RESEARCH Open Access



The natural algorithmic approach of mixed trigonometric-polynomial problems

Tatiana Lutovac¹, Branko Malešević^{1*} and Cristinel Mortici^{2,3,4}

*Correspondence: branko.malesevic@etf.rs ¹Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73, Belgrade, 11000, Serbia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present a new algorithm for proving mixed trigonometric-polynomial inequalities of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x^{p_i} \cos^{q_i} x \sin^{r_i} x > 0$$

by reducing them to polynomial inequalities. Finally, we show the great applicability of this algorithm and, as an example, we use it to analyze some new rational (Padé) approximations of the function $\cos^2 x$ and to improve a class of inequalities by Yang. The results of our analysis could be implemented by means of an automated proof assistant, so our work is a contribution to the library of automatic support tools for proving various analytic inequalities.

MSC: 41A10; 26D05; 68T15; 12L05; 41A58

Keywords: mixed trigonometric-polynomial functions; Taylor series; approximations; inequalities; algorithms; automated theorem proving

1 Introduction and motivation

In this paper, we propose a general computational method for reducing some inequalities involving trigonometric functions to the corresponding polynomial inequalities. Our work has been motivated by many papers [1–13] recently published in this area. As an example, we mention the work of Mortici [3] who extended Wilker-Cusa-Huygens inequalities using the method he called *the natural approach method*. This method consists in comparing and replacing $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ by their corresponding Taylor polynomials as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2s+1} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!} < \sin x < \sum_{i=0}^{2s} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!},$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!} < \cos x < \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!}$$

for every integer $s, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in (0, \pi/2)$.



In this way, complicated trigonometric expressions can be reduced to polynomial or rational expressions, which can be, at least theoretically, easier studied (this can be done using some software for symbolic computation, such as Maple).

For example, Mortici in [3] (Theorem 1) proved the following inequality:

$$\cos x - \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^3 > -\frac{x^4}{15}, \quad x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right),$$

by intercalating the following Taylor polynomials:

$$\cos x - \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^3 + \frac{x^4}{15} > 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} - \left(\frac{x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!}}{x}\right)^3 + \frac{x^4}{15} = \frac{x^6 R(x^2)}{1,728,000},$$

where $R(t) = 20,000 - 1,560t + 60t^2 - t^3$.

Let $\delta_1 \leq 0 \leq \delta_2$, with $\delta_1 < \delta_2$. Recall that a function defined by the formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x^{p_i} \cos^{q_i} x \sin^{r_i} x, \quad x \in (\delta_1, \delta_2),$$

$$\tag{1}$$

is named a mixed trigonometric-polynomial function, denoted in the sequel by an MTP function [8, 14]. Here, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $p_i, q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, an inequality of the form f(x) > 0 is called a mixed trigonometric-polynomial inequality (MTP inequality).

MTP functions currently appear in the monographs on the theory of analytical inequalities [15, 16] and [5], while concrete MTP inequalities are employed in numerous engineering problems (see, e.g., [17, 18]). A large class of inequalities arising from different branches of science can be reduced to MTP inequalities.

It is notable that many of the above-mentioned analyses and treatments of MTP inequalities are all rather sophisticated and involve complex transformations and estimations. Almost all approaches are designed for 'pen and paper analysis' and many of them are ripe for automation, being formally defined in precise detail, and yet somewhat overwhelming for humans.

Notwithstanding, the development of formal methods and procedures for automated generation of proofs of analytical inequalities remains a challenging and important task of artificial intelligence and automated reasoning [19, 20].

The aim of this paper is to develop a new algorithm, based on the natural approach method, for proving MTP inequalities by reducing to polynomial inequalities.

Although transformation based on the natural approach method has been made by several researchers in their isolated studies, a unified approach has not been given yet. Moreover, it is interesting to note that just trigonometric expressions involving odd powers of $\cos x$ were studied, as the natural approach method cannot be directly applicable for the function $\cos^2 x$ over the entire interval $(0, \pi/2)$. Our aim is to extend and formalize the ideas of the natural approach method for a wider class of trigonometric inequalities, including also those containing even powers of $\cos x$, with no further restrictions.

Notice the logical-hardness general problem under consideration. According to Wang [21], for every function G defined by arithmetic operations and a composition over polynomials and sine functions of the form $\sin \pi x$, there is a real number r such that the problem G(r) = 0 is undecidable (see [22]). In 2003, Laczkovich [23] proved that this result can

be derived if the function G is defined in terms of the functions x, $\sin x$ and $\sin(x \sin x^n)$, n = 1, 2, ... (without involving π). On the other hand, several algorithms [24, 25] and [26] have been developed to determine the sign and the real zeroes of a given polynomial, so that such problems can be considered decidable (see also [22, 27]).

Let us denote by

$$T_n^{\phi,a}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\phi^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k$$

the Taylor polynomial of nth degree associated with the function ϕ at a point a. Here, $\overline{T}_n^{\phi,a}(x)$ and $\underline{T}_n^{\phi,a}(x)$ represent the Taylor polynomial of nth degree associated with the function ϕ at a point a, in the case $T_n^{\phi,a}(x) \geq \phi(x)$, respectively $T_n^{\phi,a}(x) \leq \phi(x)$, for every $x \in (a,b)$. We will call $\overline{T}_n^{\phi,a}(x)$ and $\underline{T}_n^{\phi,a}(x)$ an upward and a downward approximation of ϕ on (a,b), respectively.

We present a new algorithm for approximating a given MTP function f(x) by a polynomial function P(x) such that

$$f(x) > P(x), \tag{2}$$

using the upward and downward Taylor approximations $\underline{T}_n^{\sin,0}(x)$, $\overline{T}_n^{\sin,0}(x)$, $\underline{T}_n^{\cos,0}(x)$, $\overline{T}_n^{\cos,0}(x)$.

2 The natural approach method and the associated algorithm

The following two lemmas [8] related to the Taylor polynomials associated with sine and cosine functions will be of great help in our study.

Lemma 1 Let
$$T_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!}$$
.
(i) If $n = 4s + 1$, with $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$T_n(x) \ge T_{n+4}(x) \ge \sin x \quad \text{for every } 0 \le x \le \sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)};$$
 (3)

and

$$T_n(x) \le T_{n+4}(x) \le \sin x \quad \text{for every } -\sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)} \le x \le 0.$$
 (4)

(ii) If n = 4s + 3, with $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$T_n(x) \le T_{n+4}(x) \le \sin x \quad \text{for every } 0 \le x \le \sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)}; \tag{5}$$

and

$$T_n(x) \ge T_{n+4}(x) \ge \sin x \quad \text{for every } -\sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)} \le x \le 0.$$
 (6)

Lemma 2 Let $T_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n/2} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!}$. (i) If n = 4k, with $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$T_n(x) \ge T_{n+4}(x) \ge \cos x$$

for every $-\sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)} \le x \le \sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)}$. (7)

(ii) If n = 4k + 2, with $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$T_n(x) \le T_{n+4}(x) \le \cos x$$

for every $-\sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)} \le x \le \sqrt{(n+3)(n+4)}$. (8)

According to Lemmas 1-2, the upper bounds of the approximation intervals of the functions $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ are $\varepsilon_1 = \sqrt{(n_1+3)(n_1+4)}$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{(n_2+3)(n_2+4)}$, respectively. As $\varepsilon_1 > \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varepsilon_2 > \frac{\pi}{2}$, the results of these lemmas are valid, in particular, in the entire interval $(0,\frac{\pi}{2})$.

Lemma 3

(1) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$T_n^{\sin,0}(x) \ge 0.$$

(2) Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\left(\underline{T}_{4s+3}^{\sin,0}(x)\right)^p \le \sin^p x \le \left(\overline{T}_{4s+1}^{\sin,0}(x)\right)^p.$$

Lemma 4 Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\cos^p x \le \left(\overline{T}_{4k}^{\cos,0}(x)\right)^p$$
.

In contrast to the function $\sin x$ and its downward Taylor approximations, in the interval $(0,\frac{\pi}{2})$ the function $\cos x$ and the downward Taylor approximations $\underline{T}^{\cos,0}_{4k+2}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \frac{(-1)^i x^{2i}}{(2i)!}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, require special attention as there is no downward Taylor approximation $\underline{T}^{\cos,0}_{4k+2}(x)$ such that $\cos^2 x \geq (\underline{T}^{\cos,0}_{4k+2}(x))^2$ for every $x \in (0,\frac{\pi}{2})$.

We present the following results related to the problem with downward Taylor approximations of the cosine function.

Proposition 5

- (1) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the downward Taylor approximation $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.
- (2) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists unique $c_k \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(c_k) = 0$.
- (3) The sequence $(c_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, with $c_0=\sqrt{2}$, is strictly increasing and $\lim_{k\to+\infty}c_k=\frac{\pi}{2}$.
- (4) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists $d_k \in (c_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that $\cos d_k = |\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(d_k)|$.
- (5) The sequence $(d_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is strictly increasing and $\lim_{k\to+\infty} d_k = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Proof (1) The function $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,\frac{\pi}{2})$ since, according to Lemma 1, $(\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x))' = -\overline{T}_{4k+1}^{\sin,0}(x) \leq 0$.

- (2) The existence of c_k follows from the fact that $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(0) = 1 > 0$ and $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(\frac{\pi}{2}) < \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$.
- (3) The monotonicity of the sequence $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a result of the monotonicity of $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ and Lemma 2(ii).

The convergence of the sequence $(T_n^{\cos,0}(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ implies the convergence of the sequence $(c_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ to $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

- (4) The function $|\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)|$ is decreasing on $(0,c_k)$ and increasing on $(c_k,\frac{\pi}{2})$. Based on Lemma 2(ii), it follows that there exists $d_k \in (c_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that $\cos d_k = |\frac{T^{\cos,0}_{4k+2}(d_k)|}{2}$.
- (5) This statement is a consequence of the monotonicity of the sequence $(c_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and the increasing monotonicity of the function $|\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)|$ on $(c_k,\frac{\pi}{2})$.

Corollary 6 *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *. Then*

- (1) $\cos^{2p} x > (\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x))^{2p}$ for every $x \in (0, d_k)$; (2) $\cos^{2p} x < (\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x))^{2p}$ for every $x \in (d_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Based on the above results, we have the following.

Corollary 7 *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $p \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ *is not a downward approximation of the* MTP function $\cos^{2p} x$ on $(d_k, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

In order to ensure the correctness of the algorithm [27, 28] we will develop next in the sequel, the following problem needs to be considered.

Problem For given $\delta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, find $\hat{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k \geq \hat{k}$ and $x \in \mathcal{I}$

$$\cos^2 x \ge \left(\frac{T_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)}{4k+2}\right)^2. \tag{9}$$

Remark If $\cos x$ appears in odd powers only in the given MTP function f(x), we take $\hat{k} = 0$.

One of the methods to solve the problem of downward approximation of the function $\cos^{2p} x, p \in \mathbb{N}$ is the method of multiple angles developed in [8]. All degrees of the functions $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ are eliminated from the given MTP function f(x) through conversion into multiple-angle expressions. This removes all even degrees of the function $\cos x$, but then sine and cosine functions appear in the form $\sin \kappa x$ or $\cos \kappa x$, where $\kappa x \in (0, \kappa \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\kappa \in$ N. In this case, in order to use the results of Lemmas 1-2, we are forced to choose large enough values of $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\sqrt{(k+3)(k+4)} > \kappa \frac{\pi}{2}$. Note that a higher value of kimplies a higher degree of the downward Taylor approximations and of the polynomial P(x) in (2) (for instance, see [10] and [12]).

Several more ideas to solve the above problem are proposed and considered below under the names of Methods A-D. In the following, the numbers c_k and d_k are those defined in Proposition 5.

Method A If $\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, find the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $d_k \in (\delta, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then $\hat{k} = k$.

Note that Method A assumes solving a transcendental equation of the form $\cos x =$ $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ that requires numerical methods.

Method B If $\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, find the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $c_k \in (\delta, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then $\hat{k} = k$.

Method C If $\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, find the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(\delta) \geq 0$. Then $\widehat{k} = k$.

Note that Method B and Method C return the same output as for given δ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the following equivalence holds true:

$$\left(c_k \in \left(\delta, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \land \underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(c_k) = 0\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(\delta) \geq 0.$$

As Method B assumes determining the root c_k of the downward Taylor approximation $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ and Method C assumes checking the sign of the downward Taylor approximation at point $x = \delta$, it is notable that Method C presents a faster and simpler procedure.

Method D Eliminate all even degrees of the function cos *x* using the transformation

$$\cos^{2p} x = (1 - \sin^2 x)^p = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{p}{i} \sin^{2i} x. \tag{10}$$

Then $\hat{k} = 0$.

Note that Method D can be applied for any $0 < \delta \le \pi/2$. Hence, if an MTP function f(x) is considered in the whole interval $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then Method D is applicable only (apart from the multiple-angle method). However, Method D implies an increase in the number of terms needed to be estimated. Let us represent a given MTP function f in the following form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x^{p_i} \cos^{2k_i} x \sin^{r_i} x + f_1(x), \tag{11}$$

where there are no terms of the form $\cos^{2j} x, j \in \mathbb{N}$, in $f_1(x)$. The elimination of all terms of the form $\cos^{2k_i} x$ from (11) using transformation (10) will increase the number of addends in (11), in the general case with $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_m$; consequently, it will increase the number of terms of the form $\sin^{\ell} x, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, in (11) needed to be estimated.

2.1 An algorithm based on the natural approach method

Let f be an MTP function and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (0, \pi/2)$. We concentrate on finding a polynomial $\mathcal{TP}^f(x)$ such that for every $x \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$f(x) > TP^f(x)$$
.

In this case, the associated MTP inequality f(x) > 0 can be proved if we show that for every $x \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\mathcal{TP}^f(x) > 0$$
,

which is a decidable problem according to Tarski [22, 24]. The following algorithm describes the method for finding such a polynomial $\mathcal{TP}^f(x)$.

```
ALGORITHM Natural Approach
INPUT: function f, \delta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}).
OUTPUT: polynomial \mathcal{TP}^f(x).
    1. /* Solve a problem involving downward approximations depending on \cos^{2p}x, */
          /* i.e., determining \hat{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0 such that for all k \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \geq \hat{k}, it holds: */
         /* \cos^2 x \ge \left(\frac{T \cos 0}{4k+2}(x)\right)^2 for every x \in (0, \delta]. */
        If \delta > \sqrt{2} and there are even degrees of the function \cos x then
                         If \delta < \frac{\pi}{2} then use Method C or Method D
                          else use Method D
         else \hat{k} := 0
    2. /* In the procedure Estimation (described below), for a given MTP function */
          /* f(x), each addend a_i(x) in the function f(x) is estimated. */
PROCEDURE Estimation (f(x))
END /* Algorithm */
PROCEDURE Estimation
INPUT: the function f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x), where a_i(x) = \alpha_i x^{p_i} \cos^{q_i} x \sin^{r_i} x.
OUTPUT: the polynomial \mathcal{TP}^{f}(x) and array ((s_i, k_i)), i = 1, ..., n, where
                   s_i and k_i represent the number that determines the degree of
                   the Taylor approximation of the function \sin x, respective \cos x
                   in the addend a_i(x).
Estimate each addend a_i(x) with q_i^2 + r_i^2 \neq 0 as follows:
             I If \alpha_i > 0, then:
                   /* First select the degrees of the downward approximations */
                   Select s_i \geq 0 and k_i \geq \hat{k}.
                   Estimate: a_i(x) \ge \alpha_i x^{p_i} \left(\underline{T}_{4s_i+3}^{\sin,0}(x)\right)^{q_i} \left(\underline{T}_{4k_i+2}^{\cos,0}(x)\right)^{r_i};
             II If \alpha_i < 0 (i.e., \alpha_i = -\beta_i, with \beta_i > 0), then:
                   /* First select the degrees of the downward approximations */
                   Select s_i \geq 0 and k_i \geq 0.
          a_i(x) = -\beta_i x^{p_i} (\sin x)^{q_i} (\cos x)^{r_i} \ge -\beta_i x^{p_i} \left( \overline{T}_{4s_i+1}^{\sin,0}(x) \right)^{q_i} \left( \overline{T}_{4k_i}^{\cos,0}(x) \right)^{r_i};
/* Estimation of each addend a_i(x) in function f(x) yields a polynomial */
          /* Of the form: */

/* P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x^{p_i} \left(T_{n_i}^{\sin,0}(x)\right)^{q_i} \left(T_{m_i}^{\cos,0}(x)\right)^{r_i}, where T \in \{\underline{T}, \overline{T}\}. */
   Return: the polynomial \mathcal{TP}^f(x) and array ((s_i, k_i)).
END /* Procedure */
```

Comment on step II of the Procedure Estimation: in the general case, the addend $a_i(x) = -\beta_i x^{p_i} (\sin x)^{q_i} (\cos x)^{r_i}$ can be estimated in one of the following three ways:

```
(i) a_i(x) = -\beta_i x^{p_i} (\sin x)^{q_i} (\cos x)^{r_i} \ge \beta_i x^{p_i} (\underline{T}_{4s_i+3}^{\sin,0}(x))^{q_i} (-\overline{T}_{4k_i}^{\cos,0}(x))^{r_i},

(ii) a_i(x) = -\beta_i x^{p_i} (\sin x)^{q_i} (\cos x)^{r_i} \ge \beta_i x^{p_i} (-\overline{T}_{4s_i+1}^{\sin,0}(x))^{q_i} (\underline{T}_{4k_i+2}^{\cos,0}(x))^{r_i},

(iii) a_i(x) = -\beta_i x^{p_i} (\sin x)^{q_i} (\cos x)^{r_i} \ge -\beta_i x^{p_i} (\overline{T}_{4s_i+1}^{\sin,0}(x))^{q_i} (\overline{T}_{4k_i}^{\cos,0}(x))^{r_i}.
```

Note that for fixed s_i , k_i , q_i and r_i , the method (iii) generates polynomials of the smallest degree.

We present the following characteristic [28, 29] for the Natural Approach algorithm.

Theorem 8 The Natural Approach algorithm is correct.

Proof Every step in the algorithm is based on the results obtained from Lemmas 1-4 and Proposition 5. Hence, for every input instance (i.e., for any MTP function f(x) over a given

interval $\mathcal{I} \subseteq (0, \pi/2)$), the algorithm halts with the correct output (i.e., the algorithm returns the corresponding polynomial).

3 Some applications of the algorithm

We present an application of the *Natural Approach* algorithm in the proof (Application 1 - Theorem 9) of certain new rational (Padé) approximations of the function $\cos^2 x$, as well as in the improvement of a class of inequalities (20) by Yang (Application 2, Theorem 10).

Application 1 Bercu [7] used the Padé approximations to prove certain inequalities for trigonometric functions. Let us denote by $(f(x))_{[m/n]}$ the Padé approximant [m/n] of the function f(x).

In this example we introduce a constraint of the function $\cos^2 x$ by the following Padé approximations:

$$\left(\cos^2 x\right)_{[6/4]} = \frac{-59x^6 + 962x^4 - 3,675x^2 + 4,095}{17x^4 + 420x^2 + 4,095}$$

and

$$(\cos^2 x)_{[4/4]} = \frac{163x^4 - 780x^2 + 945}{13x^4 + 165x^2 + 945}.$$

Theorem 9 *The following inequalities hold true for every* $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$:

$$(\cos^2 x)_{[6/4]} < \cos^2 x < (\cos^2 x)_{[4/4]}. \tag{12}$$

Proof We first prove the left-hand side inequality (11). Using the computer software for symbolic computations, we can conclude that the function $G_1(x) = (\cos^2 x)_{[6/4]}$ has exactly one zero $\delta = 1.551413...$ in the interval $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. As $G_1(0) = 1 > 0$ and $G_1(\frac{\pi}{2}) = -0.000431... < 0$, we deduce that

$$G_1(x) \ge 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in (0, \delta]$$
 (13)

and

$$G_1(x) < 0$$
 for every $x \in \left(\delta, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. (14)

Moreover, $G_1(x) < \cos^2 x$ for every $x \in (\delta, \frac{\pi}{2})$. We prove now that

$$G_1(x) < \cos^2 x, \quad x \in (0, \delta].$$
 (15)

We search a downward Taylor polynomial $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ such that for every $x \in (0, \delta]$,

$$G_1(x) < \left(\frac{T_{Ak+}^{\cos,0}(x)}{T_{Ak+}^{\cos,0}(x)}\right)^2 < \cos^2 x.$$
 (16)

We apply the *Natural Approach* algorithm to the function $f(x) = \cos^2 x$, $x \in (0, \delta]$, to determine the downward Taylor polynomial $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)$ such that

$$\left(\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(x)\right)^2 < \cos^2 x, \quad x \in (0,\delta].$$

We can use Method C or Method D from the *Natural Approach* algorithm since $\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. In this proof, we choose Method C.

The smallest k for which $\underline{T}_{4k+2}^{\cos,0}(\delta) > 0$ is k = 1. Therefore $\widehat{k} = 1$. In the *Estimation* procedure only step I can be applied to the (single) addend $\cos^2 x$. In this step, $s_1 \geq 0$ and $k_1 \geq \widehat{k} = 1$ should be selected. Let us select $s_1 = 0$ and $k_1 = 2$. As a result of this selection, the output of the *Natural Approach* algorithm is the polynomial

$$\mathcal{TP}(x) = \left(\underline{T}_{10}^{\cos,0}(x)\right)^2 = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \frac{x^8}{8!} - \frac{x^{10}}{10!}\right)^2.$$

We prove that

$$\left(\underline{T}_{10}^{\cos,0}(x)\right)^2 - G_1(x) > 0, \quad x \in (0,\delta].$$
 (17)

This is true since

$$\left(\underline{T_{10}^{\cos,0}}(x)\right)^2 - G_1(x) = \frac{x^{12}}{13,168,189,440,000(17x^4 + 420x^2 + 4,095)}Q(x),$$

where

$$Q(x) = 17x^{12} + 15x^{8} (15,837 - 176x^{2}) + 8,100x^{4} (64,519 - 1,687x^{2})$$
$$+ 3,200 (50,205,015 - 4,035,906x^{2}) > 0.$$

Finally, we have $G_1(x) < \cos^2 x$ for every $x \in (0, \delta]$. According to (14), we have

$$G_1(x) < \cos^2 x$$
 for every $x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

Now we prove the right-hand side inequality (12). For $G_2(x) = (\cos^2 x)_{[4/4]}$, we prove the following inequalities for every $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$:

$$\cos^2 x < (\overline{T}_8^{\cos,0}(x))^2 < G_2(x). \tag{18}$$

Based on Proposition 5, it is enough to prove that for every $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$\left(\overline{T}_8^{\cos x,0}(x)\right)^2 < G_2(x). \tag{19}$$

This is true as

$$G_2(x) - \left(\overline{T}_8^{\cos x,0}(x)\right)^2 = \frac{x^{10}}{1,625,702,400(13x^4 + 165x^2 + 945)}R(x),$$

where

$$R(x) = x^{8} \left(1{,}291 - 13x^{2}\right) + x^{4} \left(2{,}004{,}240 - 66{,}913x^{2}\right) + 480 \left(632{,}604 - 74{,}625x^{2}\right) > 0.$$

Since $\cos^2 x \leq (\overline{T}_{4k}^{\cos,0}(x))^2$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we have

$$\cos^2 x < G_2(x)$$
 for every $x \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

Note Using Padé approximations, Bercu [7, 13] recently refined certain trigonometric inequalities over various intervals $\mathcal{I} = (0, \delta) \subseteq (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. All such inequalities can be proved in a similar way and using the *Natural Approach* algorithm as in the proof of Theorem 9.

Application 2 Jang [6] proved the following inequalities for every $x \in (0, \pi)$:

$$\cos^2 \frac{x}{2} \le \frac{\sin x}{x} \le \cos^3 \frac{x}{3} \le \frac{2 + \cos x}{3}.$$
 (20)

Previously, Klén et al. [2] proved the above inequality on $(0, \sqrt{27/5})$ only.

In this example we propose the following improvement of (20).

Theorem 10 The following inequalities hold true for every $x \in (0, \pi)$ and $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$:

$$\cos^2 \frac{x}{2} \le \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a \le \frac{\sin x}{x}.\tag{21}$$

Proof As a > 1 and $0 < \frac{\sin x}{x} < 1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a < \frac{\sin x}{x}.$$

We prove now the following inequality:

$$\cos^2 \frac{x}{2} < \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a \tag{22}$$

for every $x \in (0, \pi)$ and $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. It suffices to show that the following mixed logarithmic-trigonometric-polynomial function [11]

$$F(x) = a \ln\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right) - 2 \ln\left(\cos\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{23}$$

is positive for every $x \in (0, \pi)$ and $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. Given that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} F(x) = 0,\tag{24}$$

based on the ideas from [11], we connect the function F(x) to the analysis of its derivative

$$F'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(\frac{x}{2})}{x \sin \frac{x}{2} \cos \frac{x}{2}},$$

where

$$f(t) = 4t(a-1)\cos^2 t - 2a\sin t \cos t - 2t(a-2). \tag{25}$$

Let us note that F'(x) is the quotient of two MTP functions.

The inequality F'(x) > 0 is equivalent to f(t) > 0. The proof of the later inequality will be done using the *Natural Approach* algorithm for the function f(t) on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, with $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. As before, we search a polynomial $\mathcal{TP}(t)$ such that

In step 1 of the *Natural Approach* algorithm, we can use Method D only because $\delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then

$$f(t) = 4t(a-1)(1-\sin^2 t) - 2a\sin t\cos t - 2t(a-2)$$

= $4t(1-a)\sin^2 t - 2a\sin t\cos t + 2ta$ (26)

with $\hat{k} = 0$. In the *Estimation* procedure only^b step II can be applied to the first and second addends in (26), where $s_i \ge 0$ and $k_i \ge 0$, i = 1, 2, should be selected. Let us, for example, select $s_1 = k_1 = s_2 = k_2 = 1$. As a result of this selection, the *Natural Approach* algorithm yields the polynomial

$$\mathcal{TP}(t) = 4t(1-a)\left(t - \frac{1}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{120}t^5\right)^2 - 2a\left(t - \frac{1}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{120}t^5\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{24}t^4\right) + 2ta$$

for which f(t) > TP(t), for every $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. The inequality f(t) > 0 is reduced to a decidable problem

$$\mathcal{TP}(t) > 0$$
, for every $t \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $a \in \left(0, \frac{3}{2}\right)$. (27)

The sign of the polynomial TP(t) can be determined in several ways. For example, let us represent the polynomial TP(t) as

$$TP(t) = p(t)a + q(t), \tag{28}$$

where

$$p(t) = -\frac{t^3(2t^8 - 75t^6 + 1,120t^4 - 7,680t^2 + 19,200)}{7,200}$$

and

$$q(t) = 4t\left(t - \frac{1}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{120}t^5\right)^2.$$

For every fixed $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, the function $\mathcal{TP}(t) = p(t)a + q(t)$ is linear, monotonically decreasing with respect to $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$ since for every $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$p(t) = -\frac{t^3}{7,200} \left(2t^8 + 5t^4 \left(224 - 15t^2\right) + 3,840 \left(5 - 2t^2\right)\right) < 0.$$

Hence, for every fixed $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, the value of (28) is greater than the value of the same expression for $a = \frac{3}{2}$:

$$p(t)\frac{3}{2} + q(t) = -\frac{t^5}{14,400} (2t^6 - 65t^4 + 800t^2 - 3,840).$$

But

$$p(t)\frac{3}{2}+q(t)=\frac{t^5}{14.400}(t^4(65-2t^2)+160(24-5t^2))>0,$$

so inequality (27) is true; and consequently, F'(x) > 0 on $(0, \pi)$ for every $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. But $\lim_{x \to 0} F(x) = 0$, so F(x) > 0 on $(0, \pi)$ for every $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$.

Remark on Theorem 10 Let us consider possible refinements of inequality (20) by a real analytical function $\varphi_a(x) = (\frac{\sin x}{x})^a$ for $x \in (0, \delta)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $\varphi_a(x)$ is real analytical as it is related to the analytical function

$$t(x) = a \ln\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right) = a \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{2k-1} B_{2k}}{k(2k)!} x^{2k}$$
 (29)

(B_i are the Bernoulli numbers; see, e.g., [30]). The following consideration of the sign of the analytical function in the left and right neighborhood of zero is based on Theorem 2.5 from [8]. Let us consider the real analytical function

$$f_1(x) = \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a - \cos^2 \frac{x}{2} = \left(-\frac{a}{6} + \frac{1}{4}\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{a^2}{72} - \frac{a}{180} - \frac{1}{48}\right)x^4 + \cdots, \tag{30}$$

 $x \in (0, \pi)$. The restriction

$$f_1''(0) = -\frac{a}{3} + \frac{1}{2} > 0, (31)$$

i.e.,

$$a \in \left(-\infty, \frac{3}{2}\right),$$
 (32)

is a necessary and sufficient condition for $f_1(x) > 0$ to hold on an interval $(0, \delta_1^{(a)})$ (for some $\delta_1^{(a)} > 0$). Also, the restriction

$$a \in \left(\frac{3}{2}, \infty\right)$$
 (33)

is a necessary and sufficient condition for $f_1(x) < 0$ to hold on an interval $(0, \delta_2^{(a)})$ (for some $\delta_2^{(a)} > 0$). The following equivalences hold true for every $x \in (0, \pi)$:

$$a \in (1, \infty) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a < \frac{\sin x}{x},$$
 (34)

$$a \in (-\infty, 1) \iff \frac{\sin x}{x} < \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a.$$
 (35)

The refinement in Theorem 10 is given based on the possible values of the parameter a in (33) and (34). A similar analysis shows us that only the following refinements of inequality (20) are possible.

Corollary 11 Let $a \in [\frac{3}{2}, +\infty)$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in (0, \delta)$, it holds

$$\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a \le \cos^2 \frac{x}{2}.\tag{36}$$

Corollary 12 *Let* $a \in (-\infty, 1)$. *There exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that for every* $x \in (0, \delta)$ *, it holds*

$$\frac{2 + \cos x}{3} \le \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^a. \tag{37}$$

4 Conclusions and future work

The results of our analysis could be implemented by means of an automated proof assistant [31], so our work is a contribution to the library of automatic support tools [32] for proving various analytic inequalities.

Our general algorithm associated with the natural approach method can be successfully applied to prove a wide category of classical MTP inequalities. For example, the *Natural Approach* algorithm has recently been used to prove several open problems that involve MTP inequalities (see, e.g., [8–12]).

It is our contention that the *Natural Approach* algorithm can be used to introduce and solve other new similar results. Chen [4] used a similar method to prove the following inequalities, for every $x \in (0,1)$:

$$2 + \frac{17}{45}x^3 \arctan x < \left(\frac{\arcsin x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\arctan x}{x}$$

and

$$2 + \frac{7}{20}x^3 \arctan x < 2\left(\frac{\arcsin x}{x}\right) + \frac{\arctan x}{x};$$

then he proposed the following inequalities as a conjecture:

$$\left(\frac{\arcsin x}{x}\right)^2 + \frac{\arctan x}{x} < 2 + \frac{\pi^2 + \pi - 8}{\pi}x^3 \arctan x, \quad x \in (0, 1)$$

and

$$2\left(\frac{\arcsin x}{x}\right) + \frac{\arctan x}{x} < 3 + \frac{5\pi - 12}{\pi}x^3 \arctan x, \quad x \in (0,1).$$

Very recently, Malešević et al. [12] solved this open problem using the same procedure, i.e., the natural approach method, associated with upwards and downwards approximations of the inverse trigonometric functions.

Finally, we present other ways for approximating the function $\cos^{2n} x$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that the power series of the function $\cos^{2n} x$ converges to the function everywhere

on \mathbb{R} . The power series of the function $\cos^{2n} x$ is an alternating sign series. For example, for n = 1 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\cos^2 x = 1 - x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^4 - \frac{2}{45}x^6 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2k-1}(-1)^k}{(2k)!}x^{2k}.$$

Therefore, for the above power (Taylor) series, it is not hard to determine (depending on m) which partial sums (i.e., Taylor polynomials) $T_m^{\cos^2 x,0}(x)$ become good downward or upward approximations of the function $\cos^2 x$ in a given interval \mathcal{I} . Assuming the following representation of the function $\cos^{2n} x$ in power (Taylor) series

$$\cos^{2n} x = a_0^{(2n)} - a_2^{(2n)} x^2 + a_4^{(2n)} x^4 - a_6^{(2n)} x^6 + \cdots,$$

with $a_j^{(2n)} > 0$ (j = 0, 2, 4, 6, ...), the power (Taylor) series of function $\cos^{2n+2} x$ will be an alternating sign series as follows:

$$\cos^{2n+2} x = \cos^2 x \cdot \cos^{2n} x$$

$$= \underbrace{a_0^{(2n)}}_{a_0^{(2n+2)}} - \underbrace{\left(a_0^{(2n)} + a_2^{(2n)}\right)}_{a_2^{(2n+2)}} x^2$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{3}a_0^{(2n)} + a_2^{(2n)} + a_4^{(2n)}\right)}_{a_4^{(2n+2)}} x^4$$

$$- \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{45}a_0^{(2n)} + \frac{1}{3}a_2^{(2n)} + a_4^{(2n)} + a_6^{(2n)}\right)}_{a_6^{(2n+2)}} x^6$$

$$+ \cdots$$

with $a_j^{(2n+2)} > 0$ (j = 0, 2, 4, 6, ...).

Therefore, in general, for the function $\cos^{2n} x$, it is possible to determine, depending on the form of the real natural number m, the upward (downward) Taylor approximations $\overline{T}_m^{\cos^{2n} x,0}(x)$ ($\underline{T}_m^{\cos^{2n} x,0}(x)$) that are all above (below) the considered function in a given interval \mathcal{I} . Such estimation of the function $\cos^{2n} x$ and the use of corresponding Taylor approximations will be the object of future research.

Competing interests

Authors would like to state that they do not have any competing interest in subject of this research.

Authors' contributions

All authors participated in every phase of research conducted for this paper.

Author details

¹ Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73, Belgrade, 11000, Serbia. ²Valahia University of Târgovişte, Bd. Unirii 18, Târgovişte, 130082, Romania. ³Academy of Romanian Scientists, Splaiul Independenței 54, Bucharest, 050094, Romania. ⁴University Politehnica of Bucharest, Splaiul Independenței 313, Bucharest, 060042, Romania.

Acknowledgements

The first and the second authors were supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Projects TR 32023 and ON 174032, III 44006. The third author was supported by a Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, with the Project Number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0087.

Endnotes

For the selection $s_1 = 0$ and $k_1 = 1$, the output of the *Natural Approach* algorithm is the polynomial

$$TP(x) = \underline{T}_6^{\cos,0}(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!}$$

such that $TP(x) \leq G_1(x)$ holds for some $x \in (0, \delta]$.

Because for every fixed $a \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$: $\alpha_1 = 4(1-a) < 0$ and $\alpha_2 = -2a < 0$.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 28 February 2017 Accepted: 2 May 2017 Published online: 18 May 2017

References

- 1. Zhang, L, Zhu, L: A new elementary proof of Wilker's inequalities. Math. Inequal. Appl. 11, 149-151 (2008)
- Klén, R, Visuri, M, Vuorinen, M: On Jordan type inequalities for hyperbolic functions. J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Article ID 362548 (2010)
- 3. Mortici, C: The natural approach of Wilker-Cusa-Huygens inequalities. Math. Inequal. Appl. 14(3), 535-541 (2011)
- Chen, C-P: Sharp Wilker and Huygens type inequalities for inverse trigonometric and inverse hyperbolic functions. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 23(12), 865-873 (2012)
- 5. Anderson, GD, Vuorinen, M, Zhang, X: Analytic number theory, approximation theory and special functions. In: Milovanović, G, Rassias, M (eds.) Topics in Special Functions III, pp. 297-345. Springer, Berlin (2014)
- 6. Yang, Z-H: New sharp Jordan type inequalities and their applications. Gulf J. Math. 2(1), 1-10 (2014)
- Bercu, G: Padé approximant related to remarkable inequalities involving trigonometric functions. J. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 99 (2016)
- Malešević, B, Makragić, M: A method for proving some inequalities on mixed trigonometric polynomial functions.
 J. Math. Inequal. 10(3), 849-876 (2016)
- 9. Banjac, B, Makragić, M, Malešević, B: Some notes on a method for proving inequalities by computer. Results Math. **69**(1), 161-176 (2016)
- Nenezić, M, Malešević, B, Mortici, C: Accurate approximations of some expressions involving trigonometric functions. Appl. Math. Comput. 283, 299-315 (2016)
- 11. Malešević, B, Lutovac, T, Banjac, B: A proof of an open problem of Yusuke Nishizawa (2016). arXiv:1601.00083
- 12. Malešević, B, Banjac, B, Jovović, I: A proof of two conjectures of Chao-Ping Chen for inverse trigonometric functions. J. Math. Inequal. 11(1), 151-162 (2017)
- 13. Bercu, G: The natural approach of trigonometric inequalities Padé approximant. J. Math. Inequal. 11(1), 181-191 (2017)
- 14. Dong, B, Yu, B, Yu, Y: A symmetric homotopy and hybrid polynomial system solving method for mixed trigonometric polynomial systems. Math. Comput. 83, 1847-1868 (2014)
- 15. Mitrinović, DS: Analytic Inequalities. Springer, Berlin (1970)
- Milovanović, GV, Mitrinović, DS, Rassias, TM: Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros. World Science, Singapore (1994)
- de Abreu, GTF: Jensen-Cotes upper and lower bounds on the Gaussian Q-function and related functions. IEEE Trans. Commun. 57(11), 3328-3338 (2009)
- 18. Rahmatollahi, G, de Abreu, GTF: Closed-form hop-count distributions in random networks with arbitrary routing. IEEE Trans. Commun. **60**(2), 429-444 (2012)
- 19. Bundy, A: The Computer Modelling of Mathematical Reasoning. Academic Press, London, New York (1983)
- 20. Kaliszyk, C, Wiedijk, F: Certified computer algebra on top of an interactive theorem prover. In: Calculemus/MKM. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 4573, pp. 94-105 (2007), see also F. Wiedijk, Digital Math by Alphabet, site https://www.cs.ru.nl/~freek/digimath/index.html
- 21. Wang, PS: The undecidability of the existence of zeros of real elementary functions. J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 21, 586-589 (1974)
- Kennedy, J (ed.): Interpreting Gödel: Critical Essays; Chapter: B. Poonen, Undecidable Problems: A Sampler, pp. 211-241. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014) http://www-math.mit.edu/~poonen/papers/sampler.pdf
- 23. Laczkovich, M: The removal of π from some undecidable problems involving elementary functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 131, 2235-2240 (2003)
- 24. Tarski, A: A Decision Method for Elementary Algebra and Geometry. University of California Press, Berkeley (1951)
- Mureşan, AC: The polynomial roots repartition and minimum roots separation. WSEAS Trans. Math. 8(7), 515-527 (2008)
- 26. Narkawicz, A, Muñoz, C, Dutle, A: Formally-verified decision procedures for univariate polynomial computation based on Sturm's and Tarski's theorems. J. Autom. Reason. **54**(4), 285-326 (2015)
- 27. Cutland, N: Computability: An Introduction to Recursive Function Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1980)
- 28. Cormen, TH, Leiserson, CE, Rivest, RL, Stein, C: Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd edn. MIT press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London (2009)

- 29. Knuth, DE: The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 1: Fundamental Algorithms. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1968)
- 30. Gradshteyn, IS, Ryzhik, IM: Table of Integrals, Series and Products, 8th edn. Academic Press, San Diego (2014)
- 31. Geuvers, H: Proof assistants: history, ideas and future. Sādhanā 34(1), 3-25 (2009)
- 32. Miller, D: Communicating and trusting proofs: the case for foundational proof certificates. In: Proceedings of the 14-th Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science, Nancy, pp. 323-342 (2011)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- \blacktriangleright Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com