

# THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR A GAP SEQUENCE WITH INFINITE GAPS

SHIGERU TAKAHASHI

(Received June 4, 1963)

1. In the present note let  $f(t)$  satisfy the following conditions

$$f(t+1) = f(t), \quad \int_0^1 f(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 f^2(t) dt < +\infty,$$

and let  $\{n_k\}$  be a lacunary sequence of positive integers, that is,

$$(1.1) \quad n_{k+1}/n_k > q > 1.$$

Then the sequence of functions  $\{f(n_k t)\}$ , although themselves not independent, exhibits the properties of independent random variables (c. f. [2]). In [1] S. Izumi proved that under certain smoothness condition of  $f(t)$ ,  $\{f(2^k t)\}$  obeys the law of the iterated logarithm. Further M. Weiss proved that this law holds for lacunary trigonometric series.

THEOREM of WEISS ([4]). *Let  $\{n_k\}$  satisfy (1.1) and  $\{\alpha_k\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers for which*

$$B_N = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_N = o(\sqrt{B_N^2 / \log \log B_N}), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then we have, for almost all  $t$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B_N^2 \log \log B_N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k \cos 2\pi n_k(t + \alpha_k) = 1.$$

However, there exist a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  satisfying (1.1) and a trigonometric polynomial  $f(t)$  such that  $\{f(n_k t)\}$  does not obey the law of the iterated logarithm. In [3] it is shown that if  $\{n_k\}$  satisfies (1.1) and  $f(t)$  is a function of Lip  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N \log \log N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N f(n_k t) \right| \leq C, \quad \text{a. e. in } t.$$

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

THEOREM. *Let  $f(t)$  be a function of Lip  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and  $\{n_k\}$  satisfy*

$$(1.2) \quad n_{k+1}/n_k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then we have, for almost all  $t$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N f(n_k t) = \|f\|.^{*)}$$

2. From now on let  $f(t)$  and  $\{n_k\}$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Further, without loss of generality we may assume that the Fourier series of  $f(t)$  contains cosine terms only and

$$(2. 1) \quad n_{k+1}/n_k > 3, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

These assumptions are introduced solely for the purpose of shortening the formulas. Let us put

$$(2. 2) \quad f(t) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos 2\pi k t \quad \text{and} \quad S_N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \cos 2\pi k t.$$

Since  $f(t)$  is a function of Lip  $\alpha$ , we have for some constant  $A$

$$(2. 3) \quad |f(t) - S_N(t)| < AN^{-\alpha} \log N,$$

$$(2. 3') \quad \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} a_k^2 < A^2 N^{-2\alpha},$$

$$(2. 3'') \quad |f(t)| < A \quad \text{and} \quad |S_N(t)| < A.$$

LEMMA 1. *If a positive number  $\lambda$  satisfies the condition*

$$(2. 4) \quad \lambda \sqrt{M} < \log M,$$

*then there exists an integer  $M_0$ , not depending on  $N$ , such that*

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \lambda \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) \right\} dt < 2 \exp \{2(\lambda \|f\|)^2 M\}, \quad \text{for } M > M_0.$$

PROOF. We define  $m, L$  and  $U_l(t)$  as follows ;

$$(2. 5) \quad m^6 \leq M < (m + 1)^6,$$

$$(2. 5') \quad m(2L + 2) \leq M < m(2L + 4),$$

and

$$(2. 5'') \quad U_l(t) = \sum_{k=lm+1}^{(l+1)m} S_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(n_{N+k} t).$$

Then we can easily see that

---

\*) We put  $\|f\| = \left\{ \int_0^1 f^2(t) dt \right\}^{1/2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) - \sum_{l=0}^{(2L+1)} U_l(t) \right| \\ & \leq \lambda \left| \sum_{k=(2L+2)m+N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) \right| + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{(2L+2)m} \left| f(n_{N+k} t) - S_M^{1/\alpha}(n_{N+k} t) \right| \\ & \leq A\lambda(2m + \log M^{1/\alpha}) = O(M^{-1/3} \log M) = o(1), \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $M > M_0$  for some  $M_0$ , it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad & \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \lambda \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) \right\} dt < \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \lambda \sum_{l=0}^{2L+1} U_l(t) \right\} dt \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \left[ \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ 2\lambda \sum_{l=0}^L U_{2l}(t) \right\} dt \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ 2\lambda \sum_{l=0}^L U_{2l+1}(t) \right\} dt \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.3'') and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \operatorname{Max}_{l \leq L} |U_{2l}(t)| & < \lambda A m = O(M^{-1/3} \log M) = o(1), \\ \lambda^3 \sum_{l=0}^L |U_{2l}(t)|^3 & < \lambda^3 A^3 m^3 L = O(M^{-1/6} \log^3 M) = o(1), \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the above relations and the inequality  $e^z \leq (1 + z + z^2/2)e^{|z|^3}$  for  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have, for  $M > M_0$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \exp \left\{ 2\lambda \sum_{l=0}^L U_{2l}(t) \right\} < \sqrt{2} \prod_{l=0}^L \{1 + 2\lambda U_{2l}(t) + 2\lambda^2 U_{2l}^2(t)\}.$$

Let us put

$$(2.8) \quad W_l(t) = \sum_{k=l m+2}^{(l+1)m} \sum_{j=l m+1}^{k-1} \sum_{(r,s)} a_r a_s \cos 2\pi(n_{k+N} s - n_{j+N} r)t,$$

and

$$(2.8') \quad V_l(t) = 2\lambda U_l(t) + \lambda^2 \left\{ 2U_l^2(t) - m \sum_{k=1}^{M^{1/\alpha}} a_k^2 - 2W_l(t) \right\},$$

where  $\sum_{(r,s)}$  denotes the summation over all  $(r, s)$  which belong to

$$(2.8'') \quad \{(r, s); |n_{k+N} s - n_{j+N} r| \leq n_{l m+N}, 0 < s, r \leq M^{1/\alpha}\}.$$

Then  $V_l(t)$  is a sum of cosine terms whose frequencies are in the interval  $[n_{l m+N} + 1, 2M^{1/\alpha} n_{(l+1)m+N}]$ . By (2.1) and (2.5) we can find an integer  $M_0$  such that  $M > M_0$  implies

$$\frac{n_{2l m+N}}{2M^{1/\alpha} n_{(2j+1)m+N}} > \frac{3^{m(2l-2j-1)}}{2M^{1/\alpha}} > 3^{l-j}, \quad \text{for } l > j.$$

Hence if  $u_l \in [n_{lm+N} + 1, 2M^{1/\alpha}n_{(l+1)m+N}]$  and  $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_s < l$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2l} - \sum_{j=1}^s u_{2l_j} &> u_{2l} - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} u_{2j} > n_{2lm+N} - 2M^{1/\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} n_{(2j+1)m+N} \\ &> n_{2lm+N} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 3^{j-l} \right) > 2^{-1} n_{2lm+N} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } M > M_0.$$

If  $u_i$ 's are integers, then the above relation implies

$$\int_0^1 \cos 2\pi u_{2l}t \prod_{j=1}^s \cos 2\pi u_{2l_j}t \, dt = 0, \quad \text{for } M > M_0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^1 V_{2l}(t) \prod_{j=1}^s V_{2l_j}(t) dt = 0, \quad \text{for } M > M_0.$$

On the other hand if  $k > j > lm$ , then (2. 1) implies that the  $(r,s)$ -set in (2.8'') is contained in  $\{(r, s); |sn_{k+N}/n_{j+N} - r| < 3^{-1}, 1 \leq s\}$ . Therefore we have, by (2. 3') and (2. 1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(r,s)} |a_l a_s| &\leq \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{r \geq [m_{k+N}/n_{j+N}]} a_r^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{2} \|f\| A \left( \frac{2n_{j+N}}{n_{k+N}} \right)^\alpha \\ &\leq 2^{1/2+\alpha} \|f\| A \left( \frac{n_{k-1+N}}{n_{k+N}} \right)^\alpha 3^{\alpha(j-k+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if we put

$$(2.10) \quad B_l = \sup_t |W_l(t)|,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10') \quad B_l &\leq 2^{1/2+\alpha} \|f\| A \operatorname{Max}_{k>lm} (n_{k-1}/n_k)^\alpha \sum_{k=lm+2}^{(l+1)m} \sum_{j=lm+1}^{k-1} 3^{\alpha(j-k+1)} \\ &\leq Bm \operatorname{Max}_{k>lm} (n_{k-1}/n_k)^\alpha, \quad \text{for some constant } B > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m \sum_{k=1}^{M^{1/\alpha}} a_k^2 \leq 2m \|f\|^2$ , we obtain from (2. 8') and (2.10)

$$\{1 + 2\lambda U_l(t) + 2\lambda^2 U_l^2(t)\} \leq \{1 + V_l(t) + 2\lambda^2 m(\|f\|^2 + B_l)\}.$$

By (2. 7), (2. 9) and the above relation we have, for  $M > M_0$ ,

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ 2\lambda \sum_{l=0}^L U_{2l}(t) \right\} dt < \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \prod_{l=0}^L \{1 + V_{2l}(t) + 2\lambda^2 m(\|f\|^2 + B_{2l})\} dt$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \prod_{l=0}^L \{1 + 2\lambda^2 m(\|f\|^2 + B_{2l})\} \leq \sqrt{2} \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^L 2\lambda^2 m(\|f\|^2 + B_{2l}) \right\},$$

and in the same way

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ 2\lambda \sum_{l=0}^L U_{2l+1}(t) \right\} dt < \sqrt{2} \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^L 2\lambda^2 m(\|f\|^2 + B_{2l+1}) \right\}.$$

From the above relations and (2. 6) we can see that for  $M > M_0$

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \lambda \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) \right\} dt < 2 \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{2L+1} \lambda^2 m(\|f\|^2 + B_l) \right\}.$$

On the other hand (2. 5'), (1. 2) and (2.10') imply that if  $M > M_0$  for some  $M_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & m \sum_{l=0}^{2L+1} (\|f\|^2 + B_l) \\ & \leq M\|f\|^2 + Bm \sum_{l=0}^{2L+1} \text{Max}_{k>lm} (n_{k-1}/n_k)^\alpha < 2M\|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

The last two relations prove the lemma.

3. LEMMA 2. *If a positive number  $\psi(M)$  satisfies*

$$(3. 1) \quad \psi(M) < (2\|f\| \log M)^2,$$

*then for  $M > M_0$ ,  $M_0$  is the same as in Lemma 1, we have*

$$\left| \left\{ t; \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) \geq 2\|f\| \sqrt{M\psi(M)} \right\} \right| \leq 2e^{-\psi(M)/2}. \quad *)$$

PROOF. If we put  $\lambda = (2\|f\|)^{-1} \psi^{1/2}(M) M^{-1/2}$ , then  $\lambda$  satisfies the condition (2. 4). Hence by Lemma 1 and Tchebyshev's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ t; \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+M} f(n_k t) \geq 2\|f\| \sqrt{M\psi(M)} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq 2 \exp \left\{ 2(\lambda\|f\|^2)M - 2\lambda\|f\| \sqrt{M\psi(M)} \right\} = 2e^{-\psi(M)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. *We have, for almost all  $t$*

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+1}} \log m} \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} f(n_k t) \leq 2\|f\|.$$

PROOF. If  $m > m_0$  for some  $m_0$ , then  $2^m > M_0$  and  $\psi(2^m) = 2(1 + \varepsilon) \log m$

---

\*) We consider  $t$ 's within the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

satisfies (3. 1) for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore we have, by Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{m > m_0} \left| \left\{ t : \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} f(n_k t) \geq 2\|f\| \sqrt{2^{m+1}(1 + \varepsilon)\log m} \right\} \right| \leq 2 \sum_{m > m_0} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} < + \infty.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, Borel-Cantelli's lemma completes the proof.

LEMMA 4 . *We have, for almost all t,*

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{Max}_{N < 2^m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m+1} \log m}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^m + N} f(n_k t) \leq 6\|f\|.$$

PROOF. Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that

$$(3. 2) \quad 2^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} > M_0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(\log m)} \right\} < \frac{9}{16}$$

and, for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(3. 3) \quad 2(m - l) + 2(1 + \varepsilon) \log m < (2\|f\| \log 2^l)^2, \quad m > l \geq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor.$$

Further let  $X_l(t)$  be the positive part of the function

$$(3. 4) \quad X_l(t) = \text{Max}_N \left[ \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+2^l} f(n_k t); N \in \{r2^l + 2^m, r = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{m-l} - 1\} \right].$$

Then we have, by (2. 3''),

$$(3. 5) \quad \text{Max}_{N < 2^m} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^m + N} f(n_k t) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} X_l(t) < A2^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} + \sum_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} X_l(t).$$

Putting

$$\psi(2^l) = 2(m - l) + 2(1 + \varepsilon)\log m, \quad \text{for } m > l \geq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor,$$

then (3. 2), (3. 3) and Lemma 2 imply, for any positive integer  $N$ ,

$$(3. 6) \quad \left| \left\{ t; \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+2^l} f(n_k t) \geq 2\|f\| \sqrt{2^l \psi(2^l)} \right\} \right| \leq 2e^{-(m-l)} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Therefore if we put

$$E_l = \{t; X_l(t) \geq 2\|f\| \sqrt{2^l \psi(2^l)}\},$$

then we have, by (3. 4) and (3. 6),

$$|E_l| \leq 2^{(m-l+1)} m^{-(1+\varepsilon)} e^{-(m-l)},$$

and

$$(3. 7) \quad \sum_{m > m_0} \sum_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} |E_l| < + \infty.$$

Further if  $t \in \bigcup_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} E_l$ , then we have

$$\sum_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} X_l(t) \leq 2\|f\| \sum_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} \sqrt{2^l \psi(2^l)}.$$

Since (3. 2) implies

$$\sqrt{\frac{2^l \psi(2^l)}{2^{l+1} \psi(2^{l+1})}} < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log m}\right)} < \frac{3}{4}, \quad \text{for } l < m,$$

we have

$$\sum_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} \sqrt{2^l \psi(2^l)} < 4 \sqrt{2^{m-1} \psi(2^{m-1})} < 3 \sqrt{2^{m+1} \{1 + (1 + \varepsilon) \log m\}}.$$

From the last two relations and (3. 5) it is seen that

$$\text{Max}_{N < 2^m} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^m + N} f(n_k t) < A 2^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} + 6\|f\| \sqrt{2^{m+1} \{1 + (1 + \varepsilon) \log m\}}, \text{ for } t \in \bigcup_{l=\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{m-1} E_l.$$

The above relation and (3. 7) complete the proof.

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we have, for almost all  $t$ ,

$$(3. 8) \quad \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N f(n_k t) \leq 8\|f\|.$$

4. Since (3. 8) can be proved under the conditions (1. 2), (2. 3), (2. 3') and (2. 3''), we can also prove that for any fixed  $M > 0$  and almost all  $t$

$$(4. 1) \quad \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \{f(n_k t) - S_M(n_k t)\} \leq 8\|f(t) - S_M(t)\|.$$

Considering  $-\{f(t) - S_M(t)\}$ , we have for almost all  $t$

$$(4. 2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \{f(n_k t) - S_M(n_k t)\} \\ &= - \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \{f(n_k t) - S_M(n_k t)\} \\ & \geq -8\|f(t) - S_M(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand from (1. 2) we can take  $N_0 = N_0(M)$  such that

$$n_{k+1}/M n_k > (M + 1)/M, \quad \text{for } k \geq N_0.$$

Hence  $\sum_{k \geq N_0} S_M(n_k t)$  is a lacunary trigonometric series and it is easily seen that if

$a_m \neq 0$  for some  $m \leq M$ , then this series satisfies the conditions of the theorem of Weiss stated in §1. Therefore we obtain, for almost all  $t$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N S_M(n_k t) = \|S_M(t)\|.$$

From (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) we have, for almost all  $t$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N \log \log N}} \sum_{k=1}^N f(n_k t) - \|S_M(t)\| \right| \leq 8\|f(t) - S_M(t)\|.$$

Since  $\|f(t) - S_M(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $M \rightarrow +\infty$ , (4.4) proves the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. IZUMI, Notes on Fourier Analysis (XLIV); On the law of the iterated logarithm of some sequence of functions, Journ. of Math., I(1952), 1-22.
- [2] M. KAC, Probability method in analysis and number theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55(1949), 641-665
- [3] S. TAKAHASHI: An asymptotic property of a gap sequence, Proc. Japan Acad., 38, 101-104(1962)
- [4] M. WEISS, The law of the iterated logarithm for lacunary trigonometric series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 91(1959), 444-469.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY.