

# THE LARGE-SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION OF THE LIKELIHOOD RATIO FOR TESTING COMPOSITE HYPOTHESES<sup>1</sup>

BY S. S. WILKS

By applying the principle of maximum likelihood, J. Neyman and E. S. Pearson<sup>2</sup> have suggested a method for obtaining functions of observations for testing what are called *composite statistical hypotheses*, or simply *composite hypotheses*. The procedure is essentially as follows: A population  $K$  is assumed in which a variate  $x$  ( $x$  may be a vector with each component representing a variate) has a distribution function  $f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_h)$ , which depends on the parameters  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_h$ . A *simple hypothesis* is one in which the  $\theta$ 's have specified values. A set  $\Omega$  of admissible hypotheses is considered which consists of a set of simple hypotheses. Geometrically,  $\Omega$  may be represented as a region in the  $h$ -dimensional space of the  $\theta$ 's. A set  $\omega$  of simple hypotheses is specified by taking all simple hypotheses of the set  $\Omega$  for which  $\theta_i = \theta_{0i}, i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, h$ .

A random sample  $O_n$  of  $n$  individuals is considered from  $K$ .  $O_n$  may be geometrically represented as a point in an  $n$ -dimensional space of the  $x$ 's. The probability density function associated with  $O_n$  is

$$(1) \quad P = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n f(x_\alpha, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_h)$$

Let  $P_\Omega(O_n)$  be the least upper bound of  $P$  for the simple hypotheses in  $\Omega$ , and  $P_\omega(O_n)$  the least upper bound of  $P$  for those in  $\omega$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \lambda = \frac{P_\omega(O_n)}{P_\Omega(O_n)}$$

is defined as the likelihood ratio for testing the composite hypothesis  $H$  that  $O_n$  is from a population with a distribution characterized by values of the  $\theta_i$  for some simple hypothesis in the set  $\omega$ . When we say that  $H$  is true, we shall mean that  $O_n$  is from some population of the set just described. In most of the cases of any practical importance,  $P$  and its first and second derivatives with respect to the  $\theta_i$  are continuous functions of the  $\theta_i$  almost everywhere in a certain region of the  $\theta$ -space for almost all possible samples  $O_n$ . We shall only consider the case in which  $P_\Omega(O_n)$  and  $P_\omega(O_n)$  can be determined from the first and second order derivatives with respect to the  $\theta$ 's.

<sup>1</sup> Presented to the American Mathematical Society, March 26, 1937.

<sup>2</sup> Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, Vol. 231, p. 295.

A considerable number of currently used statistical functions for making tests of significance can be expressed in terms of  $\lambda$  ratios, and in many cases involving normal distribution theory, the exact sampling distribution of  $\lambda$  is known. However, it is often useful when dealing with large samples to have an approximation to the distribution of  $\lambda$ . We shall consider such an approximation for those cases (which include most of the ones of any practical importance) in which optimum estimates of the  $\theta$ 's exist. That is, we shall assume the existence of functions  $\bar{\theta}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  (maximum likelihood estimates of the  $\theta_i$ ) such that<sup>3</sup> their distribution is

$$(3) \quad \frac{|c_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{h/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^h c_{ij} z_i z_j} (1 + \phi) dz_1 \dots dz_h$$

where  $z_i = (\bar{\theta}_i - \theta_i)\sqrt{n}$ ,  $c_{ij} = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log J}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}\right)$ ,  $E$  denoting mathematical expectation, and  $\phi$  is of order  $1/\sqrt{n}$  and  $\|c_{ij}\|$  is positive definite. Denoting (3) by  $J dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_h$ , and differentiating  $J$  with respect to  $\theta_k$ , we get

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|c_{ij}|} \frac{\partial |c_{ij}|}{\partial \theta_k} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial c_{ij}}{\partial \theta_k} z_i z_j + \sqrt{n} \sum_j c_{kj} z_j \right) J, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, h$$

Since  $c_{ij} = O(1)$  and  $|c_{ij}| \neq 0$ , it can be seen from (4) that the values of  $\theta_k$  which maximize  $J$  differ from  $\bar{\theta}_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, h$ , by terms of order  $1/\sqrt{n}$ . Therefore, the maximum  $P_n(O_n)$  of  $J$  with respect to the  $\theta_k$  is  $\frac{|c_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{h/2}} (1 + \phi')$ , where  $\phi' = O(1/\sqrt{n})$ .

To get  $P_\omega(O_n)$ , we let  $\theta_i = \theta_{0i}$ ,  $i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, h$ , and note that  $J$  can be written as

$$(5) \quad J_0 = \frac{|c_{0ij}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{h/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=m+1}^h c_{ij} z'_i z'_j - \frac{1}{2} \chi_0^2} (1 + \phi'_0)$$

where

$$(6) \quad \chi_0^2 = \sum_{i,j=m+1}^h c'_{ij} z_i z_j, \quad \phi'_0 = O(1/\sqrt{n})$$

and  $\|c'_{ij}\|$  is the inverse of the matrix obtained by deleting the first  $m$  rows and first  $m$  columns from  $\|c_{ij}\|^{-1}$  and  $z'_i = z_i - L_i$ ,  $L_i$  being a linear function of  $\theta_{0,m+1} \dots \theta_{0h}$ , and  $c_{0ij}$  is the value of  $c_{ij}$  with  $\theta_i = \theta_{0i}$ ,  $i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, h$ , that is, when  $H$  is true. Taking the maximum  $P_\omega(O_n)$  of expression (5) with respect to  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m$ , we get

$$(7) \quad P_\omega = \frac{|c_{0ij}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{h/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \chi_0^2} (1 + \phi''_0) \quad \phi''_0 = O(1/\sqrt{n})$$

---

<sup>3</sup> For conditions under which the  $\bar{\theta}$ 's exist which are distributed according to (3), see J. L. Doob, Probability and Statistics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 36, p. 759-775.

Hence, when  $H$  is true, we have, from (5) and (7)

$$(8) \quad \lambda = \frac{P_{\omega}(O_n)}{P_{\alpha}(O_n)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi_0^2}(1 + O(1/\sqrt{n})).$$

Therefore, except for terms of order  $1/\sqrt{n}$ ,

$$(9) \quad -2 \log \lambda = \chi_0^2.$$

Now, the characteristic function of  $-2 \log \lambda$  is

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= E(e^{it(-2 \log \lambda)}) = \int \dots \int J_0 e^{it(\chi_0^2 + O(1/\sqrt{n}))} dz_1 \dots dz_h \\ &= \frac{|c_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{h/2}} \int \dots \int e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m c_{ij} z'_i z'_j + \chi_0^2 (it - \frac{1}{2})} (1 + O(1/\sqrt{n})) dz_1 \dots dz_h. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that on any finite interval  $|t| < a$ ,  $\phi(t)$  approaches uniformly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the function

$$(11) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{h-m}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right)^{-\frac{h-m}{2}}.$$

But (11) is the characteristic function of any quantity distributed like  $\chi^2$  with  $h - m$  degrees of freedom.

We can summarize in the

*Theorem: If a population with a variate  $x$  is distributed according to the probability function  $f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2 \dots \theta_h)$ , such that optimum estimates  $\bar{\theta}_i$  of the  $\theta_i$  exist which are distributed in large samples according to (3), then when the hypothesis  $H$  is true that  $\theta_i = \theta_{0i}$ ,  $i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, h$ , the distribution of  $-2 \log \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is given by (2) is, except for terms of order  $1/\sqrt{n}$ , distributed like  $\chi^2$  with  $h - m$  degrees of freedom.*

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY,  
PRINCETON, N. J.