UC Irvine Faculty Publications

Title

The mechanism of sulfate aerosol formation: Chemical and sulfur isotopic evidence

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2s06q5sk

Journal Geophysical Research Letters, 10(7)

ISSN 00948276

Authors

Saltzman, E. S Brass, G. W Price, D. A

Publication Date

1983-07-01

DOI

10.1029/GL010i007p00513

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

THE MECHANISM OF SULFATE AEROSOL FORMATION: CHEMICAL AND SULFUR ISOTOPIC EVIDENCE

E.S. Saltzman¹; G.W. Brass²; and D.A. Price³

1,2 Division of Marine Geology and Geophysics, University of Miami Miami, Florida 33149

> ³Department of Geology, University of Miami Miami, Florida 33124

Abstract

In order to study the mechanism of aerosol sulfate formation, weekly samples of SO₂ and aerosol SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$} were collected at Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, West Thornton, New Hampshire from July to December, 1980. Samples were analyzed for concentration and sulfur isotopes (δ^{-4} S). Late summer-early fall samples are characterized by high, variable SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$} levels and low SO₂ levels, while late fall - early winter samples exhibit low SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$} and high SO₂ levels. These trends suggest that the oxidation rate varies seasonally, with faster oxidation during warmer months. The sulfur isotopic fractionation between aerosol SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$} and SO₂ is intermediate between that expected from homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions, indicating that both processes can be important. The isotopic data suggest that homogeneous oxidation reactions are more important than solution reactions, particularly during warm months.

Introduction

The current concern about ecological damage resulting precipitation from acid has focused considerable interest on the conversion of SO₂ to sulfate aerosol in the atmosphere. Laboratory studies have revealed a multitude of potentially important oxidants and oxidation pathways. Though many of the major processes are known, quantification of the various oxidation mechanisms in the environment remains elusive because such a large number of parameters must be specified in order to model actual field conditions. As a result, it is not yet known whether SO₂ oxidation occurs primarily in gas phase, in cloud droplets, or on the wetted surfaces of aerosol particles. The purpose of this study is to provide information about the relative importance of homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions in the formation of tropospheric sulfate aerosol in the non-urban northeastern United States.

Experimental Techniques

Weekly samples of aerosol SO_{4}^{\pm} and SO_{2} were collected simultaneously by drawing dir through a twostage filter cassette with a high volume air pump. The upper aerosol filters were untreated Whatman 41 filters. The lower filters, also Whatman 41, were pretreated with $K_{2}CO_{3}$ and glycerol to collect SO_{2} . The trapped SO_{2} oxidized to SO_{4}^{\pm} during collection and storage. Filter SO_{4}^{\pm} blanks were less than 1% of SO_{4}^{\pm} collected. The air flow through the filters was determined by measuring the pressure drop across a calibrated orifice which was located downstream from the air pump.

Copyright 1983 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 3L0714. 0094-8276/83/003L-0714\$03.00 SO_{4}^{-} was extracted from the filters with three Milli-Q water washes and the resulting solutions were filtered through Millipore filters. Aliquots of these solutions were analyzed for SO_{4}^{-} by ion chromatography. BaSO₄ was precipitated from the remainder of the filter extracts by acidifying with HCl, heating, and adding BaCl₂. The precipitate was washed free of Cl⁻, dried, weighed (10-20 mg) into quartz combustion tubes and heated to 800 °C in a muffle furnace. The BaSO₄ was then converted to SO₂ by thermal decomposition under vacuum (Holt and Engelkemeir, 1970). The gas produced was allowed to react with hot Cu (500-600 °C) to reduce any SO₃ present to SO₂ (Bailey and Smith, 1972). Yields of 95-100% were obtained using this procedure. The sulfur isotopic composition of SO₂ was measured on a Micromass 602 ratio mass spectrometer. The raw data was corrected for the oxygen isotopic composition of the sample and standards by measuring both 66/64 (SO₂) and 50/48 (SO) ratios. The results are reported in the notation as per mil deviation from the CDT standard, where

$$\delta^{34}S = \left(\frac{(34/32)_x}{(34/32)_{\text{Std.}}} - 1\right) \times 10^3$$

The working standard used in this study was reagent grade $BaSO_4$ which was calibrated against the CDT and OGS standards. The 'S value obtained for OGS was 20.3 /oo $\pm .1$ /oo relative to CDT.

Results and Discussion

A. $SO_4^{=}$ and SO_2 Concentrations

The concentration of aerosol sulfate at Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, West Thornton, New Hampshire ranged from .9 to $6.5 \mu g/m^3$, with a mean value of $2 \mu g/m^3$. SO₂ levels ranged from .2 to $6.5 \mu g/m^3$, with a mean value of $2 \mu g/m^3$ (see Fig. 1). These levels are considerably lower than average eastern U.S. values, reflecting the distance between Hubbard Brook and strong urban sources of atmospheric sulfur. In fact, these levels are lower than those reported for other non-urban sites in the eastern United States (Hitchcock, 1976; Altshuller, 1973).

The concentration data show a definite seasonal trend. Late summer-early fall samples are characterized by low SO₂ and high, variable SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$} concentrations. Late fall-early winter samples exhibit high SO₂ and low SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$} levels. The molar oxidation ratio, SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$}/(SO₂ + SO^{$\frac{1}{4}$}), clearly demonstrates these relationships (see Fig. 2).

One factor which may contribute to the high summertime SO_{4} levels is local biological production of volatile sulfur compounds, such as H₂S, CS₂, DMS, DMDS. However, the oxidation of these compounds involves SO₂ as an important intermediate during conversion to sulfate (Thiemens, 1977; Hatekeyama et



Figure 1. SO₂ and SO $\frac{1}{4}$ concentrations in weekly samples collected at Hubbard Brook during 1980.

al., 1982; Bonsang et al., 1980). Thus, if the high summertime sulfate levels were due largely to biological emissions, SO_2 levels should have been high as well, which is clearly not the case at Hubbard Brook.

Furthermore, the biological input must be superimposed on the anthropogenic background. Estimates indicate that 10-30% of the total annual anthropogenic SO2 emissions are due to space heating during winter (Goffin, 1973; Caulson, 1973). This may be reflected in the Hubbard Brook data, which shows a 35% increase in the total sulfur burden $(SO_2 + SO_4^{=})$ during the late fall and early winter. However, this effect is certainly too small to explain the 400% increase is SO, levels at this time. The total sulfur burden may also be influenced by seasonal changes in depositional processes, i.e. rates of wet and dry precipitation. Meteorological patterns may also shift the sources of sulfur advected to Hubbard Brook and, hence, the amount of time available for oxidation of SO₂ during transport. While emission,² transport and depositional processes

While emission, transport and depositional processes can certainly generate seasonal variations in SO₂ and SO₄ concentrations it is unlikely that they are of sufficient magnitude to explain the Hubbard Brook data. A more viable interpretation of the data is that the observed oxidation ratios mirror a seasonal change in the oxidation rate of SO₂, with faster oxidation occurring during warmer months than during cold months.

B. Sulfur Isotopes

The δ^{34} S of SO₂ at Hubbard Brook ranged from -1.1 to 2.3 /oo (11 samples) and that of aerosol SO₄ ranged from 0.8 to 3.5 /oo (14 samples). Simultaneous δ 'S measurements on both SO₂ and SO₄ were made on 8 samples. From this data and the concentration data, the δ 'S of the total atmospheric sulfur burden (δ^{34} S) at Hubbard Brook can be calculated using the equation

$$\delta^{34} \Sigma S = \frac{SO_2 \ \delta^{34}SO_2 + SO_4^{\pm} \ \delta^{34}SO_4^{\pm}}{SO_2 + SO_4^{\pm}}$$

(in which SO₂ is expressed in $\mu g/m^3$ as SO₄⁻). The values of $\delta^{3/2}\Sigma$ range from -0.5 to 2.2/00. The isotope data are shown in Fig. 3.

It is not known to what extent the variation in $\delta^{34}\Sigma S$ reflects source variability or isotopic fractionation due to differential removal of SO₂ and SO₄ during transport. It is interesting to note that $\delta^{-4}\Sigma S$ appears to decrease from summer to winter. If a biological source is responsible for this trend, then its $\delta^{-4}S$ is greater than that of the anthropogenic background. This trend is the opposite of that found in rainwater sulfur in the Great Lakes region (Nriagu and Coker, 1978), where summer rains were several per mil lighter than winter precipitation. However, because of the large (nearly 20'/oo) variability in the $\delta^{-4}S$ of anthropogenic emissions, it is impossible to accurately assess the importance of the biological sulfur source from this type of data.

The isotopic fractionation between SO₂ and aerosol SO₄⁻ can be used to assess the importance of aqueous phase oxidation in cloud droplets and in films on the wetted surfaces of aerosol particles. The dissolution of SO₂ in aqueous solution occurs by the reactions

(1)
$$H_2O + SO_2(g) \rightleftharpoons SO_2 \cdot H_2O$$

(2) $SO_2 \cdot H_2O \rightleftharpoons HSO_3^- + H^+$
(3) $HSO_3^- \rightleftharpoons SO_3^- + H^+$

A large equilibrium fractionation accompanies the first dissociation (2), with HSO_3 enriched in the heavier isotope relative to $SO_2 \cdot H_2O$. The fractionation factor α , defined as





Figure 2. Oxidation ratio of atmospheric sulfur at Hubbard Brook, calculated from the concentration data shown in Figure 1.



Figure 3. Sulfur isotope ratios (δ^{34} S) of SO₂ (\bullet), SO⁼₄ (O) and total sulfur (+) at Hubbard Brook. The total sulfur values are calculated using the concentration data shown in Figure 1.

Oct

Nov

Sept

was experimentally determined to be 1.0165 at 25° C (Egiazarov, 1971). α increases with decreasing temperature. Extrapolation of measurements at 25,50 and 70°C yield a value of α of roughly 1.021 at 0°C, assuming a linear relationship between $\ln \alpha$ and 1/T. The isotopic fractionation associated with the other reactions (1,3) are considerably smaller, with values on the order of 1.001 (Eriksen, 1972).

Aug

-2

The atmospheric oxidation of SO₂ or HSO₃ is irreversible, so isotopic equilibrium with SO₄⁻ is not attained. Instead, oxidation is characterized by a kinetic isotope effect in which the greater reactivity of the isotopically lighter molecules causes them to be preferentially oxidized. Experiments in our laboratory indicate that the kinetic isotopic fractionation involved in the oxidation of HSO₃⁻ by dissolved O₂ is .996 at 25°C. While the magnitude of this effect may vary with different oxidants and reaction mechanisms, it is probably always considerably smaller than the equilibrium fractionation.

This kinetic isotope effect accompanies oxidation in both the aqueous and gaseous phases. Thus we would expect homogeneous gas phase oxidation of SO₂ to result in sulfate which is isotopically lighter than the precursor SO₂ ($\alpha < 1$). Heterogeneous oxidation involves both equilibrium and kinetic effects and the resultant sulfate will be heavier than the SO₂ it formed from ($\alpha > 1$).

The isotopic fractionation between SO₂ and SO₄^{\pm} at Hubbard Brook can be calculated from the measured δ^{34} SO₂, δ^{34} SO₄^{\pm} pairs by the formula

$$\alpha_{\rm m} = \frac{\frac{\delta^{34} {\rm SO}_4^2}{10^3} + 1}{\frac{\delta^{34} {\rm SO}_2}{10^3} + 1}$$

The values of $\alpha_{\rm m}$ range from .9999 to 1.0037. Because SO₂ and SO₄ are not in isotopic equilibrium in the atmosphere, reservoir effects may cause the measured isotopic fractionation ($\alpha_{\rm m}$) to differ from the true isotopic fractionation which accompanies sulfate

formation. Isotope relations in such systems can be modelled using the Rayleigh equation (Broecker and Oversby, 1971)

Dec

$$\delta^{34}SO_2 = (\delta^{34}SO_2^{\circ} + 10^3) f^{\alpha-1} - 10^3$$

where f is the fraction of initial SO₂ remaining (i.e. SO_2/SO_2^{O}) and α is the overall fractionation factor involved in sulfate formation incorporating both equilibrium and kinetic effects.

The extent to which reservoir effects may affect the measured values of α at Hubbard Brook can be estimated by assuming a closed system and substituting the oxidation ratio and $\delta\Sigma S$ for 1-f and δSO_2° , respectively in the Rayleigh model equation. A new fractionation factor, α_c , corrected for reservoir effects can then be calculated from the expression

$$\alpha_{c} = \frac{\ln \frac{\delta^{34} SO_{2} + 10^{3}}{\delta^{34} \Sigma S + 10^{3}}}{\ln f} + 1$$

The values of α_c range from .9999 to 1.032 and are plotted with α_m^c in Figure 4. This calculation demonstrates that reservoir effects are on the order of .001 or less for the Hubbard Brook samples. The offset between α_c and α_m is less than would be expected in a completely closed system. This is not surprising since the air masses at Hubbard Brook undoubtedly have complex histories of SO₂ input and deposition of SO₂ and SO_{\bar{k}} during transport.

 SO_{4}^{-} during transport. ² The fractionation factors at Hubbard Brook are intermediate between those expected from equilibrium and kinetic processes, indicating that both are important. A more quantitative assessment of the relative importance of equilibrium and kinetic processes in sulfate formation would be possible if all the relevant fractionation factors were known. In particular, experimental determinations of α -kinetic are needed for a variety of oxidants, such as O_3 , NO_3 , H_2O_2 , OH. The seasonality of the data indicates that the Importance of heterogeneous oxidation reactions is greatest during cooler months, while they are of only minor importance



Figure 4. Sulfur isotopic fractionation between $SO_{\mu}^{=}$ and SO_{2}^{-} , α_{m}^{-} plotted against the oxidation ratio (expressed in %). α_{c}^{-} values are corrected for reservoir effects using the Rayleigh equation.

during warmer months. This is probably due to two factors: 1) SO₂ solubility increases with decreasing temperature (Johnston and Leppia, 1934) and 2) the photochemical production of gaseous oxidants is probably greatest during summertime. An inverse relationship exists between the fractionation factor and the oxidation ratio, as shown in Figure 4. Taken together, the chemical and isotopic evidence suggests that the overall oxidation rate decreases during cooler months, in spite of the increased role of heterogeneous reactions. From this we can infer that on a yearly, integrated basis, aerosol sulfate is formed primarily by gaseous oxidation.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates systematic, seasonal chemical and sulfur isotopic trends in SO_2 and aerosol sulfate at Hubbard Brook, N.H. These trends can be interpreted in terms of simple chemical models for the oxidation of SO_2 . The results are as follows:

- oxidation of SO₂. The results are as follows: 1) Oxidation ratios, $SO_{1}^{-}/(SO_{2} + SO_{1}^{-})$, at Hubbard Brook, N.H. are greater during the late summer and early fall than during late fall and winter. This suggests that the oxidation rate varies seasonally, with faster oxidation during warmer months.
- 2) The sulfur isotopic fractionation between aerosol $SO_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and SO_{2} is intermediate between that expected from homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions, indicating that both processes can be important. The isotope data suggest that homogeneous oxidation reactions are more important in the production of aerosol sulfate than solution reactions, particularly during warmer months.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Dennis Savoie, Ruby Nees, T.G. Snowdon and Albert Castellanos for their assistance in the laboratory, Wayne Martin of the U.S. Forest Service and Don Busso for their assistance in the field, Victor Rossinsky for translation of foreign language manuscripts and M. Thiemens and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments. This research was supported by NSF grant ATM 79-09239. E.S. wishes to thank Texaco for their support. Contribution of the Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami.

References

- Altshuller, A.P. Atmospheric sulfur dioxide and sulfate, distribution of concentration at urban and nonurban sites in United States, <u>Env. Sci. and Tech.</u>, 7, 709-712, 1973.
- Bailey, S.A., and J.W. Smith, Improved method for the preparation of sulfur dioxide from barium sulfate for isotope ratio studies, <u>Anal. Chem.</u>, 44, 1542-1543, 1972.
- Bonsang, B., B.C. Nguyen, A. Gaudry, and G. Lambert, Sulfate enrichment in marine aerosols owing to biogenic gaseous sulfur compounds, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, 85, 7410-7416, 1980.
- Broecker, W.S., and V.M. Oversby, Chemical equilibria in the earth. McGraw Hill, New York, 318 p., 1971.
- Caulson, F., Air pollution in five mid-western cities. In: Our environment, the outlook for 1980. A.J. Ván Tassel, ed., Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., 335-365, 1973.
- Egiazarov, A.C., M. Kaviladze, M.N. Kerner, E.L. Oziashvili, A. Ebralidze, K.E. Esakiya, Separation of sulfur isotopes by chemical exchange, <u>Isotopenpraxis</u>, 7, 379-383, 1971.
- Eriksen, T.E., Sulfur isotope effects, II. The isotopic exchange coefficients for the sulfur isotopes ³⁴S-³²S in the system SO g-aqueous solutions of SO₂, <u>Acta</u> <u>Chem. Scand.</u>, 26, 581-584, 1972.
- Goffin, P.L., Air pollution in five eastern cities. In: Our environment, the outlook for 1980. A.J. Van Tassel, ed., Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., 311-334, 1973.
- Hatakeyama, S., M. Okuda, and H. Akimoto, Formation of sulfur dioxide and methanesulfonic acid in the photooxidation of dimethyl sulfide in the air, <u>Geophys. Res. Lett.</u>, 9, 583-586, 1982.
- Hitchcock, D.R., Atmospheric sulfates from biological sources, <u>J. Air Poll. Cont. Assoc.</u>, 26, 210-215, 1976.
- Holt, B.D., and A.G. Engelkemeir, Thermal decomposition of barium sulfate to sulfur dioxide for mass spectrometric analysis, <u>Anal. Chem.</u>, 42, 1451-1453, 1970.
- Johnstone, H.F., and P.W. Leppla, The solubility of sulfur dioxide at low partial pressures. The ionization constant and heat of ionization of sulfurous acid, <u>J.</u> <u>Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 56, 2233-2338, 1934.
- Nriagu, J.D., and R.D. Coker, Isotopic compositoin of sulfur in precipitation within the Great Lakes Basin, Tellus, 30, 365-374, 1978.
- Thiemens, M.H., The atmospheric oxidation of H₂S and the oxygen isotopic ratios of tropospheric sulfates, Ph.D. Dissertation, Florida State University, 1977.

(Received March 8, 1983; accepted March 30, 1983.)