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#### Abstract

We present an analysis of the host properties of 85224 emission-line galaxies selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. We show that Seyferts and low-ionization narrow emission-line regions (LINERs) form clearly separated branches on the standard optical diagnostic diagrams. We derive a new empirical classification scheme which cleanly separates star-forming galaxies, composite active galactic nucleus-H iI (AGN-H iI) galaxies, Seyferts and LINERs and we study the host galaxy properties of these different classes of objects. LINERs are older, more massive, less dusty, less concentrated, and they have higher velocity dispersions and lower [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III] }}$ luminosities than Seyfert galaxies have. Seyferts and LINERs are most strongly distinguished by their [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ ] luminosities. We then consider the quantity $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$, which is an indicator of the black hole accretion rate relative to the Eddington rate. Remarkably, we find that at fixed $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right] / \sigma^{4}$, all differences between Seyfert and LINER host properties disappear. LINERs and Seyferts form a continuous sequence, with LINERs dominant at low $L / L_{\text {EDD }}$ and Seyferts dominant at high $L / L_{\text {EDD }}$. These results suggest that the majority of LINERs are AGN and that the Seyfert/LINER dichotomy is analogous to the high/low-state models and show that pure LINERs require a harder ionizing radiation field with lower ionization parameter than required by Seyfert galaxies, consistent with the low and high X-ray binary states.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

The majority of nearby active galactic nuclei (AGN) and AGN candidates have nuclear optical spectra that are dominated by emission lines of low-ionization species such as [OI] $\lambda 6300$, [O II] $\lambda \lambda 3726,9$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] \lambda \lambda$ 6717, 31 (Ho, Filippenko \& Sargent 1997b). This class of AGN was first defined by Heckman (1980) as low-ionization narrow emission-line regions (LINERs). LINERs have lower luminosities than Seyfert galaxies or quasars have and are therefore often referred to as low-luminosity active galactic nuclei (LLAGN). LINER emission is extremely common in the nuclei of galaxies; up to approximately one-third of all galaxies have nuclear spectra typical of LINERs (Heckman 1980; Ho, Filippenko \& Sargent 1995, 1997a). Despite the prevalence of LINERs in galaxies and decades of study, the power source of LINERs is still under debate. Some LINER galaxies have double-peaked broad Balmer lines (Bower et al. 1996; Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1997; Eracleous \& Halpern 2001), whereas others have compact radio cores (Falcke et al. 2000; Ulvestad \& Ho 2001; Filho, Barthel \& Ho 2002; Anderson, Ulvestad \& Ho 2004; Filho et al. 2004), evidence for hard X-ray spectra (Terashima, Ho \& Ptak 2000; Ho et al. 2001),

[^0]and/or ultraviolet (UV) variability (Maoz et al. 2005). These observations provide circumstantial evidence for an AGN power source for the LINER emission. Other possible power sources include fast shocks (Heckman 1980; Dopita \& Sutherland 1995; Lípari et al. 2004), photoionization by hot stars (Filippenko \& Terlevich 1992; Shields 1992; Maoz et al. 1998; Barth \& Shields 2000), or photoionization by an old, metal-rich stellar population (Alonso-Herrero et al. 2000; Taniguchi, Shioya \& Murayama 2000). LINER emission has been observed in extranuclear regions associated with large-scale outflows and related shocks (Lípari et al. 2004), or regions shocked by radio jets (Cecil et al. 2000).

Recent investigations into the stellar populations of LINER host galaxies have yielded important insight into their nature. Maoz et al. (1998) and Colina et al. (2002) detected stellar wind lines in UV spectra of weak $\left[\mathrm{OI}_{1}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ LINERs. Similar features have been detected in some Seyfert galaxies from nuclear starbursts that are a few Myr old (Heckman et al. 1997; González Delgado et al. 1998). González Delgado et al. (2004) searched the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) STIS spectra of 28 LINERs for Wolf-Rayet features. They found no Wolf-Rayet features and little evidence of young stars in LINERs with strong [ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$. In LINERs with low $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ intermediate-age stars contribute significantly to the stellar continuum. Fernandes et al. (2004) found that while massive stars do not contribute significantly to LINER spectra, high-order Balmer
absorption lines are detected in $\sim 50$ per cent of LINERs that have relatively weak [OI] $\lambda 6300$ emission. These results indicate that the current LINER classification scheme encompasses two or more types of galaxies, or galaxies at different stages in evolution.

LINERs are commonly classified using their optical emission-line ratios. The first optical classification scheme to segregate LINERs from other spectral types was proposed by Heckman (1980). This scheme uses line ratios of the low-ionization species [OI] 16300 and [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ ] $\lambda \lambda 3726,29$, compared to the high-ionization species [O III] $\lambda 5007$ to separate LINERs from Seyferts. This scheme requires the use of additional diagnostics to remove star-forming galaxies. The most common method to remove star-forming galaxies is based on the Baldwin, Phillips \& Terlevich (1981) empirical diagnostic diagrams using the optical line ratios $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha,\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$. The Baldwin et al. scheme was revised by Osterbrock \& Pogge (1985) and Veilleux \& Osterbrock (1987). An alternative scheme was proposed by Ho et al. (1997a) that includes an additional division between 'pure' LINERs and LINER/H II 'transition' objects using the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratio. Transition objects have line ratios that are intermediate between the two classes. This division is arbitrary because there was no clear division in $[\mathrm{OI}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ between transition and true LINER classes. The first purely theoretical classification scheme to distinguish between AGN, LINERs, and H II-region-like objects was derived by Kewley et al. (2001b). They used a combination of modern stellar population synthesis, photoionization, and shock models to derive a 'maximum starburst line' and an 'extreme mixing line' for separation of the three types of objects. Kewley et al. concluded that previous LINER classification schemes include starburst-Seyfert composites in the LINER class, as well as bona fide LINERs. Recently, Kauffmann et al. (2003a) shifted the Kewley et al. extreme starburst line to make a semi-empirical fit to the outer bound of $\sim 22600$ SDSS spectra. This outer bound defines the region where composite starburst-AGN objects are expected to lie on the diagnostic diagrams. A comparison of LINER classification schemes has been made in the context of an AGN clustering analysis by Constantin \& Vogeley (2006).

Although much progress has been made in the optical classification of the ionizing source in galaxies, none of these classification schemes has been able to divide cleanly between Seyfert, LINER and composite/transition types. The primary reason for this problem is the lack of a sufficiently large sample in which empirical boundaries between the different galaxy classes can be observed. In this paper, we use 85224 galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) data release 4 (DR4) emission-line catalogue (described in Section 2) to develop a new semi-empirical classification scheme for Seyferts, LINERs, and composite objects (Section 3). We use this new classification scheme to investigate the host properties of AGN in Section 5. Our results are discussed in Section 7 and we present our conclusions in Section 8.

Throughout this paper, we adopt the flat $\Lambda$-dominated cosmology as measured by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) experiment ( $h=0.72, \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}=0.29$; Spergel et al. 2003).

## 2 SAMPLE SELECTION

Our sample was selected from the 567486 -galaxy DR4 sample according to the following criteria.
(i) Signal-to-noise ratio $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}) \geqslant 3$ in the strong emission-lines $\mathrm{H} \beta, \mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 5007, \mathrm{H} \alpha,\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] \lambda 6584$, and [S II] $\lambda \lambda 6717,31$.
(ii) Redshifts between $0.04<z<0.1$.

The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ criterion is required for accurate classification of the galaxies into star-forming or AGN-dominated classes (e.g. Veilleux \& Osterbrock 1987; Kewley et al. 2001a). Our lower redshift limit ensures that the galaxy properties derived from the fibre spectra are not dominated by the small fixed-size aperture. Kewley, Jansen \& Geller (2005) analysed the effect of a fixed-size aperture on metallicity, star formation rate, and reddening. They concluded that a minimum aperture covering fraction of $\sim 20$ per cent is required for the spectral properties within the aperture to approximate the global values. For the 3 -arcsec fibre aperture of the SDSS, a 20 per cent covering fraction corresponds roughly to a redshift of $z \sim 0.04$. Our upper redshift limit avoids incompleteness in the LINER class. LINERs typically have lower luminosities than Seyfert galaxies have, and are therefore found at lower redshifts than Seyferts in the magnitude-limited SDSS survey. We will investigate incompleteness as a function of galaxy type in Section 3.1

The resulting sample contains 85224 emission-line galaxies and does not include duplicates found in the original DR4 catalogue. We use the publicly available emission-line fluxes (described in Tremonti et al. 2004). These fluxes were calculated using a sophisticated technique that applies a least-squares fit of stellar population synthesis models and dust attenuation to the continuum. Once the continuum has been removed, the emission-line fluxes were fitted with Gaussians, constraining the width and velocity separation of the Balmer lines together, and similarly for the forbidden lines.

We have corrected the emission-line fluxes for extinction using the Balmer decrement and the Cardelli, Clayton \& Mathis (1989) reddening curve. We assume an $R_{V}=A_{V} / E(B-V)=3.1$ and an intrinsic $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ ratio of 2.85 for galaxies dominated by star formation and $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta=3.1$ for galaxies dominated by AGN (the Balmer decrement for case B recombination at $T=10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ and $n_{\mathrm{e}} \sim 10^{2}-10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ ); Osterbrock (1989). A total of 5414 ( 6 per cent) galaxies in our sample have Balmer decrements less than the theoretical value. A Balmer decrement less than the theoretical value can result from an intrinsically low reddening combined with errors in the stellar absorption correction and/or errors in the line flux calibration and measurement. For the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of our data, the lowest $E(B-V)$ measurable is 0.01 . We therefore assign these 5414 galaxies an upper limit of $E(B-V)<0.01$.

## 3 OPTICAL CLASSIFICATION

Baldwin et al. (1981) proposed a suite of three diagnostic diagrams to classify the dominant energy source in emission-line galaxies. These diagrams are commonly known as Baldwin-PhillipsTerlevich (BPT) diagrams and are based on the four optical line ratios $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta,\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha,\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$. Kewley et al. (2001a, hereafter Ke01) used a combination of stellar population synthesis models and detailed self-consistent photoionization models to create a theoretical 'maximum starburst line' on the the BPT diagrams. The maximum starburst line is determined by the upper limit of the theoretical pure stellar photoionization models. Galaxies lying above this line are likely to be dominated by an AGN. To rule out possible composite galaxies, Kauffmann et al. (2003a, hereafter Ka 03 ) modified the Ke 01 scheme to include an empirical line dividing pure star-forming galaxies from Seyfert-H II composite objects whose spectra contain significant contributions from both AGN and star formation.

Fig. 1(a) shows the $[\mathrm{OIII}] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{N}{ }_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ standard optical diagnostic diagram for our sample. The Ke01 and Ka03 classification lines are shown as the solid and dashed lines. Galaxies that lie below the dashed Ka 03 line are classed as H II-region-like galaxies.


Figure 1. (a) The $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ diagnostic diagram for $\operatorname{SDSS}$ galaxies with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}>3$. The Ke 01 extreme starburst line and the Ka03 classification line are shown as the solid and dashed lines, respectively. (b) The $[\mathrm{S}$ II $] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ diagnostic diagram; (c) the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ diagnostic diagram.

Star-forming galaxies form a tight sequence from low metallicities (low $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, high $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ ) to high metallicities (high $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, low $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ ) which we will refer to as the 'star-forming sequence'. The AGN mixing sequence begins at the high-metallicity end of the star-forming sequence and extends towards high $[\mathrm{O} I \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ and $[\mathrm{NII}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ values. Galaxies that lie in between the two classification lines are on the AGN-H II mixing sequence and are classed as composites. Composite galaxies are likely to contain a metal-rich stellar population plus an AGN. Galaxies that lie above the Ka03 line are classed as AGN.
Figs 1(b) and (c) show the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $[\mathrm{OI}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams for our sample. The Ke01 classification line provides an upper bound to the star-forming sequence on these diagrams. Galaxies that are classed as composites using the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram (Fig. 1a) lie mostly within the star-forming sequence on the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram (Fig. 1b) and about half-way into the star-forming sequence in the $[\mathrm{OI}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ (Fig. 1c) diagram. The $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ line ratio is more sensitive to the presence of low-level AGN than $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ or $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, thanks primarily to the metallicity sensitivity of $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$. The $\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)$ line ratio is a linear function of the nebular metallicity until high metallicities where the $\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)$ ratio saturates (Denicoló, Terlevich \& Terlevich 2002; Kewley \& Dopita 2002; Pettini \& Pagel 2004). This saturation point causes the star-forming sequence to be almost vertical at $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha \sim-0.5$. Any AGN contribution shifts the $[\mathrm{NII}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ towards higher values than this saturation level, allowing removal of galaxies with even small AGN contributions.

In this work, we classify pure star-forming galaxies as those that lie below the $\mathrm{Ka0} 03$ line on the $[\mathrm{NII}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ diagnostic diagram. Composite galaxies lie above the Ka 03 line and below the Ke01 line. The optical spectra of composites can be due to either (1) a combination of star formation and a Seyfert nucleus, or (2) a combination of star formation and LINER emission. The narrow line emission from galaxies lying above the Ke 01 line is likely to be dominated by an AGN.

### 3.1 New LINER, Seyfert, composite classification scheme

It is clear from the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams (Figs 1 b and c ) that galaxies containing AGN lie on two branches. Seyfert galaxies lie on the upper branch, whereas LINERs lie on the lower branch, thanks to their low-ionization line emission. We use the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams to separate Seyfert from LINER galaxies. We use only galaxies with $S / \mathrm{N}>6$ in each of the strong lines to derive


Figure 2. (a) The $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ and (b) $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus [O III]/H $\beta$ (right-hand panel) diagnostic diagrams for SDSS galaxies classified as AGN using the Ka03 line [dashed line in Fig. 1(a)]. The large filled circle represents the empirical base point $p$ for the Seyfert and LINER sequences. Concentric arcs of 0.1 dex (red solid lines) show the binning of our sample with radius. We calculate histograms of angle from the $x$-axis centred at $p$ for each bin.
our new classification scheme. We define an empirical base point, $p$ (blue circles in Fig. 2) and we define annuli with widths of 0.1 dex centered on $p$. For the galaxies in each of these annuli with radii $(R)$ for which the two AGN branches are well defined ( $0.5-1.5$ dex from $p$ ), we compute histograms of angle from the $x$-axis. We then step the 0.1 -dex annuli through the data from $p$ in 0.02 -dex increments and recalculate the minima of each histogram for each increment. A total of 84 histograms were created and minima between the Seyfert and LINER curves were found for all histograms. Examples of these histograms are given in Fig. 3.
To divide between the two AGN branches, we take the line of best fit through the histogram minima for each of the two diagnostic diagrams. This line provides an empirical division between Seyferts and LINERs and will be referred to as the 'Seyfert-LINER classification line'. Adding this line to our previous two classification lines from Ke01 and Ka03, we obtain a means to distinguish between star-forming galaxies, Seyferts, LINERs, and composite galaxies. Our new classification scheme is shown in Fig. 4, and is defined as follows.
(i) Star-forming galaxies lie below and to the left-hand side of the Ka 03 classification line in the $[\mathrm{NII}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $[\mathrm{OIII}] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ diagram, and below and to the left-hand side of the Ke 01 line in the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$


Figure 3. Histograms of the Seyfert and LINER sequences between 1.0 and 1.2 dex (left-hand panel) and 1.2 and 1.4 dex (right-hand panel) for the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ (top panel) and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ (bottom panel) diagnostic diagrams. The distribution is clearly bimodal. The LINER sequence is the right-hand peak and the Seyfert sequence is the left-hand peak.
and $[\mathrm{OI}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams:
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)<0.61 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.05\right]+1.3$,
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)<0.72 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.32\right]+1.30$,
and
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)<0.73 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.59\right]+1.33$.
(ii) Composite galaxies lie between the Ka 03 and Ke 01 classification lines on the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ diagram:
$0.61 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.05\right]+1.3<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$,
$0.61 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.47\right]+1.19>\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$.
(iii) Seyfert galaxies lie above the Ke01 classification line on the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha,\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagrams and above the Seyfert-LINER line on the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams, that is,
$0.61 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.47\right]+1.19<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$,
$0.72 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.32\right]+1.30<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$,
$0.73 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.59\right]+1.33<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$
or
$\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)>-0.59\right]$
and
$1.89 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.76<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$,
$1.18 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+1.30<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$.
(iv) LINERs lie above the Ke01 classification line on the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha,\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagrams and below the Seyfert-LINER line on the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams, that is,
$0.61 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.47\right]+1.19<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$
$0.72 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-0.32\right]+1.30<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$,
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)<1.89 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.76$,
$0.73 /\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{OI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.59\right]+1.33<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)$
or
$\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)>-0.59\right]$
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta\right)<1.18 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+1.30$.
(v) Ambiguous galaxies are those that are classified as one type of object in one or two diagrams and classified as another type of object in the remaining diagram(s). In our scheme, ambiguous galaxies fall into one of two categories: (1) galaxies that lie in the Seyfert region in either the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ or the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram and in the LINER region in the remaining ( $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right.$ or $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ) diagram, or (2) galaxies that lie in the composite region (below the Ke 01 line) in the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram but that lie above the Ke 01 line in either the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ or the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram.

According to this scheme, our 85 224-galaxy sample contains 63893 ( 75 per cent) star-forming galaxies, 2411 ( 3 per cent) Seyferts, 6005 ( 7 per cent) LINERs, and 5870 ( 7 per cent) composites. The remaining galaxies are ambiguous galaxies (7045; 8 per cent).


Figure 4. The three BPT diagrams showing our new scheme for classifying galaxies using emission-line ratios. The Ke01 extreme starburst classification line (red solid line), the Ka03 pure star formation line (blue dashed line), and our new Seyfert-LINER line (blue solid line) are used to separate galaxies into H ir-region-like, Seyferts, LINERs, and composite H iI-AGN types.

### 3.1.1 Simple diagnostic diagram

Using the classifications obtained in the previous section, we investigate other line diagnostic diagrams that may be able to separate the different classes in a simpler way. Fig. 5 shows the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram for the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like Seyferts, }}$ LINERs, and composites. We exclude ambiguous objects from this plot. LINERs and Seyferts form two distinct groups on this diagram and both groups are easily separated from the H II-region-like galaxies and composites. The reason for this clean separation is twofold; [ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}\right]$ is a sensitive diagnostic of the ionization parameter of the gas, whereas $[\mathrm{OI}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ is sensitive to the hardness of the ionizing radiation field. The ionization parameter is a measure of the amount of ionization that a radiation field can drive as it moves through the nebula. Seyfert galaxies have a higher ionization parameter than LINERs (by definition) or star-forming galaxies have and, therefore, Seyferts separate vertically ([ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ ) from the other classes of objects. Both Seyfert galaxies and LINERs have hard power-law ionizing radiation fields and thus separate from star-forming galaxies in the horizontal ( $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right.$ ) direction. Interestingly, composite galaxies lie within the star-forming sequence on this diagram, indicating that the hardness of the ionizing radiation field, and the ionization parameter of composites are likely to be dominated by their star formation.

To calculate an empirical separation between LINERs, Seyferts, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}+$ composites, we find the minima of a two-dimensional histogram of $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$. These minima are fitted with a least-squares line of best fit. The resulting empirical separations are shown in blue. For comparison, the Heckman (1980) classification line is shown (purple dashed line). Clearly, the Heckman classification scheme would separate most LINERs from Seyfert galaxies. This diagnostic diagram is a more simple method for separating LINERs, Seyferts, and star-forming galaxies (including composites). Our separations are given by
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and composites:
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]<-1.701 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-2.163\right.$.


Figure 5. The $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}\right]$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram for SDSS galaxies with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}>3$. Galaxies have been classified using the standard BPT diagnostic diagrams (Fig. 4). Ambiguous galaxies are not included. Our new preferred classification scheme is shown in blue. The H80 LINER line (orange dashed) is also shown.

LINERs:
$-1.701 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-2.163<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]\right)$,
$\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]<1.0 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.7\right.$.
Seyferts:
$-1.701 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)-2.163<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]\right)$,
$1.0 \log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)+0.7<\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]\right)$.

Note that the $\left[\mathrm{OIII}^{\mathrm{II}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ versus $\left[\mathrm{OI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram should not be used to separate $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies from composite objects and it relies on accurate reddening correction between [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ ] 25007 and [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }} \lambda \lambda 3727,29$.

### 3.1.2 Comparison with previous diagnostic schemes

In Fig. 6, we compare our new classification scheme with previous methods of classification. Comparisons between the various previous schemes have been made by various authors, including Constantin et al. (2006) and references therein. The solid orange line shows where the Heckman (1980, hereafter H80) LINER classification line lies in relation to our Seyfert-LINER line. H80 defined LINERs as having [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ ] $\lambda 3727 \gtrsim\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right] \lambda 5007$ and [ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] \lambda 6300$ $\lambda 6300 \gtrsim 1 / 3\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] \lambda 5007$. The H80 line has a very similar slope to our Seyfert-LINER line and is close to the 0.1-dex error markers for our line. The H80 LINER criteria are sometimes used in combination with additional line ratio criteria using $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ and/or $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha,\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ to remove star-forming galaxies (e.g. Heckman et al. 1983). Using our 'extreme starburst line' to remove galaxies dominated by their star formation (including composites), we find that all galaxies that are classed as LINERs using the H80 criteria are also classed as LINERs using our scheme. Conversely, approximately 56 per cent of our LINERs are also classified as LINERs using the H80 scheme. The remaining galaxies lie above the H80 line but below our Seyfert-LINER dividing line. If we do not remove star-forming galaxies and we impose the H80 criteria ([O II] $\lambda 3727 \gtrsim\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}]} \lambda 5007\right.$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] \lambda 6300 \lambda 6300 \gtrsim 1 / 3$ [O III] $\lambda 5007$ ), then 15 per cent of galaxies that are classed as LINERs using the H80 criteria are also classed as LINERs in our scheme. The remaining 85 per cent are $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies ( 68 per cent), composites ( 7 per cent), and ambiguous galaxies ( 9 per cent). Alternatively, ~78 per cent of our LINERs are also classed as LINERs using the H80 scheme.
An alternative classification scheme was proposed by VO87 for galaxies where [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ ] is not measured. The VO87 scheme is shown in Fig. 6 (black dotted lines). If our classification is correct, galaxies classified as LINERs according to the VO87 scheme are either true LINERs, Seyferts, or composites. Of the galaxies classified as LINERs in the VO87 scheme, 67 per cent are LINERs, 5 per cent are Seyferts, 4 per cent are composites, 0.01 per cent are H II region like, and 23 per cent are ambiguous objects. These ambiguous objects are likely to be composites or transition objects because they lie within the composite region in one or two of the BPT diagrams and in the AGN region in the remaining diagrams. On the other hand, approximately 87 per cent of our LINERs are also classified as LINERs using the VO87 method.
Ho et al. (1997a, hereafter HFS97) defined a new classification scheme for the four different classes of objects, using the nuclear emission-line ratios of 418 galaxies. Their classification scheme is shown in Fig. 6 as the green dot-dashed lines. The HFS97 LINER


Figure 6. The three BPT diagrams showing different methods for classifying galaxies using emission-line ratios. The Ke01 extreme starburst classification line (red solid line), the Ka03 pure star formation line (blue dashed line), our new Seyfert-LINER line (blue solid line), the Veilleux \& Osterbrock (1987) classification scheme (black dotted line), the H80 LINER line (orange dashed line), and the HFS97 classification schemes (green dot-dashed line) are shown.
criterion for $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ begins on our Seyfert-LINER classification line. Because of this LINER criterion, 92 per cent of galaxies classed as LINERs in the HFS97 scheme are also LINERs in our scheme. The remaining 8 per cent are ambiguous objects that lie within our LINER region on one or more diagrams and within the Seyfert region on the remaining diagrams. We note that 8 per cent of galaxies classed as Seyferts in our scheme are classed as $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region like in the HFS97 scheme.

To conclude, because $\sim 90-100$ per cent of galaxies classified using H 80 (with reliable removal of H II galaxies) or HFS97 as LINERs remain LINERs in our scheme, previous studies of LINERs defined according to H80 or HFS97 should reflect the true properties of LINERs. In contrast, approximately one-third of objects in LINER studies that have used the VO87 scheme may be AGN-H if composites and Seyferts. Without pre-removal of H if galaxies, the H80 scheme includes a substantial fraction ( 68 per cent) of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. The relative ratio of composite, Seyfert, and true LINERs will depend on any additional selection criteria used in previous LINER surveys (such as luminosity or colour selection).

### 3.1.3 Completeness and aperture effects as a function of class

At redshifts $z<0.1$, we can detect galaxies with $M_{*}>10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ irrespective of their stellar mass-to-light ( $M / L s$ ) ratios. Thus, we only consider galaxies with masses greater than $10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ in this analysis. We note that the fraction of galaxies with masses less than $10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ is small (4 per cent).

In Fig. 7, we show how the fraction of non- $\mathrm{H}_{\text {it }}$ galaxies classed as Seyfert, LINER and composite changes over the redshift range of our sample. Ambiguous galaxies are not shown. The fraction of LINERs falls with redshift from $z \sim 0.045$ to $\sim 0.1$ in the $11<$ $\log (M)<11.5$ mass range, $z \sim 0.03$ to $\sim 0.1$ in the $10.5<\log (M)$ $<11$ mass range, and $z \sim 0.1$ to $\sim 0.1$ in the $10<\log (M)<10.5$ mass range. This drop in LINER fraction is similar to the slope that Ka 03 found for low-luminosity AGN $\left.\left[\log \left(L_{[ } \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]\right)<7 \mathrm{~L} \odot\right]$. The drop occurs because weak emission lines become increasingly difficult to detect as the physical aperture subtended by the SDSS fibre becomes larger. Over the redshift range $0.04<z<0.1$, the change in LINER fraction is not very large, so aperture bias should not affect our conclusions substantially.

Fig. 8 shows the overall redshift distribution, $g$-band fibrecovering fraction, and concentration index of each spectral type. The concentration index is defined as the ratio of the radii containing 90 and 50 per cent of the Petrosian $r$-band galaxy light $C=$


Figure 7. The fraction of galaxies classified as Seyfert (black solid line), LINER (red dashed line), and composite (blue dotted line) as a function of redshift within three mass ranges. Ambiguous galaxies are not included in this plot.
$R_{90} / R_{50}$. Differences in concentration between the spectral types using previous classification schemes are discussed in Constantin et al. (2006). Using our new classification scheme, we find that LINERs have redshift distributions skewed towards lower redshifts than Seyferts or composites have, as already seen in Fig. 7. Fig. 8(c) indicates that the LINERs in our sample are more concentrated than Seyferts or composites. LINERs have only a slightly lower mean


Figure 8. The distribution of (a) redshift, (b) $g$-band fibre-covering fraction, and (c) concentration for non-H if galaxies classified as Seyfert (black solid line), LINER (red dashed line), and composite (blue dotted line) as a function of redshift within mass ranges. Ambiguous galaxies are not included in this plot.
$g$-band fibre-covering fraction for LINERs $(0.34 \pm 0.01)$ than for Seyfert galaxies ( $0.36 \pm 0.01$ ), Fig. 8(b). Because the difference in $g$-band covering fraction between Seyferts and LINERs is small, we proceed with our analysis of the host properties of LINERs and Seyferts.

## 4 STAR-FORMING DISTANCE

To explore the host properties as a function of distance from the star-forming sequence, we define an empirical linear distance (in dex) from the star-forming sequence for both the Seyfert and the LINER branches on the $\left[\mathrm{OIII}^{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $[\mathrm{OI}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram shown in Fig. 9. We use a radial system because the LINER and Seyfert branches form a wedge on the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{OI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram. A base point (red and blue circles in Fig. 9) is defined for each branch. A peak radius of 1.55 dex from the base point is defined for Seyferts. The peak radius is 1.65 dex for LINERs. The distance between the peak radius and the base point for each branch is divided into 10 bins. The positions of the base and peak points have been chosen to ensure that the first and last bins contain atleast 50 data points. This system for defining the distance from the star-forming sequence is arbitrary. Because $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ is defined


Figure 9. The $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram for the AGN in the SDSS sample. The coloured curves correspond to our empirical definition of distance from the star-forming sequence $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. The blue and red curves give lines of constant $d_{\text {SF }}$ for Seyferts and LINERs, respectively. The green line shows our dividing line between Seyferts and LINERs.


Figure 10. The ratio of Seyferts to LINERs as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. The dotted line shows the mean Seyfert to LINER ratio.
in dex in $\log$ line-ratio space, it does not give the fraction of star formation or AGN emission in a galaxy.
In Fig. 10, we show the relative ratio of Seyferts and LINERs as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. In this plot, we include composite Seyfert+H II and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ (classified using our Seyfert-LINER dividing line) as Seyferts and LINERs, respectively. Only pure star-forming galaxies classified according to the Ka03 classification line (equation 1) and LINER/Seyfert ambiguous galaxies are excluded from Fig. 10. The composite-pure AGN boundary corresponds roughly to $d_{\mathrm{SF}}=0.3-$ 0.4 .

Fig. 10 shows that the ratio of Seyfert to LINERs remains roughly constant with distance from the star-forming sequence. This result is unexpected because both Seyfert-H II and LINER-H II composites exist and there is a large luminosity difference between Seyferts and LINERs. One might expect that in Seyferts-H if composites, the stellar emission would be overwhelmed by the luminous Seyfert emission, whereas in LINERs, stellar emission could dominate in many LINER-H iI composites. If this expectation were correct, there should be many more LINER-H II composites than Seyfert-H II composites. The fact that the Seyfert to LINER ratio is roughly independent of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ (Fig. 10) implies that star formation and AGN are coupled such that more powerful AGN also have stronger star formation.

## 5 HOST PROPERTIES

Using our new classification scheme, we explore the host properties of the AGN in our sample. The host properties of AGN in the SDSS were previously studied by Ka 03 . They used the [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ ] luminosity to discriminate between Seyferts and low-luminosity AGN. The following analysis extends this work by comparing the host properties of LINERs, Seyferts and composites as a function of distance from the star-forming sequence.

### 5.1 Stellar population age

We use the $4000-\AA$ break ( $D_{4000}$ ) and the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ Balmer absorption line as indicators of the age of the stellar population. The 4000- $\AA$ break is created by absorption lines located around $4000 \AA$ and was first defined by Bruzual (1983), and recently redefined by Balogh et al. (1999) as
$D_{4000}=\frac{\int_{4000}^{4100} f_{\lambda} \mathrm{d}_{\lambda}}{\int_{3850}^{3950} f_{\lambda} \mathrm{d} \lambda}$.
The strength of the $4000-\AA$ break is influenced by temperature and metallicity. As the temperature of the stellar atmospheres decreases with age, the metal opacity strengthens and $D_{4000}$ becomes large. $D_{4000}$ was calibrated empirically by Gorgas et al. (1999) and theoretically by Bruzual \& Charlot (2003). The stellar continuum template applied to the SDSS galaxies is described in Kauffmann et al. (2003b). The [Ne III] $\lambda 3869$ emission line is removed prior to the measurement of $D_{4000}$. The theoretical calibrations predict that $D_{4000}$ provides a reliable estimate of the galaxy age for galaxies with mean stellar ages less than a few Gyr. In the presence of current or recent star formation, $D_{4000}$ is small because the metal opacity in the atmospheres of O and B stars weakens.

A complementary age indicator is the equivalent width (EW) of the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ absorption line. The $\mathrm{H} \delta$ absorption line is produced in the atmospheres of A-G stars and is useful for predicting the age of bursts that ended 4 Myr-1 Gyr ago (Worthey \& Ottaviani 1997; González Delgado, Leitherer \& Heckman 1999). We use the $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$ index defined by Worthey \& Ottaviani (1997):
$\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}=\frac{4083.50-4122.25}{1-\left(F_{I} / F_{C}\right)}$,
where $F_{I}$ is the flux within the $4083.50-4122.25$ bandpass and $F_{C}$ is the pseudo-continuum flux within the bandpass.

The evolutions of $D_{4000}$ and $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$ have been investigated by Kauffmann et al. (2003c) using high-resolution spectral libraries and the Bruzual \& Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models. They found that neither index depends strongly on metallicity until at least 1 Gyr after the burst.

In Fig. 11(a), we show the normalized distribution of $D_{4000}$ for LINERs (red). For comparison, we plot the $D_{4000}$ distributions for Seyferts (black), composites (blue), and HII-region-like galaxies (green). The stellar population of LINERs is older than that of the other galaxy types. Seyferts and composites have a similar range of stellar ages, and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies are dominated by relatively }}$ young star-forming regions, as expected.

To avoid biasing our analysis against galaxies that contain significant star formation, in Fig. 11(b) we give the normalized distribution of $D_{4000}$ without defining composites as a separate class. Rather, we use our Seyfert-LINER classification line to divide the composite galaxies into $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}+$ LINER and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}+$ Seyfert classes. We include Hit LINER and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}+$ Seyfert in the LINER and Seyfert classes, respectively. Only pure star-forming galaxies classified according


Figure 11. (a) The distribution of $D_{4000}$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like }}$ galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) The distribution of $D_{4000}$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and Hir-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs and Seyferts include LINER + H II and Seyfert + H il composites. Only pure star-forming galaxies classified according to the Ka03 classification line (equation 1) and LINER/Seyfert ambiguous galaxies are excluded. (c) $D_{4000}$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke 01 line. (d) The median $D_{4000}$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line, including Seyfert+ $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {it }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.
to the Ka03 classification line (equation 1) and LINER/Seyfert ambiguous galaxies are excluded. Comparison of panels (a) and (b) shows that while the difference between Seyferts and LINERs is somewhat reduced if the composites are included, there is still a very clear age offset between the two classes.

In Fig. 11(c), we show how the stellar population evolves as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for Seyferts, composites, LINERs, and ambiguous galaxies. Fig. 11(d) gives the median $D_{4000}$ at each 0.1 interval of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. Composites are included in the LINER and Seyfert classes as in Fig. 11(b). Pure LINERs and Seyferts correspond roughly to a $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ of 0.5 . The correlation coefficients and corresponding significance of the correlation are given in Table 1. Clearly, $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ and $D_{4000}$ are strongly correlated for both LINERs and Seyferts. Both types of objects have young stellar populations typical of active star-forming galaxies at low $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$, by definition. Both Seyfert and LINER hosts have older stellar populations at large $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. LINER hosts have an older stellar population than Seyferts have at all but the smallest $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. Fig. 12 shows that a similar trend holds for $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$.

### 5.2 Stellar mass

The SDSS stellar masses were derived by Kauffmann et al. (2003b), and Gallazzi et al. (2005) using a combination of $z$-band luminosities and Monte Carlo stellar population synthesis fits to $D_{4000}$ and $\mathrm{H} \delta$. The model fits provide powerful constraints on the star formation

Table 1. Correlation coefficients.

| Figure | $x$-axis | $y$-axis | Correlation coefficient ${ }^{a}$ | Probability ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (per cent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Seyfert-to-LINER ratio | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | -0.36 | 31 |
| 11c (LINERs) | $D_{4000}$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.74 | $0.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 11c (Seyferts) | $D_{4000}$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.45 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 12c (LINERs) | $\mathrm{H} \delta_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | -0.67 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 12c (Seyferts) | $\mathrm{H} \delta_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | -0.32 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 13c (LINERs) | $\log \left(M_{*}\right)$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.25 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 13c (Seyferts) | $\log \left(M_{*}\right)$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.11 | $1 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 14c (LINERs) | $\log (M / L)$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.10 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 14c (Seyferts) | $\log (M / L)$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.12 | $1 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 15c (LINERs) | $\sigma_{*}$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.45 | $0.0^{\text {c }}$ |
| 15c (Seyferts) | $\sigma_{*}$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.20 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 16c (LINERs) | $\log \left[L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right]\right)\right]$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | -0.13 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 16c (Seyferts) | $\log \left[L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right]\right)\right]$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.38 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 17c (LINERs) | $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | -0.48 | 24 |
| 17c (Seyferts) | $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | -0.23 | 24 |
| 20c (LINERs) | $\log \left[L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right]\right) / \sigma^{4}\right]$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | $-0.50$ | $0.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 20c (Seyferts) | $\log \left[L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right]\right) \sigma^{4}\right]$ | $d_{\text {SF }}$ | 0.07 | $6 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 19a | $\phi$ | $\log \left[L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]\right) / \sigma^{4}\right]$ | 0.51 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19b | $\phi$ | $R_{90} / R_{50}$ | -0.27 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19c | $\phi$ | $\mu$ | -0.28 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19d | $\phi$ | $\sigma$ | -0.33 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19e | $\phi$ | $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ | 0.28 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19 f | $\phi$ | $\log (M / L)$ | -0.21 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19 g | $\phi$ | $\log \left(M_{*}\right)$ | -0.30 | $0.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 19h | $\phi$ | $D_{4000}$ | -0.46 | $0.0^{c}$ |
| 19i | $\phi$ | $D_{4000}$ | 0.37 | $0.0^{c}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Spearman-Rank correlation coefficient. ${ }^{b}$ Probability of obtaining the correlation coefficient value by chance. ${ }^{c}$ Probability of obtaining the correlation coefficient value by chance is formally zero ( $1 \times 10^{-29}$ per cent $)$.
history and metallicity of each galaxy, thus providing a more reliable indicator of mass than assuming a simple $M / L$. Drory et al. (2005) compared $\sim 17000$ SDSS spectroscopically derived masses with (i) masses derived from population synthesis fits to the broadband SDSS and Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS) colours, and (ii) masses calculated from SDSS velocity dispersions and effective radii. They concluded that the three methods for estimating mass agree to within $\sim 0.2$ dex over the $10^{8}-10^{12} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ range.

The stellar mass distribution of SDSS emission-line galaxies was studied previously by Ka03. They found a strong dependence between the fraction of emission-line galaxies containing AGN and stellar mass. Fig. 13(a) confirms this result. The stellar mass distribution of star-forming galaxies (green dot-dashed line) is shifted substantially towards lower masses compared to the mass distributions of composites, Seyferts, or LINERs. Fig. 13(a) shows that the stellar mass of LINER galaxies is slightly higher than that of Seyfert galaxies. Composite objects have a very similar mass distribution to Seyfert galaxies but this may be a coincidence; only a small fraction (14 per cent) of composites lie above the Seyfert-LINER dividing line on both $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagrams. The majority of composites ( 67 per cent) lie on the H II-LINER sequence and therefore these galaxies may simply have stellar masses intermediate between $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies and LINERs. The median stellar mass of }}$ the composite galaxies $\left[\log \left(M_{*}\right)=10.54\right]$ is within 0.02 dex of the average of the median stellar mass for H II-region-like and LINER classes (10.52).

Fig. 13(d) shows that the stellar mass is roughly constant as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. There is only a small correlation between star formation distance and stellar mass for Seyferts and a stronger correlation for LINERs (Table 1).

### 5.3 Mass-to-light ratio

To investigate how the mass of galaxies at a given observed luminosity changes between the different spectral types, we use the median $z$-band $M / L s$. The $M / L$ of galaxies is influenced by the age of the stellar population and the attenuation by dust.

The SDSS $M / L s$ were derived by Kauffmann et al. (2003b) using Monte Carlo simulations of different star formation histories. They found that the $M / L$ correlates strongly with galaxy luminosity such that luminous galaxies have high $M / L s$, while faint galaxies have a wide range of $M / L s$. Kauffmann et al. (2003b) used stellar evolution models to predict how $M / L$ changes as a function of galaxy luminosity, and stellar population age. They showed that the majority of luminous galaxies have $M / L s$ consistent with having formed stars at constant rate over a Hubble time, and that faint galaxies have formed most of their stars closer to the present. This effect is reflected in Fig. 14(a) where we plot the normalized distribution of $M / L$ for star-forming galaxies, LINERs, Seyferts and composites. Seyferts, LINERs and composites have similar $M / L$ distributions, with LINERs having only slightly larger $M / L s$ on average than Seyferts or composites have. The median $M / L$ is 0.21 dex for LINERs, 0.18 dex for Seyferts and 0.16 dex for composites (the standard error of the median is small; 0.002-0.004 dex). The $M / L$ range of H II-region-like galaxies is substantially lower than that of the other galaxy types, including composites. The M/L range of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies is consistent with their having }}$ present star formation and substantial dust as expected. In H II-region-like galaxies, the $M / L$ is correlated with metallicity. The relatively high $M / L$ of composites may therefore result from their metalrich stellar population. The $M / L$ does not change as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ (Fig. 14d).


Figure 12. (a) The distribution of $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and H II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) The distribution of $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and H iI-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs and Seyferts include LINER+H II and Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ composites. (c) $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line. (d) The median $\mathrm{H} \delta_{A}$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line, including Seyfert+H II and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.

### 5.4 Stellar velocity dispersion

The stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma$ in a galaxy containing an AGN is related to the black hole mass (Tremaine et al. 2002). Fig. 15(a) shows the $\sigma$ distribution for each of the galaxy types and the relationship between $\sigma$ and $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. LINERs have a broader distribution of $\sigma_{*}$ than Seyferts or composites have. When composite LINER + H II and Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies are included in the LINER and Seyfert classes (Fig. 15b), it is clear that the $\sigma_{*}$ distribution for LINERs has a larger tail towards high $\sigma_{*}$ values.

Fig. 15(d) also shows that $\sigma_{*}$ is roughly constant with $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for Seyfert galaxies, with only a slight increase in $\sigma_{*}\left(\sim 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, indicating that black hole mass is relatively constant along the Seyfert branch but that black hole mass may be somewhat larger at high $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. We show that $\sigma_{*}$ is strongly correlated with the optical line ratios, or $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for pure LINERs.

## 5.5 [O III] luminosity

In Fig. 16(a), we show the extinction-corrected [O III] luminosity distribution for each spectral class. LINERs have a lower median [O III] luminosity than any of the other spectral types have; med[log $\left.L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]\right)\right]=6.1 \mathrm{~L}_{\odot}$ for LINERs and $\operatorname{med}\left[\log L\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]\right)\right]=6.3,6.5$ and $7.3 \mathrm{~L}_{\odot}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies, composites and Seyferts, }}$ respectively. Seyferts have substantially larger $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ than the other spectral classes have, from the hard ionizing continuum produced by their AGN. Composite galaxies have a slightly higher median $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ than $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies have, and substantially higher }}$ median $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ than LINERs have. As discussed in Section 5.2, the majority of composites lie on the LINER branch, but 15 per cent lie


Figure 13. (a) The distribution of the logarithm of the stellar mass $\left(M_{*}\right)$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) The }}$ distribution of $M_{*}$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs and }}$ Seyferts include LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ composites. (c) $M_{*}$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line. (d) The median $M_{*}$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke 01 line, including Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.
on the Seyfert branch. The large $L[\mathrm{O}$ III] luminosity of these Seyferts raises the median $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}]$ for composites.

The median [O III] luminosity rises as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for Seyferts. The rise in $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ implies that the ionizing radiation field is harder for Seyferts with high $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$. Heckman et al. (2005) found that the $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}]$ and hard X-ray ( $3-20 \mathrm{keV}$ ) luminosities of AGN are correlated over four orders of magnitude.

In LINERs, the median [O III] luminosity falls as a function of $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ until $d_{\mathrm{SF}} \sim 0.6$ after which it rises slowly. The drop in $L[\mathrm{O}$ III $]$ between $d_{\mathrm{SF}}=0$ and 0.6 occurs where H II + LINER composites are included in the LINER class. The star formation in these H II + LINER composites is contributing to the [O III] emission until $d_{\mathrm{SF}}=0.6$.

### 5.6 Extinction

In Fig. 17, we plot the Balmer decrement for our sample. The median Balmer decrement of LINERs is significantly smaller than that of Seyferts, H iI-region-like galaxies and composites. A substantial fraction ( 45 per cent) of LINERs have Balmer decrements even less than the theoretical AGN value of 3.1, and 33 per cent of LINERs have Balmer decrements less than the theoretical value for galaxies dominated by star formation (2.86). As discussed in Section 2, a Balmer decrement less than the theoretical value can result from an intrinsically low reddening combined with errors in the stellar absorption correction and/or errors in the line flux calibration and measurement.

On the other hand, Balmer decrements lower than the theoretical value can indicate a higher nebular temperature (Osterbrock 1989).


Figure 14. (a) The distribution of the logarithm of the stellar $M / L$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and Hir-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) The distribution of $M / L$ for LINERs (red-dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs and }}$ Seyferts include LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ composites. (c) $M / L$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line. (d) The median M/L for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line, including Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.

LINER spectra have strong stellar absorption and errors in the absorption correction could bias the Balmer decrement for LINERs, particularly in spectra with low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$. We note that many LINERs in our sample have low $\mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Ns} ; 11$ per cent of Seyferts have $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H} \beta)<8$, whereas 70 per cent of LINERs have $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H} \beta)<$ 8. This low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ may cause the low Balmer decrement in some or perhaps most LINERs but it cannot account for the difference for the entire LINER sample because LINERs with high $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H} \beta)>8$ have a similar distribution of Balmer decrements to those with lower S/Ns (Fig. 18). Ho, Filippenko \& Sargent (2003) found a similar result for the central few hundred parsecs of Seyferts and LINERs and they suggested that Seyfert galaxies contain nuclear regions that are more gas rich (and dusty) than LINER galaxies. Our results confirm this conclusion.

The Balmer decrement is not strongly correlated with distance from the star-forming sequence for pure ( $d_{\mathrm{SF}} \gtrsim 0.6$ ) LINERs (Fig. $17 \mathrm{~d} ; r=0.04$ ), but it is mildly correlated with $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for pure Seyferts $(r=-0.17$ with a formally zero probability of obtaining this value by chance) in the sense that Seyferts at large distances from the star-forming sequence contain $\Delta A_{V} \sim 0.1$ dex less dust on average than Seyferts that are close to the star-forming sequence.

### 5.7 Host properties across the Seyfert-LINER transition region

Given that many of the the host properties of Seyferts and LINERs are substantially different $\left(D_{4000}, \mathrm{H} \delta, L[\mathrm{O}\right.$ III] , etc. $)$, it is important to understand whether this difference is a truly bimodal distribution or whether the host properties vary smoothly across the Seyfert-


Figure 15. (a) The distribution of the logarithm of the stellar velocity dispersion $(\sigma)$ for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) }}$ The distribution of $\sigma$ for LINERs (red-dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs }}$ and Seyferts include LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ composites. (c) $\sigma$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line. (d) The median $\sigma$ for Seyferts (black) and LINERs (red) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line, including Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.

LINER dividing line. We define $\phi$ as the angle to the $x$-axis in the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagram centred on the blue base point in Fig. 2. Therefore, LINERs have small $\phi$, whereas Seyferts have large $\phi$. Fig. 19 shows how the host properties of AGN change across the Seyfert-LINER boundary (red dashed lines). The host properties clearly do not form a bimodal distribution; they form a smooth sequence from Seyfert values to LINER values. The correlation coefficients and significance for each panel are given in Table 1. The $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ (a proxy for the Eddington ratio) correlates most strongly with $\phi$. We will discuss $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ as a function of host properties in the following section.

## 6 HOST PROPERTIES AS A FUNCTION OF $L / L_{\text {EDD }}$

The accretion rate on the black hole is proportional to the bolometric luminosity of the AGN. The stellar velocity dispersion can be used as an estimate of the black hole mass (Tremaine et al. 2002). In Type I Seyferts and quasars, the intrinsic [O III] luminosity scales with the AGN bolometric luminosity (see Heckman et al. 2004, for a more detailed discussion). It follows that the quantity $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$ is proportional to $L / L_{\mathrm{EDD}}$.

In Fig. 20, we show that $L[\mathrm{OIII}] / \sigma^{4}$ does not change as a function of distance from the star-forming sequence for Seyfert galaxies. The $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ ratio of LINERs is influenced by star formation (in $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ ) for $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ between 0 and 0.6 , as in Fig. 16. Pure Seyferts and LINERs ( $d_{\mathrm{SF}} \gtrsim 0.6$ ) have substantially different values of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$. Fig. 20(d) indicates that $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$ is roughly


Figure 16. (a) The distribution of the logarithm of the extinction-corrected [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ ] luminosity ( $\mathrm{L}_{\odot}$ ) for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and H II-region-like galaxies (green dotdashed line). (b) The distribution of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ for LINERs (red-dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and Hir-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs and Seyferts include LINER+H II and Seyfert+HiI composites. (c) $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right]$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke 01 line. (d) The median $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right]$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke 01 line, including Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.
constant with $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for Seyferts. Both $L[\mathrm{O}$ III $]$ and $\sigma$ (black hole mass) rise with $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ for Seyferts, resulting in a constant $L[\mathrm{O}$ III $] / \sigma^{4}$. The rise in $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ and $\sigma$ with $d_{\mathrm{SF}}$ probably reflects the trend that older, more-massive galaxies contain larger black holes.

We show how the host galaxy properties of LINERs, Seyferts and composites vary as a function of $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$ in Fig. 21. Remarkably, the relationship between $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$ and all of the host properties for both Seyferts and LINERs forms one smooth sequence. For $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ values exhibited by both Seyferts and LINERs $(-1.5<$ $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}<-0.5$ ), the median of the Seyfert and LINER distributions is almost identical for every host property. This result implies that (1) most (if not all) LINERs in our sample are AGN, and that (2) $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$ is the major fundamental property that divides Seyferts from LINERs and that host property differences are the secondary. For example, LINERs are found in larger, older galaxies than Seyferts. Fig. 21 shows that the black hole accretion rates are lower in larger, older galaxies and that mass and age do not need to be physically linked to the differences in the LINER and Seyfert emission-line spectra.

We check whether a similar effect occurs as a function of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ in Fig. 22. All of the relations between host properties and $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ degrade when $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ is used in place of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$, confirming that $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ is the major property separating Seyferts from LINERs.

## 7 DISCUSSION

Previous work has shown that almost all normal galaxies contain black holes and black holes appear to evolve with their host galax-


Figure 17. (a) The distribution of the logarithm of the Balmer decrement ( $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ ) for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and Hir-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) The distribution of $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ for LINERs (red-dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and H iI-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where LINERs and Seyferts include LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and Seyfert+H if composites. (c) $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ for a uniform random sampling of the Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke 01 line. (d) The median $\mathrm{H} \alpha / \mathrm{H} \beta$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line, including Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.


Figure 18. The Balmer decrement distribution for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II- }}$ region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H} \beta)>8$. Even LINERs with high $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ have lower Balmer decrements than the other spectral types have.
ies (Ferrarese \& Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000). In Section 6, we showed that although Seyferts and LINERs display different host properties, these differences are largely resolved when the host properties are considered as a function of $L[\mathrm{OIII}] / \sigma^{4}$. Thus the strongest difference between Seyferts and LINERs is $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$, or Eddington ratio. Remarkably, Fig. 21 implies that if the Eddington


Figure 19. The host galaxy properties as a function of angle $\phi$ from the $x$-axis in the $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram. Galaxy properties plotted are: (a) $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$, (b) concentration, (c) surface mass density $\mu$, (d) stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma$, (e) Balmer decrement, (f) $M / L$, (g) stellar mass, (h) the $D_{4000}$ index, and (i) the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ index. The Seyfert-LINER boundary is shown as the red dashed lines. Seyferts lie to the right-hand side of the boundary, whereas LINERs lie to the left-hand side of the boundary.
ratio of an AGN is known, then its spectral class and broad host galaxy properties can be deduced.

In Fig. 19, we show that the transition in Eddington ratio from Seyferts (high) to LINERs (low) is smooth and that the correlation between classification angle and $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ is stronger than that for any of the other host galaxy properties. This Seyfert-LINER transition may be analogous to the transition between high-state and low-state black hole accretion in X-ray binary systems (e.g. Nowak 1995, and references therein) as suggested by Ho (2005). In X-ray binaries, the transition from high to low states is believed


Figure 20. (a) The distribution of the logarithm of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IIII}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ (corrected for extinction) for LINERs (red dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), composites (blue dotted line) and H II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line). (b) The distribution of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ for LINERs (red-dashed line), Seyferts (black solid line), and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II-region-like galaxies (green dot-dashed line) where }}$ LINERs and Seyferts include LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ and Seyfert $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ composites. (c) $L[\mathrm{O}$ III $] / \sigma^{4}$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line), composites (blue line) and ambiguous galaxies (purple line) as a function of distance from the Ke 01 line. (d) $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ for Seyferts (black line) and LINERs (red line) as a function of distance from the Ke01 line, including Seyfert+H II and LINER $+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ galaxies. A distance of 1.0 indicates that the optical line ratios are dominated by ionizing radiation from the Seyfert or LINER nucleus.
to correspond to decreasing mass-accretion rates and, therefore, the primary parameter in many X-ray binary models is the Eddington ratio (e.g. Shakura \& Sunyaev 1973; Chen et al. 1995). Theory suggests that an unstable accretion disc may switch between high and low states (Abramowicz et al. 1988; Narayan \& Popham 1993; Narayan \& Yi 1994, 1995). In this scenario, the energy released by viscous processes in the high state is radiated and the gas is relatively cool. In the low state, the viscously released energy may be advected with the gas and radiates inefficiently. This advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF) is stable to thermal and viscous instabilities (Abramowicz et al. 1995; Narayan \& Yi 1995). Because the gas loses little energy through radiation in ADAF models, the gas is extremely hot and the weak spectrum produced is harder than that produced in the high state (e.g. Esin, McClintock \& Narayan 1997). Alternative scenarios to ADAF models can also produce a weak hard spectrum (e.g. Meyer, Liu \& Meyer-Hofmeister 2000; Ferreira et al. 2006). Our observed transition between Seyfert and LINERs occurs at $L[\mathrm{OIII}] / \sigma^{4} \sim 0.10$. Assuming a bolometric correction of $L_{\mathrm{BOL}}=3500 L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Heckman et al. 2004) where $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the observed [O III] luminosity, and assuming a mean extinction of $E(B-V)=0.3$, our Seyfert-LINER transition corresponds to an Eddington ratio of $L / L_{\mathrm{EDD}} \sim 0.05$. This transition, $L / L_{\mathrm{EDD}}$, is within the range of the observed (and theoretical) transition in X-ray binaries; $0.01<L / L_{\text {EDD }}<0.1$ (e.g. Nowak 1995; Narayan 1996; Esin, McClintock \& Narayan 1997; Barret et al. 2000; Ferreira et al. 2006, and references therein).

We investigate the broad, qualitative differences in radiation field between Seyferts and LINERs using the theoretical AGN


Figure 21. $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ versus (a) concentration, (b) surface mass density $\mu$, (c) stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma$, (d) Balmer decrement, (e) $M / L$, (f) stellar mass, (g) the $D_{4000}$ index, and (h) H $\delta$ for LINERs (red line), Seyferts (black line), and composites (blue line). The right-hand panel shows the median values of each host galaxy property for small bins ( 0.4 dex) of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$. Only bins containing more than 150 data points are included.
photoionization models from mapPings iil (Groves, Dopita \& Sutherland 2004a,b). We calculate a simple grid of photoionziation models using four power-law indices ( $\alpha=-1.2,-1.4,-1.7,-2.0$ ) and four ionization parameters $[\log (U)=0,-1.0,-2.0,-3.0]$. The mappings models are self-consistent and include detailed dust physics and radiation pressure. We use a metallicity of $2 \mathrm{Z}_{\odot}$ and a hydrogen density of $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.

Fig. 23 shows the position of our AGN relative to the Seyfert and LINER branches on the [ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}\right]$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram. The Seyfert branch can be reproduced by an ionizing radiation field with power-law index $\alpha=-1.4$ to -1.8 and a high-ionization parameter $[\log (U)=-2.5$ to -1.0$]$. The LINER branch spans a larger range of power-law indices, requiring a harder radiation field than required by Seyferts $(\alpha<-1.4)$ at $\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right) \geqslant-0.6$. The LINER branch requires a much lower ionization parameter than the Seyfert branch; the ionization parameter for $\operatorname{LINERs}[\log (U)=-3]$ is up to an order of magnitude lower than the ionization parameter typical for Seyferts $[\log (U)=-2$ to -2.5$]$. Fig. 24 confirms this result and separates ionization parameter from power-law index over a broader range of ionization parameters.

Figs 23 and 24 indicate that the hardness of the ionizing radiation field increases as a function of distance from the star-forming sequence. We know that Seyferts contain a young intermediate-age stellar population (Figs 11 and 12) and that both Seyfert-H II and


Figure 22. $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ versus (a) concentration, (b) surface mass density $\mu$, (c) stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma$, (d) Balmer decrement, (e) $M / L$, (f) stellar mass, (g) the $D_{4000}$ index, and (h) H $\delta$ for LINERs (red line), Seyferts (black line), and composites (blue line). The right-hand panel shows the median values of each host galaxy property for small bins ( 0.4 dex) of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$. Only bins containing more than 150 data points are included.

LINER-H if composites exist. Given these results, one would expect that the stellar emission would be overwhelmed by the luminous Seyfert emission in Seyfert-H II composites but that stellar emission could dominate in many LINER-H if composites. In this case, there should be many more LINER-H if composites than SeyfertH il composites. The fact that the Seyfert to LINER ratio is independent of $d_{\text {SF }}$ (Fig. 10) implies that star formation and AGN are coupled in Seyfert galaxies such that more powerful AGN also have stronger star formation. Further theoretical and observational works are required to confirm this connection between star formation and Seyfert emission.

## 8 CONCLUSIONS

We have analysed the emission-line and host properties of 85224 galaxies from the SDSS. We show that Seyferts and LINERs form two separate branches on the standard optical diagnostic diagrams. We present a new optical classification scheme that successfully separates purely star-forming galaxies, Seyferts, LINERs, and composite AGN+star-forming galaxies. We show that $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}\right]$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram easily discriminates between Seyferts, LINERs and galaxies dominated by star formation (including composites). We use our new classification scheme to investigate the host properties of AGN galaxies as a function of distance from the star-forming sequence. We find the following.


Figure 23. The $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram showing the SDSS galaxies (black points) and our theoretical dusty radiation pressure dominated AGN models. These models were calculated assuming a metallicity of $2 \mathrm{Z}_{\odot}$ and a hydrogen density of $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The model grids are given for four ionization parameters $[\log (U)=0,-1,-2,-3]$ and four power-law indices $(\alpha=-1.2,-1.4,-1.7,-2.0)$ as marked. The radiation field in LINERs requires a lower ionization parameter than that in Seyfert galaxies, and LINERs with strong $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ require a harder radiation field than required by Seyferts.


Figure 24. The $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}\right]$ versus $\left[\mathrm{O}_{I}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ diagnostic diagram showing the SDSS galaxies (black points) and our theoretical dusty radiation pressure dominated AGN models. These models were calculated assuming a metallicity of $2 \mathrm{Z}_{\odot}$ and a hydrogen density of $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The model grids are given for four ionization parameters $[\log (U)=0,-1,-2,-3]$ and four power-law indices $(\alpha=-1.2,-1.4,-1.7,-2.0)$ as marked. The radiation field in LINERs requires a lower ionization parameter than that in Seyfert galaxies, and LINERs with strong $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ require a harder radiation field than required by Seyferts.
(i) The ratio of Seyferts to LINERs is invariant with distance from the star-forming sequence. This result implies that star formation and AGN power are coupled.
(ii) The stellar populations of Seyferts and LINERs age with distance from the star-forming sequence. LINERs have a substantially older stellar population than the other spectral types have. The youngest stellar population in LINERs has $D_{4000}$ and $\mathrm{H} \delta$ values consistent with the oldest stellar population in Seyferts on average.
(iii) The stellar mass and $M / L s$ of Seyferts and LINERs are not strongly correlated with distance from the star-forming sequence. However, LINERs have slightly higher stellar masses and $M / L s$ on average than Seyferts or composite objects have.

We use $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ as an indicator of the accretion rate in Eddington units. We compare the host properties of Seyfert and LINER galaxies as a function of $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right]$ and $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$. We show the following.
(i) The strongest difference between Seyfert and LINER galaxies is $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$.
(ii) When the host properties of Seyferts and LINERs are considered in terms of $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}] / \sigma^{4}$, the differences in host properties are resolved and the host properties of Seyferts and LINERs form a smooth sequence with $L[\mathrm{OIII}] / \sigma^{4}$.
(iii) When the host properties of Seyferts and LINERs are considered in terms of $L[\mathrm{O} \mathrm{III}]$, the smooth sequence seen with $L\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \sigma^{4}$ disappears.

These results indicate that most (if not all) LINERs contain AGN, and that the major fundamental difference between Seyferts and LINERs is accretion rate. We use theoretical AGN photoionization models to show that the LINER branch requires a lower ionization parameter (up to an order of magnitude) than required by the Seyfert branch, and that strong LINERs $\left[\log \left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)>-1.6\right]$ require a harder ionizing radiation field than required by galaxies on the Seyfert branch. These results suggest that the transition between Seyferts and LINERs is analogous to the high- and low-state transitions in X-ray binaries.
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