

The orphan receptor *Rev-erba* gene is a target of the circadian clock pacemaker

G rard Triqueneaux*, Sandrine Thenot*, Tomoko Kakizawa, Marina P Antoch¹, Rachid Safi, Joseph S Takahashi¹, Franck Delaunay² and Vincent Laudet

Laboratoire de Biologie Mol culaire et Cellulaire, CNRS UMR 5161, Ecole Normale Sup rieur de Lyon, 46 all e d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex, France

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Northwestern University, Department of Neurobiology and Physiology, 2205 Tech Drive, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

²Laboratoire de Physiologie des Membranes Cellulaires, CNRS UMR 6078, Universit  de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Chemin du Lazaret, 06 238 Villefranche-sur-mer, France

(Requests for offprints should be addressed to V Laudet; Email: Vincent.Laudet@ens-lyon.fr)

*(G Triqueneaux and S Thenot contributed equally to this work)

Abstract

Rev-erba is a ubiquitously expressed orphan nuclear receptor which functions as a constitutive transcriptional repressor and is expressed in vertebrates according to a robust circadian rhythm. We report here that two *Rev-erba* mRNA isoforms, namely *Rev-erba1* and *Rev-erba2*, are generated through alternative promoter usage and that both show a circadian expression pattern in an *in vitro* system using serum-shocked fibroblasts. Both promoter regions P1 (*Rev-erba1*) and P2 (*Rev-erba2*) contain several E-box DNA sequences which function as response elements for the core circadian-clock components: CLOCK and BMAL1. The CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer stimulates the activity of both P1 and P2 promoters in transient transfection assay by 3–6-fold. This activation was inhibited by the overexpression of CRY1, a component of the negative limb of the circadian transcriptional loop. Critical E-box elements were mapped within both promoters. This regulation is conserved in vertebrates since we found that the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer also regulates the zebrafish *Rev-erba* gene. In line with these data *Rev-erba* circadian expression was strongly impaired in the livers of *Clock* mutant mice and in the pineal glands of zebrafish embryos treated with *Clock* and *Bmal1* antisense oligonucleotides. Together these data demonstrate that CLOCK is a critical regulator of *Rev-erba* circadian gene expression in evolutionarily distant vertebrates and suggest a role for *Rev-erba* in the circadian clock output.

Journal of Molecular Endocrinology (2004) **33**, 585–608

Introduction

Circadian rhythms in physiology and behavior are observed throughout the animal and plant kingdoms as well as in fungi and bacteria. They are believed to enable organisms to anticipate and adapt to rhythmic changes in their environment (Lowrey & Takahashi 2000, Young & Kay 2001, Roenneberg & Merrow 2003). These daily oscillations persist under constant conditions; that is, in the absence of external time cues. In mammals, circadian rhythms influence many if not most aspects of physiology and behavior, including sleep/wake cycles, energy metabolism, heartbeat, blood pressure and body temperature.

Recently, genetic and biochemical approaches have identified genes that contribute to the

generation of circadian rhythms in mammals as well as in *Drosophila*, zebrafish, *Arabidopsis* and *Neurospora* (Young & Kay 2001). The current model states that, in vertebrates, a transcriptional-translational feedback loop is established by the isochronal action of transcriptional regulators such as Period 1–3 (PER 1–3) and Cryptochrome 1–2 (CRY 1–2). These proteins enter the nucleus at a specific time of the day to block the interaction between the CLOCK–BMAL1 and the MOP4–BMAL1 heterodimers and their cognate E-box element, thereby interfering with the transcription of clock-regulated genes possibly by modifying histone acetylation (Chong *et al.* 2000, Lowrey & Takahashi 2000, Etchegaray *et al.* 2003). Several recent reports revealed the importance of the regulation of the nuclear entry of PER and CRY

proteins. Indeed, it has been shown that phosphorylation by casein kinase I δ and I ϵ plays an important role in regulating the subcellular location and stability of these proteins (Lowrey & Takahashi 2000, Shearman *et al.* 2000, Lee *et al.* 2001, Vielhaber *et al.* 2001, Akashi *et al.* 2002, Yagita *et al.* 2002).

In mammals, the 'master clock' controlling circadian rhythms resides in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the hypothalamus (reviewed in Ripperger and Schibler 2001). To keep pace with the solar day/night cycle, the master clock can be entrained by light received through photoreceptors in the retina (Foster 1998, Abe *et al.* 1999). Recent evidence, however, suggests that other endogenous oscillators independent of the central pacemaker in the SCN exist and are widespread in peripheral tissues in mammals, *Drosophila* and zebrafish (Tosini and Menaker 1996, Plautz *et al.* 1997, Whitmore *et al.* 1998, Yamakazi *et al.* 2000; reviewed by Brown and Schibler 1999). The ultimate proof of the existence of peripheral oscillators has been the demonstration that circadian rhythms of clock gene expression can be induced by a serum shock administered to serum-starved immortalized rat fibroblasts in culture (Balsalobre *et al.* 1998, Yagita *et al.* 2001). The existence of these peripheral clocks can be extended to embryos since early zebrafish embryos contain a circadian clock that can be reset by light (Delaunay *et al.* 2000). All these data suggest that most cells, if not all, may have a circadian clock. It is believed that the SCN clock entrains the phase of peripheral clocks via chemical cues, such as rhythmically secreted hormones. Furthermore, peripheral clocks can be regulated independently from the master clock since in rodents restricted feeding during the day can completely reverse the phase of circadian oscillators in the liver but has apparently no effect on the central oscillator in the SCN (Damiola *et al.* 2000, Stokkan *et al.* 2001).

Although the core molecular pacemaker generating circadian rhythm has been defined both in the SCN and peripheral organs, the molecular outputs that ultimately regulate circadian control of cellular physiology, organ function and behavior are poorly understood (Jin *et al.* 1999). Specifically, the link between circadian transcriptional output and physiology under circadian control is missing. To decipher how the circadian clock is able to control output pathways it is important to identify

clock-controlled genes, i.e. genes that are under the direct transcriptional control of the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer.

Rev-erb α (NR1D1; Nuclear Receptors Nomenclature Committee 1999), a gene that encodes an orphan member of the nuclear receptor superfamily (Laudet & Gronnemyer 2002) is a potential candidate as a clock-controlled gene. Indeed, we and others have shown that its expression exhibits a robust circadian rhythm in shocked fibroblasts or human hepatic cells, in rodent liver and also in zebrafish (Balsalobre *et al.* 1998, Delaunay *et al.* 2000, Torra *et al.* 2000, Grundschober *et al.* 2001). *Rev-erb α* appears particularly interesting as a putative clock-controlled gene because it was already known that its expression is under tight transcriptional control by a number of factors. The characterization of its promoter in humans lead to the demonstration that the expression of this gene is down-regulated by its own product, which behaves as a potent transcriptional repressor (Harding & Lazar 1995, Adelmant *et al.* 1996). In addition, human *Rev-erb α* promoter activity is inhibited by glucocorticoids (Torra *et al.* 2000) that are known to play an important role in the resetting of circadian time in peripheral tissues (Balsalobre *et al.* 2000). Finally, *Rev-erb α* expression is regulated by fibrates, hypolipidemic drugs that are ligands of the nuclear hormone receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α ; Fruchart *et al.* 1999). Interestingly, PPAR α itself is expressed according to circadian rhythm (Lemberger *et al.* 1996), suggesting that the *Rev-erb α* gene can integrate several levels of regulation, both at the circadian and physiological levels. The link between *Rev-erb α* and circadian rhythm has been reinforced recently by the observation that *Rev-erb α* -deficient mice exhibit a circadian phenotype and that *Rev-erb α* controls the cyclic expression of *Bmal1* (Preitner *et al.* 2002).

In this paper we report that the two promoters governing the expression of the *Rev-erb α* gene in mammals contain E-box DNA elements and generate circadian transcripts. We show that both promoters are activated by CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimers in transient transfections and that CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimers bind to E-box sequences and activate transcription through these E-boxes. *Rev-erb α* expression is strongly reduced in the livers of *Clock* mutant mice suggesting that the activation observed in transient transfections

also occurs *in vivo*. In addition, we show that this regulation is evolutionarily conserved since CLOCK–BMAL1 also regulates the expression of the zebrafish *Rev-erba* gene. Taken together, these data demonstrate clearly that the *Rev-erba* gene is a clock-controlled gene.

Materials and methods

Plasmid constructs and vectors

All genomic *Rev-erba* regions were subcloned in pGL2 luciferase reporter plasmids after PCR with oligonucleotides containing enzymatic restriction sites. The oligonucleotides were as follows. P1, including the E1A exon as a 1350 bp fragment: 1–24 MluI, 5'-ATTAACGCGTCTGCAGGGAG CAGACCCCCCTCTA-3', top strand; 1331–1350 XhoI, 5'-ATTACTCGAGCTGTGTTGTTGTT GGAGTCTAG-3', bottom strand. P2, including exon E1B as a 1852 bp fragment: 1353–1375 KpnI, 5'-ATTAGGTACCGTACTGAGATTCT TATCTTTGCT-3', top strand; 3920–3942 XhoI, 5'-ATTACTCGAGCTAGGAAGAGAGCACGA GGGGAG-3', bottom strand. P1+P2 as a 3142 bp fragment: 1–24 MluI and 3920–3942 XhoI.

Deletion constructs in P1 were generated by PCR using the following oligonucleotides. D400-P1-Luc: 458–478 KpnI, 5'-ATTAAGGTACCCC AGGAATTCACATGCCCTTG-3', top strand, and the 1331–1350 XhoI oligonucleotide for the bottom strand. Mutations of a1 and a2 sites in the P1 promoter were produced by PCR using the following oligonucleotides. a1*D400-P1-Luc: a1*458–478 KpnI, 5'-ATTAAGGTACCCCAGG AATTCACGGGCCCTTG-3', top strand, and the 1331–1350 XhoI oligonucleotide for the bottom strand. a2*-P1-Luc: 1–24 MluI as top strand with a2*709–732 KpnI, 5'-ATTAGGTACCATTGAA TTCCAGGGAGCG-3', bottom strand. Subsequently, PCR products were ligated into P1 at the KpnI site. Each construct was sequenced on both strands.

Three deletion constructs of the P2 promoter were generated: DistalP2-Luc, D800-P2-Luc and ProximalP2-Luc. The DistalP2-Luc region was obtained by PCR with the 1353–1375 KpnI oligonucleotide, top strand, and the 2215–2190 HindIII oligonucleotide, bottom strand, 5'-ATT AAAGCTTTGCCCTCGCACGTGGCACC-3'.

The D800-P2-Luc region was obtained by PCR amplification using a 2196–3218 KpnI, 5'-ATTA AGGTACCGATCCTCGTTGGGGTGGCCACG T-3' oligonucleotide, top strand, and a 3920–3942 XhoI oligonucleotide as bottom strand. The resulting PCR fragments were cloned in pGL2 vector and sequenced. The proximal P2 region was generated by enzymatic digestion of the P2-Luc vector with SmaI and PvuII before ligation.

The positive control vector (Per1E)₃-Luc was generated by ligation in triplicate of the following oligonucleotide: 5'-AGATCCAAGTCCACGTG CAGGGC-3' harboring the mPer1 E-box sequence, upstream of a synthetic TATA-box oligonucleotide (5'-AGATCTGCATCGGGTATA TAATAA-3'). The whole fragment was cloned as a XhoI–HindIII fragment in the pGL2 vector. An E-box-mutated version of this oligonucleotide (5'-AGATCCAAGTCCAATTGCAGGGC-3') was used to generate the negative control vector (Per1Em)₃-Luc.

Expression vectors were designed as follows: the coding region of mouse *Cry1* was obtained by reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR using the following oligonucleotides: top strand, 5'-GATCAAGCTT ACCATGGACTACAAGGACGAC-3'; bottom strand, 5'-CCAGCCTCCTTGCCATCTTC AT-3'. The PCR product was cloned in the pCDNA3 vector and sequenced.

Expression vectors for the mouse *Clock* was provided by J S T and the human *BMAL1* generously provided by M Ikeda (Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan). For all vectors, PCR amplifications of cDNA were performed to replace the natural ATG by a consensus Kozak sequence to ensure translation with high yield. For this, oligonucleotides containing an efficient Kozak sequence (ACC) upstream of the ATG were used as 5' primers and a 20 bp antisense oligonucleotide overlapping the stop codon as the 3' primer. Oligonucleotides are available from G T upon request. The β-gal expression vector was constructed from the pCMV-SPORT-βgal vector (Life Technologies, Cergy Pontoise, France) by cloning an ApaI–KpnI fragment containing the entire open reading frame into the pCDNA3 vector.

Zebrafish *Rev-erba* promoter fragments were obtained by PCR amplification on a genomic DNA clone (isolated from a *Danio rerio* DNA library) and subcloned into a pGL3 vector. The oligonucleotides were as follows. For the 3.2 kb promoter

long fragment A: top strand, 5'-GAGAGCTCGC GGCCGCGAGCTC-3'; bottom strand, 5'-TCG AAGCTTCGCACCAAATACGTGCGC-3'. The resulting PCR product was cut by SalI and HindIII restriction enzymes and subcloned into XhoI-HindIII-digested pGL3 vector. For the 1.4 kb promoter long fragment B and the 0.4 kb promoter short fragment C: top strand (B fragment), 5'-GATGAGCTCCGAGGTTAAATATCACAC-3'; top strand (C fragment), 5'-GATGAGCTCC TCTTTGACTTCGACTAC-3'; bottom strand (B and C fragments), 5'-TCGGGATCCCGCACC AAATACGTGCGC-3'. The resulting PCR products were digested by SacI and BamHI restriction enzymes and subcloned into SacI-BglII-digested pGL3 vector.

Mutated sites of E-boxes were generated using two successive PCRs with the following oligonucleotides. Top strand E-box (ZFg1), 5'-TCAGGTG GACAATTGCGCGGGGT-3'; bottom strand E-box(ZFg1), 5'-ACCCCGCGCAATTGTCCA CCTGA-3'; top strand E-box(Zfa), 5'-AGTCGGG TCCATAGGACACATTT-3'; bottom strand E-box(Zfa), 5'-AAATGTGTCCTATGGACCCG ACT-3'.

Accession numbers for Rev-erba genomic sequences are: AY336123, AY336124, AY336125 and AY336126 for human, mouse, rat and zebrafish species respectively.

Transient transfections and reporter assays in mammalian cells

Cos1, Ros17.2/8, 3Y1 and Rat-1 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Invitrogen) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin. Typically, 40 ng of the reporter vectors were cotransfected with 100 ng of each expression vector in 12-well plates. When necessary, the final DNA concentration was adjusted to 240 ng with the pCDNA3-βgal vector. Transfection was achieved using 2.5×10^4 cells in 0.5% fetal calf serum with 2 μl Exgen 500 transfection reagent (Euromedex, Souffelweyersheim, France) mixed with plasmids in 100 μl DMEM, according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then, 48 h after transfection, cells were lysed in 200 μl 1 × harvest buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1% Triton X-100) on ice. Cell lysates were vortexed briefly, and cellular debris was pelleted by

centrifugation. Then 50 μl lysate were mixed with 100 μl luciferase-assay cocktail containing 1 mM luciferin. Luciferase activity was measured in a Monolight 2010 luminometer (Analytical Luminescence Laboratory, Sparks, MD, USA).

To study CRY1 inhibition, 100 ng Clock and 100 ng Bmal1 expression vectors were cotransfected with pCDNA3-Cry1 vector and, when necessary, the pCDNA3-βgal vector, to adjust the DNA total amount.

Northern blots

Total RNA was extracted from the livers of Clock mutant or wild-type mice housed in constant darkness and killed at various circadian times. Ten μg were loaded and migrated on a 1% agarose gel. Hybridization was performed overnight in 50% formamide, 5 × SSPE, 1 × Denhardt's solution, 0.1% SDS and 0.1 mg/ml denatured salmon-sperm DNA at 63 °C. The Rev-erba probe used was the 230 bp fragment used in the RNase protection assay. Washing was carried out in 0.5 × SSPE/0.1% SDS at 65 °C for 30 min.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Semi-quantitative PCR analyses were performed on RNA extracted from serum-shocked fibroblasts. RNAs were extracted using the Sigma GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Kit with 250 μl lysis buffer per well for cells cultivated in 12-well plates according to the manufacturer's protocol. One-tenth of the extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed with avian myeloblastosis virus RT (Promega) at 42 °C for 1 h. 1–2 μl of the reverse-transcribed RNA was used for the PCR reaction with 200 ng primers, 2.5 mM Mg²⁺ and 1.5 U Taq Gold polymerase with appropriate buffer (Perkin-Elmer) in a final volume of 30 μl. For each gene analyzed, three different numbers of cycles were tested to reach linear phase in PCR reactions (see number of cycles on Fig. 2). PCR cycles were as follows: 94 °C for 5 min, 94 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 30 s and a final extension of 72 °C for 5 min. The oligonucleotides used for the PCR were as follows. Rev-erba: E1A 5'-GGCTTCACTCGTCTCTCT CAGCC-3', top strand; E1B 5'-TGAGTCTTAT CTCCATATCACA-3', top strand; E2 5'-GCAC AGTGCCAAATGAGCGGGC-3', bottom strand.

Per1: 5'-ATGACTGGGGCAGAGGTTGAGCC TG-3', top strand; 5'-TCATGCTTAGATCGTG AAATAGGG-3', bottom strand. Per3: 5'-ATGA CATAACAGGTGCCGGAGAGG-3', top strand; 5'-CTTCTGCAGTGTCCACCAACTGAAC-3', bottom strand. Cry1: 5'-CAGCAAAATGGAGC CCCTGG-3', top strand; 5'-CACACCGCAGAG GACAAGCC-3', bottom strand. Clock: 5'-GCG AGAACTTGGCATTGAAGAG-3', top strand; 5'-TTTGCAGCTTGAGACATCGCTGGC-3', bottom strand. 28S: 5'-GTGAAAGCGGGGCCT CACGATCC-3', top strand; 5'-GTACTGAGCA GGATTACCATGGC-3', bottom strand.

For the semi-quantification, half of the PCR products were loaded on 1% agarose gel. The gel was then incubated for 20 min in denaturation buffer (0.5 M NaOH/1.5 M NaCl) and transferred on to a nylon membrane (Hybond N; Amersham) in the same buffer for 3 h. Denatured DNA was fixed by ultraviolet treatment (254 nm; 0.36 J/cm²) with fluolink (Vilbert-Lourmat, Marne-La-Vallée, France). Hybridizations with labeled oligonucleotides specific to each PCR fragment were performed overnight at 37 °C in 4 × SSPE, 1 × Denhardt's solution, 0.1% SDS and 0.1 mg/ml denatured salmon-sperm DNA buffer. Each hybridization was performed with 500 ng of specific oligonucleotide labeled at the 5' end using polynucleotide kinase in the presence of [γ -³²P]dATP. Washing was carried out in 0.5 × SSPE/0.1% SDS at 30 or 37 °C for 20 min depending on the length of the oligonucleotide used. The oligonucleotides used as probes were all designed within the PCR fragment and were as follows. Rev-erb α in E2, 5'-CACCTACATTGGCTCCAGCGGATCCT CCC-3'; Per1, 5'-TGCTGAAGTAGAGCCTGAA GTTC-3'; Per3, 5'-ATGACATAACAGGTGCC GGAGAGG-3'; Cry1, 5'-GACGTGATAGGGAA GTGCAC-3'; Clock, 5'-CAGTTTTTCAGCTCA GTTAGGAGCC-3'; 28S, 5'-GGGATAACTGG CTTGTGGCGGCCAAGCG-3'.

Serum-shock treatment

Circadian induction of 3Y1 or Rat-1 fibroblasts was performed on 7-day-confluent cells, maintained in starvation conditions in 12-well plates before being shocked. At T0, 50% horse serum was added to the cells for 2 h. The cells were then grown in DMEM without serum for 2 days and harvested at various circadian times.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)

CLOCK and BMAL1 proteins were synthesized *in vitro* using the TNT T7 Coupled Reticulocyte Lysate System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The two proteins were synthesized separately and mixed during the EMSA.

In other cases, either crude nuclear extracts of mouse fibroblast STO cells or nuclear extracts of STO cells transfected with Clock and Bmal1 expression vectors were used. All nuclear extracts were prepared using kit from Active Motif Europe (Rixensart, Belgium) according to the supplier's protocol.

EMSAs were performed according to Vanacker *et al.* (1999) with the following modifications: 2 μ l aliquots of each specific TNT reaction mixture were mixed with 20 fmol of acrylamide-purified, double-stranded DNA labeled probe in the presence or absence of the competitor and incubated for 30 min at 30 °C in incubation buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 5 mM magnesium sulfate, 1 μ g poly(dIdC).poly(dIdC) and 1 mM dithiothreitol). The mixture was then loaded on to a 5% polyacrylamide gel.

The oligonucleotides used for EMSA were as follows. mPer1 E-box: 5'-GATCCAGCACCCAA GTCCACGTGCAGGGATGTGTGA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTCACACATCCCTGCACGTG GACTTGGGTGCTG-3', bottom strand. g1: 5'-GATCCCAGTTCTGCAATCACGTGAAGCTC TCACGTA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTACGTG AGAGCTTCACGTGATTGCAGAACTGG-3', bottom strand. g2: 5'-GATCCCAGAGCCGGGC CCACGTGCTGCATTTGTTTA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTAAACAAATGCAGCACGTGGGCC CGGCTCTGG-3', bottom strand. g3: 5'-GATC CTCGTTGGGGTGCCACGTGCGAGGGGCA CACA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTGTGTGCC CTCGCACGTGGCACCCCAACGAG-3', bottom strand. g4: 5'-GATCCGTGCGAGGGGCAC ACGTGGAGCGGGGACGTA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTACGTCCCCGCTCCACGTGTGCC CTCGCACG-3', bottom strand. g5: 5'-GATC CTGTCAGCTCCCACACGTGTCTGGGGATC CTA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTAGGATCCCC AGACACGTGTGGGAGCTGACAG-3', bottom strand. g3+4: 5'-GATCCTCGTTGGGGTGCCA CGTGCAGGGGCACACGTGGAGCGGGGA CGTGA-3', top strand; 5'-GTACTACGTCCC

CGCTCCACGTGTGCCCTCGCACGTGGC
 ACCCCAACGAG-3', bottom strand. a1: 5'-GA
 TCCTCCCAGGAATTCACATGCCCTTGCC
 ATACA-3', top strand; 5'-GATCTGTATGGCAA
 GGGCATGTGAATTCCTGGGGAG-3', bottom
 strand. a2: 5'-GATCCGCTCCCTGGAATCAC
 ATGGTACCTGCTCCAA-3', top strand; 5'-GA
 TCTTGGAGCAGGTACCATGTGATTCCAGG
 GAGCG-3', bottom strand. a3: 5'-GATCCCCG
 GGAAGGGCTCACATGGCTGCAGAGCCGA
 -3', top strand; 5'-GATCTCGGCTCTGCAGCC
 ATGTGAGCCCTTCCCGGG-3', bottom strand.

Mutated versions of the E-box elements were obtained by substitution of two bases inside the core sequence (CACGTG to CAATTG, or CACATG to CCATAG) in all the relevant oligonucleotides. a2 g and Per1a substitutions were obtained with oligonucleotides bearing a CACGTG and a CACATG respectively. ZFg1, 5'-TCAGGTGGA CACGTGCGCGGGGGT-3' E-box (G), top strand; ZFg1, 5'-ACCCCCGCGCACGTGTCCA CCTGA-3' E-box (G), bottom strand. ZFg1*, 5'-TCAGGTGGACAATTGCGCGGGGGT-3' E-box (mutant), top strand; ZFg1*, 5'-ACCCCC GCGCAATTGTCCACCTGA-3' E-box (mutant), bottom strand. ZFa, 5'-AGTCGGGTCACATG GACACATTT-3' E-box (A), top strand; ZFa, 5'-AAATGTGTCCATGTGACCCGACT-3' E-box (A), bottom strand. ZFa*, 5'-AGTCGGG TCCATAGGACACATTT-3' E-box (A), top strand; ZFa*, 5'-AAATGTGTCTTATGGACCC GACT-3' E-box (A), bottom strand.

Quantitative (Q)-PCR experiments

To verify the semi-quantitative PCR and to complete the analysis of Rev-erb α isoforms, Q-PCR was performed with the ABI Prism SYBR Green Reagents (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France). cDNAs were synthesized by reverse transcription as below. Samples contained 1 \times SYBR Green Master Mix, 0.5 μ M primers and 1/40 synthesized cDNA in a 25 μ l final volume. PCR conditions were as follows: 10 min at 95 $^{\circ}$ C, then 50 cycles of 15 s at 95 $^{\circ}$ C, 60 s at 60 $^{\circ}$ C and a final elongation cycle of 1 min at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. Absolute abundance of cDNA was calculated using the standard curve obtained with the two ranges done by serial dilutions from pure to 1/64. For the 28S RT products were diluted 1/300 before use.

Oligonucleotides used for rat samples were as follows. E1A top strand, 5'-CACGGGGCGAGA GAGGGCACC-3'; E1B top strand, 5'-TGAG TCTTATCTCCATATCACA-3'; E2 bottom strand, 5'-GAAGGGGAGCTATCATCACTG-3'; 28S top strand, 5'-GTGAAAGCGGGGCCTCA CGATCC-3'; 28S bottom strand, 5'-GTACT GAGCAGGATTACCATGGC-3'; Per1 top strand, 5'-ATGACTGGGGCAGAGGTTGAGC CTG-3'; Per1 bottom strand, 5'-TCATGCTT AGATCGTCAAATAGGG-3'. Cry1, 5'-CAGCA AAATGGAGCCCCTGG-3', top strand; 5'-CAC ACCGCAGAGGACAAGCC-3', bottom strand. Oligonucleotides used for mouse samples were as follows. E1A top strand, 5'-CAGGGGGCGAGA GAGGCCATCAC-3'; E1B top strand, 5'-AGG AAGGGGAATTCCTAAATCCC-3'; E2 bottom strand, 5'-GGCGCACTGCCAAAAGAGCGG GC-3'; 28S oligonucleotides were the same as for rat experiments.

RNA *in situ* hybridization

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were kept at 28.5 $^{\circ}$ C in a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle. Embryos were collected after spawning to perform the morpholino injection. To prevent pigmentation, 0.2 mM 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (Sigma) was added to the water at 12 h post-fertilization. At 48 h post-fertilization, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization was performed using antisense digoxigenin-labeled Rev-erb α probe and embryos were incubated at 70 $^{\circ}$ C in a 50% formamide hybridization solution. Probes were detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies and visualized by 4-Nitro Blue Tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate staining (for a protocol reference, see Thisse *et al.* 1993).

Morpholinos

We obtained morpholinos from GeneTools (Philomath, OR, USA). The morpholino sequences were as follows: clock1, 5'-CATCCCGG TCTATGCTGGAGGTCAT-3'; clock2, 5'-GAT AACTCGGTCTCATGGATCAGTC-3'; bmal1, 5'-TATCCATTCTTTGGTCTGCCATTAG-3'; bmal2, 5'-CAGATTTTCATTTCCAGGTTGTC CAT-3'. For the control, we used standard control




Figure 1 The *Rev-erba* gene generates two N-terminal distinct isoforms, *Rev-erba* α 1 and *Rev-erba* α 2, from two different promoters, P1 and P2. Transcription start sites are indicated by arrows. Untranslated sequences are shown as dotted lines whereas translated ones are represented as thick lines. The start codons are indicated by circles showing that the *Rev-erba* α 1 mRNA can generate both the *Rev-erba* α 1 and *Rev-erba* α 2 protein isoforms by alternative initiator codon usage. The A/B, C and E domains are indicated. The 5' and 3' primers used to detect specifically *Rev-erba* α 1 and *Rev-erba* α 2 transcripts are indicated by arrowheads on E1A, E1B and E2 exons respectively.

morpholino provided by GeneTools: 5'-CCUCUU ACCUCAGUUACAAUUUAUA-3'. We injected wild-type embryos at the one–two cell stage with 1–2 μ l morpholino in 1 \times Danio buffer at 0.25 or 0.5 mg/ml.

Results

Circadian expression of the two *Rev-erba* isoforms


The human and rat *Rev-erba* genes generate two mRNA isoforms, *Rev-erba* α 1 and *Rev-erba* α 2, with different 5' regions (Lazar *et al.* 1989, Miyajima *et al.* 1989, Laudet *et al.* 1991, Dumas *et al.* 1994, G T Triqueneux, B Staels & V L Laudet, unpublished observations; see Fig. 1). The resulting proteins differ only in their N-terminal A/B domain. The long isoform, *Rev-erba* α 1, is generated from the P1 promoter located upstream of exon E1A which contains a start codon (Adelmant *et al.* 1996). The short isoform *Rev-erba* α 2 is expressed at

lower levels and can be generated from a newly identified promoter called P2 located upstream of the non-coding exon E1B (G T Triqueneux, B Staels & V L Laudet, unpublished observations; see supplementary Fig. 1 at <http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org/content/vol33/issue3/>). This exon is spliced to exon 2, which contains an in-frame start codon. Of note, the transcripts generated at P1 can encode the two protein isoforms by alternative start-codon usage. The relative importance of the two mechanisms (alternative promoter usage and splicing or alternative start-codon selection) used to generate *Rev-erba* α 1 and *Rev-erba* α 2 is, at present, unknown.

Rev-erba gene expression has been shown to be under circadian regulation *in vivo* and in serum-shocked tissue-culture cells (Balsalobre *et al.* 1998, Delaunay *et al.* 2000, Torra *et al.* 2000, Grundschober *et al.* 2001). We observed this rhythmic expression in serum-shocked Rat-1 or 3Y1 rat fibroblasts using a strategy that did not discriminate between the two *Rev-erba* isoforms (Fig. 2A and results not shown). To determine

whether expression of both *Rev-erba*1 and *Rev-erba*2 was circadian, we designed a Q-PCR-based assay using isoform-specific primers for exon 1 (Figs 1, 2B and 2C). Analysis of serum-shocked fibroblastic 3Y1 and Rat-1 cells showed that both isoforms exhibited a circadian expression. Interestingly, this experiment showed that whereas circadian expression of both transcripts was

induced by serum, the initial serum response was different. Transcripts initiated at P1 were repressed whereas those transcribed from P2 were induced. This suggests that transcription of these two isoforms is differentially regulated by serum. It is important to note that, in both cell lines, there are P1-generated transcripts much more than those formed at P2. Indeed we detected in those cells



only the Rev-erb α 1 isoform using an antibody directed against the ligand binding domain (LBD) (from N Preitner, Department of Molecular Biology, NCCR Frontiers of Genetics, Sciences II, University of Geneva, Switzerland; see supplementary Fig. 2A at <http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org/content/vol33/issue3/>). In every Q-PCR experiment, five additional cycles were needed for P2 transcript detection compared with transcripts initiated at P1. Taken together, these data suggest that (1) the activity of both promoters is circadian, (2) the two promoters display different responses to serum and (3) the respective phase of Per transcripts and Rev-erb α transcripts is compatible with a down-regulation of Rev-erb α by the negative components of the circadian clock, PER and CRY.

Rev-erb α P1 and P2 promoters contain circadian clock-response elements

The circadian regulation of P1 and P2 prompted us to search within these promoter regions for E-box sequences, which are known response elements for the circadian transcriptional activating heterodimer CLOCK–BMAL1 (Gekakis *et al.* 1998). Comparative analysis of the human, rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) and mouse (*Mus musculus*) P1 promoters revealed one canonical E-box element (CACGTG; g1 in Fig. 3A) and two divergent E-boxes (CACATG; a1 and a2). We also found three conserved canonical E-boxes (g2, g3 and g4 in Fig. 3A) and one divergent E-box (a3) in the human and rat P2 region. These E-boxes were also conserved in the mouse P2 promoter (results not shown). An additional canonical E-box (g5) was found only in the rodent promoters instead of a divergent one in human (a4).

Alignment of these E-box sequences with those shown to bind CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimers and to confer circadian regulation of other promoters (see Fig. 3B) showed that Rev-erb α E-boxes contain flanking sequences that are reminiscent of those ‘circadian’ E-boxes with a pyrimidine base at position -1 and, a G at -3 and often a C at position $+10$ (Fig. 3B). Thus, Rev-erb α promoters contain all the necessary DNA elements required for a regulation by CLOCK–BMAL1 and these elements are conserved in mammals.

The CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer regulates Rev-erb α promoters

We next explored whether the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer was able to activate P1, P2 or a construct containing P1+P2 in transient co-transfection assays. Since CLOCK–BMAL1 activity was shown to be tissue-specific in certain cases (Chen & Baler 2000), we performed these experiments in three different cell lines: Cos-1 cells, human osteosarcoma Ros17/2/8 cells and rat fibroblastic 3Y1 cells. As a positive control we used a construct in which we cloned three canonical E-boxes derived from the mouse Per1 promoter upstream of a TATA box and the luciferase gene to generate the (Per1E) $_3$ -Luc construct. The mutated version of these E-boxes from this construct give rise to (Per1Em) $_3$ -Luc, which was used as a negative control. In all three cell lines the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer activated the (Per1E) $_3$ -Luc construct between 4- and 6-fold and was inactive on the mutated version (Fig. 4A). CLOCK alone or BMAL1 alone didn’t affect the promoter activity (supplementary Fig. 3). Interestingly, CLOCK–BMAL1 was able to activate 3–5-fold the activity of P1, P2 or P1+P2 in the

Figure 2 Circadian expression of Rev-erb α transcripts in fibroblasts after 2 h horse serum-shock treatment. TO corresponds to the serum-shock start. Expression was observed on RNA samples collected every 4 h with an additional point at 2 h during 48 h (A) or 24 h (B and C). (A) Expressions of Rev-erb α , Per1, Per3, Cry1 and Clock as a non-cyclic gene control in Rat-1 serum-shocked fibroblasts studied by semi-quantitative PCR. Each histogram is the average result of two experiments normalized on 28S analysis (not shown). For Cry1 two independent Q-PCRs were done on triplicates to refine the quantitation. (B, C) RT-PCR analysis of Rev-erb α 1 (E1A) and Rev-erb α 2 (E1B) transcripts in serum-shocked 3Y1 (B) and Rat-1 (C) fibroblasts. In each case the upper panel shows the result of a representative semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay whereas the lower curves are the averages from three Q-PCR experiments done on a 5700 GeneAmp Applied Biosystems apparatus. In Q-PCR experiments, a sharp analysis was done over the first 3 h. Note the difference of scales in the histograms (lower panels) and the number of PCR cycles (upper panels). All PCR products were obtained using an equal amount of reverse-transcribed mRNA amplified with primers located on two different exons hybridized with an internal specific probe. The signal obtained with 28S is a non-cycling control (upper B, C) and Q-PCR experiments were normalized on 28S values (lower B, C).




Figure 3 (A) Genomic structure of *Rev-erba* promoters in rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) and human. P1 and P2 are the two promoters. E1A and E1B are the first alternative exons spliced to exon 2. The numbered E-boxes, g1–g5 (black boxes), correspond to classical E-boxes (CACGTG) and a1–a4 (grey boxes) to the divergent ones (CACATG). Transcription start sites are indicated by arrows. (B) Alignment of the E-boxes found in P1 and P2 rat and human promoters with E-boxes found in various circadian promoters. Numbers on the right indicates the E-boxes position in each promoter. The alignment was obtained by Seqvu software analysis (The Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia). Boxed bases show conserved positions and the arrow indicates the base similarity at position –1. At –3 and +10 positions were found with a higher frequency of G and C respectively. A consensus sequence derived from this comparison is boxed on the bottom of the Figure.




Figure 4 CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimers activate both the P1 and P2 promoters. (A) Transactivation assays were performed on *Rev-erba* promoters in Cos-1, Ros17:2/8 and 3Y1 growing cells. Results are shown in fold activation and are the means \pm SEM from at least three independent experiments. 40 ng pGL2-luciferase reporter plasmid were used and mixed with 100 ng pCDNA3-Clock and 100 ng pCDNA3-Bmal1 or 200 ng pCDNA3- β gal as a neutral vector. 48 h later cells were harvested and luciferase activity was analyzed. (Per1E)₃-Luc and (Per1Em)₃-Luc are positive and negative controls, containing wild-type or mutated E-boxes respectively in front of a minimal promoter. (B) Cry1 inhibits CLOCK–BMAL1-induced activation of the *Rev-erba* promoters. The left panel shows the effect of Cry1 on the positive (Per1E)₃-Luc and the negative (Per1Em)₃-Luc reporter vectors. The right-hand panel shows the effect of Cry1 on P1+P2, P1 and P2 promoters. This experiment was performed on Ros17:2/8 cells but similar results were obtained on Cos-1 cells. 20 ng of pCDNA3-Cry1 were used and the total amount of DNA was adjusted to 300 ng; CB, CLOCK–BMAL1.

three different cell lines (Fig. 4A). The association of P1 and P2 in the same vector did not confer an increased sensitivity to CLOCK–BMAL1.

We then tested whether CRY1, a repressor of the master molecular oscillator (Kume *et al.* 1999), was able to inhibit this activation. The addition

of low amounts of Cry1 expression vector in co-transfection experiments virtually abolished CLOCK–BMAL1-induced activation of P1+P2-, P1- or P2-driven reporter gene (Fig. 4B). This effect was specific as the control expression vector (β -gal) induced only a marginal effect on CLOCK–




Figure 5 (A) Mapping of the E-box mediating CLOCK–BMAL1 activation on the *Rev-erb α* promoters. Trans-activation assays were performed on mutated *Rev-erb α* promoter fragments in Cos-1 cells. Results are shown in fold activation and are the means \pm SEM from at least three independent experiments. 40 ng pGL2-luciferase reporter plasmid were used and mixed with 100 ng pCDNA3-Clock and 100 ng pCDNA3-Bmal1 or 200 ng pCDNA3- β gal as a neutral vector. (Per1E)₃-Luc and (Per1Em)₃-Luc are positive and negative controls containing wild-type or mutated E-boxes respectively in front of a minimal promoter. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection and luciferase activity was analyzed with a luminometer. The E-boxes discussed in the text are shown as black boxes (classical E-box CACGTG) or green boxes (divergent E-box CACATG). An asterisk indicates a mutated E-box. (B) Absolute activities of P1-Luc and a2*P1-Luc (mutated a2) promoters (grey bars) and their CLOCK–BMAL1 activation responses (blue bars) indicated the specificity of the a2 E-box element.

BMAL1-mediated activation of both promoters. This specific inhibitory effect was comparable to that observed using the positive-control reporter vector (Per1E)₃-Luc. These data suggest that CLOCK and BMAL1 are directly involved in the circadian regulation of both *Rev-erb α* isoforms.

The CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer binds to and transactivates *Rev-erb α* E-box elements

To map the regions involved in CLOCK–BMAL1 binding and trans-activation, we designed a series of P1 and P2 deletion constructs that were all tested for their ability to be activated by CLOCK–BMAL1 in transient assay in Cos-1 cells.

Deletion of the canonical E-box (g1) from P1 sequence (D400-P1-Luc construct) decreased but did not abolish its ability to be activated by

CLOCK–BMAL1 (Fig. 5A), most likely due to the presence of two divergent CACATG E-boxes (a1 and a2). Trans-activation was not affected by introducing the mutation into a1 E-boxes (a1*D400-P1-Luc construct), strongly suggesting a critical role for the a2 site in P1 circadian regulation. This site also corresponds to the major transcriptional start site of the human P1 promoter (Adelmant *et al.* 1996) but to a minor one in rat (results not shown). Mutation of this site (a2*-P1-Luc construct) in the context of the complete P1 promoter totally abolished CLOCK–BMAL1 activation but not the basal activity of the promoter (Fig. 5B). These data indicate that CLOCK–BMAL1 activates the P1 promoter through the a2 site.

For P2, we identified a cluster of closely spaced E-boxes (three canonical, one divergent) in the




Figure 6 CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimers bind to the E-boxes of the Rev-erb α promoters. EMSAs were performed on E-box from the mouse Per1 promoter (A) or E-boxes from the Rev-erb α promoter (B and C) as probes. For A and B, the CLOCK and BMAL1 proteins were produced independently in reticulocyte lysates whereas for C the EMSA was performed using nuclear extracts from mouse STO fibroblasts in which Clock and Bmal1 expression vectors were transiently transfected. In each case, specific complexes are indicated by black arrows whereas non-specific ones are shown by empty circles. (A) The classical E-boxes of the Rev-erb α promoters compete with the binding of CLOCK–BMAL1 to the mPer1 sequence. Lane 1, C, CLOCK protein alone; lane 2, B, BMAL1 protein alone; lanes 3–19, CB, CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer. Competition experiments were done with a molar excess of 10- and 100-fold of the relevant E-box as indicated by the triangles. g3+4 indicates the competition by an oligonucleotide containing both the g3 and g4 E-boxes that are adjacent in the P2 promoter. (B) Direct binding of CLOCK–BMAL1 to the divergent E-boxes. The a1, a2 and a3 E-boxes were used as probes in these EMSA. Lane 1, U, untranslated reticulocyte lysates; lanes 3 and 4, CB, CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer; the triangle indicates increasing amount of competitor (10- and 100-fold) which in each case is the mPer1 E-box. (C) EMSA performed with nuclear-extracts of STO fibroblasts producing BMAL1 (B, lane 2), CLOCK (CLK, lane 3) or CLOCK–BMAL1 (lanes 4–8). Nuclear extracts of cells producing the β -galactosidase protein were used as controls (crude, lane 1). The probe used was the a2 element; the competitors added with a molar excess (10- or 100-fold, indicated by triangles) are indicated above each lane. Bottom panel, quantitation of the specific binding of the a2 probe.

distal part of the promoter and one downstream E-box in the proximal region. Deletion of the distal promoter region led to a construct (ProximalP2-Luc) containing only the latter isolated site (g5 in the rat promoter). This construct still exhibited a strong constitutive activity of the promoter (results not shown) but was not activated by CLOCK–BMAL1 (Fig. 5A). This suggests that the distal region of the P2 promoter is the target of CLOCK–BMAL1. This was confirmed using an internal deletion mutant lacking the P2 proximal region (DistalP2-Luc) which was fully activated by CLOCK–BMAL1. Using a new construct (D800-P2-Luc) adding g3 and g4 to

the P2 proximal region we restored a partial activation (about 2-fold), indicating that they were active.

To determine whether the CLOCK and BMAL1 proteins were able to bind the identified Rev-erb α E-boxes, we set up a gel-shift assay using *in vitro*-translated proteins and the mouse Per1 proximal promoter E-box sequence as a probe together with competitor oligonucleotides corresponding to each of the Rev-erb α E-boxes. As expected, the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer bound strongly to the mPer1 E-box probe (Fig. 6A). This binding is reduced by adding a molar excess of unlabeled mPer1 E-box but not by the mutated

version of the Per1 E-box (Per1*). Surprisingly, g1 site, which was not sufficient for CLOCK–BMAL1 activation of the P1 promoter in our transient transfection experiments, competed strongly with CLOCK–BMAL1 binding to the mPer1 E-box. When using the a1 site-specific oligonucleotide as a probe, we observed very weak, if any, binding of CLOCK–BMAL1 consistent with the fact that mutation of this site does not modify CLOCK–BMAL1 activation of the *Rev-erb α* gene (Fig. 6B, left-hand panel). In contrast, we detected a specific, albeit weak, binding of CLOCK–BMAL1 using the a2 probe (Fig. 6B, middle panel). We then performed similar gel-shift assay (Fig. 6C) using nuclear extracts of mouse STO fibroblasts in which the CLOCK and BMAL1 proteins were transiently expressed. If BMAL1 alone only marginally affected the amount of the shifted complex, the overexpression of CLOCK increased the binding strongly, suggesting that endogenous CLOCK is a limiting factor in the crude nuclear extract. As shown in Fig. 6C, CLOCK–BMAL1 binding to an a2-specific probe was strongly reduced by addition of an a2 unlabeled competitor but not by an unrelated oligonucleotide or by the mutated a2 site (a2*; results not shown).

Using a similar competition gel-shift assay we observed that all E-boxes of the P2 promoter, except the g5 site located in its distal region, were able to bind the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer (Fig. 6A). This is consistent with our transient transfection data, which showed the importance of the distal region for CLOCK–BMAL1 activation. Since the g3 and g4 sites were adjacent, we tested these two sites together with a single oligonucleotide in a competition experiment and detected a strong competition ability of this DNA fragment in good agreement with their activity in transient transfection. As for the P1 promoter, the divergent a3 site competed with the binding of CLOCK–BMAL1 to the mPer1 E-box probe and displayed direct specific binding activity when labeled as a probe (Fig. 6B, right-hand panel). All these data suggest that the four E-boxes of the P2 promoter distal region are able to bind CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer to mediate its transcriptional activation.

Since in P1 the main E-box responsible for CLOCK–BMAL1 activation is the divergent CACATG E-box (a2), we decided to study in more detail the importance of the core sequence of the

E-box compared to the adjacent sequences. As shown in Fig. 7, using crude nuclear extracts of STO fibroblasts we obtained a specific retarded complex at the same level as the *bona fide* CLOCK–BMAL1 complex with the divergent a2 E-box probe (Fig. 6C), as well as the canonical CACGTG E-box from Per1 promoter. The complex was competed out by an excess of specific competitors but not by unrelated sequences (see Fig. 7, lanes 14 and 15) or by the mutated version of the probes (a2* and Per1*; see Fig. 7, lanes 6, 7, 12 and 13). In all cases, it is clear that the a2 unlabeled competitor was more efficient at decreasing the binding than the Per1 unlabeled competitor (compare lanes 2 and 3 with lanes 8 and 9 on Fig. 7A and B). Interestingly, when we replaced the core sequence CACATG of the a2 site with a canonical CACGTG (a2 g element) we observed that the resulting sequence competed equally well for the binding to either the a2 or the Per1 probe (see Fig. 7A, lanes 4 and 5 and Fig. 7B, lanes 10 and 11). This was not the case when the Per1 canonical sequence was mutated to a CACATG-type element (Per1a) since this sequence competed poorly for the binding to the a2 probe (Fig. 7A, lanes 10 and 11) and only moderately for the binding to the Per1 probe (Fig. 7B, lanes 4 and 5). All these data clearly suggest that the context of the E-box is important for CLOCK–BMAL1 binding: the adjacent positions allow the divergent a2 element to bind CLOCK–BMAL1 whereas the context is less important for canonical E-boxes.

Rev-erb α is a target gene of Clock *in vivo*

If *Rev-erb α* is a target of the circadian pacemaker as suggested by our transfection and gel-shift data, then an alteration of the circadian clock function should impair *Rev-erb α* gene expression. To address this question, we first compared *Rev-erb α* circadian gene expression profiles in the livers of wild-type and *Clock* mutant mice. *Clock* mutant mice express a dominant-negative version of CLOCK, which is defective in transactivation (King *et al.* 1997, Gekakis *et al.* 1998). At the behavioral level, they exhibit lengthening of an endogenous period followed by complete arrhythmicity after long exposure to constant darkness conditions (Vitaterna *et al.* 1994). Northern blot analysis of *Rev-erb α* expression levels in wild-type and *Clock* mutant




Figure 7 Influence of the E-box core sequence for CLOCK–BMAL1 binding. EMSAs were performed on the divergent a2 E-box from the rat P1 promoter (A) or the canonical E-box from the Per1 promoter (B) as probes with crude nuclear extracts from STO fibroblasts. In each case, competition experiments were performed with two different molar excess (10- or 100-fold, indicated by triangles) of the Per1 E-box sequence (Per1), the Per1 E-box in which the core sequence has been changed to CACATG (Per1a), the mutated Per1 E-box (CAATTG; Per1*), the rat a2 E-box (CACATG; a2), the a2 element that has been changed toward CACGTG (a2 g), the mutated a2 E-box (CCATAG; a2*) or an unrelated oligonucleotide (unr).

mice kept under conditions of constant darkness was performed using total RNA samples extracted from livers collected at 4-h intervals during the third cycle of constant darkness. In the livers of wild-type mice *Rev-erb α* transcript demonstrates robust oscillation with a peak of expression occurring at 66 h of constant darkness, which corresponds to circadian time (CT) 6 (Fig. 8A). In contrast, mutant mice maintained under the same conditions showed a marked decrease of *Rev-erb α* expression level with significantly reduced amplitude and the phase of expression delayed by approximately 8 h. Therefore, this experiment indicates that *Rev-erb α* is a target gene of CLOCK *in vivo*.

Since our transient transfection and gel-shift assays suggest that the two *Rev-erb α* promoters are direct targets of CLOCK–BMAL1, we checked whether the circadian expression of the transcripts emanating from each promoter was altered in *Clock* mice. Using Q-PCR analysis we observed that the transcripts starting at P1 as well as those from P2

are altered in the *Clock* mice suggesting that the two promoters are regulated independently by CLOCK–BMAL1 (Fig. 8B). These data clearly indicate that, *in vivo*, *Rev-erb α* expression is under the control of the *Clock* gene and that the *Rev-erb α* gene is a Clock-controlled gene.

The zebrafish *Rev-erb α* gene is also a Clock-controlled gene

We observed previously that *Rev-erb α* expression is circadian in zebrafish, as it is in mammals (Delaunay *et al.* 2000). To determine if *Rev-erb α* is regulated by the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer in zebrafish, we isolated 3.2 kb of the 5' flanking region of the zebrafish *Rev-erb α* gene. Interestingly, just upstream of exon 1, we noticed a region harboring 61% sequence identity with rat P1 promoter over 165 bp (Fig. 9A). Of note, this region contains two E-boxes, one of which appears to be homologous to the a2 E-box. The other one is a canonical E-box that is not present in rat or




Figure 8 (A) Northern blot analysis of *Rev-erb α* expression in *Clock* mutant mice. (A, B) The scale above the Figure indicates subjective day (hatched) or night (black), respectively. Time is indicated either as the number of hours after constant darkness (above the scale), or circadian time (CT below the scale): CT0 is the time when light would normally be switched on. Each lane was loaded with 10 μ g total liver RNA. Animals were maintained in dark/dark conditions and three animals were killed at each indicated time. (A) Membranes were first probed with a *Rev-erb α* probe (detecting the two isoforms), exposed, washed and rehybridized with 28S probe to normalize the signal. Normalized *Rev-erb α* expression levels are shown as histograms at the bottom of the Figure. These histograms are the results of two independent Northern blot experiments. (B) Differential analysis of transcripts emanating from transcripts starting from P1 (E1A, upper panel) or P2 (E1B, lower panel) in wild-type or *Clock* mutant mice by Q-PCR experiments. Note the different scales of the histograms.

human. In addition, we observed that three canonical E-boxes are present in the 5' region of the 3.2 kb P1 region (Fig. 9A). Between exon 1 and 2 we did not find any exon reminiscent of exon 1B that may be under the control of a specific promoter.

When tested in COS-1 cells, the zebrafish *Rev-erb α* upstream sequence (construct A) clearly exhibited a promoter activity and was activated 3–4-fold by co-transfection of the *Clock* and *Bmal1* expression vectors (Fig. 9B). When we tested deletion constructs (B and C) we observed that if the three canonical E-boxes clearly play a role in CLOCK–BMAL1 activation the two downstream E-boxes were also important. Indeed, mutation of these two E-boxes (C2 m construct) abolished promoter activation (Fig. 9B) whereas mutation of each of them is not sufficient (results not shown). In accordance with these findings, these two sequences compete efficiently for the binding of nuclear extracts containing CLOCK–BMAL1 to the rat $\alpha 2$ probe (Fig. 9C). The three upstream E-boxes are also able to bind the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer (results not shown).

To provide an independent confirmation of the relevance of these data *in vivo*, we used morpholinos (Nasevicius & Ekker 2000) to knock-down the expression of either CLOCK or BMAL1 proteins during zebrafish embryogenesis. Due to extensive gene duplication, the zebrafish genome contains at least two *Clock* genes and two *Bmal1* genes (F Delaunay & V Laudet, unpublished observations), we decided to co-inject two morpholinos able to block the protein synthesis of both genes (results not shown). We checked that these morpholinos effectively block the translation of the relevant protein in an *in vitro* expression system. In zebrafish, *Rev-erb α* is expressed specifically in the pineal gland at 48 h of development (Fig. 9D; Delaunay *et al.* 2000). Interestingly, the injection of a control morpholino at the one-cell stage did not modify this expression (Fig. 9D). In contrast, the injection of morpholinos that blocked either *Clock* or *Bmal1* mRNA translation strongly decreased the expression of the endogenous *Rev-erb α* in the pineal gland at 48 h post-fertilization. The effect of these morpholinos was specific since they did not affect the expression of *Otx5*, a gene that is not circadian in the pineal gland (Fig. 9D; Gamse *et al.* 2002). Taken together these data are in accordance with those obtained using the *Clock* mutant mice and

suggest that *in vivo* the *Clock* and *Bmal1* genes regulate *Rev-erb α* expression effectively.

Discussion


Two *Rev-erb α* isoforms are under circadian regulation

Rev-erb α is an orphan nuclear hormone receptor that was cloned more than 10 years ago in several mammalian species and for which no well-defined physiological role has been found (reviewed in Laudet & Gronemeyer 2000). Importantly, this receptor lacks the C-terminal AF2 domain that is required for nuclear receptor ligand-dependent transcriptional activation and consequently behaves as a constitutive repressor. This suggests that *Rev-erb α* may be a true orphan receptor, the activity of which might be regulated through mechanisms other than ligand (Renaud *et al.* 2000). In this study, we show that the *Rev-erb α* gene encodes two transcripts, namely *Rev-erb $\alpha 1$* and *Rev-erb $\alpha 2$* , that differ only in their 5' region and which are both regulated in a circadian manner. Our functional data suggest that the short *Rev-erb $\alpha 2$* isoform is generated from an internal promoter located in the first intron. This finding suggests that these two *Rev-erb α* isoforms could repress the transcription of target genes in a manner dependent on the time of day and independent of ligand. N-terminus isoforms are commonly found within the nuclear receptor superfamily and they have been shown, in some instances, to result in proteins with different target gene specificity (for examples see Mulac-Jericevic *et al.* 2000 and Laudet & Gronemeyer 2002).

The circadian regulation of *Rev-erb α* could be controlled at either the transcriptional or post-transcriptional levels. However, since this rhythmic expression was observed in serum-shocked fibroblasts, an *in vitro* system, which mimicks *in vivo* free-running conditions (Balsalobre *et al.* 1998, Grundschober *et al.* 2001), we hypothesize that it is under the transcriptional control of the circadian clock pacemaker. In addition, analysis of the rat and human *Rev-erb α* 5' flanking regions revealed several E-box DNA motifs, which are circadian system-response elements. Our paper presents two main lines of evidence strongly suggesting that the *Rev-erb α* gene is effectively

under direct control of CLOCK and BMAL1: (1) functional data showing that the *Rev-erb α* promoters are activated by the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer through binding to specific E-boxes in mammals as well as in zebrafish and (2)

genetic evidence showing that *Rev-erb α* expression is decreased and the amplitude of its rhythmic expression severely affected in *Clock* mutant mice, as well as zebrafish embryos injected with CLOCK or BMAL1 morpholinos.



Rev-erb α is a target of the circadian pacemaker

The phase of Rev-erb α circadian expression both *in vivo* and in serum-shocked fibroblasts is consistent with control of this gene by the circadian oscillator. CLOCK and BMAL1 protein expression cycle with peak values at CT21–CT3 and a minimal value at CT6–CT12 in mouse liver (Lee *et al.* 2001). This expression pattern is exactly antiphasic to PER1, PER2, CRY1 and CRY2 expression as well as that of Rev-erb α , which peaks in the liver at CT8 (Balsalobre *et al.* 1998, Oishi *et al.* 1998, Torra *et al.* 2000). Interestingly, the pattern of Per2, Per3, Rev-erb α and Clock rhythmic expression is inverted in zebrafish when compared with nocturnal rodents but the relative phases are identical (Whitmore *et al.* 1998, Delaunay *et al.* 2000, 2003). Together, these expression data are strongly suggestive of an activation of Rev-erb α expression by the positive limb of the clock (CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer) and a repression by the negative limb including the PER and CRY proteins. Indeed, it has recently been shown that the phase of Rev-erb α mRNA accumulation is considerably advanced in Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice (Preitner *et al.* 2002). This observation is further supported by the near-complete loss of Rev-erb α

circadian expression in *Clock* mice livers and in ‘anti-CLOCK’ morpholino-treated and serum-shocked fibroblasts. These experiments provide the genetic evidence that Rev-erb α is an output of the circadian clock system. Because Rev-erb α is a transcriptional regulator, it is likely to act as a molecular link between the circadian oscillator and downstream target genes. For instance, Rev-erb α has been shown to bind to the promoter of the cellular retinol-binding protein I (CRBP1) for which mRNA circadian oscillation in the mouse liver has been described (Harding *et al.* 1995, Zheng *et al.* 2001). In addition, a direct role of Rev-erb α in the circadian pacemaker, at least in part through repression of Bmal1 gene expression, has been proposed recently (Preitner *et al.* 2002).

Our functional data strongly suggest that the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer controls Rev-erb α expression directly. Both promoters were activated by CLOCK–BMAL1 and this activation was abolished by CRY protein. Interestingly, deletion and mutation analysis pointed to a divergent CACATG E-box in the P1 promoter, which is located in one of the transcription initiation sites of this promoter (Adelmant *et al.* 1996). In the P2 promoter, a complex of four E-boxes have been found (three canonical and one divergent) to respond to CLOCK–BMAL1. Gel-shift assays clearly showed that all

Figure 9 (A) Genomic structure of Rev-erb α promoter in zebrafish. The two first coding exons E1 and E2 are indicated. The numbered E-boxes ZFg1–ZFg4 (black boxes) correspond to classical E-boxes (CACGTG) and ZFa (grey boxes) to the unique divergent one (CACATG) which is homologous to the rat and human a2 element. The Rev-DR2 element is indicated by an oval. The sequence of the zebrafish proximal promoter region compared with the rat proximal P1 sequence is shown under the genomic structure. E-boxes are boxed whereas the Rev-DR2 element is underlined. The start of the rat exon 1A is emboldened. The various constructs A, B and C used in transient transfections (see panel B) are indicated. (B) CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimers activates the zebrafish Rev-erb α promoter in COS-1 cells. The construct used, A, B, C or C mutated in the two E-boxes ZFg1 and ZFa (C2 m) are indicated below the histogram. Results are the means \pm SEM from at least three independent experiments. 40 ng pGL2-luciferase reporter plasmid were used and mixed with 100 ng pCDNA3-Clock and 100 ng pCDNA3-Bmal1 or 200 ng pCDNA3 as a neutral vector. Cells were harvested after 48 h and luciferase activity was analyzed. (C) CLOCK–BMAL1 binds to the zebrafish E-boxes. EMSAs were performed on the a2 E-box from the rat P1 promoter as probe with crude nuclear extract from STO fibroblasts. In each case, competition experiments were performed with two different molar excess (10- or 100-fold, indicated by triangles) of either the a2 E-box (lanes 3 and 4), the ZFg1 E-box (lanes 5 and 6), the mutated version of the ZFg1 E-box (CAATTG; ZFg1*; lanes 7 and 8), the divergent ZFa E-box (lanes 9 and 10), the mutated version of the ZFa E-box Per1 E-box sequence (CCATAG; ZFa*; lanes 11 and 12) or an unrelated oligonucleotide (unr; lanes 13 and 14). Specific complexes are indicated by an arrowhead whereas non-specific ones are shown by an empty circle. (D) Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization analysis of Rev-erb α expression in the zebrafish epiphysis at 48 h post-fertilization (hpf). All analyses were performed on at least 25 embryos. Wild-type and injected embryos were shown as follows: random morpholino (Mo; 0.5 mg/ml), CLOCK1+CLOCK2 morpholinos (0.25 mg/ml+0.25 mg/ml) and BMAL1+BMAL2 morpholinos (0.25 mg/ml+0.25 mg/ml). Rev-erb α probe was labeled with UTP-digoxigenin for overnight at 70 °C. Higher magnification at the pineal gland level is depicted below each stage in the left-hand inset. In each case the right-hand inset shows the expression of Otx5, a non-circadian gene expressed in the pineal gland, the parapineal and the retina (results not shown). For both probes, all the staining was performed under precisely identical conditions.

these elements, including the divergent E-boxes, were bound by CLOCK–BMAL1. Interestingly, such divergent E-boxes have already been identified in the regulatory region of the liver transcription factor albumin-D site-binding protein (DBP) and they were shown to be recognized by CLOCK–BMAL1 (Ripperger *et al.* 2000). Our gel-shift experiments led us to propose that the context of these divergent E-boxes, and not only the core sequence itself, is important in determining their efficacy for CLOCK–BMAL1 binding. Indeed we show that E-boxes are less tolerant to variations in the core sequence than divergent E-boxes. These data suggest that CLOCK–BMAL1 can regulate genes that are devoid of canonical E-boxes and suggest that the promoter sequences of putative target genes of CLOCK–BMAL1 should be studied for divergent CACATG E-boxes with adjacent bases favorable for CLOCK–BMAL1 binding. In that respect, we note that all the divergent E-box of the *Rev-erb α* contains a T at position -1 . This TCACATG motif would be interesting to use in a bioinformatic search for putative CLOCK–BMAL1 targets.

All the identified elements in the rat *Rev-erb α* promoter are conserved in the human and mouse sequences, stressing their functional relevance. In addition, we provide evidence suggesting that the structure of the P1 promoter and its regulation by CLOCK–BMAL1 is also conserved in the zebrafish *Rev-erb α* gene, suggesting that *Rev-erb α* may be under the control of the master oscillator in vertebrate species that have been diverged for more than 300 million years. This conservation suggests that the role of *Rev-erb α* in circadian regulation is under strong positive selection and thus really important for the organism's fitness. This is in accordance with the circadian phenotype of the *Rev-erb α* -deficient mice. Experiments are under way in our laboratory to better delineate the role played by *Rev-erb α* and its paralogue *Rev-erb β* in circadian clocks in both mammals and zebrafish.

Although a similar response to CLOCK–BMAL1 was observed for both *Rev-erb α* promoters, in agreement with the rhythmicity of both *Rev-erb α* transcripts, the serum-shock experiments suggest that these two promoters are differentially serum-regulated. It is likely that the transient and rapid differential regulation of P1 and P2 activities found after a serum shock is not the result of a circadian regulation but rather the action of serum-induced factors such as AP1. The basis for

these different early effects of serum is unknown and may result from a promoter-specific response to growth factors. Of note, both P1 and P2 contain AP1 sites conserved between human and rodent promoters and it is possible that these sites do not respond identically to the serum treatment.

Multiple interlocked loops in circadian rhythm

Interestingly, a recent report shows that *Rev-erb α* mRNA accumulation is considerably advanced in *Per2^{Brdm1}* mutant mice, an observation that also suggests that *Rev-erb α* expression is under the control of the circadian pacemaker (Preitner *et al.* 2002). This report also demonstrates that *Rev-erb α* controls the cyclic expression of BMAL1, since in *Rev-erb α* -knockout mice BMAL1 expression remains constant. These data, together with our demonstration that *Rev-erb α* is a target of the molecular oscillator, suggest that *Rev-erb α* is part of a regulatory loop which plays an important role in generating circadian rhythm. This situation is reminiscent of the case of DBP, which is also controlled by the clock and able to regulate *Clock* gene expression (Ripperger *et al.* 2000, Yamaguchi *et al.* 2000; reviewed in Roenneberg & Meroow 2003). Interestingly, in the case of *Rev-erb α* , as for DBP, other closely related genes also appear to play a role in circadian rhythm (Fig. 10). The three paralogues DBP, hepatic leukemia factor (HLF) and thyrotroph embryonic factor (TEF), which are all transcriptional activators, appear to cycle with identical phase, whereas the related gene, adenovirus E4 promoter-binding protein (E4 BP4), encoding a transcriptional repressor which does not contain the proline and acidic amino acid rich (PAR) activation domain, cycles in an opposite phase (Mitsui *et al.* 2001). E4 BP4 has been shown to compete with DBP, HLF and TEF for binding on the same DNA target sequences. In the case of *Rev-erb α* , it is interesting to observe that its paralog, *Rev-erb β* , also displays circadian expression, whereas two of the three closely related Retinoid-related Orphan Receptor (ROR) genes, ROR β and ROR γ , have been shown to cycle in brain and/or liver with a phase opposed to that of *Rev-erb α* (Andre *et al.* 1998, Panda *et al.* 2002, Preitner *et al.* 2002, Storch *et al.* 2002). RORs are transcriptional activators that bind to the same target sequence as *Rev-erbs*, namely the RevRE elements, and activate genes that are negatively




Figure 10 Hypothetical model for the transcriptional loops regulating the central oscillator. Two transcription factor families, the PAR and the nuclear orphan receptors, contain activators and repressors that might form two loops regulating the circadian clock. Transcriptional activators are in green and repressors in red. Activation is shown by +, whereas repression is depicted by -. Cycling regulation is shown by ~ and curved arrows. CLOCK and BMAL1 have been shown to regulate some PAR proteins such as DBP (Ripperger *et al.* 2000, Yamaguchi *et al.* 2000) and *Rev-erb α* (this study). Whether other PAR proteins and *Rev-erb β* are also controlled by the central clock remains to be shown. DBP regulates in turn the central clock by activating *Per1* expression (Yamaguchi *et al.* 2000) whereas *Rev-erb α* represses *BMAL1* expression (Preitner *et al.* 2002). The expression of *E4 BP4* is cycling (Mitsui *et al.* 2001) as is the expression of at least two of the ROR genes; β (Andre *et al.* 1998) and γ (Panda *et al.* 2002, Preitner *et al.* 2002, Storch *et al.* 2002). Nevertheless, it is still unknown if these genes are directly controlled by the central oscillator (? in the scheme). It is likely that *E4 BP4* and RORs also regulate the oscillator, even though this remains to be shown. Not depicted in the scheme is the attractive possibility that the PAR and nuclear receptor loops are interconnected in some way.

regulated by *Rev-erb α* . Thus, DBP/*E4 BP4* as well as RORs/*Rev-erbs* are paired components of a reciprocal mechanism that may complement each other in regulating the circadian oscillatory loop. It is also possible that other factors such as the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors Differentially Expressed in Chondrocytes (DEC1) and DEC2, which both interact negatively with CLOCK–BMAL1-mediated transcriptional activation, are also able to form another independent loop (Honma *et al.* 2002; reviewed in Roenneberg & Mewow 2003). From all these data it is tempting to speculate that these loops, regulating the central oscillator, are interconnected but this remains to be addressed experimentally.

***Rev-erb α* as a model for integrating circadian and physiological regulation**

Previous studies have shown that *Rev-erb α* gene expression is regulated by multiple signaling

pathways. For instance, adipocyte differentiation and fibrates upregulate *Rev-erb α* expression while myocyte differentiation and glucocorticoids repress its expression (Chawla & Lazar 1993, Downes *et al.* 1995, Gervois *et al.* 1999, Torra *et al.* 2000). We already reported that *Rev-erb α* gene expression is inhibited by its own product as well as by the *Rev-erb β* gene product through a *Rev-DR2* element located in the P1 promoter (Adelmant *et al.* 1996). This site also allows an upregulation of *Rev-erb α* by the related transcriptional activator orphan receptor, *ROR α* (Raspe *et al.* 2002). *ROR β* is circadian in the mouse SCN and pineal gland and the corresponding knockout mice exhibit a circadian phenotype (Andre *et al.* 1998). *ROR γ* expression is circadian in liver (Panda *et al.* 2002). We recently found that the second *Rev-erb α* promoter is also downregulated by *Rev-erbs* and activated by RORs through a monomeric *RevRE* (S Thenot and V Laudet, unpublished observations). The opposite phase of

Rev-erb α and ROR gene expression suggests that the balance of their products is also regulated in a circadian manner and that this regulation might contribute to some of the circadian patterns of Rev-erb α expression in tissues such as the SCN, pineal gland and liver. The Rev-DR2 site, which is located in the P1 promoter and conserved in all mammals examined to date, is also the target for PPAR α , which mediates the biological effects of fibrates (Gervois *et al.* 1999). Interestingly, the PPAR α gene was also shown to be regulated according to a circadian rhythm with a peak at zeitgeber 10 (ZT10) (Lemberger *et al.* 1996). Finally, the P1 promoter is also downregulated by glucocorticoids through an unidentified non-Glucocorticoid Responsive Element (GRE) site (Torra *et al.* 2000). Plasma glucocorticoid levels oscillate with a peak around the onset of the activity phase and they participate in the glucocorticoid receptor-mediated resetting of peripheral clocks (Balsalobre *et al.* 2000, Le Minh *et al.* 2001).

Our finding that the CLOCK–BMAL1 heterodimer is a positive regulator of Rev-erb α expression and that disruption of CLOCK activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* abolishes Rev-erb α circadian expression extends the repertoire of pathways that regulate Rev-erb α . Moreover, the data obtained with *Clock* mutant mice suggest that the circadian clock pacemaker is a master control point for Rev-erb α circadian expression. Furthermore, Rev-erb α circadian expression was observed in liver, heart and SCN suggesting that this gene is a critical output of the clock in multiple tissues (Panda *et al.* 2002, Storch *et al.* 2002; reviewed in Delaunay & Laudet 2002). How Rev-erb α integrates this circadian regulation with other regulatory pathways awaits further investigation. Interestingly, it was shown recently that the feeding schedule is a strong synchronizer of peripheral clocks (Damiola *et al.* 2000). The mechanisms by which the timing of food intake regulates peripheral clocks are still poorly understood, yet several hypotheses including roles for temperature and redox cofactors have been proposed (Rutter *et al.* 2001). The analysis of the mechanisms by which Rev-erb α integrates circadian and physiological inputs using mutant mice and nuclear receptor ligands will undoubtedly shed light on the function of circadian clocks.

Acknowledgement

We thank Michael Schubert for critical reading of the manuscript. S T holds a fellowship from the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (ARC) and from the CNRS, T K from Région Rhône-Alpes and from the CNRS, R S from Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer. We thank CNRS, MENRT (ACI program), ARC and Région Rhône-Alpes for financial support. J S T is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Abe H, Honma S, Namihira M, Tanahashi Y, Ikeda M, Yu W & Honma K 1999 Phase-dependent induction by light of rat Clock gene expression in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Brain Research Molecular Brain Research* **66** 104–110.
- Adelman G, Begue A, Stehelin D & Laudet V 1996 A functional Rev-erb alpha responsive element located in the human Rev-erb alpha promoter mediates a repressing activity. *PNAS* **93** 3553–3558.
- Akashi M, Tsuchiya Y, Yoshino T & Nishida E 2002 Control of intracellular dynamics of mammalian period proteins by casein kinase I epsilon (CKIepsilon) and CKIdelta in cultured cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **22** 1693–1703.
- Andre E, Conquet F, Steinmayr M, Stratton SC, Porciatti V & Becker-Andre M 1998 Disruption of retinoid-related orphan receptor beta changes circadian behavior, causes retinal degeneration and leads to vacillans phenotype in mice. *EMBO Journal* **17** 3867–3877.
- Balsalobre A, Damiola F & Schibler U 1998 A serum shock induces circadian gene expression in mammalian tissue culture cells. *Cell* **93** 929–937.
- Balsalobre A, Brown SA, Marcacci L, Tronche F, Kellendonk C, Reichardt HM, Schutz G & Schibler U 2000 Resetting of circadian time in peripheral tissues by glucocorticoid signaling. *Science* **289** 2344–2347.
- Brown SA & Schibler U 1999 The ins and outs of circadian timekeeping. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* **9** 588–594.
- Chawla A & Lazar MA 1993 Induction of Rev-Erba alpha, an orphan receptor encoded on the opposite strand of the alpha-thyroid hormone receptor gene, during adipocyte differentiation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **268** 16265–16269.
- Chen W & Baler R 2000 The rat arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase E-box differential use in a master vs a slave oscillator. *Brain Research Molecular Brain Research* **81** 43–50.
- Chong NW, Bernard M & Klein DC 2000 Characterization of the chicken serotonin N-acetyltransferase gene. Activation via clock gene heterodimer/E box interaction. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **275** 32991–32998.
- Crosio C, Cermakian N, Allis CD & Sassone-Corsi P 2000 Light induces chromatin modification in cells of the mammalian circadian clock. *Nature Neuroscience* **3** 1241–1247.
- Damiola F, Le Minh N, Preitner N, Kornmann B, Fleury-Olela & Schibler U 2000 Restricted feeding uncouples circadian oscillators in peripheral tissues from the central pacemaker in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Genes and Development* **14** 2950–2961.
- Delaunay F & Laudet V 2002 Circadian clock and microarrays mammalian genome gets rhythm. *Trends in Genetics* **18** 595–597.

- Delaunay F, Thisse C, Marchand O, Laudet V & Thisse B 2000 An inherited functional circadian clock in zebrafish embryos. *Science* **289** 297–300.
- Delaunay F, Thisse C, Thisse B & Laudet V 2003 Differential regulation of Period 2 and Period 3 expression during development of the zebrafish circadian clock. *Gene Expression Patterns* **3** 319–324.
- Downes M, Carozzi AJ & Muscat GE 1995 Constitutive expression of the orphan receptor, Rev-erbA alpha, inhibits muscle differentiation and abrogates the expression of the myoD gene family. *Molecular Endocrinology* **9** 1666–1678.
- Dumas B, Harding HP, Choi HS, Lehmann KA, Chung M, Lazar MA & Moore DD 1994 A new orphan member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily closely related to Rev-Erb. *Molecular Endocrinology* **8** 996–1005.
- Etchegaray JP, Lee C, Wade PA & Reppert SM 2003 Rhythmic histone acetylation underlies transcription in the mammalian circadian clock. *Nature* **421** 177–182.
- Foster RG 1998 Shedding light on the biological clock. *Neuron* **20** 829–832.
- Fruchart JC, Duriez P & Staels B 1999 Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha activators regulate genes governing lipoprotein metabolism, vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis. *Current Opinion in Lipidology* **10** 245–257.
- Gamse JT, Shen Y-C, Thisse C, Thisse B, Raymond PA, Halpern ME & Liang JE 2002 Otx5 regulates genes that show circadian expression in the zebrafish pineal complex. *Nature Genetics* **30** 117–121.
- Gekakis N, Staknis D, Nguyen HB, Davis FC, Wilsbacher LD, King DP, Takahashi JS & Weitz CJ 1998 Role of the CLOCK protein in the mammalian circadian mechanism. *Science* **280** 1564–1569.
- Gervois P, Chopin-Delannoy S, Fadel A, Dubois G, Kosykh V, Fruchart JC, Najib J, Laudet V & Staels B 1999 Fibrates increase human REV-ERBalpha expression in liver via a novel peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element. *Molecular Endocrinology* **13** 400–409.
- Grundschober C, Delaunay F, Puhlhofer A, Triqueneaux G, Laudet V, Bartfai T & Nef P 2001 Circadian regulation of diverse gene products revealed by mRNA expression profiling of synchronized fibroblasts. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276** 46751–46758.
- Harding HP & Lazar MA 1995 The monomer-binding orphan receptor Rev-Erb represses transcription as a dimer on a novel direct repeat. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **15** 4791–4802.
- Honma S, Kawamoto T, Takagi Y, Fujimoto K, Sato F, Noshiro M, Kato Y & Honma K 2002 Dec1 and Dec2 are regulators of the mammalian molecular clock. *Nature* **419** 841–844.
- Jin X, Shearman LP, Weaver DR, Zylka MJ, de Vries GJ & Reppert SM 1999 A molecular mechanism regulating rhythmic output from the suprachiasmatic circadian clock. *Cell* **96** 57–68.
- King DP, Zhao Y, Sangoram AM, Wilsbacher LD, Tanaka M, Antoch MP, Steeves TD, Vitaterna MH, Kornhauser JM, Lowrey PL, et al. 1997 Positional cloning of the mouse circadian clock gene. *Cell* **89** 641–653.
- Kume K, Zylka MJ, Sriram S, Shearman LP, Weaver DR, Jin X, Maywood ES, Hastings MH & Reppert SM 1999 mCRY1 and mCRY2 are essential components of the negative limb of the circadian clock feedback loop. *Cell* **98** 193–205.
- Laudet V & Gronemeyer H 2002 *The nuclear receptors - Facts Book*. San Diego, San Francisco, New York, Boston, London, Sydney, Tokyo Academic Press
- Laudet V, Begue A, Henry-Duthoit C, Joubel A, Martin P, Stehelin D & Saule S 1991 Genomic organization of the human thyroid hormone receptor alpha (c-erbA-1) gene. *Nucleic Acids Research* **19** 1105–1112.
- Lazar MA, Hodin RA, Darling DS & Chin WW 1989 A novel member of the thyroid/steroid hormone receptor family is encoded by the opposite strand of the rat c-erbA alpha transcriptional unit. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **9** 1128–1136.
- Lee C, Etchegaray JP, Cagampang FR, Loudon AS & Reppert SM 2001 Posttranslational mechanisms regulate the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* **107** 855–867.
- Lemberger T, Saladin R, Vazquez M, Assimacopoulos F, Staels B, Desvergne B, Wahli W & Auwerx J 1996 Expression of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha gene is stimulated by stress and follows a diurnal rhythm. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **271** 1764–1769.
- Le Minh N, Damiola F, Tronche F, Schutz G & Schibler U 2001 Glucocorticoid hormones inhibit food-induced phase-shifting of peripheral circadian oscillators. *EMBO Journal* **20** 7128–7136.
- Lowrey PL & Takahashi JS 2000 Genetics of the mammalian circadian system. Photoc entrainment, circadian pacemaker mechanisms, and post-translational regulation. *Annual Review of Genetics* **34** 533–562.
- Mitsui S, Yamaguchi S, Matsuo T, Ishida Y & Okamura H 2001 Antagonistic role of E4 BP4 and PAR proteins in the circadian oscillatory mechanism. *Genes and Development* **15** 995–1006.
- Miyajima N, Horiuchi R, Shibuya Y, Fukushige S, Matsubara K, Toyoshima K & Yamamoto T 1989 Two erbA homologs encoding proteins with different T3 binding capacities are transcribed from opposite DNA strands of the same genetic locus. *Cell* **57** 31–39.
- Mulac-Jericevic B, Mullinax RA, DeMayo FJ, Lydon JP & Conneely OM 2000 Subgroup of reproductive functions of progesterone mediated by progesterone receptor-B isoform. *Science* **289** 1751–1754.
- Nasevicius A & Ekker SC 2000 Effective targeted gene 'knockdown' in zebrafish. *Nature Genetics* **26** 216–220.
- Nuclear Receptors Nomenclature Committee 1999 A unified nomenclature system for the nuclear receptor superfamily. *Cell* **97** 161–163.
- Oishi K, Sakamoto K, Okada T, Nagase T & Ishida N 1998 Antiphase circadian expression between BMAL1 and period homologue mRNA in the suprachiasmatic nucleus and peripheral tissues of rats. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **253** 199–203.
- Panda S, Antoch MP, Miller BH, Su AI, Schook AB, Straume M, Schultz PG, Kay SA, Takahashi JS & Hogenesch JB 2002 Coordinated transcription of key pathways in the mouse by the circadian clock. *Cell* **109** 307–320.
- Plautz JD, Kaneko M, Hall JC & Kay SA 1997 Independent photoreceptive circadian clocks throughout Drosophila. *Science* **278** 1632–1635.
- Preitner N, Damiola F, Lopez-Molina L, Zakany J, Duboule D, Albrecht U & Schibler U 2002 The orphan nuclear receptor REV-ERBalpha controls circadian transcription within the positive limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator. *Cell* **110** 251–260.
- Raspe E, Mautino G, Duval C, Fontaine C, Duez H, Barbier O, Monte D, Fruchart J, Fruchart JC & Staels B 2002 Transcriptional regulation of human Rev-erb alpha gene expression by the orphan nuclear receptor retinoic acid-related orphan receptor alpha. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277** 49275–49281.
- Renaud JP, Harris JM, Downes M, Burke LJ & Muscat GE 2000 Structure-function analysis of the Rev-erbA and RVR ligand-binding domains reveals a large hydrophobic surface that mediates corepressor binding and a ligand cavity occupied by side chains. *Molecular Endocrinology* **14** 700–717.
- Ripperger JA, Shearman LP, Reppert SM & Schibler U 2000 CLOCK, an essential pacemaker component, controls expression of the circadian transcription factor DBP. *Genes and Development* **14** 679–689.
- Ripperger JA & Schibler U 2001 Circadian regulation of gene expression in animals. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **13** 357–362.

- Roenneberg T & Merrow M 2003 The network of time understanding the molecular circadian system. *Current Biology* **13** R198–R207.
- Rutter J, Reick M, Wu LC & McKnight SL 2001 Regulation of clock and NPAS2 DNA binding by the redox state of NAD cofactors. *Science* **293** 510–514.
- Shearman LP, Sriram S, Weaver DR, Maywood ES, Chaves I, Zheng B, Kume K, Lee CC, van der Horst GT, Hastings MH & Reppert SM 2000 Interacting molecular loops in the mammalian circadian clock. *Science* **288** 1013–1019.
- Stokkan KA, Yamazaki S, Tei H, Sakaki Y & Menaker M 2001 Entrainment of the circadian clock in the liver by feeding. *Science* **291** 490–493.
- Storch KF, Lipan O, Leykin I, Viswanathan N, Davis FC, Wong WH & Weitz CJ 2002 Extensive and divergent circadian gene expression in liver and heart. *Nature* **417** 78–83.
- Thisse C, Thisse B, Schilling TF & Postlethwait JH 1993 Structure of the zebrafish snail gene and its expression in wild-type, spadetail and no tail mutant embryos. *Development* **119** 1203–1215.
- Torra IP, Tsubulsky V, Delaunay F, Saladin R, Laudet V, Fruchart JC, Kosykh V & Staels B. 2000 Circadian and glucocorticoid regulation of Rev-erb alpha expression in liver. *Endocrinology* **141** 3799–3806.
- Tosini G & Menaker M 1996 Circadian rhythms in cultured mammalian retina. *Science* **272** 419–421.
- Vanacker JM, Pettersson K, Gustafsson JA & Laudet V 1999 Transcriptional targets shared by estrogen receptor-related receptors (ERRs) and estrogen receptor (ER) alpha, but not by ERbeta. *EMBO Journal* **18** 4270–4279.
- Vielhaber EL, Duricka D, Ullman KS & Virshup DM 2001 Nuclear export of mammalian PERIOD proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276** 45921–45927.
- Vitaterna MH, King DP, Chang AM, Kornhauser JM, Lowrey PL, McDonald JD, Dove WF, Pinto LH, Turek FW & Takahashi JS 1994 Mutagenesis and mapping of a mouse gene, Clock, essential for circadian behavior. *Science* **264** 719–725.
- Whitmore D, Foulkes NS, Strahle U & Sassone-Corsi P 1998 Zebrafish Clock rhythmic expression reveals independent peripheral circadian oscillators. *Nature Neuroscience* **1** 701–707.
- Yagita K, Tamanini F, van Der Horst GT & Okamura H 2001 Molecular mechanisms of the biological clock in cultured fibroblasts. *Science* **292** 278–281.
- Yagita K, Tamanini F, Yasuda M, Hoeijmakers JH, van der Horst GT & Okamura H 2002 Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling and mCRY-dependent inhibition of ubiquitylation of the mPER2 clock protein. *EMBO Journal* **21** 1301–1314.
- Yamaguchi S, Mitsui S, Yan L, Yagita K, Miyake S & Okamura H 2000 Role of DBP in the circadian oscillatory mechanism. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **20** 4773–4781.
- Yamazaki S, Numano R, Abe M, Hida A, Takahashi R, Ueda M, Block GD, Sakaki Y, Menaker M & Tei H 2000 Resetting central and peripheral circadian oscillators in transgenic rats. *Science* **288** 682–685.
- Young MW & Kay SA 2001 Time zones a comparative genetics of circadian clocks. *Nature Review in Genetics* **2** 702–715.
- Zheng B, Albrecht U, Kaasik K, Sage M, Lu W, Vaishnav S, Li Q, Sun ZS, Eichele G, Bradley A & Lee CC 2001 Nonredundant roles of the mPer1 and mPer2 genes in the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* **105** 683–694.

Received 22 July 2004

Accepted 17 August 2004