The hitchhiker's guide to the voltage-gated sodium channel galaxy

Christopher A. Ahern,¹ Jian Payandeh,² Frank Bosmans,^{3,4} and Baron Chanda^{5,6}

¹Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242

²Department of Structural Biology, Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA 94080

³Department of Physiology and ⁴Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205

⁵Department of Neuroscience and ⁶Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, School of Medicine and Public Health,

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53705

Eukaryotic voltage-gated sodium (Na_v) channels contribute to the rising phase of action potentials and served as an early muse for biophysicists laying the foundation for our current understanding of electrical signaling. Given their central role in electrical excitability, it is not surprising that (a) inherited mutations in genes encoding for Na_v channels and their accessory subunits have been linked to excitability disorders in brain, muscle, and heart; and (b) Na_v channels are targeted by various drugs and naturally occurring toxins. Although the overall architecture and behavior of these channels are likely to be similar to the more well-studied voltage-gated potassium channels, eukaryotic Nav channels lack structural and functional symmetry, a notable difference that has implications for gating and selectivity. Activation of voltage-sensing modules of the first three domains in Na_v channels is sufficient to open the channel pore, whereas movement of the domain IV voltage sensor is correlated with inactivation. Also, structure–function studies of eukaryotic Na_v channels show that a set of amino acids in the selectivity filter, referred to as DEKA locus, is essential for Na⁺ selectivity. Structures of prokaryotic Na_v channels have also shed new light on mechanisms of drug block. These structures exhibit lateral fenestrations that are large enough to allow drugs or lipophilic molecules to gain access into the inner vestibule, suggesting that this might be the passage for drug entry into a closed channel. In this Review, we will synthesize our current understanding of Nav channel gating mechanisms, ion selectivity and permeation, and modulation by therapeutics and toxins in light of the new structures of the prokaryotic Na_v channels that, for the time being, serve as structural models of their eukaryotic counterparts.

Introduction

Animals use electrical signals to encode and propagate vital information, often over long distances (Hille, 2001). To this end, a diverse family of membrane protein complexes known as ion channels contains hydrophilic pathways across cell membranes that catalyze the otherwise energetically unfavorable flow of charged ions through the lipid bilayer. Consequently, ion channels generate and take advantage of a transmembrane voltage gradient that constitutes a key element in cellular communication. In mammals, voltage-gated sodium (Na_v) channels play an important role in fast electrical signaling because they have a Na⁺-selective transmembrane pathway that can open and close rapidly (i.e., gate) in response to changes in membrane voltage, thereby regulating the Na⁺ permeability of the cell membrane and generating the rapid upstroke of action potentials (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952b; Catterall, 2012; Fig. 1 A). As such, Nav channels are widely targeted by clinical

frankbosmans@jhmi.edu; or Baron Chanda: chanda@wisc.edu

therapeutics as well as toxins from numerous venomous animals and plants (Kaczorowski et al., 2008; Kalia et al., 2015). Abnormal Na_v channel activity stemming from inherited or spontaneous mutations in Nav channel genes can also lead to various diseases, termed channelopathies, which can manifest as both hypo- and hyper-excitable phenotypes (Wood et al., 2004; George, 2005; Cannon, 2006; Dib-Hajj and Waxman, 2010; Jurkat-Rott et al., 2010; Mantegazza et al., 2010). In the former, such mutations can result in deficient expression and loss of Na⁺ current, whereas in the latter, defective channel inactivation can produce excessive Na⁺ entry that results in prolonged or unstable depolarization. For example, >1,000 mutations in neuronal Na_v channels are associated with a spectrum of epilepsy syndromes (Claes et al., 2009). Moreover, alterations in the functional properties of Nav channel isoforms that are preferentially expressed in the skeletal muscle or in the heart muscle are associated with neuromuscular diseases and cardiac pathologies, respectively (George, 2005;

Downloaded from http://rupress.org/jgp/article-pdf/147/1/1/1232284/jgp_201511492.pdf by guest on 24 August 2022

Correspondence to Christopher A. Ahern: christopher-ahern@uiowa.edu; Jian Payandeh: payandeh.jian@gene.com; Frank Bosmans:

Abbreviations used in this paper: BTX, batrachotoxin; HH, Hodgkin and Huxley; K_v , voltage-gated potassium; Na_v , voltage-gated sodium; PM, pore module; STX, saxitoxin; TTX, tetrodotoxin; VSD, voltage-sensing domain; VTD, veratridine.

^{© 2016} Ahern et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution– Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

Cannon, 2006). In some cases, Na_v channel abnormalities can cause excruciating pain sensations, or in rare instances, isoform-specific loss of function phenotypes can eliminate the sensation of pain altogether (Dib-Hajj et al., 2013; Leipold et al., 2013).

In humans, nine Na_v channel pore–forming α subunits have been identified (Na_v1.1–Na_v1.9; Fig. 1 B), with amino acid homology predicting a similar domain and transmembrane architecture: the pore-forming α subunit consists of four connected parts (domains (D)I–IV), each having six transmembrane segments (S1–S6; Catterall, 2000). These homologous domains are similarly configured and consist of a voltage-sensing domain (VSD; S1–S4), which contains positively charged residues along the S4 helix, and a portion of the structure that forms the sodium ion–selective pore (S5–S6) that can partially open after each of the DI–III voltage sensors has moved in response to changes in membrane voltage (Fig. 1, C–F).

Typically, heterologous expression of the Na_v channel α subunit by itself is sufficient for generating Na⁺ currents in most eukaryotic cell expression systems. In vivo, however, Na_v channels act as a multi-protein membrane-embedded signaling complex (Abriel and Kass, 2005), chief among these being auxiliary β subunits $(\beta 1-4)$ that modify the expression and gating properties of the pore domain as well as contribute to cell migration and adhesion (O'Malley and Isom, 2015). Their importance in proper Na_v channel function is reflected in mutations that result in neurological and cardiac syndromes (Namadurai et al., 2015). Recently reported crystal structures of β 3 and β 4 have uncovered intricate interactions of these elements within the Nav channel signaling complex (Gilchrist et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013a; Namadurai et al., 2014). Moreover, these and other studies established new roles for B subunits in influencing Na_v channel pharmacology and as potential therapeutic targets (Gajewiak et al., 2014). Consistent



Figure 1. Na, channel function, family tree, and structural architecture. (A) Evoked action potential recorded from a mouse DRG neuron at room temperature before (black) and after (red) the application of 1 µM TTX. X axis is 30 ms, and y axis is 20 mV. (B) A phylogenetic tree of Na_v channels as well as Shaker obtained using Vector NTI AlignX software. (C) The side view of a signal subunit of the Na_vAb channel homotetramer (Protein Data Bank accession no. 3RVY) in ribbon style is colored from N terminus (blue) to C terminus (red). This view highlights the VSD as a modular four-helix bundle. (D) Side view of the NavAb channel with the front VSD and pore domain removed for clarity. For illustrative purposes, Na, Ab is colored according to a pseudotetrameric arrangement expected for eukaryotic Nav cannels. Representative classes of protein toxins $(\alpha, \beta, and \mu)$, small molecule toxins (TTX), as well select small molecule drugs (lidocaine and benzocaine) are represented with arrows pointing to their presumed canonical binding sites on the channel. (E) Top-view schematic of a eukaryotic Nav channel with the S3b-S4 region of the VSDs from different domains is highlighted in different colors. The ion-conducting Na⁺ pore is found in the center of this view. (F) A structural top view of the Na_vAb channel colored according to a pseudotetrameric arrangement expected for a eukaryotic Nav channel (as in D). This subunit coloring highlights the "domain-swapped arrangement" of the VSDs around the PM observed for all voltage-gated ion channels.

with their role as central cell-signaling hubs in excitable cells, Na_v channels interact with a myriad of cellular constituents including but not limited to calmodulin (Kink et al., 1990), contactin, fibroblast growth factor homologous factors, ankyrin, clathrin-interacting protein 1A, mitogen-activated protein kinase, and neural precursor cell-expressed developmentally down-regulated protein 4 (Dib-Hajj and Waxman, 2010).

Structural insights into eukaryotic Nav channel function lag compared with the structural revolution that is leading the understanding of voltage-gated potassium (K_v) channels (Long et al., 2005a). Recently, the discovery of biochemically more tractable bacterial Na_v (or BacNa_v) channels set the stage for several experimental structure determinations of six-transmembrane homotetrameric channels (NavAb, NavRh, and NavCt) and two-transmembrane pore module (PM)-only structures (NavMs and NavAe; Payandeh et al., 2011, 2012; McCusker et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012b; Tsai et al., 2013; Shaya et al., 2014). These simpler BacNav channels collectively highlight the basic design principles of the more complex eukaryotic Nav channels in unprecedented detail (Payandeh and Minor, 2015). However, these significant advances are only tempered by the still unknown structural and functional correlations to eukaryotic Nav channels. For one, the homotetrameric BacNa_v channels will show inherent mechanistic differences in the cooperativity of their gating, as well as their interactions with permeant ions and therapeutics when compared with pseudo-heterotetrameric eukaryotic Nav channels. Moreover, the inherent lack of symmetry in the mammalian Nav channel protein sequence raises basic questions about the role of individual domains in their functional properties. Even so, the BacNav channels may be suitable models for understanding the mechanisms that underlie the biology of pseudo-symmetric eukaryotic Na_v channels. For example, all full-length BacNa_v channel structures revealed a central ion PM with a domainswapped arrangement in which each individual VSD is offset by one step from its pore domain, around the perimeter of the fourfold structure (Fig. 1 D; Payandeh et al., 2011, 2012; Zhang et al., 2012b; Tsai et al., 2013). This architecture likely underlies an important aspect of the electromechanical coupling mechanism (Long et al., 2005b) and was foreshadowed by receptor sitemapping studies in eukaryotic Nav channels that suggested certain toxins contact the VSD in one homologous domain and the PM of another (Cohen et al., 2007; Leipold et al., 2007).

In light of the recent advances in structural biology, we anticipate that experimental structures of eukaryotic Na_v channels will become available in the near future. This would undoubtedly provide new insights into some of the long-standing questions in the ion channel field. Inspired by this prospect, we will broadly survey the current state of our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie Na_v channel function as well as their modulation by ligands in this Review. Prokaryotic Na_v channel structures and their implications on our understanding of the eukaryotic sodium channels will also be discussed. We hope that this Review adequately captures the storied history of Na_v channels and will also catalyze new studies of these fascinating molecules.

Gating mechanisms

Voltage gating. According to the Hodgkin and Huxley (HH) model, changes in membrane permeability during an action potential are controlled by redistribution of voltage-dependent gating particles between two permissive positions (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952a,c,d). The sodium-ion conductance is determined by the activating "m" and inactivating "h" particles. Nav channels open when all three "m" particles move into the up state, whereas activation of the slower moving "h" particle produces the phenomenon of inactivation. It should be noted that this physical picture was mainly inferred from the mathematical descriptions of ionic conductance. Indeed, Hodgkin and Huxley cautiously note that "the physical basis for the equations should be only used for illustrative purposes and is unlikely to be the correct picture of the membrane." Nonetheless, these concepts revolutionized our way of thinking about electrical properties of membranes and laid the foundation for future mechanistic studies.

Macroscopic current measurements cannot uniquely discriminate between gating models with different rate constants (e.g., 1:1:1 or 1:2:3), as the predicted Na⁺ currents would be virtually indistinguishable from the original (Armstrong, 1981). Thus, to constrain models of Na_v channel gating, it is necessary to monitor time and voltage-dependent distributions of nonconducting channel states. Therefore, the discovery of "gating currents" in the early 1970s made it possible to probe gating transitions even when the channel is closed or inactivated (Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1973; Keynes and Rojas, 1973, 1974; Meves, 1974). "Gating current" refers to the transient current generated by the movement of voltagesensing charges or dipoles within the electric field. The activating ON (outward) gating currents of Nav channels in squid axon show two components, with the fast component being clearly related to channel opening, and the second, slower ON gating component was observed to be faster than inactivation. This led Armstrong and Bezanilla to propose that inactivation is not directly caused by the movement of a voltage-sensing inactivation particle as was proposed by the HH model (Armstrong et al., 1973; Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1974, 1977; Bezanilla and Armstrong, 1977).

The HH model also predicts that the OFF gating current will be unaffected by the state of inactivation, but it was observed that inactivation results in "immobilization" of roughly two thirds of the total OFF gating currents (Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1973). These findings support a foot-in-the-door-type mechanism for inactivation, a key tenet of the coupled inactivation model (Fig. 2). Accordingly, reclosure of the activation gate is hindered by an inactivation particle, which binds near the channel entrance thereby preventing the return of coupled voltage-sensing charges.

Single-channel recording techniques allowed ion channel biophysicists to extract information about the various microscopic rates during gating transitions. Aldrich, Corey, and Stevens found that single Na_v channels from neuroblastoma cells primarily open once during a depolarizing voltage step with a mean open time that is not voltage dependent (Aldrich and Stevens, 1983, 1987; Aldrich et al., 1983). This indicates that entry into absorbing inactivated states is both rapid and voltage independent, as predicted by Armstrong and Bezanilla. However, by measuring the first latency to channel opening, they also discovered that a large fraction of Na_v channels open after the macroscopic current reaches its peak. These studies highlighted the fact that the macroscopic activation and inactivation kinetics are not solely a measure of microscopic channel opening and inactivation rates.

Other studies, including those by Vandenberg and Bezanilla (1991a,b), suggested that the final transition that leads to channel opening is slower than predicted by earlier models, but the microscopic rate constants for inactivation were still slower than activation rate constants. In a landmark single-channel study of Nav channels, Vandenberg and Horn (1984) introduced the idea of using statistical methods such as maximum likelihood analysis to rigorously discriminate between different kinetic models by direct fitting single-channel records. Their analysis showed that a simple model of Nav channel gating requires both open- and closed-state inactivation (see also Aldrich and Stevens, 1983). Furthermore, they found that wild-type channels have a long dwell time (2-5 ms) and open on multiple occasions. This is in contrast to the findings of Aldrich and Stevens (1987), who observed a short dwell time (0.2-1 ms) and only one channel opening before entering into the absorbing inactivated state. The seemingly opposing conclusions about inactivation being slow (Vandenberg and Horn, 1984) or fast (Aldrich and Stevens, 1983, 1987) may have a simple but intriguing explanation. Vandenberg and Horn (1984) performed their experiments using inside-out patches in which Na_v channel open times were severalfold longer when compared with cell-attached patches as used by Aldrich and Stevens (1983, 1987). Therefore, it seems that these groups may have been working on different states of the Nav channel in which patch excision altered inactivation rates, a phenomenon that has yet to be fully explored. Although longer dwell times (1–2 ms) were also observed in a more recent study involving single-channel recordings of Na_v channels in inside-out patches (Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2013), multiple openings were not observed, suggesting that bursting behavior may not be a common feature for all Na_v channels. Studies of macroscopic Na^+ currents by Kuo and Bean (1994) showed that the channels are able to deactivate at least partially before recovering from inactivation. This idea is not incompatible with charge immobilization studies, where it was shown that approximately one third of the total charge remains free to move upon inactivation, and thus could account for rapid partial deactivation.

In Na_v channels, both activation and inactivation occur in an overlapping voltage range, which limits our ability to develop well-constrained gating models. Rapid entry into absorbing inactivated states masks the intrinsic lifetimes of open states, and limits the ability to unambiguously resolve the kinetics of slower or less frequent transitions in the activation pathway. One possible approach is to study activation gating in isolation by generating channels genetically deficient in inactivation (West et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2003). This experimental paradigm was implemented successfully to characterize the gating properties of the *Shaker* K_v channel and resulted in some of the most well-constrained gating models of voltage-gated ion channels to date (Zagotta et al., 1994).

Photoaffinity labeling using specific Nav channel toxins (also see Pharmacology section below) identified a large molecular weight component (Beneski and Catterall, 1980), which led to the elucidation of the primary structure of Nav channels (Noda et al., 1984). This major accomplishment set the groundwork for molecular and mutagenic studies that revolutionized the understanding of Na_v channels by assigning for the first time distinct functional gating roles to regions or residues. Ensuing cysteine accessibility studies on the skeletal muscle Nav channel isoform Nav1.4 showed that Cys residues in DIVS4 are rapidly modified by MTS reagents in a state-dependent manner, providing the first direct evidence that voltage-sensing charges translocate during the gating process (Yang and Horn, 1995; Yang et al., 1996).

Extensive mutagenic analysis of voltage-sensing charges of the Na_v channel failed to reveal a clear picture of the role of specific domains (Chahine et al., 1994; Yang et al., 1996; Kontis et al., 1997; Lerche et al., 1997; Kühn and Greeff, 1999). Mutations of charged residues in all the domains were found to affect activation, whereas those in S4 segments of primarily DI and IV had most effect on fast inactivation. Peptide toxins such as Anthopleurin-B were observed to dramatically reduce fast inactivation and suggested that an extracellular site may be linked to fast inactivation (Hanck and Sheets, 1995; Sheets and Hanck, 1995). Subsequent structure– function studies localized such toxin-binding sites to extracellular loops of DIV of the Na_v channel (Rogers et al., 1996; Benzinger et al., 1998).

Measurements of voltage-sensor kinetics by tagging them with fluorescent reporters showed that VSDIV moves fivefold slower than those in the first three domains (Chanda and Bezanilla, 2002). The time course of the activation of this voltage sensor is correlated with onset of inactivation and with the slow ON gating charge movement. However, single-channel studies in an inactivation-deficient mutant showed that DIV is not the inactivation particle itself, but its movement causes a secondary conformational change in the pore (Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2013). This slower opening presumably gives rise to the slow activation observed by Aldrich, Corey, and Stevens in their single-channel studies (Aldrich et al., 1983). Single-channel studies (Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2013) also showed that upon opening, Na_v channels have an \sim 75% chance of entering the subconductance state, suggesting that the channels preferentially undergo transition from open to a subconductance state.

Thus, according to the asynchronous gating model, the activation of VSDI–III causes initial channel opening, whereas the subsequent activation of VSDIV uncovers a site for binding inactivation particle in the pore (Fig. 2). Inactivation follows rapidly once this site becomes available; therefore, the second opening is obscured in wild-type channels. Disabling DIV–S4 voltage sensing by introduced glutamine residues at the first three charge-carrying residues slows entry into, and recovery from, fast inactivated states (Capes et al., 2013). Collectively, these studies demonstrate that activation of VSDIV is both rate limiting and sufficient for Na_v channel inactivation.

Structure–function studies involving swaps of various Na_v channel VSD regions into a K_v channel background showed that DIV VSDs are intrinsically slower (Bosmans et al., 2008). By comparing the sequences of K_v and Na_v channels, Lacroix et al. (2013) were able to identify



Figure 2. Schematic representation of gating models of eukaryotic sodium channels. (A) Transmembrane topology of a eukaryotic Nav channel. The S4 voltage-sensing segment is shaded in gray, and the P-loop constitutes the selectivity filter region. The inactivation motif (ceruleancolored box) is the loop connecting domains III and IV. (B) Representative membrane currents through a voltageactivated sodium channel in response to a depolarizing pulse from a holding potential of -90 mV. The start of the depolarization pulse is represented as a break, and the gating current component has been subtracted. (C) Schematic rendering of the original HH model of sodium channel gating. Rapid activation of three "m" particles is sufficient for the channel to open, and slower activation of the "h" particle causes the channel to inactivate. (D) In the coupled inactivation model, activation of all four voltage sensors contributes to the channel opening. Inactivation results from binding of the inactivation lid to its receptor in the pore, which becomes accessible in the open state. (E) According to the asynchronous gating model, the activation of the first three VSDs of the sodium channel is sufficient to open the channel. Slow activation of the domain IV voltage sensor results in a secondary open state and makes the receptor for inactivation lid accessible.

speed control residues in S2 and S4 segments as the primary determinants for asynchronous activation of the voltage sensors of the Na_v channel. Despite significant progress in the past decade, many features of the asynchronous gating model remain unclear. For instance, we do not fully comprehend the structural dynamics involved in coupling activation of VSDIV to inactivation. Future studies combined with new structural information will undoubtedly shed more light on this asynchronous gating mode, which is likely to be a common feature in all pseudo-symmetric channels in the voltagegated ion channel superfamily (Palovcak et al., 2014).

The BacNa_v structures did confirm the VSDs to be hourglass-shaped four-helical bundles that contain intracellular and extracellular aqueous clefts lined by conserved acidic and polar residues (Fig. 3, A and B). The S4 helices are studded with conserved argininegating charges found in a characteristic RxxR motif (Payandeh et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012b), and a conserved hydrophobic constriction site forms a gasket around the gating charges as they transit through the "gating pore" (Fig. 3 B). The BacNa_v VSD structures are consistent with classical models of Nav channel function, where S4 arginine gating charges exchange ionpair partners along the VSD during activation and deactivation, but some BacNa_v channel gating charges also make compensating interactions to the protein backbone along the VSD (Fig. 3 B), suggesting that noncanonical gating charge interactions may also be functionally relevant.

Although the details of S4 motion in each VSD of eukaryotic Na_v channels remain unclear, it is expected that these movements are analogous to those in other voltage-sensing channels. The original models of voltage sensing (Armstrong, 1981) proposed that positive charge movement across the bilayer must be facilitated by negative charges in other parts of the protein or even negative lipid head groups (for a detailed discussion see Chowdhury, 2015). These concepts were further refined to suggest that the S4 helix undergoes a helical screw motion so that the charge pairing and α helicity are maintained during activation (Catterall, 1986; Guy and Seetharamulu, 1986; Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2012). Although the recent structures of the voltage-sensing phosphatase suggest that this may be the case (Li et al., 2014), structures of other members of the voltage-gated ion channel superfamily suggest that the S4 helix may undergo a transition to a 3_{10} helix, in which case there is no necessity of a screw helical motion (Clayton et al., 2008). It is worth mentioning that despite a growing body of available data, there is no general consensus regarding the specific mechanism of charge movement, and it is unclear to what extent the details of this process are conserved throughout the voltage-gated ion channel family.

The $BacNa_v$ channel structures have also helped to define the molecular footprint of VSDs and pinpoint

functionally unique residues within eukaryotic Nav channel VSDs (Palovcak et al., 2014; Pless et al., 2014). Intriguingly, the NavAb and rat Kv1.2 channel VSDs share highly similar core structures (Payandeh et al., 2011), whereas the VSDs of NavRh display a remarkable "down shifting" of the S1–S3 regions around the S4 helix (Zhang and Yan, 2013; Payandeh and Minor, 2015). This observation suggests that the S1–S3 helices might not be totally constrained during activation. Moreover, a swinging motion of the VSDs within the plane of the membrane is also observed when the PMs of NavAb and NavRh (or Kv1.2) structures are superimposed (Fig. 3 C), highlighting potential transitions involved in channel activation or inactivation processes.

Pore gating. Pore gating in the voltage-gated ion channel family can occur either at the distal S6 hydrophobic bundle (del Camino et al., 2000; del Camino and Yellen, 2001) or in the selectivity filter region in CNG (Contreras et al., 2008) and BK channels (Chen and Aldrich, 2011; Zhou et al., 2011). Early studies with Na_v channels suggested that the quaternary strychnine can bind to its pore-blocking site from the cytoplasmic side only when channels are open, analogous to TEA block of K_v channels (Cahalan, 1978; Cahalan and Almers, 1979b). This was supported by discovery of the open pore blocker–like activity of the β 4 subunit, which may also be a cytoplasmic blocker (Raman and Bean, 2001).

As anticipated from physiological studies on eukaryotic Nav channels (Hille, 2001), the BacNav channel PM contains a funnel-shaped extracellular vestibule, a narrowed selectivity filter, a large central cavity, and an intracellular activation gate (Fig. 3 A; Payandeh et al., 2011). Consistent with the view that an intracellular activation gate can regulate drug or blocker access (Hille, 2001), the structures of BacNav channels are occluded to varying extents in this region (Fig. 3 D; Payandeh et al., 2011, 2012; McCusker et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012b; Shaya et al., 2014). Direct evidence for location of the pore gate in eukaryotic Nav channels came from studies probing state-dependent accessibility of substituted cysteines in the S6 of DIV in an inactivation-deficient background (Oelstrom et al., 2014). Removing inactivation is essential to definitively establish that the observed accessibility changes are not caused by the inactivation particle blocking access to the substituted cysteines. It was shown that an evolutionary conserved patch of hydrophobic residues gate access to the sodium channel ion conduction pathway (Oelstrom et al., 2014). Strikingly, comparison of the structures of "closed" and "open" BacNav channel PMs shows that a hydrophobic residue at an equivalent position is part of a narrow constriction (\sim 3.8 Å) in the access pathway and should form a steric barrier for hydrated ions. In addition to the conserved hydrophobic gate, the structures of other BacNav channels suggest additional sites for putative intracellular gates (Shaya et al., 2014). Accessibility studies in these bacterial channels will clarify whether these other gates are physiologically relevant. Furthermore, toxin-binding studies in eukaryotic Nav channels suggest that there are likely to be additional conformational changes in the outer pore (Capes et al., 2012). However, it remains unclear to what extent these conformational changes in the outer pore contribute to the gating process. Interestingly, the first reported NavAb channel structure (Ile217Cys) revealed an essentially fourfold symmetric arrangement (Payandeh et al., 2011), whereas a subsequently determined NavAb channel structure (wild type) displayed an asymmetric collapse of the activation gate, central cavity, and selectivity filter, as well as a repositioning of the VSDs around the channel (Payandeh et al., 2012). These structural changes appear propagated through highly conserved residues forming a "communication wire" within the PM, and many analogous residue positions have been implicated in the slow inactivation process in eukaryotic Na_v channels (Payandeh et al., 2012). In this light, the BacNa_v channels may provide a template to understand how the selectivity filter, central cavity, activation gate, and VSDs may be coupled in eukaryotic Nav channels.

Electromechanical coupling. Our understanding of the molecular machinery involved in coupling VSD movements to the pore opening comes mostly from studies on homotetrameric K_v channels (see Blunck and Batulan, 2012; Chowdhury and Chanda, 2012). Mutations at multiple positions in the S4-S5 linker region and adjacent S6 helix have been shown to disrupt electromechanical coupling. In the Nav channel, two different approaches were used to probe coupling between VSDIII and pore gates. By simultaneously monitoring the movements of the DIII voltage sensor and pore opening, Muroi et al. (2010) were able to identify multiple residues in this region as likely sites mediating electromechanical coupling. Further, by analyzing the derivatives of response curves, they were able to highlight several key residues as those that are involved in interactions in both resting and activated states.

Previously, it had been shown that lidocaine binding to the pore causes large hyperpolarizing shifts in VSDIII, making it harder to return to the resting state (Arcisio-Miranda et al., 2010), akin to charge immobilization observed upon TEA binding to the pore in K_v channels (Armstrong, 1969). This suggests that lidocaine, much like TEA, prevents closure of the pore gates. Arcisio-Miranda et al. (2010) exploited this phenomenon to



Figure 3. Overview of BacNa_v crystal structures. (A) Side view of the Na Ab channel (Protein Data Bank accession no. 3RVY) with the VSDs colored green, the S4-S5 linkers colored red, and the PM colored blue. The selectivity filter motif in all four subunits is colored yellow. Main regions within the pore structure are indicated, and the front VSD and pore domain are removed for clarity. (B) Voltage-sensor domain from NavAb highlights conserved structural and functional features within the VSD including the hydrophobic constriction site (HCS) and the intracellular and extracellular negative charge clusters (INC and ENC). The gating charges (arginine residues, R1-R4) are shown in blue sticks. (Inset) The R2 arginine gating charge hydrogen bonding with a backbone carbonyl from the S3 helix is highlighted. (C) Superposition of the Na_vAb and

Na_vRh (Protein Data Bank accession no. 4DXW) channel pores (colored blue and pink, respectively) indicates the possibility of a significant movement of the VSDs within the plane of the membrane. (D) Side-view section of the Na_vAb channel shows locations of bound phospholipids (yellow spheres) within the PM and their penetration through the pore fenestrations. The side chain of Phe203 is shown in pink stick representation for reference, and the closed intracellular activation gate formed by the S6 helices is indicated. (E) Side view sectioned through the PM of Na_vAb shows three coordination sites identified within BacNa_v selectivity filters. From the extracellular to intracellular side, these sites are: Site_{HFS}, Site_{CEN}, and Site_{IN}. The approximate positions of the Thr (T), Leu (L), and Glu (E) backbone or side-chain atoms from the conserved TLESW selectivity motif are also indicated.

examine if mutants in the S4–S5 linker and S6 region can allow VSDIII to return normally even when the pore is blocked by lidocaine, a possibility that could identify sites critical for maintaining coupling between the voltage sensor and pore. Strikingly, many of the identified high impact residues that disrupt the coupling between VSDIII and the lidocaine-binding site had been identified by Muroi et al. (2010).

Although these experimental paradigms have led to the identification of a subset of residues involved in electromechanical coupling, a deeper understanding of the fundamental mechanisms that determine coupling in these ion channels have remained elusive. The VSD and pore in Na_v and K_v channels are believed to be obligatorily coupled, which implies that standard allosteric analysis that would allow us to extract coupling energies is not applicable (Chowdhury and Chanda, 2012). This inability to estimate coupling free energies is a shortcoming that has to be overcome to obtain a quantitative understanding of how various structural features determine efficient voltage transduction from the VSD to the pore in these channels.

Fast inactivation gating. Perfusion of proteolytic enzymes in the squid axon preferentially removes inactivation while leaving activation intact, suggesting that the former likely involves proteinaceous components located on the cytoplasmic face of the channel (Armstrong et al., 1973). Furthermore, complementary Nav channel fragments with a "clipped" linker between DIII and IV have impaired inactivation, implicating this loop in fast inactivation (Stühmer et al., 1989). This idea has been advanced by antibodies directed against residues 1491-1508 in the DIII-IV linker of neuronal Nav channels, which antagonize inactivation of single channels (Vassilev et al., 1989). The implication of the DIII-IV linker has given rise to a working hypothesis that sodium channel inactivation proceeds through a "hinged-lid" mechanism, whereby linker residues serve as a molecular latch that interacts transiently with a receptor elsewhere in the channel (Fig. 2; Joseph et al., 1990). Consistent with this idea, mutation of the strictly conserved putative latch residues IFM to QQQ (also known as the Q³ mutation) abolishes fast inactivation (West et al., 1992), whereas mutation of charged side chains or internal deletions are tolerated by inactivation (Moorman et al., 1990; Patton et al., 1992). In isolation, the \sim 53–amino acid linker itself is largely disordered aside from a short α -helical structure found midway between the transmembrane tethers (Rohl et al., 1999; Sarhan et al., 2012), suggesting that it could be highly mobile. Although MTS-induced changes in gating or modification rates of introduced cysteine residues are consistent with local movement within the inactivation complex (Kellenberger et al., 1996; Lerche et al., 1997), investigations of conserved proline and glycine residues that might serves as "hinges" in DIII–IV to facilitate such movement are inconclusive (Kellenberger et al., 1997). The identity of the putative receptor for the IFM motif has proven to be elusive, but mutations in the pore-lining S6 segments of DI (Wang et al., 2003) and DIV (McPhee et al., 1994) can profoundly affect fast inactivation. However, such results need to be treated with caution, as mutations throughout the channel can also impact activation gating (Chahine et al., 1994; O'Leary et al., 1995; Chen et al., 1996; Smith and Goldin, 1997; Wagner et al., 1997; Jurkat-Rott et al., 2000, 2010; Keller et al., 2003; Motoike et al., 2004), which could indirectly alter inactivation because these processes are coupled.

Selectivity and permeation

Nav channels drive excitability in the cardiovascular and nervous systems by rapidly gating the selective influx of Na⁺. These moderately selective pores sit midway in their efficiency for namesake ion selectivity, allowing the mistaken passage of a wayward K⁺ in 1 in 15 attempts as opposed to K_v channels, which mistake these two ions in roughly 1 per 100 attempts (Hille, 2001). This lower selectivity is possibly caused by the need only to depolarize the membrane, and therefore Nav channels need not be as selective in the process. Unlike the clear multiion picture now available for K_v channels in which backbone carbonyls craft the selectivity filter (Doyle et al., 1998; Yellen, 2002; Long et al., 2005a), a comparable structure of the eukaryotic Na⁺ selectivity filter and the chemical basis for this process remain unresolved. Yet, early experiments did reveal certain features that are consistent with a single Na⁺ being bound to the channel most of the time (Hille, 1975a; Busath and Begenisich, 1982; Moczydlowski et al., 1984; Ravindran et al., 1992; French et al., 1994).

Substitutions within the putative selectivity filter have identified four key residues that are responsible for Na⁺ selectivity, namely an aspartate (DI S5-S6 loop), glutamate (DII S5-S6 loop), lysine (DIII S5-S6 loop), and alanine (DIV S5-S6 loop; Favre et al., 1996; Sun et al., 1997; Huang et al., 2000). Within this DEKA motif, the presence of the positively charged Lys and the carboxylate from Glu seem to be vital components for maintaining an ionic permeability ratio of 0.03:0.075 for K⁺ over Na⁺. Based on an early molecular model of the Na_v channel pore, Lipkind and Fozzard (2008) ran molecular dynamics simulations and concluded that Na⁺ selectivity hinges on both composition and conformation of the four non-identical selectivity filter residues. The underlying energetic mechanism may involve the interaction of Na⁺ with glutamate (DII), thereby disrupting the interaction of its carboxylate with the amino group of the lysine in DIII and displacing it toward the alanine residue in DIV. To achieve this, Na⁺ would only have to eliminate one or two waters from its hydration shell. Selectivity over K⁺ would arise from the inability of this ion to compete successfully with the lysine amino group (DIII), which would make an interaction with the glutamate in DII impossible.

Unlike eukaryotic Nav channels, BacNav channels lack the signature DEKA locus and hallmark binding of tetrodotoxin (TTX) but still retain Na⁺ selectivity. However, it should be noted that key differences exist between selectivity mechanisms between eukaryotic and bacterial channels (Finol-Urdaneta et al., 2014). Nevertheless, in BacNa_v channels, the S5 and S6 helices line the perimeter and central cavity of the PM, respectively, and are connected by a distinctive pore loop that forms a P1 helix-turn-P2 helix structure (Figs. 1 C and 3 A). This "turn" contains the BacNav channel selectivity filter motif, which houses an extracellular acidic coordination site (TLESWS in NavAb; Site_{HFS}) and two inner carbonyl coordination sites that line the central ion conduction pathway (TLESWS in NavAb; Site_{CEN} and Site_{IN}; Fig. 3 E). As predicted by permeation studies in eukaryotic Nav channels (Hille, 1972), the selectivity filters in BacNa_v channels is wide enough to accommodate Na⁺ ions with their first hydration shell almost fully intact (Payandeh et al., 2011; McCusker et al., 2012; Tsai et al., 2013; Bagnéris et al., 2014; Shaya et al., 2014). Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that highly degenerate but favorable binding environments are able to concentrate two to three Na⁺ ions within the selectivity filter and conduct them through a knock-on mechanism that favors hydrated Na⁺ ions over hydrated K⁺ or Ca²⁺ ions (Chakrabarti et al., 2013; Ulmschneider et al., 2013; Boiteux et al., 2014). Conformational isomerization of the acidic side chain within the selectivity motif (TLESWS) has been further implicated in fostering an energetic landscape that promotes rapid diffusion of hydrated Na⁺ (Chakrabarti et al., 2013; Boiteux et al., 2014; Ke et al., 2014), and analogous suggestions have been made about side chains within the DEKA selectivity locus of eukaryotic Nav channels (Favre et al., 1996; Lipkind and Fozzard, 2000; Xia et al., 2013). It is worth noting that NavRh and NavAe channels have both captured an apparent hydrated Ca2+ within or above their respective selectivity filters, as these bound ions may represent physiologically relevant blocking sites (Zhang et al., 2012b; Shaya et al., 2014).

Three point mutations in the selectivity filter of Na_vAb that increase the amount of negatively charged residues produce a highly selective Ca²⁺ channel similar to those found in eukaryotic Ca_v channels (Tang et al., 2014). This observation is in concordance with results obtained from eukaryotic Ca_v channel mutagenesis, where it was shown that substitutions in the EEEE locus of the pore loop reduces ion selectivity by weakening ion-binding affinity. Unlike the degenerate binding mode of hydrated Na⁺ ions proposed within the Na_vAb selectivity filter (TL<u>E</u>SWSM), crystal structures of the "Ca_vAb channel" variant revealed a linear arrangement

of hydrated Ca²⁺ ions bound at two discrete high affinity sites by neighboring acidic side chains (TL<u>DD</u>WSD; Tang et al., 2014). This ionic arrangement would effectively screen away monovalent cations. Through a proposed knock-off mechanism, bound Ca²⁺ ions are released into the central cavity of Ca_vAb through a third low affinity carbonyl site (<u>T</u>LDDWSD) analogous to Site_{IN} in Na_vAb (Fig. 3 E; Tang et al., 2014).

Unlike K_v channels, structural studies on BacNa_v channels support the notion that both Na_v and Ca_v channels select and conduct hydrated cations. Interestingly, two highly conserved residues found in all Na_v and Ca_v channels (<u>TLESW</u> in Na_vAb) form an intersubunit hydrogen-bonding network in BacNa_v channels that appears to hold the selectivity filter wide enough to accommodate hydrated cations (Payandeh et al., 2011). It has been suggested that these side-chain interactions (and therefore the structure of the selectivity filter) might be modulated by permeant ions, toxins, drugs, pathological mutations, and different gating states of the channel (Payandeh et al., 2012).

Pharmacology

Mechanisms of Na_v channel pharmacology will be discussed as well as the possible roles of membrane-facing fenestrations, long predicted, and now recently visualized in Na_v channel structures. We also propose a simplified nomenclature for Na_v channel toxin-binding sites and catalog the activities of key compounds.

Mechanisms of therapeutic inhibition by local anesthetics. Antiepileptic, antiarrhythmic, and local anesthetic compounds reduce Na_v channel activity with low and high affinity through "resting" and "use-dependent" inhibition mechanisms. In the clinical setting, this behavior is pharmacologically advantageous, as it allows for the systematic administration of Nav channel inhibitors that primarily affect hyperexcitable tissues. Repeated stimulations produce conformational changes in the drug receptor that are concomitant with opening and channel inactivation that serve to further enhance drug interactions. Nav channel drugs have been proposed to reduce conductance through a variety of overlapping mechanisms including pore block (Ramos and O'Leary, 2004), electrostatic interactions between the cationic charge on the drug and Na⁺ at the selectivity filter (Barber et al., 1992; McNulty et al., 2007), and stabilization of fast or slow nonconducting states of the channel (Zilberter et al., 1991; Chen et al., 2000). In addition, local anesthetics cause gating charge immobilization (Hanck et al., 2000; Sheets and Hanck, 2003, 2005), primarily caused by long-range stabilization of VSDIII in the activated state (Muroi and Chanda, 2009).

In the simplest sense, use-dependence arises from enhanced interactions between the drug and open or inactivated channels, which in turn result in extended residence times in inactivated and/or blocked states. As a result, molecules such as local anesthetics that are generally considered as blocking molecules can also act as gating modifiers. Although the basis for the resting or tonic blockade of the Na_v channel pore by drugs has been studied exhaustively, structures of the BacNa_v channels may challenge some aspects of otherwise established mechanisms. Specifically, lateral openings within the PM of BacNa_v channels create four large continuous access pathways, or fenestrations, that run perpendicular to the plane of the membrane and lead into the inner vestibule, the putative binding site for local anesthetics (Fig. 3 D; Payandeh et al., 2011, 2012). Molecular determinants analogous to the local anesthetic receptor site can be mapped onto solvent-exposed side chains within this large central cavity (Ragsdale et al., 1996; Pless et al., 2011), and bound drug-like molecules can also be localized nearby (Bagnéris et al., 2014). Remarkably, the lateral pore fenestrations are compatible with the passage of small neutral or hydrophobic drugs, and membrane lipid tails penetrate through these pore fenestrations in Na_vAb (Fig. 3 E; Payandeh et al., 2011). Although these pore fenestrations may change in size and shape during channel gating (Payandeh et al., 2012), how the BacNa_v PM might compete with membrane lipids to gate and conduct Na⁺ remains unanswered.

Nevertheless, the existence of such access pathways in Na_v channels was proposed in early work (Frazier et al., 1970; Strichartz, 1973), and this concept was conceptually streamlined by Hille (1977a,b), who proposed that local anesthetic drugs access a common central-binding site via distinct hydrophobic and hydrophilic pathways. One possibility is that once they traverse the membrane in the neutral form, the drugs act as a charged open channel pore blocker via a cytoplasmic pathway protected by the activation gate (Hille, 1977b). Alternatively, the neutral variant could also wedge its way into closed channels via hydrophobic access routes, which results in channel block after rapid protonation (Zamponi et al., 1993). However, neutral (e.g., benzocaine) or amphoteric blockers (e.g., lidocaine) rapidly inhibit channels when added to the extracellular solution, apparently, even while channels are closed (Hille, 1977b). To begin to differentiate between ultra-rapid intracellular blockade versus direct access via fenestrations, native single Na_v channels treated with pronase or batrachotoxin (BTX) to remove fast inactivation were studied and revealed very rapid blockade and a second discrete blocking event with much slower kinetics (Gingrich et al., 1993; Zamponi et al., 1993; Kimbrough and Gingrich, 2000). One intriguing possibility is that these distinct blocking events represent resting and use-dependent block, respectively. Notably, both rapid and discrete blocking events display strongly voltage-dependent rates and affinities, suggesting that the blocker hovers at a common site $\sim 70\%$ across the field, placing it at the inner limit of the selectivity filter. Consistent with this possibility, data show that local anesthetic block is reduced by increasing extracellular Na⁺ or Ca²⁺ that could electrostatically reduce affinity by positioning within the selectivity filter (Hille, 1977b; Cahalan and Almers, 1979a; Wang, 1988). In addition to protein fenestrations within transmembrane segments within the bilayer, alternative pathways in the vicinity of the selectivity filter and upper S6 segment that provide extracellular access to the inner vestibule have been proposed (Qu et al., 1995; Sunami et al., 1997, 2000, 2001; Lee et al., 2001; Tsang et al., 2005). Collectively, these observations suggest that mutations can create, or at least modulate, intrinsic auxiliary fenestrations.

Site-directed mutagenesis has defined key residues along the pore-lining S6 segments in DIS6 (Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2002), DIIIS6 (Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2001), and DIVS6 (Ragsdale et al., 1994). Of note, channel inhibition by local anesthetics can be abrogated by the mutation of two conserved aromatic residues in the pore-lining DIVS6 segment. The application of nonsense suppression for the site-directed incorporation of noncanonical amino acids in cardiac and skeletal muscle Nav channels has demonstrated that cation-pi interactions exist between lidocaine and QX-314 at aromatic residue ¹⁵⁷⁹Phe (¹⁷⁶⁰Phe in Na_v1.5), but not ¹⁵⁸⁶Tyr (¹⁷⁶⁷Tyr in Nav1.5; Ahern et al., 2008; Pless et al., 2011). These energetically significant electrostatic interactions occur between a diffuse cation (most local anesthetics have a protonated subpopulation at physiological pH) and the negative electrostatic potential of the quadrupole moment of an aromatic side chain. Given that such interactions are geometrically restricted to occur between the face of the aromatic, not the edge, the data suggest that the inner vestibule S6 segments undergo a conformational change upon repeated depolarization and/or inactivation that reorients this aromatic side chain toward the permeation pathway.

Toxins that target Nav channels. Given their contribution to action potential initiation, Nav channels are principal targets of molecules present in animal venoms and plants (Kalia et al., 2015). As such, the use of toxins has led to the discovery of a variety of historical receptor sites in different Nav channel regions (Catterall, 1980; Martin-Eauclaire and Couraud, 1992; Terlau and Olivera, 2004; Honma and Shiomi, 2006; Hanck and Sheets, 2007). Overall, toxins that alter Na_v channel function can do so through two separate mechanisms (Swartz, 2007; Bosmans and Swartz, 2010). First, pore-blocking toxins bind to the outer vestibule of the ion conduction pore to inhibit Na⁺ flux (Hille, 2001). Second, gatingmodifier toxins interact with a region of the channel that changes conformation during gating to influence opening or inactivation (Koppenhöfer and Schmidt, 1968a,b; Cahalan, 1975). Although certain gating-modifier toxins can interact with both the pore region and one or more VSDs (Quandt and Narahashi, 1982; Tejedor and Catterall, 1988), their subsequent effect on Nav channel function can typically be correlated with their ability to stabilize a VSD in a particular state. Notably, auxiliary β subunits help shape toxin sensitivity of Na_v channels, an emerging concept that may explain tissue-dependent variations in Nav channel pharmacology and find use in the detection of functional β-subunit expression in normal and pathological conditions (Gilchrist et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013a). As opposed to using the often bewildering multitude of classic receptor sites (sites 1-9; Catterall et al., 2005), we will refer to the Na_v channel interaction site of animal toxins as either the pore region or the VSD, and we will further refine Na_v channel pharmacology based on the primary functional effects of toxins on channel function (Fig. 4).

Toxins influencing Na_{v} channel function by interacting with the pore region

TTX and saxitoxin (STX). TTX and STX are naturally occurring guanidinium toxins that potently interact with the Na_v channel pore region and cork the Na⁺ permeation pathway (Furukawa et al., 1959; Narahashi et al., 1964; Moore et al., 1967; Narahashi, 1974; Hille, 1975b, 2001). TTX played an important role in the biochemical purification of the Na_v channel protein (Agnew et al., 1978; Miller et al., 1983) and in characterizing its selectivity filter (Terlau et al., 1991; Lipkind and Fozzard, 2008). Moreover, structural information about TTX and STX was used to predict the diameter of the Nav channel pore, thereby providing powerful insights into the molecular organization of this ion channel family that still hold up today (Woodward, 1964; Hille, 1975b; Schantz et al., 1975; Payandeh et al., 2011, 2012; McCusker et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012b). Recently, STX returned to the spotlight when fluorescent derivatives were synthesized (Ondrus et al., 2012). These reagents enable real-time imaging of Nav channels in live cells at the single-molecule level. Currently, TTX is used to divide the Nav channel family into two groups based on their sensitivity toward the toxin; TTX-sensitive channel isoforms (Nav1.1-Nav1.4, Nav1.6-Nav1.7) are inhibited by nanomolar concentrations, whereas Nav1.8 and Nav1.9 require millimolar amounts to be blocked completely (Catterall et al., 2005). Although Nav1.5 inhibition requires intermediate micromolar concentrations, TTX sensitivity can be substantially increased by replacing a cysteine in the domain I S5-S6 loop with a hydrophobic or aromatic residue (Lipkind and Fozzard, 1994; Leffler et al., 2005). Although this region of the selectivity filter plays a role in STX binding as well, other important extracellular residues have been implicated in forming the STX receptor site, most likely because of supplementary interactions with the second guanidinium group within the toxin (Fozzard and Lipkind, 2010).

BTX and veratridine (VTD). The steroidal alkaloid BTX is found in the excretions of poison dart frogs and certain bird species (Tokuyama et al., 1969; Dumbacher et al., 2000, 2004). BTX irreversibly inhibits fast and slow inactivation and shifts the voltage dependence of activation to more negative potentials, resulting in persistent Na_v channel activation. In addition, toxin-modified channels have a reduced single-channel conductance and an altered ion selectivity pattern, perhaps caused by a widened selectivity filter. The receptor site for BTX involves residues in multiple S6 pore segments and partially overlaps with that of local anesthetics (Linford et al., 1998; Wang and Wang, 1998; Wang et al., 2000; Du et al., 2011). Unlike lidocaine, which inhibits Na⁺ currents, BTX is thought to partially occlude the ion permeation pathway, thereby leaving enough room for a fraction of Na⁺ to get through (Catterall, 1975; Huang et al., 1982; Quandt and Narahashi, 1982; Wasserstrom et al., 1993; Linford et al., 1998; Wang and Wang, 1998; Bosmans et al., 2004). Because of its high affinity, radioactive BTX has been used extensively for Nav channel identification in tissues and vesicles, and in screening potential therapeutics (Cooper et al., 1987; Gill et al., 2003).

The alkaloid toxin VTD is found in *Liliaceae* plants and causes persistent opening of Na_v channels while reducing single-channel conductance (Ulbricht, 1998). Evidence that the VTD and local anesthetics receptor overlap comes from mutagenesis studies within the poreforming S6 segments, which also demonstrate that local anesthetics-occupied Na_v channels do not bind VTD (Ulbricht, 1998). Because of its ability to open Na_v channels, VTD is used in drug-screening essays in which controlling the membrane voltage is impractical (Felix et al., 2004).

Brevetoxins and ciguatoxins. Although the molecular architecture of cyclic polyether compounds from dinoflagellates such as brevetoxins and ciguatoxins is remarkable, these compounds have been implicated in numerous seafood-related poisonings and massive fish and marine mammal fatalities (Lin et al., 1981; Murata et al., 1989; Lewis et al., 1991). Both toxin families potentiate Na_v channel opening while altering Na⁺ permeability, possibly through an interaction with the S6 segment in domain I and the S5 segment in domain IV (Bidard et al., 1984; Benoit et al., 1986; Lombet et al., 1987; Trainer et al., 1994). From a chemical point of view, these ladder-like polyether toxins may partition in the membrane to complement a structural motif within the Na_v channel (e.g. α helix) by means of a hydrogen bond network, which may lead to their biological activity (Ujihara et al., 2008).

Cone snail toxins. Cone snail venoms potentially comprise 100,000+ compounds that target an array of ion channels and receptors (Terlau and Olivera, 2004; Franco et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2012). In addition to their use as pharmacological tools, conotoxins are currently being tested in clinical trials as therapeutics for a range of disorders (Bende et al., 2014; Kalia et al., 2015). A subset of cone snail toxins, the µ-conotoxins, has been shown to compete with TTX to inhibit ion flow through Na_v channels by interacting with the pore region (Bulaj et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006; Leipold et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2011). µ-Conotoxins have been used extensively as structure-function probes, yielding results that can now be reinterpreted as structural information, and models are being refined. For example, experiments with GIIIA and Nav1.4 provided evidence of a clockwise domain arrangement around the pore, a fundamental feature of the tertiary channel structure (Dudley et al., 2000; Li et al., 2001). In addition, the net positive charge on these peptides indeed allows them to participate in long-range electrostatic interactions over realistic distances, which can contribute to binding and to the blocking of ion conduction (Hui et al., 2002, 2003; Korkosh et al., 2014). Notably, µ-conotoxin-induced Nav channel block is by a strategically placed electrostatic barrier mechanism and differs from other channel inhibitors such as the charybdotoxin and guanidinium toxin family, which occlude the



narrow part of the pore. Finally, μ -conotoxins helped lay the foundation for studying voltage-dependent channel gating mechanisms, thereby defining early constraints on the relationship between the pore and the VSDs and suggesting that the S4 segments move outward during channel activation (French et al., 1996).

Certain µ-conotoxins (e.g., KIIIA, GIIIA) do not occlude the pore completely, thereby leaving a residual current that can be blocked by TTX (Bulaj et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007, 2009, 2010; McArthur et al., 2011; Van Der Haegen et al., 2011). Detailed investigations on KIIIA revealed that this peptide can trap TTX in its binding site such that the guanidinium toxin cannot dissociate from the channel until the peptide does. Collectively, the possible interaction of guanidinium toxins and µ-conotoxins raises interesting pharmacological applications. For example, µ-conotoxin analogues may prevent TTX or STX binding while still allowing for a substantial residual current. As a result, these compounds could serve as an antidote in life-threatening situations involving guanidinium toxin poisoning (Zhang et al., 2009). Also, a sufficient diversity of conotoxins has been identified to assemble a pharmacological kit for distinguishing various Nav channel isoforms in mammalian cells (Zhang et al., 2013b; Gilchrist et al., 2014). It is worth mentioning that auxiliary β subunits can

> Figure 4. Interactions between animal toxins and Na_v channels. (A; left) Side view of a Na_v channel cartoon indicating the paddle motif (indicated in red) as the binding site for hanatoxin from the Grammostola rosea tarantula, Magi5 from the Hexathelidae spider Macrothele gigas, and BmK M1 from the Buthus martensii Karsch scorpion. (Middle) Structures of the three toxins colored according to residue class (green, hydrophobic; blue, positively charged; red, negatively charged; orange, polar). Toxin backbone is also shown. (Right) Effect of 100 nM hanatoxin (channel opening is inhibited), 1 µM Magi5 (channel opens at voltages where it is normally closed), and 100 nM BmK M1 (channel fast inactivation is inhibited) on rNav1.2a channels expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes and recorded with the two-electrode voltage-clamp technique. Despite binding to a similar region on the Na_v channel, each toxin has a different effect on channel opening or closing. Black trace represents control conditions, and red trace represents toxin. (B) Effect of 30 nM cone snail toxin GIIIA on rNa_v1.4-mediated currents recorded from Xenopus laevis oocytes. GIIIA blocks Na⁺ flow by binding to the outer region of the pore mouth. (C) Effect of 1 µM BTX, isolated from the poison dart frog, on rNav1.8 channels expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. BTX binds to the inner region of the pore to drastically modify Nav channel gating. Shown is the ability of BTX to open Na_v channels at voltages where they are normally closed and to inhibit fast inactivation. Black trace represents control conditions, and red trace represents toxin.

influence the kinetics of toxin block, thereby raising the possibility of using μ -conotoxins (or μ O§-conotoxins such as GVIIJ) to detect the presence of β subunits in native tissues (Zhang et al., 2013a; Gajewiak et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2015).

Toxins influencing Nav channel gating by interacting with the VSDs. In general, gating-modifier toxins interact with the S3b–S4 helix-turn-helix motif or paddle motif within each of the four Na_v channel VSDs (Gilchrist et al., 2014). The pharmacological importance of this distinct region was first established in K_v channels where mutations in the S3b–S4 loop altered channel sensitivity to hanatoxin, a founding member of the K_v channel gating modifier toxin family (Li-Smerin and Swartz, 2000). Later, this S3b–S4 motif was also identified in each of the four Na_v channel VSDs, and transplanting these regions from insect or mammalian Na_v to K_v channels resulted in functional K_v channels that are sensitive to Na_v channel toxins (Bosmans et al., 2008, 2011; Bende et al., 2014; Klint et al., 2015) (Fig. 4).

Scorpion toxins. Classic studies on scorpion venom established the presence of toxins capable of modulating Na_v channel voltage sensitivity (Koppenhöfer and Schmidt, 1968a,b; Cahalan, 1975; Martin-Eauclaire and Couraud, 1992). Based on the resulting functional effects, Na_v channel scorpion toxins were divided into two classes (Couraud et al., 1982). First, the α -scorpion toxins interact with VSDIV in a resting state, thereby limiting its movement upon membrane depolarization, resulting in the inhibition of fast inactivation (Jover et al., 1978; Rogers et al., 1996; Benzinger et al., 1998; Bosmans et al., 2008; Gur et al., 2011). Although their functional effects indeed imply a primary interaction with the DIV voltage sensor S3b-S4 paddle, antibody and photoaffinity-labeling studies as well as mutagenesis experiments on rNa_v1.2a suggest that α -scorpion toxins can also interact with residues in the DI S5-S6 loop and the DIV S1-S2 loop (Tejedor and Catterall, 1988; Thomsen and Catterall, 1989; Wang et al., 2011). However, a study by Campos et al. (2004) using Ts3 from Tityus serrulatus in concert with individually fluorescently labeled voltage sensors demonstrated an inhibitory effect on VSDIV movement as well as an effect on the voltagedependent gating of DI, suggesting the notion of an allosteric coupling between adjacent DI and DIV.

β-Scorpion toxins promote channel opening by shifting the voltage dependence of activation to more hyperpolarized potentials. β-Scorpion toxins interact primarily with the DII S3b–S4 region and retain it in an activated state (Marcotte et al., 1997; Cestèle et al., 1998, 2006; Campos et al., 2007; Bosmans et al., 2008; Leipold et al., 2012). As a result of toxin exposure, the response of the channel to a subsequent depolarization may be enhanced, thereby resulting in a shift of the voltage dependence of activation to more negative voltages. Recently, the optical surface plasmon resonance technique was used to show that the DII and DIV S3b–S4 motifs can be isolated from rat Na_v1.2 and immobilized on sensor chips while remaining susceptible to particular scorpion toxins (Martin-Eauclaire et al., 2015). Although this label-free surface plasmon resonance method may be a powerful tool to detect interactions between ligands and Na_v channel paddles without the need to heterologously express the full-length channel, one expected limitation that emerged is an inability to detect ligand interactions that require regions outside of the paddle region (Cestèle et al., 1998, 2006; Leipold et al., 2006; Karbat et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012a).

Spider toxins. The list of Na_v channel spider toxins with comparable functionally important surfaces is growing rapidly, mostly because of the application of novel and sensitive screening techniques (Terstappen et al., 2010; Vetter et al., 2011; Gui et al., 2014; Klint et al., 2015). Interestingly, structure-function studies on SGTx1 from the Scodra griseipes tarantula with K_v channels and Magi5 from the hexathelid spider Macrothele gigas with Nav channels reveal the functional importance of a cluster of hydrophobic residues surrounded by charged residues (Lee et al., 2004; Corzo et al., 2007). As a result of this amphipathic character, spider toxins are thought to partition in the membrane to interact with their receptor site within Nav channel and Kv channel voltage sensors (Milescu et al., 2007, 2009; Swartz, 2008; Mihailescu et al., 2014). Although more experiments are required to clarify the influence of membrane lipids on toxin sensitivity of Na_v channels, it is not unreasonable to assume that spider toxins with comparable structures do not necessarily have similar membrane-interacting properties that may help determine their potency or target specificity (Gupta et al., 2015).

Depending on which VSDs they target and how those sensors couple to the overall Na_v channel gating process, spider toxins can have three distinct effects on Nav channel function (Bosmans and Swartz, 2010). The first is for the toxin to inhibit channel opening in response to membrane depolarization (Middleton et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2007; Bosmans et al., 2008; Edgerton et al., 2008; Sokolov et al., 2008). A second outcome is for the toxin to hinder fast inactivation (Wang et al., 2008). Finally, the toxin can facilitate opening of the channel by shifting Na_v channel activation to hyperpolarized voltages (Corzo et al., 2007). After transferring S3b-S4 motifs within each of the four Nav channel voltage sensors into K_v channels to individually examine their interactions with toxins (Bosmans et al., 2008), it became clear that the paddle motif in each of the four Nav channel voltage sensors can interact with spider toxins, and that multiple paddle motifs are often targeted by a single toxin.

Sea anemone toxins. Mutagenesis studies have shown that cationic residues within sea anemone toxins are responsible for affinity differences between various Nav channel isoforms (Barhanin et al., 1981; Gooley et al., 1984; Gallagher and Blumenthal, 1994; Khera and Blumenthal, 1996; Benzinger et al., 1998; Seibert et al., 2003; Norton, 2009). Because of their tight interaction with the S3b-S4 motif in VSDIV, sea anemone toxins potently inhibit Nav channel fast inactivation (Romey et al., 1976; Catterall and Beress, 1978; Alsen et al., 1981; Rogers et al., 1996; Smith and Blumenthal, 2007). Typically, these toxins enhance recovery from inactivation without affecting Nav channel activation, deactivation, or closed-state inactivation (Hanck and Sheets, 2007). Interestingly, sea anemone toxins bind with the highest affinity to the closed state of Na_v channels. This is surprising, as these peptides are generally hydrophilic in nature, and their binding site on the domain IV voltage sensor may be buried in the lipid membrane when the channel is closed. To reach their target, sea anemone toxins would therefore have to partition into the membrane, a feature observed with several spider toxins (Smith et al., 2005; Bosmans and Swartz, 2010).

Cone snail toxins. Cone snail venom contains toxins that alter Na_v channel gating by interacting with their voltage sensors. For example, µO-conotoxins are hydrophobic peptides that inhibit opening of Na_v1.4 and Nav1.8 by preventing the activation of VSDII (Fainzilber et al., 1995; McIntosh et al., 1995; Daly et al., 2004; Leipold et al., 2007). Like certain β -scorpion toxins, the domain III pore loop may also play a role in µOconotoxin interaction with Na_v1.4 (Zorn et al., 2006). Given their preference for the nociceptive channel Na_v1.8, this family of cone snail toxins is being tested in pain essays with the hope of finding novel analgesics (Ekberg et al., 2006; Gilchrist and Bosmans, 2012; Knapp et al., 2012; Teichert et al., 2012). δ-Conotoxins are structurally homologous to the µO-conotoxins but do not inhibit Nav channel opening (Terlau et al., 1996). Instead, they inhibit Nav channel fast inactivation, resulting in a prolongation of the action potential. Their mode of action suggests that δ -conotoxins can slow activation of VSDIV (Leipold et al., 2005). Finally, I-conotoxins shift Nav channel activation to more hyperpolarized potentials, thereby causing these channels to open at voltages where they are normally closed (Buczek et al., 2008). These peptides differ from the μ -conotoxins and the δ -conotoxins in their action mechanism, the gene superfamily to which they belong, and the presence of unusual posttranslational modifications (Jimenez et al., 2003; Buczek et al., 2005; Fiedler et al., 2008).

Wasp toxins. Pompilidotoxins are small peptides purified from the venom of wasps that slow fast inactivation of neuronal Na_v channels but not Na_v1.4 and Na_v1.5 (Konno et al., 1998; Sahara et al., 2000). Site-directed mutagenesis of Na_v1.2 has revealed an important role for a glutamate residue in the DIV paddle motif in forming the toxin receptor site (Kinoshita et al., 2001). In concert, cationic residues within the pompilidotoxins were found to be critical for toxin activity (Konno et al., 2000). Because their chemical synthesis is relatively straightforward, these toxins are valuable tools to study Na_v channel gating.

Conclusion and future prospects

Na_v channels have played the role of biophysical muse for generations of membrane biophysicists. This has in turn driven fundamental advances on both experimental and theoretical fronts, and the future remains bright as new chemical and theoretical approaches are applied to every aspect of Nav channel biology and pharmacology. The diversity of natural toxins that affect Nav channel function will help elucidate the basics of channel gating while their therapeutic promise continues to develop. Moreover, the discovery of small-molecule compounds that bind to voltage sensors also represents an important development for isoform-specific therapeutics (McCormack et al., 2013; Ahuja et al., 2015). The development of chemical probes that report on activation and inactivation gating will produce new insights into function and will allow for a comparison of bacterial and eukaryotic Nav channels. Furthermore, as these membrane proteins enter the new cryo-electron microscopy structural era, there is now the real possibility that Na_v channel aficionados will have high resolution structural data on eukaryotic Na_v channels to spark new predictions and validate old ones, as well as to inspire a new generation of excitable investigators.

B. Chanda is supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (grants GM084140 and NS081293) and Romnes Faculty fellowship. C.A. Ahern is supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (grants GM106569, GM087519, and AR066802) and is an American Heart Association Established Investigator (grant EIA22180002). F. Bosmans is supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke of the National Institutes of Health (award number 1R01NS091352). J. Payandeh is an employee of Genentech. Bruce Bean and Pin Liu (Harvard Medical School) provided the action potential data for Fig. 1, and Baldomero Olivera (The University of Utah) provided the GIIIA data shown in Fig. 4.

C.A. Ahern, F. Bosmans, and B. Chanda declare no competing financial interests.

Richard W. Aldrich served as editor.

Submitted: 6 August 2015 Accepted: 24 November 2015

REFERENCES

Abriel, H., and R.S. Kass. 2005. Regulation of the voltage-gated cardiac sodium channel Nav1.5 by interacting proteins. *Trends Cardiovasc. Med.* 15:35–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcm.2005.01.001

- Agnew, W.S., S.R. Levinson, J.S. Brabson, and M.A. Raftery. 1978. Purification of the tetrodotoxin-binding component associated with the voltage-sensitive sodium channel from *Electrophorus electricus* electroplax membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 75:2606– 2610. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.75.6.2606
- Ahern, C.A., A.L. Eastwood, D.A. Dougherty, and R. Horn. 2008. Electrostatic contributions of aromatic residues in the local anesthetic receptor of voltage-gated sodium channels. *Circ. Res.* 102: 86–94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.160663
- Ahuja, S., S. Mukund, L. Deng, K. Khakh, E. Chang, H. Ho, S. Shriver, C. Young, S. Lin, J.P. Johnson Jr., et al. 2015. Structural basis of Nav1.7 inhibition by an isoform-selective small molecule antagonist. *Science*. 350:1491.
- Aldrich, R.W., and C.F. Stevens. 1983. Inactivation of open and closed sodium channels determined separately. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 48:147–153. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/SQB .1983.048.01.017
- Aldrich, R.W., and C.F. Stevens. 1987. Voltage-dependent gating of single sodium channels from mammalian neuroblastoma cells. *J. Neurosci.* 7:418–431.
- Aldrich, R.W., D.P. Corey, and C.F. Stevens. 1983. A reinterpretation of mammalian sodium channel gating based on single channel recording. *Nature*. 306:436–441. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1038/306436a0
- Alsen, C., J.B. Harris, and I. Tesseraux. 1981. Mechanical and electrophysiological effects of sea anemone (*Anemonia sulcata*) toxins on rat innervated and denervated skeletal muscle. Br. J. Pharmacol. 74:61–71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.1981 .tb09955.x
- Arcisio-Miranda, M., Y. Muroi, S. Chowdhury, and B. Chanda. 2010. Molecular mechanism of allosteric modification of voltagedependent sodium channels by local anesthetics. J. Gen. Physiol. 136:541–554. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201010438
- Armstrong, C.M. 1969. Inactivation of the potassium conductance and related phenomena caused by quaternary ammonium ion injection in squid axons. J. Gen. Physiol. 54:553–575. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.54.5.553
- Armstrong, C.M. 1981. Sodium channels and gating currents. *Physiol. Rev.* 61:644–683.
- Armstrong, C.M., and F. Bezanilla. 1973. Currents related to movement of the gating particles of the sodium channels. *Nature*. 242:459–461. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/242459a0
- Armstrong, C.M., and F. Bezanilla. 1974. Charge movement associated with the opening and closing of the activation gates of the Na channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 63:533–552. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.63.5.533
- Armstrong, C.M., and F. Bezanilla. 1977. Inactivation of the sodium channel. II. Gating current experiments. J. Gen. Physiol. 70:567–590. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.70.5.567
- Armstrong, C.M., F. Bezanilla, and E. Rojas. 1973. Destruction of sodium conductance inactivation in squid axons perfused with pronase. J. Gen. Physiol. 62:375–391. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.62.4.375
- Bagnéris, C., P.G. DeCaen, C.E. Naylor, D.C. Pryde, I. Nobeli, D.E. Clapham, and B.A. Wallace. 2014. Prokaryotic NavMs channel as a structural and functional model for eukaryotic sodium channel antagonism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:8428–8433. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406855111
- Barber, M.J., D.J. Wendt, C.F. Starmer, and A.O. Grant. 1992. Blockade of cardiac sodium channels. Competition between the permeant ion and antiarrhythmic drugs. J. Clin. Invest. 90: 368–381. http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI115871
- Barhanin, J., M. Hugues, H. Schweitz, J.P. Vincent, and M. Lazdunski. 1981. Structure-function relationships of sea anemone toxin II from *Anemonia sulcata*. J. Biol. Chem. 256:5764–5769.

- Bende, N.S., S. Dziemborowicz, M. Mobli, V. Herzig, J. Gilchrist, J. Wagner, G.M. Nicholson, G.F. King, and F. Bosmans. 2014. A distinct sodium channel voltage-sensor locus determines insect selectivity of the spider toxin Dc1a. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4350. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5350
- Beneski, D.A., and W.A. Catterall. 1980. Covalent labeling of protein components of the sodium channel with a photoactivable derivative of scorpion toxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 77:639–643. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.1.639
- Benoit, E., A.M. Legrand, and J.M. Dubois. 1986. Effects of ciguatoxin on current and voltage clamped frog myelinated nerve fibre. *Toxicon*. 24:357–364. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(86)90195-9
- Benzinger, G.R., J.W. Kyle, K.M. Blumenthal, and D.A. Hanck. 1998. A specific interaction between the cardiac sodium channel and site-3 toxin anthopleurin B. J. Biol. Chem. 273:80–84. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.1.80
- Bezanilla, F., and C.M. Armstrong. 1977. Inactivation of the sodium channel. I. Sodium current experiments. J. Gen. Physiol. 70: 549–566. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.70.5.549
- Bidard, J.N., H.P. Vijverberg, C. Frelin, E. Chungue, A.M. Legrand, R. Bagnis, and M. Lazdunski. 1984. Ciguatoxin is a novel type of Na⁺ channel toxin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 259:8353–8357.
- Blunck, R., and Z. Batulan. 2012. Mechanism of electromechanical coupling in voltage-gated potassium channels. *Front. Pharmacol.* 3:166. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2012.00166
- Boiteux, C., I. Vorobyov, and T.W. Allen. 2014. Ion conduction and conformational flexibility of a bacterial voltage-gated sodium channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:3454–3459. http://dx.doi .org/10.1073/pnas.1320907111
- Bosmans, F., and K.J. Swartz. 2010. Targeting voltage sensors in sodium channels with spider toxins. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 31:175– 182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2009.12.007
- Bosmans, F., C. Maertens, F. Verdonck, and J. Tytgat. 2004. The poison Dart frog's batrachotoxin modulates Na_v1.8. *FEBS Lett.* 577:245–248. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.10.017
- Bosmans, F., M.F. Martin-Eauclaire, and K.J. Swartz. 2008. Deconstructing voltage sensor function and pharmacology in sodium channels. *Nature*. 456:202–208. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature07473
- Bosmans, F., M. Puopolo, M.F. Martin-Eauclaire, B.P. Bean, and K.J. Swartz. 2011. Functional properties and toxin pharmacology of a dorsal root ganglion sodium channel viewed through its voltage sensors. J. Gen. Physiol. 138:59–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.201110614
- Buczek, O., G. Bulaj, and B.M. Olivera. 2005. Conotoxins and the posttranslational modification of secreted gene products. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 62:3067–3079. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00018-005-5283-0
- Buczek, O., E.C. Jimenez, D. Yoshikami, J.S. Imperial, M. Watkins, A. Morrison, and B.M. Olivera. 2008. I₁-superfamily conotoxins and prediction of single p-amino acid occurrence. *Toxicon*. 51:218–229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2007.09.006
- Bulaj, G., P.J. West, J.E. Garrett, M. Watkins, M.-M. Zhang, R.S. Norton, B.J. Smith, D. Yoshikami, and B.M. Olivera. 2005. Novel conotoxins from *Conus striatus* and *Conus kinoshitai* selectively block TTX-resistant sodium channels. *Biochemistry*. 44:7259–7265. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi0473408
- Busath, D., and T. Begenisich. 1982. Unidirectional sodium and potassium fluxes through the sodium channel of squid giant axons. *Biophys. J.* 40:41–49. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(82)84456-1
- Cahalan, M.D. 1975. Modification of sodium channel gating in frog myelinated nerve fibres by *Centruroides sculpturatus* scorpion venom. J. Physiol. 244:511–534. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.1975.sp010810

- Cahalan, M.D. 1978. Local anesthetic block of sodium channels in normal and pronase-treated squid giant axons. *Biophys. J.* 23:285– 311. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(78)85449-6
- Cahalan, M.D., and W. Almers. 1979a. Interactions between quaternary lidocaine, the sodium channel gates, and tetrodotoxin. *Biophys. J.* 27:39–55. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(79)85201-7
- Cahalan, M.D., and W. Almers. 1979b. Block of sodium conductance and gating current in squid giant axons poisoned with quaternary strychnine. *Biophys. J.* 27:57–73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-3495(79)85202-9
- Campos, F.V., F.I. Coronas, and P.S. Beirão. 2004. Voltagedependent displacement of the scorpion toxin Ts3 from sodium channels and its implication on the control of inactivation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 142:1115–1122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp .0705793
- Campos, F.V., B. Chanda, P.S. Beirão, and F. Bezanilla. 2007. β-Scorpion toxin modifies gating transitions in all four voltage sensors of the sodium channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 130:257–268. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200609719
- Cannon, S.C. 2006. Pathomechanisms in channelopathies of skeletal muscle and brain. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 29:387–415. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112815
- Capes, D.L., M. Arcisio-Miranda, B.W. Jarecki, R.J. French, and B. Chanda. 2012. Gating transitions in the selectivity filter region of a sodium channel are coupled to the domain IV voltage sensor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 109:2648–2653. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1115575109
- Capes, D.L., M.P. Goldschen-Ohm, M. Arcisio-Miranda, F. Bezanilla, and B. Chanda. 2013. Domain IV voltage-sensor movement is both sufficient and rate limiting for fast inactivation in sodium channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 142:101–112. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1085/jgp.201310998
- Catterall, W.A. 1975. Activation of the action potential Na⁺ ionophore of cultured neuroblastoma cells by veratridine and batrachotoxin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 250:4053–4059.
- Catterall, W.A. 1980. Neurotoxins that act on voltage-sensitive sodium channels in excitable membranes. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 20:15–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pa.20 .040180.000311
- Catterall, W.A. 1986. Molecular properties of voltage-sensitive sodium channels. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 55:953–985. http://dx.doi .org/10.1146/annurev.bi.55.070186.004513
- Catterall, W.A. 2000. From ionic currents to molecular mechanisms: The structure and function of voltage-gated sodium channels. *Neuron*. 26:13–25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)81133-2
- Catterall, W.A. 2012. Voltage-gated sodium channels at 60: structure, function and pathophysiology. *J. Physiol.* 590:2577–2589. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.224204
- Catterall, W.A., and L. Beress. 1978. Sea anemone toxin and scorpion toxin share a common receptor site associated with the action potential sodium ionophore. *J. Biol. Chem.* 253:7393–7396.
- Catterall, W.A., A.L. Goldin, and S.G. Waxman. 2005. International Union of Pharmacology. XLVII. Nomenclature and structurefunction relationships of voltage-gated sodium channels. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 57:397–409. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.57.4.4
- Cestèle, S., Y. Qu, J.C. Rogers, H. Rochat, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1998. Voltage sensor-trapping: Enhanced activation of sodium channels by β-scorpion toxin bound to the S3-S4 loop in domain II. *Neuron*. 21:919–931.
- Cestèle, S., V. Yarov-Yarovoy, Y. Qu, F. Sampieri, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 2006. Structure and function of the voltage sensor of sodium channels probed by a beta-scorpion toxin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:21332–21344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc .M603814200

- Chahine, M., A.L. George Jr., M. Zhou, S. Ji, W. Sun, R.L. Barchi, and R. Horn. 1994. Sodium channel mutations in paramyotonia congenita uncouple inactivation from activation. *Neuron.* 12:281– 294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(94)90271-2
- Chakrabarti, N., C. Ing, J. Payandeh, N. Zheng, W.A. Catterall, and R. Pomès. 2013. Catalysis of Na⁺ permeation in the bacterial sodium channel Na_VAb. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 110:11331–11336. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1309452110
- Chanda, B., and F. Bezanilla. 2002. Tracking voltage-dependent conformational changes in skeletal muscle sodium channel during activation. J. Gen. Physiol. 120:629–645. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1085/jgp.20028679
- Chen, L.Q., V. Santarelli, R. Horn, and R.G. Kallen. 1996. A unique role for the S4 segment of domain 4 in the inactivation of sodium channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 108:549–556. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.108.6.549
- Chen, X., and R.W. Aldrich. 2011. Charge substitution for a deeppore residue reveals structural dynamics during BK channel gating. J. Gen. Physiol. 138:137–154. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.201110632
- Chen, Z., B.-H. Ong, N.G. Kambouris, E. Marbán, G.F. Tomaselli, and J.R. Balser. 2000. Lidocaine induces a slow inactivated state in rat skeletal muscle sodium channels. *J. Physiol.* 524:37–49. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.2000.t01-1-00037.x
- Chowdhury, S.C. 2015. Basic mechanisms of voltage-sensing. *In* Handbook of Ion Channels. J. Zheng and M.C. Trudeau, editors. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 25–39.
- Chowdhury, S., and B. Chanda. 2012. Perspectives on: Conformational coupling in ion channels: Thermodynamics of electromechanical coupling in voltage-gated ion channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 140:613–623. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201210840
- Claes, L.R., L. Deprez, A. Suls, J. Baets, K. Smets, T. Van Dyck, T. Deconinck, A. Jordanova, and P. De Jonghe. 2009. The SCN1A variant database: a novel research and diagnostic tool. *Hum. Mutat.* 30:E904–E920. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/humu.21083
- Clayton, G.M., S. Altieri, L. Heginbotham, V.M. Unger, and J.H. Morais-Cabral. 2008. Structure of the transmembrane regions of a bacterial cyclic nucleotide-regulated channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 105:1511–1515. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0711533105
- Cohen, L., N. Ilan, M. Gur, W. Stühmer, D. Gordon, and M. Gurevitz. 2007. Design of a specific activator for skeletal muscle sodium channels uncovers channel architecture. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:29424–29430. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M704651200
- Contreras, J.E., D. Srikumar, and M. Holmgren. 2008. Gating at the selectivity filter in cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 105:3310–3314. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0709809105
- Cooper, E.C., S.A. Tomiko, and W.S. Agnew. 1987. Reconstituted voltage-sensitive sodium channel from *Electrophorus electricus*: chemical modifications that alter regulation of ion permeability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 84:6282–6286. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.84.17.6282
- Corzo, G., J.K. Sabo, F. Bosmans, B. Billen, E. Villegas, J. Tytgat, and R.S. Norton. 2007. Solution structure and alanine scan of a spider toxin that affects the activation of mammalian voltage-gated sodium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:4643–4652. http://dx.doi .org/10.1074/jbc.M605403200
- Couraud, F., E. Jover, J.M. Dubois, and H. Rochat. 1982. Two types of scorpion toxin receptor sites, one related to the activation, the other to the inactivation of the action potential sodium channel. *Toxicon*. 20:9–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(82)90138-6
- Daly, N.L., J.A. Ekberg, L. Thomas, D.J. Adams, R.J. Lewis, and D.J. Craik. 2004. Structures of μO-conotoxins from *Conus marmoreus*. Inhibitors of tetrodotoxin (TTX)-sensitive and TTX-resistant

sodium channels in mammalian sensory neurons. J. Biol. Chem. 279:25774–25782. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M313002200

- del Camino, D., and G. Yellen. 2001. Tight steric closure at the intracellular activation gate of a voltage-gated K⁺ channel. *Neuron*. 32:649–656. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00487-1
- del Camino, D., M. Holmgren, Y. Liu, and G. Yellen. 2000. Blocker protection in the pore of a voltage-gated K⁺ channel and its structural implications. *Nature*. 403:321–325. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/35002099
- Dib-Hajj, S.D., and S.G. Waxman. 2010. Isoform-specific and panchannel partners regulate trafficking and plasma membrane stability; and alter sodium channel gating properties. *Neurosci. Lett.* 486:84–91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2010.08.077
- Dib-Hajj, S.D., Y. Yang, J.A. Black, and S.G. Waxman. 2013. The Na_v1.7 sodium channel: from molecule to man. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 14:49–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn3404
- Doyle, D.A., J. Morais Cabral, R.A. Pfuetzner, A. Kuo, J.M. Gulbis, S.L. Cohen, B.T. Chait, and R. MacKinnon. 1998. The structure of the potassium channel: Molecular basis of K⁺ conduction and selectivity. *Science*. 280:69–77. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.280.5360.69
- Du, Y., D.P. Garden, L. Wang, B.S. Zhorov, and K. Dong. 2011. Identification of new batrachotoxin-sensing residues in segment IIIS6 of the sodium channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286:13151–13160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.208496
- Dudley, S.C., Jr., N. Chang, J. Hall, G. Lipkind, H.A. Fozzard, and R.J. French. 2000. μ-Conotoxin GIIIA interactions with the voltage-gated Na⁺ channel predict a clockwise arrangement of the domains. J. Gen. Physiol. 116:679–690. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.116.5.679
- Dumbacher, J.P., T.F. Spande, and J.W. Daly. 2000. Batrachotoxin alkaloids from passerine birds: A second toxic bird genus (*Ifrita kowaldi*) from New Guinea. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 97:12970– 12975. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.200346897
- Dumbacher, J.P., A. Wako, S.R. Derrickson, A. Samuelson, T.F. Spande, and J.W. Daly. 2004. Melyrid beetles (*Choresine*): A putative source for the batrachotoxin alkaloids found in poisondart frogs and toxic passerine birds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 101:15857–15860. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407197101
- Edgerton, G.B., K.M. Blumenthal, and D.A. Hanck. 2008. Evidence for multiple effects of ProTxII on activation gating in Nav1.5. *Toxicon.* 52:489–500. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2008 .06.023
- Ekberg, J., A. Jayamanne, C.W. Vaughan, S. Aslan, L. Thomas, J. Mould, R. Drinkwater, M.D. Baker, B. Abrahamsen, J.N. Wood, et al. 2006. μO-conotoxin MrVIB selectively blocks Na,1.8 sensory neuron specific sodium channels and chronic pain behavior without motor deficits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 103:17030–17035. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601819103
- Fainzilber, M., R. van der Schors, J.C. Lodder, K.W. Li, W.P. Geraerts, and K.S. Kits. 1995. New sodium channel-blocking conotoxins also affect calcium currents in *Lymnaea* neurons. *Biochemistry*. 34:5364–5371. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi00016a007
- Favre, I., E. Moczydlowski, and L. Schild. 1996. On the structural basis for ionic selectivity among Na⁺, K⁺, and Ca²⁺ in the voltagegated sodium channel. *Biophys. J.* 71:3110–3125. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/S0006-3495(96)79505-X
- Felix, J.P., B.S. Williams, B.T. Priest, R.M. Brochu, I.E. Dick, V.A. Warren, L. Yan, R.S. Slaughter, G.J. Kaczorowski, M.M. Smith, and M.L. Garcia. 2004. Functional assay of voltage-gated sodium channels using membrane potential-sensitive dyes. *Assay Drug Dev. Technol.* 2:260–268. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/ 1540658041410696
- Fiedler, B., M.M. Zhang, O. Buczek, L. Azam, G. Bulaj, R.S. Norton, B.M. Olivera, and D. Yoshikami. 2008. Specificity, affinity and

efficacy of iota-conotoxin RXIA, an agonist of voltage-gated sodium channels Na_V1.2, 1.6 and 1.7. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 75:2334– 2344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2008.03.019

- Finol-Urdaneta, R.K., Y. Wang, A. Al-Sabi, C. Zhao, S.Y. Noskov, and R.J. French. 2014. Sodium channel selectivity and conduction: Prokaryotes have devised their own molecular strategy. J. Gen. Physiol. 143:157–171. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201311037
- Fozzard, H.A., and G.M. Lipkind. 2010. The tetrodotoxin binding site is within the outer vestibule of the sodium channel. *Mar. Drugs*. 8:219–234. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md8020219
- Franco, A., K. Pisarewicz, C. Moller, D. Mora, G.B. Fields, and F. Marì. 2006. Hyperhydroxylation: A new strategy for neuronal targeting by venomous marine molluscs. *Prog. Mol. Subcell. Biol.* 43:83–103. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-30880-5_4
- Frazier, D.T., T. Narahashi, and M. Yamada. 1970. The site of action and active form of local anesthetics. II. Experiments with quaternary compounds. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 171:45–51.
- French, R.J., J.F. Worley III, W.F. Wonderlin, A.S. Kularatna, and B.K. Krueger. 1994. Ion permeation, divalent ion block, and chemical modification of single sodium channels. Description by single- and double-occupancy rate-theory models. J. Gen. Physiol. 103:447–470. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.103.3.447
- French, R.J., E. Prusak-Sochaczewski, G.W. Zamponi, S. Becker, A.S. Kularatna, and R. Horn. 1996. Interactions between a pore-blocking peptide and the voltage sensor of the sodium channel: An electrostatic approach to channel geometry. *Neuron.* 16:407–413. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80058-6
- Furukawa, T., T. Sasaoka, and Y. Hosoya. 1959. Effects of tetrodotoxin on the neuromuscular junction. *Jpn. J. Physiol.* 9:143–152. http://dx.doi.org/10.2170/jjphysiol.9.143
- Gajewiak, J., L. Azam, J. Imperial, A. Walewska, B.R. Green, P.K. Bandyopadhyay, S. Raghuraman, B. Ueberheide, M. Bern, H.M. Zhou, et al. 2014. A disulfide tether stabilizes the block of sodium channels by the conotoxin μO§-GVIIJ. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:2758–2763. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1324189111
- Gallagher, M.J., and K.M. Blumenthal. 1994. Importance of the unique cationic residues arginine 12 and lysine 49 in the activity of the cardiotonic polypeptide anthopleurin B. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:254–259.
- George, A.L., Jr. 2005. Inherited disorders of voltage-gated sodium channels. J. Clin. Invest. 115:1990–1999. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1172/JCI25505
- Gilchrist, J., and F. Bosmans. 2012. Animal toxins can alter the function of Nav1.8 and Nav1.9. *Toxins (Basel)*. 4:620–632. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/toxins4080620
- Gilchrist, J., S. Das, F. Van Petegem, and F. Bosmans. 2013. Crystallographic insights into sodium-channel modulation by the β4 subunit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110:E5016–E5024. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314557110
- Gilchrist, J., B.M. Olivera, and F. Bosmans. 2014. Animal toxins influence voltage-gated sodium channel function. *Handbook Exp. Pharmacol.* 221:203–229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41588-3_10
- Gill, S., R. Gill, S.S. Lee, J.C. Hesketh, D. Fedida, S. Rezazadeh, L. Stankovich, and D. Liang. 2003. Flux assays in high throughput screening of ion channels in drug discovery. *Assay Drug Dev. Technol.* 1:709–717. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/154065803770381066
- Gingrich, K.J., D. Beardsley, and D.T. Yue. 1993. Ultra-deep blockade of Na⁺ channels by a quaternary ammonium ion: catalysis by a transition-intermediate state? *J. Physiol.* 471:319–341. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1993.sp019903
- Goldschen-Ohm, M.P., D.L. Capes, K.M. Oelstrom, and B. Chanda. 2013. Multiple pore conformations driven by asynchronous movements of voltage sensors in a eukaryotic sodium channel. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1350. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2356

- Gooley, P.R., J.W. Blunt, and R.S. Norton. 1984. Conformational heterogeneity in polypeptide cardiac stimulants from sea anemones. *FEBS Lett.* 174:15–19. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(84)81068-6
- Gui, J., B. Liu, G. Cao, A.M. Lipchik, M. Perez, Z. Dekan, M. Mobli, N.L. Daly, P.F. Alewood, L.L. Parker, et al. 2014. A tarantulavenom peptide antagonizes the TRPA1 nociceptor ion channel by binding to the S1-S4 gating domain. *Curr. Biol.* 24:473–483. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.013
- Gupta, K., M. Zamanian, C. Bae, M. Milescu, D. Krepkiy, D.C. Tilley, J.T. Sack, Y.Y. Vladimir, J.I. Kim, and K.J. Swartz. 2015. Tarantula toxins use common surfaces for interacting with Kv and ASIC ion channels. *eLife*. 4:e06774. http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06774
- Gur, M., R. Kahn, I. Karbat, N. Regev, J. Wang, W.A. Catterall, D. Gordon, and M. Gurevitz. 2011. Elucidation of the molecular basis of selective recognition uncovers the interaction site for the core domain of scorpion alpha-toxins on sodium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286:35209–35217. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.259507
- Guy, H.R., and P. Seetharamulu. 1986. Molecular model of the action potential sodium channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 83:508– 512. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.83.2.508
- Hanck, D.A., and M.F. Sheets. 1995. Modification of inactivation in cardiac sodium channels: ionic current studies with Anthopleurin-A toxin. J. Gen. Physiol. 106:601–616. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.106.4.601
- Hanck, D.A., and M.F. Sheets. 2007. Site-3 toxins and cardiac sodium channels. *Toxicon*. 49:181–193. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.toxicon.2006.09.017
- Hanck, D.A., J.C. Makielski, and M.F. Sheets. 2000. Lidocaine alters activation gating of cardiac Na channels. *Pflugers Arch.* 439:814– 821. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004249900217
- Hille, B. 1972. The permeability of the sodium channel to metal cations in myelinated nerve. J. Gen. Physiol. 59:637–658. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.59.6.637
- Hille, B. 1975a. Ionic selectivity, saturation, and block in sodium channels. A four-barrier model. J. Gen. Physiol. 66:535–560. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.66.5.535
- Hille, B. 1975b. The receptor for tetrodotoxin and saxitoxin. A structural hypothesis. *Biophys. J.* 15:615–619. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0006-3495(75)85842-5
- Hille, B. 1977a. The pH-dependent rate of action of local anesthetics on the node of Ranvier. J. Gen. Physiol. 69:475–496. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.69.4.475
- Hille, B. 1977b. Local anesthetics: Hydrophilic and hydrophobic pathways for the drug-receptor reaction. J. Gen. Physiol. 69:497– 515. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.69.4.497
- Hille, B. 2001. Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes. Third edition. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA. 814 pp.
- Hodgkin, A.L., and A.F. Huxley. 1952a. Currents carried by sodium and potassium ions through the membrane of the giant axon of *Loligo. J. Physiol.* 116:449–472. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.1952.sp004717
- Hodgkin, A.L., and A.F. Huxley. 1952b. The components of membrane conductance in the giant axon of *Loligo. J. Physiol.* 116:473– 496. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1952.sp004718
- Hodgkin, A.L., and A.F. Huxley. 1952c. The dual effect of membrane potential on sodium conductance in the giant axon of *Loligo*. *J. Physiol.* 116:497–506. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1952 .sp004719
- Hodgkin, A.L., and A.F. Huxley. 1952d. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. J. Physiol. 117:500–544. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1113/jphysiol.1952.sp004764
- Honma, T., and K. Shiomi. 2006. Peptide toxins in sea anemones: Structural and functional aspects. *Mar. Biotechnol. (NY).* 8:1–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10126-005-5093-2

- Huang, C.J., I. Favre, and E. Moczydlowski. 2000. Permeation of large tetra-alkylammonium cations through mutant and wild-type voltage-gated sodium channels as revealed by relief of block at high voltage. J. Gen. Physiol. 115:435–454. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.115.4.435
- Huang, L.Y., N. Moran, and G. Ehrenstein. 1982. Batrachotoxin modifies the gating kinetics of sodium channels in internally perfused neuroblastoma cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 79:2082–2085. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.6.2082
- Hui, K., G. Lipkind, H.A. Fozzard, and R.J. French. 2002. Electrostatic and steric contributions to block of the skeletal muscle sodium channel by μ-conotoxin. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 119:45–54. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.119.1.45
- Hui, K., D. McIntyre, and R.J. French. 2003. Conotoxins as sensors of local pH and electrostatic potential in the outer vestibule of the sodium channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 122:63–79. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.200308842
- Jimenez, E.C., R.P. Shetty, M. Lirazan, J. Rivier, C. Walker, F.C. Abogadie, D. Yoshikami, L.J. Cruz, and B.M. Olivera. 2003. Novel excitatory *Conus* peptides define a new conotoxin superfamily. *J. Neurochem.* 85:610–621. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.01685.x
- Joseph, D., G.A. Petsko, and M. Karplus. 1990. Anatomy of a conformational change: hinged "lid" motion of the triosephosphate isomerase loop. *Science*. 249:1425–1428. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.2402636
- Jover, E., N. Martin-Moutot, F. Couraud, and H. Rochat. 1978. Scorpion toxin: Specific binding to rat synaptosomes. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 85:377–382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-291X(78)80053-9
- Jurkat-Rott, K., N. Mitrovic, C. Hang, A. Kouzmekine, P. Iaizzo, J. Herzog, H. Lerche, S. Nicole, J. Vale-Santos, D. Chauveau, et al. 2000. Voltage-sensor sodium channel mutations cause hypokalemic periodic paralysis type 2 by enhanced inactivation and reduced current. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 97:9549–9554. http://dx.doi .org/10.1073/pnas.97.17.9549
- Jurkat-Rott, K., B. Holzherr, M. Fauler, and F. Lehmann-Horn. 2010. Sodium channelopathies of skeletal muscle result from gain or loss of function. *Pflugers Arch.* 460:239–248. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00424-010-0814-4
- Kaczorowski, G.J., O.B. McManus, B.T. Priest, and M.L. Garcia. 2008. Ion channels as drug targets: The next GPCRs. J. Gen. Physiol. 131:399–405. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200709946
- Kalia, J., M. Milescu, J. Salvatierra, J. Wagner, J.K. Klint, G.F. King, B.M. Olivera, and F. Bosmans. 2015. From foe to friend: Using animal toxins to investigate ion channel function. *J. Mol. Biol.* 427:158– 175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.07.027
- Karbat, I., N. Ilan, J.Z. Zhang, L. Cohen, R. Kahn, M. Benveniste, T. Scheuer, W.A. Catterall, D. Gordon, and M. Gurevitz. 2010. Partial agonist and antagonist activities of a mutant scorpion β-toxin on sodium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285:30531–30538. http://dx.doi .org/10.1074/jbc.M110.150888
- Ke, S., E.N. Timin, and A. Stary-Weinzinger. 2014. Different inward and outward conduction mechanisms in NaVMs suggested by molecular dynamics simulations. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 10:e1003746. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003746
- Kellenberger, S., T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1996. Movement of the Na⁺ channel inactivation gate during inactivation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:30971–30979. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.48.30971
- Kellenberger, S., J.W. West, W.A. Catterall, and T. Scheuer. 1997. Molecular analysis of potential hinge residues in the inactivation gate of brain type IIA Na⁺ channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 109:607–617. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.109.5.607
- Keller, D.I., S. Acharfi, E. Delacrétaz, N. Benammar, M. Rotter, J.P. Pfammatter, V. Fressart, P. Guicheney, and M. Chahine. 2003. A novel mutation in SCN5A, delQKP 1507–1509, causing long QT

18

syndrome: Role of Q1507 residue in sodium channel inactivation. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 35:1513–1521. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.yjmcc.2003.08.007

- Keynes, R.D., and E. Rojas. 1973. Characteristics of the sodium gating current in the squid giant axon. J. Physiol. 233:28P–30P.
- Keynes, R.D., and E. Rojas. 1974. Kinetics and steady-state properties of the charged system controlling sodium conductance in the squid giant axon. *J. Physiol.* 239:393–434. http://dx.doi .org/10.1113/jphysiol.1974.sp010575
- Khera, P.K., and K.M. Blumenthal. 1996. Importance of highly conserved anionic residues and electrostatic interactions in the activity and structure of the cardiotonic polypeptide anthopleurin B. *Biochemistry*. 35:3503–3507. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ bi9528457
- Kimbrough, J.T., and K.J. Gingrich. 2000. Quaternary ammonium block of mutant Na⁺ channels lacking inactivation: features of a transition-intermediate mechanism. *J. Physiol.* 529:93–106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.2000.00093.x
- Kink, J.A., M.E. Maley, R.R. Preston, K.Y. Ling, M.A. Wallen-Friedman, Y. Saimi, and C. Kung. 1990. Mutations in paramecium calmodulin indicate functional differences between the C-terminal and N-terminal lobes in vivo. *Cell*. 62:165–174. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90250-I
- Kinoshita, E., H. Maejima, K. Yamaoka, K. Konno, N. Kawai, E. Shimizu, S. Yokote, H. Nakayama, and I. Seyama. 2001. Novel wasp toxin discriminates between neuronal and cardiac sodium channels. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 59:1457–1463.
- Klint, J.K., J.J. Smith, I. Vetter, D.B. Rupasinghe, S.Y. Er, S. Senff, V. Herzig, M. Mobli, R.J. Lewis, F. Bosmans, and G.F. King. 2015. Seven novel modulators of the analgesic target NaV 1.7 uncovered using a high-throughput venom-based discovery approach. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 172:2445–2458. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.13081
- Knapp, O., J.R. McArthur, and D.J. Adams. 2012. Conotoxins targeting neuronal voltage-gated sodium channel subtypes: Potential analgesics? *Toxins (Basel)*. 4:1236–1260. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ toxins4111236
- Konno, K., M. Hisada, Y. Itagaki, H. Naoki, N. Kawai, A. Miwa, T. Yasuhara, and H. Takayama. 1998. Isolation and structure of pompilidotoxins, novel peptide neurotoxins in solitary wasp venoms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 250:612–616. http://dx.doi .org/10.1006/bbrc.1998.9299
- Konno, K., M. Hisada, H. Naoki, Y. Itagaki, T. Yasuhara, Y. Nakata, A. Miwa, and N. Kawai. 2000. Molecular determinants of binding of a wasp toxin (PMTXs) and its analogs in the Na⁺ channels proteins. *Neurosci. Lett.* 285:29–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0304-3940(00)01017-X
- Kontis, K.J., A. Rounaghi, and A.L. Goldin. 1997. Sodium channel activation gating is affected by substitutions of voltage sensor positive charges in all four domains. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 110:391–401. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.110.4.391
- Koppenhöfer, E., and H. Schmidt. 1968a. Effect of scorpion venom on ionic currents of the node of Ranvier. I. The permeabilities PNa and PK. *Pflugers Arch*. 303:133–149.
- Koppenhöfer, E., and H. Schmidt. 1968b. Effect of scorpion venom on ionic currents of the node of Ranvier. II. Incomplete sodium inactivation. *Pflugers Arch.* 303:150–161.
- Korkosh, V.S., B.S. Zhorov, and D.B. Tikhonov. 2014. Folding similarity of the outer pore region in prokaryotic and eukaryotic sodium channels revealed by docking of conotoxins GIIIA, PIIIA, and KIIIA in a NavAb-based model of Nav1.4. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 144:231–244. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201411226
- Kühn, F.J., and N.G. Greeff. 1999. Movement of voltage sensor S4 in domain 4 is tightly coupled to sodium channel fast inactivation and gating charge immobilization. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 114:167–184. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.114.2.167

- Kuo, C.C., and B.P. Bean. 1994. Na⁺ channels must deactivate to recover from inactivation. *Neuron*. 12:819–829. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/0896-6273(94)90335-2
- Lacroix, J.J., F.V. Campos, L. Frezza, and F. Bezanilla. 2013. Molecular bases for the asynchronous activation of sodium and potassium channels required for nerve impulse generation. *Neuron.* 79:651–657. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013 .05.036
- Lee, C.W., S. Kim, S.H. Roh, H. Endoh, Y. Kodera, T. Maeda, T. Kohno, J.M. Wang, K.J. Swartz, and J.I. Kim. 2004. Solution structure and functional characterization of SGTx1, a modifier of Kv2.1 channel gating. *Biochemistry*. 43:890–897. http://dx.doi .org/10.1021/bi0353373
- Lee, P.J., A. Sunami, and H.A. Fozzard. 2001. Cardiac-specific external paths for lidocaine, defined by isoform-specific residues, accelerate recovery from use-dependent block. *Circ. Res.* 89:1014– 1021. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/hh2301.100002
- Leffler, A., R.I. Herzog, S.D. Dib-Hajj, S.G. Waxman, and T.R. Cummins. 2005. Pharmacological properties of neuronal TTX-resistant sodium channels and the role of a critical serine pore residue. *Pflugers Arch.* 451:454–463. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00424-005-1463-x
- Leipold, E., A. Hansel, B.M. Olivera, H. Terlau, and S.H. Heinemann. 2005. Molecular interaction of delta-conotoxins with voltage-gated sodium channels. *FEBS Lett.* 579:3881–3884. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.febslet.2005.05.077
- Leipold, E., A. Hansel, A. Borges, and S.H. Heinemann. 2006. Subtype specificity of scorpion beta-toxin Tz1 interaction with voltage-gated sodium channels is determined by the pore loop of domain 3. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 70:340–347.
- Leipold, E., H. DeBie, S. Zorn, A. Borges, B.M. Olivera, H. Terlau, and S.H. Heinemann. 2007. μO conotoxins inhibit NaV channels by interfering with their voltage sensors in domain-2. *Channels* (*Austin*). 1:253–262. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/chan.4847
- Leipold, E., R. Markgraf, A. Miloslavina, M. Kijas, J. Schirmeyer, D. Imhof, and S.H. Heinemann. 2011. Molecular determinants for the subtype specificity of µ-conotoxin SIIIA targeting neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels. *Neuropharmacology*. 61:105–111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.03.008
- Leipold, E., A. Borges, and S.H. Heinemann. 2012. Scorpion β -toxin interference with Na_V channel voltage sensor gives rise to excitatory and depressant modes. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 139:305–319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201110720
- Leipold, E., L. Liebmann, G.C. Korenke, T. Heinrich, S. Giesselmann, J. Baets, M. Ebbinghaus, R.O. Goral, T. Stödberg, J.C. Hennings, et al. 2013. A de novo gain-of-function mutation in SCN11A causes loss of pain perception. *Nat. Genet.* 45:1399–1404. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2767
- Lerche, H., W. Peter, R. Fleischhauer, U. Pika-Hartlaub, T. Malina, N. Mitrovic, and F. Lehmann-Horn. 1997. Role in fast inactivation of the IV/S4-S5 loop of the human muscle Na⁺ channel probed by cysteine mutagenesis. *J. Physiol.* 505:345–352. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.1997.345bb.x
- Lewis, R.J., M. Sellin, M.A. Poli, R.S. Norton, J.K. MacLeod, and M.M. Sheil. 1991. Purification and characterization of ciguatoxins from moray eel (*Lycodontis javanicus*, Muraenidae). *Toxicon*. 29:1115–1127.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(91)90209-A
- Lewis, R.J., S. Dutertre, I. Vetter, and M.J. Christie. 2012. Conusvenom peptide pharmacology. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 64:259–298. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.111.005322
- Li, Q., S. Wanderling, M. Paduch, D. Medovoy, A. Singharoy, R. McGreevy, C.A. Villalba-Galea, R.E. Hulse, B. Roux, K. Schulten, et al. 2014. Structural mechanism of voltage-dependent gating in an isolated voltage-sensing domain. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 21:244– 252. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2768

- Li, R.A., I.L. Ennis, R.J. French, S.C. Dudley Jr., G.F. Tomaselli, and E. Marbán. 2001. Clockwise domain arrangement of the sodium channel revealed by (μ)-conotoxin (GIIIA) docking orientation. J. Biol. Chem. 276:11072–11077. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc .M010862200
- Lin, Y.-Y., M. Risk, S.M. Ray, D. Van Engen, J. Clardy, J. Golik, J.C. James, and K. Nakanishi. 1981. Isolation and structure of brevetoxin B from the "red tide" dinoflagellate *Ptychodiscus brevis* (*Gymnodinium breve*). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103:6773–6775. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00412a053
- Linford, N.J., A.R. Cantrell, Y. Qu, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1998. Interaction of batrachotoxin with the local anesthetic receptor site in transmembrane segment IVS6 of the voltage-gated sodium channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 95:13947–13952. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.23.13947
- Lipkind, G.M., and H.A. Fozzard. 1994. A structural model of the tetrodotoxin and saxitoxin binding site of the Na⁺ channel. *Biophys.* J. 66:1–13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(94)80746-5
- Lipkind, G.M., and H.A. Fozzard. 2000. KcsA crystal structure as framework for a molecular model of the Na⁺ channel pore. *Biochemistry*. 39:8161–8170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi000486w
- Lipkind, G.M., and H.A. Fozzard. 2008. Voltage-gated Na channel selectivity: The role of the conserved domain III lysine residue. J. Gen. Physiol. 131:523–529. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp .200809991
- Li-Smerin, Y., and K.J. Swartz. 2000. Localization and molecular determinants of the Hanatoxin receptors on the voltage-sensing domains of a K⁺ channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 115:673–684. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.115.6.673
- Lombet, A., J.N. Bidard, and M. Lazdunski. 1987. Ciguatoxin and brevetoxins share a common receptor site on the neuronal voltagedependent Na⁺ channel. *FEBS Lett.* 219:355–359. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/0014-5793(87)80252-1
- Long, S.B., E.B. Campbell, and R. Mackinnon. 2005a. Crystal structure of a mammalian voltage-dependent Shaker family K⁺ channel. *Science*. 309:897–903. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1116269
- Long, S.B., E.B. Campbell, and R. Mackinnon. 2005b. Voltage sensor of Kv1.2: Structural basis of electromechanical coupling. *Science*. 309:903–908. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1116270
- Mantegazza, M., G. Curia, G. Biagini, D.S. Ragsdale, and M. Avoli. 2010. Voltage-gated sodium channels as therapeutic targets in epilepsy and other neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol.* 9:413–424. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70059-4
- Marcotte, P., L.Q. Chen, R.G. Kallen, and M. Chahine. 1997. Effects of *Tityus serrulatus* scorpion toxin gamma on voltage-gated Na⁺ channels. *Circ. Res.* 80:363–369. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01 .RES.80.3.363
- Martin-Eauclaire, M.F., and F. Couraud. 1992. Scorpion neurotoxins: effects and mechanisms. *In* Handbook of Neurotoxicology. L.W. Chang and E.S. Dyer, editors. Marcel-Dekker, New York. 683–716.
- Martin-Eauclaire, M.F., G. Ferracci, F. Bosmans, and P.E. Bougis. 2015. A surface plasmon resonance approach to monitor toxin interactions with an isolated voltage-gated sodium channel paddle motif. J. Gen. Physiol. 145:155–162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.201411268
- McArthur, J.R., G. Singh, D. McMaster, R. Winkfein, D.P. Tieleman, and R.J. French. 2011. Interactions of key charged residues contributing to selective block of neuronal sodium channels by µ-conotoxin KIIIA. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 80:573–584. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1124/mol.111.073460
- McCormack, K., S. Santos, M.L. Chapman, D.S. Krafte, B.E. Marron, C.W. West, M.J. Krambis, B.M. Antonio, S.G. Zellmer, D. Printzenhoff, et al. 2013. Voltage sensor interaction site for selective small molecule inhibitors of voltage-gated sodium channels.

20 Sodium channel structure, gating, and pharmacology

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 110:E2724–E2732. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1220844110

- McCusker, E.C., C. Bagnéris, C.E. Naylor, A.R. Cole, N. D'Avanzo, C.G. Nichols, and B.A. Wallace. 2012. Structure of a bacterial voltage-gated sodium channel pore reveals mechanisms of opening and closing. *Nat. Commun.* 3:1102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms2077
- McIntosh, J.M., A. Hasson, M.E. Spira, W.R. Gray, W. Li, M. Marsh, D.R. Hillyard, and B.M. Olivera. 1995. A new family of conotoxins that blocks voltage-gated sodium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:16796–16802. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.28.16796
- McNulty, M.M., G.B. Edgerton, R.D. Shah, D.A. Hanck, H.A. Fozzard, and G.M. Lipkind. 2007. Charge at the lidocaine binding site residue Phe-1759 affects permeation in human cardiac voltage-gated sodium channels. J. Physiol. 581:741–755. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.130161
- McPhee, J.C., D.S. Ragsdale, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1994. A mutation in segment IVS6 disrupts fast inactivation of sodium channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 91:12346–12350. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.25.12346
- Meves, H. 1974. The effect of holding potential on the asymmetry currents in squid giant axons. J. Physiol. 243:847–867. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1974.sp010780
- Middleton, R.E., V.A. Warren, R.L. Kraus, J.C. Hwang, C.J. Liu, G. Dai, R.M. Brochu, M.G. Kohler, Y.D. Gao, V.M. Garsky, et al. 2002. Two tarantula peptides inhibit activation of multiple sodium channels. *Biochemistry*. 41:14734–14747. http://dx.doi .org/10.1021/bi026546a
- Mihailescu, M., D. Krepkiy, M. Milescu, K. Gawrisch, K.J. Swartz, and S. White. 2014. Structural interactions of a voltage sensor toxin with lipid membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:E5463–E5470. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415324111
- Milescu, M., J. Vobecky, S.H. Roh, S.H. Kim, H.J. Jung, J.I. Kim, and K.J. Swartz. 2007. Tarantula toxins interact with voltage sensors within lipid membranes. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 130:497–511. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200709869
- Milescu, M., F. Bosmans, S. Lee, A.A. Alabi, J.I. Kim, and K.J. Swartz. 2009. Interactions between lipids and voltage sensor paddles detected with tarantula toxins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16:1080–1085. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1679
- Miller, J.A., W.S. Agnew, and S.R. Levinson. 1983. Principal glycopeptide of the tetrodotoxin/saxitoxin binding protein from *Electrophorus electricus*: isolation and partial chemical and physical characterization. *Biochemistry*. 22:462–470. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1021/bi00271a032
- Moczydlowski, E., S.S. Garber, and C. Miller. 1984. Batrachotoxinactivated Na⁺ channels in planar lipid bilayers. Competition of tetrodotoxin block by Na⁺. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 84:665–686. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.84.5.665
- Moore, J.W., M.P. Blaustein, N.C. Anderson, and T. Narahashi. 1967. Basis of tetrodotoxin's selectivity in blockage of squid axons. J. Gen. Physiol. 50:1401–1411. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.50.5.1401
- Moorman, J.R., G.E. Kirsch, A.M. Brown, and R.H. Joho. 1990. Changes in sodium channel gating produced by point mutations in a cytoplasmic linker. *Science*. 250:688–691. http://dx.doi .org/10.1126/science.2173138
- Motoike, H.K., H. Liu, I.W. Glaaser, A.S. Yang, M. Tateyama, and R.S. Kass. 2004. The Na⁺ channel inactivation gate is a molecular complex: A novel role of the COOH-terminal domain. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 123:155–165. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp .200308929
- Murata, M., A.M. Legrand, Y. Ishibashi, and T. Yasumoto. 1989. Structures of ciguatoxin and its congener. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111:8929–8931. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00206a032

- Muroi, Y., and B. Chanda. 2009. Local anesthetics disrupt energetic coupling between the voltage-sensing segments of a sodium channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 133:1–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp .200810103
- Muroi, Y., M. Arcisio-Miranda, S. Chowdhury, and B. Chanda. 2010. Molecular determinants of coupling between the domain III voltage sensor and pore of a sodium channel. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 17:230–237. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1749
- Namadurai, S., D. Balasuriya, R. Rajappa, M. Wiemhöfer, K. Stott, J. Klingauf, J.M. Edwardson, D.Y. Chirgadze, and A.P. Jackson. 2014. Crystal structure and molecular imaging of the Nav channel β3 subunit indicates a trimeric assembly. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289:10797–10811. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.527994
- Namadurai, S., N.R. Yereddi, F.S. Cusdin, C.L. Huang, D.Y. Chirgadze, and A.P. Jackson. 2015. A new look at sodium channel β subunits. *Open Biol.* 5:140192. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsob.140192
- Narahashi, T. 1974. Chemicals as tools in the study of excitable membranes. *Physiol. Rev.* 54:813–889.
- Narahashi, T., J.W. Moore, and W.R. Scott. 1964. Tetrodotoxin blockage of sodium conductance increase in lobster giant axons. J. Gen. Physiol. 47:965–974. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.47.5.965
- Noda, M., S. Shimizu, T. Tanabe, T. Takai, T. Kayano, T. Ikeda, H. Takahashi, H. Nakayama, Y. Kanaoka, N. Minamino, et al. 1984. Primary structure of *Electrophorus electricus* sodium channel deduced from cDNA sequence. *Nature*. 312:121–127. http://dx.doi .org/10.1038/312121a0
- Norton, R.S. 2009. Structures of sea anemone toxins. *Toxicon*. 54:1075–1088. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2009.02.035
- O'Leary, M.E., L.Q. Chen, R.G. Kallen, and R. Horn. 1995. A molecular link between activation and inactivation of sodium channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 106:641–658. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.106.4.641
- O'Malley, H.A., and L.L. Isom. 2015. Sodium channel β subunits: Emerging targets in channelopathies. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 77:481– 504. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021014-071846
- Oelstrom, K., M.P. Goldschen-Ohm, M. Holmgren, and B. Chanda. 2014. Evolutionarily conserved intracellular gate of voltage-dependent sodium channels. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3420. http://dx.doi .org/10.1038/ncomms4420
- Ondrus, A.E., H.L. Lee, S. Iwanaga, W.H. Parsons, B.M. Andresen, W.E. Moerner, and J. Du Bois. 2012. Fluorescent saxitoxins for live cell imaging of single voltage-gated sodium ion channels beyond the optical diffraction limit. *Chem. Biol.* 19:902–912. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2012.05.021
- Palovcak, E., L. Delemotte, M.L. Klein, and V. Carnevale. 2014. Evolutionary imprint of activation: The design principles of VSDs. J. Gen. Physiol. 143:145–156. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.201311103
- Patton, D.E., J.W. West, W.A. Catterall, and A.L. Goldin. 1992. Amino acid residues required for fast Na⁺-channel inactivation: charge neutralizations and deletions in the III-IV linker. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 89:10905–10909. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.89.22.10905
- Payandeh, J., and D.L. Minor Jr. 2015. Bacterial voltage-gated sodium channels (BacNavs) from the soil, sea, and salt lakes enlighten molecular mechanisms of electrical signaling and pharmacology in the brain and heart. J. Mol. Biol. 427:3–30. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.08.010
- Payandeh, J., T. Scheuer, N. Zheng, and W.A. Catterall. 2011. The crystal structure of a voltage-gated sodium channel. *Nature*. 475:353–358. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10238
- Payandeh, J., T.M. Gamal El-Din, T. Scheuer, N. Zheng, and W.A. Catterall. 2012. Crystal structure of a voltage-gated sodium channel in two potentially inactivated states. *Nature*. 486:135–139.

- Pless, S.A., J.D. Galpin, A. Frankel, and C.A. Ahern. 2011. Molecular basis for class Ib anti-arrhythmic inhibition of cardiac sodium channels. *Nat. Commun.* 2:351. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms1351
- Pless, S.A., F.D. Elstone, A.P. Niciforovic, J.D. Galpin, R. Yang, H.T. Kurata, and C.A. Ahern. 2014. Asymmetric functional contributions of acidic and aromatic side chains in sodium channel voltage-sensor domains. J. Gen. Physiol. 143:645–656. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.201311036
- Qu, Y., J. Rogers, T. Tanada, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1995. Molecular determinants of drug access to the receptor site for antiarrhythmic drugs in the cardiac Na⁺ channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 92:11839–11843. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.25 .11839
- Quandt, F.N., and T. Narahashi. 1982. Modification of single Na⁺ channels by batrachotoxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 79:6732– 6736. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.21.6732
- Ragsdale, D.S., J.C. McPhee, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1994. Molecular determinants of state-dependent block of Na⁺ channels by local anesthetics. *Science*. 265:1724–1728. http://dx.doi .org/10.1126/science.8085162
- Ragsdale, D.S., J.C. McPhee, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1996. Common molecular determinants of local anesthetic, antiarrhythmic, and anticonvulsant block of voltage-gated Na⁺ channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 93:9270–9275. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.93.17.9270
- Raman, I.M., and B.P. Bean. 2001. Inactivation and recovery of sodium currents in cerebellar Purkinje neurons: Evidence for two mechanisms. *Biophys. J.* 80:729–737. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-3495(01)76052-3
- Ramos, E., and M.E. O'Leary. 2004. State-dependent trapping of flecainide in the cardiac sodium channel. *J. Physiol.* 560:37–49. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2004.065003
- Ravindran, A., H. Kwiecinski, O. Alvarez, G. Eisenman, and E. Moczydlowski. 1992. Modeling ion permeation through batrachotoxin-modified Na⁺ channels from rat skeletal muscle with a multi-ion pore. *Biophys. J.* 61:494–508. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0006-3495(92)81854-4
- Rogers, J.C., Y. Qu, T.N. Tanada, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1996. Molecular determinants of high affinity binding of alphascorpion toxin and sea anemone toxin in the S3-S4 extracellular loop in domain IV of the Na⁺ channel alpha subunit. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:15950–15962. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.27.15950
- Rohl, C.A., F.A. Boeckman, C. Baker, T. Scheuer, W.A. Catterall, and R.E. Klevit. 1999. Solution structure of the sodium channel inactivation gate. *Biochemistry*. 38:855–861. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1021/bi9823380
- Romey, G., J.P. Abita, H. Schweitz, G. Wunderer, and Lazdunski. 1976. Sea anemone toxin: A tool to study molecular mechanisms of nerve conduction and excitation–secretion coupling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 73:4055–4059. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.73.11.4055
- Sahara, Y., M. Gotoh, K. Konno, A. Miwa, H. Tsubokawa, H.P. Robinson, and N. Kawai. 2000. A new class of neurotoxin from wasp venom slows inactivation of sodium current. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 12:1961–1970. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.2000.00084.x
- Sarhan, M.F., C.C. Tung, F. Van Petegem, and C.A. Ahern. 2012. Crystallographic basis for calcium regulation of sodium channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 109:3558–3563. http://dx.doi .org/10.1073/pnas.1114748109
- Schantz, E.J., V.E. Ghazarossian, H.K. Schnoes, F.M. Strong, J.P. Springer, J.O. Pezzanite, and J. Clardy. 1975. Structure of saxitoxin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97:1238–1239. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1021/ja00838a045
- Seibert, A.L., J. Liu, D.A. Hanck, and K.M. Blumenthal. 2003. Arg-14 loop of site 3 anemone toxins: Effects of glycine replacement on

toxin affinity. *Biochemistry*. 42:14515–14521. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi035291d

- Shaya, D., F. Findeisen, F. Abderemane-Ali, C. Arrigoni, S. Wong, S.R. Nurva, G. Loussouarn, and D.L. Minor Jr. 2014. Structure of a prokaryotic sodium channel pore reveals essential gating elements and an outer ion binding site common to eukaryotic channels. *J. Mol. Biol.* 426:467–483. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2013.10.010
- Sheets, M.F., and D.A. Hanck. 1995. Voltage-dependent open-state inactivation of cardiac sodium channels: gating current studies with Anthopleurin-A toxin. J. Gen. Physiol. 106:617–640. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.106.4.617
- Sheets, M.F., and D.A. Hanck. 2003. Molecular action of lidocaine on the voltage sensors of sodium channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 121:163–175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.20028651
- Sheets, M.F., and D.A. Hanck. 2005. Charge immobilization of the voltage sensor in domain IV is independent of sodium current inactivation. J. Physiol. 563:83–93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.2004.077644
- Smith, J.J., and K.M. Blumenthal. 2007. Site-3 sea anemone toxins: Molecular probes of gating mechanisms in voltage-dependent sodium channels. *Toxicon*. 49:159–170. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.toxicon.2006.09.020
- Smith, J.J., S. Alphy, A.L. Seibert, and K.M. Blumenthal. 2005. Differential phospholipid binding by site 3 and site 4 toxins. Implications for structural variability between voltage-sensitive sodium channel domains. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280:11127–11133. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M412552200
- Smith, J.J., T.R. Cummins, S. Alphy, and K.M. Blumenthal. 2007. Molecular interactions of the gating modifier toxin ProTx-II with Na_v1.5: Implied existence of a novel toxin binding site coupled to activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:12687–12697. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1074/jbc.M610462200
- Smith, M.R., and A.L. Goldin. 1997. Interaction between the sodium channel inactivation linker and domain III S4-S5. *Biophys. J.* 73: 1885–1895. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(97)78219-5
- Sokolov, S., R.L. Kraus, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 2008. Inhibition of sodium channel gating by trapping the domain II voltage sensor with protoxin II. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 73:1020–1028. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/mol.107.041046
- Strichartz, G.R. 1973. The inhibition of sodium currents in myelinated nerve by quaternary derivatives of lidocaine. J. Gen. Physiol. 62:37–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.62.1.37
- Stühmer, W., F. Conti, H. Suzuki, X.D. Wang, M. Noda, N. Yahagi, H. Kubo, and S. Numa. 1989. Structural parts involved in activation and inactivation of the sodium channel. *Nature*. 339:597–603. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/339597a0
- Sun, Y.-M., I. Favre, L. Schild, and E. Moczydlowski. 1997. On the structural basis for size-selective permeation of organic cations through the voltage-gated sodium channel. Effect of alanine mutations at the DEKA locus on selectivity, inhibition by Ca²⁺ and H⁺, and molecular sieving. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 110:693–715. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.110.6.693
- Sunami, A., S.C. Dudley Jr., and H.A. Fozzard. 1997. Sodium channel selectivity filter regulates antiarrhythmic drug binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 94:14126–14131. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.94.25.14126
- Sunami, A., I.W. Glaaser, and H.A. Fozzard. 2000. A critical residue for isoform difference in tetrodotoxin affinity is a molecular determinant of the external access path for local anesthetics in the cardiac sodium channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 97:2326–2331. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.030438797
- Sunami, A., I.W. Glaaser, and H.A. Fozzard. 2001. Structural and gating changes of the sodium channel induced by mutation of a residue in the upper third of IVS6, creating an external access path for local anesthetics. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 59:684–691.

- Swartz, K.J. 2007. Tarantula toxins interacting with voltage sensors in potassium channels. *Toxicon*. 49:213–230. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2006.09.024
- Swartz, K.J. 2008. Sensing voltage across lipid membranes. *Nature*. 456:891–897. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07620
- Tang, L., T.M. Gamal El-Din, J. Payandeh, G.Q. Martinez, T.M. Heard, T. Scheuer, N. Zheng, and W.A. Catterall. 2014. Structural basis for Ca²⁺ selectivity of a voltage-gated calcium channel. *Nature*. 505:56–61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12775
- Teichert, R.W., S. Raghuraman, T. Memon, J.L. Cox, T. Foulkes, J.E. Rivier, and B.M. Olivera. 2012. Characterization of two neuronal subclasses through constellation pharmacology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 109:12758–12763. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1209759109
- Tejedor, F.J., and W.A. Catterall. 1988. Site of covalent attachment of alpha-scorpion toxin derivatives in domain I of the sodium channel alpha subunit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 85:8742–8746. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.22.8742
- Terlau, H., and B.M. Olivera. 2004. Conus venoms: A rich source of novel ion channel-targeted peptides. Physiol. Rev. 84:41–68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00020.2003
- Terlau, H., S.H. Heinemann, W. Stühmer, M. Pusch, F. Conti, K. Imoto, and S. Numa. 1991. Mapping the site of block by tetrodotoxin and saxitoxin of sodium channel II. *FEBS Lett.* 293:93–96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(91)81159-6
- Terlau, H., K.J. Shon, M. Grilley, M. Stocker, W. Stühmer, and B.M. Olivera. 1996. Strategy for rapid immobilization of prey by a fish-hunting marine snail. *Nature*. 381:148–151. http://dx.doi .org/10.1038/381148a0
- Terstappen, G.C., R. Roncarati, J. Dunlop, and R. Peri. 2010. Screening technologies for ion channel drug discovery. *Future Med. Chem.* 2:715–730. http://dx.doi.org/10.4155/fmc.10.180
- Thomsen, W.J., and W.A. Catterall. 1989. Localization of the receptor site for alpha-scorpion toxins by antibody mapping: implications for sodium channel topology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 86:10161–10165. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.24.10161
- Tokuyama, T., J. Daly, and B. Witkop. 1969. The structure of batrachotoxin, a steroidal alkaloid from the Colombian arrow poison frog, *Phyllobates aurotaenia*, and partial synthesis of batrachotoxin and its analogs and homologs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 91:3931–3938. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01042a042
- Trainer, V.L., D.G. Baden, and W.A. Catterall. 1994. Identification of peptide components of the brevetoxin receptor site of rat brain sodium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:19904–19909.
- Tsai, C.J., K. Tani, K. Irie, Y. Hiroaki, T. Shimomura, D.G. McMillan, G.M. Cook, G.F. Schertler, Y. Fujiyoshi, and X.D. Li. 2013. Two alternative conformations of a voltage-gated sodium channel. *J. Mol. Biol.* 425:4074–4088. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb .2013.06.036
- Tsang, S.Y., R.G. Tsushima, G.F. Tomaselli, R.A. Li, and P.H. Backx. 2005. A multifunctional aromatic residue in the external pore vestibule of Na⁺ channels contributes to the local anesthetic receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 67:424–434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/ mol.67.2
- Ujihara, S., T. Oishi, K. Torikai, K. Konoki, N. Matsumori, M. Murata, Y. Oshima, and S. Aimoto. 2008. Interaction of laddershaped polyethers with transmembrane alpha-helix of glycophorin A as evidenced by saturation transfer difference NMR and surface plasmon resonance. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 18:6115– 6118. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.10.020
- Ulbricht, W. 1998. Effects of veratridine on sodium currents and fluxes. *Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol.* 133:1–54.
- Ulmschneider, M.B., C. Bagnéris, E.C. McCusker, P.G. Decaen, M. Delling, D.E. Clapham, J.P. Ulmschneider, and B.A. Wallace. 2013. Molecular dynamics of ion transport through the open

conformation of a bacterial voltage-gated sodium channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 110:6364–6369. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1214667110

- Vandenberg, C.A., and F. Bezanilla. 1991a. Single-channel, macroscopic, and gating currents from sodium channels in the squid giant axon. *Biophys. J.* 60:1499–1510. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-3495(91)82185-3
- Vandenberg, C.A., and F. Bezanilla. 1991b. A sodium channel gating model based on single channel, macroscopic ionic, and gating currents in the squid giant axon. *Biophys. J.* 60:1511–1533. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(91)82186-5
- Vandenberg, C.A., and R. Horn. 1984. Inactivation viewed through single sodium channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 84:535–564. http://dx.doi .org/10.1085/jgp.84.4.535
- Van Der Haegen, A., S. Peigneur, and J. Tytgat. 2011. Importance of position 8 in µ-conotoxin KIIIA for voltage-gated sodium channel selectivity. *FEBS J.* 278:3408–3418. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08264.x
- Vassilev, P., T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 1989. Inhibition of inactivation of single sodium channels by a site-directed antibody. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 86:8147–8151. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.86.20.8147
- Vetter, I., J.L. Davis, L.D. Rash, R. Anangi, M. Mobli, P.F. Alewood, R.J. Lewis, and G.F. King. 2011. Venomics: a new paradigm for natural products-based drug discovery. *Amino Acids*. 40:15–28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0516-4
- Wagner, S., H. Lerche, N. Mitrovic, R. Heine, A.L. George, and F. Lehmann-Horn. 1997. A novel sodium channel mutation causing a hyperkalemic paralytic and paramyotonic syndrome with variable clinical expressivity. *Neurology*. 49:1018–1025. http://dx.doi .org/10.1212/WNL.49.4.1018
- Wang, G.K. 1988. Cocaine-induced closures of single batrachotoxinactivated Na⁺ channels in planar lipid bilayers. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 92:747–765. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.92.6.747
- Wang, J., V. Yarov-Yarovoy, R. Kahn, D. Gordon, M. Gurevitz, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 2011. Mapping the receptor site for α-scorpion toxins on a Na⁺ channel voltage sensor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 108:15426–15431. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112320108
- Wang, M., J. Diao, J. Li, J. Tang, Y. Lin, W. Hu, Y. Zhang, Y. Xiao, and S. Liang. 2008. JZTX-IV, a unique acidic sodium channel toxin isolated from the spider *Chilobrachys jingzhao*. *Toxicon*. 52:871–880. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2008.08.018
- Wang, S.Y., and G.K. Wang. 1998. Point mutations in segment I-S6 render voltage-gated Na⁺ channels resistant to batrachotoxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 95:2653–2658. http://dx.doi .org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2653
- Wang, S.Y., C. Nau, and G.K. Wang. 2000. Residues in Na⁺ channel D3-S6 segment modulate both batrachotoxin and local anesthetic affinities. *Biophys. J.* 79:1379–1387. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-3495(00)76390-9
- Wang, S.Y., K. Bonner, C. Russell, and G.K. Wang. 2003. Tryptophan scanning of D1S6 and D4S6 C-termini in voltage-gated sodium channels. *Biophys. J.* 85:911–920. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0006-3495(03)74530-5
- Wasserstrom, J.A., K. Liberty, J. Kelly, P. Santucci, and M. Myers. 1993. Modification of cardiac Na⁺ channels by batrachotoxin: effects on gating, kinetics, and local anesthetic binding. *Biophys. J.* 65:386–395. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(93)81046-4
- West, J.W., D.E. Patton, T. Scheuer, Y. Wang, A.L. Goldin, and W.A. Catterall. 1992. A cluster of hydrophobic amino acid residues required for fast Na⁺-channel inactivation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 89:10910–10914. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.22.10910
- Wilson, M.J., D. Yoshikami, L. Azam, J. Gajewiak, B.M. Olivera, G. Bulaj, and M.M. Zhang. 2011. µ-Conotoxins that differentially

block sodium channels Nav1.1 through 1.8 identify those responsible for action potentials in sciatic nerve. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 108:10302–10307. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107027108

- Wilson, M.J., M.M. Zhang, J. Gajewiak, L. Azam, J.E. Rivier, B.M. Olivera, and D. Yoshikami. 2015. A- and β-subunit composition of voltage-gated sodium channels investigated with µ-conotoxins and the recently discovered µO§-conotoxin GVIIJ. J. Neurophysiol. 113:2289–2301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.01004.2014
- Wood, J.N., B. Abrahamsen, M.D. Baker, J.D. Boorman, E. Donier, L.J. Drew, M.A. Nassar, K. Okuse, A. Seereeram, C.L. Stirling, and J. Zhao. 2004. Ion channel activities implicated in pathological pain. *Novartis Found. Symp.* 261:32–40. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/0470869127.ch4
- Woodward, R. 1964. The structure of tetrodotoxin. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 9:49–74. http://dx.doi.org/10.1351/pac196409010049
- Xia, M., H. Liu, Y. Li, N. Yan, and H. Gong. 2013. The mechanism of Na⁺/K⁺ selectivity in mammalian voltage-gated sodium channels based on molecular dynamics simulation. *Biophys. J.* 104:2401– 2409. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.04.035
- Yang, N., and R. Horn. 1995. Evidence for voltage-dependent S4 movement in sodium channels. *Neuron*. 15:213–218. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(95)90078-0
- Yang, N., A.L. George Jr., and R. Horn. 1996. Molecular basis of charge movement in voltage-gated sodium channels. *Neuron*. 16:113–122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80028-8
- Yarov-Yarovoy, V., J. Brown, E.M. Sharp, J.J. Clare, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 2001. Molecular determinants of voltagedependent gating and binding of pore-blocking drugs in transmembrane segment IIIS6 of the Na⁺ channel alpha subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 276:20–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc .M006992200
- Yarov-Yarovoy, V., J.C. McPhee, D. Idsvoog, C. Pate, T. Scheuer, and W.A. Catterall. 2002. Role of amino acid residues in transmembrane segments IS6 and IIS6 of the Na⁺ channel alpha subunit in voltage-dependent gating and drug block. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:35393–35401. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M206126200
- Yarov-Yarovoy, V., P.G. DeCaen, R.E. Westenbroek, C.Y. Pan, T. Scheuer, D. Baker, and W.A. Catterall. 2012. Structural basis for gating charge movement in the voltage sensor of a sodium channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 109:E93–E102. http://dx.doi .org/10.1073/pnas.1118434109
- Yellen, G. 2002. The voltage-gated potassium channels and their relatives. *Nature*. 419:35–42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature00978
- Zagotta, W.N., T. Hoshi, and R.W. Aldrich. 1994. Shaker potassium channel gating. III: Evaluation of kinetic models for activation. J. Gen. Physiol. 103:321–362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.103.2.321
- Zamponi, G.W., D.D. Doyle, and R.J. French. 1993. Fast lidocaine block of cardiac and skeletal muscle sodium channels: one site with two routes of access. *Biophys. J.* 65:80–90. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/S0006-3495(93)81042-7
- Zhang, J.Z., V. Yarov-Yarovoy, T. Scheuer, I. Karbat, L. Cohen, D. Gordon, M. Gurevitz, and W.A. Catterall. 2012a. Mapping the interaction site for a β-scorpion toxin in the pore module of domain III of voltage-gated Na⁺ channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:30719–30728. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.370742
- Zhang, M.M., B. Fiedler, B.R. Green, P. Catlin, M. Watkins, J.E. Garrett, B.J. Smith, D. Yoshikami, B.M. Olivera, and G. Bulaj. 2006. Structural and functional diversities among mu-conotoxins targeting TTX-resistant sodium channels. *Biochemistry*. 45:3723– 3732. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi052162j
- Zhang, M.M., B.R. Green, P. Catlin, B. Fiedler, L. Azam, A. Chadwick, H. Terlau, J.R. McArthur, R.J. French, J. Gulyas, et al.

2007. Structure/function characterization of micro-conotoxin KIIIA, an analgesic, nearly irreversible blocker of mammalian neuronal sodium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:30699–30706. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M704616200

- Zhang, M.M., J.R. McArthur, L. Azam, G. Bulaj, B.M. Olivera, R.J. French, and D. Yoshikami. 2009. Unexpected synergism between Tetrodotoxin and μ-conotoxin in blocking voltage-gated sodium channels. *Channels (Austin)*. 3:32–38. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/chan.3.1.7500
- Zhang, M.M., P. Gruszczynski, A. Walewska, G. Bulaj, B.M. Olivera, and D. Yoshikami. 2010. Cooccupancy of the outer vestibule of voltage-gated sodium channels by micro-conotoxin KIIIA and saxitoxin or tetrodotoxin. J. Neurophysiol. 104:88–97. http://dx .doi.org/10.1152/jn.00145.2010
- Zhang, M.M., M.J. Wilson, L. Azam, J. Gajewiak, J.E. Rivier, G. Bulaj, B.M. Olivera, and D. Yoshikami. 2013a. Co-expression of Na_γβ subunits alters the kinetics of inhibition of voltage-gated sodium channels by pore-blocking μ -conotoxins. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 168:1597–1610. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.12051
- Zhang, M.M., M.J. Wilson, J. Gajewiak, J.E. Rivier, G. Bulaj, B.M. Olivera, and D. Yoshikami. 2013b. Pharmacological fractionation of tetrodotoxin-sensitive sodium currents in rat dorsal root

ganglion neurons by µ-conotoxins. Br. J. Pharmacol. 169:102–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.12119

- Zhang, X., and N. Yan. 2013. The conformational shifts of the voltage sensing domains between Na_vRh and Na_vAb. *Cell Res.* 23:444– 447. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cr.2012.158
- Zhang, X., W. Ren, P. DeCaen, C. Yan, X. Tao, L. Tang, J. Wang, K. Hasegawa, T. Kumasaka, J. He, et al. 2012b. Crystal structure of an orthologue of the NaChBac voltage-gated sodium channel. *Nature*. 486:130–134.
- Zhou, Y., X.M. Xia, and C.J. Lingle. 2011. Cysteine scanning and modification reveal major differences between BK channels and Kv channels in the inner pore region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 108:12161–12166. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1104150108
- Zilberter, Yu., L. Motin, S. Sokolova, A. Papin, and B. Khodorov. 1991. Ca-sensitive slow inactivation and lidocaine-induced block of sodium channels in rat cardiac cells. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 23:61– 72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2828(91)90025-H
- Zorn, S., E. Leipold, A. Hansel, G. Bulaj, B.M. Olivera, H. Terlau, and S.H. Heinemann. 2006. The μO-conotoxin MrVIA inhibits voltage-gated sodium channels by associating with domain-3. *FEBS Lett.* 580:1360–1364. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet .2006.01.057