



# Article The Influence of Women's Empowerment on Poverty Reduction in the Rural Areas of Bangladesh: Focus on Health, Education and Living Standard

Wei Wei <sup>1,†</sup>, Tanwne Sarker <sup>1,\*,†</sup>, Wioletta Żukiewicz-Sobczak <sup>2,\*</sup>, Rana Roy <sup>3</sup>, G. M. Monirul Alam <sup>4</sup>, Md. Ghulam Rabbany <sup>5,6</sup>, Mohammad Shakhawat Hossain <sup>5,7</sup> and Noshaba Aziz <sup>8</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Economics and Finance, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China; wei\_wei@xjtu.edu.cn
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Food and Nutrition, Calisia University, 62-800 Kalisz, Poland
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Agroforestry & Environmental Science, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet 3100, Bangladesh; ranaroy.aes@sau.ac.bd
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Agribusiness, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur 1706, Bangladesh; gmmonirul79@gmail.com
- <sup>5</sup> College of Economics and Management, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, China; tonoy\_mkt@nwafu.edu.cn (M.G.R.); shossain7490@gmail.com (M.S.H.)
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Agribusiness and Marketing, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka 1207, Bangladesh
- <sup>7</sup> Economic Development and Value Chain Specialist, World Vision Bangladesh, BleNGS Project, Jamalpur 2000, Bangladesh
- <sup>8</sup> College of Economics and Management, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China; noshabaaziz@yahoo.com
- Correspondence: sarker@stu.xjtu.edu.cn or tanwnesarker@gmail.com (T.S.); wiola.zukiewiczsobczak@gmail.com (W.Ż.-S.)
- t These authors have contributed equally to this work and are joint co-first authors.

**Abstract:** Women's empowerment has a great influence on health, nutrition, education, and the overall well-being of societies as well as of the children and households. This study investigates the effect of women's empowerment on poverty reduction and focuses on household deprivation, in terms of education, health, and standard of living. Primary data was collected from 914 married women from rural areas of Bangladesh using a well-structured questionnaire and a random sampling technique. Descriptive statistics, logistic regression, and ordinary least squares models were used in this study. The results indicate that increased women's access to education, asset ownership, decision-making power on children's health and education, and access to medical facilities, have caused a significant decline in income poverty and multidimensional poverty. However, gender violence, taking resources against women's will, and preventing women from working outside, have caused a considerable decline in per capita income and an increase in income poverty and multidimensional poverty. Overall, it is found that women's empowerment has a great impact on the reduction of income poverty and multidimensional poverty in society. The findings of the study can assist and guide policymakers to initiate appropriate strategies for women's empowerment to reducing poverty in Bangladesh while making progress towards other social and developmental goals.

**Keywords:** Bangladesh; gender violence; multidimensional poverty; poverty reduction; women's empowerment

## 1. Introduction

Achieving gender equality is regarded as one of the key goals of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, as women and girls continue to suffer serious discrimination problems in every part of the world [1]. The vital aspect of the goal of women's empowerment is connected to improving health and nutrition status, ensuring food security, eliminating hunger, and reducing poverty [2,3]. "Poverty is a pronounced deprivation of well-being", whereas well-being is measured by education, assets, housing, health,



Citation: Wei, W.; Sarker, T.; Żukiewicz-Sobczak, W.; Roy, R.; Alam, G.M.M.; Rabbany, M.G.; Hossain, M.S.; Aziz, N. The Influence of Women's Empowerment on Poverty Reduction in the Rural Areas of Bangladesh: Focus on Health, Education and Living Standard. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 6909. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph18136909

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 19 May 2021 Accepted: 24 June 2021 Published: 27 June 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). nutrition, and certain human rights in society [4]. Empowering women and enhancing women's status can play a significant role in the achievement of many development programs and help to bring positive societal transformation [5]. Globally, women constitute about 43% of the total agricultural labor force [6] and also contribute to 50% of the total food production [7]. It has been found that when more power is given to women to use household income, the proportion of money spent on healthy food increases because women favor spending more money on nutritive and high-quality foods than on unhealthy foods, recreation, and alcohol [8,9]. Women's empowerment is frequently cited as a goal of rural development aimed primarily at reducing household vulnerability to poverty and food insecurity [10,11]. Empowering women is also a vital instrument in the fight against poverty [12,13].

Bangladesh has been struggling to reduce the prevalence of poverty and to improve the socio-economic conditions of poor citizens. In the early 1970s, the percentage of people living below the poverty line was 80%, and in 2016, this percentage decreased by 24.3%. Moreover, the employment and literacy rates of women have increased from 36% and 57.8% in 2011 to 88.5% and 69.5% in 2016, respectively [14]. The changes and transformations in women's lives are broadly seen as the cause and consequence of considerable human development over the past 25 years in Bangladesh [15]. Although women constitute about half of the Bangladeshi population, their social status, especially in rural areas, remains very low. Rural women are among the most disadvantaged members of society, suffering from social oppression and economic inequality; the vast majority of them are impoverished [16], and their empowerment, therefore, is critical to bringing about a positive change in their lives.

A great number of empirical studies have investigated the impact of women's empowerment on education, child health, food security, and nutrition [17–20]. However, our study specifically evaluated the impact of women's empowerment on household income poverty and multidimensional poverty, by focusing on the rural areas of Bangladesh. This study extends previous work by providing new empirical evidence on the impact of women's empowerment on their overall livelihood status. This study is a contribution not solely to descriptive literature on the present condition of women in Bangladesh, but also offers critical insights into the effects of women's empowerment on their households.

## 2. Background of the Study

Considerable diversity exists in the foci, agenda, and terminologies used to define women's empowerment [21]. The terms, "women's empowerment", "gender equality", "female autonomy", or "women's status", are widely used in literature, and all these terms focus on women's power and control in making life choices [21]. Women's empowerment is the process whereby women learn knowledge and skills, overcome difficulties, and benefit from useful resources [22]. It is not only an outcome but also an intermediate variable to further observe other developmental outcomes, especially poverty [23]. The idea of empowerment is often linked to the notion of power relations, which specifically refers to gaining either more or less power among actors [24,25]. However, women's empowerment is different from the empowerment of other disadvantaged groups due to intra-household dynamics [26,27]. Women's empowerment and gender equality are often considered two sides of the same coin: progress toward gender equality requires women's empowerment, on the other hand, women's empowerment contributes to increasing gender equality. In the case of gender inequality or discrimination, it is generally believed that women are excluded or disadvantaged in terms of decision-making and access to economic and social resources [28]. All definitions of women's empowerment make some reference to women's ability in certain areas, specifically in controlling their own lives, having the freedom to make decisions, and having the input to change life choices [27]. Women's empowerment is typically conflated with the status that women have, which is often represented by the acquisition of and control over resources [29], which not only include material and financial resources, but also the social and human

resources that can increase a woman's ability to exercise her choices [30]. Examples of such resources include age, education, employment, social capital, networking, and ownership of property. Sharaunga et al. [31] reported that empowerment is multidimensional, and women empowered in one dimension do not necessarily empower in another. It has also been established that women require both resources and a sense of agency to achieve their live outcomes on their own. The multidimensional concept of the agency includes different domains, namely, decision-making authority, control over finances, and freedom of movement. Having the authority over making decisions and overusing money, is a more apt and precise description of women's power. Although there is no single definition of women's empowerment in the literature, it is variously conceptualized as a process or outcome, an end state or a means to an end, a capacity [32–36], a matter of gaining power, and as a matter of agency. Given the broad scope of concerns surrounding empowerment, scholars have examined many topics across a wide range of contexts [33]. Studies have examined many topics, including educational attainment [37], political participation [38], gender-based domestic violence [39], resource control [40–42], entrepreneurialism [43], well-being [44], household decision-making [45–47], time poverty [48], and health [49,50]. While women's empowerment is intrinsically important, studies in developing countries have shown that empowering women can also improve children's health and education [51], decrease child mortality [52], improve the organizational effectiveness of businesses [53], increase agricultural productivity [54], and increase economic growth and reduce poverty [55]. The empowerment of women and poverty reduction are intertwined under the framework of empowerment. A growing amount of evidence has shown that empowerment is instrumental toward reducing income and consumption poverty [34]. Empowering woman as a member of a poor household, in turn, contribute to empower the whole household and thus the issue is a vigorous researchable area in a developing country such as Bangladesh.

#### 3. Research Design

#### 3.1. The Survey Area, Selection of Participants, and Data Collection

The quantitative research method was used for the present study. Survey data were collected from the northern part of Bangladesh, as the poverty rate was reported the highest in this part of the country [56]. In the first stage, four divisions out of nine divisions of Bangladesh were selected purposively, i.e., Rajshahi, Rangpur, Mymensingh, and Sylhet divisions. Then, from each division, three districts were randomly selected. After that from each district, one Upazila was selected. In the next stage, two villages were randomly selected from each Upazila from the complete list of villages as recorded by Upazila authorities. Finally, from each village, forty households were selected based on the random sampling technique where women were the respondent for the study. The aggregate sample was 960 women. However, some observations were excluded from the analysis, owing to missing data. For this reason, the analysis comprised 914 samples for which complete information was available. Face-to-face interviews of respondents were conducted from May to August 2019 with the help of a peer review and a well-structured interview schedule. We restricted our investigation to married women only as conventional indicators and measures of empowerment characteristically focus on the circumstances of marriage [30], and empowerment within marriage is resulting in the economic wellbeing and health safety of women and their families [57].

#### 3.2. Indicators of Women's Empowerment

Indicators of women's empowerment were identified based on extensive literature reviews (see Table S1) as follows: household decision-making power, gender attitude, and beliefs, physical mobility, control over resources, and relative freedom from domination by the family.

#### 3.2.1. Household Decision-Making Power

To assess women's decision-making power within a household, a set of questions were asked relating to (1) large household purchases; (2) purchases for daily needs; (3) visits to family, friends, and relatives; (4) decisions on spending husband's earnings, and (5) decision-making on their own health care. For each question, if women by themselves or jointly (together with their husband) participate in the decision-making process, the responses were coded as one. If women do not participate in the decision-making process, the responses were coded as zero.

## 3.2.2. Gender Attitude and Beliefs

Women were asked whether or not a husband is justified to physically abuse his wife under the following circumstances: (1) wife goes out without her husband's permission; (2) wife argues with her husband; (3) wife refuses to have sexual relations with her husband; (4) the food is burnt when the wife is cooking, and (5) wife neglects the children. For each question, the responses were reverse-coded. If women responded negatively, it was scored one; and otherwise, zero.

#### 3.2.3. Physical Mobility

The physical mobility of respondents was derived by asking the respondents about their going out alone to the following five places: (1) market; (2) friends' and relatives' houses; (3) children's school; (4) health care center or hospital; and (5) outside of the village. If a respondent answered positively, her response was coded as one; and otherwise, as zero.

## 3.2.4. Control over Resources

Control over resources by women was measured by questioning them about the following conditions: (1) ownership of land and house; (2) ownership of assets; (3) decision-making on the sale or purchase of land, house, and assets; (4) having access to loans, microcredit, and insurance; and (5) being engaged in paid work. If a respondent answered positively, her response was coded as one; and otherwise, as zero.

#### 3.2.5. Relative Freedom from Domination by the Family

To assess relative freedom from domination by the family, the following questions were asked relating to (1) money is taken from them against their will; (2) land or jewelry or livestock is taken from them against their will, and (3) they are prevented from working outside their home. If the women responded that none of the above situations has happened in their lives, they scored one; and otherwise, zero.

#### 3.3. Measuring the Poverty Status of Households

This part of the study focused on the poverty level of surveyed women and their households with the help of (1) the classical income poverty approach; and (2) the multidimensional poverty index (MPI). The MPI contains only non-monetary indicators of the three dimensions of poverty, i.e., education, health, and living standards.

#### 3.3.1. Measuring Income Poverty

We examined the impact of women's empowerment on the income poverty of women and their households, anchored in the poverty line approach, using Foster, Greer, and Thorbecke's poverty indicators [58]. We converted per capita income in Bangladesh currency (Taka) into US dollars using purchasing power parity. In 2019, the purchasing power parity exchange rate was 1US dollar = 79.12 Taka [56]. If the household's income was positioned above the poverty line of USD1.90 per day, income poverty was scored zero, and otherwise, one. We evaluated the income poverty gap using the following Equation (1):

x

$$i = \frac{p - vi}{p} \tag{1}$$

The poverty line is denoted as p in the above equation, and the per capita income of household i is denoted as vi. Households with income above the poverty line are automatically assigned a value of zero. The income poverty gap is a continuous value, ranging from zero to one [59].

## 3.3.2. Measuring Multidimensional Poverty

To investigate the households' deprivation in the poverty dimensions and their ability to meet basic needs, the MPI approach was employed. In association with the United Nations Development Programme, Alkire and Santos [60] developed MPI approach [61]. Among different procedures of multidimensional poverty measurement, namely cluster analysis, factor analysis, weighting procedure, and ordinal approaches [60,62,63], this study used the weighting procedure proposed by Alkire and Santos [60]. The weighting procedure of multidimensional poverty identifies a household's level of deprivation and the people who face poverty in a community. Finally, the MPI provides absolute poverty levels (in intensity terms) that allow measuring poverty across different settings.

Detailed information about all the included indicators of the poverty dimensions and weighted deprivation as illustrated by Alkire and Santos [60] are presented in Table 1. The MPI includes 10 indicators in three dimensions, which are connected to global standards [64]. For the education dimension, we included two indicators, i.e., school attendance of school-aged children and completion of five years of schooling of all household members. For the health dimension, we used nutrition and child mortality as indicators. Nutrition included the Body Mass Index (ratio of weight in kilograms and square of height in meters) for adults and weight-for-age for children. If any child under the age of five had died in a household, it was treated as a child mortality indicator. The standard of living was measured by focusing on electricity, sanitation facilities, drinking water sources, floor type, cooking fuel, and asset ownership [60].

Dimension	Indicator	Description and Deprivation Cut-Off	<b>Relative Weight</b>
Education	Years of schooling	No household member has completed five years of schooling	1/6
	Child's school attendance	Any school-aged child is not attending school in years 1 to 8	1/6
Health	Mortality	Any child who has died in the family	1/6
Tleatur	Nutrition	Any adult to child for whom there is nutritional information is malnourished *	1/6
	Electricity	The household has no electricity	1/18
Living standard	Sanitation	The household's sanitation facility is not improved (according to MDG guidelines), or it is improved but shared with another household **	1/18
	Drinking water	The household does not have access to safe drinking water (according to MDG guidelines) or safe drinking water is more than a 30-min walk from home (round-trip) ***	1/18
	Floor	The household has dirt, sand, or dung floor	1/18
	Cooking fuel	The household cooks with dung, wood, or carbon	1/18
	Asset ownership	The household does not own one of the following assets: radio, TV, telephone, bicycle, motorbike, refrigerator, and does not own a car or truck.	1/18

Table 1. Dimensions, indicators, deprivation cut-off, and weights of the multidimensional poverty index.

\* Adults are considered malnourished if their BMI is below 18.5. Children are considered malnourished if their z-score of weight-for-age is below minus two standard deviations from the median of the reference population. This was estimated following the algorithm provided by the WHO Child Growth Standards (WHO, 2006). http://www.who.int/childgrowth/software/en/ (accessed on 27 January 2020). \*\* A household is considered to have access to improved sanitation if it has some type of flush toilet or latrine, or ventilated improved pit or composting toilet, provided that they are not shared. \*\*\* A household has access to safe drinking water if the water source is any of the following types: piped water, public tap, borehole or pump, protected well, protected spring or rainwater, and it is within a distance of a 30-min walk (round-trip).

The weighting procedure of MPI normally requires an equal-weighted dimension and assures an equal-weighted indicator across different dimensions. The poverty cut-off confines the poor by selecting a lower level of deprivation cut-off of 33.33% (1/3). This poverty cut-off reveals a minimum value of weighted indicators to capture multidimensional poor whose deprivation score is equal to or greater than 33.33% [60].

In this study, we calculated different MPI measures for each sample household using one and zero values for each of the 10 indicators. First, we measured the "total deprivation score of each household" by the summation of weighted values for each of the 10 indicators, using weights ranging from zero to one (presented in Table 1). Second, we constructed a "multidimensional poverty dummy," taking a value of one of the total deprivation score of a household is equal to or greater than a definite threshold of 0.33, and zero otherwise [60]. Finally, we created "multidimensional poverty intensity", equal to the deprivation score if the sample household is multidimensionally poor (MPI dummy equals 1), and zero, otherwise. The interpretation of the multidimensional poverty intensity is similar to the poverty gap [59].

## 3.4. Estimation Strategy

Data was assembled, coded, tabulated, and analyzed using the STATA 13.1 statistical package to justify the objectives of the study. Descriptive statistics included mean, percentage distribution, and standard deviation for MPI and empowerment indicators. To estimate the impact of women's empowerment on income poverty and multidimensional poverty, we used the following regression Equation (2):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 E_i + \beta_2 X_i + {}^e{}_i \tag{2}$$

In the above equation,  $y_i$  is the income and poverty status for the respondent's household *i*,  $E_i$  is the total empowerment score,  $X_i$  is the control variables, and  $e_i$  is the random error term. We evaluated separate models for each poverty indicator by controlling relevant household and socioeconomic characteristics that may influence poverty. Ordinary least squares (OLS) estimators were used for continuous dependent variables, including income, poverty gap, and multidimensional poverty intensity. Logit estimators were used for binary dependent variables, including income poverty dummy and MPI dummy.

The key coefficient of interest is  $\beta_1$ , which captures the effect of women's empowerment on household income and poverty. We expected a positive coefficient or incomeincreasing empowerment effect when we used household income as the dependent variable and a negative coefficient or poverty-reducing empowerment effect when using poverty indicators. For these analyses, we utilized the SVY command of STATA 13.1 to adjust the village effects.

#### 4. Results

## 4.1. Socio-Demographic Analysis

A total of 914 married women participated in this study. The dominant (45.3%) age group was 39–46 years of age, followed by the age group of 29–38 years (38.9%) and 18–28 years (15.8%) (Table 2). As for education level, the women and their husbands were categorized into six groups: (a) illiterate (6.3 and 0.1%, respectively); (b) primary (28.0 and 3.8%, respectively); (c) secondary (37.7 and 7.2%, respectively); (d) higher secondary (13.7 and 32.7%, respectively); (e) graduate (10.6 and 42.7%, respectively); and (f) postgraduate (3.6 and 13.5%, respectively). The average education level was relatively higher for husbands than for women. The majority of the respondents are engaged in paid work (52.4%). On average, four members live in a household and only one member earns money (Table 2).

Variables		Percentage	Mean	Standard Deviation
	18–28	15.8	2.295	0.724
Age	29–38	38.9		
Ũ	39–46	45.3		
	Illiterate	6.3	3.05	1.191
	Primary	28		
E loss Com	Secondary	37.7		
Education	Higher secondary	13.7		
	Graduate	10.6		
	Postgraduate	3.6		
	Illiterate	0.1	4.54	0.952
	Primary	3.8		
Husband's	Secondary	7.2		
education	Higher secondary	32.7		
	Graduate	42.7		
	Postgraduate	13.5		
Employment status	Engaged in paid work	52.4	0.524	0.499
Employment status	Unpaid work	47.6		
Household size		914	3.906	0.859
Earning member		914	1.41	0.492

Table 2. Socio-demographic analysis.

#### 4.2. Evidence of Women's Empowerment

In this study, we used descriptive statistics to express the respondents' empowerment status, which also provides an outline of the empowerment variables that are shown in Table 3. In terms of household decision-making, women exhibited impressive evidence of empowerment in their abilities to take decisions solely or jointly with their husband to visit people (80%), to purchase large household products (62%) and daily needs (77%), to spend their husband's earnings (75%), and their own health care (43%). When a wife disagrees with her husband, physical abuse occurs at a rate of 5%, according to gender attitude and beliefs. Our respondents are less likely to justify physical abuse if women go out without their husbands' permission (1%), burn food (2%), neglect children (3%), and refuse sex (4%). Our findings are also consistent with a previous study [65], indicating that intimate partner violence has begun to decline in Bangladesh. In terms of freedom of physical mobility, the respondents exhibited substantial empowerment in their abilities to visit hospitals alone (96%), visit relatives (70%), go outside of the village (66%), visit their children's school (64%), and go to the market (59%). Concerning control over resources, 88% of women have assets, either solely or jointly with their husband and/or others, whereas 28% have access to loans, micro-credit, and insurance facilities. With regards to freedom from family domination, 68% of the respondents do not have permission to work outside.

#### 4.3. Evidence of Multidimensional Poverty

Our results show that the majority of households are non-deprived in terms of MPI indicators, such as years of schooling, child's school attendance, child mortality, nutrition, sanitation, drinking water, and floor, with the exception of cooking fuel facilities. Deprivation scores of households based on the multidimensional poverty index are presented in Table 4.

Indicators of Women's Empowerment	Yes (%)	Mean	Standard Deviation
Women's participation in household decision-making			
Making decisions on large household purchases	62.0	0.623	0.485
Making decisions on purchases for daily needs	77.0	0.774	0.419
Making decisions on visits to family, relatives, or friends	80.0	0.799	0.401
Making decisions on spending husband's earnings	75.0	0.753	0.432
Making decisions on own health care	43.0	0.434	0.496
Attitude towards wife-beating	8.0	0.923	0.266
Wife beating is justified if she goes out without telling her husband	1.0	0.987	0.114
Wife beating is justified if she argues with husband	5.0	0.950	0.219
Wife beating is justified if she refuses to have sex with her husband	4.0	0.964	0.187
Wife beating is justified if she neglects the children	3.0	0.967	0.178
Wife beating is justified if she burns the food	2.0	0.983	0.131
Physical mobility			
Going alone to the market	59.0	0.591	0.492
Going alone to visit friends, family, and relatives	70.0	0.697	0.460
Going alone to visit a health care center or hospital	96.0	0.956	0.204
Going alone outside of the village	66.0	0.656	0.475
Going alone to visit children school	64.0	0.635	0.482
Control over resources			
Ownership of land	53.0	0.526	0.500
Ownership of assets	88.0	0.875	0.331
Decision on sale and purchase of house, land, and assets	57.0	0.567	0.496
Have access to loans, micro-credit, and insurance	28.0	0.277	0.448
Engaged in paid work	52.0	0.524	0.500
Relative freedom from domination by the family			
Money and jewelry taken against her will	2.0	0.984	0.127
Land taken against her will	2.0	0.976	0.153
Prevented from working outside the home	68.0	0.323	0.468

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of women's empowerment.

Notes: Answer "Yes" means positive outcome for indicators of women's participation in making household decisions, indicators of physical mobility, and indicators of control of resources; while answer "Yes" means negative outcome for indicators of attitude towards wife-beating and relative freedom from domination by the family.

<b>MPI Indicator</b>	Deprived (%)	Non-Deprived (%)	Mean	<b>Standard Deviation</b>
Years of schooling	21.0	79.0	0.208	0.406
Child school attendance	22.0	78.0	0.218	0.413
Mortality	5.0	95.0	0.046	0.209
Nutrition	30.0	70.0	0.299	0.458
Electricity	0.0	100.0	0.000	0.000
Sanitation	10.0	90.0	0.103	0.304
Drinking water	4.0	96.0	0.036	0.187
Floor	34.0	66.0	0.342	0.475
Cooking fuel	59.0	41.0	0.589	0.492
Asset ownership	0.0	100.0	0.000	0.000

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of MPI elements.

Surprisingly, no household is deprived of electricity and asset ownership. In line with our findings, DHS [66] reported that 91% of households have access to electricity, and nearly every household owns a single set of assets. Concerning education, 21% of households are deprived of both years of schooling and a child's school attendance. In terms of the health dimension, only 5% of households are deprived of child mortality indicators. However, the nutrition indicator is quite high (29%). For living conditions, results show that less than 5% of households are deprived in terms of drinking water. There are various sources of drinking water, such as tube well water, pump water, public taps, and so on, and most of the participants are aware of the importance of drinking clean water. Most respondents are accustomed to hygienic sanitation as a result of increased

awareness through newspaper reading, media exposure, and promotion of worthwhile and low maintenance cost of hygienic sanitation by government departments. For cooking fuel indicators, more than half of all households (60%) are considered deprived. Because of the availability of local resources, agricultural residue, and animal excreta in Bangladesh, most rural people use these resources for fuel, which makes many rural households to be deprived of cooking fuel indicators.

#### 4.4. Impact Assessment on Poverty

Table 5 represents the effects of women's empowerment on the per capita income of households and estimates of log-transformation of per capita income using the OLS model.

	Per Capita Income	Log of per Capita Income
Variables —	OLS	OLS
	1.483 *	0.008 **
Empowerment score	(0.786)	(0.003)
	5.840 ***	0.032 ***
Education of respondents (years)	(2.011)	(0.009)
	6.046 ***	0.038 ***
Education of husband (years)	(2.245)	(0.010)
Association of the second s	2.321	0.002
Asset ownership of women (dummy)	(6.809)	(0.029)
	-0.493	0.002
Engaged in paid work (dummy)	(3.920)	(0.017)
Entry in the interval (here)	-13.330	-0.121 *
Experiencing gender violence (dummy)	(15.099)	(0.065)
	10.759	0.058
Political knowledge (dummy)	(10.415)	(0.045)
	12.168	0.058 *
Control over use of household income (dummy)	(7.740)	(0.033)
	-12.303 **	-0.044 **
Prevented from working outside (dummy)	(4.797)	(0.021)
	-14.761	-0.044
Money and jewelry taken against their will (dummy)	(14.024)	(0.060)
A	1.105	-0.002
Access to loans, micro-credit, and insurance (dummy)	(4.692)	(0.020)
	2.612	0.039 **
Decision on children's health and education (dummy)	(4.338)	(0.019)
	5.948 ***	0.020 **
Access to healthcare facilities (dummy)	(2.169)	(0.009)
Child martality (dummy)	2.559	-0.014
Child mortality (dummy)	(8.270)	(0.035)
Number of demondant generation the bound of d (generation)	-7.764 *	-0.033 *
Number of dependent persons in the household (number)	(4.565)	(0.020)
Constant	9.912	1.422 ***
Constant	(19.247)	(0.082)
(Pseudo) R-squared	0.090	0.151
Observations	914	914

Table 5. Effect of women's empowerment on per capita income.

Notes: Coefficient estimates are reported. Standard errors are shown in parentheses. \* Significant at 10% level; \*\* Significant at 5% level;

Results are shown as coefficients and their 90% confidence intervals for the variables. Women's empowerment score has a significantly synergistic (positive) effect on household income. Table 5 reports that a 0.1 increase (10 percentage points) in women's empowerment would raise per capita income by 14%. Moreover, women's education, husband's education, and access to healthcare facilities have significant synergistic effects on both per capita income and log of per capita income. However, preventing women from going outside to

work and the number of dependent people in the household has significantly antagonistic (negative) effects on both per capita income and log of per capita income.

Table 6 represents that women's empowerment score, women's education, husband's education, and decision on children's health and education, all have significantly antagonistic effects on both income poverty and income poverty gap; while experiencing gender violence has a significant synergistic effect on both income poverty and the income poverty gap.

	Income Poverty (Dummy)	Income Poverty Gap (0–1)
Variables	Logit	OLS
En	-0.099 ***	-0.005 **
Empowerment score	(0.037)	(0.002)
Education of momon donts (mome)	-0.465 ***	-0.015 ***
Education of respondents (years)	(0.107)	(0.005)
Education of husband (years)	-0.617 ***	-0.027 ***
Education of husband (years)	(0.111)	(0.006)
Accet our parchip of woman (dummy)	-0.169	0.014
Asset ownership of women (dummy)	(0.301)	(0.018)
Engaged in paid work (dummy)	-0.342 *	-0.016
Engaged in paid work (duniny)	(0.195)	(0.010)
Experiencing gender violence (dummy)	1.791 **	0.105 ***
Experiencing gender violence (dunniny)	(0.858)	(0.040)
Political knowledge (dummy)	-0.344	-0.023
i olitical knowledge (dullinity)	(0.591)	(0.027)
Control over use of household income (dummy)	0.022	$-0.041^{**}$
control over use of nousehold income (dufility)	(0.331)	(0.020)
Prevented from working outside (dummy)	-0.031	0.007
rievented from working outside (dufinity)	(0.243)	(0.013)
Money and jewelry taken against their will (dummy)	0.146	-0.011
woney and jewen'y taken against their will (dufinity)	(0.624)	(0.037)
Access to loans, micro-credit, and insurance (dummy)	0.050	0.005
recess to touris, micro creat, and insurance (duminy)	(0.233)	(0.012)
Decision on children's health and education (dummy)	-0.818 ***	-0.054 ***
Decision on embren s nearth and education (duning)	(0.205)	(0.011)
Access to healthcare facilities (dummy)	-0.246 **	-0.002
recess to realificate mentics (dufinity)	(0.104)	(0.006)
Child mortality (dummy)	0.609	0.043 **
cline mortanty (duninty)	(0.383)	(0.022)
Number of dependent persons in household (number)	0.506 **	0.020
runner of dependent persons in nousenoid (number)	(0.228)	(0.012)
Constant	5.402 ***	0.377 ***
	(0.910)	(0.051)
(Pseudo) R-squared	0.212	0.188
Observations	914	914

Table 6. Effect of women's empowerment on income poverty.

Notes: Coefficient estimates are reported. Standard errors are shown in parentheses. \* Significant at 10% level; \*\* Significant at 5% level; \*\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table 7 represents that empowerment score, women's education, husbands' education, women's asset ownership, engaged in paid work, decision on children's health and education, and access to healthcare facilities, have significantly antagonistic effects on both multidimensional poverty and multidimensional poverty intensity. However, experiencing gender violence and child mortality have significantly synergistic effects on both multidimensional poverty and multidimensional poverty intensity.

Variables	Multidimensional Poverty (Dummy)	Multidimensional Poverty Intensity (0–1)
	Logit	OLS
Emportante actua	-0.101 **	-0.567 **
Empowerment score	(0.046)	(0.224)
Education of regnandants (years)	-0.306 **	-2.019 ***
Education of respondents (years)	(0.124)	(0.574)
	-0.481 ***	-2.136 ***
Education of husband (years)	(0.133)	(0.640)
	-0.809 **	-6.764 ***
Asset ownership of women (dummy)	(0.365)	(1.943)
	-0.543 **	-2.148 *
Engaged in paid work (dummy)	(0.244)	(1.119)
	2.511 ***	15.683 ***
Experiencing gender violence (dummy)	(0.818)	(4.309)
	-0.861	-2.339
Political knowledge (dummy)	(0.866)	(2.972)
	-0.295	0.166
Control over use of household income (dummy)	(0.404)	(2.209)
	-0.382	0.267
Prevented from working outside (dummy)	(0.321)	(1.369)
	0.822	7.634 *
Money and jewelry taken against their will (dummy)	(0.713)	(4.003)
	0.323	1.499
Access to loans, micro-credit, and insurance (dummy)	(0.294)	(1.339)
	-2.703 ***	-21.482 **
Decision on children's health and education (dummy)	(0.240)	(1.238)
	-0.376 ***	-1.591 **
Access to healthcare facilities (dummy)	(0.128)	(0.619)
	2.709 ***	23.409 ***
Child mortality (dummy)	(0.418)	(2.360)
	0.270	1.329
Number of dependent persons in the household (number)	(0.298)	(1.303)
	5.516 ***	51.766 ***
Constant	(1.089)	(5.493)
(Pseudo) R-squared	0.344	0.426
Observations	914	914

Table 7. Effect of women's empowerment on multidimensional poverty.
---

Notes: Coefficient estimates are reported. Standard errors are shown in parentheses. \* Significant at 10% level; \*\* Significant at 5% level; \*\*\* Significant at 1% level.

## 5. Discussion

This study has examined the impact of women's empowerment on poverty reduction in the rural areas of Bangladesh. Different indicators that were used to measure the empowerment status of women are given in Table 3. Our findings show that a 10% increase in women's empowerment scores will increases per capita income by 14%, while also lowering the prevalence of income poverty by 0.99% and multidimensional poverty by 1.01%, as shown in Tables 5–7. It is also found that the education of women and their husbands has a significantly positive relationship with the increase of per capita income and decrease of poverty. The level of education improves people's participation in the capital and labor markets and is widely recognized as an authentic tool for eliminating poverty and improving the well-being of people [67]. Moreover, qualified women employ themselves in different kinds of prestigious jobs [68], hence reducing their economic dependence and household poverty [69,70]. Generally, highly educated women have more economic and decision-making power and also social freedom, which ultimately reduce their physical, sexual, and emotional vulnerability within the household [71]. Highly educated women can make their own healthcare decisions, ensure better health status for their children, spend their husband's earnings well, and reject views of physical abuse [72,73]. In addition

to this, highly educated household members know a better way to tackle the effect of poverty and chronic poverty [74,75]. The education of women and their husbands acts as a significant catalyst to reduce income poverty, and households with educated couples have a lower risk of being poor [76]. On the other hand, households with a higher number of dependents are multidimensionally poorer than households with a lower number of dependents [60]. Overall, our analysis demonstrates that women and their husbands' education have a significant effect on reducing income poverty and multidimensional poverty in households. Similar to our study, Sell and Minot [77] stated that education empowers women to hold a better position within the household and to reduce their household poverty.

The measure of household resources (such as the value of a household's assets) is a long-run measure of economic status rather than a measure of income [78]. Assets, such as mobile phones, televisions, refrigerators, fans, air conditioners, motorcycles, etc., could improve an individual's health, peace of mind, and mental development, as well as make life more comfortable after a day's work. Women's having business equipment (like sewing and embroidery machines, electric irons, incubators, etc.) and skills could improve their income and living conditions as well as decrease household poverty [18]. In line with this result, our study also found that with the increase of assets in the household, multidimensional poverty declines by 0.809%, as shown in Table 7. Our findings support DHS [66], who reported that asset ownership has increased dramatically in both urban and rural areas of Bangladesh, with nearly every household owning a number of assets.

Education is found to be a very important determinant of women's favorable attitude towards gender equality. The majority of educated women in rural areas work as teachers, are engaged in trade or moneylending, do small businesses, or do sewing and embroidery. We can observe from Tables 6 and 7 that women engaged in paid work, have a negative correlation with income poverty and multidimensional poverty. Khatun and Kabir [79] studied women's empowerment in Bangladesh through entrepreneurship and found that women who are not permitted to go outside for work but earn income through running a business such as tailoring, making cakes, gardening, etc. Furthermore, rural women earn income by rearing livestock, money saved from their husband's family, money received from their natal family, or microcredit from various non-government organizations (NGOs) ultimately increase their economic empowerment [68]. These kinds of income-generating activities empower women not only within the household but also to contribute to reducing income poverty and multidimensional poverty [80].

Intimate partner violence is a crime encompassing physical, sexual, psychological, and emotional abuse by a husband or partner [81]. Frequent abuse is the main cause of deterioration in mental health and happiness [82]. Gender-based violence violates women's fundamental rights, laws, and regulations, and also limits the potential sources of women's empowerment. The environment in which women are victims of violence can weaken women's empowerment [5]. The experience of poverty exacerbates the risk of violence for women [83,84]. However, when men rely on their wives' financial contributions and maintain a certain standard of living, violence against women decreases [68]. Economic advancements in rural areas have reduced poverty, and households with more assets have a lower rate of domestic violence [78].

Women's economic independence is an important factor for improving their empowerment. Women have gained freedom of mobility, decision-making power, awareness of their rights as women, and self-confidence from earning and managing their own income [85]. Women in Bangladesh do household work that is not paid work, like working on their husband's farm and caring for their children. This makes them financially dependent on their husbands and other male relatives [86]. However, it has been found that women's income significantly increases overall household income and the income of the husband and wife is pooled as family income [17]. Empowered women contribute not only by providing their personal income, but also by assisting their husbands with various incomegenerating activities, such as working in farms and shops, giving advice, taking loans from various NGOs, and supervising family resources [68]. World Vision Ghana states that if women have the opportunity to get credit for employment, their contribution to the well-being of their family would help to reduce family poverty and women's economic dependence on their husbands [80]. As a result, when restrictions are imposed on women from working outside the home, the overall income is significantly reduced. Our study has similar findings that prohibiting women from working outside significantly reduces per capita income, as shown in Table 5.

Women's capability to participate in and make household decisions is one of the key elements of empowerment. Women have the power to make decisions on household expenses (groceries, clothes, and expenditure on children's health and school fees) in Indonesia, Myanmar, the Philippines, and Thailand, while men occasionally participate in major expenditure decisions [17]. Moreover, credit decisions are also made by mutual agreement of women and men in all the mentioned countries [17]. The results of Wouterse [9] show that when more power is given to women over household expenditure, income spent on food quality increases as opposed to income spent on unhealthy food, recreation, and alcohol. In this study, we find that women's participation in household decision-making and control over resources, considerably increases per capita income, while at the same time, significantly decreases income poverty and multidimensional poverty, as presented in Tables 5–7. Similar findings have been reported by previous studies that women play a significant role in household food security, children's education, and the healthy lives of household members [17,68]. However, contradictory trends are observed in poor Ghanaian communities, where men have very strong domination over women within the household [80].

In this study, we also observe a significant relationship between child mortality and income poverty and multidimensional poverty in the rural areas of Bangladesh. Women's relative unequal access to education, employment, finance, decision-making power, basic health care facilities, and other productive resources, is considered as the prime reason for their ill-health and that of their children. Relatively economically poor and less empowered women are less likely to receive proper health care facilities than wealthy and empowered women [87]. According to Lachaud [88], in rural areas, lower living standards of households, in terms of assets, are associated with high child mortality. Furthermore, it has been discovered that living below or slightly above the national poverty line, as well as a lack of insurance coverage, are risk factors for children's health.

A wealth of research has reported that access to loans and micro-credit has played a remarkable role in reducing poverty [80,89,90]. However, in this study, we find no significant relationship between women's access to loans and microcredit and per capita income or reducing income poverty, which can be verified from Tables 5 and 6. Freedom of mobility indicator determines not only the extent to which women can go outside the home but also their personal autonomy in terms of not having to seek permission from their husbands or any other household member [91]. In Bangladesh, women who do not have any freedom to go outside on their own, are possibly deprived of empowerment programs, such as microfinance, and therefore, microfinance oftentimes does not reach the poor [92]. This is a common scenario in rural areas compared to urban areas because, in rural areas of Bangladesh, the purdah practice confines women to the home and compound; they mostly have to obtain permission either from their husband or any other responsible person or at least, tell them when they are going outside. Mahmud et al. [93] reported that women who work outside the home do not usually ask for permission when they go outside for work, but do so when they go out for other purposes.

The different selected indicators considerably influence women's empowerment status, showing an increment in per capita income and a decrease in different poverty indices. Educated women have more opportunities to participate in income-generating activities, household decision-making, and resource control, which help to empower them. Empowered women are more conscious of their own rights as well as of the wellbeing of their children and family members, which eventually can reduce household income poverty and multidimensional poverty. If women become conscious about their rights and supportive policies that empower women socioeconomically are in place, that would generate a righteous succession of women's overall empowerment in both their household and societal spheres.

## 6. Conclusions

Women's empowerment is a critical human rights issue with implications for the well-being of women, their families, and society as well as socio-economic development and poverty reduction, especially in developing countries like Bangladesh. In this paper, we have examined the impact of women's empowerment on income, income poverty, and multidimensional poverty in the rural area of Bangladesh. Data were collected from 914 respondents. To measure women's empowerment, this study used different indicators which were selected based on an extensive literature review. The results reveal that women's empowerment score contributes to increase per capita income and decrease income poverty and multidimensional poverty. Women's education significantly reduces multidimensional poverty and income poverty. Whereas gender violence, taking resources against women's will and preventing women from working outside, caused a considerable decline in per capita income and, increase in income poverty and multidimensional poverty. Working women who earn cash have high household autonomy, high freedom of movement, no gender preference and they face lower levels of domestic violence. Violence against women remains one of the most widespread and persistent human rights abuses in the world, stemming from deep-rooted notions of women's unequal status. It is a major obstacle to the fulfillment of women's and girls' human rights and the achievement of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Violence against women is no longer viewed as an inevitable part of family life, of social relations, of the workplace, or war; indeed, violence against women cannot be justified under any circumstances. However, the disempowerment of women in Bangladesh is not solely linked to gender, because working women who earn cash have high household autonomy, high freedom of movement, no gender preference and they do not rationalize domestic violence, all of which indicate that women's empowerment is positively associated with lower levels of domestic violence.

The concept of empowerment is closely related to agency and thereby to human development. Any policy aiming at human development needs to be informed about the factors that enhance agency and contribute to empowerment as both agency and empowerment are not only intrinsically valuable but also instrumentally important for poverty reduction. The government and non-government organizations' programs directed to repositioning family planning services should be geared up and centered around various dimensions of women's empowerment, especially focusing on their economic existence in society and decision-making power. Educational programs should prioritize achieving gender equity in schooling outcomes. Although this study examines a number of indicators accompanied by women's empowerment, such as asset ownership, employment status, household decision-making power, educational status, physical mobility, and so on. Future research could add other different indicators, like assets brought to the marriage, proximity to other family members, characteristics of the respondent's parents and upbringing, and the influence of community norms, which could explain empowered women's roles in household poverty reduction. The findings may be useful as a policy tool for planners, administrators, and development workers to initiate appropriate strategies for women's empowerment to reduce poverty in the rural areas of Bangladesh, which can also be applied in other similar contexts.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/ijerph18136909/s1, Table S1: Indicators of women's empowerment in previous studies.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, W.W. and T.S.; data curation, T.S. and N.A.; formal analysis, T.S.; funding acquisition, W.Ż.-S.; investigation, W.W., T.S., R.R., M.G.R. and M.S.H.; methodology, W.W. and T.S.; project administration, W.W.; resources, W.W. and T.S.; software, T.S.; supervision, W.W. and T.S.; validation, T.S.; visualization, T.S., R.R., M.G.R. and M.S.H.; writing—original draft, T.S.; writing—review and editing, W.W., T.S., W.Ż.-S., R.R. and G.M.M.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This study was approved by the School of Economics and Finance, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of the study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. United Nations. Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *United Nations Sustainable knowledge platform.* 2015. Available online: https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld (accessed on 27 January 2020).
- 2. Wei, W.; Sarker, T.; Roy, R.; Sarkar, A.; Ghulam Rabbany, M. Women's empowerment and their experience to food security in rural Bangladesh. *Sociol. Health Illn.* 2021, 1–24. [CrossRef]
- 3. Malapit, H.J.L.; Quisumbing, A.R. What dimensions of women's empowerment in agriculture matter for nutrition in Ghana? *Food Policy* **2015**, *52*, 54–63. [CrossRef]
- 4. World Bank. World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty; World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2000.
- 5. Gupta, K.; Yesudian, P.P. Evidence of women's empowerment in India: A study of socio-spatial disparities. *GeoJournal* **2006**, *65*, 365–380. [CrossRef]
- 6. Doss, C. If women hold up half the sky, how much of the world's food do they produce? In *Gender in Agriculture: Closing the Knowledge Gap;* Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2014; ISBN 9789401786164.
- 7. Food and Agriculture Organization. *The State of Food and Agriculture: Women in Agriculture: Closing the Gap for Development;* Food and Agriculture Organization: Rome, Italy, 2011.
- 8. Sraboni, E.; Malapit, H.J.; Quisumbing, A.R.; Ahmed, A.U. Women's empowerment in agriculture: What role for food security in Bangladesh? *World Dev.* **2014**, *61*, 11–52. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wouterse, F.S. The Distribution of Power and Household Behavior: Evidence from Niger; IFPRI: Washington, DC, USA, 2016.
- 10. Action Aid International. *What Women Farmers Need: A blueprint for Action;* Action Aid International: Johannesburg, South Africa, 2011.
- 11. Sharaunga, S.; Mudhara, M.; Bogale, A. The impact of "women's empowerment in agriculture" on household vulnerability to food insecurity in the KwaZulu-Natal province. *Forum Dev. Stud.* **2015**, *42*, 195–223. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sharma, V.; Seth, P.; Niyazi, S. Capability Enhancement of Women through Information Technology to Reduce Poverty, Inequality and Radical Feminism. *Int. J. Asian Bus. Inf. Manag.* 2012, *3*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 13. Faborode, H.; Alao, T.O. The battle against rural poverty and other challenges of development: Empirical analysis of women empowerment programme of Justice, Development and Peace Movement (JDPM) in Osun State, Nigeria. *Acta Agron.* **2016**, *65*, 149–155. [CrossRef]
- 14. BBS. Bangladesh Statistics 2016; BBS: Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2017.
- 15. Chowdhury, A.M.R.; Bhuiya, A.; Chowdhury, M.E.; Rasheed, S.; Hussain, Z.; Chen, L.C. The Bangladesh paradox: Exceptional health achievement despite economic poverty. *Lancet* 2013, *382*, 1734–1745. [CrossRef]
- Parveen, S.; Leonhäuser, I. Empowerment of Rural Women in Bangladesh: A Household Level Analysis. In Proceedings of the Conference on Rural Poverty Reduction through Research for Development and Transformation, Berlin, Germany, 5–7 October 2004.
- 17. Akter, S.; Rutsaert, P.; Luis, J.; Htwe, N.M.; San, S.S.; Raharjo, B.; Pustika, A. Women's empowerment and gender equity in agriculture: A different perspective from Southeast Asia. *Food Policy* **2017**, *69*, 270–279. [CrossRef]
- Oladokun, Y.O.M.; Adenegan, K.O.; Salman, K.K.; Alawode, O.O. Level of asset ownership by women in rural North-East and South-East Nigeria. Womens. Stud. Int. Forum 2018, 70, 68–78. [CrossRef]
- 19. Sinharoy, S.S.; Waid, J.L.; Ali, M.; Yount, K.M.; Thilsted, S.H.; Girard, A.W. Resources for women's agency, household food security, and women's dietary diversity in urban Bangladesh. *Glob. Food Sec.* **2019**, 23, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 20. Sraboni, E.; Quisumbing, A. Women's empowerment in agriculture and dietary quality across the life course: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Food Policy* **2018**, *81*, 21–36. [CrossRef]

- 21. Narayan, D. Conceptual framework and methodological challenges. In *Measuring Empowerment: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives;* The World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2005; Volume 6, pp. 363–365, ISBN 9786468600.
- 22. Cornwall, A. Women's empowerment: What works. J. Int. Dev. 2016, 28, 342–359. [CrossRef]
- 23. Moyo, C.; Francis, J.; Ndlovu, P. Community-Perceived State of Women Empowerment in Some Rural Areas of Limpopo Province, South Africa. *Gend. Behav.* 2012, 10, 4418–4432.
- 24. Rowlands, J. Empowerment Examined; Taylor & Francis: Milton Park, UK, 1995; Volume 5, ISBN 0961452951.
- 25. Sen, G. Empowerment as an Approach to Poverty; Human Development Report Office: New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- 26. Doepke, M.; Tertilt, M. Does Female Empowerment Promote Economic Development? The World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2014.
- 27. Malhotra, A.; Schuler, S.R. Women's empowerment as a variable in International Development. In *Measuring Empowerment: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives;* The World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2005; pp. 71–88, ISBN 0821360574.
- 28. Sundar, I. Gender equality and women empowerment. Int. J. Humanit. Soc. Sci. 2017, 7, 7–21.
- 29. Mosedale, S. Assessing women's empowerment: Towards a conceptual framework. J. Int. Dev. 2005, 17, 243–257. [CrossRef]
- 30. Kabeer, N. Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. *Dev. Chang.* **1999**, *30*, 435–464. [CrossRef]
- 31. Sharaunga, S.; Mudhara, M.; Bogale, A. Conceptualisation and Measurement of Women's Empowerment Revisited. *J. Hum. Dev. Capab.* 2019, 20, 1–25. [CrossRef]
- Kabeer, N. Conflicts over credit: Re-evaluating the empowerment potential of loans to women in rural Bangladesh. World Dev. 2001, 29, 63–84. [CrossRef]
- 33. Malhotra, A.; Schuler, S.R.; Boender, C. Conceptualizing and measuring women's empowerment as a variable in international development. In Proceedings of the Paper Prepared for the World Bank Workshop on Poverty and Gender: New Perspectives; 2003. Final Version: 28 June 2002. Available online: http://sitesresources.worldbank.org/INTGENDER/Resources/ MalhotraSchulerBoender.pdf (accessed on 30 May 2013).
- 34. Alsop, R.; Bertelsen, M.F.; Holland, J. *Empowerment in Practice: From Analysis to Implementation*; World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2006; ISBN 9780821364505.
- 35. Martinez, E. *The Courage to Change: Confronting the Limits and Unleashing the Potential of CARE's Programming for Women;* CARE: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2006.
- 36. Njoh, A.J.; Ananga, E. The Development Hypothesis of Women Empowerment in the Millennium Development Goals Tested in the Context Women's Access to Land in Africa. *Soc. Indic. Res.* **2016**, *128*, 89–104. [CrossRef]
- Takayanagi, T. Rethinking women's learning and empowerment in Kenya: Maasai village women take initiative. *Int. Rev. Educ.* 2016, 62, 671–688. [CrossRef]
- Grabe, S. Participation: Structural and relational power and Maasai women's political subjectivity in Tanzania. *Fem. Psychol.* 2015, 25, 528–548. [CrossRef]
- Wekwete, N.; Sanhokwe, H.; Murenjekwa, W.; Takavarasha, F.; Madzingira, N. The Association between Spousal Gender Based Violence and Women's Empowerment among Currently Married Women aged 15–49 in Zimba-bwe: Evidence from the 2010–2011 Zimbabwe Demographic and Health Survey. *African Popul. Stud.* 2014, 28, 1413–1431. [CrossRef]
- Rao, N. Assets, Agency and Legitimacy: Towards a Relational Understanding of Gender Equality Policy and Practice. *World Dev.* 2017, 95, 43–54. [CrossRef]
- 41. Solanke, B.L.; Kupoluyi, J.A.; Abe, J.O.; Bankole, O.T. Polygyny and Resources for Empowerment and Equality in Anglo-Phone West Africa: Implications for Childbearing and Women's Well-Being. *Eur. Sci. J.* **2018**, *14*, 174–194. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ragsdale, K.; Read-Wahidi, M.R.; Wei, T.; Martey, E.; Goldsmith, P. Using the WEAI+ to explore gender equity and agricultural empowerment: Baseline evidence among men and women smallholder farmers in Ghana's Northern Region. *J. Rural Stud.* **2018**, *64*, 123–134. [CrossRef]
- 43. Kapinga, A.F.; Montero, C.S. Exploring the socio-cultural challenges of food processing women entrepreneurs in IRINGA, TANZANIA and strategies used to tackle them. *J. Glob. Entrep. Res.* **2017**, *7*, 17. [CrossRef]
- 44. Fielding, D.; Lepine, A. Women's Empowerment and Wellbeing: Evidence from Africa. J. Dev. Stud. 2017, 53, 826–840. [CrossRef]
- 45. De Brauw, A.; Gilligan, D.O.; Hoddinott, J.; Roy, S. The Impact of Bolsa Família on Women's Decision-Making Power. *World Dev.* **2014**, *59*, 487–504. [CrossRef]
- 46. Sumner, D.; Christie, M.E.; Boulakia, S. Conservation agriculture and gendered livelihoods in Northwestern Cambodia: Decisionmaking, space and access. *Agric. Human Values* **2017**, *34*, 347–362. [CrossRef]
- 47. Maligalig, R.; Demont, M.; Umberger, W.J.; Peralta, A. Off-farm employment increases women's empowerment: Evidence from rice farms in the Philippines. *J. Rural Stud.* 2019, *71*, 62–72. [CrossRef]
- 48. Bain, C.; Ransom, E.; Halimatusa'diyah, I. 'Weak winners' of Women's empowerment: The gendered effects of dairy livestock assets on time poverty in Uganda. *J. Rural Stud.* 2018, *61*, 100–109. [CrossRef]
- 49. Hindin, M.J. Women's power and anthropometric status in Zimbabwe. Soc. Sci. Med. 2000, 51, 1517–1528. [CrossRef]
- 50. Badejo, A.F.; Majekodunmi, A.O.; Kingsley, P.; Smith, J.; Welburn, S.C. The impact of self-help groups on pastoral women's empowerment and agency: A study in Nigeria. *Pastoralism* **2017**, *7*, 28. [CrossRef]
- 51. World Bank. Gender equality in development; World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2012; ISBN 9780821388105.

- 52. Gakidou, E.; Cowling, K.; Lozano, R.; Murray, C.J. Increased educational attainment and its effect on child mortality in 175 countries between 1970 and 2009: A systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2010, 376, 959–974. [CrossRef]
- 53. McKinsey & Company. Gender Equality. In *Global Research on the Gender Gap and the Case for Greater Diversity in the Workplace;* McKinsey & Company: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- 54. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization. Tanzania Mainland Country Profile: Gender Inequalities in Rural Employment in Tanzania Mainland, an Overview; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2014.
- 55. OECD. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. OECD Week 2012—Gender Equality in Education, Employment and Entrepreneurship—Final Report to the MCM 2012; OECD: Paris, France, 2012.
- 56. BBS. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Bangladesh Statistics 2019; Ministry of Planning: Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2019.
- 57. Yount, K.M. Resources, family organization, and domestic violence against married women in Minya, Egypt. *J. Marriage Fam.* **2005**, *67*, 579–596. [CrossRef]
- 58. Foster, J.; Greer, J.; Thorbecke, E. A Class of Decomposable Poverty Measures. Econometrica 1984, 52, 761–766. [CrossRef]
- 59. Ogutu, S.O.; Qaim, M. Commercialization of the small farm sector and multidimensional poverty. *World Dev.* **2019**, *114*, 281–293. [CrossRef]
- 60. Alkire, S.; Santos, M.E. Measuring Acute Poverty in the Developing World: Robustness and Scope of the Multidimensional Poverty Index. *World Dev.* **2014**, *59*, 251–274. [CrossRef]
- 61. UNDP. United Nations Development Program. Human Development Report 2013; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Arndt, C.; Distante, R.; Hussain, M.A.; Østerdal, L.P.; Huong, P.L.; Ibraimo, M. Ordinal Welfare Comparisons with Multiple Discrete Indicators: A First Order Dominance Approach and Application to Child Poverty. *World Dev.* 2012, 40, 2290–2301. [CrossRef]
- 63. Kakwani, N.; Silber, J. *Quantitative Approaches to Multidimensional Poverty Measurement*; Palgrave Macmillan: London, UK, 2008; ISBN 9780230582354.
- UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Institute for Statistics database, Table 1. Education systems (UIS, 2010). Available online: http://stats.uis.unesco.org/unesco/TableViewer/%0AtableView.aspx?ReportId=163 (accessed on 27 January 2020).
- 65. Schuler, S.R.; Lenzi, R.; Nazneen, S.; Bates, L.M. Perceived decline in intimate partner violence against women in Bangladesh: Qualitative evidence. *Stud. Fam. Plann.* **2013**, *44*, 243–257. [CrossRef]
- 66. DHS. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey; Ministry of Health and Family Welfare: Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2018.
- 67. Akerele, D.; Adewuyi, S.A. Analysis of poverty profiles and socio economic determinants of welfare among urban households of Ekiti state, Nigeria. *Curr. Res. J. Soc. Sci.* 2011, *3*, 1–7.
- 68. Schuler, S.R.; Nazneen, S. Does Intimate Partner Violence Decline as Women's Empowerment Becomes Normative? Perspectives of Bangladeshi Women. *World Dev.* 2018, 101, 284–292. [CrossRef]
- Schuler, S.R.; Lenzi, R.; Badal, S.H.; Bates, L.M. Women's Empowerment as a Protective Factor Against Intimate Partner Violence in Bangladesh: A Qualitative Exploration of the Process and Limitations of Its Influence. *Violence Against Women* 2017, 23, 1100–1121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Conroy, A.A. Gender, Power, and Intimate Partner Violence: A Study on Couples from Rural Malawi. *J. Interpers. Violence* 2014, 29, 866–888. [CrossRef]
- Kreager, D.A.; Felson, R.B.; Warner, C.; Wenger, M.R. Women's Education, Marital Violence, and Divorce: A Social Exchange Perspective. J. Marriage Fam. 2013, 75, 565–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bizzego, A.; Gabrieli, G.; Bornstein, M.H.; Deater-Deckard, K.; Lansford, J.E.; Bradley, R.H.; Costa, M.; Esposito, G. Predictors of contemporary under-5 child mortality in low-and middle-income countries: A machine learning approach. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 1315. [CrossRef]
- 73. Habibov, N.; Barrett, B.J.; Chernyak, E. Understanding women's empowerment and its determinants in post-communist countries: Results of Azerbaijan national survey. *Womens. Stud. Int. Forum* **2017**, *62*, 125–135. [CrossRef]
- 74. Awotide, B.A.; Diagne, A.; Awoyemi, T.T.; Ebiohomon, V.; Ojehomon, T. Household Endowments and Poverty Reduction in Rural Nigeria: Evidence from Rice Farming Households. *Agric. J.* **2011**, *6*, 274–284. [CrossRef]
- 75. Glauben, T.; Herzfeld, T.; Wang, X. The persistence of poverty I rural China: Applying an ordered Probit and a hazard approach. In Proceedings of the Contributed paper for presentation at the International Association of Agricultural Economics Conference, Gold Coast, Australia, 12–18 August 2006.
- 76. Alkire, S.; Fang, Y. Dynamics of Multidimensional Poverty and Uni-dimensional Income Poverty: An Evidence of Stability Analysis from China. *Soc. Indic. Res.* **2019**, *142*, 25–64. [CrossRef]
- 77. Sell, M.; Minot, N. What factors explain women's empowerment? Decision-making among small-scale farmers in Uganda. *Womens. Stud. Int. Forum* **2018**, *71*, 46–55. [CrossRef]
- 78. Heath, R. Women's Access to Labor Market Opportunities, Control of Household Resources, and Domestic Violence: Evidence from Bangladesh. *World Dev.* **2014**, *57*, 32–46. [CrossRef]
- 79. Khatun, F.; Fardaus Kabir, A.T.M. Women SME Entrepreneurs in ensuring women empowerment in Bangladesh: A Study on Women SME Entrepreneurs in Bangladesh. *ISSN ISSN (CD-ROM Am. Int. J. Res. Humanit. Arts Soc. Sci. AIJRHASS* **2014**, *5*, 61–68.
- Ganle, J.K.; Afriyie, K.; Segbefia, A.Y. Microcredit: Empowerment and disempowerment of rural women in Ghana. World Dev. 2015, 66, 335–345. [CrossRef]

- Pocock, M.; Jackson, D.; Bradbury-Jones, C. Intimate partner violence and the power of love: A qualitative systematic review. *Health Care Women Int.* 2020, 41, 621–646. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Bonilla-Algovia, E.; Rivas-Rivero, E.; Vázquez, J.J. Impact of gender-based violence on psychological distress and happiness in León (Nicaragua). *Health Care Women Int.* 2020, 41, 673–689. [CrossRef]
- 83. Muluneh, M.D.; Francis, L.; Agho, K.; Stulz, V. A systematic review and meta-analysis of associated factors of gender-based violence against women in sub-Saharan Africa. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 4407. [CrossRef]
- 84. Gillum, T.L. The intersection of intimate partner violence and poverty in Black communities. *Aggress. Violent Behav.* **2019**, *46*, 37–44. [CrossRef]
- 85. Gurman, T.A.; Ballard, A.; Kerr, S.; Walsh, J.; Petrocy, A. Waking Up the Mind: Qualitative Study Findings About the Process Through Which Programs Combining Income Generation and Health Education Can Empower Indigenous Guatemalan Women. *Health Care Women Int.* **2016**, *37*, 325–342. [CrossRef]
- 86. Hashemi, S.M.; Schuler, S.R.; Riley, A.P. Rural Credit Programs and Women'S Empowerment in Bangladesh. *World Dev.* **1996**, *24*, 635–653. [CrossRef]
- Zaky, H.H.M.; Armanious, D.M.; Hussein, M.A. Testing for the endogenous nature between women's empowerment and antenatal health care utilization: Evidence from a cross-sectional study in Egypt. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2014, 2014, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Lachaud, J. Modelling determinants of child mortality and poverty in the Comoros. Health Place 2004, 10, 13–42. [CrossRef]
- Agbola, F.W.; Acupan, A.; Mahmood, A. Does microfinance reduce poverty? New evidence from Northeastern Mindanao, the Philippines. J. Rural Stud. 2017, 50, 159–171. [CrossRef]
- 90. Yasmine, F.N. Microcredit and the socio-economic wellbeing of women and their families in Cairo. *J. Socio. Econ.* **2008**, *37*, 644–656. [CrossRef]
- 91. Mahmud, S.; Shah, N.M.; Becker, S. Measurement of Women's Empowerment in Rural Bangladesh. *World Dev.* **2012**, *40*, 610–619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Roodman, D.; Morduch, J. The Impact of Microcredit on the Poor in Bangladesh: Revisiting the Evidence. *J. Dev. Stud.* 2014, 50, 583–604. [CrossRef]
- 93. Mahmud, S.; Maheen, S. Community Health Workers in Bangladesh: Continuity and Change; BRAC Development Institute, BRAC University: Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2010.