

## THE NUMBER OF SUBCONTINUA OF THE REMAINDER OF THE PLANE

ERIC K. VAN DOUWEN

Denote the Euclidean plane by  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and for a completely regular space  $X$  denote its remainder  $\beta X - X$  by  $X^*$ . We will prove that  $\mathbb{R}^2$  has  $2^c$  pairwise nonhomeomorphic subcontinua by finding a family  $\mathcal{L}$  of nondegenerate subcontinua each of which has a unique cut point, and then finding  $2^c$  members of  $\mathcal{L}$  which are pairwise nonhomeomorphic because their cut points behave differently. It is of interest that the second part uses a method of Frolík originally invented to prove that  $X^*$  is not homogeneous for nonpseudocompact  $X$ .

Denote the half-line  $[0, \infty)$  by  $H$ . It is well-known that  $H^*$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ , ( $2 \leq n < \omega$ ), are continua, [6, 6L]. Evidently,  $H^*$  embeds in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ , ( $1 \leq n < \omega$ ), and  $(\mathbb{R}^m)^*$  embeds into  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ , ( $1 \leq m \leq n < \omega$ ). It was announced in [4] that  $H^*$  has at least 5 pairwise nonhomeomorphic nondegenerate (proper) subcontinua. Recently Winslow, [9], proved that  $(\mathbb{R}^3)^*$ , hence  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  ( $3 \leq n < \omega$ ) has  $2^c$  pairwise nonhomeomorphic subcontinua by algebraic means which give no information about  $(\mathbb{R}^2)^*$ . We here show that  $\mathbb{R}^2 = (\mathbb{R}^2)^*$ , hence  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ , ( $2 \leq n < \omega$ ), has  $2^c$  pairwise nonhomeomorphic subcontinua by topological means which give no information about  $H^*$ . After this paper was written I received Browner's (né Winslow) [3], where this result also was obtained, with totally different means.

We use  $\omega$  for the nonnegative integers, and identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the complex plane, so that  $\omega \subseteq H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Throughout  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  denotes the closure operator in  $\beta X$ , with  $X$  being clear from the context.

1. **Basic facts about  $\beta X$ .** We here collect basic facts about  $\beta X$  needed in this paper. They are often used without explicit mention.

If  $X$  is normal, then  $\bar{F} \cap \bar{G} = (F \cap G)^-$  for every two closed  $F, G \subseteq X$ .

If  $A$  is closed and  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ , in particular if  $A$  is closed in  $X$  and  $X$  is normal, then  $\beta A$  may, and will, be identified with  $\bar{A}$  and  $A^*$  may, and will, be identified with  $\bar{A} \cap X^*$ .

Each map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  extends to a map  $\beta f: \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$ . If  $f$  is a surjection then  $\beta f^{-1} X^* = Y^*$ , or, equivalently,  $f^{-1} Y = \beta f^{-1} Y$ , if and only if  $f$  is perfect ( $\equiv$  closed + compact fibers), [7, 1.5].

Also, if  $X$  is normal and  $A$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $(\beta f) \upharpoonright \bar{A} = \beta(f \upharpoonright A)$ .

*Fact 1.1.* *Let  $f: X \rightarrow \omega$  be a perfect surjection. Then for all*

$A \subseteq \omega$

$$(f^{-}A)^{-} = [\beta f^{-}A]^{-} = \beta f^{-}A .$$

Just observe that  $(f^{-}A)^{-} \cup (f^{-}(\omega - A))^{-} = \beta X$ , that  $(f^{-}A)^{-} \subseteq \beta f^{-}\bar{A}$  and  $f^{-}(\omega - A)^{-} \subseteq \beta f^{-}(\omega - A)^{-}$ , and that  $\beta f^{-}\bar{A}$  and  $\beta f^{-}(\omega - A)^{-}$  are disjoint.  $\square$

2. Construction of many subcontinua of  $H^*$ . For  $n \in \omega$  let  $C_n$  be the circle of radius  $1/3$  in the upper half plane which touches  $H$  in  $n$ , i.e.,

$$C_n = \{z \in H : |z - (n + i/3)| = 1/3\} .$$

Clearly  $Y = \bigcup_n C_n$  is a closed subspace of  $H$ , and  $f = \bigcup_n C_n \times \{n\}$  is a well-defined perfect map from  $Y$  onto  $\omega$ . For  $p \in \omega$  define

$$C_p = \beta f^{-}\{p\} .$$

[This does not conflict with our definition of  $C_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ), for  $f^{-}\{n\} = \beta f^{-}\{n\}$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) since  $f$  is perfect.] Also, for  $p \in \omega^*$  define

$$X_p = C_p \cup H^* .$$

We first show that  $C_p$  touches  $H^*$  in  $p$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Fact 2.1. } C_p \cap H^* = \{p\}, \quad (p \in \omega^*).$$

Clearly  $p \in C_p \cap H^*$  since  $p = f(p) \in C_p$  and  $p \in \omega^* \subseteq H^*$ . Next, for  $q \in \beta\omega - \{p\}$  consider  $P \subseteq \omega$  such that  $\bar{P}$  contains  $p$  but not  $q$ . Then  $[\beta f^{-}P]^{-} = \beta f^{-}\bar{P}$  by Fact 1.1, hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_p \cap H^* &\subseteq [\beta f^{-}P]^{-} \cap H^* = (f^{-}P)^{-} \cap \bar{H} \cap H^* \\ &= ((f^{-}P) \cap H)^{-} \cap H^* = \bar{P} \cap H^* . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $C_p \cap H^* \subseteq \{p\}$ .  $\square$

The following will be proved in §§ 3 and 4.

*Fact 2.2.*  $C_p$  is a continuum without cut points, ( $p \in \omega^*$ ).

*Fact 2.3.*  $H^*$  has no cut points.

[We know already that  $H^*$  is a continuum.]

Fact 2.3 also follows from the theorem of Bellamy, [1], and Woods, [10], that  $H^*$  is an indecomposable continuum, but we think it is of interest to supply a more direct proof.

**COROLLARY 2.3.**  $X_p$  is a continuum which has  $p$  as unique cut point, ( $p \in \omega^*$ ).

Fix  $p \in \omega^*$ . It suffices to show that  $p$  is indeed a cut point. To this end we must show that  $|H^*| \neq 1 \neq |C_p|$ . Now  $|H^*| \neq 1$  since  $H^* \supseteq \omega^*$ .

It remains to show that  $C_p - \bar{H} \neq \emptyset$ . Define  $g: \omega \rightarrow Y$  by  $g = \{\langle n, n + 2i/3 \rangle : n \in \omega\}$ . Then  $f \circ g = \text{id}_\omega$ , hence  $\beta f \circ \beta g = \text{id}_{\beta\omega}$ , hence  $\beta g(p) \in \beta g^{-1}\{p\} = C_p$ . But  $\text{range}(g)$  is a closed subset of  $\Pi$  which misses  $H$ , hence  $\text{range}(\beta g) = (\text{range}(g))^-$  misses  $\bar{H}$ , hence  $\beta g(p) \in C_p - \bar{H}$ . □

We complete this section with pointing out that each  $X_p$  is 1-dimensional (in the sense of  $\dim$ ,  $\text{ind}$  and  $\text{Ind}$ ): Since  $X_p$  is a non-degenerate continuum we have  $d(X_p) \geq 1$  for  $d \in \{\dim, \text{ind}, \text{Ind}\}$ . Since  $d(X) \leq \text{Ind } X$  for  $d \in \{\dim, \text{ind}\}$  and normal  $X$  it remains to show that  $\text{Ind } X_p \leq 1$ . While there is no general sum theorem for  $\text{Ind}$  in the class of compact Hausdorff spaces we do have  $\text{Ind } X_p = \max\{\text{Ind } H^*, \text{Ind } C_p\}$  since  $|H^* \cap C_p| = 1$ . But clearly  $\max\{\text{Ind } H^*, \text{Ind } C_p\} \leq 1$  since  $\text{Ind}$  is closed monotone and  $\text{Ind } \beta X = \text{Ind } X$  for normal  $X$ .

**3. Forming  $Y_p$ 's from  $Y_n$ 's.** Throughout this section let  $Y$  be a space which admits a perfect map  $f$  onto  $\omega$ , and for  $p \in \beta\omega$  define

$$Y_p = \beta f^{-1}\{p\}.$$

Note that  $Y_n = f^{-1}\{n\} = \beta f^{-1}\{n\}$ , and that  $Y$  is the topological sum of the  $Y_n$ 's. Hence the  $Y_p$  ( $p \in \omega^*$ ) are constructed from the  $Y_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) the same way we constructed the  $C_p$ 's from the  $C_n$ 's in § 2.

There are many properties  $\mathcal{P}$  such that if each  $Y_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) has  $\mathcal{P}$  then each  $Y_p$  ( $p \in \omega^*$ ) has  $\mathcal{P}$ . Below we see two examples of this phenomenon.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *If each  $Y_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) is connected, then so is each  $Y_p$  ( $p \in \omega^*$ ).*

Fix  $p \in \omega^*$ , and let  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  be nonempty disjoint closed subsets of  $Y_p$ . We will prove that  $F_0 \cup F_1 \neq Y_p$ . Since  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are compact we can find open  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  in  $\beta Y$  such that

$$F_i \subseteq U_i \quad (i \in 2), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{U}_0 \cap \bar{U}_1 = \emptyset.$$

Define

$$V_i = \{n \in \omega : Y_n \subseteq \bar{U}_i\} \quad (i \in 2), \quad P = \omega - (V_0 \cup V_1).$$

We claim that  $p \in \bar{P}$ : For each  $i \in 2$  we have  $F_{1-i} \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $Y_p \not\subseteq \bar{U}_i$ , hence  $Y_p \not\subseteq (\beta f^{-1} V_i)^-$ ; since  $(\beta f^{-1} V_i)^- = \beta f^{-1} \bar{V}_i$ , by Fact 1.1, it follows that  $p \notin \bar{V}_i$ .

Since each  $Y_n$  is connected we can choose  $C \subseteq Y$  of the form  $\{c_n: n \in P\}$  with  $c_n \in Y_n - (\bar{U}_0 \cup U_1)$  ( $n \in P$ ). Now  $\bar{C}$  meets  $Y_p = \beta f^{-1}\{p\}$  since  $\beta f$  is closed, and  $P = \beta f^{-1}C$ , and  $p \in \bar{P}$ . But  $\bar{C}$  misses  $\bar{U}_i = (Y \cap \bar{U}_i)^-$  since  $C$  is closed and misses  $Y \cap \bar{U}_i$ , and since  $Y$  is normal, ( $i \in 2$ ). It follows that  $Y_p - (\bar{U}_0 \cup U_1) \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $F_0 \cup F_1 \neq Y_p$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.2.** With some more work one can prove the more general result that  $\beta\phi$  is monotone for each monotone perfect surjection  $\phi$ .

This shows that each  $C_p$  is a continuum, but does not show yet that no  $C_p$  has a cut point. For that result we need the following definition and propositions.

**DEFINITION 3.3.** A space  $X$  is said to have  $Q$  if it has a dense subset  $D$  such that for every two distinct  $x, y \in D$  there are subcontinua  $K$  and  $L$  of  $Y$  with  $K \cap L = \{x, y\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Each space that has  $Q$  is connected and has no cut points.*  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *If each  $Y_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) has  $Q$ , then so has each  $Y_p$  ( $p \in \omega^*$ ).*

Fix  $p \in \omega^*$ , for each  $n \in \omega$  choose  $D_n \subseteq Y_n$  which witnesses that  $Y_n$  has  $Q$ , and define

$$D = \{\beta d(p): d \in \prod_n D_n\}.$$

[This definition makes sense since each member of  $\prod_n D_n$  is a function  $\omega \rightarrow Y$ .] We show that  $D$  witnesses that  $Y_p$  has  $Q$  in three steps.

*Step 1.* We show that  $D \subseteq Y_p$ : For  $d \in \prod_n D_n$  we have  $f \circ d = \text{id}_\omega$ , hence  $\beta f \circ \beta d = \text{id}_{\beta\omega}$  by continuity, hence  $\beta d(p) \in Y_p = (\beta f)^-\{p\}$ .

*Step 2.* We show that  $D$  is dense: It suffices to prove that  $D \cap \bar{U} \neq \emptyset$  for each open  $U$  in  $\beta Y$  which intersects  $Y_p$ . Given such an  $U$ , since  $\bar{U} = (Y \cap U)^-$  and since  $\beta f$  is continuous, we must have  $p \in [\beta f^{-1}(Y \cap U)]^- = [f^{-1}(Y \cap U)]^-$ . Choose  $d \in \prod_n D_n$  such that  $d(n) \in U$  for  $n \in f^{-1}(Y \cap U)$ . Then  $\beta d(q) \in \bar{U}$  for  $q \in [f^{-1}(Y \cap U)]^-$ , in particular for  $q = p$ .

*Step 3.* For  $x, y \in D$  we find subcontinua  $K, L$  of  $Y_p$  with  $K \cap L = \{x, y\}$ : Consider  $d, e \in \prod_n D_n$  with  $x = \beta d(p)$  and  $y = \beta e(p)$ . For  $n \in \omega$  choose subcontinua  $K_n$  and  $L_n$  of  $Y_n$  with  $K_n \cap L_n = \{d(n), e(n)\}$ . Define

$$K = Y_p \cap (\bigcup_n K_n)^- \quad \text{and} \quad L = Y_p \cap (\bigcup_n L_n)^- .$$

$K$  and  $L$ , which obviously are compact, are connected by an obvious generalization of Proposition 3.1, e.g.,  $K$  is connected since  $K = (\beta k)^-\{p\}$  where  $k = f \upharpoonright \bigcup_n K_n$ . Also,  $K \cap L = A$ , where

$$A = \{\beta c(p) : c \in \prod_n \{d(n), e(n)\}\} ,$$

so it remains to show that  $A \subseteq \{\beta d(p), \beta e(p)\}$  since obviously  $A \supseteq \{\beta d(p), \beta e(p)\}$ . Indeed, if  $c \in \prod_n \{d(n), e(n)\}$  then without loss of generality  $p \in P$  where  $P = \{n \in \omega : c(n) = d(n)\}$ , and then  $\beta c(p) = \beta d(p)$ .  $\square$

4. Proving that  $H^*$  has no cut points. It suffices to prove that if  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are any two nonempty open subsets of  $H^*$  then  $|H^* - (U_0 \cup U_1)| = 2^{\aleph_1}$ . Given such  $U_i$ 's, choose an open  $V_i$  in  $\beta H$  such that

$$\emptyset \neq H^* \cap \bar{V}_i \subseteq U_i \quad (i \in 2) .$$

Then  $H \cap \bar{V}_i$  is noncompact since  $\bar{V}_i = (H \cap \bar{V}_i)^-, (i \in 2)$ . It follows that we can find  $a, b : \omega \rightarrow H$  such that

$$n \leq a(n) < b(n) < a(n + 1) , \quad \text{and} \quad a(n) \in \bar{V}_0 \quad \text{and} \\ b(n) \in \bar{V}_1 , \quad (n \in \omega) .$$

Define  $Y \subseteq H$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$Y = \bigcup_n [a(n), b(n)] , \quad \text{and} \quad f = \bigcup_n [a(n), b(n)] \times \{n\} .$$

Then  $Y$  is closed in  $H$ , hence we may assume  $\beta Y = \bar{Y}$ , and  $Y^* = \bar{Y} \cap H^*$ . As  $f$  is perfect it follows that

$$\beta f^{-1}\{p\} \subseteq H^* \quad \text{for} \quad p \in \omega^* .$$

As  $f \circ a = f \circ b = \text{id}_\omega$  we have  $\{\beta a(p), \beta b(p)\} \subseteq \beta f^{-1}\{p\}$ , ( $p \in \omega^*$ ). But clearly  $\beta a(p) \in \bar{V}_0 \subseteq U_0$  and  $\beta b(p) \in \bar{V}_1 \subseteq U_1$ . As  $\beta f^{-1}\{n\} = f^{-1}\{n\} = [a(n), b(n)]$ , ( $n \in \omega$ ), since  $f$  is perfect, it now follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $\{\beta f^{-1}\{p\} : p \in \omega^*\}$  is a family of  $|\omega^*| = 2^{\aleph_1}$  pairwise disjoint subcontinua of  $H^*$  each of which meets both  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ . As  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are disjoint and open, it follows that  $|H^* - (U_0 \cup U_1)| = 2^{\aleph_1}$ , as required.

We leave generalizations to the reader.

REMARK 3.5. We can use the above to show that there is an infinite connected completely regular space which has no infinite compact subspaces; this answers a question of Bankston (oral communication). Indeed, since  $H^*$  has  $2^c$  closed subsets, and since each infinite closed subset of  $H^*$  has cardinality  $2^c$ , [6, 9.12], we can find disjoint  $X, Y \subseteq H^*$  each of which intersects every infinite closed subset of  $H^*$  by an obvious modification of Bernstein's classical construction of totally imperfect subsets of uncountable separable completely metrizable spaces, [8, §36, I]. Then  $X$  has no infinite compact subsets, and is dense in  $H^*$  since  $H^*$  has no isolated points. So if  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are nonempty disjoint open sets in  $X$ , there are disjoint open  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  in  $H^*$  with  $X \cap V_i = U_i$ , ( $i \in 2$ ), hence  $X - (U_0 \cup U_1) = X \cap (H^* - (V_0 \cup V_1)) \neq \emptyset$  since  $H^* - (V_0 \cup V_1)$  is an infinite closed subset of  $H^*$ . [Bankston now regrets the fact that he has included my example in [1] without giving proper credit (letter of Oct. 1979).]

5. Finding  $2^c$  distinct  $X_p$ 's. Frolík [3] has shown that for each space  $X$  and each  $x \in X$  there is a  $\tau(x, X) \subseteq \omega^*$  such that

(1)  $\tau$  is topological, i.e., if  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism onto, then  $\tau(h(x), Y) = \tau(x, X)$  for  $x \in X$ ,

(2)  $\tau$  is monotone in  $X$ , i.e., if  $x \in X \subseteq Y$  then  $\tau(x, X) \subseteq \tau(x, Y)$ ,

(3) if  $D$  is countably infinite closed discrete subset of a completely regular space  $X$  which is  $C$ -embedded (in particular if  $X$  is normal) (so that  $\bar{D} \cap X^* = D^*$ ) then

(a)  $\tau(x, D^*) = \tau(x, X^*)$  for  $x \in D^*$ , and

(b) there is  $B \subseteq D^*$  with  $|B| = 2^c$  so that  $\tau(x, D^*) \neq \tau(y, D^*)$  for every two distinct  $x, y \in B$ .

[One defines  $\tau$  by

$$\tau(x, X) = \{p \in \omega^* : \text{there is an embedding } e: \beta\omega \rightarrow X \text{ with } e(p) = x\},$$

but we don't need this.]

Applying this with  $D = \omega$  we find  $B \subseteq \omega^*$  with  $|B| = 2^c$  such that  $\tau(p, X_p) \neq \tau(q, X_q)$ , hence such that  $X_p$  and  $X_q$  are nonhomeomorphic, for distinct  $p, q \in B$ , since

$$\tau(p, \omega^*) \subseteq \tau(p, X_p) \subseteq \tau(p, \Pi^*) = \tau(p, \omega^*) \quad \text{for } p \in \omega^* .$$

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Bankston, *The total negation of a topological property*, Illinois J. Math., **23** (1979), 241-252.
2. D.P. Bellamy, *A nonmetric indecomposable continuum*, Duke Math. J., **38** (1971), 15-20.
3. A. Browner, *Continua in the Stone-Ćech remainder of  $R^2$* , Pacific J. Math., **90**

(1980), 45-49.

4. E. K. van Douwen, *Subcontinua and nonhomogeneity of  $\beta\mathbf{R}^+ - \mathbf{R}^+$* , Notices AMS, **24** (1977), A559.

5. Z. Frolík, *Nonhomogeneity of  $\beta P - P$* , Comm. Math. Univ. Carol., **8** (1967), 705-709.

6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.

7. M. Henriksen and J. Isbell, *Some properties of compactifications*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958), 83-105.

8. K. Kuratowski, *Topologie, I*, 4<sup>ième</sup> éd., Warszawa, 1958.

9. A. R. Winslow, *There are  $2^c$  nonhomeomorphic continua in  $\beta\mathbf{R}^n - \mathbf{R}^n$* , Pacific J. Math., **84** (1979), 233-239.

10. R. G. Woods, *Certain properties of  $\beta X - X$  for  $\sigma$ -compact  $X$* , Thesis, 1968.

Received September 17, 1980. Research supported by NSF Grant MCS 78-09484.

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
ATHENS, OH 45701

