pi_{i}\right)\right\}$ unlinked if for all $i \neq j$ the following condition is satisfied.

- If $\pi_{j} \cong \pi_{i} \otimes \mid$ det $\left.\right|^{a}$ for a positive integer $a$ then either $a>s_{i}$ or $a+s_{j} \leq s_{i}$.

Zelevinsky shows ([Z], theorem 9.7) that if $\left\{\left(s_{i}, \pi_{i}\right)\right\}$ is unlinked then

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)=\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)
$$

and that this representation is generic. Zelevinsky also shows ([Z], theorem 9.7) that any irreducible generic admissible representation of $G L_{g}(K)$ arises in this way from some unlinked collection $\left\{\left(s_{i}, \pi_{i}\right)\right\}$.

The following result follows from lemma 2.12 of [BZ].

Lemma IV. 8 Suppose that $s_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ are positive integers such that $g=g_{1} s_{1}+\ldots+g_{t} s_{t}$. Suppose also that $\pi_{i}$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{g_{i}}(K)$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$; and that $P \subset G L_{g}$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi factor $G L_{s_{1} g_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{s_{t} g_{t}}$.

For $h=0, \ldots, g-1$ let $N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}<G L_{g}$ be the unipotent subgroup defined at the start of section 10. Then in $\operatorname{Groth}\left(G L_{h}(K) \times G L_{g-h}(K)\right)$ we have an equality between

$$
\left[J_{N_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}}\left(\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P(K)}^{G L_{g}(K)}\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)\right]\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{h_{i}}\left[\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}_{P^{\prime}(K)}^{G L_{h}(K)}\left(\mathrm{Sp}_{h_{1}}\left(\pi_{1} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}-h_{1}}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{Sp}_{h_{t}}\left(\pi_{t} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{t}-h_{t}}\right)\right)\right] \\
{\left[\operatorname{n-Ind}_{P^{\prime \prime}(K)}^{G L_{n-h}(K)}\left(\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{1}-h_{1}}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{t}-h_{t}}\left(\pi_{t}\right)\right)\right],}
\end{array}
$$

where the sum is over all positive integers $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{t}$ with $h_{i} \leq s_{i}$ and $h=$ $h_{1} g_{1}+\ldots+h_{t} g_{t}$ and where

- $P^{\prime} \subset G L_{h}$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{h_{1} g_{1}} \times \ldots \times$ $G L_{h_{t} g_{t}}$
- and $P^{\prime \prime} \subset G L_{n-h}$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{\left(s_{1}-h_{1}\right) g_{1}} \times \ldots \times G L_{\left(s_{t}-h_{t}\right) g_{t}}$


## V Appendix: The global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

Let $S(B)$ denote the set of places of $F$ at which $B$ ramifies. Recall that we are assuming that at such a place $B_{x}$ is a division algebra. The following theorem was proved by Vigneras in her unpublished manuscript [V2], which relied on a seminar of Langlands which to the best of our knowledge was never written up. We will explain how it follows easily from an important theorem of Arthur and Clozel [AC].

Theorem V. 1 1. If $\rho$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$then there is a unique irreducible automorphic representation $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)$ of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$, which occurs in the discrete spectrum and for which

$$
\mathrm{JL}(\rho)^{S(B)} \cong \rho^{S(B)}
$$

2. If $x \in S(B)$ and $\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho_{x}\right)=\operatorname{Sp}_{s_{x}}\left(\pi_{x}\right)$ then

- either $\operatorname{JL}(\rho)_{x} \cong \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{x}}\left(\pi_{x}\right)$,
- or $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)_{x} \cong \pi_{x} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{x} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{x}-1}\right)$.

3. The image of JL is the set of irreducible automorphic representations $\pi$ of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ such that

- $\pi$ occurs in the discrete spectrum,
- and for every $x \in S(B)$ there is a positive integer $s_{x} \mid n$ and an irreducible supercuspidal representation $\pi_{x}^{\prime}$ of $G L_{n / s_{x}}\left(F_{x}\right)$ so that either $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)_{x} \cong \operatorname{Sp}_{s_{x}}\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}\right)$ or $\mathrm{JL}(\rho)_{x} \cong \pi_{x}^{\prime} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{x}-1}\right)$.

4. If $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are two irreducible automorphic representations of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes\right.$ $\mathbb{A})^{\times}$such that for all but finitely many places $x$ of $F$ we have $\rho_{1 x} \cong \rho_{2 x}$, then $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}$ (i.e. $\rho_{1} \cong \rho_{2}$ and this representation occurs with multiplicity 1 in the space of automorphic forms).

Proof: Let $H$ denote the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $H(R)=\left(B^{\text {op }} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\right.$ $R)^{\times}$for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$. We will confuse irreducible admissible representations occurring discretely in the space of automorphic forms (with fixed central character restricted to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$) with their completions in $L^{2}$. By twisting, we need only consider representations which are trivial on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$.

Then by theorem B of $[\mathrm{AC}]$ we have, in the notation of $[\mathrm{AC}]$,

$$
a_{\mathrm{disc}}^{H}(\rho)=\sum_{\pi} a_{\text {disc }}^{G L_{n} \times F}(\pi) \delta(\pi, \rho),
$$

where the sum is over (pre)unitary representations of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)^{1}$. Let us write $\mathrm{JL}\left(\rho_{x}\right)=\mathrm{Sp}_{s_{x}(\rho)}\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho)\right)$ for $x \in S(B)$. Moreover for $T \subset S(B)$ let $\pi(T, \rho)$ denote

$$
\rho^{S(B)} \times \prod_{x \in T} \mathrm{Sp}_{s_{x}(\rho)}\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho)\right) \times \prod_{x \in S(B)-T}\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{x}(\rho)-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Then, using section 8 of [AC], lemma IV. 4 and corollary IV. 7 we see that $\delta(\pi, \rho)=0$ unless $\pi \cong \pi(T, \rho)$ for some $T \subset S(B)$, in which case $\delta(\pi, \rho)= \pm 1$. Thus the equality of theorem B of $[\mathrm{AC}]$ becomes

$$
a_{\mathrm{disc}}^{H}(\rho)=\sum_{T \subset S(B)} \pm a_{\mathrm{disc}}^{G L_{n} \times F}(\pi(T, \rho)) .
$$

The coefficients $a_{\mathrm{disc}}^{H}(\rho)$ are just the multiplicity of $\rho$ in the space of automorphic forms trivial on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$. The coefficients $a_{\text {disc }}^{G L_{n} \times F}(\pi(T, \rho))$ are defined by
the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a_{\text {disc }}^{G L_{N} \times F}(\pi) \operatorname{tr} \pi(f)=\sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}}\left|W_{0}^{L}\right|\left|W_{0}^{G L_{n} \times F}\right|^{-1}}^{\sum_{s \in W\left(\mathfrak{a}_{L}\right)_{\mathrm{reg}}}\left|\operatorname{det}(s-1)_{\mathfrak{a}_{L}^{G L} \times F}\right|^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(M(s, 0) \rho_{Q, t}(0, f)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the notation of section 9 of chapter 2 of [AC]. Choose $x \in S(B)$. Then for any $z$ in the Bernstein centre for $G L_{n}\left(F_{x}\right)$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sum_{\text {disc }}^{G L_{N} \times F}(\pi) \operatorname{tr} \pi(f) \pi_{x}(z)=\sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}}\left|W_{0}^{L}\right|\left|W_{0}^{G L_{n} \times F}\right|^{-1}}^{\sum_{s \in W\left(\mathfrak{a}_{L}\right)_{\mathrm{reg}}}\left|\operatorname{det}(s-1)_{\mathfrak{a}_{L}^{G L n \times F}}\right|^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(M(s, 0) \rho_{Q, t}(0, f)\right) \rho_{Q, t}(0)_{x}(z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D$ denote the variety of unramified twists of the representation $\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho)^{s_{x}(\rho)}$ of $G L_{n / s_{x}(\rho)}\left(F_{x}\right)^{s_{x}(\rho)}$ and suppose that $z$ corresponds as in [Bern] to a regular function on $D / W\left(G L_{n / s_{x}(\rho)}\left(F_{x}\right)^{s_{x}(\rho)}, D\right)$ (in the notation of [Bern]). If $Q \neq G L_{n} \times F$ then either $\rho_{Q, t}(0)_{x}(z)=0$ for all $z$ which correspond to regular functions on $D / W\left(G L_{n / s_{x}(\rho)}\left(F_{x}\right)^{s_{x}(\rho)}, D\right)$, or $\rho_{Q, t}(0)_{x}$ maps to a 0-cycle on $D / W\left(G L_{n / s_{x}(\rho)}\left(F_{x}\right)^{s_{x}(\rho)}, D\right)$ supported away from $\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \times \ldots \times\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \otimes\right.$ $\left.|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{x}(\rho)}\right)$. Choose $z$ in the Bernstein centre corresponding to a regular function on the space $D / W\left(G L_{n / s_{x}(\rho)}\left(F_{x}\right)^{s_{x}(\rho)}, D\right)$ which is 1 at $\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \times \ldots \times\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \otimes\right.$ $|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{x}(\rho)}$ ) and zero at all other terms occuring in our sum (which is finite for any given $f$ ). Then we see that

$$
\sum_{\pi} a_{\mathrm{disc}}^{G L_{N} \times F}(\pi) \operatorname{tr} \pi(f)=\sum_{\pi} m(\pi) \operatorname{tr} \pi(f)
$$

where

- $m(\pi)$ denotes the multiplicity of $\pi$ in the discrete part of the space of automorphic forms invariant by $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$;
- and where both sums run over irreducible representations $\pi$ such that $\pi_{w}$ maps to $\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \times \ldots \times\left(\pi_{x}^{\prime}(\rho) \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{x}(\rho)}\right)$ in $D / W\left(G L_{n / s_{x}(\rho)}\left(F_{x}\right)^{s_{x}(\rho)}, D\right)$. We deduce that $a_{\text {disc }}^{G L_{N} \times F}(\pi)$ is just the multiplicity of $\pi$ in the discrete part of the space of automorphic forms invariant by $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$.

Using the strong multiplicity one theorem for $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$, the theorem follows.

Combining this with the facts that any automorphic representation of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ which is supercuspidal at one place is cuspidal and that any cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ is generic we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary V. 2 Suppose that $\rho$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$such that for one place $x \notin S(B)$ the component $\rho_{x}$ is supercuspidal. Then for all places $x \notin S(B)$ the component $\rho_{x}$ is generic.

## VI Appendix: Clozel's base change.

In this section we will give a description of automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ in terms of automorphic representations on $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$. This description is basically due to Clozel (see [Cl1] and [Cl2]), but there were a number of gaps in his argument which were repaired with the help of Labesse (see [Lab] and [CL]).

As we have fixed $\imath: \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ we can think of $\xi$ as an irreducible algebraic representation of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Note that for any $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $R$ we have

$$
\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G \times E) \times \mathbb{C} \cong(G \times \mathbb{C}) \times_{\mathbb{C}}(G \times \mathbb{C})
$$

where the first factor corresponds to $\tau_{0}: E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the second factor to $\tau_{0} \circ c$. We will let $\xi_{E}$ denote the representation $\xi \otimes \xi$ of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G \times E)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. It restricts to the representation $\xi \otimes(\xi \circ c)$ of $G\left(E_{\infty}\right)=\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G \times E)(\mathbb{R})$. We will also use $\xi_{E}$ for the restriction of this representation to $G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \subset$ $E_{\infty}^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \cong G\left(E_{\infty}\right)($ see section 1$)$.

We will call an irreducible admissible representation $\pi_{\infty}$ of $G(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\Pi_{\infty}$ of $G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ ) cohomological for $\xi$ (resp. cohomological for $\xi_{E}$ ) if for some $i$,

$$
H^{i}\left((\operatorname{Lie} G(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U_{\infty}, \pi_{\infty} \otimes \xi\right) \neq(0)
$$

(resp.

$$
\left.H^{i}\left(M_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(n, 0)^{\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]}, \Pi_{\infty} \otimes \xi_{E}\right) \neq(0) .\right)
$$

Suppose that $x$ is a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits as $x=y y^{c}$ in $E$. Recall (from section 1) that the choice of a place $y \mid x$ allows us to consider $\mathbb{Q}_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{y}$ as an $E$-algebra and hence to identify

$$
G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \cong\left(B_{y}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times Q_{x}^{\times} .
$$

If $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ we can then decompose

$$
\pi \cong \pi_{y} \otimes \psi_{\pi, y^{c}}
$$

If we vary our choice of $y$ we find that $\pi_{y^{c}}=\pi_{y}^{\#}$ and that $\psi_{\pi, y}=\psi_{\pi_{y}} \psi_{\pi, y^{c}}$. (Here we set $\pi_{y}^{\#}(g)=\pi_{y}\left(g^{-\#}\right)$.) We define $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$ to be the representation

$$
\pi_{y} \otimes \pi_{y^{c}} \otimes\left(\psi_{\pi, y^{c}} \circ c\right) \otimes\left(\psi_{\pi, y} \circ c\right)
$$

of

$$
G\left(E_{x}\right) \cong\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times E_{x}^{\times} \cong\left(B_{y}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times\left(B_{y^{\mathrm{c}}}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times} \times E_{y}^{\times} \times E_{y^{\mathrm{c}}}^{\times}
$$

Now suppose that $x$ is a finite place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is inert in $E$ but such that

- $x$ is unramified in $F$;
- $\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}, \#\right) \cong\left(M_{n}\left(F_{x}\right), \dagger\right)$, where $g^{\dagger}=w\left(g^{c}\right)^{t} w^{-1}$ with $w$ the antidiagonal matrix with ones on the antidiagonal.

These latter two conditions exclude only finitely many places of $\mathbb{Q}$. If $x$ is such a place then $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ is quasi-split and split over an unramified extension. We will fix a maximal torus $T_{x}$ in a Borel subgroup $B_{x}$ in $G \times F_{x}$ so that $B_{x}\left(F_{x}\right)$ consists of elements of $G\left(F_{x}\right)$ which correspond to upper triangular elements of $M_{n}\left(F_{x}\right)$ and $T_{x}\left(F_{x}\right)$ consists of elements of $G$ which correspond to diagonal elements of $M_{n}\left(F_{x}\right)$. Thus $T_{x}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ can be identified with the set of elements $\left(d_{0} ; d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{x}^{\times} \times\left(F_{x}^{\times}\right)^{n}$ such that $d_{0}=d_{i} d_{n+1-i}^{c}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. If $\psi$ is a character of $T\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ we define a character $\mathrm{BC}(\psi)$ of $E_{x}^{\times} \times\left(F_{x}^{\times}\right)^{n}$ by

$$
\mathrm{BC}(\psi)\left(d_{0} ; d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)=\psi\left(d_{0} d_{0}^{c} ; d_{0} d_{1} / d_{n}^{c}, \ldots, d_{0} d_{n} / d_{1}^{c}\right)
$$

Let $B$ denote the Borel subgroup of upper triangular elements of $G L_{n}$. If $\pi$ is an unramified representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ which is a subquotient of the induced representation $n-\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{x}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)}^{G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)}(\psi)$ then we will denote by $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$ the unique unramified representation of $E_{x}^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(F_{x}\right)$ which is a subquotient of the normalised induction from $E_{x}^{\times} \times B\left(F_{x}\right)$ of $\mathrm{BC}(\psi)$.

If $\Pi$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$then define $\Pi^{\#}$ by

$$
\Pi^{\#}(g)=\Pi\left(g^{-\#}\right)
$$

Using the strong multiplicity one theorem we see that

$$
\mathrm{JL}\left(\Pi^{\#}\right)=\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)^{\vee} \circ c .
$$

Theorem VI. 1 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi$. Then there is a unique irreducible automorphic representation $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=(\psi, \Pi)$ of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \times\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$such that

1. $\psi=\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}} ^{c}$;
2. if $x$ is a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits in $E$ then $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)_{x}=\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{x}\right)$;
3. for all but finitely many places $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ which are inert in $E$ we have $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)_{x}=\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{x}\right)$;
4. $\Pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi_{E}$;
5. $\psi_{\infty}^{c}=\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}\left(\right.$ where $\left.E_{\infty}^{\times} \subset G(\mathbb{R})\right)$;
6. $\left.\psi_{\Pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}}=\psi^{c} / \psi$;
7. $\Pi^{\#} \cong \Pi$.

Proof: We will deduce this from theorem A.5.2 of [CL].
Let $T / \mathbb{Q}$ denote the torus $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ and let $T^{1} \subset T$ denote the kernel of the norm homomorphism $T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$. We have a natural morphism $T \times$ $G_{1} \rightarrow G$ which is surjective on geometric points. It has kernel $T^{1}$ embedded by $t \mapsto\left(t, t^{-1}\right)$. If $\pi$ is an admissible representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ we obtain an admissible representation $\left.\pi\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})}$ of $\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})$ by composing $\pi$ with the homomorphism $T \times G_{1} \rightarrow G$. (Use the fact that $\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A})$ is continuous and open.) If $\pi$ is automorphic then $\left.\pi\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})}$ is a direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations. As far as we can see not all these direct summands are automorphic for $T \times G_{1}$. Rather suppose that $g_{i} \in G(\mathbb{A})$ form a set of representatives for $\nu(G(\mathbb{A})) / \nu(G(\mathbb{Q})) \mathbf{N}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)$. Then we get a bijection of spaces of automorphic forms

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{A}\left(\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})\right)^{g_{i} T^{1}(\mathbb{A}) g_{i}^{-1}} \\
f & \longmapsto & \left(\left.g_{i}(f)\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})}\right)_{i} .
\end{array}
$$

If $\pi^{\prime}$ is an irreducible subquotient of $\left.\pi\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})}$ then $\pi^{\prime}$ may not be automorphic but $\pi^{\prime}$ composed with conjugation by one of the $g_{i}$ will be. Note that (because $G(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{R})$ ) one may assume that $g_{i, \infty}=1$ for all $i$.

If $x$ is a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits in $E$ then we get an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow T^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

If $\pi_{x}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ then $\left.\pi_{x}\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)}$ is also irreducible.

If $x$ is a finite place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is inert in $E$, but such that

- $x$ is unramified in $F$,
- $\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}, \#\right) \cong\left(M_{n}\left(F_{x}\right), \dagger\right)$, where $g^{\dagger}=w\left(g^{c}\right)^{t} w^{-1}$ with $w$ the antidiagonal matrix with ones on the antidiagonal;
then we get an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow T^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow(0),
$$

if $n$ is odd, while if $n$ is even we get an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow T^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \longrightarrow G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right) \xrightarrow{x \circ \nu}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow(0) .
$$

In either case if $\pi_{x}$ is an unramified irreducible representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)$ then $\left.\pi_{x}\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)}$ contains a unique unramified subquotient which we will denote $\left.\pi_{x}\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)} ^{0}$.

If $\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]>1$ or $n>2$ then we have an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow T^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

while if $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ then we get an exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow T^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\} \longrightarrow(0)
$$

If $\pi_{\infty}$ is a irreducible admissible representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ then it is cohomological for $\xi$ if and only if

1. $\left.\pi_{\infty}\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}}=\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}$,
2. and there is an irreducible constituent $\pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ of $\left.\pi_{\infty}\right|_{G_{1}(\mathbb{R})}$ and an $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Lie} G_{1}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U_{\infty},\left.\pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes \xi\right|_{G_{1}}\right) \neq(0)
$$

Thus if $\pi$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi$ we can find an irreducible automorphic representation $\pi^{\prime}$ for $\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})$ such that

- $\left.\pi^{\prime}\right|_{T^{1}(\mathbb{A})}=1$,
- if $x$ is a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits in $E$ then $\pi_{x}^{\prime}=\left.\pi_{x}\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)}$;
- for all but finitely many places $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ which are inert in $E$ we have $\pi_{x}^{\prime}=\left.\pi_{x}\right|_{\left(T \times G_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)} ^{0} ;$
- $\left.\pi_{\infty}^{\prime}\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}}=\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{㐅}} ^{-1} ;$
- and for some $i$ we have

$$
H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Lie}_{1}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U_{\infty},\left.\left(\pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes \xi\right)\right|_{G_{1}(\mathbb{R})}\right) \neq(0)
$$

Thus $\pi^{\prime}$ is of the form $\psi^{c} \otimes \pi_{1}^{\prime}$, where $\psi$ is a character of $E^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}$and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ is an automorphic representation of $G_{1}(\mathbb{A})$. Moreover $\left.\psi\right|_{T^{1}(\mathbb{A})}=\left.\psi_{\pi_{1}^{\prime}}\right|_{T^{1}(\mathbb{A})} ^{-1}$.

Now we apply theorem A.5.2 of [CL] to $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ and we conclude that there exists an automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$such that

- if $x$ is a place of $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits in $E$ then $\Pi_{x}=\left.\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{x}\right)\right|_{\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}}$;
- for all but finitely many places $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ which are inert in $E$ we have $\Pi_{x}=\left.\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{x}\right)\right|_{\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \times} ;$
- for some $i$ we have

$$
H^{i}\left(M_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(n, 0)^{\left[F^{+}: \mathbb{Q}\right]}, \Pi_{\infty} \otimes \xi_{E}\right) \neq(0)
$$

(The first of these properties is not explicitly stated in theorem A.5.2 of [CL]. However it follows easily from a slight modification of the proof. In the notation of the proof of theorem A.5.2 in [CL] divide the set $S$ as a disjoint union $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ where $S_{1}$ consists of places which split in $E$ and $S_{2}$ of places which are inert. Now take $f_{S}=\left(\prod_{x \in S_{1}} f_{x}\right) \times f_{S_{2}}$ where for $x \in S_{1}, f_{x}$ is any element of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{x}\right)\right)$ and $f_{S_{2}}$ is the characteristic function of a sufficiently small open compact subgroup, $K_{S_{2}}$. Then we can still find a function $\varphi_{S}$ associated to $f_{S}$. We conclude as in [CL] that if we fix an unramified representation $\rho$ of $G_{0}\left(\mathbb{A}^{S \cup\{\infty\}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{ep}\left(\pi_{\infty}\right) \operatorname{dim} \pi_{S_{2}}^{K_{S_{2}}} \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{BC}\left(\pi_{S_{1}}\right)\left(\varphi_{S_{1}}\right)= \\
& \sum_{\Pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Pi_{\infty}\left(\varphi_{e p}^{G, \theta}\right) \Pi_{S_{2}}\left(\varphi_{S_{2}}\right) I_{\theta}\right) \operatorname{tr} \Pi_{S_{1}}\left(\varphi_{S_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi$ runs over automorphic representations of $G_{0}(\mathbb{A})$ with $\pi^{S \cup\{\infty\}} \cong \rho$ and $\Pi$ runs over automorphic representations of $G_{0}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with $\Pi^{S \cup\{\infty\}} \cong \mathrm{BC}(\rho)$. We deduce that if we fix an irreducible representation $\rho$ of $G_{0}\left(\mathbb{A}^{S_{2} \cup\{\infty\}}\right)$ which is unramified outside $S_{1}$ then

$$
\sum_{\pi} \operatorname{ep}\left(\pi_{\infty}\right) \operatorname{dim} \pi_{S_{2}}^{K_{S_{2}}}=\sum_{\Pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Pi_{\infty}\left(\varphi_{\infty}\right) \Pi_{S_{2}}\left(\varphi_{S_{2}}\right) I_{\theta}\right)
$$

where $\pi$ runs over automorphic representations of $G_{0}(\mathbb{A})$ with $\pi^{S_{2} \cup\{\infty\}} \cong \rho$ and $\Pi$ runs over automorphic representations of $G_{0}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with $\Pi^{S_{2} \cup\{\infty\}} \cong \mathrm{BC}(\rho)$. The rest of the argument is as in [CL].)

It is now easy to check that $\left(\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}}, \Pi\right)$ satisfies the first six properties of the theorem. Uniqueness follows from theorem V.1. The final property also follows from V.1, because for all but finitely many places $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ we have $\Pi_{x}^{\#} \cong \Pi_{x}$.

Corollary VI. 2 If $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are irreducible automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\pi_{\infty}$ and $\pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ are cohomological for $\xi$ and such that $\pi_{x} \cong \pi_{x}^{\prime}$ for all but finitely many places $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, then $\pi_{x} \cong \pi_{x}^{\prime}$ for all places $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ which split in $E$.

Proof: This follows from theorems V. 1 and VI.1.

Corollary VI. 3 Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi$. Suppose also that there exists a place $x$ of $F$ such that

- $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$,
- $B_{x}^{\text {op }}$ is split,
- and $\pi_{x}$ is supercuspidal.

Then for any place $y$ of $F$ such that

- $\left.y\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$
- and $B_{y}^{\text {op }}$ is split,
$\pi_{y}$ is generic.
Proof: This follows from corollary V. 2 and theorem VI.1.
In the other direction we have the following result.
Theorem VI. 4 Suppose that $\Pi$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$and that $\psi$ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$such that

1. $\Pi \cong \Pi^{\#}$,
2. $\left.\psi_{\Pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{x}}=\psi^{c} / \psi$,
3. $\Pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi_{E}$,
4. and $\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}=\left.\psi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{c}\left(\right.$ where $\left.E_{\infty}^{\times} \subset G(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Then there is an irreducible automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that

1. $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=(\psi, \Pi)$,
2. and $\pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi$.

Proof: This theorem will follow from proposition 2.3 of [Cl2]. (We caution the reader that the proof of proposition 2.3 in [C12] seems to us rather sketchy (see in particular the first paragraph of section 2.5 of [Cl2]). However this is remedied in theorem A.3.1 of [CL] where a complete derivation of the key trace identity is given.)

We will use the notation of the proof of theorem VI.1. Let $\pi_{1}$ be the automorphic representation of $G_{1}(\mathbb{A})$ whose existence is guaranteed by proposition 2.3 of [Cl2]. Then $\psi^{c} \times \pi_{1}$ is an irreducible automorphic representation of $\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})$ which vanishes on $T^{1}(\mathbb{A})$ (because if $b \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}$then $\psi^{c}\left(b / b^{c}\right)=\psi_{\Pi}(b)=\psi_{\pi_{1}}\left(b / b^{c}\right)$, the latter equality following from Clozel's definition of "base change lift"). Thus $\psi^{c} \times \pi_{1}$ is a subrepresentation of the restriction of some automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ to $\left(T \times G_{1}\right)(\mathbb{A})$. Again from Clozel's definition of "base change lift" we see that $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=\left(\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}}, \Pi\right)$. Finally because $\pi_{1}$ is cohomological for $\left.\xi\right|_{G_{1}(\mathbb{R})}$ and because $\left.\psi^{c}\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}=\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}$ we see that $\psi_{\infty}^{c} \times \pi_{1, \infty}$ and hence $\pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi$.

Corollary VI. 5 Keep the notation and assumptions of the theorem. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right] \neq 0
$$

Proof: Combine the theorem with a theorem of Kottwitz (see theorem A.4.2 of [CL]).

It may sometimes be useful to combine theorem VI. 4 with the following lemma.

Lemma VI. 6 Suppose that $\Pi$ is an automorphic representation of $\left(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{A}\right)^{\times}$ such that

1. $\Pi \cong \Pi^{\#}$,
2. and $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as some algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Then we can find a character $\psi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$and an algebraic representation $\xi$ of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that

1. $\left.\psi_{\Pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}}=\psi^{c} / \psi$,
2. $\Pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi_{E}$,
3. $\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}=\psi_{\infty}^{c}\left(\right.$ where $\left.E_{\infty}^{\times} \subset G(\mathbb{R})\right)$,
4. and $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{E, u}^{\times}}=1$.

Proof: Because $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ we see that

$$
\psi_{\Pi_{\infty}}: F_{\infty}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

is of the form

$$
\left(x_{\tau}\right) \longmapsto \prod_{\tau} \tau\left(x_{\tau}\right)^{a_{\tau}} \tau\left(x_{\tau}^{c}\right)^{b_{\tau}},
$$

where $a_{\tau}, b_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where $\tau$ runs over $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(F^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{E, \tau_{0} \mid E}(F, \mathbb{C})$. As $\Pi_{\infty}^{\vee} \cong \Pi_{\infty}^{c}$ we see that for all $\tau$ we have $a_{\tau}+b_{\tau}=0$. Thus if $x \in E_{\infty}^{\times}$we have

$$
\psi_{\Pi_{\infty}}(x)=\left(\tau_{0}(x) / \tau_{0}\left(x^{c}\right)\right)^{a},
$$

where $a=\sum a_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$
\psi_{\infty}: E_{\infty}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

by

$$
\psi_{\infty}(x)=\tau_{0}(x)^{-a} .
$$

then $\psi_{\infty} \times \Pi_{\infty}$ defines a representation of $G\left(E_{\infty}\right) \cong E_{\infty}^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ such that

$$
\left.\left(\psi_{\infty} \times \Pi_{\infty}\right) \circ c=\psi_{\infty}^{c} \psi_{\Pi_{\infty}}^{c} \times \Pi_{\infty}^{c \vee}\right) \cong \psi_{\infty} \times \Pi_{\infty}
$$

(Note that the first $c$ refers to the $G$-structure, the second and third to the $G L_{1}$-structure and the fourth to the $G L_{n}$-structure.)

We may choose an algebraic representation $\Xi$ of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E} G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi_{\infty} \times \Pi_{\infty}$ and $\Xi^{\vee}$ have the same infinitesimal character. As $\left(\psi_{\infty} \times \Pi_{\infty}\right) \circ c \cong$ $\psi_{\infty} \times \Pi_{\infty}$ we see that

$$
\Xi \circ c \cong \Xi
$$

(Here $c$ acts on $E$ and so gives a $\mathbb{C}$-morphism from $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G) \times \mathbb{C}$ to itself.) Under the isomorphism

$$
\left(\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E} G\right) \times \mathbb{C} \cong(G \times \mathbb{C}) \times_{\mathbb{C}}(G \times \mathbb{C})
$$

we see that $\Xi$ corresponds to $\xi \otimes \xi$ for some algebraic representation $\xi$ of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$, i.e. $\Xi=\xi_{E}$. Using $\tau_{0}$ to view $\mathbb{C}$ as an $E$-algebra we get an identification

$$
G(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right)
$$

and hence an embedding

$$
i:\left(E_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{2} \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{C})
$$

which sends $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ to $\left(\tau_{0}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{2}\right)$. Embedding $G(\mathbb{C})$ as the first factor of $\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G)(\mathbb{C}) \cong G(\mathbb{C}) \times G(\mathbb{C})$ we can extend $i$ to a homomorphism

$$
i:\left(E_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{2} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G)(\mathbb{C})
$$

If instead we identify

$$
\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{E}(G)(\mathbb{C}) \cong\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right) \cong(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})^{\times} \times G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty} \oplus F_{\infty}\right)
$$

(using the identifications

$$
\left(\left(\tau_{0} \otimes 1\right) \oplus\left(\left(\tau_{0} \circ c\right) \otimes 1\right)\right): E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left.\left(\left(1 \otimes \tau_{0}^{-1}\right) \oplus\left(1 \otimes\left(c \circ \tau_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)\right): F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{\infty}^{2}\right)
$$

then

$$
i\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\tau_{0}\left(x_{1}\right), 1 ; x_{2}, 1\right)
$$

Thus $(\xi \circ i)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\tau_{0}\left(x_{1} / x_{2}\right)^{a}$. If $x \in E_{\infty}^{\times} \subset G(\mathbb{R}) \subset G(\mathbb{C})$ then we can identify $x \in G(\mathbb{C})$ with $i\left(x x^{c}, x\right)$. Thus $\xi(x)=\tau_{0}\left(x^{c}\right)^{a}$, i.e.

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}} ^{-1}=\psi_{\infty}^{c}
$$

Recall the exact sequence.

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}^{\times} \longrightarrow E^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \xrightarrow{1-c} E^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \xrightarrow{1+c} \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow(0) .
$$

Note that $\psi_{\Pi}^{-1}=\psi_{\Pi}^{c}$ and so $\psi_{\Pi}$ is trivial on $\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)^{1+c}$. From the formulae at the start of this proof we see that $\psi_{\Pi_{\infty}}(-1)=1$. But from the exact sequence we see that $\mathbb{A}^{\times}=\mathbb{Q}^{\times}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)^{1+c} \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, and so we deduce that

$$
\left.\psi_{\Pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}^{x}}=1 .
$$

Again from the above exact sequence we see that

$$
\psi_{\Pi}=\psi^{\prime} \circ(c-1)
$$

for some character $\psi^{\prime}$ of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)^{c-1} /\{ \pm 1\}$. Again from the explicit formulae at the start of this proof we see that

$$
\left.\psi^{\prime}\right|_{\left(E_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{c-1}}=\left.\psi_{\infty}\right|_{\left(E_{\infty}^{\times}\right)^{c-1}}
$$

Thus we can choose a character $\psi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$such that

- $\left.\psi\right|_{\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)^{c-1}}=\psi^{\prime}$,
- $\left.\psi\right|_{E_{\infty}^{\times}}=\psi_{\infty}$,
- and $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{E, u}^{\times}}=1$.

Then $\left.\psi_{\Pi}\right|_{\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}}=\psi \circ(c-1)$.
Finally because $\xi_{E}^{\vee}$ and $\Pi_{\infty}$ have the same infinitesimal character we see by theorems 6.1 and 7.1 of [En] that $\Pi_{\infty}$ is cohomological for $\xi_{E}$.

## VII Appendix: The trace formula.

In this appendix we will give an expression for the trace of an element $\varphi \in$ $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)$ on $H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)$. For simplicity we will derive this as a simple specialisation of the main result of [Arth], which relies on arthur's trace formula. However in our case this expression can be derived in a more elementary fashion by using the Lefschetz trace formula (cf [KS] or [Bew]). We will also give some applications of this expression.

More precisely in this appendix we will prove the following result.
Proposition VII. 1 Suppose that $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)\right.$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)=(-1)^{n} n \kappa_{B} \sum_{\gamma}(-1)^{n /[F(\gamma): F]} \\
& {[F(\gamma): F]^{-1} \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}\right)^{-1} O_{\gamma}^{G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{tr} \xi(\gamma),}
\end{aligned}
$$

unless $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ in which case we drop the factors $[F(\gamma): F]^{-1}$. Here

- $\gamma$ runs over a set of representatives of $G(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy classes in $G(\mathbb{Q})$ which are elliptic in $G(\mathbb{R})$;
- $\kappa_{B}=2$ if $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ is odd and $\kappa_{B}=1$ otherwise;
- $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}$ denotes the compact mod centre inner form of $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}$ the kernel of

$$
|\nu|: Z_{G}(\gamma)_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}
$$

- and we use measures on $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}$ and $Z_{G}(\gamma)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ compatible with
- Tamagawa measure on $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})$,
- the decomposition

$$
Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})=Z_{G}(\gamma)\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})
$$

- association of measures on $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}$ and $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})$,
- and the measure $d t / t$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$.

Proof: By the discussion prior to lemma 4.1, by theorem 6.1 of [Arth] and by remark 3 following that theorem we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi \mid H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)=(-1)^{n} \delta_{B} \# \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G) \sum_{\gamma}(-1)^{n /[F(\gamma): F]}(n /[F(\gamma): F]) \\
& \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{Q}) \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \backslash Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \backslash Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}\right)^{-1} O_{\gamma}^{G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi) \operatorname{tr} \xi(\gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- the sum runs over a set of representatives of $G(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugacy classes in $G(\mathbb{Q})$;
- $\delta_{B}=2$ if $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ and $n=2$ and $\delta_{B}=1$ otherwise;
- and we use associated measures on $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}$.
(Note that in the notation of [Arth] we have
- $\delta_{B}=\left[K_{\mathbb{R}}: K_{\mathbb{R}}^{0}\right]$,
- $q\left(M_{\gamma}\right)=n /[F(\gamma): F]-1$,
- $\left|\mathcal{D}\left(M_{\gamma}, B\right)\right|=n /[F(\gamma): F]$, unless $n=2$ and $F^{+}=\mathbb{Q}$ in which case $\left|\mathcal{D}\left(M_{\gamma}, B\right)\right|=1$,
- $\left|i^{G}(\gamma)\right|=1$,
- $\Phi_{G}(\gamma, \xi)=\operatorname{tr} \xi(\gamma)$,
- and $H_{G}(\gamma)=O_{\gamma}^{G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)}(\varphi)$.)

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{Q}) \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \backslash Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \backslash Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}\right)^{-1}= \\
& \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})^{1}$ denotes the elements $g \in Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})$ with $|\nu(g)|=1$. By the main theorem of $[K o 5]$ we see that if we use Tamagawa measure then

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Z_{G}(\gamma)(\mathbb{A})^{1}\right)=\kappa_{B} / \# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{G}(\gamma)\right)
$$

(Using the fact that $[B: \mathbb{Q}] / 2$ and $\left[Z_{B}(\gamma): \mathbb{Q}\right] / 2$ have the same parity, a direct calculation shows that $\# A\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)\right)=\kappa_{B}$.) Moreover the $G(\mathbb{A})$-conjugacy class of $\gamma$ in $G(\mathbb{Q})$ contains

$$
\# \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{G}(\gamma)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)\right)
$$

$G(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugacy classes. As in the discussion (in the third paragraph) following lemma 9.2 we see that

$$
\# \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{G}(\gamma)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)\right)=\# \operatorname{ker}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, Z_{G}(\gamma)\right) / \# \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)
$$

Thus we may rewrite Arthur's formula to give the formula in the proposition.

We will now draw a few corollaries from this lemma which are standard facts.

Corollary VII. 2 Suppose that $S$ is a finite set of finite places of $F$ such that

- if $x \in S$ then $B_{x}$ is split and $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$,
- and if $x$ and $y$ are two elements of $S$ with the same restriction to $F^{+}$ then $x=y$.
For $x \in S$ let $\pi_{x}^{0}$ be a square integrable representation of $G L_{n}\left(F_{x}\right)$. Also let $\xi$ be an irreducible representation of $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{l}^{a c}$. Then we can find an irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that
- $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right] \neq 0$,
- for $x \in S$ we have $\pi_{x} \cong \pi_{x}^{0} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $F_{x}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F, x}^{\times}$,
- and for $x \in S$ we have $\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{E,\left.x\right|_{E} ^{c}}^{\times}}=1$.

Proof: We may suppose that for some $x \in S$ the representation $\pi_{x}^{0}$ is supercuspidal. Let $S(\mathbb{Q})$ denote the set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ below places in $S$. If $y \in S(\mathbb{Q})$ then it gives rise to a distinguished place $\widetilde{y}$ of $E$ above $y$, i.e. $\left.x\right|_{E}$ for any place $x \in S$ above $y$. Decompose

$$
G(\mathbb{A}) \cong G\left(\mathbb{A}^{S(\mathbb{Q}) \cup\{\infty\}}\right) \times \prod_{y \in S(\mathbb{Q})}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{y}^{\times} \times \prod_{x \mid \tilde{y}}\left(B_{x}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)^{\times}\right)
$$

If $U \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{S(\mathbb{Q}) \cup\{\infty\}}\right)$ is an open compact subgroup, then set

$$
\varphi_{U}=\operatorname{char}_{U} \times \prod_{y \in S(\mathbb{Q})} \operatorname{char}_{\mathbb{Z}_{y}^{\times}} \times \prod_{x \mid \tilde{y}} \varphi_{x},
$$

where

- if $x \notin S$ then $\varphi_{x}$ is the characteristic function of some open compact subgroup,
- while if $x \in S$ then then $\varphi_{x}$ is the product of a pseudo-coefficient $\varphi_{\pi_{x}^{0}}$ for $\pi_{x}^{0}$ with the characteristic function of $\operatorname{det}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F, x}^{\times}\right)$.

We may and will choose $U$ sufficiently small so that the only element of finite order in the intersection of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ with the support of $\varphi_{U}$ is 1 .

Then proposition VII. 1 and lemma IV. 1 tell us that

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(G(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(\varphi_{U} \mid H\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\right)\right)=n \kappa_{B}(\operatorname{dim} \xi) \prod_{x \in S}\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{JL}^{-1}\left(\pi_{x}^{0}\right)\right)
$$

where we use Tamagawa measure on $G(\mathbb{A})=G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times G(\mathbb{R})$ and a measure on $G(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1}$ compatible with this, the association of measures on $G(\mathbb{R})$ and $G(\mathbb{R})_{0}$, the exact sequence

$$
(0) \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times} \xrightarrow{|\nu|}(0)
$$

and the measure $d t / t$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$. In particular we see that

$$
\sum_{\pi}\left(\operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{U}\right)\right)\left(\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right]\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\pi$ runs over irreducible admissible representations of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$.
Thus we may choose an irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ such that both

- $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}(\pi)\right] \neq 0$
- and $\operatorname{tr} \pi\left(\varphi_{U}\right) \neq 0$.

The first of these conditions implies that for some $\pi_{\infty}$ the representation $\pi \times$ $\pi_{\infty}$ is automorphic (apply Matsushima's formula, lemma 4.1). The second condition implies that

- for $y \in S(\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\left.\psi_{\pi}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{E, \tilde{y}^{c}}^{\times}}=1$,
- and, for $x \in S$, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr} \pi_{x}\left(\varphi_{\pi_{x}^{0} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \text { odet }\right)}\right) \neq 0
$$

for some character $\psi_{x}$ of $F_{x}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F, x}^{\times}$(see the argument for lemma 10.1).

Thus for any $x$ in $S$ with $\pi_{x}^{0}$ supercuspidal we see that $\pi_{x} \cong \pi_{x}^{0} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$ (use lemma IV.4). As we are assuming that some such place $x$ exists it follows from corollary VI. 3 that for all $x \in S$ the representation $\pi_{x}$ is generic and hence it follows from corollary IV. 6 that $\pi_{x} \cong \pi_{x}^{0} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ\right.$ det $)$ for all $x \in S$. Thus $\pi$ is our desired representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$.

Corollary VII. 3 Suppose that $L$ is a CM field which is the composite of a totally real field $L^{+}$and an imaginary quadratic field $M$. Suppose that $S$ is a finite set of places of $L$ such that

- if $x \in S$ then $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$,
- and if $x$ and $y$ are elements of $S$ with the same restriction to $L^{+}$then $x=y$.

For $x \in S$ suppose that $\Pi_{x}^{0}$ is a square integrable representation of $G L_{g}\left(L_{x}\right)$. Suppose also that $\Xi$ is an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{g}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\Xi^{c} \cong \Xi^{\vee}$ (where c acts on $\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L}\left(G L_{g}\right)$ via its action on $L$ ). Then we can find a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G L_{g}\left(\mathbb{A}_{L}\right)$ such that

1. $\Pi^{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}$,
2. $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as $\left.\Xi^{\vee}\right|_{G L_{g}\left(L_{\infty}\right)}$,
3. and for all $x \in S$ there is a character $\psi_{x}$ of $L_{x}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{L, x}^{\times}$such that

$$
\Pi_{x} \cong \Pi_{x}^{0} \otimes\left(\psi_{x} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)
$$

Proof: We may assume that for some $x \in S$ the representation $\Pi_{x}^{0}$ is supercuspidal. Choose $\left(E, F, B, *, \tau_{0}, \beta\right)$ as in section 1 with $E=M, F=L$, $[B: F]=g^{2}$ and $B_{x}$ split for all $x \in S$. We can choose an algebraic representation $\xi$ of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\left.\xi_{E}\right|_{G L_{n}\left(L_{\infty}\right)}=\left.\Xi\right|_{G L_{n}\left(L_{\infty}\right)}$. The corollary now follows from corollary VII. 2 and theorem VI.1.

Lemma VII. 4 Suppose that $s \mid n$ is a positive integer. Suppose also that $\pi_{w}^{0}$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G L_{n / s}\left(F_{w}\right)$. Then we can find an irreducible admissible representation $\pi^{\infty}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and a character $\psi_{w}^{0}$ of $F_{w}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{\times}$such that

1. $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right] \neq 0$,
2. $\pi_{w} \cong\left(\pi_{w}^{0} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{w}^{0} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\psi_{w}^{0} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$,

$$
\text { 3. }\left.\pi_{p, 0}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}=1
$$

Proof: Let $S(B)$ denote the set of places of $F$ at which $B$ is ramified. Note that if $x \in S(B)$ then $\left.x\right|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ splits in $E$.

As

$$
\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n}\right) \times \mathbb{C} \cong\left(G L_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)^{\operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}
$$

we may choose a maximal torus $T \cong\left(G L_{1}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)^{\operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ and a Borel subgroup $B \supset T$ consisting of upper triangular matrices in $\left(G L_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)^{\operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$. Then we can identify

$$
X^{*}(T) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)^{\operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}
$$

in such a way that the set of $B$-positive weights, $X^{*}(T)_{+}$, consists of vectors $\left(x_{\tau, i}\right)$ with $x_{\tau, i} \geq x_{\tau, j}$ whenever $i \leq j$. We will let $\rho \in X^{*}(T)_{+}$denote half the sum of the positive roots, i.e.

$$
\rho_{\tau, i}=(n+1) / 2-i .
$$

If $\Xi$ is an irreducible algebraic representation of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ we will let $x(\Xi) \in X^{*}(T)_{+}$denote its heighest weight. Note that $\Xi^{c} \cong \Xi^{\vee}$ (where $c$ acts on $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n}\right)$ via its action on $F$ ) if and only if

$$
x(\Xi)_{\tau, i}+x(\Xi)_{c \tau, n+1-i}=0
$$

for all $\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})$ and all $i=1, \ldots, n$. We will use exactly similar notation for $\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n / s}\right)$.

Choose an irreducible algebraic representation $\Xi^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n / s}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)^{\vee} \cong\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)^{c}$
- and $x\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)_{\tau, i+1} \geq x\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)_{\tau, i}+(s-1)$ for all $\tau \in \operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})$ and all $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$.

By corollary VII. 3 we may choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{n / s}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ such that

- $\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)^{\vee} \cong\left(\Pi^{\prime}\right)^{c}$,
- $\Pi_{\infty}^{\prime}$ has the same infinitesimal character as $\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$,
- $\Pi_{x}^{\prime}$ is supercuspidal for all $x \in S(B)$,
- $\Pi_{w}^{\prime} \cong \pi_{w}^{0} \otimes\left(\psi_{w}^{1} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$ for some character $\psi_{w}^{1}$ of $F_{w}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{\times}$.

Also choose a character $\phi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times} / F^{\times}$such that

- $\phi^{-1}=\phi^{c}$;
- for every $\tau: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defining a place $y$ of $F$ we have

$$
\phi_{y}: z \longmapsto(\tau(z) /|\tau(z)|)^{\delta_{\tau}},
$$

where $\delta_{\tau}=0$ if either $s$ or $n / s$ is odd, while $\delta_{\tau}= \pm 1$ if both both $s$ and $n / s$ are even;

- and $\phi_{w}$ is unramified.
(The existence of such a character $\phi$ is proved exactly as in the proof of corollary 12.9.) Note that $\Pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes \phi_{\infty}$ has infinitesimal character parametrised by $x^{\prime} \in X^{*}(T)$, where

$$
x_{\tau, i}^{\prime}=(n / s+1) / 2-i-x_{\tau, n / s+1-i}+\delta_{\tau} / 2
$$

According to the main theorem of [MW] there is an irreducible automorphic representation $\Pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)$ which occurs in the discrete spectrum and which is a subquotient of

$$
\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{Q\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}\right)}\left(\Pi^{\prime} \otimes \phi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{(1-s) / 2}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(\Pi^{\prime} \otimes \phi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{(s-1) / 2}\right),
$$

where $Q \subset G L_{n}$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi component $G L_{n / s}^{s}$. Moreover we have the following properties.

1. $\Pi^{\vee}=\Pi^{c}$ (by the strong multiplicity one theorem).
2. $\Pi_{\infty}$ has the same infinitesimal character as the algebraic representation $\Xi$ of $\mathrm{RS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{F}\left(G L_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with

$$
x(\Xi)_{\tau,(I-1) s+J}=1 / 2(s-1)(2 I-1-n / s)+x\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)_{\tau, I}-\delta_{\tau} / 2,
$$

for $I=1, \ldots, n / s$ and $J=1, \ldots, s$. (Note that by our assumptions on $\delta_{\tau}$ and $x\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)$ we do have $x(\Xi) \in X^{*}(T)_{+}$and so $\Xi^{\vee}$ has infinitesimal character with parameter $x \in X^{*}(T)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\tau,(I-1) s+J}= \\
& (n+1) / 2-(I-1) S-J-1 / 2(s-1)(2(n / s+1-I)-1-n / s)- \\
& x\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)_{\tau, n / s+1-I}+\delta_{\tau} / 2= \\
& (n / s+1) / 2-I+(s+1) / 2-J-x\left(\Xi^{\prime}\right)_{\tau, n / s+1-I}+\delta_{\tau} / 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $I=1, \ldots, n / s$ and $J=1, \ldots, s$. This $x \in X^{*}(T)$ also parametrises the infinitesimal character of any subquotient of
$\left.\mathrm{n}-\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{Q\left(F_{\infty}\right)}^{G L_{n}\left(F_{\infty}\right)}\left(\Pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes \phi_{\infty} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{(1-s) / 2}\right) \times \ldots \times\left(\Pi_{\infty}^{\prime} \otimes \phi_{\infty} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{(s-1) / 2}\right).\right)$
3. For each $x \in S(B), \Pi_{x} \cong\left(\pi_{x}^{0} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{x}^{0} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right)$, for some supercuspidal representation $\pi_{x}^{0}$ of $G L_{n / s}\left(F_{x}\right)$ (see [MW] and proposition 2.10 of [Z]).
4. $\Pi_{w} \cong\left(\pi_{w}^{0} \boxplus \ldots \boxplus\left(\pi_{w}^{0} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{s-1}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\psi_{w}^{0} \circ \operatorname{det}\right)$, for some character $\psi_{w}^{0}$ of $F_{w}^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_{F, w}^{\times}$(see [MW] and proposition 2.10 of $\left.[\mathrm{Z}]\right)$.

Applying theorem V.1, lemma VI.6, theorem VI. 4 and corollary VI. 5 we see that there is an irreducible automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that

- $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=\left(\psi, \mathrm{JL}^{-1}(\Pi)\right)$ (for some character $\psi$ )
- and $\operatorname{dim}\left[R_{\xi}\left(\pi^{\infty}\right)\right] \neq 0$.

Then $\pi^{\infty}$ is our desired representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$.
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