Original Research Article

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20210907

The orthopedic patient and limb amputation: impact of traditional beliefs on acceptance in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Friday E. Aaron¹, Rex Friday Ogoronte A. Ijah^{2*}, Tonye Obene¹

Received: 30 December 2020 **Accepted:** 08 February 2021

*Correspondence:

Dr. Rex Friday Ogoronte A. Ijah, E-mail: rexijah@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Aim of the study was to ascertain the awareness on surgical limb amputation and establish the existence of traditional beliefs that impacts on acceptance of surgical limb amputation in tertiary healthcare facilities in Port Harcourt. Surgical limb amputation is a form of treatment recommended in conditions of dead, dying, dangerous limb or damn nuisance, in which the appendage is removed surgically and permanently from the rest of the body.

Methods: A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out among patients and patients' relatives in the two government-owned tertiary health care facilities that offered orthopedic surgical services in Port Harcourt using self-administered questionnaires. Data obtained was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0.

Results: Safe removal of a disease limb from the rest of the body was considered by 217 respondents (93.1%) as the meaning of limb amputation. Some community beliefs on amputated limb were: risk of incomplete body in the "next world", burying of persons with amputated limb in the evil forest when they die, stigmatization as outcasts in some communities. Eighty-two respondents (35.2%) opined that traditional bone setters should be encouraged to continue their work.

Conclusions: There was high awareness on limb amputation among respondents. Though expressed by few respondents, the twin factors of patronage of traditional bone setters and the practice of community stigmatization of amputees / social isolation among others explains patients decline of offer of limb amputation in the care of orthopedic patients in our society.

Keywords: Acceptance, Nigeria, Patients, Port harcourt, Surgical limb amputation, Traditional beliefs

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between belief systems and health has been severally documented, especially as health implies a state of complete physical, mental, social, (and spiritual) wellbeing and not only the absence of disease or infirmity. ¹⁻⁸ Also, the impact of education and sociocultural orientations on individual acceptance of intended

forms of treatment has been reported.⁹⁻¹¹ Acceptance of surgical limb amputation will therefore be affected by similar factors depending on the society. Surgical limb amputation is a form of treatment recommended in conditions of dead, dying, dangerous limb or damn nuisance, in which the appendage is removed surgically and permanently from the rest of the body.¹²⁻¹⁵ A lot of emotions are known to be involved due to profound

¹Department of Surgery, Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, and Senior Lecturer, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

²Department of Surgery, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

economic, social and psychological effects among these patients. 16-19

Surgical limb amputation is now an acceptable mode of treatment, though not without initial challenges. 20,21 There was a report of 17th century ethno-religious perception in which there was deep-rooted resistance to planned limb amputation such that it was viewed as dismemberment of the image of God.²²⁻²⁴ Stigmatization associated with limb amputation also contributes to refusal of this procedure in some societies.²⁵⁻²⁸ These perceptions and consequent societal stigmatization may contribute to patients' refusal of offer of therapeutic limb amputation. Common indications for limb amputation vary in different parts of the globe and include complications resulting from diabetes mellitus, trauma, and peripheral vascular disease.²⁹⁻³³ Traditional bone setters (TBS) are prevalent in many societies especially Africa, and their intervention in fracture care has resulted in complications leading to limb amputation.34-39

Community ideas and attitudes are known to affect the health-seeking behavior of a people, and hence utilization of health services. 40 Such community ideas could be religious beliefs, traditional beliefs, or a denial of reality entirely. 40 When patients decline offer of surgical limb amputation and end up with negative consequences, is the society often better for it? What in our practice setting are the factors: religious, traditional or otherwise that contribute to making a patient decline offer of life saving amputation? This study is therefore centered on unravelling these issues, and identify factors that are associated with such health behavior.

This study aims to ascertain the awareness on surgical limb amputation and establish the existence of sociocultural beliefs that affects patients' acceptance of this life-saving procedure in tertiary healthcare facilities in Port Harcourt.

METHODS

It was a cross-sectional descriptive study carried in Port Harcourt the capital of Rivers State, in the Federal Republic of Nigeria. It was done in the male and female orthopedic wards and out-patient clinics two tertiary multispecialty health facilities in Port Harcourt, in the months of May and June 2020. The study was carried out among orthopaedic patients and their relatives.

The minimum sample size was determined using monthly estimated total population of orthopaedic patients in the two hospitals of 170 (obtained from a monthly clinic attendance of 100 per month, and admitting bed space of 70 for both male and female wards).

All the patients and their relatives who gave consent were recruited at the tertiary health facilities with effort made to avoid double administration. A total of 250 semi-

structured questionnaires were distributed and 233 questionnaires were retrieved.

Data analysis

Information on the meaning of / awareness of / attitude to limb amputation, community beliefs on amputated limb, opinion on role of traditional bone setters, etc. were collated and analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0.

Ethical approval

The approval of the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital was obtained.

RESULTS

A total of 233 respondents were recruited for the survey. The demographic characteristics of the respondents are summarized in Table 1. One hundred and eleven respondents (47.6%) were males and 122 (52.4%) were females. The dominant age range of the respondents was 25-40 years, constituting 113 (48.5%) of the total number of respondents. The number of married respondents was 130 (55.8%), and 95 (40.8%) were of Rivers State origin.

The respondents' awareness of the meaning of limb amputation, reasons for limb amputation, and community perception of limb amputation are indicated in Table 2. Safe removal of a disease limb from the rest of the body was considered by 217 respondents (93.1%) as the meaning of limb amputation, 8 (3.4%) referred to it as a painful operation, while 6 respondents (2.6%) had no knowledge of it. The awareness of the reasons for limb amputation showed that 91 respondents (39.1%) named rotten limb from infection as a strong reason, 87 (37.3%) felt that rotten from injury, 53 (22.7%) asserted that rotten from diabetes and other diseases can lead to amputation. Two hundred and six (88.4%) respondents asserted that artificial limbs were available for people with amputated limb.

Seventy-nine (33.9%) respondents were the opinion that some community believe that those with amputated limb would come back to life in their "next world" with incomplete body. Eleven respondents (4.7%) reported that some communities would bury amputated persons in the evil forest when they die. Twenty-seven (11.6%) respondents reported that amputated persons are regarded as outcasts in some communities. Out of the total number of respondents, only 94 (40.3%) claimed that they were not aware of any community belief that concern those with limp amputation.

The opinions and attitude of respondents to persons who had limb amputation are shown in Table 3. Two hundred and seven (88.8%) respondents asserted that those who have limb amputation have normal potentials like any

other person. Two hundred and twenty-three (95.7%) respondents were of the opinion that doctors who carry out limb amputation for patients are good people and life-savers, although few respondents have ill feelings about them.

The opinions and attitude of respondents to persons who had limb amputation are shown in Table 3. Two hundred and seven (88.8%) respondents asserted that those who have limb amputation have normal potentials like any other person.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents.

| Sex Male 111 47.6 Female 122 52.4 Age (in years) | Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Female 122 52.4 Age (in years) <25 | Sex | | |
| Age (in years) <25 | Male | 111 | 47.6 |
| <25 | Female | 122 | 52.4 |
| 25-40 113 48.5 41-60 64 27.5 >60 1 4 Marital status Single 94 40.3 Married 130 55.8 Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Uristianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Age (in years) | | |
| 41-60 64 27.5 >60 1 .4 Marital status Single 94 40.3 Married 130 55.8 Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Uristianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | <25 | 55 | 23.6 |
| >60 1 .4 Marital status Single 94 40.3 Married 130 55.8 Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Christianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | 25-40 | 113 | 48.5 |
| Marital status Single 94 40.3 Married 130 55.8 Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Christianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | 41-60 | 64 | 27.5 |
| Single 94 40.3 Married 130 55.8 Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Uristianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | >60 | 1 | .4 |
| Married 130 55.8 Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Testion ty Christianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Testivers Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Marital status | • | |
| Separated 3 1.3 Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Translation of the property of the pro | Single | 94 | 40.3 |
| Divorced 6 2.6 Religion Testignity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Testignity Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Married | 130 | 55.8 |
| Religion Christianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Separated | | 1.3 |
| Christianity 212 91.0 Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Divorced | 6 | 2.6 |
| Islam 21 9.0 State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Religion | | |
| State of origin Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Christianity | 212 | 91.0 |
| Rivers 95 40.8 Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Islam | 21 | 9.0 |
| Others 12 5.2 Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | State of origin | • | |
| Edo 3 1.3 Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Rivers | 95 | 40.8 |
| Abia 17 7.3 Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Others | 12 | 5.2 |
| Imo/Enugu 33 14.2 Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Edo | 3 | 1.3 |
| Delta 9 3.9 Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Abia | 17 | 7.3 |
| Anambra 13 5.6 Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Imo/Enugu | 33 | 14.2 |
| Cross river/Akwa Ibom 15 6.4 Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Delta | 9 | 3.9 |
| Ogun 4 1.7 Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Anambra | 13 | 5.6 |
| Lagos 2 .9 Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Cross river/Akwa Ibom | 15 | 6.4 |
| Kano 6 2.6 Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Ogun | 4 | 1.7 |
| Bayelsa 6 2.6 Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Lagos | 2 | .9 |
| Oyo/Osun 2 .9 Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Kano | 6 | 2.6 |
| Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Bayelsa | 6 | 2.6 |
| Kogi / Kwarra 13 5.6 | Oyo/Osun | 2 | .9 |
| Ekiti/Ondo 3 1.3 | | 13 | 5.6 |
| | Ekiti/Ondo | 3 | 1.3 |

Table 2: Respondent's knowledge on limb amputation.

| Variables | Frequency | % |
|--|-----------|------|
| Awareness of what limb amputation is | | |
| Safe removal of a disease limb from the rest of the body | 217 | 93.1 |
| Killing somebody | 2 | 0.9 |
| A painful operation | 8 | 3.4 |
| Don't know | 6 | 2.6 |
| What make doctors cut somebody's limb | | |
| When the limb is rotten from injury | 87 | 37.3 |
| When the limb is rotten from infection | 91 | 39.1 |
| When the limb is rotten from diabetes and other diseases | 53 | 22.7 |
| Don't know | 2 | 0.9 |

Continued.

| Variables | Frequency | % |
|--|-----------|------|
| If artificial limb is available for people with amputated limb | | |
| Yes | 206 | 88.4 |
| No | 2 | 0.9 |
| Don't know | 25 | 10.7 |
| Community belief about those who have limp amputation | | |
| They will come back in their next world with incomplete body | 79 | 33.9 |
| They will be buried in the evil forest | 11 | 4.7 |
| They are usually regarded as outcasts in the community | 27 | 11.6 |
| They are regarded as cursed people | 22 | 9.4 |
| Don't Know | 94 | 40.3 |

Table 3: Opinion and attitude of respondents on limb amputation.

| Variables | Frequency | % |
|--|-----------|------|
| Opinion about those who have limp amputation | • | |
| They have normal potentials like any other person | 207 | 88.8 |
| They are invalids | 14 | 6.0 |
| No Opinion | 12 | 5.2 |
| Opinion about doctors who do limb amputation for patients | | |
| They are mean or heartless people | 2 | 0.9 |
| They take pleasure in removing people's limbs | 2 | 0.9 |
| They are indifferent to patient's plight | 4 | 1.7 |
| They are good people life-savers | 223 | 95.7 |
| No opinion | 2 | .9 |
| Opinion about Traditional bone setters | • | |
| They have a good heart | 4 | 1.7 |
| They charge less than hospital doctors | 48 | 20.6 |
| They are gifted by God | 55 | 23.6 |
| They are in every community | 27 | 11.6 |
| They work better than doctors | 2 | 0.9 |
| They should be encouraged to continue their work | 82 | 35.2 |
| Situation become complicated/spoil people's legs | 5 | 2.1 |
| No Opinion | 10 | 4.3 |
| If needed, will accept surgical limb amputation | | |
| Yes | 146 | 62.7 |
| No | 8 | 3.4 |
| Don't know | 79 | 33.9 |
| If needed, will not accept surgical limb amputation because | | |
| Because of pain | 4 | 1.7 |
| None | 229 | 98.3 |
| If needed, will advise relative to accept surgical limb amputation | | |
| Yes | 164 | 70.4 |
| No | 11 | 4.7 |
| Don't know | 58 | 24.9 |

Two hundred and twenty-three (95.7%) respondents were of the opinion that doctors who carry out limb amputation for patients are good people and life-savers, although few respondents have ill feelings about them. Eighty-two respondents (35.2%) opined that traditional bone setters should be encouraged to continue their work.

The reason for inclination towards traditional bone setters were due to the facts that they are gifted by God – opined by 55 respondents (23.6%); they charge less than hospital doctors - reported by 48 respondents (20.6%); they are

present in almost every community as indicated by 26 respondents (11.6%).

However, 2 respondents (0.9%) felt that they work better than medical doctors, and 5 respondents (2.1%) felt that they could "spoil people's legs". However, despite of the opinion shade, 146 (62.7%) of the respondents were willing to accept surgical limb amputation if it becomes necessary. Also, 164 (70.4%) respondents affirmed that they would advise their relative to accept surgical limb amputation if needed.

DISCUSSION

The age range of 25-40 years, which forms the dominant age of respondents, seem to reflect the age range of common orthopedic pathologies in our environment such road traffic accidents and bone infections. This is closely followed by age range of 41-60, which represents the range for metabolic disease conditions like diabetic mellitus and peripheral vascular diseases that leads to orthopedic foot gangrene. It is important to note here that participants of Rivers State origin formed less than half of the respondents for this study, indirectly highlighting the diversity of patients patronizing the health facilities.

Most respondents demonstrated some awareness of the meaning of amputation, and majority also asserted to awareness of the existence of prosthesis for amputated patients. This level of awareness is similar to studies reported in Calabar, and Lagos, Nigeria. Majority of participants also opined that amputees could have normal potentials like any other person in society. 41,42 However, less than half of the respondents were of the opinion that some communities believe that those with amputated limb would come back to life in their "next world" with incomplete body, while only few of the study participants claimed ignorance of the existence of such community belief that concern those with limp amputation. This indirectly implies that majority of them knew that amputees suffer some setback from community beliefs. More so, such social isolating community practices like burying dead amputees in the evil forest, regarding them as community outcasts, and the likes, ensure that positive public awareness on amputation fades into insignificance at time of decision-making. These issues therefore best explain why some patients decline the offer of limb amputation even when it is life-saving.

Although majority of respondents were positive in their opinion about the doctors who carry out limb amputation, a few of them thought otherwise. The opinion of a few respondents gives an idea of public opinion showing acceptance of traditional bone setters and their role in society, which has been severally documented. 34,35,43,44 This also offers explanation to why some patients decline the offer of limb amputation in favour of traditional bone setters. Similar thoughts indicating reasons for patronage of traditional bone setters have been reported in earlier studies. 45,46 For this category of patients, their positive awareness on limb amputation and their educational background may again fade into insignificance at the time of decision.

CONCLUSION

There is high awareness on knowledge of limb amputation among respondents. Most of the respondents expressed willingness to accept offer of limb amputation, and also would advise their relatives to accept the same, if it becomes necessary. Though expressed by few respondents, it is obvious that some community beliefs do exist that affect patient that have had limb amputation. Traditional bone setters still have significant role in the

care of orthopedic patients in our society, as expressed by some respondents. The twin factors patronage of traditional bone setters and the practice of community stigmatization / social isolation even in death of amputees could explain the decline of offer of limb amputation by some patients.

Recommendation

Deliberate effort should be made by government and nongovernmental organizations to ensure further public education on these issues. Legislations against such harmful community stigmatization and isolations could go a long way to change the trend and impact on acceptance of necessary limb amputation.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- 1. Marsella AJ. In search of meaning: Some thoughts on belief, doubt, and wellbeing. Int J Transpersonal Studies. 1999;18(1):41-52.
- 2. Thorne S. Health belief systems in perspective. J Advanced Nursing. 1993;18(12):1931-41.
- 3. Vandervoort DJ. Hostility and health: mediating effects of belief systems and coping styles. Current Psychology. 2006;25(1):50-66.
- 4. Harkness S, Super CM. Parents' cultural belief systems: their origins, expressions, and consequences: Guilford Press. 1996.
- O'Connor BB. Vernacular health belief systems and their implications for clinical care. 1990. Available at https:// repository. upenn. edu/ dissertations/ AAI9112608. Accessed on 26 December 2020.
- 6. Callahan D. The WHO definition of health. Hastings Center Studies. 1973:77-87.
- 7. Saracci R. The World Health Organisation needs to reconsider its definition of health. BMJ. 1997;314(7091):1409.
- 8. Patrick DL, Bush J, Chen MM. Toward an operational definition of health. J Health Social Behavior. 1973;3:6-23.
- Stolz E, Großschädl F, Mayerl H, Rásky É, Freidl W. Determinants of acceptance of end-of-life interventions: a comparison between withdrawing life-prolonging treatment and euthanasia in Austria. BMC Medical Ethics. 2015;16(1):81.
- Kahesa C, Kjaer S, Mwaiselage J, Ngoma T, Tersbol B, Dartell M, et al. Determinants of acceptance of cervical cancer screening in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(1):1093.
- 11. Bo NJ, Ejg JD, Dorte GH, Lind BBM, Veldt LP. Determinants for acceptance of preventive treatment against heart disease—a web-based population survey. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1):783.
- 12. Akiode O, Shonubi AO, Musa A, Sule G. Major limb amputations: an audit of indications in a

- suburban surgical practice. J National Medcal Association. 2005;97(1):74.
- Chalya PL, Mabula JB, Dass RM, Ngayomela IH, Chandika AB, Mbelenge N, et al. Major limb amputations: A tertiary hospital experience in northwestern Tanzania. J Orthopaedic Surg. 2012;7(1):18.
- 14. Omagbemi OD, Vadiki O. Extremity amputations in Warri, south-south Nigeria. J West African College Surg. 2012;2(1):14.
- 15. Jeffcoate W, Van Houtum W. Amputation as a marker of the quality of foot care in diabetes. Diabetologia. 2004;47(12):2051-8.
- 16. Horgan O, Maclachlan M. Psychosocial adjustment to lower-limb amputation: a review. Disability and rehabilitation. 2004;26(14-15):837-50.
- 17. Bhuvaneswar CG, Epstein LA, Stern TA. Reactions to amputation: recognition and treatment. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;9(4):303.
- 18. Cater JK. Traumatic amputation: psychosocial adjustment of six Army women to loss of one or more limbs. J Rehabilitation Res Development. 2012;49(10):33-8.
- 19. Wald J, Alvaro R. Psychological factors in work-related amputation: considerations for rehabilitation counselors. J Rehabilitation. 2004;70(4):6.
- Magee R. Amputation through the ages: the oldest major surgical operation. J Surg. 1998;68(9):675-8.
- 21. Metzler I. A social history of disability in the middle ages: cultural considerations of physical impairment. Routledge. 2013.
- 22. Kirkup J. Perceptions of amputation before and after gunpowder. Vesalius. 1995;1(2):51-8.
- 23. Kirkup J. Interpretations of amputation by society, patients and surgeons: a history of limb. Amputation. 2007:96-109.
- Hull JM. Blindness and the face of God: Toward a theology of disability. Human Image God. 2001;5:3-28.
- 25. Muhammed AS, Kumar R, Rahim AH, Imran FH. Amputation and non-functioning limb salvage: cultural stigma of limb loss. Bahrain Medical Bulletin. 2017;158(5881):1-4.
- 26. Alonso LM. Stigmatizing neglected tropical diseases: a systematic review. Social Med. 2010;5(4):218-27.
- 27. Chataika T. Cultural and religious explanations of disability and promoting inclusive communities in Southern Africa. Dignity. 2013;15(1):117.
- 28. Abernethy L, Duncan JC, Childers WL. A content analysis on the media portrayal of characters with limb loss. J Prosthetics Ortho. 2017;29(4):170-6.
- 29. Jawaid IA, Kaimkhani GM. Current indications for major lower limb amputations at Civil Hospital, Karachi. Pak J Surg. 2008;24(4):228-31.
- 30. Olasinde A, Oginni L, Bankole J, Oluwadiya K. Indications for amputations in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Nigerian J Med. 2002;11(3):118-21.

- 31. Tan M, Gwee H, Yeo P, Lim P, Bose K. Diabetic amputees in Singapore. Tohoku J Experimental Med. 1983;141(4):575-82.
- 32. Zamzam AM, Teruya TH, Killeen JD, Ballard JL. Major lower extremity amputation in an academic vascular center. Annals Vascular Surg. 2003;17(1):86-90.
- 33. Aftabuddin M, Islam N, Jafar MAHM, Haque I. The status of lower-limb amputation in Bangladesh: a 6-year review. Surg. 1997;27(2):130-4.
- 34. Dada A, Giwa S, Yinusa W, Ugbeye M, Gbadegesin S. Complications of treatment of musculoskeletal injuries by bone setters. West African J Med. 2009;28(1):87-95.
- 35. Dada A, Yinusa W, Giwa S. Review of the practice of traditional bone setting in Nigeria. African Health Sci. 2011;11(2):56-9.
- 36. Omololu B, Ogunlade S, Alonge T. The complications seen from the treatment by traditional bonesetters. West Afr J Med. 2002;21(4):335-7.
- 37. Bickler SW, Duanda B. Bone setter's gangrene. J Pedia Surg. 2000;35(10):1431-3.
- 38. Garba E, Deshi P. Traditional bone setting: a risk factor in limb amputation. East African Med J. 1998;75(9):553-5.
- 39. Udosen A, Otei O, Onuba O. Role of traditional bone setters in Africa: experience in Calabar, Nigeria. Ann Afr Med. 2006;5(4):170-3.
- 40. Iyalomhe GB, Iyalomhe SI. Health seeking behavior of rural dwellers in Southern Nigeria: Implications for healthcare professionals. Int J Trop Dis Health. 2012;2(2):62-71.
- 41. Udosen AM, Ngim N, Etokidem A, Ikpeme A, Urom S, Marwa A. Attitude and perception of patients towards amputation as a form of surgical treatment in the University of Calabar teaching hospital, Nigeria. African Health Sci. 2009;9(4):77-4.
- 42. Enweluzo G, Ogbeide O, Akinbode O. Attitude, perception, acceptance, and life after amputation as seen in Lagos University Teaching Hospital. J Med Tropics. 2019;21(1):37-43.
- 43. Agarwal A, Agarwal R. The practice and tradition of bonesetting. Education Health. 2010;23(1):225.
- 44. Nwachukwu BU, Okwesili IC, Harris MB, Katz JN. Traditional bonesetters and contemporary orthopaedic fracture care in a developing nation: historical aspects, contemporary status and future directions. Open Orthopaedics J. 2011;5:20.
- 45. Sina OJ, Ayodele I. Traditional bone-setters and fracture care in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Alternative Integrative Med. 2015;7:56-8.
- 46. Nwokeke C, Igwillo U, Abdullahi M, Ihekorom C, Nwokeke C, Chukwu U, et al. A review of factors influencing patronage of traditional bone setters (TBS) in Nigeria. Alternative Integrative Med. 2018;7:46-9.

Cite this article as: Aaron FE, Ijah RFOA, Obene T. The orthopedic patient and limb amputation: impact of traditional beliefs on acceptance in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Int Surg J 2021;8:789-94.