Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE KRULL INTERSECTION THEOREM. II

DANIEL D. ANDERSON, JACOB R. MATIJEVIC AND WARREN DOUGLAS NICHOLS

Vol. 66, No. 1 November 1976

THE KRULL INTERSECTION THEOREM II

D. D. Anderson, J. Matijevic, and W. Nichols

Let R be a commutative ring, I an ideal in R and A an R-module. We always have $0 \subseteq \{a \in A \mid (1-i)a = 0 \exists i \in I\} \subseteq I \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$. In this paper we investigate conditions under which certain of these containments may or may not be replaced by equality.

- 1. Introduction. This paper is a continuation of [1]. In §2 we show that for a nonminimal principal prime (p), $J = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (p)^n$ is a prime ideal and pJ = J. An example is given to show that the condition that (p) be nonminimal is necessary. We also consider the question of when a prime ideal minimal over a principal ideal has rank one. Of particular interest is the example of a domain D with a doubly generated ideal I such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n \neq I \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$. In §3 we prove that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = I \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$ for any finitely generated module A over a valuation ring. In §4 we consider certain converses to the usual Krull Intersection Theorem for Noetherian rings. It is shown that for (R, M) a quasi-local ring whose maximal ideal M is finitely generated, many classical results for local rings are actually equivalent to the ring R being Noetherian.
- 2. Some examples and counterexamples. In [1] we remarked that for a ring R the following statements are equivalent: (1) dim R = 0, (2) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = I \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$ for all finitely generated ideals I and all R-modules A, (3) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n A = x \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n A$ for $x \in R$ and all R-modules A. This raises the question: For which ideals I in a ring R do we have $I \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$ for all R-modules A? A modification of the example on page 11 of [1] yields

THEOREM 2.1. For a quasi-local ring (R, M) and an ideal I the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $I^n = I^{n+1}$ for some n,
- (2) for every R-module A, $I \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$.

Proof. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is clear. Suppose that (2) holds but $I^n \supseteq I^{n+1}$ for all n > 0. Choose $i_n \in I^n - I^{n+1}$. Let $F = Rx \bigoplus (\bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Ry_i)$ be the free R-module on $\{x, y_1, y_2, \cdots\}$ and let G be the sub-module of F generated by the set $\{x - i_1y_1, x - i_2y_2, \cdots\}$ and let A = F/G. One can then verify that $I \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A \neq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$.

It is well-known [7, page 74] that if P is an invertible prime ideal in a domain, then $J = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n$ is a prime ideal, J = PJ and any prime ideal properly contained in P is actually contained in J. We generalize this result. Recall that an ideal I is finitely generated and locally principal if and only if it is a multiplication ideal (i.e., any ideal contained in I is a multiple of I) and a weak-cancellation ideal (for two ideals A and B, $AI \subset BI$ implies $A \subseteq B + (0:I)$). (For example, see [2] or [8].)

THEOREM 2.2. Let R be a ring and P a nonminimal finitely generated locally-principal prime ideal of R and set $J = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n$. Then

- (1) J is prime,
- (2) PJ = J, and
- (3) any prime ideal properly contained in P is contained in J.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R \ni a, b \not\in J$. We show that $ab \not\in J$. Choose n, m such that $a \in P^n - P^{n+1}$ and $b \in P^m - P^{m+1}$. Then since P^n and P^m are multiplication ideals, we get (a) = P^nA_1 and (b) = P^mB_1 where $A_1 \not\subseteq P$ and $B_1 \not\subseteq P$. Now (a)(b) $\subseteq P^{n+m+1}$ implies $A_1B_1P^{n+m} \subseteq P^{n+m+1}$. Since P^{n+m} is a weak-cancellation ideal, $A_1B_1 \subseteq P + (0:P^{n+m})$. Let $Q \subseteq P$ be a prime ideal, then $(0:P^{n+m})P^{n+m} = 0 \subseteq Q$ gives $(0:P^{n+m}) \subseteq Q \subseteq P$ and hence $A_1B_1 \subseteq P$. Thus A_1 or $B_1 \subseteq P$, a contradiction. Hence J is prime. Let $j \in J$, then $j \in P$ so (j) = PA. Since P is a nonminimal prime, $P \not\subseteq J$, hence $A \subseteq J$, so $j \in PJ$. For (3), let Q be a prime ideal properly contained in P and let $Q \in Q$. Then $Q \cap PQ \cap Q$ and $P \subseteq Q$ implies $Q \cap Q \cap P$. Continuing we get $Q \cap Q \cap P$.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let (p) be a nonminimal principal prime ideal. Then $J = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (p)^n$ is prime, pJ = J and prime ideal $Q \subsetneq (p)$ is contained in J.

The above corollary is false if (p) is a minimal prime ideal. For example, in $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$ $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{2})^n$ is not prime. However, in this example condition (2) still holds. In the following example we show that condition (2) may also fail.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let k be a field and let $R = k[X, Z, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring over k in indeterminants X, Z, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots . Let $A = (X - ZY_1, X - Z^2Y_2, X - Z^3Y_3, \cdots)$ and put $\bar{R} = R/A$. Then (X, Z) is a prime ideal of R minimal over A and hence (\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) is a minimal prime ideal of \bar{R} (- denotes passage to \bar{R}). Moreover, $(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) = (\bar{Z})$, so (\bar{Z}) is a minimal principal prime ideal of \bar{R} . However, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bar{Z})^n \neq (\bar{Z}) \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bar{Z})$ because $\bar{X} \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bar{Z})^n$ but $\bar{X} \not\in (\bar{Z}) \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bar{Z})^n$.

The Principal Ideal Theorem states that a prime ideal in a Noetherian domain minimal over a principal ideal has rank one. In general a prime ideal minimal over a principal ideal need not have rank one. In fact, a principal prime (p) has rank one if and only if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (p)^n = 0$. More generally, if P is a rank one prime, any $a \in P$ must satisfy $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a)^n = 0$ (see Corollary 1.4 [9] or Theorem 1 [1]). This raises the question: In a domain, does a prime P minimal over a principal ideal (a) with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a)^n = 0$ imply that rank P = 1? This question is answered in the negative by Example 5.2 [9]. Finally we ask the question: In a domain, does a finitely generated prime P satisfying $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n = 0$, minimal over a principal ideal, have rank 1? While we are not able to answer this question, we do show that there can not be "too many" primes below P.

THEOREM 2.5. Let R be a domain and let P be a finitely generated prime ideal minimal over a principal ideal Rx. Then rank P = 1 if and only if $\bigcap \{Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \mid Q \text{ is directly below } P\} = 0$.

Proof. The implication (\Rightarrow) is clear. Conversely, let $\{Q_{\alpha}\}$ be the set of prime ideals directly below P (this set is nonempty by Zorn's Lemma). The hypothesis of the theorem is preserved by passage to R_p , so we may assume that R is quasi-local. Thus (R, P) is quasi-local, P is finitely generated, and Rx is P-primary. By Theorem 1 [1], $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n \subseteq$ $\bigcap \{Q \mid Q \text{ directly below } P\} = 0.$ Let (\hat{R}, \hat{P}) be the P-adic completion of R. Then (\hat{R}, \hat{P}) is a complete (Noetherian) local ring. Now $\hat{R}x$ is still \hat{P} -primary, so by the Principal Ideal Theorem, dim $\hat{R} \leq 1$. If dim $\hat{R} = 0$, then $\hat{P}^n = 0$ for some n and hence $P^n = 0$. This contradiction shows that dim $\hat{R} = 1$. Let P_1, \dots, P_n be the minimal primes of \hat{R} and let $Q_i = P_i \cap R$. Now $\cap \{Q \mid Q \text{ directly below } P\} = 0$ implies that there exist infinitely many primes directly below P. Hence $\exists y \in Q_0 - \bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_i$ where Q_0 is a prime directly below P. Now $\hat{R}y \not\in \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$, so $\hat{R}y$ is \hat{P} -primary. Hence $\hat{R}y \cap R$ is P-primary. But by Theorem 1[1] we see that Q_0 is closed in the P-adic topology, and hence $\hat{R}y \cap R \subseteq Q_0$. This is a contradiction because $\hat{R}y \cap R$ is P-primary.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 does yield the following result. Let P be a finitely generated prime ideal in a domain minimal over a principal ideal. Then rank P = 1 if and only if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{p}^{n} = 0$ (or equivalently, if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{(n)} = 0$ where $P^{(n)}$ is the n-symbolic power of P).

We end this section with an example of a domain D and a doubly generated ideal I in D satisfying $I \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n \neq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$. This is the best possible counterexample as $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x)^n = (x) \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x)^n$ for all principal ideals in a domain.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let k be a field, $S = k[W, W^{\frac{1}{2}}, W^{\frac{1}{3}}, W^{\frac{1}{3}}, \cdots]$, and $R_0 = S[X, U_2, U_3, U_5, U_7, \cdots]$. Then $R_0[Y, 1/Y]$ is a graded domain,

with degree $R_0 = 0$, degree Y = 1 and degree 1/Y = -1. Let R be the graded subdomain $R_0[Y, (W^{\frac{1}{2}} - XU_2)/Y, (W^{\frac{1}{3}} - XU_3)/Y, \cdots]$. Then I = (X, Y) is a homogeneous ideal of R. Put $J = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$ so that J is also a homogeneous ideal. We show that $J \neq IJ$.

Write $Z_p = W^{1/p} - XU_p$. Then $R_0 = k[W, Z_2, Z_3, Z_5, \dots, X, U_2, U_3, U_5, \dots]$. We have the relation $(Z_p + XU_p)^p = W$ and hence

$$Z_{p}^{p} = W - X^{p}U_{p}^{p} - {p \choose 1}Z_{p}X^{p-1}U_{p}^{p-1} - \cdots - {p \choose p-1}Z_{p}^{p-1}XU_{p}.$$

Note that R_0 is spanned as a k-vector space by the monomials $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots Z_{p_r}^{e_r} W^{n_0} X^{n_1} U_{q_1}^{f_1} \cdots U_{q_s}^{f_s}$, where $0 < e_i < p_i$. We show that these monomials are k-independent, and thus form a k-basis. To see this, define the degree of the monomial $W^{\epsilon_1/p_1+\cdots+\epsilon_r/p_r+n_0}X^{n_1}U_a^{f_1}\cdots U_a^{f_a}$ $(0 < e_i < p_i)$ to be $(e_1/p_1 + \cdots + e_r/p_r + n_0, n_1, 0, \cdots, 0, f_1, 0, \cdots, 0, f_s, 0 \cdots)$ where f_i appears in the s_i -th position after n_1 if q_i is the s_i -th prime. Order the degrees lexicographically. Then define the degree of a polynomial to be the degree of the largest term. We find that the degree of $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots Z_{p_r}^{e_r} \cdots Z_{p_r}^{e_r} W^{n_0} X^{n_1} U_{q_1}^{f_1} \cdots U_{q_s}^{f_s} \ (0 < e_i < p_i)$ to be $(e_1/p_1 +$ $\cdots + e_r/p_r + n_0, n_1, 0, \cdots, f_1, \cdots, f_s, 0, \cdots$) as above. Each such monomial has a different degree, and hence these monomials are kindependent. Let us write $T = k[X, W, U_2, U_3, Y_5, \cdots]$. We see that $R_0 = T \bigoplus R_{0z}$ as a T-module, where R_{0z} is generated as a T-module by the $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots Z_{p_r}^{e_r}$, $0 < e_r < p_r$, $r \ge 1$. Let H be the ideal of R_0 generated by the Z_p 's. Since $H \supset R_{0z}$, we have $H = (H \cap T) \oplus R_{0z}$ as a Tmodule. Now

$$[I^{m}]_{0} = [(X, Y)^{m}]_{0} = X^{m}R_{0} + X^{m-1}YR_{-1} + \cdots + Y^{m}R_{-m}$$
$$= X^{m}R_{0} + X^{m-1}H + \cdots XH^{m} = (X, H)^{m}$$

as an ideal of R_0 . Notice that since $W = (Z_p + XU_p)^p$, we have $W \in (X, H)^m$ for all m. Now $H \cap T$ is generated as a T-module by the $W - X^p U_p^p$. Thus (X, H) is generated by X, W, and the $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots Z_{p_r}^{e_r}$ $(r \ge 1)$ and $(X, H)^m$ is generated by X^m , W, the $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots Z_p^{e_r} W$ $(r \ge 1)$, and the $Z_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots Z_{p_r}^{e_r} X^{n_0}$ with $e_1 + \cdots + e_r + n_0 \ge m$. It follows that $J_0 = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (X, H)^m = WR_0$.

We claim that $W \not\in [IJ]_0 = XJ_0 + YJ_{-1}$. In fact, we claim that $W \not\in XJ_0 + YR_{-1} = XWR_0 + H$. Since $H \supset R_{0z}$, the ideal

$$(XWR_0+H)\cap T=(XW,W-X^2U_2^2,W-X^3U_3^3,W-X^5U_5^5,\cdots).$$

Suppose that $W \in XJ_0 + YR_{-1}$, then

$$W = aXW + b_2(W - X^2U_2^2) + \cdots + b_p(W - X^pU_p^p),$$

 $a, b_i \in T$. Write $b_i = c_i + \lambda_i$ where $\lambda_i \in k$ and $c_i \in T$ with no constant term. Cancelling W, we get

$$\lambda_2 X^2 U_2^2 + \cdots + \lambda_p X^p U_p^p = aXW - c_2 X^2 U_2^2 - \cdots - c_p X^p U_p^p$$

But this is a contradiction since none of the terms on the left appear on the right.

3. Valuation rings. We call a ring R a valuation ring if any two ideals of R are comparable. In Theorem 2 [1] we proved that for R a Prüfer domain, I an ideal in R and A a torsion-free R-module, $I \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$. In this section we prove that for R a valuation ring, I an ideal in R and A a finitely generated R-module, $I \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$. We begin with the ring case.

THEOREM 3.1. Let V be a valuation ring and I a nonzero ideal in V. Then exactly one of the following occurs:

- (1) $I = I^2$ is prime,
- (2) $I^n \supseteq I^{n+1}$ for all n, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$ is a prime ideal in V, and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n$ for any $i \in I I^2$. In particular, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n = I \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$.
 - (3) $I^n = 0$ for some n.

Proof. First suppose that $I=I^2$ and let $ab \in I$. Suppose that $a,b \not\in I$, so that $I \subsetneq (a)$ and $I \subsetneq (b)$. Hence $I=I^2 \subseteq (a)(b) \subseteq I$ so I=(ab). Thus $I=I^2$ implies I=0, a contradiction. Next suppose that $I \neq I^2$, but $I^n \supsetneq I^{n+1} = I^{n+2}$. Let $i \in I^n - I^{n+1}$. Then for m>1, $I^{n+1}=I^{mn} \supseteq (i)^m \supseteq I^{m(n+1)} = I^{n+1}$, in particular $(i)^2 = (i)^3$, so $(i)^2 = 0$. Hence $0=(i)^2 \supseteq I^{2(n+1)} = I^{n+1}$. Finally, suppose that $I^n \supsetneq I^{n+1}$ for all n. For $i \in I-I^2$, $I \supseteq (i) \supseteq I^2$, so that $I^n \supseteq (i)^n \supseteq I^{2n}$ and hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (i)^n$. Suppose that $xy \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$. If $x,y \not\in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$, then there exist integers s and t such that $I^s \subsetneq (x)$ and $I' \subsetneq (y)$. Hence $I^{s+t} \subseteq (xy) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$ so $I^{s+t} = I^{s+t+1}$. This contradiction shows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$ must be prime. Suppose that $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$. Then $x = si^2$ for some $s \in V$ and $i \in I$. Hence si or $i \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$ because $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$ is prime. Thus $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n = I \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I^n$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let V be a valuation ring, I an ideal in V and A a finitely generated V-module. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = I \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A$.

Proof. By the previous theorem we are reduced to the case where I = (i) is a principal ideal and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n$ is prime. Put $B = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n)A$, so that $B \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n A$. It suffices to show that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n (A/B) = (i)^n A$.

- $(i) \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n (A/B)$. But as $\operatorname{ann}(A/B) \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n$, we may assume that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} (i)^n = 0$, so that V is a valuation domain. Let $A = Va_1 + \cdots + Va_s$ and assume that $\operatorname{ann}(a_1) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \operatorname{ann}(a_s)$. We may assume that $(i)^n \supseteq \operatorname{ann}(a_1)$ (for otherwise $i^n a_1 = 0$ for large n and hence we may assume that $A = Va_2 + \cdots + Va_s$). Thus $0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (i)^n \supseteq \operatorname{ann}(a_1)$, so that A is actually torsion-free. The result now follows from Lemma 1 [1].
- **4.** "Almost" Noetherian rings. Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal in R, and A a finitely generated R-module. One version of the Krull Intersection Theorem states that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n A = \{x \in A \mid (1-i)x = 0 \ \exists i \in I\}$. In fact, by Theorem 3 [1] this holds for R locally Noetherian and A locally finitely generated. In this section we consider to what extent the converse is true. We begin with the quasi-local case.

THEOREM 4.1. Let (R, M) be a quasi-local ring whose maximal ideal M is finitely generated. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is Noetherian,
- (2) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M^n N = 0$ for all finitely generated R-modules N,
- (3) every finitely generated ideal of R has a primary decomposition,
- (4) for finitely generated ideals A and B of R, there exists an integer n such that $(A + B^{l}) \cap (A : B^{l}) = A$ for $l \ge n$,
 - (5) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M^n + A) = A$ for all finitely generated ideals A of R,
- (6) B = A + MB with A a finitely generated ideal of R implies A = B.

Proof. The implications $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ are well known. Assume that (3) holds and let A and B be finitely generated ideals. Suppose that $A = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$ where Q_i is P_i -primary. Assume that $B \subseteq P_i$ precisely for i > k. For $i \le k$, $(Q_i : B^n)B^n \subseteq Q_i$ and $B^n \not\subseteq P_i$ implies $(Q_i: B^n) = Q_i$ for all n. For i > k, there exists an integer n_i such that $B^{n_i} \subseteq Q_i$ because B is finitely generated. Set n = $\max\{n_i\}$. Then for $l \ge n$, $A: B^i = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k$ and $A + B^i \subset$ $Q_{k+1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$. Hence $A \subseteq (A:B^1) \cap (A+B^1) \subseteq Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m =$ A. Next we show that (4) implies (5). Let A be a finitely generated R. Clearly $A \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M^n + A)$. Suppose that (4) $A + (x)M = (A + (x)M + M^{k})$ $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M^n + A)$. Then by $\cap ((A + (x)M): M^k)$ for large k. But $x \in A + M^k$ so A + (x)M =A + (x). Thus $x \in A$ by Nakayama's Lemma. Setting N = R/A we see that (2) implies (5). As (6) holds in any (Noetherian) local ring, it remains to prove $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ and $(6) \Rightarrow (1)$. Suppose that R is not Noetherian. Then there exists an ideal $P \neq M$ maximal with respect to not being finitely generated and P is necessarily prime. Let $z \in M - P$.

Then P+(z) is finitely generated, say by $p_1+r_1z, \dots, p_n+r_nz$ where $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$. We claim that $P=(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let $p \in P \subseteq P+(z)$, so that

$$p = a_1(p_1 + r_1z) + \cdots + a_n(p_n + r_nz) =$$

$$= a_1p_1 + \cdots + a_np_n + (a_1r_1 + \cdots + a_nr_n)z.$$

Since P is a prime ideal and $z \notin P$, $a_1r_1 + \cdots + a_nr_n \in P$. Hence $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n) + Pz = (p_1, \dots, p_n) + P^nZ^n$ for $n \ge 1$. Thus either (5) or (6) implies that $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

It is necessary to assume that M is finitely generated as is seen by the example $R = k [\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}]/(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty})^2$ where $k [\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}]$ is the polynomial ring over the field k in countably-many indeterminates. If we replace the quasi-local ring (R, M) with a quasi-semilocal ring (R, M_1, \dots, M_n) where M_1, \dots, M_n are finitely generated and replace M with $J = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_n$, then Theorem 4.1 remains true. The equivalence of (1) and (5) is a slight generalization of Exercise 4 [5, page 246]. Condition (4) has been studied in [4].

COROLLARY 4.2. For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is locally Noetherian,
- (2) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M^n + A) = \{r \in R \mid (1-m)r \in A \exists m \in M\}$ for all finitely generated ideals A of R and all maximal ideals M of R, and for every maximal ideal M of R, M_M is a finitely generated ideal in R_M .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). The first statement follows from Theorem 3 [1] applied to the ring R/A which is locally Noetherian. The second statement is obvious. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Follows from the previous theorem.

THEOREM 4.3. For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is Noetherian,
- (2) the maximal ideals of R are finitely generated and every finitely generated ideal of R has a primary decomposition.

Proof. That $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is well-known. Therefore we may assume that R satisfies (2). It follows from Theorem 4.1 that R is locally Noetherian. Theorem 1.4 [3] gives that R is Noetherian.

The results of this section raise the question: Is a locally Noetherian ring whose maximal ideals are finitely generated necessarily Noetherian? The answer is no.

Example 4.4. The ring $R = Z[\{x/p \mid p \text{ a prime}\}]$ is two dimen-

sional, integrally closed, locally Noetherian with all maximal ideals finitely generated, but R is not Noetherian. In fact, R is not even a Krull domain.

This ring is given in [6] as an example of a locally polynomial ring over Z which is not a polynomial ring over Z. We wish to thank Professor R. Gilmer for pointing out this example to us.¹

First, the ring R is not Noetherian because the ideal $(\{x/p \mid p \text{ a prime}\})$ is not finitely generated. The maximal ideals of R have the form (p, f(x/p)) where $p \in Z$ is prime and f(x/p) is an irreducible polynomial (in x/p) mod p. The remaining statements follow from the fact that R localized at a maximal ideal M (with $M \cap Z = (p)$) is a localization of the polynomial ring $Z_{(p)}[x/p]$ at $M_{Z-(p)}$.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. D. Anderson, The Krull Intersection Theorem, Pacific J. Math., 57 (1975), 11-14.
- 2. ——, Multiplication ideals, multiplication rings, and the ring R(X), Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976), 760-768.
- 3. J. Arnold and J. Brewer, Commutative rings which are locally Noetherian, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 11-1 (1971), 45-49.
- 4. W. E. Barnes and W. M. Cunnea, *Ideal decompositions in Noetherian rings*, Canad. J. Math., 17 (1965), 178-184.
- 5. N. Bourbaki, Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1972.
- 6. P. Eakins and J. Silver, Rings which are almost polynomial rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 174 (1972), 425-449.
- 7. R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- 8. P. J. McCarthy, Principal elements of lattices of ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 30 (1971), 43-45.
- 9. J. Ohm, Some counterexamples related to integral closure in D[[X]], Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 122 (1966), 321-333.

Received January 28, 1976.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Current address: University of Missouri-Columbia

¹ This example is due to P. Eakin, R. Gilmer, and W. Heinzer.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 66, No. 1 November, 1976

Helen Elizabeth. Adams, Factorization-prime ideals in integral domains	1
Patrick Robert Ahern and Robert Bruce Schneider, <i>The boundary behavior of Henk</i> kernel	
Daniel D. Anderson, Jacob R. Matijevic and Warren Douglas Nichols, <i>The Krull intersection theorem. II</i>	15
Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, On semiprime P.Ialgebras over commutative regular rings	
Robert H. Bird and Charles John Parry, Integral bases for bicyclic biquadratic field over quadratic subfields	
Tae Ho Choe and Young Hee Hong, Extensions of completely regular ordered spaces	37
John Dauns, Generalized monoform and quasi injective modules	
Paul M. Eakin, Jr. and Avinash Madhav Sathaye, R-endomorphisms of R[[X]] are essentially continuous	
Larry Quin Eifler, Open mapping theorems for probability measures on metric spaces	
Garret J. Etgen and James Pawlowski, Oscillation criteria for second order self adj differential systems	
Ronald Fintushel, Local S ¹ actions on 3-manifolds	111
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Choquet simplexes and σ-convex faces</i>	
John R. Graef, Some nonoscillation criteria for higher order nonlinear differential equations	125
Charles Henry Heiberg, Norms of powers of absolutely convergent Fourier series:	an
example Les Andrew Karlovitz, Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in a spa	ce
without normal structure	1 50
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional	
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems	161
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional	161 ution
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpola	161 ution 173
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems	161 ution 173 181
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems	161 ution 173 181 191
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpola with norm preservation in C[a, b] Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous	161 ttion 173 181 191
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpole with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups.	161 ttion 173 181 191
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpola with norm preservation in C[a, b] Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic	161 tition 173 181 191 195 205
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpola with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions.	161 ttion 173 181 191
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpola with norm preservation in C[a, b] Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic	161 ttion 173 181 191 195 205
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpole with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on L ^p -spaces, 0 < p < 1. S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges	161 ttion 173 181 191 205 205 211
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpole with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on Lp-spaces, 0 < p < 1 S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges. Thomas Thornton Read, A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory	161 tition 173 181 191 205 211 221 235
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpole with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on L ^p -spaces, 0 < p < 1. S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges	161 ttion 173 181 191 205 211 221 223
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolation with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on Lp-spaces, 0 < p < 1 S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges Thomas Thornton Read, A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory coefficients Elemer E. Rosinger, Division of distributions Peter S. Shoenfeld, Highly proximal and generalized almost finite extensions of	161 tition 173 181 191 205 211 221 235 243 257
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolation with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on Lp-spaces, 0 < p < 1. S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges. Thomas Thornton Read, A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory coefficients. Elemer E. Rosinger, Division of distributions. Peter S. Shoenfeld, Highly proximal and generalized almost finite extensions of minimal sets.	161 tition 173 181 191 205 211 221 235 243 257
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolation with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on L ^p -spaces, 0 < p < 1. S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges. Thomas Thornton Read, A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory coefficients. Elemer E. Rosinger, Division of distributions. Peter S. Shoenfeld, Highly proximal and generalized almost finite extensions of minimal sets. R. Sirois-Dumais and Stephen Willard, Quotient-universal sequential spaces.	161 ttion 173 181 191 205 211 221 235 243 257 265 265
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolowith norm preservation in C[a, b] Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on L ^p -spaces, 0 < p < 1 S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges Thomas Thornton Read, A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory coefficients Elemer E. Rosinger, Division of distributions Peter S. Shoenfeld, Highly proximal and generalized almost finite extensions of minimal sets R. Sirois-Dumais and Stephen Willard, Quotient-universal sequential spaces Robert Charles Thompson, Convex and concave functions of singular values of man	161 173 181 195 205 211 221 235 243 257 265 265 281
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems. Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolation with norm preservation in C[a, b]. Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function. Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces. Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, Counterexample in the theory of continuous functions on topological groups. Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions. Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on L ^p -spaces, 0 < p < 1. S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges. Thomas Thornton Read, A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory coefficients. Elemer E. Rosinger, Division of distributions. Peter S. Shoenfeld, Highly proximal and generalized almost finite extensions of minimal sets. R. Sirois-Dumais and Stephen Willard, Quotient-universal sequential spaces.	161 173 181 195 205 211 221 235 243 257 265 265 281 trix 285