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ABSTRACT

Objective: Evidence regarding the efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) is increasing exponentially; however, there are still challenges to their integration in healthcare systems. Our goal is to provide a conceptual framework that addresses these challenges in order to bring about scholarly dialog and support health managers and practitioners with the implementation of MBIs in healthcare.

Method: This is an opinative narrative review based on theoretical and empirical data that address key issues in the implementation of mindfulness in healthcare systems, such as the training of professionals, funding and costs of interventions, cost effectiveness and innovative delivery models.

Results: We show that even in the United Kingdom, where mindfulness has a high level of implementation, there is a high variability in the access to MBIs. In addition, we discuss innovative approaches based on “complex interventions,” “stepped-care” and “low intensity–high volume” concepts that may prove fruitful in the development and implementation of MBIs in national healthcare systems, particularly in Primary Care.

Conclusion: In order to better understand barriers and opportunities for mindfulness implementation in healthcare systems, it is necessary to be aware that MBIs are “complex interventions,” which require innovative approaches and delivery models to implement these interventions in a cost-effective and accessible way.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One of the main challenges faced by all types of psychotherapies, including mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs), is the conversion of studies on their efficacy, developed under controlled conditions, to routine clinical practice within national healthcare systems. It has now been more than three decades since MBIs were proposed to improve symptoms of chronic pain, depression and anxiety symptoms among patients and the general population, and exponential evidence-based data have built a scientific foundation for the use of these interventions in healthcare [1]. However, no healthcare system seems to offer suitable and equitable access for MBIs to patients and the general population who could benefit from these interventions. In this opinative narrative review article [2], we provide a conceptual framework for the implementation of MBIs in healthcare systems based on available theoretical and empirical data that address key issues such as the training of professionals, funding and costs of interventions, cost effectiveness and innovative delivery models.

We discuss innovative approaches based on “complex interventions,” “stepped-care” and “low intensity–high volume” concepts that may prove fruitful in the evolution and implementation of MBIs in national healthcare systems, particularly in Primary Care (PC). This conceptual framework may bring about scholarly dialog [2] and support health managers and practitioners with the implementation of MBIs and other types of psychosocial interventions in healthcare systems.

2. Implementing mindfulness in the healthcare system: the case of United Kingdom

Although mindfulness interventions designed for clinical settings were originally developed in the United States (US), and currently there is a widespread interest for them in many countries (mainly in mindfulness-based stress reduction — MBSR — the original program designed by Jon Kabat-Zinn in 1979 at the University of Massachusetts), the United Kingdom (UK) is apparently the most developed country in terms of the formal implementation of MBIs in an integrated national healthcare system [3–5], which involves institutional support in terms of funding and the training of human resources. In the UK, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT), applied to patients with a history of major depression who are at risk of relapse, is recommended in clinical guidelines and its implementation in the health system is a priority [3,4]. Despite this recommendation, only a small portion of mental health services in the UK systematically offer MBIs for depression [3,4].

According to Crane and Kuyken [4], who recently evaluated the process of MBCT implementation in the UK, many factors may be considered...
for the correct development and success of this type of intervention. One of the main components involves developing a strategic plan for implementing MBIs in services at national, regional and local levels [4]. The existence of a strategic plan is associated with an increased supply of MBIs, greater support for professionals interested in undergoing training and offering these services, better and more appropriate referrals to mindfulness groups, a better understanding of what mindfulness is and how it benefits patients, the existence of appropriate locations for organizing mindfulness groups and adequate administrative support within healthcare systems [4].

Another fundamental element of this implementation is that of testing these interventions first for professionals, which decreases resistance to and prejudice toward the interventions [4], and thus a considerable number of health services also offer mindfulness training for employees [4]. Other relevant topics raised for the study of Crane and Kuyken [4] are as follows: the majority (60%) of professionals who may refer patients to mindfulness groups do not have sufficient knowledge on MBIs; the existence of an expert within the service increases the chances of success; many centers (62%) do not have spaces suitable for group activities; there is a lack of an administrative structure needed to facilitate mindfulness classes (72%); there is enormous competition with other routine service; there is a lack of resources for training and supervising professionals to teach classes; collaboration between primary care services and universities increases the success of implementation, as does the existence of one or more project leaders who may implement MBIs in services [4].

3. PC: the gateway for mindfulness in healthcare systems

PC is the main gateway for patients in a healthcare system and is essential for the proper prevention and management of chronic mental illnesses [6]. The characteristics of PC (equitable access; services close to people’s residence; continuous, lifelong, person-centered care; focus on preventive actions and people’s health needs) may enhance the accessibility of and adherence (motivation and compliance) to MBIs.

However, there are barriers to the implementation of mindfulness in PC services that must be identified. A key point is that PC professionals have a full schedule of appointments and activities, and it is important that time be set aside to enable these professionals to deliver MBIs as part of a strategic implementation plan. Additionally, there are several actions that may be performed, such as the development of online MBIs, which take less time to implement. There are reports of some experiences with such actions that have yielded interesting results [7]. Another possible strategy would be to simplify interventions, such as by including theoretical aspects and simple mindfulness exercises in health promotion groups that already exist within PC services (for example, physical activity or dietary re-education groups). A final strategy would be to create suitable spaces inside health centers in which to hold mindfulness groups.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions of MBIs that define them as “complex interventions”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. They involve a large number of components and interactions between the different components, both practical (different techniques and mindfulness exercises) and theoretical (different theoretical contents depending on the focus or population of interest).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. They involve complex changes in conduct and behavior (acceptance, psychological flexibility, compassion) on behalf of participants and professionals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. They require coordinated efforts (strategic plan) for implementation among people at various levels of services, including both professionals and managers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. They include many different types of potential variables (organic, psychological, use of services, etc.) to evaluate results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. They allow great variability in the intervention models (mindfulness-based stress reduction, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, short programs, etc.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges in the development, evaluation and implementation of MBIs as “complex interventions” in healthcare systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. There is a need for an appropriate theoretical model that allows for understanding of how the intervention can cause changes in people’s health and/or in the use of services and that identifies the weak points in the causal chain to strengthen them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. A lack of results does not necessarily mean that interventions are not effective; rather, there may be failures or barriers in the implementation process (absence of or noncompliance with the strategic plan, nonadherence to practices or programs, etc.). Therefore, evaluations of the process are very important in implementing MBIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Variability in individual results may be due to the characteristics of healthcare systems. Therefore, an adequate sample size and the use of appropriate methodological designs (cluster samples, for example) are key to decreasing the influence of such factors. It is best to use a range of variables and indicators for processes and results (physiological, psychological, clinical, use of services, etc.) rather than focusing on a few indicators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The requirement of strict compliance with intervention protocols may not be appropriate, as interventions may work more effectively if the ability to adapt to local conditions and healthcare systems exists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. MBIs are “complex interventions” in healthcare systems

Complex interventions are defined as those comprising several interrelated components. These present a challenge for researchers and managers of health services. The challenges involved in the evaluation of these interventions include the following: difficulties in standardizing designs and modes of application for various existing programs; the influence of ethnocultural and political contexts; organizational, logistical and political difficulties in evaluating an intervention in health services [8-9].

MBIs may be defined as “complex interventions,” as they present all of the dimensions (Table 1) of this type of intervention [8-9]. This characteristic implies that the development and evaluation of MBIs in healthcare systems are also complex, and researchers and healthcare managers interested in implementing MBIs in health services must consider this complexity (Tables 2 and 3) [8-9]. The key question to be clarified by researchers, managers and developers of “best practice” policies for mindfulness in health systems is: are MBIs effective and cost-effective in health systems?

Another point concerns how information on results from investigation, evaluations, clinical guidelines and “best practice” guides for MBIs are delivered to opinion makers, professionals, managers, patients and the general population [8-9]. Among scientific publications, there should also be a standard orientation that addresses the characteristics of complex interventions and their evaluations, such as describing in detail the content, the mode of application and the barriers identified in studies on implementation in healthcare systems [10-13].

5. Professional qualifications to teach and deliver MBIs

There are three key aspects to ensuring the quality of professional MBI training: (a) the content, method and process of development and training; (b) training standards; and (c) the definition of skills needed to teach mindfulness groups and/or train other instructors [14].

At present, there are still no accepted international standards or professional qualifications with regard to MBI training [5]. However, professional training guidelines for teaching MBIs and training new mindfulness instructors already exist, with the most prominent being those developed by Jon Kabat-Zinn’s Center for Mindfulness [1] and the UK Network for Mindfulness-Based Teachers [2]. These two guidelines differ

1. http://www.umassmed.edu/cfm/trainingteachers/index.aspx
2. www.mindfulnessiteachersuk.org.uk
in some regard, but both claim that the instructor’s personal practice is key for teaching mindfulness and teaching new instructors. Training should last the minimum amount of time needed to enable practitioners to develop stable personal practices and absorb key concepts related to MBIs, with more training needed for those who wish to teach new instructors. Training of MBIs may cost up to 3500 euro per person. An important issue for managers implementing MBIs in healthcare systems concerns the prediction of the necessary number of professionals who will teach mindfulness groups and knowing the costs involved. A Canadian study [15], based on epidemiological data of MBCT programs, has made a conjecture for patients with major depression with more than three previous relapses (estimated at 4.2% of that population) and has arrived at the conclusion that 2 MBCT professionals are needed for every 200,000 people in the community. Although there are no studies on the subject, if the programs are general such as MBSR, reaching diverse patient populations (with anxiety, depression, chronic pain, etc.) and people or professionals with high-stress symptoms, a greater number of instructors will be needed. Based on the calculation by Patten et al. [15], considering a hypothetically conservative prevalence of these conditions at approximately 30% of the general population and an acceptable rate of mindfulness of 20%, the need for MBI instructors would be approximately 12 for every 200,000 people, or 1 for every 15,000 people. Another author [16] has speculatively estimated that the cost of providing an MBI group is 2.25 euro (3 US dollars) per hour per patient. Therefore, a group of eight 2-h sessions with 15 participants would cost approximately 540 euro. This estimate does not include extra costs, such as room rental, materials (pillow, mattresses, blankets, prints, CDs with audiovisual guides) or professional training, which may cost up to 3500 euro per person. An equally important point is the issue of support groups for maintaining mindfulness practices for people who have participated in groups for 8 weeks or similar. These groups appear to be essential for adhering to the practices learned and for maintaining long-term benefits [16].

7. Cost effectiveness of MBIs

Appropriate cost effectiveness is essential in order for MBIs to be accepted and implemented in healthcare systems. Studies on the cost effectiveness of MBIs are still scarce, but the results of some of the existing studies are encouraging. For example, in 2002, Roth and Stanley [17] showed that an 8-week MBSR group at a primary care center in the US decreased the number of visits to the health center for chronic illnesses among the patients who attended the group, suggesting that MBIs may be effective and cost-effective. Recently, similar results
regarding health service utilization were observed in a large population-based study conducted in Canada by Kurdyac and colleagues [18]. Based on a controlled retrospective cohort of 10,663 patients receiving MBCT, they observed that, among high utilizers (4851 patients), there was a significant reduction in nonmental health service utilization when comparing MBCT recipients to a control group (number needed to treat was two for a reduction in one nonmental health visit).

Moreover, in 2008, Kuyken et al. [19] studied the prevention of relapse in patients with recurrent depression. The authors observed that the patients who attended an MBCT group, compared to patients who took antidepressants (usual treatment), showed a lower rate of relapse (47% vs. 60%), took less medication, showed fewer residual depression systems and had a better score on quality of life questionnaires. No differences in annual costs were found between the two groups [19].

Furthermore, van Ravesteijn et al. [20,21] studied the effect of MBCT on somatizers (patients with persistent unexplained physical symptoms) in a sample of primary care patients. The authors observed that although there was no difference in overall health within this sample compared to patients who followed standard treatments, mindfulness improved psychological functioning without increasing costs. In addition, patients in the MBCT group attended hospital services less and community mental health services more, thereby decreasing long-term costs involved in caring for these patients [20].

8. “Stepped-care” and “low intensity–high volume”: key concepts for the large-scale implementation of mindfulness

When we discuss the implementation of MBIs in healthcare systems, we consider high-volume interventions. A large-scale strategic implementation plan for mindfulness may benefit from concepts such as “stepped-care” and “low intensity–high volume” interventions [22–24], thereby making the models of these types of MBIs more flexible and increasing access to MBIs.

The “stepped-care” intervention model is based on the notion that there is a gap between population demand for these therapies and the ability of services to offer them. In other words, there is an access barrier to therapies, mainly related to the lack of professional skills needed to provide them [22–24]. A useful strategy would be the “stepped-care” model, which consists of offering the same interventions (trying to keep the same theoretical models and practices normally offered) in increasing levels (steps) of intensity, according to the needs of patients, and maximizing healthcare system resources. That is, a person with a low-level access to systems is offered a low-intensity intervention, often based on self-care (with or without professional supervision). This, thereby, reserves the most classic intensive models of therapies, using highly specialized professionals, for the most acute patients.

The “stepped-care” model may be applied and assessed during the implementation of MBIs in healthcare systems, integrating different types of MBIs with a continuous progressive focus of intensity and complexity. To develop this idea in a more didactic manner, we will use the concepts involved in the offering of “physical activity” (PA) and different types of “physical exercise” (PE) as health interventions in an analogy to the different types of intensities of MBIs (Table 4). The promotion model for PA/PE appears to be similar to that for MBIs, as both interventions require practical experience and behavioral changes for participants.

In general, the promotion of PA (defined as any body movement that creates increased energy expenditure) follows a “low intensity–high volume” model; i.e., PA focuses on low-intensity interventions based on a “more active lifestyle” through, for example, educational campaigns that promote walking, climbing stairs and active cycling for transportation. The same idea also guides the “stepped-care” model, which understands that a modest clinical effect of an intervention applied on a large scale may cause more health benefits for a population than a high-impact intervention whose application is restricted to a very small number of patients [24].

The equivalent of promoting PA to MBIs would be promoting a more “mindful” lifestyle. Doing so entails brief mindfulness interventions in which lifestyles are taught based on full attention to routine activities or promoting “informal practice” on a large scale. This practice could be taught in short introductory groups (2 hr on average), where basic mindfulness concepts and some simple practices could be taught, such as the “ raisin exercise” and “3 min of mindfulness practice.” In addition, introductory courses could be offered remotely (by computer or mobile applications). Preliminary evidence on the impact of brief mindfulness interventions on health already exists [25–27], and it is feasible to speculate that such initiatives could have a considerable impact on the population’s perceived stress levels, quality of life and well-being, preventing future cases of anxiety or depression [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of MBI</th>
<th>Analogy with PA/Exercise</th>
<th>Form of Teaching</th>
<th>People/patients potentially benefited</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Instruction or training</th>
<th>Profiles</th>
<th>Intensity and/or complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBCT type of MBI (specific contents)</td>
<td>PE (specific content)</td>
<td>“Classic” (8 sessions)/adapted with help of ICT</td>
<td>Patients with more complex clinical conditions</td>
<td>Complementary treatment</td>
<td>“Classic”</td>
<td>Professionals trained in the specific context/collaborative care between skilled professionals and primary care. General health practitioners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBSR type of MBI (general)</td>
<td>PE (general)</td>
<td>“Classic” (8 sessions)/adapted with help of ICT</td>
<td>General population/primary care patients</td>
<td>Health promotion/complementary treatment</td>
<td>“Classic”</td>
<td>General health practitioners (including community health officials)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal practice/Promotion of “mindful” lifestyle</td>
<td>PA/Promotion of active lifestyle</td>
<td>In-person introductory workshops (2 hr on average)/self-guided remote practices supported by ICT</td>
<td>General population</td>
<td>Health promotion (improved quality of life and well-being)</td>
<td>Reduced (to be determined)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MBR - Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction; MBCT - Mindfulness-based Cognitive Therapy; MBI - Mindfulness-based Interventions; ICT - information and communication technology; PE - physical exercise; PA - physical activity.
Representing the equivalent of PE in the context of mindfulness are MBIs, such as MBSR, MBCT and their derivatives, which require professionals trained in protocol and have specific indications for certain types of patients. In this sense, the indications of MBSRs are more general, as it is recommended for healthy populations as a tool for health promotion. This characteristic implies that a large number of professionals should be trained to provide them. In addition, these types of MBIs may benefit from information and communication technology (ICT).

Based on the analogy presented here, a theoretical model may be constructed for implementing mindfulness in healthcare services in a “stepped-care” format associated with the “low intensity–high volume” strategy (Table 4). In this case, introductory mindfulness groups could be offered on a large scale and, following a model with increasing intensity and complexity (in steps), could offer classic models of MBIs, such as MBSR and MBCT, in the most advanced group.

This is a theoretical and speculative model; therefore, the same principles applied to all therapies should be followed: quality, safety, patient acceptability, clinical effectiveness, cost effectiveness and efficiency (understood here as having clinical results at least equal to other intervention models, but with lower costs) [22–24].

9. Conclusion and agenda for future studies

In evidence-based terms, different MBIs may have distinct approaches and barriers in order to be implemented in highly diverse healthcare systems worldwide, and thus many questions regarding the implementation of mindfulness interventions in health systems remain unanswered. A good theoretical framework for researchers and managers is to follow a progressive development and assessment model for MBIs, based on the approach for “complex interventions” (see Table 3) [8,9]. This general framework facilitates addressing the unresolved research questions of MBIs, specifically those related to its implementation in healthcare systems [4,5,24], which generally are as follows:

1. Are MBIs interventions that may be used alone in certain clinical conditions (such as anxiety or depression) or are they always employed as a complement to standard treatments?
2. Are they cost-effective compared to other existing therapies, such as pharmacological or classic cognitive behavioral therapies?
3. Are they acceptable for patients, professionals and managers from different countries, ethnic groups and healthcare systems?
4. Could the offer of MBIs in PC enhance accessibility, motivation, adherence to and compliance with these interventions?
5. Could mindfulness interventions benefit from a “stepped-care” model in healthcare systems?

In conclusion, MBIs are promising and feasible interventions in healthcare systems, and their implementation worldwide may be benefited by innovative approaches based on “complex interventions,” “stepped-care” and “low intensity–high volume” concepts, especially in PC.
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