

Ponikowski, P. et al. (2015) The impact of intravenous ferric carboxymaltose on renal function: an analysis of the FAIR-HF study. European Journal of Heart Failure, 17(3), pp. 329-339.

Copyright © 2015 The Authors

This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

Version: Published

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/105052

Deposited on: 20 April 2015

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow_ http://eprints.gla.ac.uk



The impact of intravenous ferric carboxymaltose on renal function: an analysis of the FAIR-HF study

Piotr Ponikowski¹*, Gerasimos Filippatos², Josep Comin Colet^{3,4}, Ronnie Willenheimer^{5,6}, Kenneth Dickstein^{7,8}, Thomas Lüscher⁹, Giedrius Gaudesius¹⁰, Barbara von Eisenhart Rothe¹⁰, Claudio Mori¹⁰, Nicola Greenlaw¹¹, Ian Ford¹, Iain Macdougall¹², Stefan D. Anker¹³, and for the FAIR-HF Trial Investigators

¹Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland; ²Athens University Hospital Attikon, Athens, Greece; ³Hospital del Mar (Parc de Salut Mar), Barcelona, Spain; ⁴Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ⁵Heart Health Group, Malmö, Sweden; ⁶Lund University, Malmö, Sweden; ⁷Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway; ⁸University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; ⁹University Heart Center, Cardiology, University Hospital Zürich, Switzerland; ¹⁰Vifor Pharma Ltd, Glattbrugg, Switzerland; ¹¹University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK; ¹²King's College Hospital, London, UK; and ¹³Department of Innovative Clinical Trials, University Medical Center Göttingen (UMG), Göttingen, Germany

Received 14 March 2014; revised 22 November 2014; accepted 1 December 2014; online publish-ahead-of-print 11 February 2015

Aims	Anaemia and iron deficiency are constituents of the cardio-renal syndrome in chronic heart failure (CHF). We investigated the effects of i.v. iron in iron-deficient CHF patients on renal function, and the efficacy and safety of this therapy in patients with renal dysfunction.
Methods and results	The FAIR-HF trial randomized 459 CHF patients with iron deficiency (ferritin <100 µg/L, or between 100 and 299 µg/L if transferrin saturation was <20%): 304 to i.v. ferric carboxymaltose (FCM) and 155 to placebo, and followed-up for 24 weeks. Renal function was assessed at baseline and at weeks 4, 12, and 24, using the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²), calculated from the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD–EPI) formula. At baseline, renal function was similar between groups (62.4 ± 20.6 vs. 62.9 ± 23.4 mL/min/1.73 m ² , FCM vs. placebo). Compared with placebo, treatment with FCM was associated with an increase in eGFR [treatment effect: week 4, 2.11 ± 1.21 ($P = 0.082$); week 12, 2.41 ± 1.33 ($P = 0.070$); and week 24, 2.98 ± 1.44 mL/min/1.73 m ² ($P = 0.039$)]. This effect was seen in all pre-specified subgroups ($P > 0.20$ for interactions). No interaction between the favourable effects of FCM and baseline renal function was seen for the primary endpoints [improvement in Patient Global Assessment ($P = 0.43$) and NYHA class ($P = 0.37$) at 24 weeks]. Safety and adverse event profiles were similar in patients with baseline eGFR <60 and \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m ² .
Conclusions	Treatment of iron deficiency in CHF patients with i.v. FCM was associated with an improvement in renal function. FCM therapy was effective and safe in CHF patients with renal dysfunction.
Keywords	Chronic heart failure Iron deficiency Renal function Ferric carboxymaltose

Introduction

Renal dysfunction often complicates the natural course of chronic heart failure (CHF), and cardio-renal interactions are involved in the pathophysiology of CHF syndrome.^{1,2} However, there is

no established evidence-based therapy for CHF patients with cardio-renal syndrome. $\!\!^3$

Anaemia and iron deficiency (ID) constitute an important part of the pathophysiology of cardio-renal syndrome.^{4,5} In anaemic patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), iron repletion

*Corresponding author: Department of Heart Diseases, Medical University, Clinical Military Hospital, 53-114 Wrocław, Weigla 5, Poland. Tel: +48 71 7660237, Fax: +48 71 7660228, Email: piotrponikowski@4wsk.pl

© 2015 The Authors. *European Journal of Heart Failure* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society of Cardiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. is an established part of disease management, resulting in increased haemoglobin levels, decreased concomitant therapy with erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, and stabilization of renal function.^{6,7} It has also been demonstrated that CHF patients with anaemia and renal dysfunction may benefit from i.v. iron therapy with subsequent improvement in renal function.⁸

The importance of ID in CHF has only recently drawn clinical attention.^{9,10} ID is prevalent in CHF, may be present independently of anaemia, and predicts poor outcome, reduced exercise capacity, and impaired quality of life.^{11–15} I.v. iron supplementation in CHF patients with ID was proven to be well tolerated, resulting in favourable effects on symptoms, functional status, and quality of life.^{8,16–18}

Iron is a metabolically active micronutrient involved in numerous biological processes, with a key role in oxygen transportation and storage, oxidative metabolism, and synthesis and degradation of lipids, carbohydrates, DNA, and RNA.^{19,20} Preserved iron metabolism is particularly important for cells and organs with high energy demand (e.g. skeletal muscle, kidney, myocardium).^{19–21} Thus, it can be hypothesized that iron repletion may favourably affect the function of these organs, in particular kidney function. On the other hand, there is concern that treatment with i.v. iron may promote oxidative stress and the proinflammatory response, leading to renal injury.²²

To address these controversies, we assessed the effect of i.v. iron [with ferric carboxymaltose (FCM)] on renal function in CHF patients participating in the FAIR-HF (Ferinject[®] Assessment in patients with IRon deficiency and chronic Heart Failure) study.¹⁶ Additionally, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of i.v. iron in CHF patients with renal dysfunction.

Methods

Study design

The design, conduct, and principal results of the FAIR-HF study have been reported elsewhere.^{16,23} The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol was approved by local ethics committees in all centres. In summary, FAIR-HF was a multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study which recruited 459 ambulatory patients with CHF in NYHA class II or III, with LVEF \leq 40% (NYHA II) or \leq 45% (NYHA III), a screening haemoglobin between 95 and 135 g/L, and ID defined on the basis of laboratory assessments when the screening serum ferritin level was $<100 \,\mu g/L$, or between 100 and 299 µg/L when transferrin saturation (TSAT) was <20%. The total iron dose required for iron repletion was calculated at baseline using the Ganzoni formula,²⁴ and patients were randomized in a 2:1 ratio to receive FCM (Ferinject[®], Vifor Pharma, Switzerland; 200 mg iron) or saline i.v. weekly until iron repletion (correction phase), then 4 weekly until week 24 (maintenance phase). Regular assessments were performed at weeks 4, 12, and 24, and a follow-up visit was completed at week 26 (or within 2 weeks after the last treatment).²³

Renal function assessment

The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was the primary index of renal function. The Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD–EPI) formula²⁵ was used to calculate the eGFR. Renal function was evaluated at baseline and at weeks 4,

12, and 24. Serum creatinine assessments used for eGFR calculation were performed at a central laboratory and was standardized using a Roche Alkaline picrate rate blanked and compensated methodology. The instrument platform used in the study was from Roche.

Patients were categorized on the basis of their baseline eGFR according to the cut-off values used by the National Kidney Foundation for the classification of chronic renal disease:²⁶ preserved renal function (eGRF \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) and impaired renal function (<60 mL/min/1.73 m²).

There was no upper serum creatinine level considered as an exclusion criterion for the study. The primary outcome of this analysis was the change in eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) between baseline and weeks 4, 12, and 24. This was a prospectively planned safety endpoint of the trial.²³ Originally, the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula²⁷ was planned to be used for eGFR calculation. In this analysis, however, we applied the CKD-EPI formula to calculate eGFR as this formula is more robust and currently recommended, particularly in patients with systolic CHF.^{28,29} The MDRD formula was used for sensitivity analysis. Additionally, we analyzed three categorical changes in eGFR at week 24 defined as: no effect on renal function (change in eGFR from baseline between -3 and +3 mL/min/1.73 m²), improvement (increase in eGFR from baseline $>3 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$), and deterioration in renal function (decrease in eGFR from baseline >3 mL/min/1.73 m²). This outcome was defined retrospectively. For sensitivity analysis, we also used a relative cut-off level of 5% change from baseline eGFR

Statistical analysis

Continuous data were described using mean and standard deviation, or median with 25th and 75th percentiles, depending on the distribution of the data, and compared between those with or without impaired renal function using t-tests or Kruskal-Wallis tests, as appropriate. Categorical data are described using number (percentage) and are compared between the groups using χ^2 tests or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The primary outcome of interest as noted above was the change from baseline in eGFR at week 24. In addition, we were also interested in analyzing the original efficacy and safety endpoints of the trial according to the baseline renal function in the two eGFR subgroups (<60 and \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m²). The co-primary endpoints of the trial were the self-reported Patient Global Assessment (PGA) and NYHA class (adjusted for baseline class) at week 24.23 Secondary efficacy endpoints were the PGA and NYHA class at weeks 4 and 12, as well as the 6 min walk test distance, the overall Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score,³⁰ and the European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) visual analogue scale (VAS)³¹ at weeks 4, 12, and 24 (all adjusted for baseline values). For both the overall KCCQ and the EQ-5D VAS, the scores ranged from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better quality of life.^{30,31} Safety endpoints included any serious and non-serious adverse events, hospitalization, and death up to week $26.^{16,23}$

For the change in eGFR, comparisons of changes from baseline at 4, 12, and 24 weeks between the placebo and FCM group were evaluated by comparing the least square means at each visit from a repeated-measures model using an unstructured covariance structure and adjusting for the continuous baseline value and a visit \times drug interaction. Interaction tests for subgroup analysis for the primary outcome were performed by adding an interaction term between the pre-specified subgroup and treatment.

For the other continuous endpoints, similar repeated-measures analyses were performed in subgroups of patients with impaired and

330

preserved renal function, comparing the least square means between treatment groups at each visit and adjusting for the continuous baseline value as well as a visit × drug interaction. For categorical endpoints, including the original co-primary endpoints, differences in the distribution of responses to treatment at each of 4, 12, and 24 weeks in the two treatment groups were tested by ordered polytomous regression for impaired and preserved renal function patients separately.³² Odds ratios (ORs) for the treatment difference at each time point, 95% confidence intervals (Cls), and corresponding P-values are provided for each eGFR subgroup. Tests for interaction between impaired and preserved renal function patients were carried out in a joint model including all patients. For data on the NYHA class, the model was adjusted for the baseline value. Cox proportional hazard regression models for safety outcomes were used to compare the time to first event using the treatment received, estimating a hazard ratio (HR) for the FCM compared with placebo plus 95% Cls, and a corresponding P-value. Event rates using person-time 'at risk' denominators are also provided.

Differences between the percentages of patients withdrawing early from medication in each group were compared using Fisher's exact test. Deaths were analyzed separately. All analyses were conducted with SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the study population by baseline renal function

Baseline characteristics of the FAIR-HF study population have been previously reported.¹⁶ In brief, 459 patients were recruited of which 304 were randomly assigned to FCM and 155 to placebo. Baseline clinical and laboratory characteristics and the use of cardiac medications at the time of enrolment were similar between the two treatment groups.¹⁶

At baseline, mean eGFR was 62.9 ± 23.4 and 62.4 ± 20.6 mL/min/1.73 m² in the placebo and FCM groups, respectively; 130 (42.8%) patients in the FCM group and 73 (47.1%) in the placebo group, respectively, had impaired renal function, as evidenced by eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m².

Table 1 presents the baseline demographic and clinical characteristics by baseline renal function. Patients with impaired renal function tended to be older, with more symptomatic CHF (as evidenced by higher NYHA class) and more frequent cardiovascular risk factors (dyslipidaemia and diabetes mellitus), as well as a medical history of myocardial infarction and coronary revascularization. These patients were more frequently prescribed diuretics, antithrombotics, lipid-lowering drugs, and antidiabetic treatment. This group also had lower haemoglobin, and higher serum ferritin and potassium levels. Importantly, there was no significant difference in the use of beta-blockers and drugs acting on the renin–angiotensin system.

The impact of ferric carboxymaltose on renal function

In patients receiving FCM, a modest increase in eGFR from baseline was detected throughout the whole study period,

whereas patients in the placebo group demonstrated no significant changes in eGFR from baseline during the follow-up period (week 4, 2.61 ± 0.70 vs. 0.50 ± 0.99 mL/min/1.73 m²; week 12, 1.60 + 0.77 vs. -0.81 + 1.08 mL/min/1.73 m²; week 24, 2.64 ± 0.81 vs. -0.34 ± 1.1 mL/min/1.73 m²; FCM vs. placebo, respectively; least squares means \pm SEs are shown). Treatment with FCM was associated with a strong trend towards an increase in eGFR at week 4 and 12, and a significant increase at week 24 with the following treatment effects: at week 4, 2.11 ± 1.21 (P = 0.082); at week 12, 2.41 ± 1.33 (P = 0.070); and at week 24, $2.98 \pm 1.44 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ (P = 0.039) (Figure 1). This favourable effect was seen in all pre-specified subgroups (P > 0.20 for interaction including baseline renal function, age, sex, CHF severity and aetiology, LVEF, presence of anaemia, diabetes mellitus, baseline ferritin level, and body mass index) (Figure 2). In the sensitivity analysis using the MDRD formula to calculate eGFR, we obtained similar results. At week 4, treatment effect was 2.73 ± 1.444 (P = 0.059), at week 12, 2.82 ± 1.48 (P = 0.059), and at week 24, $3.91 \pm 1.65 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ (P = 0.019).

More patients in the FCM group demonstrated an improvement in renal function. An increase in eGFR >3 mL/min/1.73 m² at the week 24 visit was 45% vs. 35%, FCM vs. placebo, respectively (P=0.0012; *Figure 3*). In the sensitivity analysis using a relative cut-off level of 5% change from baseline eGFR value, at the week 24 visit deterioration in renal function (i.e. decline in eGFR >5% of baseline eGFR) was present in 27% vs. 43%, and improvement (i.e. increase in eGFR >5% of baseline eGFR) in 45% vs. 38%, whereas no change was present in 27% vs. 20% (FCM vs. placebo, respectively; P=0.02).

Efficacy of ferric carboxymaltose in relation to renal function

The original primary and secondary endpoints stratified by baseline eGFR (preserved and impaired renal function) are presented in *Figure 4*. There was no significant interaction between renal function and the effects of FCM on the primary and secondary endpoints.

Primary endpoints

At week 24, patients treated with FCM had improved NYHA class (adjusted for baseline) compared with those receiving placebo (OR 2.30, 95% Cl 1.23–4.28, P = 0.009; and OR 2.43, 95% Cl 1.30–4.55, P = 0.006, for patients with preserved and impaired renal function at baseline, respectively; *Figure 4A*). Self-reported PGA ranks were also better for patients receiving FCM vs. placebo (OR 2.41, 95% Cl 1.48–3.95, P < 0.001; and OR 2.51, 95% Cl 1.47–4.29, P < 0.001 for patients with preserved and impaired renal function at baseline, respectively; *Figure 4A*).

Secondary endpoints

Compared with placebo, FCM significantly improved self-reported PGA and NYHA class at weeks 4 and 12 in patients with preserved and impaired renal function (*Figure 4A*). Significant improvements

Table 1 Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics by renal function

	Patients with	Patients with	P-value	
	$eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2$	$eGFR \ge 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2$		
	(n = 203)	(<i>n</i> = 256)		
Age (years)	71.8 (9.17)	64.4 (10.55)	< 0.001	
Female sex, n (%)	102 (50.25)	142 (55.47)	0.265	
Caucasian, n (%)	202 (99.51)	256 (100.0)	0.261	
NYHA class, n (%)				
I	28 (13.79)	54 (21.09)	0.043	
III	175 (86.21)	202 (78.91)		
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	33.0 [30.00, 35.00]	33.0 [30.00, 35.00]	0.297	
Body weight (kg)	76.0 [65.20, 85.00]	76.0 [67.50, 88.25]	0.455	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.2 [25.40, 31.02]	27.5 [24.21, 31.25]	0.924	
Blood pressure (mmHg)				
Systolic	125.3 (15.80)	127.0 (13.80)	0.230	
Diastolic	75.04 (10.26)	77.7 (8.75)	0.004	
Pulse rate (b.p.m.)	70.0 [62.00, 78.00]	71.5 [64.00, 78.00]	0.096	
Six-minute walk test distance (m)	265.0 [184.00, 334.00]	282.0 [204.00, 357.00]	0.048	
Ischaemic cause of heart failure, n (%)	170 (83.74)	198 (77.34)	0.088	
Cardiovascular risk factor				
Hypertension (treated with drugs), n (%)	168 (82.76)	203 (79.30)	0.349	
Dyslipidaemia (treated with drugs), n (%)	104 (51.23)	110 (42.97)	0.078	
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	72 (35.47)	58 (22.66)	0.003	
History of atrial fibrillation, <i>n</i> (%)	66 (32.51)	72 (28.13)	0.309	
Medical history				
Myocardial infarction, n (%)	131 (64.53)	127 (49.61)	0.001	
Angina pectoris, <i>n</i> (%)	111 (54.68)	149 (58.20)	0.449	
Stroke, n (%)	18 (8.87)	15 (5.86)	0.215	
Previous CABG, n (%)	30 (14.78)	17 (6.64)	0.004	
Previous PTCA, n (%)	38 (18.72)	27 (10.55)	0.013	
Previous coronary revascularization, n (%)	59 (29.06)	36 (14.06)	<0.001	
Laboratory measurements				
Haemoglobin (g/L)	117.8 (12.36)	120.3 (13.38)	0.040	
Mean corpuscular volume (fL)	92.3 (7.19)	91.1 (7.94)	0.096	
Serum ferritin (µg/L)	44.0 [24.00, 77.00]	33.5 [16.00, 65.00]	<0.001	
Transferrin saturation (%)	15.6 [11.29, 21.37]	15.6 [9.93, 21.92]	0.513	
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	2.9 [2.90, 6.00]	2.9 [2.90, 5.00]	0.002	
Sodium (mmol/L)	141.0 [139.00, 142.00]	141.0 [139.00, 142.00]	0.253	
Potassium (mmol/L)	4.6 [4.30, 5.10]	4.5 [4.20, 4.80]	<0.001	
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)	17.0 [13.00, 20.00]	17.5 [14.00, 23.50]	0.030	
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)	20.0 [17.00, 25.00]	21.0 [18.00, 25.00]	0.296	
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.4 [1.15, 1.70]	0.9 [0.79, 1.01]	<0.001	
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	42.9 (12.35)	78.1 (12.82)	-	
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	29.0 [22.00, 40.00]	17.0 [14.00, 21.00]	<0.001	
Concomitant treatment				
Diuretic, n (%)	194 (95.57)	226 (88.28)	0.005	
Agents acting on the renin–angiotensin system, n (%)	182 (89.66)	240 (93.75)	0.110	
Beta-blocker, n (%)	170 (83.74)	221 (86.33)	0.439	
Cardiac glycosides, n (%)	30 (14.78)	41 (16.02)	0.716	
Antithrombotic (including ASA), <i>n</i> (%)	170 (83.74)	187 (73.05)	0.006	
Lipid-lowering, n (%)	116 (57.14)	98 (38.28)	< 0.001	
Insulin, n (%)	26 (12.81)	10 (3.91)	< 0.001	
Oral hypoglycaemic agents, n (%)	39 (19.21)	32 (12.50)	0.048	

Data reported are the number (percentage) of patients for categorical data and mean (SD) or median [lower quartile, upper quartile] for continuous variables depending on the distribution of the data.

P-values were obtained for continuous variables using either two-sample t-tests with Satterthwaite's assumed unequal variances or the Kruskal–Wallis test depending on the distribution of the data. For categorical variables, P-values were obtained using χ^2 tests.

ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty



Figure 1 Impact of i.v. ferric carboxymaltose (FCM) treatment on renal function vs. placebo. Values are expressed as least squares means \pm SE. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Endpoint (Week 24)	FCM	Placebo	Treatment Effect	Pinteraction
eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	7.07 ± 1.24	5.56 ± 1.74	H-0	0.07
eGFR \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	-0.94 ± 1.03	-5.07 ± 1.59		0.87
Hb ≤ 12g/dL	1.23 ± 1.49	-0.33 ± 1.56	⊢● −−−1	0.00
Hb > 12g/dL	3.04 ± 0.90	0.63 ± 1.55	⊢	0.32
Ferritin ≤ 39 µg/L	3.36 ± 1.07	-1.69 ± 1.67	⊢	0.50
Ferritin > 39 µg/L	1.87 ± 1.21	0.89 ± 1.69	H	0.52
Age ≤ 69.7 years	2.31 ± 1.25	-1.76 ± 1.89	⊢	
Age > 69.7 years	3.01 ± 1.01	1.01 ± 1.46	—	0.44
Male	2.46 ± 1.27	1.84 ± 1.99	H	
Female	2.79 ± 1.01	-1.83 ± 1.40	⊢	0.21
NYHA Class II	1.89 ± 1.56	-0.15 ± 2.36	H	
NYHA Class III	2.81 ± 0.92	-0.37 ± 1.36	I ⊢ ∎	0.69
LVEF ≤ 33%	3.20 ± 1.19	2.17 ± 1.96		
LVEF > 33%	2.06 ± 0.99	-2.83 ± 1.30		0.44
Non-ischaemic HF	3.30 ± 2.12	2.14 ± 3.03		1.000000
Ischaemic HF	2.50 ± 0.86	-0.96 ± 1.28	——— —————————————————————————————————	0.58
Non-diabetic	2.74 ± 0.95	-0.98 ± 1.33	⊢	
Diabetic	2.52 ± 1.51	1.77 ± 2.59		0.57
BMI ≤ 27.37 kg/m ²	2.83 ± 1.06	-0.84 ± 1.62	► ●	000000
	0 40 + 4 00	-0.05 ± 1.74		0.63

Figure 2 Treatment effect on renal function in pre-defined subgroups at week 24. Treatment effect was expressed as a continuous variable, being the least squares means change from baseline \pm 1 SE. BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Hb, haemoglobin; HF, heart failure.



Figure 3 Impact of i.v. ferric carboxymaltose (FCM) on renal function categorized by change in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; mL/min/1.73 m²) at week 24. Data were available for 347 patients with a change in eGFR at week 24 (106 patients in the placebo group and 241 patients in the FCM group).

were also seen in 6 min walk test distance and quality of life assessments by EQ-5D and KCCQ at weeks 4, 12, and 24 in patients with preserved and impaired renal function (*Figure 4B*).

Safety of ferric carboxymaltose in relation to renal function

Safety endpoints and main investigator-reported adverse events are listed in *Table 2*.

During the study period, there were 8 (3.9%) deaths in patients with impaired renal function (3 in the placebo group and 5 in the FCM group, P = 1.00), whereas only 1 (0.4%) patient with preserved renal function died (in the placebo group).

In patients with impaired renal function, the FCM group compared with the placebo group experienced a trend toward lower incidence rate of first events for hospitalizations [HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.30–1.31, P = 0.21], which mainly resulted from a significantly lower incidence of first cardiovascular hospitalization (HR 0.36, 95% CI 0.15–0.87, P = 0.02). In these patients, the HR (FCM compared with placebo) for the time to first event for either any death or cardiovascular hospitalization was 0.53 (95% CI 0.25–1.14, P = 0.11).

In patients with preserved renal function, the incidence rates of both first all-cause hospitalizations and first cardiovascular hospitalizations were similar between the treatment groups, P = 0.82 and P = 0.55, respectively.

Patients with impaired renal function who were administered FCM experienced fewer cardiac disorders compared with those receiving placebo (HR 0.44, 95% Cl 0.24–0.83, P = 0.01). In patients with preserved renal function, the rates of investigator-reported

cardiac adverse events were similar in both treatment groups (P = 0.47).

During the study period, study treatment was stopped prematurely in 12 (5.9%) patients with impaired renal function [7 (5.4%) in the FCM group and 5 (6.8%) in the placebo group, P = 0.76] and in 18 (7%) patients with preserved renal function [9 (5.2%) in the FCM group and 9 (10.7%) in the placebo group; P = 0.12].

Discussion

There are two novel findings of this further analysis of the FAIR-HF study. Treatment with FCM for 24 weeks in ID patients with CHF is associated with modest improvement in renal function as evidenced by an increase in eGFR. This favourable effect is seen across the whole clinical spectrum of the disease. Additionally, there was no interaction between baseline renal function and the clinical benefits of FCM, which suggests that FCM is equally effective in patients with preserved and impaired renal function. Importantly, the overall safety and adverse event profiles of FCM were similar in these two groups. Interestingly, in patients with renal dysfunction, those treated with FCM had fewer hospitalizations for any cardiovascular reason and experienced cardiac disorders less frequently.

Renal dysfunction is a frequent co-morbidity in CHF that exerts an adverse effect on the cardiovascular system leading to a progression of the disease, and impaired renal function constitutes one of the strongest predictors of poor clinical outcomes in CHF.^{1,2,33} Interestingly, virtually none of the currently applied CHF therapies efficiently prevent deterioration in renal function.^{3,34} It seems that renoprotection may constitute a desirable feature of novel



Figure 4 Primary (A) and secondary (B) endpoints of the FAIR-HF trials stratified by baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; mL/min/1.73 m²). FCM, ferric carboxymaltose.

Table 2 Safety endpoints and investigator-reported adverse events by baseline renal function

	Patients with eGFR <60 mL/min/ 1.73 m ² (n = 203) No. of patients with endpoint or event (incidence/100 patient-years at risk)			Patients with eGFR \geq 60 mL/ min/1.73 m ² (n = 256) No. of patients with endpoint or event (incidence/100 patient-years at risk)				
	Placebo (n = 73)	FCM (n = 130)	HR (95% CI)	P-value	Placebo (n = 81)	FCM (n = 175)	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Safety endpoints								
Death	3 (8.9)	5 (8.1)	0.91 (0.22-3.79)	0.89	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	-	_
Cardiovascular death	3 (8.9)	4 (6.5)	0.72 (0.16-3.24)	0.67	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	-	_
Death due to worsening CHF	3 (8.9)	0 (0.0)	-	_	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	_
First hospitalization	13 (42.9)	15 (25.9)	0.62 (0.30-1.31)	0.21	4 (10.4)	10 (12.0)	1.14 (0.36-3.65)	0.82
Hospitalization for any cardiovascular reason	12 (39.0)	8 (13.4)	0.36 (0.15-0.87)	0.02	2 (5.1)	7 (8.3)	1.62 (0.34-7.79)	0.55
First hospitalization for worsening CHF	6 (18.4)	4 (6.6)	0.36 (0.10-1.26)	0.11	1 (2.5)	2 (2.3)	0.92 (0.08-10.09)	0.94
Any hospitalization or death	14 (46.2)	20 (34.5)	0.76 (0.39-1.51)	0.44	5 (13.1)	10 (12.0)	0.92 (0.31-2.68)	0.87
Hospitalization for any cardiovascular reason or death	13 (42.2)	13 (21.8)	0.53 (0.25-1.14)	0.11	3 (7.7)	7 (8.3)	1.08 (0.28-4.18)	0.91
Hospitalization for worsening CHF or death	8 (24.6)	9 (14.8)	0.60 (0.23-1.56)	0.29	2 (5.1)	2 (2.3)	0.46 (0.06-3.27)	0.44
Investigator-reported adverse events	. ,	. ,	. ,		. ,		, ,	
Cardiac disorders	21 (72.8)	18 (31.5)	0.44 (0.24-0.83)	0.01	12 (32.5)	20 (24.9)	0.77 (0.38-1.57)	0.47
Gastrointestinal disorders	1 (3.0)	11 (18.8)	6.34 (0.82-49.14)	0.08	4 (10.4)	13 (15.6)	1.49 (0.49-4.58)	0.48
General disorders and administration site conditions	2 (6.0)	15 (25.8)	4.25 (0.97-18.58)	0.06	4 (10.3)	8 (9.5)	0.93 (0.28-3.08)	0.90
Infections and infestations	10 (32.0)	19 (33.7)	1.00 (0.46-2.16)	1.00	14 (39.2)	31 (39.4)	1.00 (0.53-1.88)	1.00
Abnormal lab test, vital sign, or physical finding	4 (12.1)	15 (26.3)	2.14 (0.71–6.44)	0.18	6 (15.6)	17 (20.7)	1.33 (0.52-3.38)	0.55
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders	5 (15.3)	4 (6.6)	0.43 (0.11-1.59)	0.21	5 (13.2)	5 (5.9)	0.45 (0.13-1.55)	0.21
Vascular disorders	2 (6.0)	10 (16.9)	3.06 (0.67–14.04)	0.15	9 (24.6)	10 (12.0)	0.49 (0.20–1.20)	0.12

P-value: comparison between placebo and FCM.

P-values were obtained using Wald tests via Cox proportional hazard models.

Patient-years for each person were calculated using the formula [min(event date, safety censor date) - start date]/365.25. Values were then summed for each group.

CHF, chronic heart failure; CI, confidence interval; FCM, ferric carboxymaltose; HR, hazard ratio.

treatments in CHF potentially to improve clinical outcome; this, however, has not been yet tested/confirmed in clinical trials.

In chronic diseases, ID has been traditionally linked with anaemia.³⁵ Only recently has it been recognized that patients with CHF are prone to develop ID irrespective of anaemia, and ID has multifaceted clinical consequences related to poor outcome, exercise intolerance, and impaired quality of life.^{11–15} It appears that i.v. iron supplementation in CHF patients with ID improves symptoms, functional capacity, and quality of life, with a favourable safety profile irrespective of co-existing anaemia.^{8,16-18,36} Taking into account the role iron plays in cellular energetics, it is prudent to state that the maintenance of iron homeostasis is particularly important not only for erythropoiesis but also for cells and organs characterized by intensive oxidative metabolism and high energy demand, including the kidney.^{20,21} Thus, it may be hypothesized that repletion of iron in CHF patients may ultimately result in long-term stabilization or even improvement of renal function. On the other hand, it needs to be remembered that iron may also induce oxidative stress, mainly via involvement in the production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, and its pro-oxidative properties potentially may have deleterious effects on renal structure and function.^{22,37-40} Thus, the safety of iron therapy needs to be clearly addressed in CHF patients, who potentially may also be prone to deterioration in renal function as part of the cardio-renal syndrome, particularly during long-term i.v. iron supplementation.

The results of our study confirm that 24 week therapy with i.v. FCM modestly improves renal function in addition to other clinical benefits. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study showing evidence that a drug with a positive effect on functional status and quality of life in CHF patients also exerts favourable effects on renal function. Overall, among therapies applied in CHF, only implantation of a ventricular assist device leads to improvement in renal function,⁴¹ whereas studies with other therapies (including digoxin and resynchronization therapy) provided conflicting data.^{42,43} In the context of i.v. iron supplementation, only Toblli *et al.*⁸ demonstrated that in anaemic CHF patients with ID and renal dysfunction, 5 week therapy with i.v. iron sucrose resulted in significant improvement in renal function as evidenced by an increase in creatinine clearance. However, this was a comparatively small study with only 40 patients, half of which received active treatment for a short period of time. Our study extends these preliminary observations to a much broader CHF population, as we have demonstrated improvement in renal function irrespective of baseline haemoglobin level and renal function.

The results of this analysis deserve broader interpretation in the context of the chosen renal endpoints. We have prospectively decided to use changes in eGFR as a safety endpoint in order to evaluate the effect of FCM therapy on renal function. Estimated GFR has already been widely accepted as a marker of renal function in clinical studies,^{29,44} and a decline in eGFR is closely linked to poor clinical outcomes.^{2,33} This concept is based on the assumption that the GFR indicates both the number of active nephrons in the kidney and the average filtration capacity of each nephron.⁴⁵ Thus, changes in GFR may reflect either progression (theoretically also remission) of kidney disease or haemodynamic changes affecting the filtration rate.⁴⁵ In some clinical studies, effects on GFR detected shortly after initiation of therapy were related to haemodynamic effects, whereas long-term changes were linked to direct effects on the kidney.45,46 In our study, an increase in eGFR was detectable after just 4 weeks of therapy, which seems to be due to an improvement in compromised renal haemodynamics rather than to any direct effects on the nephrons. As we have not evaluated haemodynamic indices during the study, this remains a speculative interpretation; on the other hand, there is evidence that i.v. iron may improve LVEF and decrease serum levels of natriuretic peptide, indicating potentially favourable haemodynamic effects in CHF patients.⁸ Subsequent steady levels of eGFR observed from week 12 to week 24 suggest stabilization of renal function with no worrying evidence of detrimental effects of long-term i.v. iron therapy (i.e. beyond 4 weeks). Previous studies in anaemic CKD patients show either no relevant changes or a small decline in GFR after i.v. iron supplementation.^{7,47,48} This is not necessarily contradictory to our results. In contrast to CKD, impaired GFR in CHF seems to be predominantly due to multiple haemodynamic changes (including impaired perfusion or/and venous congestion). Consequently, viable nephrons may be able to maintain their filtration capabilities, and therefore GFR has the potential to be improved. This interpretation, however, needs confirmation in clinical studies.

In this analysis, we used the CKD–EPI formula to calculate the eGFR as it is robust and accurate across a wide range of kidney function, being currently recommended particularly in patients with systolic CHE^{27,29} This surrogate approach does not allow the measurement of 'real' renal function, and for precise quantitative assessment of GFR nuclear isotope estimates should be applied. As these methods are laborious and require special equipment, they are not suitable for large clinical trials. To overcome such difficulties, creatinine-based formulas to estimate GFR have been proposed and validated in different clinical populations.^{2,25,27,44}

The evidence of equal clinical efficacy and safety of FCM therapy in CHF patients with renal impairment is of relevance. In clinical practice, these patients are often deprived of effective CHF treatment, being perceived as potentially more prone to side effects with less evidence of benefit. In fact, recent analyses from large clinical trials showed life-saving treatments with ACE inhibitors,⁴⁹ beta-blockers,^{50,51} or resynchronization therapy⁴² to be effective regardless of baseline renal function. Our results confirm that this is also the case for i.v. iron supplementation in CHF patients with ID. Of interest, patients with renal impairment receiving FCM may have experienced a lower incidence rate of first cardiovascular hospitalization and fewer cardiac disorders. These intriguing results need to be confirmed in larger studies.

Limitations

The main limitation is the use of eGFR as a renal endpoint, which is only an indirect estimate of renal function with certain limitations in comparison with direct measures. We are aware that we have not evaluated the effects of FCM on creatinine production/excretion and non-renal clearance, all of which may potentially affect the eGFR calculation (being based on creatinine change). As originally planned, we have also performed the same analyses using the six-variable MDRD formula, finding no difference in the interpretation of the results. However, we are fully aware that additional studies with endpoints precisely evaluating multiple aspects of complex renal function are needed to confirm renoprotective effects of FCM therapy. Such studies are also required in order to understand the biological mechanisms underlying potential beneficial effects of iron replacement therapy in CHF, which are not addressed in this analysis. In particular, it would be clinically relevant to distinguish between haemodynamic and peripheral effects of i.v. iron therapy in CHF. In this context, it would be interesting to evaluate the effects of FCM on biomarkers reflecting tubular function, as they are true energy consumers in the kidneys.

In conclusion, correction of ID in CHF patients with i.v. FCM is associated with a modest, but significant improvement in renal function, seen across all the pre-specified subgroups. FCM therapy was effective in CHF patients with renal dysfunction and had a favourable safety profile.

Acknowledgements

Dr David Floyd provided editorial assistance in the development of the manuscript.

Funding

The FAIR-HF study and this analysis were supported by Vifor Pharma, Zurich, Switzerland.

Conflict of interest; S.D.A., P.P., J.C.C., G.F., R.W., K.D., and T.F.L. are members of the FAIR-HF steering committee. S.D.A., P.P., and R.W. are consultants and have received honoraria for speaking for Vifor Pharma and Amgen. S.D.A. has received honoraria for speaking for Roche Pharma and Teva. J.C.C., G.F., and T.L. have received honoraria for speaking for Vifor Pharma. C.M. and B.E.R. are employees of Vifor Pharma and hold stock in Galenica. G.G. is an employee of Vifor Pharma for the analysis of the FAIR-HF data set. I.M is a consultant and has received honoraria for speaking for Vifor Pharma, AMAG, Pharmacosmos, Affymax, Amgen, Ortho Biotech, Roche, and Takeda.

References

- Ronco C, McCullough P, Anker SD, Anand I, Aspromonte N, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R, Berl T, Bobek I, Cruz DN, Daliento L, Davenport A, Haapio M, Hillege H, House AA, Katz N, Maisel A, Mankad S, Zanco P, Mebazaa A, Palazzuoli A, Ronco F, Shaw A, Sheinfeld G, Soni S, Vescovo G, Zamperetti N, Ponikowski P, Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative Consensus Group. Cardio-renal syndromes: report from the consensus conference of the acute dialysis quality initiative. Eur Heart J 2010;31:703-711.
- Hillege HL, Nitsch D, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, McMurray JJ, Yusuf S, Granger CB, Michelson EL, Ostergren J, Cornel JH, de Zeeuw D, Pocock S, van Veldhuisen DJ. Candesartan in Heart Failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality Morbidity Investigators. Renal function as a predictor of outcome in a broad spectrum of patients with heart failure. *Circulation* 2006;**113**:671–678.
- 3. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Bohm M, Dickstein K, Falk V, Filippatos G, Fonseca C, Gomez-Sanchez MA, Jaarsma T, Kober L, Lip GY, Maggioni AP, Parkhomenko A, Pieske BM, Popescu BA, Ronnevik PK, Rutten FH, Schwitter J, Seferovic P, Stepinska J, Trindade PT, Voors AA, Zannad F, Zeiher A, Task Force for the Diagnosis , Treatment of Acute Chronic Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology , Bax JJ, Baumgartner H, Ceconi C, Dean V, Deaton C, Fagard R, Funck-Brentano C, Hasdai D, Hoes A, Kirchhof P, Knuuti J, Kolh P, McDonagh T, Moulin C, Popescu BA, Reiner Z, Sechtem U, Sirnes PA, Tendera M, Torbicki A, Vahanian A, Windecker S, McDonagh T, Sechtem U,

Bonet LA, Avraamides P, Ben Lamin HA, Brignole M, Coca A, Cowburn P, Dargie H, Elliott P, Flachskampf FA, Guida GF, Hardman S, lung B, Merkely B, Mueller C, Nanas JN, Nielsen OW, Orn S, Parissis JT, Ponikowski P, ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2012;**14**:803–869.

- Silverberg DS, Wexler D, Iaina A. The importance of anemia and its correction in the management of severe congestive heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2002;4:681-686.
- van Veldhuisen DJ, Anker SD, Ponikowski P, Macdougall IC. Anemia and iron deficiency in heart failure: mechanisms and therapeutic approaches. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2011;8:485–493.
- KDOQI; National Kidney Foundation. II. Clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for anemia in chronic kidney disease in adults. Am J Kidney Dis 2006;47(5 Suppl 3):S11–S145.
- Mircescu G, Gârneata L, Capusa C, Ursea N. Intravenous iron supplementation for the treatment of anaemia in pre-dialyzed chronic renal failure patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006;21:120–124.
- Toblli JE, Lombraña A, Duarte P, Di Gennaro F. Intravenous iron reduces NT-pro-brain natriuretic peptide in anemic patients with chronic heart failure and renal insufficiency. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:1657–1665.
- Opasich C, Cazzola M, Scelsi L, De Feo S, Bosimini E, Lagioia R, Febo O, Ferrari R, Fucili A, Moratti R, Tramarin R, Tavazzi L. Blunted erythropoietin production and defective iron supply for erythropoiesis as major causes of anaemia in patients with chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:2232–2237.
- Nanas JN, Matsouka C, Karageorgopoulos D, Leonti A, Tsolakis E, Drakos SG, Tsagalou EP, Maroulidis GD, Alexopoulos GP, Kanakakis JE, Anastasiou-Nana MI. Etiology of anemia in patients with advanced heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:2485–2489.
- Jankowska EA, Rozentryt P, Witkowska A, Nowak J, Hartmann O, Ponikowska B, Borodulin-Nadzieja L, Banasiak W, Polonski L, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Anker SD, Ponikowski P. Iron deficiency: an ominous sign in patients with systolic chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**:1872–1880.
- Klip IT, Comin-Colet J, Voors AA, Ponikowski P, Enjuanes C, Banasiak W, Lok DJ, Rosentryt P, Torrens A, Polonski L, van Veldhuisen DJ, van der Meer P, Jankowska EA. Iron deficiency in chronic heart failure: an international pooled analysis. *Am Heart J* 2013;**165**:575–582.
- Okonko DO, Mandal AK, Missouris CG, Poole-Wilson PA. Disordered iron homeostasis in chronic heart failure: prevalence, predictors, and relation to anemia, exercise capacity, and survival. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:1241–1251.
- Jankowska EA, Rozentryt P, Witkowska A, Nowak J, Hartmann O, Ponikowska B, Borodulin-Nadzieja L, von Haehling S, Doehner W, Banasiak W, Polonski L, Filippatos G, Anker SD, Ponikowski P. Iron deficiency predicts impaired exercise capacity in patients with systolic chronic heart failure. *J Card Fail* 2011;**17**:899–906.
- Comín-Colet J, Enjuanes C, González G, Torrens A, Cladellas M, Meroño O, Ribas N, Ruiz S, Gómez M, Verdú JM, Bruguera J. Iron deficiency is a key determinant of health-related quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure regardless of anaemia status. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013;**15**:1164–1172.
- Anker SD, Colet JC, Filippatos G, Willenheimer R, Dickstein K, Drexler H, Lüscher TF, Bart B, Banasiak W, Niegowska J, Kirwan BA, Mori C, von Eisenhart RB, Pocock SJ, Poole-Wilson PA, Ponikowski P, for the FAIR-HF Trial Investigators. Ferric carboxymaltose in patients with heart failure and iron deficiency. N Engl J Med 2009;361:2436–2448.
- Bolger AP, Bartlett FR, Penston HS, O'Leary J, Pollock N, Kaprielian R, Chapman CM. Intravenous iron alone for the treatment of anemia in patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:1225–1227.
- 18. Okonko DO, Grzeslo A, Witkowski T, Mandal AK, Slater RM, Roughton M, Foldes G, Thum T, Majda J, Banasiak W, Missouris CG, Poole-Wilson PA, Anker SD, Ponikowski P. Effect of intravenous iron sucrose on exercise tolerance in anemic and nonanemic patients with symptomatic chronic heart failure and iron deficiency FERRIC-HF: a randomized, controlled, observer-blinded trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:103–112.
- Dunn LL, Rahmanto YS, Richardson DR. Iron uptake and metabolism in the new millennium. *Trends Cell Biol* 2007;17:93–100.
- Cairo G, Bernuzzi F, Recalcati S. A precious metal: iron, an essential nutrient for all cells. Genes Nutr 2006;1:25–39.
- Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning. J Nutr 2001;131(Suppl 2):5685–579S.
- Agarwal R, Vasavada N, Sachs NG, Chase S. Oxidative stress and renal injury with intravenous iron in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2004;65:2279-2289.

- 23. Anker SD, Colet JC, Filippatos G, Willenheimer R, Dickstein K, Drexler H, Lüscher TF, Mori C, von Eisenhart Rothe B, Pocock S, Poole-Wilson PA, Ponikowski P, FAIR-HF committees and investigators. Rationale and design of Ferinject assessment in patients with IRon deficiency and chronic Heart Failure (FAIR-HF) study: a randomized, placebo-controlled study of intravenous iron supplementation in patients with and without anaemia. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009;**11**:1084–1091.
- Ganzoni AM. Intravenous iron-dextran: therapeutic and experimental possibilities. Schweiz Med Wochenschr 1970;100:301-303 [in German].
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, Kusek JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Greene T, Coresh J. CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration). A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;**150**:604–612.
- National Kidney Foundation. Kidney disease outcomes quality initiative—clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. 2009; http://www.kidney.org/ professionals/kdoqi/guidelines_ckd/p4_class_g1.htm.
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:461–470.
- Padala S, Tighiouart H, Inker LA, Contreras G, Beck GJ, Lewis J, Steffes M, Rodby RA, Schmid CH, Levey AS. Accuracy of a GFR estimating equation over time in people with a wide range of kidney function. Am J Kidney Dis 2012;60:217–224.
- Valente MA, Hillege HL, Navis G, Voors AA, Dunselman PH, van Veldhuisen DJ, Damman K. The Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation outperforms the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate in chronic systolic heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16:86–94.
- Green CP, Porter CB, Bresnahan DR, Spertus JA. Development and evaluation of the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy questionnaire: a new health status measure for heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;35:1245-1255.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med 2001;33:337–343.
- McCullagh P. Regression models for ordinal data (with discussion). J R Stat Soc B 1980;42:109-142.
- Smith GL, Lichtman JH, Bracken MB, Shlipak MG, Phillips CO, DiCapua P, Krumholz HM. Renal impairment and outcomes in heart failure: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1987–1996.
- 34. Davenport A, Anker SD, Mebazaa A, Palazzuoli A, Vescovo G, Bellomo R, Ponikowski P, Anand I, Aspromonte N, Bagshaw S, Berl T, Bobek I, Cruz DN, Daliento L, Haapio M, Hillege H, House A, Katz N, Maisel A, Mankad S, McCullough P, Ronco F, Shaw A, Sheinfeld G, Soni S, Zamperetti N, Zanco P, Ronco C. Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) consensus group. ADQI 7: the clinical management of the Cardio-Renal syndromes: work group statements from the 7th ADQI consensus conference. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010;25:2077-2089.
- Weiss G. Iron metabolism in the anemia of chronic disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2009;**1790**:682–693.
- 36. Filippatos G, Farmakis D, Colet JC, Dickstein K, Lüscher TF, Willenheimer R, Parissis J, Gaudesius G, Mori C, von Eisenhart RB, Greenlaw N, Ford I, Ponikowski P, Anker SD. Intravenous ferric carboxymaltose in iron-deficient chronic heart failure patients with and without anaemia: a subanalysis of the FAIR-HF trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2011;**15**:1267–1276.
- Walker PD, Shah SV. Evidence suggesting a role for hydroxyl radical in gentamicin-induced acute renal failure in rats. J Clin Invest 1988;81:334–341.
- Paller MS, Hedlund BE. Role of iron in postischemic renal injury in the rat. Kidney Int 1988;34:474–480.
- Bishu K, Agarwal R. Acute injury with intravenous iron and concerns regarding long-term safety. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;1(Suppl 1):S19–S23.
- Hayat A. Safety issues with intravenous iron products in the management of anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Clin Med Res* 2008;6:93-102.
- Hasin T, Topilsky Y, Schirger JA, Li Z, Zhao Y, Boilson BA, Clavell AL, Rodeheffer RJ, Frantz RP, Edwards BS, Pereira NL, Joyce L, Daly R, Park SJ, Kushwaha SS. Changes in renal function after implantation of continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:26–36.
- Garg N, Thomas G, Jackson G, Rickard J, Nally JV Jr, Tang WH, Navaneethan SD. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in CKD: a systematic review. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013;8:1293–1303.
- Vaduganathan M, Gheorghiade M. Return of digoxin and recovery of renal function. J Card Fail 2013;19:303–305.
- Smilde TD, van Veldhuisen DJ, Navis G, Voors AA, Hillege HL. Drawbacks and prognostic value of formulas estimating renal function in patients with chronic heart failure and systolic dysfunction. *Circulation* 2006;**114**:1572–1580.
- Stevens LA, Greene T, Levey AS. Surrogate end points for clinical trials of kidney disease progression. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;1:874–884.

- 46. Wright JT, Bakris G, Greene T, Agodoa L, Appel LJ, Charleston J, Cheek D, Douglas-Baltimore JG, Gassman J, Glassock R, Hebert L, Jamerson K, Lewis J, Phillips RA, Toto RD, Middleton JP, Rostand SG, African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension Study Group. Effect of blood pressure lowering and antihypertensive drug class on progression of hypertensive kidney disease: results from the AASK trial. JAMA 2002;288: 2421–2431.
- McMahon LP, Kent AB, Kerr PG, Healy H, Irish AB, Cooper B, Kark A, Roger SD. Maintenance of elevated versus physiological iron indices in non-anaemic patients with chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2010;25:920–926.
- Van Wyck DB, Roppolo M, Martinez CO, Mazey RM, McMurray S, for the United States Iron Sucrose (Venofer) Clinical Trials Group. A randomized, controlled trial comparing IV iron sucrose to oral iron in anemic patients with nondialysis-dependent CKD. *Kidney Int* 2005;68:2846–2856.
- Tokmakova MP, Skali H, Kenchaiah S, Braunwald E, Rouleau JL, Packer M, Chertow GM, Moyé LA, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD. Chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular risk, and response to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition after myocardial infarction: the Survival And Ventricular Enlargement (SAVE) study. *Circulation* 2004;**110**:3667–3673.
- Ghali JK, Wikstrand J, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Fagerberg B, Goldstein S, Hjalmarson A, Johansson P, Kjekshus J, Ohlsson L, Samuelsson O, Waagstein F, Wedel H, MERIT-HF Study Group. The influence of renal function on clinical outcome and response to beta-blockade in systolic heart failure: insights from Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in Chronic HF (MERIT-HF). J Card Fail 2009;15:310–318.
- Cohen-Solal A, Kotecha D, van Veldhuisen DJ, Babalis D, Böhm M, Coats AJ, Roughton M, Poole-Wilson P, Tavazzi L, Flather M, SENIORS Investigators. Efficacy and safety of nebivolol in elderly heart failure patients with impaired renal function: insights from the SENIORS trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009;11:872–880.

339