

# THE MINIMAL FAITHFUL DEGREE OF A FUNDAMENTAL INVERSE SEMIGROUP

D. EASDOWN

This paper shows that the smallest size of a set for which a finite fundamental inverse semigroup can be faithfully represented by partial transformations of that set is the number of join irreducible elements of its semilattice of idempotents.

## 1. Introduction

In faithfully representing a given semigroup by partial transformations of a set, it is natural to ask what is the least size of a set for which this is possible. This question, among others, was posed by Schein in [7, Problem 45].

Here, the problem is solved for finite fundamental inverse semigroups. The special case of a semilattice is considered, from which the general case follows by applying a theorem of Munn, which describes fundamental inverse semigroups in terms of principal ideal isomorphisms of semilattices.

## 2. Preliminaries

Standard terminology and basic results relating to inverse semigroups, and semilattices in particular, as given by Howie in [1], will be

---

Received 19 May 1986.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/87  
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

assumed. The following result, due to Munn [3, 4], will be used in Section 4. Recall that if  $E$  is a semilattice, the Munn semigroup  $T_E$  consists of all isomorphisms between principal ideals of  $E$ .

**THEOREM 1** [1, 4.10]. *An inverse semigroup  $S$  with semilattice of idempotents  $E$  is fundamental if and only if it is isomorphic to a full inverse subsemigroup of  $T_E$ .*

Hereafter all semigroups will be assumed to be finite. Define the minimal faithful degree  $\mu(S)$  of a semigroup  $S$  to be the least non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $S$  can be embedded in  $PT_X$ , the semigroup of partial transformations of a set  $X$ , where  $X$  contains  $n$  elements. Note that if  $T$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$  then  $\mu(T) \leq \mu(S)$ . If  $X$  is a set, let  $id_X$  denote the identity mapping on  $X$ .

The following result follows from more general results about inverse semigroups (see for example [5, IV.5.9], [2, II.8.4],[8] or [6]), though a proof is included for completeness.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $E$  be a semilattice and  $\phi : E \rightarrow PT_X$  a faithful representation. Then there is a faithful representation  $\bar{\phi} : E \rightarrow PT_X$  such that, for all  $e \in E$ ,*

$$e\bar{\phi} = id_Y \quad \text{for some } Y \subseteq X.$$

**Proof.** Define  $\bar{\phi} : E \rightarrow PT_X$  by, for  $e \in E$ ,

$$e\bar{\phi} = e\phi \left| \begin{array}{l} \\ \text{range}(e\phi). \end{array} \right.$$

It is routine to verify that  $\bar{\phi}$  is a faithful representation. Moreover, if  $x \in \text{domain}(e\bar{\phi})$  then  $x \in \text{range}(e\phi)$ , so, for some  $y \in X$ ,  $x = y(e\phi)$ , which yields  $x(e\bar{\phi}) = [y(e\phi)](e\bar{\phi}) = [y(e\phi)](e\phi) = y(e\phi) = x$ , that is,  $e\bar{\phi} = id_{\text{domain}(e\bar{\phi})}$ . This completes the proof.

If  $E$  is a semilattice, the symbols  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  will be used to denote infimum and supremum respectively, when they exist, with respect to the partial order of  $E$ . Thus  $\wedge E$  is the least element of  $E$ , which exists since  $E$  is finite, which will be denoted by  $0$ , the zero of  $E$ . Note also that  $0 = \vee \emptyset$ . Call an element  $e$  of  $E$  join

irreducible if  $e \neq 0$  and, for  $f, g \in E$ ,

$$e = f \vee g \text{ implies } e = f \text{ or } e = g.$$

Note that by this definition  $0$  is not join irreducible. Note also that, since  $E$  is finite, any non-zero element of  $E$  can be expressed as the join of a set of join irreducible elements. If  $e \in E$ , define

$$\bar{e} = \{f \in E \mid f \leq e \text{ and } f \text{ is join irreducible}\}.$$

LEMMA 3. If  $E$  is a semilattice then, for  $e \in E$ ,  $e = \vee \bar{e}$ .

Proof. Let  $e \in E$ . If  $e = 0$  then  $\bar{e} = \emptyset$  and indeed  $e = \vee \bar{e}$ . If  $e \neq 0$  then write  $e$  as the join of join irreducible elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ :

$$e = \vee \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}.$$

Certainly  $e$  is an upper bound for  $\bar{e}$ . Suppose also  $f$  is an upper bound for  $\bar{e}$ , so in particular  $f$  is an upper bound for  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Hence  $e \leq f$ , which shows  $e$  is the least upper bound for  $\bar{e}$ , which completes the proof.

LEMMA 4. Let  $E$  be the semilattice which is the subsemigroup of  $PT_X$  consisting of all partial transformations of a set  $X$  which are identity mappings on their respective domains. Then, in  $E$ , for  $Y, Z \subseteq X$ ,

- (i)  $id_Y \leq id_Z$  if and only if  $Y \subseteq Z$ ;
- (ii)  $id_{Y \cap Z} = id_Y \wedge id_Z$ ;
- (iii)  $id_{Y \cup Z} = id_Y \vee id_Z$ .

Proof. These follow immediately from the fact that  $id_Y id_Z = id_{Y \cap Z}$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $(P, \leq)$  be any non-empty finite partially ordered set with  $n$  elements. Then there is a listing  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  such that, whenever  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,

$$p_j \not\leq p_i.$$

Proof. Define the listing inductively. Let  $p_1$  be any minimal element of  $P$ , which exists by finiteness. Assume  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  have

been chosen, where  $k < n$ . Choose  $p_{k+1}$  to be any minimal element of  $P \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ . The minimality of  $p_{k+1}$  ensures that the condition of the lemma holds.

### 3. Semilattices.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $E$  be a finite semilattice with  $n$  join irreducible elements. Then  $\mu(E) = n$ .*

**Proof.** If  $E = \{0\}$  then  $n = 0$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$ , so the statement of the theorem holds. Suppose then  $E$  contains a non-zero element, so  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $X$  be the set of all join irreducible elements of  $E$ . Define a mapping  $\phi : E \rightarrow PT_X$  by, for  $e \in E$ ,

$$e\phi = id_{\bar{e}}.$$

For  $e, f \in E$ ,  $\overline{ef} = \bar{e} \cap \bar{f}$ , so

$$id_{\overline{ef}} = id_{\bar{e} \cap \bar{f}} = id_{\bar{e}} id_{\bar{f}},$$

which shows  $\phi$  is homomorphic. Also, if  $\bar{e} = \bar{f}$  then, by Lemma 3,  $e = \vee \bar{e} = \vee \bar{f} = f$ , so  $\phi$  is one-one. Hence  $\phi$  is a faithful representation, so  $\mu(E) \leq n$ .

Suppose now  $\psi : E \rightarrow PT_Y$  is a faithful representation where  $Y$  is a set with  $m$  elements. It will be shown that  $m \geq n$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $m < n$ .

By Proposition 2, it may be assumed that for each  $e \in E$ ,  $e\psi$  is the identity mapping on some subset of  $Y$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , so that for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  there is a subset  $X_i$  of  $Y$  for which  $x_i\psi = id_{X_i}$ .

By Lemma 5, it may further be assumed that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  has been listed so that for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $x_j \not\leq x_i$ , so by Lemma 4,  $X_j \not\subseteq X_i$ .

Put  $Y_i = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_i$ , for  $i = 1$  to  $n$ . Thus  $Y_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_n$  so  $|Y_1| \leq \dots \leq |Y_n| \leq m < n$ .

If  $n = 1$  then  $Y_1 = \emptyset$ , so  $x_1\psi = id_{\emptyset} = 0\psi$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\psi$  is faithful. Hence  $n > 1$ , and thus  $Y_{k+1} = Y_k$  for some

$k$  , where  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  , which means that  $X_{k+1} \subseteq X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$  .

Put  $X'_i = X_i \cap X_{k+1}$  for  $i = 1$  to  $k$  , so

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k+1} &= X_{k+1} \cap (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k) \\ &= X'_1 \cup \dots \cup X'_k . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 4, and since  $\psi$  is a faithful representation,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1}\psi &= id_{X_{k+1}} = id_{X'_1} \vee \dots \vee id_{X'_k} \\ &= \left[ id_{X_1} id_{X_{k+1}} \right] \vee \dots \vee \left[ id_{X_k} id_{X_{k+1}} \right] \\ &= [(x_1 x_{k+1}) \vee \dots \vee (x_k x_{k+1})] \psi , \end{aligned}$$

so

$$x_{k+1} = (x_1 x_{k+1}) \vee \dots \vee (x_k x_{k+1}) .$$

But  $x_{k+1}$  is join irreducible, so  $x_{k+1} = x_j x_{k+1}$  , for some  $j$  where  $1 \leq j \leq k$  . Thus  $x_{k+1} \leq x_j$  , so

$$id_{X_{k+1}} = x_{k+1}\psi \leq x_j\psi = id_{X_j} .$$

By Lemma 4,  $X_{k+1} \subseteq X_j$  , which contradicts the fact that  $X_{k+1} \not\subseteq X_j$  .

This shows  $m \geq n$  , so  $\mu(E) \geq n$  .

Hence  $\mu(S) = n$  , which completes the proof.

#### 4. Fundamental inverse semigroups.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $S$  be a finite fundamental inverse semigroup with semilattice of idempotents  $E$  . Then*

$$\mu(S) = \mu(T_E) = n$$

where  $n$  is the number of join irreducible elements of  $E$  .

**Proof.** Clearly  $\mu(E) \leq \mu(S) \leq \mu(T_E)$  . By Theorem 6, it remains to prove  $\mu(T_E) \leq n$  .

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the set of join irreducible elements of  $E$  .

Define  $\phi : T_E \rightarrow PT_X$  by, for  $\alpha \in T_E$ ,

$$\alpha\phi : x_i \rightarrow \begin{cases} x_i\alpha & \text{if } x_i \in \text{domain}(\alpha) \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Note that an element of a principal ideal of  $E$  is join irreducible in that ideal if and only if it is join irreducible in  $E$ . Hence  $\phi$  is well-defined and homomorphic because join irreducible elements are sent to join irreducible elements by semilattice isomorphisms, and one-one because a semilattice isomorphism of a finite semilattice is completely determined by its action on join irreducible elements.

Hence  $\phi$  is a faithful representation, so  $\mu(T_E) \leq n$ , which completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] J. M. Howie, *An introduction to semigroup theory*. (London Mathematical Society Monographs 7, Academic Press, London, 1976).
- [2] E. S. Ljapin, *Semigroups*. (Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Volume 3, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974).
- [3] W. D. Munn, "Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 17 (1966), 151-159.
- [4] W. D. Munn, "Fundamental inverse semigroups", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 21 (1970), 152-170.
- [5] Mario Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*. (Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, 1984).
- [6] G. B. Preston, "Representations of inverse semigroups by one-to-one partial transformations of a set", *Semigroup Forum* 6 (1973), 240-245; addendum : *ibid* 8 (1974), 277.
- [7] L. N. Shevrin, "The Sverdlovsk Tetrads", *Semigroup Forum* 4 (1972), 274-280.
- [8] V. V. Vagner, "The theory of generalized heaps and generalized groups", *Mat. Sb.* 32 (1953), 545-632 (Russian).

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Western Australia  
 Nedlands, W.A. 6009