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#### Abstract

This paper studies the behavior of positive solutions of the recursive equation $$
y_{n}=A+\left(\frac{y_{n-k}}{y_{n-m}}\right)^{p}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$ with $y_{-s}, y_{-s+1}, \ldots, y_{-1} \in(0, \infty)$ and $k, m \in\{1,2,3,4, \ldots\}$, where $s=$ $\max \{k, m\}$. We prove that if $\operatorname{gcd}(k, m)=1$, and $p \leq \min \{1,(A+1) / 2\}$, then $y_{n}$ tends to $A+1$. This complements several results in the recent literature, including the main result in K. S. Berenhaut, J. D. Foley and S. Stević, The global attractivity of the rational difference equation $y_{n}=1+\frac{y_{n-k}}{y_{n-m}}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 135 (2007) 1133-1140.


## 1. Introduction

This paper studies the behavior of positive solutions of the recursive equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=A+\left(\frac{y_{n-k}}{y_{n-m}}\right)^{p}, n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y_{-s}, y_{-s+1}, \ldots, y_{-1} \in(0, \infty)$ and $k, m \in\{1,2,3,4, \ldots\}$, where $s=\max \{k, m\}$.
The case $k=m$ is trivial, so from now on we will assume that $k \neq m$.
Note that if $g=\operatorname{gcd}(k, m)>1$, then $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ can be separated into $g$ different equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(j)}=A+\left(\frac{y_{n-\frac{k}{g}}^{(j)}}{y_{n-\frac{m}{g}}^{(j)}}\right)^{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, g\}$. Hence, we may assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(k, m)=1$.
The study of properties of rational and nonlinear difference equations has been an area of intense interest in recent years; cf. [1]-25] and the references therein.

There is a relatively long history in studying equation (1). For example, for $p=1$, the case $k=2, m=1$ was studied in [2] by Amleh et al., the case $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m=1$ was studied by DeVault et al. in [11], and the case $A>1, k=1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ was studied by Stević in [20]. The investigation of global stability and periodicity of

[^0]positive solutions of equation (11), for the case $p=A=1, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ was completed by results in [3] and [15]; see also [17] and [21].

The study of the case $p>1$ was suggested in [14], where the authors noted that some results from [2] for the case $p=1, k=2, m=1$, can be translated to the case $p>1, k=2, m=1$. The first results for the case $p<1$ were given in 23. The existence of monotone solutions, for the case $p>0$ and $A>-1$ was shown in [5] by developing the technique from [6, 7, 8, 5, 10, 24] and 25]. Equations in papers [4] and [12] were investigated by transforming them into some special cases of equation (1).

The linearized equation associated with equation (11) for the case $k=2$ and $m=1$ is

$$
(A+1) z_{n}+p z_{n-1}-p z_{n-2}=0
$$

and its characteristic roots are

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{-p+\sqrt{p^{2}+4 p(A+1)}}{2(A+1)} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{-p-\sqrt{p^{2}+4 p(A+1)}}{2(A+1)}
$$

By some simple calculation we obtain

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}\right|=\frac{2 p}{p+\sqrt{p^{2}+4 p(A+1)}}<1
$$

for every $p, A>0$.
On the other hand, we have that

$$
\left|\lambda_{2}\right|<1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 2 p<A+1
$$

Hence, when $2 p<A+1$ equation (11) for the case $k=2$ and $m=1$ is locally asymptotically stable by the Linearized Stability Theorem.

Motivated by this local stability result, in 22 Stević has posed the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1. If $k=2, m=1$ and $p, A \in(0,1)$ are such that $p<(A+1) / 2$, then every positive solution of equation (11) converges to the unique equilibrium $A+1$.

Among other results, here we confirm the conjecture by proving that the following holds true for every $k, m \in \mathbb{N}, 0<A<1$ and $0<p \leq(A+1) / 2$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $m, k \geq 1$, and that $p, A$ are positive numbers satisfying $0<A<1$ and $0<p \leq(A+1) / 2$. If the sequence $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ satisfies (1) with $y_{-s}, y_{-s+1}, \ldots, y_{-1} \in(0, \infty)$ where $s=\max \{m, k\}$, then, $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ converges to the unique equilibrium $A+1$.
Remark 1 (The case $k$ even and $m$ odd). Note that the general characteristic equation associated with the linearized equation for equation (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A+1) \lambda^{s}+p \lambda^{s-m}-p \lambda^{s-k}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $k$ even and $m$ odd, equation (3) has a real root $\lambda_{0}<-1$, when $p>(A+1) / 2$. To see this, suppose that $p>(A+1) / 2$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=A+1+\frac{p}{\lambda^{m}}-\frac{p}{\lambda^{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, note that $f(-1)=A+1-2 p<0$, and for $\lambda<-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=A+1-\frac{p}{|\lambda|^{m}}-\frac{p}{|\lambda|^{k}}>A+1-\frac{2 p}{|\lambda|^{\min \{m, k\}}}>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$.
Hence, by the continuity of the function $f$ on the interval $(-\infty,-1)$ it follows that $f(\lambda)=0$ for some $\lambda \in(-\infty,-1)$, as required.

Thus, by the Linearized Stability Theorem, the positive equilibrium $\bar{y}=A+1$ of equation (1) is not stable, in this case. This fact in conjunction with Theorem 1 gives a full characterization of stability for the case $k$ even and $m$ odd, for $A, p \in$ $(0,1)$.

The paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminary lemmas and notation. Section 3 is devoted to global stability, where among other results we give a proof of Theorem 1 .

## 2. Preliminaries and notation

In this section, we introduce some preliminary lemmas and notation.
First, consider the simple transformed sequence $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ defined by $z_{n}=y_{n}-A$, for $n \geq-s$. Then, equation (1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\left(\frac{A+z_{n-k}}{A+z_{n-m}}\right)^{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 0$.
Now, define $\left\{z_{i}^{*}\right\}$ by

$$
z_{i}^{*}= \begin{cases}z_{i}, & \text { if } z_{i} \geq 1  \tag{7}\\ \frac{1}{z_{i}}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The following elementary lemma will be useful.
Lemma 1. If $x>1$ and $0<A<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{A+x}{A+1 / x}\right)^{\frac{A+1}{2}} \leq x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the inequality if and only if $x=1$, and if $x \geq 1$ and $A>1$, then the reverse inequality to inequality (8) holds.
Proof. Assume first that $A \in(0,1)$. Then the inequality in (8) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(A+1) \ln \left(\frac{A+x}{A x+1}\right)-(1-A) \ln x \leq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} g_{A}(x)=-\infty \text { and } g_{A}(1)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By some simple calculations we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A}^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{A(x-1)^{2}(1-A)}{(A+x)(A x+1) x}<0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $x \neq 1$, since $A \in(0,1)$.
Hence, $g_{A}(x)$ is decreasing, and thus by (10) is negative on the interval $(1, \infty)$.
Now, assume that $A>1$. Then $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} g_{A}(x)=+\infty$ and $g_{A}(1)=0$. On the other hand, by (11) it follows that $g_{A}^{\prime}(x)>0$, from which the desired inequality follows.

Remark 2. Note that if $A=1$ the inequality in (8) becomes equality.

Next we prove a contraction lemma which will be helpful in showing convergence of solutions in the transformed space obtained through (7).
Lemma 2. Suppose $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ satisfies (6) with $p \leq(A+1) / 2$ and $A \in(0,1]$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq z_{n}^{*} \leq \max \left\{z_{n-k}^{*}, z_{n-m}^{*}\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq s$.
Proof. Suppose that $z_{n-k}>z_{n-m}$ and set $x=\max \left\{z_{n-k}^{*}, z_{n-m}^{*}\right\}$. Note that if $z_{n-k} \geq 1$, then $1 \leq z_{n-k} \leq x$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / x \leq z_{n-k} \leq x \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $z_{n-k}<1$, then $1 / z_{n-k}=z_{n-k}^{*} \leq x$ from which (13) also holds. Similarly, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / x \leq z_{n-m} \leq x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (6), (13) and (14), for $n \geq s$, we have that

$$
z_{n}^{*}=\quad z_{n}=\left(\frac{A+z_{n-k}}{A+z_{n-m}}\right)^{p} \leq\left(\frac{A+z_{n-k}}{A+z_{n-m}}\right)^{\frac{A+1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{A+x}{A+\frac{1}{x}}\right)^{\frac{A+1}{2}} \leq x
$$

where the final inequality in (15) follows from Lemma (1). Similarly, if $z_{n-k} \leq z_{n-m}$, then
(16) $z_{n}^{*}=\frac{1}{z_{n}}=\left(\frac{A+z_{n-m}}{A+z_{n-k}}\right)^{p} \leq\left(\frac{A+z_{n-m}}{A+z_{n-k}}\right)^{\frac{A+1}{2}} \leq\left(\frac{A+x}{A+\frac{1}{x}}\right)^{\frac{A+1}{2}} \leq x$,
and the lemma is proved.
Now, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=\max _{n-s \leq i \leq n-1}\left\{z_{i}^{*}\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq s$.
The following result is a simple consequence of Lemma 2 and (17).
Lemma 3. The sequence $\left\{D_{i}\right\}$ is monotonically nonincreasing in $i$, for $i \geq s$.
Since $D_{i} \geq 1$ for $i \geq s$, Lemma 3 implies that, as $i$ tends to infinity, the sequence $\left\{D_{i}\right\}$ converges to some limit, say $D$, where $D \geq 1$.

Next, we have the following lemma concerning boundedness of solutions to equation (1).

Lemma 4. If $p \in(0,1)$, then every positive solution of equation (1) is bounded.
Proof. First, note that each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ can be written in the form $l k+i$, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Let $l_{0}=l_{0}(i)$ be the smallest element of $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $l_{0} k+i \geq m$. From (1) and since $y_{n}>A$ for every $n \geq 0$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{l k+i}=A+\frac{y_{(l-1) k+i}^{p}}{y_{l k+i-m}^{p}}<A+\frac{y_{(l-1) k+i}^{p}}{A^{p}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that $l k+i \geq m$. Let $\left(u_{l}^{(i)}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the solution of the difference equation

$$
u_{l}^{(i)}=A+\frac{u_{l-1}^{(i)}}{A^{p}}, \quad u_{l_{0}}^{(i)}=y_{i\left(l_{0}-1\right)+i}
$$

By (18) and induction we see that $y_{(l-1) k+i} \leq u_{l}^{(i)}, l \geq l_{0}$. Hence it is enough to prove that the sequences $\left(u_{l}^{(i)}\right)_{l \geq l_{0}}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$, are bounded.

Since the function

$$
f(x)=A+\frac{x^{p}}{A^{p}}, \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

is increasing and concave for $p \in(0,1)$ it follows that there is a unique fixed point $\bar{x}$ of the equation $f(x)=x$ and that the function $f$ satisfies the condition

$$
(f(x)-x)(x-\bar{x})<0, \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

Using this fact it is easy to see that if $u_{l}^{(i)} \in(0, \bar{x}]$ the sequence is nondecreasing and bounded above by $\bar{x}$ and if $u_{l}^{(i)} \geq \bar{x}$, it is nonincreasing and bounded below by $\bar{x}$. Hence for every $u_{l_{0}}^{(i)} \in(0, \infty)$, each of the sequences $u_{l}^{(i)}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$, is bounded, from which the result follows.

## 3. Convergence of solutions to equation (1)

In this section, we study the global attractivity of the positive solutions of equation (11). First, we give a proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Note that it suffices to show that the transformed sequence $\left\{z_{i}^{*}\right\}$ converges to 1 .

By the definition in (17), the values of $D_{i}$ are taken on by entries in the sequence $\left\{z_{j}^{*}\right\}$, and as well, by Lemma 2, $z_{i}^{*} \in\left[1, D_{i}\right]$ for $i \geq s$. Now, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can find an $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{N}^{*} \in[D, D+\epsilon], \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $i \geq N-s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}^{*} \in[1, D+\epsilon] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, similar to (13) and (14), (20) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{D+\epsilon} \leq z_{N-m}, z_{N-k} \leq D+\epsilon \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $D=1$, and from this, (7), (17) and the definition of $D$, the result follows.

Now, suppose $D>1$, and note that (19) implies that $z_{N} \neq 1$.
First, consider the case $z_{N}>1$. Then, from (19), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{N}=z_{N}^{*} \in[D, D+\epsilon] . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $z_{n-k}$ in (6), and employing (22) and (21), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
D+\epsilon & \geq z_{N-k}=z_{N}^{1 / p}\left(A+z_{N-m}\right)-A \\
& \geq D^{1 / p}\left(A+\frac{1}{D+\epsilon}\right)-A \\
& \geq D^{\frac{2}{A+1}}\left(A+\frac{1}{D+\epsilon}\right)-A \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{A+D+\epsilon}{A+\frac{1}{D+\epsilon}}\right) \geq D^{\frac{2}{A+1}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $z_{N}<1$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{z_{N}}=z_{N}^{*} \in[D, D+\epsilon] . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6), and employing (21) and (25), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
D+\epsilon & \geq z_{N-m}=\left(z_{N}^{*}\right)^{1 / p}\left(A+z_{N-k}\right)-A \\
& \geq D^{1 / p}\left(A+\frac{1}{D+\epsilon}\right)-A \\
& \geq D^{\frac{2}{A+1}}\left(A+\frac{1}{D+\epsilon}\right)-A . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

From (26) we have that (24) holds in this case, as well. Since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrary and $D>1$, by Lemma 1 we arrive at a contradiction, which implies that $D=1$, and the theorem follows.

Remark 3. Note that the above argument breaks down when $p>(A+1) / 2$. In particular, we have that for $p>(A+1) / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{A+x}{A+1 / x}\right)^{p}>x \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=1+\epsilon$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. To see this, similar to (9), set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{A, p}(x)=p(\ln (A+x)-\ln (A x+1))-(1-p) \ln x \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that the condition $h_{A, p}(x)>0$ is equivalent to (27). Now, $h_{A, p}(1)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{A, p}^{\prime}(1)=\frac{2 p-(A+1)}{(A+1)}>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0, h_{A, p}(1+\epsilon)>0$.
The next theorem is devoted to the case $p \in(0,1)$ and $A \geq 1$. It is simpler than Theorem 1 and is essentially a consequence of the boundedness result in Lemma 4 .

Theorem 2. Suppose that $m, k \geq 1, p \in(0,1)$ and $A \geq 1$. If the sequence $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ satisfies (11) with $y_{-s}, y_{-s+1}, \ldots, y_{-1} \in(0, \infty)$ where $s=\max \{m, k\}$, then, $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ converges to the unique equilibrium $A+1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, every solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of equation (11) is bounded which implies that there are finite $\lim \inf y_{n}=\lambda$ and $\lim \sup y_{n}=\Lambda$. Assume to the contrary that $\lambda<\Lambda$. Taking the liminf and limsup in (11) it follows that

$$
A+\frac{\lambda^{p}}{\Lambda^{p}} \leq \lambda<\Lambda \leq A+\frac{\Lambda^{p}}{\lambda^{p}}
$$

From this and since $p \in(0,1)$, it follows that

$$
A \Lambda^{p}+\lambda^{p} \leq \Lambda^{p} \lambda<\Lambda \lambda^{p} \leq A \lambda^{p}+\Lambda^{p} ;
$$

i.e.,

$$
(A-1) \Lambda^{p}<(A-1) \lambda^{p}
$$

Since $A \geq 1$, this is impossible. Therefore we have that $\lambda=\Lambda$, which implies the result.
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