



The Major Qualitative Characteristics of Olive (*Olea europaea* L.) Cultivated in Southwest China

Zizhang Cheng¹, Mingming Zhan¹, Zeshen Yang², Kristina Zumstein³, Huaping Chen^{1*} and Qianming Huang^{1*}

¹ Department of Biochemistry, College of Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Yaan, China, ² Sichuan Liangshan New Technology Development Co., Ltd., Xichang, China, ³ Department of Plant Biology, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

Olive trees, originated from Mediterranean, have been cultivated in China for decades and show great adaption to local environment. However, research on this topic is limited. In this study, the major qualitative characteristics and changes of olive grown in southwest China were investigated. The results showed that oil accumulated during fruit development and reached its maximum value when fruit had fully ripened. Phenolic and flavonoid contents increase rapidly in the early growth stage (0–90 DAFB) and then begin to decrease as fruit ripens. Compared with olive from the Mediterranean, olive from China has special characteristics: higher moisture content in the fruit combined with lower percentages of unsaturated fatty acids and oil content. This is due to southwest China's climate which is wetter and cooler compared to the Mediterranean. Our study suggests that southwest China's higher annual rainfall might contribute to higher fruit moisture content while its low temperatures would be conducive to higher unsaturated fatty acid levels in the fruit.

Keywords: olive fruit, oil content, sugar, phenolics, flavonoids, fatty acid composition

INTRODUCTION

The olive (*Olea europaea* L.) is a famous edible oil tree crop worldwide and has great commercial value due to its peculiar nutritional benefits (Conde et al., 2008). Due to the well-balanced oil composition (highly enriched in monounsaturated fatty acid) and rich minor components (such as polyphenols and phytosterols) in the fruits, olive trees are unique among oil plants (Sánchez and Harwood, 2002). In order to understand the specific properties of olive oil and fruit, many studies have investigated olive fruits in the past few years (Nergiz and Engez, 2000; Brahmi et al., 2013; Cecchi et al., 2013).

The major qualitative characteristics of olive fruits include fruit weight, oil content, phenolics profile, and fatty acid composition, etc. In plants, the biosynthesis of these biochemical components are strongly influenced by genetic factors. Different varieties usually show different qualitative characteristics. For example, *O. europaea* cv. *Koroneiki* has a high phenolic content while cv. *Frantoio* has a high oil content (Gómez-Rico et al., 2008; Alu'datt et al., 2013; Franco et al., 2014; Sousa et al., 2014). However, in recent years, many studies have shown that environmental factors (such as temperature and moisture) also play an important role in determining olive qualitative characteristics (Ranalli et al., 1999; Vinha et al., 2005; Temime et al., 2006; Bakhouche et al., 2013). Climate (especially rainfall and temperature) influences the olive oil constituents significantly (Ranalli et al., 1999; Ocakoglu et al., 2009). The fruitiness is negatively affected by maximum air

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giuseppe Ferrara, Università degli studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Italy

Reviewed by:

Primo Proietti, Perugia University, Italy Saida Bedbabis, University of Sfax, Tunisia Selina Wang, University of California, Davis, USA

*Correspondence:

Huaping Chen chp666@aliyun.com Qianming Huang hqming@sicau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Crop Science and Horticulture, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> **Received:** 25 July 2016 **Accepted:** 28 March 2017 **Published:** 19 May 2017

Citation:

Cheng Z, Zhan M, Yang Z, Zumstein K, Chen H and Huang Q (2017) The Major Qualitative Characteristics of Olive (Olea europaea L.) Cultivated in Southwest China. Front. Plant Sci. 8:559. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00559

1

temperature. Too much rainfall in the fruiting season decreases the phenolic content but only slightly influences oil content and fatty acid composition (Ranalli et al., 1999; Patumi et al., 2002). Several studies has shown that geographical factors such as altitude strongly affect the qualitative characteristics (Arslan et al., 2013; Bajoub et al., 2015). Olives planted in high-altitude locations are rich in monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), while olives planted in low-altitude locations are rich in saturated fatty acids (SFA) (Nergiz and Ergönül, 2009). In addition, development stage also has a great effect on qualitative characteristics in fruits (Trentacoste et al., 2010; Gómez-González et al., 2011). During fruit ripening, oil content and fruit weight increase rapidly, and the accumulation patterns follow an "S" curve. However, the accumulation curves of other components, such as phenolics, reach the peaks when fruits are mature. Thus, an appropriate harvesting time is essential for olives.

Although many studies have investigated the biological characteristics of olive fruits in recent years, these studies mostly focus on olives planted in several countries in the Mediterranean region. China began to plant olives in the 1960's, and currently, olives are mainly planted in Southwest China. After being cultivated for half a century, olives have adapted to the local environment and have given rise to new varieties such as *Ezhi*. However, reports on these new cultivars are rare. Hence, we performed this study to reveal the qualitative characteristics of these olive fruits. Our work also provide supportive information for olive cultivation in other regions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Olive fruits were collected from commercial olive orchards located in Xichang, Sichuan ($34^{\circ}87'$ N, $15^{\circ}07'$ E, altitude of $1500\sim2000$ m), southwest China, the main olive planting region in China. Ten olive cultivars that are widely planted in Southwest China were used for the analyses (**Table 1**). In orchards, olive trees (15 years old) having a vase shape with 5x8 distances were cultivated. Pruning was done every 1–2 years and irrigation was performed as 1–1.4 ET₀ per watering in drought season (from November to June).

The fruits were collected in two harvest years (2014 and 2015). In each year, 3 trees in total from three olive areas with uniform characteristics were chosen for sampling. About 300 fruits were collected in each olive growth zone. Olive fruits without damage were collected by hand from olive tree every 30 days after flowers

Varieties	Origin place	Varieties	Origin place
Yuntai	China	Arbequina	Spain
Frantoio	Italy	Koroneiki	Greece
Ezhi-8	China	Coratina	Italy
Picual	Spain	Barnea	Israel
Leccino	Italy	Ottobratica	Italy

full bloom (DAFB, from May to November). During the olive harvest time (150–180 DAFB), undamaged fruits of each variety were collected separately once every 10 days. And then for each variety, we mixed fruits collected every 10 days. The fresh fruits were washed with distilled water. Olive fruits from each variety were weighed at each sampling time. Then the fruits were immediately homogenized with a laboratory crusher at 4°C and lyophilized until they reached a constant weight. The moisture content was calculated, and the lyophilized paste was stored at -20° C. The fruits of each year were measured separately. The data was pooled together for statistical analysis and evaluation.

Oil Content

The oil content was determined by extracting the lyophilized paste with petroleum ether using a Soxhlet apparatus according to the method described in Bengana's work (Bengana et al., 2013). Approximately 10 g of lyophilized paste was used to extract oil with 150 mL petroleum ether at $40 \sim 60^{\circ}$ C for 8–10 h. For each sample, three replicates were prepared and analyzed for each sample. We also used the olive oil for fatty acid composition analyses.

Fatty Acid Composition Analyses

We used GC-MS (Agilent 7000C, Agilent Technologies, USA) to analyze the fatty acid composition of the oil. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were prepared by dissolving 0.1 g of oil in 2 mL of heptane and a solution of KOH (0.2 N) in methanol. Thereafter, the analyses were performed in accordance with a previously reported method (Bengana et al., 2013). GC-MS work condition: DB23 capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm, 0.25 μ m film thickness, Agilent Technologies, USA); the injection volume was 0.8 μ L; linear velocity, 0.5 cm/min; split ratio of 1:30, v/v; interface, FID and the injector temperatures were 200, 280, and 250°C



respectively. For each sample, three replicates were prepared and analyzed.

Sugar Content

The soluble sugars from 10 g of lyophilized fresh olive paste were extracted twice in 80% ethanol at 70°C and measured/ calculated by UNI 22608 method (Cecchi et al., 2013) using the G20S compact titrator (Thomas Scientific, USA). Concentrations were shown in g sugar/mL solution. The final sugar content was then referred to percentage of olive fruit. Three replicates were prepared and determined per sample.

Phenolic and Flavonoid Content

A mixture of methanol and water (150 mL, 4:1 v/v) was added to 10 g of lyophilized paste, and the mixture was then shaken for 5 min with an Ultraturrax homogenizer. The solution was filtered using GF/F filter paper and hexane to remove the oil. The extract was concentrated to dryness using a Rotavapor at 40°C to a final volume of 10 mL. The quantity of phenolics and flavonoids in the extract were determined with the Folin-Ciocalteu procedure (Fernandez-Orozco et al., 2011) and the colorimetric assay (Brahmi et al., 2013) separately. The phenolic and flavonoid quantities were given as gram hydroxytyrosol



FIGURE 2 | Variation of qualitative characteristics during fruit development. (A) Moisture content (B) Dried fruit oil content (C) Fresh fruit oil content (D) Sugar content (E) Total phenolics content (F) Total flavonoids content.

equivalents per kilogram (HEQ) and as g luteolin equivalents per kg (LEQ) of fresh weight, respectively. And the standards of hydroxytyrosol, luteolin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Three replicates per sample were analyzed.

Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using Origin Pro 8 SR2 (Northampton, USA) and SPSS 22 (SPSS Inc., USA). SPSS 22 was used (SPSS Inc., USA) to perform an analysis of variances (ANOVA) with multiple A Posteriori mean comparisons. We used p < 0.05 to identify significant differences among all parameters analyzed. There was no significant difference between 2014's data and 2015's data (p < 0.05), so we didn't report it separately.

RESULTS

Fruit Growth and Ripening

Olive fruits are drupes. The development stage of olives are divided into growth and ripening periods. In this study, the fruit weight and color change of olive fruits during growth and ripening are represented in **Figure 1**. The figure shows that the olive fruit growth and ripening processes were comparatively prolonged (greater than 210 d) and presented a special development trend: fast-slow-fast-slow, and the fruit color change was dark green-green-yellow green and purple-black. Therefore, the process was divided into four stages: phase I: 0–60 DAFB, phase II: 60–90 DAFB, phase III: 90–150 DAFB, and phase IV: 150–210 DAFB.

The Variation of Qualitative Characteristics during Fruit Development

As olive fruit grows and ripens, biochemical processes occurred (**Figure 2**). During growth and ripening, A great fluctuation in moisture content were observed, and the content varied from $55.22 \pm 0.81\%$ to $77.01 \pm 1.05\%$ (**Figure 2A**). During 60–150 DAFB, the moisture content consistently increased and then decreased.

Oil accumulation of olive fruit during development is presented in Figures 2B,C. During fruit maturation, oil rapidly accumulated (Figure 2B). However, due to the unusual and rapid increase in the fruit moisture, the increase in fresh fruit oil content slowed down during 150-180 DAFB (Figure 2C). When fruits were fully ripened, the fresh and dried fruit oil content both reached a maximum, which ranged from 15.66 \pm 0.51% to 20.44 \pm 0.52% and from 46.12 \pm 0.65% to 59.43 \pm 0.63%, respectively. However, change in sugar content was opposite to oil accumulation (Figure 2D). The sugar content decreased rapidly as the fruit developing and reached a minimum at the end of the ripening period. In addition, the sugar content differed among the varieties during the growth period (p < 0.05); however, this difference began to decrease as the fruit ripened, which may be related to high oil accumulation during fruit ripening. (Figures 2C,D). These results also indicated that the high oil content is complementary for the low sugar content in olive fruit.

Throughout the development period, the phenolic content changed dramatically; the phenolic compound concentration varied from 22.48 ± 0.53 g/kg to 9.97 ± 0.35 g/kg (**Figure 2E**). In the early fruit growth stage (0–90 DAFB), the phenolics in the fruit were higher and increased gradually with the olive fruit development; thereafter, they began to decrease. During fruit ripening, the phenolic content was at a minimum. Flavonoids compose a class of phenolics, the evolution of which was not similar to other phenolics (**Figure 2F**). After the rapid decrease in 30–90 DAFB, the fruit flavonoid content slightly increased (90–210 DAFB).

The Qualitative Characteristics of Olive Fruit at Harvest

Generally, the olive harvested with good nutritional content showed well-balanced characteristics in quantity and quality indexes, such as fruit weight, oil content and phenolic content. Here, the major qualitative characteristics in each variety were determined at harvest time (**Table 2**). During harvesting,

TABLE 2 The content of the biochemical components in olive fruit at harvesting
--

Variety	Moisture content/%	Dried fruit oil content/%	Fresh fruit oil content/%	Sugar content/%	Phenolic content/(g/kg)	Flavonoid content/(g/kg)
Yuntai	66.33 ± 0.76^{bc}	56.02 ± 0.86^{a}	18.86 ± 0.51 ^c	2.53 ± 0.25^{cd}	16.90 ± 0.45^{b}	0.81 ± 0.05^{e}
Arbequina	$65.77 \pm 0.76^{\circ}$	49.40 ± 1.84^{bc}	16.91 ± 0.67^{d}	2.01 ± 0.26^{ef}	11.84 ± 0.33 ^{de}	$1.12 \pm 0.12^{\circ}$
Frantoio	62.11 ± 0.64^{a}	53.93 ± 1.43 ^{ab}	20.44 ± 0.52^{a}	2.58 ± 0.32^{cd}	14.83 ± 0.30^{de}	1.62 ± 0.08^{a}
Koroneiki	62.07 ± 0.97^{e}	50.33 ± 1.49^{b}	19.09 ± 0.53^{bc}	$1.65 \pm 0.30^{\text{fg}}$	10.26 ± 0.23^{cd}	0.91 ± 0.06^{de}
Ezhi-8	67.64 ± 0.59^{ab}	43.69 ± 1.39^{d}	14.13 ± 0.50^{f}	3.32 ± 0.25^{b}	$14.37 \pm 0.27^{\text{ef}}$	$1.08 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$
Coratina	63.58 ± 0.97^{de}	55.54 ± 1.20 ^a	20.23 ± 0.66^{ab}	1.51 ± 0.33^{fg}	9.97 ± 0.35^{f}	1.30 ± 0.10^{b}
Picual	$66.85 \pm 0.96^{\rm dc}$	50.23 ± 1.43^{b}	16.65 ± 0.60^{de}	2.97 ± 0.26^{bc}	14.44 ± 0.39^{cd}	$0.92\pm0.06^{\text{de}}$
Barnea	68.39 ± 1.01 ^e	49.57 ± 1.03^{bc}	15.67 ± 0.44 ^e	3.09 ± 0.13^{b}	16.35 ± 0.23^{cd}	1.05 ± 0.07^{cd}
Leccino	64.03 ± 0.98^{d}	53.93 ± 1.16 ^{ab}	19.40 ± 0.50^{abc}	$2.32\pm0.22^{\text{de}}$	12.89 ± 0.42^{a}	0.89 ± 0.06^{d}
Ottobratica	$63.99\pm0.79^{\textrm{d}}$	44.79 ± 1.07^{cd}	$16.13\pm0.52^{\text{de}}$	$4.06\pm0.26^{\text{a}}$	$15.67\pm0.38^{\text{bc}}$	1.60 ± 0.11^{a}

The value of the biochemical components content in column with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05), and the fruit loading (kg per plant) of each variety are separately: Yuntai: 15.5 ± 3.0, Arbequina: 22.0 ± 2.0, Frantoio: 16.3 ± 2.5, Koroneiki: 28.7 ± 3.5, Ezhi-8: 30.0 ± 1.8, Coratina: 25.1 ± 5.4, Picual: 15.1 ± 3.4, Barnea: 20.1 ± 2.4, Leccino: 10.1 ± 1.2, Ottobratica: 26.1 ± 2.4.

Varieties					Percenta	ge in oil/%				
	C16:0	C16:1	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C18:3	C20:0	C20:1	MUFA	UFA
Yuntai	12.25 ± 0.92^{f}	0.63 土 0.10 ^{ef}	3.35 ± 0.25^{b}	77.57 ± 1.61 ^a	4.37 ± 0.35 ^e	1.24 ± 0.08^{cd}	0.31 ± 0.09^{de}	0.28 ± 0.08 ^{cd}	78.51 ± 1.71 ^a	84.12 ± 1.79 ^a
Arbequina	22.38 ± 1.03^{a}	2.46 ± 0.09^{a}	2.16 ± 0.36^{f}	63.77 ± 0.93^{e}	6.57 ± 0.36^{d}	1.93 ± 0.20^{a}	0.42 ± 0.03^{bc}	0.30 ± 0.07^{bc}	66.65 ± 1.12^{0}	75.15 ± 1.25^{9}
Frantoio	$17.39 \pm 0.53^{\circ}$	1.26 ± 0.12^{cd}	2.62 ± 0.24^{e}	$69.03 \pm 1.01^{\circ}$	$7.37 \pm 0.24^{\circ}$	1.36 ± 0.17^{C}	0.48 ± 0.05^{ab}	0.49 ± 0.06^{a}	70.77 ± 1.21 ^d	79.50 ± 1.28^{f}
Koroneiki	12.78 ± 0.39^{f}	0.48 ± 0.05^{f}	4.88 ± 0.69^{a}	74.06 ± 1.32 ^b	$6.13\pm0.56^{ ext{e}}$	1.03 ± 0.12^{def}	0.36 ± 0.07^{cd}	$0.29 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	74.89 ± 1.38^{bc}	82.05 ± 1.46^{bc}
Ezhi-8	19.09 ± 0.27^{b}	2.12 ± 0.21^{b}	2.11 ± 0.12^{f}	62.22 ± 1.56^{f}	12.80 ± 0.68^{a}	1.26 ± 0.09^{cd}	0.25 ± 0.09^{0}	0.15 ± 0.08^{0}	64.59 ± 1.61^{f}	78.65 ± 1.59^{f}
Coratina	15.92 ± 0.89^{d}	0.33 ± 0.06^{f}	3.06 ± 0.15^{bcd}	74.50 ± 0.99 ^b	$4.34 \pm 0.64^{\Theta}$	1.24 ± 0.06^{cd}	0.32 ± 0.10^{de}	$0.29 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	75.15 ± 1.02^{bc}	80.73 ± 1.23^{de}
Picual	15.36 ± 0.35 ^d	1.03 ± 0.11^{d}	3.25 ± 0.41^{bc}	74.87 土 1.78 ^b	$4.03 \pm 0.28^{\Theta}$	0.93 ± 0.14 ^{ef}	0.31 ± 0.06^{de}	0.21 ± 0.06^{de}	76.22 ± 1.82^{b}	81.17 ± 1.75^{cd}
Barnea	14.63 土 0.54 ^e	1.03 ± 0.08^{d}	2.76 ± 0.32^{de}	74.91 ± 1.52 ^b	5.32 ± 0.19^{f}	0.82 ± 0.16^{f}	0.32 ± 0.11^{de}	0.21 ± 0.03^{de}	76.26 ± 1.59^{b}	82.40 ± 1.61 ^b
Leccino	13.73 ± 0.23 ^e	0.70 ± 0.02^{0}	2.98 ± 0.38^{cd}	74.37 ± 0.35 ^b	6.45 ± 0.55^{de}	1.12 ± 0.06^{cde}	0.34 ± 0.10^{cde}	0.31 ± 0.09 ^{bc}	75.41 ± 0.45 ^b	82.98 ± 0.78^{b}
Ottobratica	$17.10 \pm 0.65^{\circ}$	$1.55 \pm 0.19^{\circ}$	$2.59 \pm 0.10^{\Theta}$	66.96 ± 0.89 ^d	$9.28\pm0.88^{ m b}$	1.63 ± 0.11^{b}	0.52 ± 0.14^{a}	0.37 ± 0.10^{b}	69.03 ± 0.91 ^d	79.93 ± 1.01 ^{ef}
^a Saturated aci	d: C16:0, palmitic; C	18:0, stearic; C20:0,	eicosanoic; Unsaturati	ed acid: C16:1, palmit	oleic; C18:1, oleic; C1:	'8:2, linoleic; C18:3, lin	iolenic; C20:1, eicoser	noic. UFA, unsaturated	d fatty acid; MUFA, m	onounsaturated fatty

different olive variety fruits exhibited different contents of biochemical components. The moisture, dried fruit oil, fresh fruit oil, sugar, phenolic and flavonoid content of olive trees planted in southwest China ranged from $62.11 \pm 0.64\%$ to 68.39 \pm 1.01%, 43.69 \pm 1.39% to 56.02 \pm 0.86%, 14.13 \pm 0.50% to 20.44 \pm 0.52%, 1.51 \pm 0.33% to 4.06 \pm 0.26%, 9.97 \pm 0.35 g/kg to 16.90 \pm 0.42 g/kg, and 0.81 \pm 0.05 g/kg to 1.60 \pm 0.11 g/kg, respectively. Yuntai exhibited the highest dried fruit oil content (56.02 \pm 0.86%), highest phenolic content (16.90 \pm 0.45 g/kg) and the lowest flavonoid content (0.81 \pm 0.05 g/kg). Frantoio exhibited the highest fresh fruit oil content (20.44 \pm 0.52%) and the highest flavonoid content (1.62 \pm 0.08 g/kg). Coratina exhibited high fresh fruit and dried oil content (55.54 \pm 1.20% and 20.23 \pm 0.66%) but the lowest sugar and phenolic content (1.51 \pm 0.33 g/kg and 9.97 \pm 0.35 g/kg). Further, Ezhi-8 exhibited the lowest fresh fruit and dried oil content (43.69 \pm 1.39% and 14.13 \pm 0.50%) among the ten varieties.

Yuntai (20.44 \pm 0.52%) exhibited higher fresh fruit oil content than *Coratina* (18.86 \pm 0.51%) due to the difference in moisture content (**Table 2**). This suggests that moisture content is an important factor that determines the oil content in the olive varieties.

Olive oil fatty acid composition is another important characteristic for evaluating the olive varieties. The oil fatty acid composition of olive planted in China at harvesting (150-180 DAFB) was determined (Table 3). The oleic acid (C18:1, a monounsaturated fatty acid, MUFA) was the most abundant fatty acid (62.22-77.57%), and the linoleic (C18:2) was the most abundant poly unsaturated fatty acid (PUFA, 4.03-12.80%). Palmitic (C16:0) and stearic (C18:0) were the main saturated fatty acids (SFA, 12.25-22.38% and 2.11-4.88%, respectively). Therefore, olive oil is highly enriched in terms of unsaturated fatty acids (UFA), varying from 72.15 to 84.12%; MUFA, which was the main ingredients of the UFA, and consisted 64.59-78.51% of olive oil. Among the 10 varieties, Yuntai exhibited the highest UFA (84.12%) and highest MUFA content due to its high oleic content and low palmitic content, while Arbequina exhibited the lowest UFA content (75.15%). Due to the high linoleic levels (12.79 and 9.22%, respectively), the Ezhi and Ottobratica UFA levels were significantly greater than in Arbequina despite of low oleic levels (62.14 and 66.51%, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In China, olive trees are mainly planted in the southwest, which features the same latitude distribution as Mediterranean regions. However, the climate and environment are different. In southwest China, the weather is dry in winter and wet in summer and 70% of the rainfall fall during the summer, which is different from the Mediterranean region (**Figure 3**). Furthermore, southwest China experiences approximately 300–500 mm more precipitation and the average altitude of planting is 500 m higher than the ones in the Mediterranean region.

 ${\sf TABLE 3} \mid {\sf Fatty}$ acid composition of olive oil at harvesting^a

 5 Means in a column with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05)



The Qualitative Characteristics of Olive Planted in China

After decades of cultivation in southwest China, the biochemical content in olive during fruit development (Figure 4) is consistent with Mediterranean-grown olives (Conde et al., 2008). However, during fruit development fruit weight increased and sugar content decreased rapidly. The rapid increase in fruit weight can be attributed to heavy rainfall during summer and autumn months while in Mediterranean regions it is the dry season. (Figure 3). This indicates that olive trees grown in high moisture will produce fruits with high moisture content. This result agrees with the findings that sufficient irrigation in fruiting stage will raise fruit water content (Proietti and Antognozzi, 1996). In addition, after decades of cultivation, oil content of olive planted in Southwest China has changed only slightly compared with data from Olea Databases¹. The dried fruit oil contents of Frantoio, Coratina, and Leccino increase by about 5% (Figure 5A), while the fresh fruit oil contents of these varieties have decrease due to high moisture content (Figure 5B). Therefore, moisture content also contribute to the difference in the oil contents between olives from Southwest China and the Mediterranean region.

Moreover, olive planted in China show a different fatty acid composition. The linoleic acid (C18: 3) content of Chinese olive is ranged from 0.82 to 1.92%, which is much higher than data that provided by International Olive Council² (<1%) (2008). Also, due to the higher palmitic (C16:0) and stearic (C18:0) content as well as lower oleic (C18:1) content, the UFA and MUFA content in olive fruits from Southwest China was significantly lower than in the fruits from the Mediterranean region (Figure 5C). In Nergiz and Ergönül (2009) and Ouni et al. (2011) research, it has been discovered that olives grown at a high altitude tend to exhibit high oleic and UFA content. Therefore, the high altitude of Southwest China may cause the low UFA content. Furthermore, Temime et al. (2006) reports that olive fruits from cooler areas exhibit more unsaturated fatty acid than fruits from dry and warm areas. Southwest China is warmer than the Mediterranean region (Figure 3), which may also play a role



in the lower UFA and MUFA yields in Southwest China olive fruit. These results are in agreement with the findings of other researchers that plants with high unsaturated fatty acid content usually show strong cold-resistant (da Cruz and Bazana, 2010). Inconsistent with (Molina et al., 2012) report, *Arbequina* had a poor oil stability quality due to low MUFA content (66.65 \pm 1.12%) which resulted from the high palmitic acid (C16: 0) content (22.38 \pm 1.03%).

Phenolic compounds, which include phenolic acids, phenolic alcohols and flavonoids, are one of the most important minor components and directly affect the fruit quality (Fernandez-Orozco et al., 2011; Brahmi et al., 2013). In this study, olive manifest a similar phenolic content and has a wide range of phenolics content between olive varieties (**Table 2**), such as the Coratina and Koroneiki have lower Phenolic content (<10 g/kg), while Frantoio and Barnea have higher Phenolic content (>14 g/kg) (Vinha et al., 2005; Cecchi et al., 2013). However, the change in phenolic content during fruit development was

¹Oleadb. Olea Databases. Available online at: http://www.oleadb.it/olivodb.html.
²http://www.internationaloliveoil.org.





more rapid than in the Mediterranean region (Vossen, 1998). In Southwest China, phenolic content in olive fruit reaches a maximum from July to August (90–120 DAFB), but olive fruit in the Mediterranean region reaches a maximum from October to November (**Figure 2E**). All these differences in olive between China and Mediterranean offer a manifestation that the olive has adapted to the southwest China environment and climate.

The Relationship between Oil and Sugar Content

In plant cells, sugar is required for lipids biosynthesis. Acetyl-CoA is the initial substrate for fatty acids synthesis, and the synthesis of Acetyl-CoA requires pyruvate produced from sugar via glycolysis (Sánchez and Harwood, 2002). In olive plants, there are two sources of sugars for lipid biosynthesis (Proietti et al., 1999). One is from mature leaves and the other is through photosynthesis in the fruits themselves (Conde et al., 2008). Although the sugar from mature leaves is considered as the major source, some researches have showed that photosynthesis in the olive fruits also play an important role in fruit growth and lipids biosynthesis (Sánchez, 1995). In fact, oil in the olive fruit accumulated while the sugars decease rapidly (**Figure 2**). This negative correlation between the oil and sugar content (p < 0.01, **Figure 6** is consistent with results for olive trees planted in the Mediterranean region (Cherubini et al., 2009; Migliorini et al., 2011), and suggests that sugars are the source for the biochemical oil accumulation process during olive development and ripening and vice versa.

CONCLUSION

After several decades of cultivation and domestication in Southwest china, olives have adapted to the local environment and the differences are expressed in its unique qualitative characteristics. More precipitation during fruit development makes the olives in China have a higher moisture content. This leads to lower oil content and unsaturated fatty acid percentages in fresh fruits. Furthermore, lowered sugar content in the olive fruit is the direct result of large scale biosynthesis of fatty acids.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZC and MZ conducted the experiments. ZC, HC, ZY, and QH designed the experiments. KZ and QH revised the manuscript. ZC analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was funded by Research Fellowships from the Sichuan Science and Technology Department (12ZC2220), and supported by Sichuan Liangshan New Technology Development Co.,Ltd.

REFERENCES

- Alu'datt, M. H., Rababah, T., Ereifej, K., and Alli, I. (2013). Distribution, antioxidant and characterisation of phenolic compounds in soybeans, flaxseed and olives. *Food Chem.* 139, 93–99. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.12.061
- Arslan, D., Karabekir, Y., and Schreiner, M. (2013). Variations of phenolic compounds, fatty acids and some qualitative characteristics of Sarıulak olive oil as induced by growing area. *Food Res. Int.* 54, 1897–1906. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2013.06.016
- Bajoub, A., Hurtado-Fernández, E., Ajal, E. A., Fernández-Gutiérrez, A., Carrasco-Pancorbo, A., and Ouazzani, N. (2015). Quality and chemical profiles of monovarietal north Moroccan olive oils from "*Picholine Marocaine*" cultivar: registration database development and geographical discrimination. *Food Chem.* 179, 127–136. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.101
- Bakhouche, A., Lozano-Sánchez, J., Beltrán-Debón, R., Joven, J., Segura-Carretero, A., and Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. (2013). Phenolic characterization and geographical classification of commercial Arbequina extra-virgin olive oils produced in southern Catalonia. *Food Res. Int.* 50, 401–408. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2012.11.001
- Bengana, M., Bakhouche, A., Lozano-Sánchez, J., Amir, Y., Youyou, A., Segura-Carretero, A., et al. (2013). Influence of olive ripeness on chemical properties and phenolic composition of Chemlal extra-virgin olive oil. *Food Res. Int.* 54, 1868–1875. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2013.08.037
- Brahmi, F., Mechri, B., Dhibi, M., and Hammami, M. (2013). Variations in phenolic compounds and antiradical scavenging activity of Olea europaea leaves and fruits extracts collected in two different seasons. Ind. Crops Prod. 49, 256–264. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2013.04.042
- Cecchi, L., Migliorini, M., Cherubini, C., Giusti, M., Zanoni, B., Innocenti, M., et al. (2013). Phenolic profiles, oil amount and sugar content during olive ripening of three typical Tuscan cultivars to detect the best harvesting time for oil production. *Food Res. Int.* 54, 1876–1884. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2013.04.033
- Cherubini, C., Migliorini, M., Mugelli, M., Viti, P., Berti, A., Cini, E., et al. (2009). Towards a technological ripening index for olive oil fruits. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 89, 671–682. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.3499
- Conde, C., Delrot, S., and Gerós, H. (2008). Physiological, biochemical and molecular changes occurring during olive development and ripening. J. Plant Physiol. 165, 1545–1562. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2008.04.018
- da Cruz, R. P., and Bazana, M. T. (2010). Alterations in fatty acid composition due to cold exposure at the vegetative stage in rice. *Brazilian J. Plant Physiol.* 22, 199–207. doi: 10.1590/S1677-04202010000300007
- Fernandez-Orozco, R., Roca, M., Gandul-Rojas, B., and Gallardo-Guerrero, L. (2011). DPPH-scavenging capacity of chloroplastic pigments and phenolic compounds of olive fruits (cv. Arbequina) during ripening. J. Food Comp. Analysis 24, 858–864. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2011.05.003
- Franco, M. N., Galeano-Díaz, T., López, Ó., Fernández-Bolañosc, J. G., Sánchez, J., Migueld, C. D., et al. (2014). Phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity of virgin olive oil. *Food Chem.* 163, 289–298. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.04.091
- Gómez-González, S., Ruiz-Jiménez, J., and Luque de Castro, M. D. (2011). Oil Content and Fatty Acid Profile of Spanish Cultivars During Olive Fruit Ripening. J. Am. Oil Chemists Soc. 88, 1737–1745. doi: 10.1007/s11746-011-1840-x
- Gómez-Rico, A., Fregapane, G., and Salvador, M. D. (2008). Effect of cultivar and ripening on minor components in Spanish olive fruits and their corresponding virgin olive oils. *Food Res. Int.* 41, 433–440. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2008.02.003
- Migliorini, M., Cherubini, C., Mugelli, M., Gianni, G., Trapani, S., and Zanoni, B. (2011). Relationship between the oil and sugar content in olive oil fruits from *Moraiolo* and *Leccino* cultivars during ripening. *Sci. Hortic.* 129, 919–921. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.05.023
- Molina, M. S., Angel, C. M., and Maldonado, M. (2012). Improvement of the 'Arbequina' olive oil quality from central valley of Catamarca, Argentina, by preparation of blends with olive oils varietals. VII Int. Symp. Olive Growing 1057, 685–692. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1057
- Nergiz, C., and Engez, Y. (2000). Compositional variation of olive fruit during ripening. *Food Chem.* 69, 55–59. doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(99)00238-1

- Nergiz, C., and Ergönül, P. G. (2009). Organic acid content and composition of the olive fruits during ripening and its relationship with oil and sugar. *Sci. Hortic.* 122, 216–220. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2009.05.011
- Ocakoglu, D., Tokatli, F., Ozen, B., and Korel, F. (2009). Distribution of simple phenols, phenolic acids and flavonoids in Turkish monovarietal extra virgin olive oils for two harvest years. *Food Chem.* 113, 401–410. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.07.057
- Ouni, Y., Taamalli, A., Gómez-Caravaca, A. M., Segura-Carretero, A., Fernández-Gutiérrez, A., and Zarrouk, M. (2011). Characterisation and quantification of phenolic compounds of extra-virgin olive oils according to their geographical origin by a rapid and resolutive LC–ESI-TOF MS method. *Food Chem.* 127, 1263–1267. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011. 01.068
- Patumi, M., d'Andria, R., Marsilio, V., Fontanazza, G., Morelli, G., and Lanza, B. (2002). Olive and olive oil quality after intensive monocone olive growing (*Olea europaea L., cv. Kalamata*) in different irrigation regimes. *Food Chem.* 77, 27–34. doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(01)00317-X
- Proietti, P., and Antognozzi, E. (1996). Effect of irrigation on fruit quality of table olives (*Olea europaea*), cultivar "Ascolana tenera." *N.Z. J. Crop Hortic. Sci.* 24, 175–181.
- Proietti, P., Famiani, F., and Tombesi, A. (1999). Gas exchange in olive fruit. *Photosynthetica* 36, 423–432.
- Ranalli, A., De Mattia, G., Patumi, M., and Proietti, P. (1999). Quality of virgin olive oil as influenced by origin area. *Grasas Aceites* 50, 249–259.
- Sánchez, J. (1995). "Olive oil biogenesis," in Contribution of Fruit Photosynthesis, Plant Lipid Metabolism, eds J.-C. Kader and P. Mazliak (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publications), 564–566.
- Sánchez, J., and Harwood, J. L. (2002). Biosynthesis of triacylglycerols and volatiles in olives. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 104, 564–573. doi: 10.1002/1438-9312(200210)104:9/10<564::AID-EJLT564>3.0.CO;2-5
- Sousa, A., Malheiro, R., Casal, S., Bento, A., and Pereira, J. A. (2014). Antioxidant activity and phenolic composition of Cv. *Cobrançosa* olives affected through the maturation process. *J. Funct. Foods* 11, 20–29. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2014. 08.024
- Temime, S. B., Wael, T., Bechir, B., Leila, A., Douja, D., and Mokhtar, Z. (2006). Changes in olive oil quality of Chetoui Variety according to origin of plantation. *J. Food Lipids* 13, 88–99. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-4522.2006. 00036.x
- Trentacoste, E. R., Puertas, C. M., and Sadras, V. O. (2010). Effect of fruit load on oil yield components and dynamics of fruit growth and oil accumulation in olive (*Olea europaea L.*). *Eur. J. Agron.* 32, 249–254. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2010.01.002
- Vinha, A. F., Ferreres, F., Silva, B. M., Valentão, P., Gonçalves, A., Pereira, J. A., et al. (2005). Phenolic profiles of Portuguese olive fruits (*Olea europaea* L.): Influences of cultivar and geographical origin. *Food Chem.* 89, 561–568. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.03.012
- Vossen, P. (1998). Variety and Maturity the Two Largest Influences on Olive Oil Quality. Project Report. University of California, Davis.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer SW declared a shared affiliation, though no other collaboration, with one of the authors KZ to the handling Editor, who ensured that the process nevertheless met the standards of a fair and objective review.

Copyright © 2017 Cheng, Zhan, Yang, Zumstein, Chen and Huang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.