

**The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine
and the Principle of Proportionality
in the Jurisprudence of the ECHR**

Yutaka Arai-Takahashi

**with preface by Prof. James Crawford
University of Cambridge**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
GUIDE TO THE READERS	vii
PREFACE	ix
TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
PART I. INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1. Defining and Identifying the “Margin of Appreciation”	2
2. The Origin and Development of the Doctrine	5
3. The Contexts in Which the Margin of Appreciation is Invoked	8
4. Limitation Clauses and the Margin of Appreciation	9
4.1. “In Accordance with Law” or “Prescribed by Law”	10
4.2. Legitimate Aim(s)	11
4.3. “Necessary in a Democratic Society”	11
4.4. The Theory of <i>Sonderstatusverhältnis</i> and Inherent Limitations	12
5. The Margin of Appreciation and the Principle of Proportionality	14
5.1. The Principle of Proportionality under the ECHR	14
5.2. Specific Principles within the Principle of Proportionality	15
5.2.1. The Less Restrictive Alternative Doctrine	15
5.2.2. The Comparative Method and Evolutive Interpretation	15
5.2.3. Burden of Proof	16
5.3. Rhetorical Use of Proportionality	16
6. Distinguishing the Real and Apparent Application of the Margin of Appreciation	16
7. The Problem of the Margin of Appreciation	17
PART II. REVIEW	19
Chapter 2. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 5 (Right to Liberty and Security)	20
1. Introduction	20
2. Variables Affecting the Margin of Appreciation	21
2.1. Military Discipline	21
2.2. Persons of Unsound Mind	22
2.2.1. Three Minimum Conditions	22
2.2.2. Justifications for Restrained Review	23
2.2.3. The Evaluation of “In Accordance with a Procedure Prescribed by Law”	23

2.2.4.	Deferential Policy and Proportionality	24
2.2.5.	Recall of Mental Patients	25
2.2.6.	Evaluation of the Conditions on Discharge	26
2.3.	Re-detention of Recidivists and Habitual Offenders	26
2.4.	Considerations of National Security	27
3.	Assessment of Whether the National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation	30
3.1.	Standards of Assessment	30
3.2.	The Comparative Method and Evolutive Interpretation	31
4.	Concluding Remarks	31

Chapter 3. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 6 (Right to a Fair Trial)

1.	Introduction	33
2.	The Right of Access to Courts	35
2.1.	Implied Limitations and the Margin of Appreciation	35
2.2.	Assessment of Whether the National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation	35
2.2.1.	Three Criteria	35
2.2.2.	The “Very Essence” Requirement	36
2.2.3.	The Evaluation of “Very Essence” Requirement: A Gradual Move to a More Assertive Review	37
2.2.4.	Deferential Review in the Earlier Decisions	37
2.2.5.	Departure from the Deferential Policy	39
2.3.	Policy Grounds Underlying the Margin of Appreciation	43
2.3.1.	National Security	43
2.3.2.	Fiscal Policy	45
2.3.3.	Persons of Unsound Mind	45
2.3.4.	Immunity from Proceedings	46
2.4.	Summary	47
3.	The Right to a Fair Hearing	48
4.	The Right to Trial within a Reasonable Time	51
5.	The Right to Adequate Time and Facilities for the Preparation of the Defence (Article 6 (3) (b))	53
6.	The Right to Defend Oneself or to Legal Assistance (Article 6 (3) (c))	54
7.	The Right to Call and Cross-examine Witnesses (Article 6 (3) (d)) ..	57
8.	Concluding Remarks	58

Chapter 4. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 8 (Right to Private and Family Life)

1.	Introduction	60
2.	Standards of Assessment	61
2.1.	“In Accordance with the Law”	61
2.2.	Legitimate Aims	62
2.3.	Necessary in a Democratic Society	63

3.	Particular Policies in Different Fields	63
3.1.	Custody, Access and Adoption	64
3.2.	Children Born out of Wedlock	66
3.3.	Immigration, Deportation and Expulsion	68
3.4.	Homosexuals	69
3.5.	Transsexuals	72
3.6.	Surveillance and Data Collection	74
3.7.	Medical Data	75
3.8.	Access to Information	76
3.9.	The Rights of Prisoners and Detainees	78
3.10.	Environmental and Health Hazards	80
3.11.	Respect for the Home	81
3.12.	The Right to a Name	82
4.	General Policy Grounds Underlying the Margin of Appreciation	82
4.1.	Moral Considerations	83
4.2.	National Security and Prevention of Disorder or Crime	83
4.3.	Matters Where There is Little European Consensus	84
4.4.	Positive Obligations	85
4.5.	Deference to Sovereignty	86
4.6.	The Fourth Instance Doctrine	86
5.	Assessment of Whether the National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation: The Principle of Proportionality	87
5.1.	The Sufficiency Test	87
5.2.	The Less Restrictive Alternative Doctrine	88
5.3.	The Comparative Method and Evolutive Interpretation	89
5.4.	Burden of Proof	90
6.	Concluding Remarks	91

Chapter 5. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 9 (Freedom of Religion)

1.	Introduction	93
1.1.	The Fundamental Nature of Freedom of Religion	93
1.2.	The Justificatory Criteria	94
2.	Factors Affecting the Margin of Appreciation	95
2.1.	Issues Relating to State Church and Others	95
2.2.	Proselytism	96
2.3.	Positive Obligations	97
2.4.	Prisoners	97
2.5.	Conflict with the Military Regime	97
2.6.	Conscientious Objectors, Pacifists and Others	98
3.	Assessment of Whether the National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation: The Principle of Proportionality	99
4.	Concluding Remarks	100

Chapter 6. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 10 (Freedom of Expression)	101
1. Introduction	101
2. Variables Affecting the Margin of Appreciation	102
2.1. Moral Considerations	102
2.2. National Security, State Secrets and Prevention of Disorder	105
2.3. Commercial Speech and Advertisement	108
2.4. Licensing	111
2.5. Values Considered Offensive to European Constitutional Order ...	112
2.6. Defamation and the Protection of Authority of Public Administration	116
2.6.1. Defamation against the Judiciary	116
2.6.2. Contempt of Court	118
2.6.3. Defamation against Politicians and the Police	120
2.6.4. Criticism against Government	122
2.6.5. Defamation against a Civil Servant Other Than the Police	123
2.6.6. Defamation of Private Individuals	124
2.7. Elected Representatives and Political Expression	125
2.8. Press	127
3. Assessment of Whether the National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation: The Principle of Proportionality	128
3.1. The Less Restrictive Alternative Doctrine	129
3.2. The Comparative Method	131
3.3. Evolutive Interpretation	132
3.4. Close Regard to Effects	133
3.5. The Chilling Effect Doctrine	134
4. Concluding Remarks	136
Chapter 7. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 11 (Freedom of Peaceful Assembly and Association)	138
1. Introduction	138
2. Factors Affecting the Margin of Appreciation	139
2.1. National Security	139
2.2. Public Safety and Prevention of Disorder	140
2.3. Trade Unions	141
2.4. Political Parties	143
3. Assessment of Whether the National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation: The Principle of Proportionality	145
3.1. The Less Restrictive Alternative Doctrine	145
3.2. The Chilling Effect Doctrine	146
3.3. The Comparative Method and Evolutive Interpretation	147
3.4. New Dynamism	147
4. Concluding Remarks	148

Chapter 8. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 1 of the First Protocol (Right to Property)	149
1. Introduction	149
2. Criteria for Lawful Limitations on the Right to Property	151
2.1. Requirements of Accessibility and Foreseeability	152
2.2. The Margin of Appreciation and Proportionality	152
3. Circumstances Where National Authorities are Allowed a Margin of Appreciation	154
3.1. The Margin of Appreciation in Framing General Social and Economic Policy	154
3.2. The Margin of Appreciation in Assessing Specific Means	155
3.3. The Margin of Appreciation in Assessing Proportionality?	156
4. Policy Grounds Underlying the Margin of Appreciation	157
5. Assessment of Whether National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation	159
5.1. The Principle of Proportionality	159
5.2. The Less Restrictive Alternative Doctrine	159
5.3. Close Regard to the Effects of Interference	161
5.4. Terms of Compensation	162
5.5. The Weakening of the Principle of Legitimate Expectation	163
6. Concluding Remarks	164
Chapter 9. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 14 (Non-Discrimination)	165
1. Introduction	165
2. Criteria for Assessing Differential Treatment	166
2.1. Legitimate Aims and Proportionality	166
2.2. The “Analogous Situations” Test	167
3. The Margin of Appreciation	168
4. Assessment of the Strasbourg Organs’ Policy of Review	169
4.1. Dual Standard: “Suspect Categories” and Other Cases	169
4.2. The Principle of Proportionality	172
4.3. Evolutive Interpretation	172
4.4. Burden of Proof	173
5. Concluding Remarks	174
Chapter 10. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Jurisprudence of Article 15 (Derogation)	176
1. Introduction	176
2. Assessment of National Discretion	178
3. Discretion as to the Necessity for a Derogating Measure	179
4. Discretion as to Specific Measures to be Adopted	182
5. Assessment of Whether National Authorities Have Overstepped the Margin of Appreciation: The Principle of Proportionality	184

5.1.	The Less Restrictive Alternative Doctrine	184
5.2.	Burden of Proof	185
6.	Concluding Remarks: Policy Grounds Underlying the Margin of Appreciation	186
 PART III. ASSESSMENT		 189
 Chapter 11. Interpretative Principles		 190
1.	The Principle of Proportionality	190
1.1.	Proportionality in General Public International Law	190
1.2.	Proportionality in European Community Law	192
1.3.	The Meaning of Proportionality under the ECHR	193
2.	The Comparative Method: Reliance upon European National Standards	195
3.	Evolutionary Interpretation	197
4.	The Comparative Method, Evolutionary Interpretation, and the Margin of Appreciation	203
5.	Concluding Remarks	204
 Chapter 12. Survey of the Underlying Policies		 206
1.	Policy Grounds Underlying the Margin of Appreciation	206
1.1.	Moral Considerations	206
1.2.	National Security and Prevention of Serious Crime	209
1.3.	Issues Closely Associated with State Sovereignty	209
1.3.1.	Immigration Controls	210
1.3.2.	Election Systems	211
1.4.	Medical Expertise	213
1.5.	Social and Economic Policy	214
1.5.1.	Education Policy	214
1.5.2.	The Right to Property	215
1.6.	European Consensus	215
1.7.	Positive Obligations	217
1.7.1.	General Rationales	217
1.7.2.	Positive Obligations under Article 8 and Other Personal Freedom Rights	218
1.7.3.	Positive Obligations under Articles 2 and 3	219
1.7.4.	“Envisaged” Positive Obligations	220
1.7.5.	Positive Obligations under Article 13	220
1.7.6.	The Margin of Appreciation in the Context of Positive Obligations	222
2.	Policy Grounds Upholding Active Review	222
2.1.	Discrimination	223

2.2.	Non-Derogable Rights and Other Fundamental Rights	226
2.2.1.	The Irreducible Core: Four Non-Derogable Rights	226
2.2.2.	Other “Fundamental Rights in a Democratic Society”	226
2.2.3.	Due Process Rights	227
2.2.4.	Fundamental Aspects of Private and Family Life	227
2.2.5.	Freedom of Expression	228
3.	Concluding Remarks	230
Chapter 13. The Defensibility of the Margin of Appreciation		231
1.	General Criticism of the Margin of Appreciation	231
2.	A Range of Views on the Margin of Appreciation	231
2.1.	A Transitional Doctrine?	232
2.2.	A Rhetorical Device?	232
2.3.	An Undesirable Doctrine?	233
2.4.	A Desirable and Necessary Doctrine?	234
3.	Assessment of the Possibilities	234
4.	Disaggregating the Margin of Appreciation	236
4.1.	The Elements of a Disaggregation Analysis	236
4.1.1.	Balancing State Sovereignty and the Strasbourg Organs’ Supervision	236
4.1.2.	Cases Where the Convention itself Mandates Deference	238
4.1.3.	Expediency, the Fourth Instance Doctrine, Legitimacy and the Principle of Subsidiarity	239
4.1.4.	Deference to “Primary” Democratic Decisions in Areas Where Value Judgment is Considered Inappropriate or Undesirable	241
4.2.	The Normative Core of the Margin of Appreciation	242
5.	Deference to Democracy	244
5.1.	The Concept of Democracy as a Principle of European Public Policy	244
5.2.	The Concept of Democracy in the Application of the Margin of Appreciation	246
6.	Conclusion	248
APPENDIX 1 : JUDGMENTS OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS (alphabetical)		251
APPENDIX 2: DECISIONS AND REPORTS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION OF HUMAN RIGHTS (alphabetical)		267
APPENDIX 3: DECISIONS AND REPORTS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION OF HUMAN RIGHTS (numerical)		271
APPENDIX 4: JUDGMENTS OF THE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE (alphabetical)		275

**APPENDIX 5: JUDGMENTS OF THE EUROPEAN COURT
OF JUSTICE (numerical) 276**

APPENDIX 6: OTHER INTERNATIONAL CASES 277

BIBLIOGRAPHY 279

INDEX 289