ERRATUM



Erratum to: The implications of phasing out conventional nutrient supply in organic agriculture: Denmark as a case

Myles Oelofse · Lars Stoumann Jensen · Jakob Magid

Published online: 21 November 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Erratum to: Org. Agr. (2013) 3:41–55 DOI 10.1007/s13165-013-0045-z

The published online paper unfortunately contained an error in nutrient amounts for industrial sector organic waste in Table 3. We apologise for any inconvenience this error may cause. The updated table is provided below

Table 3 Theoretical nutrient supply potential by non-farm organic waste type in Denmark

	DM (t)	N (t)	P (t)	K (t)
Household source segregated organic waste (currently recycled) ^a	14,865	282	34	189
Household waste, organic fraction estimate (currently incinerated) ^a	228,800	4347	526	2906
Garden and park waste (private and public)	409,635	2222	394	3892
Service sector organic waste ^a	9756	185	22	124
Industrial sector organic waste ^a	35,495	674	82	451
Sewage sludge	132,600	6312	4150	716
Total (t)	831,151	14,023	5209	8277
Supply to organic agriculture (kg/ha) ^b	4790	81	30	48

Sources: (1) Waste quantities: Danish Ministry of the Environment 2011; Danish Ministry of the Environment 2009; Pers comm. Petersen (2011); (2) nutrient contents: Boldrin (2009); Boldrin and Christensen (2010); Boldrin et al. (2011); Danish Ministry of the Environment 2009 ^a Based on the nutrient content of the vegetable food waste fraction of household waste

^b Based on a theoretical distribution of total nutrients from organic waste streams to all organic land in Denmark (173,517 ha)

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx. doi.org/10.1007/s13165-013-0045-z.

M. Oelofse (⊠) · L. S. Jensen · J. Magid
Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences,
Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen,
Thorvaldsensvej 40,
1871 Frederiksberg, Denmark
e-mail: myles@life.ku.dk