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#### Abstract

We show that if the power series ring $R[[X]]$ in one indeterminate over a commutative ring $R$ with identity is Laskerian, then $R$ is Noetherian. On the other hand, if $R[[X]]$ is a ZD-ring, then $R$ has Noetherian spectrum, but $R$ need not be Noetherian. We show that, in general, a Laskerian ring has Noetherian spectrum.


Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. An ideal $Q$ of $R$ is primary if each zero divisor of the ring $R / Q$ is nilpotent, and $Q$ is strongly primary if $Q$ is primary and contains a power of its radical. In the terminology of Bourbaki [B, Ch. IV, pp. 295, 298], the ring $R$ is Laskerian if each ideal of $R$ is a finite intersection of primary ideals, and $R$ is strongly Laskerian if each ideal of $R$ is a finite intersection of strongly primary ideals. It is well known that

$$
\text { Noetherian } \Rightarrow \text { strongly Laskerian } \Rightarrow \text { Laskerian, }
$$

and Evans in $[\mathbf{E}]$ showed that a Laskerian ring is what he calls a ZD-ring (for zero-divisor ring), which is defined as follows. A ring $R$ is a ZD-ring if the set of zero divisors on the $R$-module $R / A$ is a finite union of prime ideals for each ideal $A$ of $R$. In [HO], Heinzer and Ohm proved that $R$ is Noetherian if $R[X]$ is a ZD-ring, and hence the conditions Noetherian, strongly Laskerian, Laskerian and ZD are equivalent in $R[X]$. We investigate here relationships among these four conditions in the power series ring $R[[X]]$. We prove in Theorem 1 that $R[[X]]$ Laskerian implies $R$ is Noetherian. We then give an example to show that $R$ need not be Noetherian if $R[[X]]$ is a ZD-ring. On the other hand, $R$ has Noetherian spectrum if $R[[X]]$ is a ZD-ring (Theorem 2). The paper concludes with the result that a Laskerian ring has Noetherian spectrum.

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. The power series ring $R[[X]]$ in one variable over $R$ is Laskerian if and only if $R$ is Noetherian.

Proof. It is enough to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. Assume, to the contrary, that $R[[X]]$ is Laskerian and $R$ is not Noetherian. By [AGH, Theorem 2.3], there exists a prime ideal $P$ of $R$ such that $P R[[X]]$, the extension of $P$ to $R[[X]]$, is properly contained in $P[[X]]$, the set of power series all of whose

[^0]coefficients belong to $P$. Pick $f \in P[[X]], f \notin \operatorname{PR}[[X]]$, and let $A=P R[[X]]+$ ( $X f$ ). Let $A=\cap_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}$ be a shortest primary representation of $A$, where $Q_{i}$ is $P_{i}$-primary. Thus $\cup_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}$ is the set of zero divisors on $R / A$. We note that Nakayama's Lemma applied to the ring $R[[X]] / P R[[X]]$ shows that $f \notin A$, and hence $X$ is a zero divisor on $R / A$. Choose $j$ so that $X \in P_{j}$, and let $k$ be such that $X^{k} \in Q_{j}$. Then $Q_{j} \supseteq\left(P R[[X]], X^{k}\right) \supset P[[X]]$. Since $P[[X]]$ is prime and contains $A$, it follows that $Q_{t} \subseteq P[[X]]$ for some $t$, and hence $Q_{t} \subset Q_{j}$. This contradiction to the irredundance of the representation $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}$ completes the proof of Theorem 1.

In contrast to the polynomial ring case, we proceed to give an example showing that $R[[X]]$, a ZD-ring, does not imply that $R$ is Noetherian. Thus, assume that $F$ and $K$ are fields of nonzero characteristic $p$, that $F$ is a subfield of $K$ and that $K / F$ is infinite dimensional, purely inseparable, and of finite exponent $e$. Let $V$ be a rank-one discrete valuation ring of the form $K+M$, where $M$ is the maximal ideal of $V$, and let $R=F+M$. Clearly $V$ is the integral closure of $R$, and since $K / F$ is infinite dimensional, the domain $R$ is not Noetherian. Now $V[[X]]$ is a two-dimensional regular local ring, and $V[[X]]$ and $R[[X]]$ have the same quotient field since the conductor $M[[X]]$ of $R[[X]]$ in $V[[X]]$ is nonzero. Moreover, $f^{p^{*}} \in R[[X]]$ for each $f \in V[[X]]$ so that $V[[X]]$ is the integral closure of $R[[X]]$, and these two rings have homeomorphic spectra. In particular, $R[[X]]$ is a two-dimensional quasi-local domain with Noetherian spectrum, and hence is a ZD-ring.

The next result shows that one aspect of the preceding example carries over to the general case.

Theorem 2. If $R[[X]]$ is a $Z D$-ring, then $R$ has Noetherian spectrum.
Proof. We establish the contrapositive. Thus, assume that there exists an infinite strictly ascending sequence $A_{1} \subset A_{2} \subset \cdots$ of radical ideals of $R$. For each $i$, let $P_{i}$ be a prime ideal of $R$ such that $A_{i} \subseteq P_{i}$ and $A_{i+1} \ddagger P_{i}$. Let $\bar{A}_{i+1}$ denote the canonical image of $A_{i+1}$ in $R / P_{i}$. If $\overline{A_{i+1}}$ is all of $R / P_{i}$, then let $Q_{i}=P_{i}[[X]]$ in $R[[X]]$. If $\bar{A}_{i+1}$ is a proper ideal in $R / P_{i}$, let $a_{i} \in A_{i+1} \backslash P_{i}$ and consider the ideal generated by $\bar{a}_{i}+X$ in $\left(R / P_{i}\right)[[X]]$. Since $R / P_{i}$ is an integral domain, the ideal $\left(\bar{a}_{i}+X\right)$ does not meet the multiplicative system $\left\{\bar{a}_{i}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $R / P_{i}$. Hence there exists a prime ideal $\bar{Q}_{i}$ of $\left(R / P_{i}\right)[[X]]$ that contains $\bar{a}_{i}+X$ and does not meet $\left\{\bar{a}_{i}^{n}\right\}$. Let $Q_{i}$ be the inverse image of $\bar{Q}_{i}$ in $R[[X]]$. We observe that $Q_{i}$ is a prime ideal such that $P_{i}[[X]] \subseteq Q_{i}, a_{i}+X \in Q_{i}$ and $a_{i} \notin Q_{i}$ so that $A_{i+1} \nsubseteq Q_{i}$ and $X \notin Q_{i}$.

Consider the set $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}$ of prime ideals defined above. We show first that there is no containment relation between $Q_{i}$ and $Q_{j}$ for $i<j$. Note that $Q_{j} \ddagger Q_{i}$ since $A_{j} \subseteq Q_{j}$ and $A_{j} \Phi Q_{i}$. To show that $Q_{i} \ddagger Q_{j}$, we consider separately the cases $\bar{A}_{i+1}=R / P_{i}$ and $\bar{A}_{i+1} \neq R / P_{i}$. If $\bar{A}_{i+1}=R / P_{i}$, then $Q_{i}=P_{i}[[X]]$ and $Q_{j}+Q_{i}=$ $R[[X]]$ since $Q_{i}+Q_{j} \supseteq P_{i}[[X]]+A_{i+1} R[[X]]=R[[X]]$. Hence $Q_{i} \ddagger Q_{j}$ in this case. If, however, $\vec{A}_{i+1} \neq R / P_{i}$, then $a_{i}+X \in Q_{i}$ and $a_{i}+X \notin Q_{j}$ since $a_{i} \in$ $A_{i+1} \subseteq Q_{j}$ and $X \notin Q_{j}$ (note that the relations $A_{i+1} \subseteq Q_{j}$ and $X \notin Q_{j}$ are true no matter whether $\bar{A}_{j+1}=R / P_{j}$ or $\bar{A}_{j+1} \neq R / P_{j}$ ). Let $B=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$. We observe that this intersection is irredundant. To prove that $Q_{n}$ is irredundant, we note that
$Q_{1} \ldots Q_{n-1} A_{n+1}$ is contained in $\bigcap_{i \neq n} Q_{i}$, but is not contained in $Q_{n}$. Irredundancy of the representation $\cap_{1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$ easily implies that $\cup_{1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$ is the set of zero divisors on $R / B$. To complete the argument, we prove that $\cup_{1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$ is not a finite union of prime ideals, and hence $R[[X]]$ is not a ZD-ring. Suppose not, and let $\cup_{1}^{\infty} Q_{i}=M_{1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{k}$. Each $Q_{i}$ is contained in some $M_{t}$, and since the set $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}$ is infinite, some $M_{t}$ contains infinitely many of the primes $Q_{i}$-say $M_{t}$ contains $Q_{i}$ and $Q_{j}$, where $i<j$. If $Q_{i}=P_{i}[[X]]$, we obtain the contradiction that $R[[X]]=$ $Q_{i}+Q_{j} \subseteq M_{i}$, and if $Q_{i} \neq P_{i}[[X]]$, then $X=\left(a_{i}+X\right)+\left(-a_{i}\right) \in Q_{i}+Q_{j} \subseteq M_{i}$, contrary to the fact that $X \notin \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$.

We conclude the paper with a proof that a Laskerian ring has Noetherian spectrum. If $R$ is Laskerian, it is clear that each ideal of $R$ has only finitely many minimal prime divisors. Since a ring with the latter property has Noetherian spectrum if and only if the ascending chain condition for prime ideals (a.c.c.p.) is satisfied in $R([M$, Sätze 15, 16] or [OP]), to prove that $R$ has Noetherian spectrum, it suffices to prove that a.c.c.p. is satisfied in $R$. The next result is well known for a Noetherian ring [ZS, Theorem 20, p. 229]; we extend to the case of a Laskerian ring.

Proposition 3. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $R$, a Laskerian ring, and let $(0)=$ $\cap_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}$ be a shortest primary representation of (0) in $R$. The intersection of the set of $P$-primary ideals of $R$ is the intersection of the family of components $Q_{i}$ that are contained in $P$.

Proof. By standard techniques of localization, it is enough to prove that if $R$ is quasi-local with maximal ideal $P$, then the intersection of the set of $P$-primary ideals is ( 0 ). Thus, take $x \in P, x \neq 0$. We have $x \notin x P$, and hence $P$ is the set of zero divisors on $R / x P$. Therefore $P$ is a belonging prime of $x P$, and there exists a $P$-primary ideal $Q$ that does not contain $x$. As $x$ is arbitrary it follows that the intersection of the set of $P$-primary ideals is ( 0 ).

## Theorem 4. A Laskerian ring has Noetherian spectrum.

Proof. Let $R$ be a Laskerian ring and assume that $R$ does not have Noetherian spectrum. Then there exists an infinite strictly ascending sequence

$$
P_{0} \subset P_{1} \subset P_{1}^{\prime} \subset P_{2} \subset P_{2}^{\prime} \subset \cdots
$$

of proper prime ideals of $R$. By passage to $R / P_{0}$, we assume without loss of generality that $R$ is an integral domain. We prove by induction that there exist ideals $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}, A_{n}, B_{n}$ of $R$ and elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $R$ with the following properties.
(1) $Q_{i}$ is $P_{i}$-primary for each $i$, and $A_{n}=Q_{1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{n}$.
(2) For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, x_{i} \in \cap_{j \neq i} Q_{j}$ and $x_{i} \notin Q_{i}$.
(3) $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \subseteq B_{n}, A_{n} \ddagger B_{n}$, and each belonging prime of $B_{n}$ is contained in $P_{n}^{\prime}$.

For $n=1$, we take $Q_{1}=P_{1}$. Proposition 3 implies that there exists a $P_{1}^{\prime}$-primary ideal $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ that does not contain $P_{1}$. Pick $x_{1} \in Q_{1}^{\prime}, x_{1} \notin Q_{1}$, and define $B_{1}$ to be $x_{1} R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} \cap R$. We have $B_{1} \nsubseteq A_{1}=Q_{1}$ since $B_{1} R_{P_{i}^{\prime}}=x_{1} R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}} \subseteq Q_{1}^{\prime} R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}}$ and $P_{1} \ddagger$ $Q_{1}^{\prime} R_{P_{i}^{\prime}}$, and the other conditions of (1)-(3) are clearly satisfied.

Assume that $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}, A_{n}, B_{n}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are given satisfying (1)-(3). Choose $y_{n+1} \in A_{n}, y_{n+1} \notin B_{n}$. Applying Proposition 3 to the Laskerian ring $R_{P_{n+1}} / B_{n} R_{P_{n+1}}$, we conclude that there exists a $P_{n+1}$-primary ideal $Q_{n+1}$ containing $B_{n}$ such that $y_{n+1} \notin Q_{n+1}$. We define $A_{n+1}=A_{n} \cap Q_{n+1}$. Note that $A_{n+1} \ddagger B_{n}$, for $A_{n} \ddagger B_{n}$ and $Q_{n+1}$ is not contained in the set of zero divisors on $R / B_{n}$. To define $x_{n+1}$ and $B_{n+1}$, first observe that Proposition 3 implies that $B_{n} R_{P_{n+1}^{\prime}}=\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(B_{n}, y_{n+1} C_{\lambda}\right) R_{P_{n+1}^{\prime}}$, where $\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the set of $P_{n+1}^{\prime}$-primary ideals. Since $A_{n+1} \nsubseteq B_{n}$ and since $B_{n}=B_{n} R_{P_{n+1}^{\prime}} \cap R$, there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $A_{n+1} \nsubseteq\left(B_{n}, y_{n+1} C_{\lambda}\right) R_{P_{n+1}^{\prime}} \cap R$. Choose $r \in C_{\lambda}, r \notin P_{n+1}$, and define $x_{n+1}=y_{n+1} r, B_{n+1}=\left(B_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) R_{P_{n+1}^{\prime}} \cap R$. Since $y_{n+1} \notin Q_{n+1}$ and $r \notin P_{n+1}$, we have $x_{n+1} \notin Q_{n+1}$. Thus, (1) and (2) are satisfied for $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n+1}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$. Moreover, (3) is satisfied for $B_{n+1}$ by choice of $x_{n+1}$ and $B_{n+1}$. By induction, we conclude that there exist infinite sequences $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty},\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{B_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ so that conditions (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied for all $n$.

We define $A=\cap{ }_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$, and we note that this representation is irredundant since $x_{n} \in \cap_{j \neq n} Q_{j}$ and $x_{n} \notin Q_{n}$ for each $n$. Moreover, $A:\left(x_{n}\right)=Q_{n}:\left(x_{n}\right)$ is $P_{n}$-primary for each $n$. This implies that $A$ admits no representation as a finite intersection of primary ideals, for if it did-say $A=\cap_{i=1}^{k} H_{i}$ is a shortest representation, where $H_{i}$ is $M_{i}$-primary-then a standard argument shows that $\left\{M_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ is the set of prime ideals of $R$ realizable as the radical of an ideal of the form $A:(x)$. Therefore $R$ is not Laskerian, and this completes the proof of Theorem 4.

We remark that the ZD-property implies neither (1) ascending chain condition for prime ideals (a.c.c.p.), nor (2) that each ideal has only finitely many minimal primes. For example, each valuation ring is a ZD-ring, and a valuation ring need not satisfy a.c.c.p. For (2), let $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a set of indetminates over the field $K$, let $D=\cup{ }_{n=1}^{\infty} K\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]\right]$ and let $R=D /\left(\left\{X_{1} X_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\}\right)$. The ring $R$ is one-dimensional quasi-local with maximal ideal $M=\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}\right)$, and $\left\{P_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the set of minimal primes of $R$, where $P_{i}=\left(\left\{x_{j} \mid j \neq i\right\}\right)$. The union of each infinite subset of $\left\{P_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is $M$, so $R$ is a ZD-ring, but ( 0 ) has infinitely many minimal primes.
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