U. of Iowa 68-28

Distribution of This Document is Unlimited

FACILITY FORM 602

N69-21414
(ACCESSION NUMBER)
(THRU)

34
(PAGES)

0
(CODE)

CR-95954
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

13
(CATEGORY)

[illegible]

[redacted]

Hard copy (HC) ______

Microfiche (MF) ______

ff 653 July 65

RECEIVED
AUG 1968
NASA STI FACILITY
INPUT BRANCH

RECEIVED
AUG 15 12 31 PM '68
OFFICE OF
UNIVERSITY AFFAIRS

Department of Physics and Astronomy

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

Iowa City, Iowa

34 98

U. of Iowa 68-28

The Low Frequency Cutoff of ELF Emissions*

by

Donald A. Gurnett

and

Thomas B. Burns**

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa

May 1968

---

*Research supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under grant NGR 16-001-043 and by the Office of Naval Research under contract Nonr 1509(06).

**National Aeronautics and Space Administration Graduate Trainee

ABSTRACT

ELF and VLF radio noises observed by satellites in the ionosphere often have a very sharp lower cutoff frequency near the proton gyrofrequency. This paper summarizes the experimentally observed characteristics of this low frequency cutoff and proposes an explanation for the cutoff based on the reflection of downward propagating, extraordinary mode, waves near the two-ion cutoff frequency between the proton and helium gyrofrequencies. This explanation, if correct, provides the first direct evidence that chorus and ELF hiss emissions are generated at high altitudes (above 3000 km) and not near the base of the ionosphere.

Ground-based observations of 700 Hz noise bands near the auroral zone, previously attributable to proton cyclotron radiation at low atlitudes in the ionosphere, can now be explained by this reflection mechanism. Other possibly related effects (such as multiple ELF noise bands and the reflection of whistlers at the two-ion cutoff frequency) are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Satellite observations of ELF and VLF radio noise have revealed that noise bands in the frequency range from a few hundred Hz to several kHz often have a very sharp lower cutoff frequency near the proton gyrofrequency [Burns, 1966; Smith et al., 1968; and Guthart et al., 1968]. In this paper we summarize a study of this noise band cutoff using data from the Injun 3 satellite and propose an explanation for the cutoff based on the reflection of down-going, extraordinary mode, waves near the two-ion cutoff between the proton and helium (or oxygen) gyrofrequencies. This explanation, together with the observed altitude dependence of the cutoff frequency, provides the first direct evidence that chorus and ELF hiss emissions are generated at high altitudes in the magnetosphere (above 3000 km) and not near the base of the ionosphere. The results of this study further indicate that ion related propagation effects strongly influence the transmission of magnetospheric ELF radio noises to the ground and can prevent these radio noises from reaching the ground.

Ground observations of strong band emissions at approximately the proton gyrofrequency near the base of the ionosphere [Aarons et al., 1960: Gustafsson et al., 1960: Egeland et al,, 1965a and 1965b], previously speculated to be proton cyclotron radiation, can now be interpreted as being due to a combination of the low frequency cutoff in the transmission of ELF radio noise to the ground and the frequency spectrum of the emitted radiation.

II. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LOW FREQUENCY CUTOFF OF ELF EMISSIONS

In a previous study of VLF emissions by Taylor and Gurnett [1968], using data from the low altitude (237 to 2785 km) Injun 3 satellite, it was found that the region of maximum occurrence and intensity of VLF radio noises from a few hundred Hz to about 7.0 kHz occurred during the local day, 06:00 to 18:00 magnetic local time (MLT) and about 55° to 75° invariant latitude (INV), with a broad maximum from about 9 to 11 hours MLT and 60° to 70° INV. (MLT is the hour angle between the magnetic meridian through the satellite and the magnetic meridian through the sun, using the centered dipole approximation [Chamberlain, 1961]; and INV is Arccos $L^{-1/2}$ where L is McIlwain's [1961] geomagnetic shell parameter.)

The most common type of radio noise found in this region of maximum occurrence consisted of hiss (band-limited incoherent noise [Gallet, 1959; Helliwell, 1965]) in the frequency range from a few hundred Hz up to about 2 kHz. This type of radio noise was called <u>ELF hiss</u>. A frequency-time spectrogrom of ELF hiss observed by Injun 3 is shown in Figure 1.

Often the frequency spectrum of ELF hiss has a very sharply defined lower frequency limit ranging from about 300 Hz to 700 Hz. This sharp low frequency cutoff can be seen at about 300 Hz for the ELF hiss band shown in Figure 1. Further examples of ELF hiss illustrating this sharp low frequency cutoff are shown in Figures 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c) with an expanded (0 - 1.25 kHz) frequency scale.

The low frequency cutoffs illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 have typical attenuations exceeding 20 db (roughly black to white on the spectrograms) in a 50 Hz frequency range. Since it is difficult to be quantitatively precise about the definition of a cutoff, we shall use the cutoffs illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 as being typical of what we mean by a cutoff in the noise spectrum.

The low frequency cutoffs of the type illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 are not due to an instrumental effect, as is evidenced by the facts that (1) the cutoff frequency changes systematically with spatial position of the satellite, sometimes by several hundred Hz during a 15 minute pass; (2) the same cutoff frequency has been observed for data received by two different telemetry receiving stations; (3) the frequency response of the satellite-borne VLF receiver is not nearly sharp enough to account for the observed cutoff; and (4) the same cutoff effect has been observed by VLF receivers on the Alouette satellites (R. E. Barrington, personal communication) and the OGO satellites [Smith et al., 1968; and Guthart et al., 1968].

The sharp low frequency cutoff is not always observed for ELF hiss received with Injun 3. In some cases the absence of a clearly identifiable cutoff is due to the poor signal to noise ratio of the data below about 500 Hz because of the rapidly increasing attenuation of the Injun 3 VLF receiver in this frequency range [see Gurnett and O'Brien, 1964, for details of the experiment]. However, in many cases with good signal to noise ratios, the low frequency cutoff can be seen to change from very sharp to diffuse or nonexistent in a time scale on the order of minutes. Approximately one third of the ELF hiss events observed with Injun 3 have a recognizable low frequency cutoff. This percentage of occurrence must be considered very uncertain because of the signal to noise ratio difficulty discussed above.

The low frequency cutoff commonly observed for ELF hiss is also observed for other, less common, types of ELF emissions. Figures 2(a) and 2(c) illustrate the same low frequency cutoff for discrete VLF emissions of the type called polar chorus. The low frequency cutoff has also been observed for periodic emissions.

The cutoff frequency of ELF emissions, when it occurs, is found to decrease systematically with increasing altitude and is usually less than the proton gyrofrequency at the satellite. Figure 2 shows examples of the low frequency cutoff for three different altitudes at about the same latitude (57.5° to 59.5° INV) and illustrates the general tendency of the cutoff frequency to decrease with increasing altitude.

To provide some statistical evidence of the altitude dependence of the cutoff frequency, several hundred measurements of the cutoff frequency were made at various altitudes and latitudes. The cutoff frequencies measured are shown as a function of altitude in Figure 3 for six latitude ranges. No measurements were made below about 380 Hz because of the poor signal to noise ratio and the uncertainty in identifying cutoffs at these frequencies. At the lower latitudes, 38° to 60° INV, the low frequency cutoff is seen to decrease systematically with increasing altitude with only a small amount of scatter. All but about 10% of the cutoff frequencies (F_c) are within the range $0.8\Omega_p < F_c < \Omega_p$ (Ω_p = proton gyrofrequency). Significantly, perhaps, some of the cutoff frequencies ar definitely above the proton gyrofrequency. At higher latitudes, particularly in the 65° to 70° invariant latitude range, the scatter increases considerably and the cutoff frequency dependence on altitude is less well defined.

In order to confirm and possibly clarify the dependence suggested by the statistical study, several individual passes with ELF hiss were selected for analysis. These passes were selected to have continuous good quality ELF hiss data with a low frequency cutoff covering the altitude and latitude ranges of interest (300 km to 3000 km and 40° to 70° INV). Figure 4 shows the frequency-time spectrogram for one of the individual passes studied. A continuous, sharply defined, low frequency cutoff can be seen for the duration of this pass. Figure 5 illustrates the variation of the cutoff frequency (F_c) and its relationship to the proton gyrofrequency (Ω_p) during the pass. It is seen that near the beginning of the pass at low altitude (400 km) and high latitude (65° INV) the cutoff frequency is very close to the proton gyrofrequency. As the satellite proceeds to higher altitudes and lower latitudes, the cutoff frequency drops significantly below the proton gyrofrequency until, near the end of the pass at about 1000 km altitude and 35° INV, the ratio of the cutoff frequency to the proton gyrofrequency is about 0.8. Analysis of other individual passes selected for study generally support the altitude dependence illustrated in Figure 5, namely that the cutoff frequency and the ratio of the cutoff frequency to the proton gyrofrequency (F_c/Ω_p) decreases with increasing altitude.

III. A POSSIBLE EXPLANATION OF THE LOW FREQUENCY CUTOFF

One of the most important features of the low frequency cutoff is the systematic decrease in the cutoff frequency with increasing altitude (Figure 3). This altitude dependence indicates that waves with frequencies just above the cutoff frequency at some given altitude are not observed at a lower altitude. If we consider that the waves are propagating in a horizontally stratified ionosphere, then we are led to two general possibilities for explaining this altitude dependence: (1) if the waves are downcoming from a source at a higher altitude, then the waves are being reflected (or absorbed) at the cutoff frequency, or (2) if the waves are entirely upgoing, then they are being generated at the cutoff frequency. The first possibility (1) above is strictly a propagation effect, and the second possibility (2) involves the generation mechanism.

When the effects of ions are considered on the propagation of ELF waves in the ionosphere a ready explanation arises for the observed cutoff. The propagation of electromagnetic waves in the ionosphere at frequencies on the order of the ion gyrofrequencies has been discussed by Gurnett et al. [1965] in connection with ion cyclotron whistlers. One of the results of principal interest to this paper is a cutoff in the extraordinary mode of propagation (corresponding to the usual whistler mode when ions are not considered) at a frequency between the proton and helium gyrofrequencies. This cutoff frequency is called the L = 0 cutoff frequency [Stix, 1962] or the two-ion cutoff frequency [Smith and Brice, 1964]. At the L = 0 cutoff frequency the index of refraction goes to zero for all angles of propagation and the extraordinary mode becomes evanescent (non-propagating)

The importance of the L = 0 cutoff frequency for the propagation of ELF waves in the ionosphere can be best illustrated using the plot of various critical frequencies versus altitude shown in Figure 6. The fractional concentrations of H^+, He^+, and O^+, shown at the bottom of Figure 6, are typical of a mid-latitude, local night (temperature = 800°K) ionosphere and are identical to the model ionosphere used in Gurnett et al. [1965]. The critical frequencies plotted in Figure 6 are the proton gyrofrequency (Ω_p), the crossover frequency [Smith and Brice, 1964] (also labled D = 0 according to the nomenclature of Stix [1962]), and the L = 0 cutoff frequency.

The role which these critical frequencies play for ELF waves propagating in the ionosphere can be illustrated by following a wave propagating downward from a source at high altitudes. Starting at a high altitude of 3000 km and a representative frequency of 400 Hz the wave must be propagating in the extraordinary (whistler) mode since above the proton gyrofrequency the ordinary mode is evanescent (up to frequencies on the order of the electron gyrofrequency, ∿ 1.0 MHz). At this altitude the extraordinary mode is right-hand polarized. As the wave propagates downward no major effect occurs until it reaches the altitude where the wave frequency is equal to the crossover frequency (about 880 km altitude for 400 Hz in Figure 6). As the wave crosses the D = 0 (crossover frequency) altitude, the polarization changes from right-hand to left-hand. This polarization reversal effect occurs only for plasmas with two or more ions [Stix, 1962] and was first demonstrated to occur for proton whistlers propagating upward from the base of the ionosphere [Gurnett et al., 1965]. After the polarization reversal the wave can continue to propagate downward, left-hand polarized, until the altitude is reached at which the wave frequency is equal to the L = 0 cutoff frequency (about 780 km for 400 Hz in Figure 6). At this altitude the index of refraction for the extraordinary mode (now left-hand polarized) goes to zero for all angles of propagation and is immaginary (non-propagating) at all lower altitudes. Thus, for waves propagating downwards from a high altitude source, only altitudes above the L = 0 altitude are accessible to these waves. The region accessible to downward propagating waves is illustrated by crosshatching in Figure 6. The minimum transmission frequency to the ground (700 Hz in Figure 6) is determined by the altitude at which the H^+ concentration becomes so small that polarization reversal no longer occurs when collisions are considered [Jones, 1968]. This minimum transmission frequency produces an analogus cutoff for upward propagating waves which is commonly observed for the right-hand polarized whistler preceding ion cyclotron whistlers [Gurnett et al., 1965].

Because of refraction as the wave approaches the $L = 0$ altitude, a downward propagating wave will in general be reflected <u>before</u> it reaches the $L = 0$ altitude. The reflection altitude depends critically on the initial wave normal angle and can even be above the crossover or proton gyrofrequency altitudes. For a horizontally stratified ionosphere the altitude at which reflection takes place can be determined from a plot of the horizontal refractive index (n_x) as a function of altitude, as shown in the top of Figure 6. From Snell's law reflection will take place when n_x is equal to the initial horizontal component of the refractive index vector ($n \text{ Sin } \theta$, θ = initial angle of incidence).

From the plot of the horizontal index of refracti in Figure 6, it can be seen that the largest vertical gradient in n_x occurs in the altitude range between the $L = 0$ cutoff and the proton gyrofrequency altitudes. Thus, for a reasonably uniform distribution of initial wave normal angles, most of the waves will be reflected in this altitude range, or correspondingly, below the proton gyrofrequency and above the $L = 0$ cutoff frequency.

From this discussion of the effects of ions on downward propagating ELF waves in the ionosphere, it is evident that the low frequency cutoff of ELF emissions can be explained by the reflection of downward propagating ELF emissions due to the large vertical gradient in the refractive index of the extraordinary mode near the $L = 0$ altitude. The following general points of agreement with the experimental data support this explanation.

(1) Relation of the Cutoff Frequency to the Proton Gyrofrequency. The altitude of reflection, and the corresponding cutoff frequency, are expected to be generally below the proton gyrofrequency, as is generally observed. It is possible, however, with a sufficiently large intial wave normal angle, for the reflection altitude and the corresponding cutoff frequency to be above the proton gyrofrequency, as has been observed in a few cases.

(2) Relation of the Cutoff Frequency to the $L = 0$ Cutoff Frequency. Calculations of the $L = 0$ cutoff frequency as a function of altitude for reasonable estimates of the ion concentrations generally show that the observed cutoff frequency is somewhat greater (10 to 20%) than the calculated $L = 0$ cutoff frequency. In a few cases at mid-latitudes, where proton whistlers are observed

simultaneously with a lower frequency cutoff of ELF emissions, the observed cutoff frequency was found to be above the crossover frequency (the crossover frequency is easily determined from proton whistlers). These observations are consistent with the explanation that the cutoff is due to the reflection of downgoing waves above the L = 0 altitude, and, therefore, above the L = 0 cutoff frequency. Since the cutoff frequency and the spectrum near the cutoff are strongly dependent on the intial wave normal angle, the observed cutoff is not, in general, the L = 0 cutoff frequency. Thus, the cutoff frequency cannot be easily used to obtain ion concentration information as has been done for the crossover frequency of ion cyclotron whistlers. The dependence of the frequency spectrum near the cutoff on the initial wave normal angle has been suggested (N. Brice, personal communication) as a method of determining the distribution of wave normal angles.

(3) Altitude Dependence of the Cutoff Frequency. Since the L = 0 cutoff frequency strongly influences the refractive index near the reflection altitude, the altitude dependence of the observed cutoff frequency is expected to be similar to the altitude dependence of the L = 0 cutoff

frequency. As the L = 0 cutoff frequency, and the ratio of the L = 0 cutoff frequency to the proton gyrofrequency, always decreases with increasing altitude (see Figure 6), the general tendency for the cutoff frequency (F_c), and the ratio of the cutoff frequency to the proton gyrofrequency (F_c/Ω_p), to decrease with increasing altitude, as illustrated in Figures 3 and 5, is accounted for.

(4) Transmission Past the L = 0 Cutoff and Mode Coupling. ELF emissions are often observed which extend considerably below the lower cutoff frequency of ELF hiss, and below the L = 0 cutoff frequency estimated from reasonable models of the ion concentrations. These cases of transmission past the L = 0 cutoff seem to be particularly common at high latitudes (above 60° INV).

Transmission past the L = 0 cutoff can be readily explained by mode coupling near the crossover frequency, much as in the case of ion cyclotron whistlers [Gurnett et al., 1965; and Jones, 1968]. When the effects of collisions are included a critical coupling angle (θ_c), relative to the geomagnetic field, is obtained. For wave normal angles greater than θ_c, at the crossover frequency altitude polarization reversal occurs in ths usual way (right-to left-hand for downgoing waves) and the wave cannot go below the L = 0 altitude. For wave normal angles near θ_c, however, the phase velocities of the two modes are very nearly equal and mode coupling is strong, with the result that both right- and left-hand polarized waves are produced below the crossover altitude. Since the L = 0 cutoff is only for left-hand polarized waves, the right-hand polarized component can be transmitted past the L = 0 cutoff frequency. For wave normal angles less than θ_c, polarization reversal does not occur [Jones, 1968] and all of the wave energy can be transmitted past the L = 0 altitude.

Since the critical coupling angle is usually rather small (5 to 10°) mode coupling effects are expected to occur only for waves propagating nearly parallel to the geomagnetic field at the crossover frequency altitude. Thus, mode coupling would tend to occur primarily for ducted propagation or for certain latitude ranges where the source, presumed to be near the equatorial plane, illuminates the ionosphere with wave normal angles nearly parallel to the geomagnetic field. Some of the observed cutoff characteristics, such as the tendency for sharply defined cutoffs and less scatter in the cutoff frequencies at low latitudes (less than 60° INV), appear to be consistent with the expected latitude variation in the wave normal angles from an ELF emission source near the equatorial plane at L values of 4 to 8. Considerable additional investigation is required to establish the role of mode coupling for ELF emissions observed at low altitudes in the ionosphere.

IV. DISCUSSION

In addition to explaining the low frequency cutoff of ELF emissions, the reflection of downgoing waves near the L = 0 cutoff frequency may have application to other ELF radio noise phenomena observed on the ground and by satellites. Possible effects related to the L = 0 cutoff frequency are discussed below.

A. 700 Hz Noise Bands

ELF and VLF emissions in the frequency range from a few hundred Hz to several kHz are very commonly observed from the ground at middle and high latitudes. Observations of ELF noise at Kiruna, Sweden, (65.3° goemagnetic latitude) by Aarons et al. [1960]; Gustafsson et al. [1960]; and Egeland et al. [1965] have shown that the ELF noise spectrum generally has a strong peak at about 700 Hz. These observations of strong band emissions at approximately the proton gyrofrequency in the lower ionosphere (about 700 Hz at 400 km altitude) have led to the suggestion that this noise may be generated by proton cyclotron radiation in the ionosphere [Aarons et al., 1960].

When the effects of ions are considered on the propagation of ELF waves a fairly simple explanation arises for the 700 Hz noise band emissions observed by Aarons and others. From Figure 6 it is seen that the minimum transmission frequency to the ground is determined by the proton gyrofrequency at the base of the protonosphere. If the frequency spectrum of the downgoing noise is increasing rapidly towards lower frequencies in this frequency range as is often the case judging from the Injun 3 data, then the resulting ELF noise spectrum observed on the ground would be peaked near the minimum transmission frequency, the peak being due to the combination of the sharp lower cutoff in the transmission to the ground and the frequency spectrum of the source. This explanation can account for the principal characteristics of the 700 Hz noise band given by Egeland [1965a], namely that (1) the peak noise intensity occurs at a frequency near the proton gyrofrequency in the lower ionosphere (about 700 Hz at 400 km altitude), (2) the noise spectrum has an asymmetrical shape, with a slope which is much steeper below the frequency of maximum amplitude than above, and (3) the noise band is relatively narrow (about 500 Hz).

B. Multiple Noise Bands

Figure 7 illustrates two examples of noise bands occurring at frequencies (200-300 Hz) considerably below the usual two-ion cutoff frequency near the proton gyrofrequency. These noise bands each have a sharp, low frequency cutoff at approximately the He^+ gyrofrequency. Since there is also a two-ion cutoff frequency between the He^+ and O^+ gyrofrequencies, these low frequency cutoffs may be due to the reflection of downgoing waves near the He^+ - O^+ two-ion cutoff frequency, similar to the reflection of ELF emissions near the H^+ - He^+ two-ion cutoff frequency. Many additional examples of multiple ELF noise bands must be studied to determine the role which ion effects have on the propagation of these noises.

C. L = 0 Cutoff Effects for Whistlers

Just as with ELF emissions, downgoing whistlers in the ELF frequency range will be reflected above the L = 0 altitude in the absence of mode coupling. This type of reflection of whistlers has been observed in VLF data from the OGO-II and IV satellites [Muzzio, 1968].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their thanks to Dr. S. D. Shawhan and Mr. W. Taylor for their helpful comments on this paper and to Professor J. A. Van Allen for his continuing support and encouragement. This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under contract Nonr 1509(06) and by NASA grant NGR-16-001-043.

REFERENCES

Aarons, J., G. Gustafsson, and A. Egeland, "Correlation of audio-frequency electromagnetic radiation with auroral zone micropulsations," Nature, 185, 148, (1960).

Burns, T. B., "A study of VLF noise bands with the Injun 3 satellite," paper presented at the Fall URSI meeting, Palo Alto, Calif., (1966).

Chamberlain, J. W., *Physics of the Aurora and Airglow (Academic Press, New York, 1961)*.

Egeland, A., G. Gustafsson, S. Olsen, W. Barron, and A. Katz, "An investigation of the natural electromagnetic radiation between 10 c/s to 10 kc/s," Arkiv For Geophysik, 4 (28), 537, (1965a).

Egeland, A., G. Gustafsson, S. Olsen, J. Aarons, and W. Barron, "Auroral zone emission centered at 700 cycles per second," J. Geophys. Res., 70, 1079, (1965b).

Gallet, R. M., "The very low frequency emissions generated in the earth's exosphere," J. Res. Natl. Bur. Std., 63D, 21, (1959).

Gurnett, D. A., and B. J. O'Brien, "High-latitude geophysical studies with the satellite Injun 3. Part 5. Very-low-frequency electromagnetic radiation," J. Geophys. Res., 69, 65, (1964).

Gurnett, D. A., S. D. Shawhan, N. M. Brice, and R. L. Smith, "Ion Cyclotron Whistlers," J. Geophys. Res., 70, 1665, (1965).

Gustafsson, G., A. Egeland and J. Aarons, "Audio-frequency electromagnetic radiation in the auroral zone," J. Geophys. Res., 65, 2749, (1960).

Guthart, H., T. L. Crystal, B. P. Ficklin, W. F. Blair, and T. J. Yung, "Proton gyrofrequency band emissions observed aboard OGO 2," J. Geophys. Res., 73, 3592, (1968).

Helliwell, R. A., Whistlers and Related Ionospheric Phenomena (Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif, 1965).

Jones, D., "The theory of the effect of collisions on ion cyclotron whistlers," paper presented at the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Plasma Waves in Space and in the Laboratory, Røros, Norway, (17-26 April 1968).

McIlwain, C. E., "Coordinates for mapping the distribution of magnetically trapped particles," J. Geophys. Res., 66, 3681, (1961).

Muzzio, J. L. R., "Reflection of whistlers in the ionosphere," paper 5-7 presented at the Spring URSI meeting, Washington, D. C., (1968).

Smith, E. J., R. E. Holtzer, J. V. Olson, and R. K. Burton, "Measurements of magnetic fluctuations between 1 and 1000 Hz in the lower magnetosphere," paper 5-3 presented at the Spring URSI meeting, Washington, D. C., (1968).

Smith, R. L., and N. M. Brice, "Propagation in multicomponent plasmas," J. Geophys. Res., 69, 5029, (1964).

Stix, T. H., The Theory of Plasma Waves (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1962).

Taylor, W., and D. A. Gurnett, "The morphology of VLF emissions observed with the Injun 3 satellite," Res. Rept. 68-6, Department of Physics and Astronomy, U. of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, [accepted for publication, J. Geophys. Res., 1968].

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1	Frequency-time spectrogram of ELF hiss.
Figure 2	Spectrograms illustrating the low frequency cutoff of ELF emissions
Figure 3	Scatter plot of the lower cutoff frequency of ELF emissions as a function of altitude and latitude.
Figure 4	Spectrogram showing the low frequency cutoff variations with latitude and altitude for an individual pass.
Figure 5	Comparison of the low frequency cutoff with the proton gyrofrequency.
Figure 6	Critical frequencies and horizontal index of refraction vs. altitude for a model ionosphere.
Figure 7	Frequency-time spectrogram of multiple noise bands observed with Injun 3.


G68-530
ELF HISS
INV 64.0° MLT 16.5 hr. Altitude 1700 km
FREQUENCY (KHz)
5
0
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
TIME (Seconds)
LOW FREQUENCY CUTOFF (~300 Hz)

Figure 1


66-827-1
NOISE BANDS WITH 57.5 < INV. < 59.5
(a)
(b)
(c)
FREQUENCY (KHz)
1.0
0.5
0.0
0
5
10
15
TIME (SEC)
ALT 713 KM
INV 57.6
LT 7:42
ALT 1109 KM
INV 592
LT 9:10
ALT 1445 KM
INV 58.2
LT 8:58
LOW FREQUENCY CUTOFF

Figure 2


G67-371
38°-50°
50°-55°
55°-60°
60°-65°
65°-70°
70°-82°
ALTITUDE (Km)
0
1000
2000
CUTOFF FREQUENCY (Hz)
0
200
400
600

Figure 3


G 68-602
FREQ (kHz)
1.2
0.6
0.0
UT (hr:min) 16:21
16:25
16:30
LOW FREQUENCY CUTOFF
INV (deg) 60
55
50
45
40
35
ALT (km)
500
600
700
800
900
1000

Figure 4


G67-424-1
REV 775
FREQUENCY (Hz)
600
400
200
Ωp
Fc
ALT (Km)
500
600
700
800
900
INV(deg)
55
50
45
40

Figure 5


G 68-532
L = 0
POLARIZATION REVERSAL ALTITUDE
R
L
HORIZONTAL COMPONENT OF THE REFRACTIVE INDEX n_x VS. ALTITUDE FOR 400 Hz
n_x
0
20
40
60
80
100
MINIMUM TRANSMISSION FREQUENCY TO THE GROUND
FREQUENCY (Hz)
0
100
200
300
400
500
600
700
800
REGION ACCESSIBLE TO DOWNGOING WAVES
Ω_p PROTON GYROFREQUENCY
L=0 CUTOFF FREQUENCY
D=0 CROSSOVER FREQUENCY
HELIUM GYROFREQUENCY
FRACTIONAL CONCENTRATION
0.0
0.5
1.0
$\frac{n(O^+)}{n_e}$
$\frac{n(H^+)}{n_e}$
$\frac{n(He^+)}{n_e}$
DIFFUSIVE EQUILIBRIUM MODEL IONOSPHERE FOR MID-LATITUDE, LOCAL NIGHT (T = 800° K)
0
500
1000
1500
2000
2500
3000
ALTITUDE (KM)

Figure 6

65-3-1



JAN. 14, 1963 10:46:10 UT, 05:20LT, ALTITUDE = 640 Km
L = 7.2 Ω_1 = 675 c/s.

JAN. 17, 1963, 10:24:00 UT, 05:11LT ALTITUDE = 527 Km
L = 9.9 Ω_1 = 725 c/s.

Figure 7

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY *(Corporate author)* University of Iowa Department of Physics and Astronomy	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 2b. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE

The Low Frequency Cutoff of ELF Emissions

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES *(Type of report and inclusive dates)*

Progress May 1968

5. AUTHOR(S) *(Last name, first name, initial)*

Gurnett, Donald A. and Burns, Thomas B.

6. REPORT DATE May 1968	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 34	7b. NO. OF REFS 10

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Nonr 1509(06)	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) U. of Iowa 68-28
b. PROJECT NO. c d	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) *(Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)*

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research

13. ABSTRACT

ELF and VLF radio noises observed by satellites in the ionosphere often have a very sharp lower cutoff frequency near the proton gyrofrequency. This paper summarized the experimentally observed characteristics of this low frequency cutoff and proposes an explanation for the cutoff based on the reflection of downward propagating, extraordinary mode, waves near the two-ion cutoff frequency between the proton and helium gyrofrequencies. This explanation, if correct, provides the first direct evidence that chorus and ELF hiss emissions are generated at high altitudes (above 3000 km) and <u>not</u> near the base of the protonosphere.

Ground-based observations of 700 Hz noise bands near the auroral zone, previously attributable to proton cyclotron radiation at low altitudes in the ionosphere, can now be explained by this reflection mechanism. Other possibly related effects (such as multiple ELF noise bands, trapping of ELF waves in the ionosphere, and the reflection of whistlers at the two-ion cutoff frequency) are discussed.

DD FORM 1 JAN 64 1473

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Low frequency cutoff						
ELF emissions, satellite						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization *(corporate author)* issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE. Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers *(either by the originator or by the sponsor)*, also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

(1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."

(2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."

(3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through

____

(4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through

____."

(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring *(paying for)* the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as *(TS), (S), (C), or (U)*.

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

DD FORM 1 JAN 64 1473 (BACK)