## THE ORDINARY QUATERNIONS OVER A PYTHAGOREAN FIELD<sup>1</sup>

## **BURTON FEIN AND MURRAY SCHACHER**

ABSTRACT. Let L be a proper finite Galois extension of a field K and let D be a division algebra with center K. If every subfield of D properly containing K contains a K-isomorphic copy of L, it is shown that K must be Pythagorean,  $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and D is the ordinary quaternions over K. If one assumes only that every maximal subfield of D contains a K isomorphic copy of L, then, under the assumption that [D:K] is finite, it is shown that K is Pythagorean,  $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and D contains the ordinary quaternions over K

Let K be a field and L a finite-dimensional Galois extension of K. Suppose D is a division algebra with center K having the property that every maximal subfield of D contains a K-isomorphic copy of L. We ask what can be concluded about D, K, and L. In [1] Herstein considered the case where L is quadratic over K; he concluded then that K is Pythagorean,  $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and  $D \supset Q_K$ , the ordinary quaternion algebra over K. A Pythagorean field is a field which is formally real in which every sum of squares is a square. The ordinary quaternion algebra  $Q_K$  is the K algebra K + Ki + Kj + Kk subject to the relations:  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ , ij = -ji = k, jk = -kj = i, ki = -ik = j.

In this paper we prove the following two theorems, both of which should be viewed as generalizations of [1].

THEOREM 1. Let L be a proper finite Galois extension of K and let D be a division algebra with center K,  $Q \neq K$ . Suppose that every subfield of D properly containing K contains a K-isomorphic copy of L. Then K is Pythagorean,  $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and D is the ordinary quaternion algebra  $Q_K$ .

THEOREM 2. Let L be a proper finite Galois extension of K and let D be a finite-dimensional division algebra with center K,  $D \neq K$ . Suppose that every maximal subfield of D contains a K-isomorphic copy of L. Then K is Pythagorean,  $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ , and D contains the ordinary quaternions over K.

Before proving these results we need a lemma which is presumably well known, but for which we have not been able to find a convenient reference.

Copyright € 1977, American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors October 13, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A40.

Key words and phrases. Division algebra, Pythagorean field.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The work of the first author was supported in part by NSF Grant MPS71-02969 while the work of the second author was supported by NSF Grant MPS71-2884. During much of this work the second author was a research fellow at the University of Pisa. He would like to thank the Italian National Research Council (CNR) for their support.

LEMMA 1. Let K be a field. Then K is Pythagorean if and only if  $Q_K$  is a division algebra and every maximal subfield of  $Q_K$  is K-isomorphic to  $K(\sqrt{-1})$ .

Suppose K is Pythagorean. Then  $Q_K$  is a division algebra since -1 is not a sum of two squares in K. Let  $K(\sqrt{t})$  be a maximal subfield of  $Q_K$ . Then  $K(\sqrt{t})$  splits  $Q_K$  and so -1 is a sum of two squares in  $K(\sqrt{t})$ . Thus  $-1 = (a + b\sqrt{t})^2$  where a, b, c, d,  $t \in K$ ,  $\sqrt{t} \notin K$ . Expanding and using the Pythagorean property of K we have  $-1 = u^2 + u^2t$ , u,  $v \in K$ . Thus  $t = -w^2$ ,  $w \in K$ , so  $K(\sqrt{t}) = K(\sqrt{-1})$  as desired. Conversely, assume  $Q_K$  is a division algebra having, up to isomorphism, a unique maximal subfield. Let  $t \in K$ . Then since

$$-1 = -1 - t^2 + t^2 = \left(\sqrt{-(1+t^2)}\right)^2 + t^2,$$

 $K(\sqrt{-(1+t^2)})$  splits  $Q_K$ . Thus  $K(\sqrt{-(1+t^2)})$  is a maximal subfield of  $Q_K$  and so  $K(\sqrt{-(1+t^2)}) = K(\sqrt{-1})$ . This implies that  $1+t^2$  is a square in K for all  $t \in K$ . Thus if u, v are nonzero elements of K then  $u^2 + v^2 = u^2(1+v^2/u^2)$  is a square in K. It follows that K must be Pythagorean since  $Q_K$  is a division algebra.

We now turn to the theorems.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since every subfield of D containing K contains a K-isomorphic copy of L, we must have [L:K]=p, a prime. Let  $\alpha\in D$  such that  $K(\alpha)$  is K-isomorphic to L and let  $\sigma$  generate the Galois group of  $K(\alpha)$  over K. By the Skolem-Noether theorem [2, Theorem 4.3.1, p. 99], there is a  $\delta\in D$  such that  $\delta^{-1}\alpha\delta=\sigma(\alpha)$ . Since  $L\neq K$ ,  $\sigma(\alpha)\neq\alpha$ . We write  $\mathrm{Irr}(\alpha,K)$  for the irreducible polynomial of  $\alpha$  over K. Since  $[K(\alpha):K]=p$ ,  $\delta^p$  commutes with  $\alpha$ . If  $\delta^p\not\in K$ , then  $K(\delta^p)$  contains a K-isomorphic copy of L and so  $\mathrm{Irr}(\alpha,K)$  splits into linear factors in  $K(\delta^p)$ . Since  $K(\alpha,\delta^p)$  is a field and  $\alpha$  is a root of  $\mathrm{Irr}(\alpha,K)$  in  $K(\alpha,\delta^p)$  we must have  $\alpha\in K(\delta^p)$ . But  $K(\delta^p)\subset K(\delta)$  so  $\alpha\in K(\delta)$  and  $\delta^{-1}\alpha\delta=\alpha$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\delta^p\in K$ . Let  $D_0=\{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}a_i\delta^i|a_i\in K(\alpha)\}$ . Then  $D_0\subset D$  and  $D_0$  is K-isomorphic to the cyclic algebra  $(K(\alpha)/K,\sigma,\delta^p)$ .

Let  $C_D(D_0)$  denote the centralizer in D of  $D_0$ . By [2, Theorem 4.4.2, p. 112],  $D \cong D_0 \otimes_K C_D(D_0)$ . If  $C_D(D_0) \neq K$ , then  $C_D(D_0)$  is a nontrivial division ring. Let E be a maximal subfield of  $C_D(D_0)$ . Then E contains a K-isomorphic copy of E and so E and so

 $n \ge 1$ . If p is odd, then  $\zeta^{p(p-1)/2} = 1$  and so  $(\alpha \delta^{-1})^p = \alpha^p \delta^{-p} = 1$ . Since K contains all pth roots of unity,  $\alpha \delta^{-1} \in K$  and so  $\alpha \delta = \delta \alpha$ . This is a contradiction and so p = 2. In this case we have  $(\alpha \delta^{-1})^2 = -\alpha^2 \delta^{-2} = -1$ . Since  $\alpha \delta^{-1} \not\in K$ ,  $K(\sqrt{-1})$  is a subfield of D and so  $L \cong K(\sqrt{-1})$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\alpha^2 = -1$  and so  $\delta^2 = -1$ . Since  $(\alpha \delta^{-1})^2 = -1$ ,  $\alpha \delta^{-1} = -\delta^{-1} \alpha$  and so D is the ordinary quaternions over K. Finally, since all maximal subfields of D are K-isomorphic to  $L \cong K(\sqrt{-1})$ , K is Pythagorean by the lemma. This proves Theorem 1.

**PROOF** OF THEOREM 2. If every subfield of D properly containing Kcontains a K-isomorphic copy of L, we are finished by Theorem 1. Assume that E is a subfield of D, E, properly containing K, and E is maximal with respect to not containing a K-isomorphic copy of L. E exists because [D:K]is finite. Then  $C_D(E)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and so E is Pythagorean,  $EL = E(\sqrt{-1})$ , and  $C_D(E)$  is the ordinary quaternions over  $E, C_D(E) = Q_E$ . Since  $Q_E \cong Q_O \otimes_O E, Q_E \supset Q_O \otimes_O K = Q_k$  and so  $D \supset$  $Q_K$ . K is formally real since E is. Suppose  $L \ncong K(\sqrt[N]{-1})$ . Then take F a subfield of  $D, F \supset K(\sqrt{-1}), F$  maximal with respect to not containing a K-isomorphic copy of L. Then  $C_D(F)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 so  $C_D(F) = Q_F$ . But  $\sqrt{-1} \in F$  so  $Q_F$  has zero divisors. Thus  $C_D(F)$  has zero divisors, a contradiction. It follows that  $L \cong K(\sqrt{-1})$ . Finally, we must show that K is Pythagorean. In view of the results already obtained and the lemma, we need only show that every maximal subfield of  $Q_K$  is K-isomorphic to  $K(\sqrt{-1})$ . Let V be a maximal subfield of  $Q_K$ . If  $V \ncong K(\sqrt{-1})$  we may take W a subfield of D,  $W \supset V$ , W maximal with respect to  $\sqrt{-1} \not\in W$ . Since  $L \cong K(\sqrt{-1})$   $C_D(W)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 so  $C_D(W) = Q_W$ . But  $W \supset V$  and V splits  $Q_K$  so  $Q_W$  has zero divisors. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3. Let D be a division algebra finite dimensional over its center K. If all maximal subfields of D are Galois over K and are K-isomorphic, then K is Pythagorean and D is the ordinary quaternions over K.

There are some natural questions open to generalization concerning the results above. Among these the most tantalizing seem to be:

- (1) Can the assumption of normality of L in Theorems 1 and 2 be eliminated?
- (2) Can the assumption of finite-dimensionality be eliminated from Theorem 2?

## REFERENCES

- 1. I. N. Herstein, On a theorem of Albert, Scripta Math. 29 (1972), 391-394.
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_, Noncommutative rings, Carus Math. Monographs, vol. 15, Math. Assoc. Amer., distributed by Wiley, New York, 1968. MR 37 #2790.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS, OREGON 97331

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024