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#### Abstract

We investigate the pair correlation function of the sequence of fractional parts of $\alpha n^{d}, n=1,2, \ldots, N$, where $d \geq 2$ is an integer and $\alpha$ an irrational. We conjecture that for badly approximable $\alpha$, the normalized spacings between elements of this sequence have Poisson statistics as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

We show that for almost all $\alpha$ (in the sense of measure theory), the pair correlation of this sequence is Poissonian.

In the quadratic case $d=2$, this implies a similar result for the energy levels of the "boxed oscillator" in the high-energy limit. This is a simple integrable system in 2 degrees of freedom studied by Berry and Tabor as an example for their conjecture that the energy levels of generic completely integrable systems have Poisson spacing statistics.


## 1. Introduction

Hermann Weyl [11] proved that for an integer $d \geq 1$ and an irrational $\alpha$, the sequence of fractional parts $\alpha n^{d} \bmod 1, n=1,2, \ldots$ is equidistributed in the unit interval. A different aspect of the random behavior of the sequence has attracted attention recently: Are the spacings between members of the sequence distributed like those between members of a sequence of random numbers in the unit interval (or as some would say, do they have a "Poissonian" distribution)? This issue came up in the context of the distribution of spacings of the energy levels of integrable systems [1]. For the case $d=1$ the spacings between the fractional parts of $\alpha n$ are essentially those of the energy levels of a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator [4, 2, 3]. For $d=2$ the spacings are related to the spacings between the energy levels of the "boxed oscillator" [1], a particle in a

[^0]2-dimensional potential well with hard walls in one direction and harmonic binding in the other. The spacings of $\alpha n^{2} \bmod 1$ were also investigated numerically in [5].

If $d=1$ it is elementary that the consecutive spacings have at most 3 values $[9,10]$. Hence the sequence is not random in this case. For $d \geq 2$ the picture is very different. To explain it, we recall a basic classification of real numbers with regards to their Diophantine approximation properties: We say $\alpha$ is of type $\kappa$ if there is $c=c(\alpha)>0$ so that

$$
|\alpha-p / q|>c / q^{\kappa}
$$

for all integers $p, q$. For rational $\alpha, \kappa=1$ and $\alpha$ is irrational if and only if $\kappa \geq 2$. It is well known that almost all $\alpha$ (in the sense of measure theory) are of type $\kappa=2+\epsilon$ for all $\epsilon>0$. We will call such $\alpha$ "Diophantine". For instance, algebraic irrationals are of this type (Roth's theorem).

In [7] we establish some results towards the conjecture that $\alpha n^{d} \bmod 1$ is Poissonian for any $\alpha$ of Diophantine type. In this note we examine the behavior for almost all $\alpha$, which according to the above should be Poissonian. The statistic we examine is the pair correlation: The pair correlation density for a sequence of $N$ numbers $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N} \in$ [ 0,1$]$ which are equidistributed as $N \rightarrow \infty$, measures the distribution of spacings between the $\theta_{j}$ at distances of order of the mean spacing $1 / N$. Precisely, if $\|x\|=$ distance $(x, \mathbf{Z})$ then for any interval $[-s, s]$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}\left([-s, s],\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}, N\right)=\frac{1}{N} \#\left\{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N:\left\|\theta_{j}-\theta_{k}\right\| \leq \frac{s}{N}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For random numbers $\theta_{j}$ chosen uniformly and independently,

$$
R_{2}\left([-s, s],\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}, N\right) \rightarrow 2 s
$$

with probability tending to 1 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Our main result is that this holds for the sequence of fractional parts $\left\{\alpha n^{d} \bmod 1\right\}$ for almost every $\alpha$ : Denoting by $R_{2}([-s, s], \alpha, N)$ the pair correlation sum (1.1) for this sequence, we show

Theorem 1. For $d \geq 2$, there is a set $P \subset \mathbf{R}$ of full Lebesgue measure such that for any $\alpha \in P$, and any $s \geq 0$,

$$
R_{2}([-s, s], \alpha, N) \rightarrow 2 s, \quad N \rightarrow \infty
$$

Remark 1.1. The proof given below does not provide (and we do not know of) any specific $\alpha$ which is provably in $P$.

Remark 1.2. Already with the pair correlation we see the necessity of a condition on the type of $\alpha$. For if there are arbitrarily large integers $p, q$ so that

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{p}{q}\right| \leq \frac{1}{10 q^{d+1}},
$$

then $R_{2}([-s, s], \alpha, N) \nrightarrow 2 s$. Indeed if we choose $N=q$, then for $m \neq n \leq N$,

$$
\left\|n^{d} \alpha-m^{d} \alpha\right\|=\left\|\frac{\left(n^{d}-m^{d}\right) p}{q}+\frac{t\left(n^{d}-m^{d}\right)}{10 q^{d+1}}\right\|
$$

with $|t| \leq 1$. Hence either $\left\|n^{d} \alpha-m^{d} \alpha\right\| \leq 1 / 10 q=1 / 10 N$ if $q$ divides $n^{d}-m^{d}$, or $\left\|n^{d} \alpha-m^{d} \alpha\right\| \geq 9 / 10 q=9 / 10 N$ otherwise. Thus there are no normalized differences $N\left\|n^{d} \alpha-m^{d} \alpha\right\|$ in the interval $(1 / 10,9 / 10)$ for this sequence of $N=q$.

The proof of the theorem follows the steps in [8] (where a similar assertion is proven for the values of binary quadratic forms). We first establish that as a function of $\alpha \in[0,1]$, $R_{2}([-s, s], \alpha, N) \rightarrow 2 s$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$. This together with standard bounds on the Weyl sums $S(n, N)=\sum_{x \leq N} e\left(n \alpha x^{d}\right)$ allows us to pass to almost everywhere convergence. In Sect. 4 we briefly discuss higher correlations and show that they do not converge in $L^{2}$ to the expected value. Thus our approach does not lend itself directly to establishing almost everywhere convergence of the higher correlations.

## 2. Bounding the Variance

Let $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ be a test function and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}\left(f,\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}, N\right):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N} F_{N}\left(\theta_{j}-\theta_{k}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(y)=\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} f(N(y+m)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $F_{N}(y)$ is periodic and has a Fourier expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(y)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e(n y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}\left(f,\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}, N\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n \in Z} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N} e\left(n\left(\theta_{j}-\theta_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\theta_{n}=\alpha n^{d} \bmod 1$, then the pair correlation function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n \in Z} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{o f f}(n, N):=\sum_{1 \leq x \neq y \leq N} e\left(n \alpha\left(x^{d}-y^{d}\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a function of $\alpha, R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)$ is periodic and from (2.5) its Fourier expansion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)=\sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{l}(N) e(l \alpha) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $l \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{l}(N)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \neq y \leq N \\ n\left(x d y \\ y^{d}\right)=l}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean of $R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)$ over $\alpha \in[0,1]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{2}\right\rangle=b_{0}(N)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq x \neq y \leq N} \widehat{f}(0)=\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right) \widehat{f}(0) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{2}\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x+O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the expected value for a random sequence.
We next estimate the variance of $R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)$ as a function of $\alpha$ :
Proposition 2. As a function of $\alpha \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)-\widehat{f}(0)\right\|_{2} \ll N^{-1 / 2+\epsilon} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\epsilon>0$, the implied constants depending on $\epsilon$ and $f$.
Proof. It is easy to see from (2.8) that since $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$, the Fourier coefficients $b_{l}(N)$ are negligable for $l \geq N^{d+1+\delta}$ for any fixed $\delta>0$. Also from (2.8) we have for $l \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{l}(N) \ll \frac{\tau(|l|)^{2}}{N^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau(|l|)$ is the numbers of divisors of $|l|$. This is because the factors of $l$ determine $n, x, y$. We will use the well-known estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(m) \ll m^{\epsilon}, \quad \text { for any } \epsilon>0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Parseval

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)-\widehat{f}(0)\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\left(\frac{\widehat{f}(0)}{N}\right)^{2}+\sum_{l \neq 0}\left|b_{l}(N)\right|^{2} \\
& \ll \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{2}}\left|b_{l}(N)\right| \\
& =\sum_{0=7 \mid \leq N^{d+1+\delta}} \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{2}}\left|b_{l}(N)\right|+\text { smaller order term } \\
& \ll \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{2}} \sum_{l \neq 0}\left|b_{l}(N)\right| \\
& \ll \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N^{2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \neq y \leq N \\
n \in \mathbf{Z}}} \frac{1}{N^{2}}\left|\widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)\right| \ll N^{-1+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Almost-Everywhere Convergence

3.1. Overview of the argument for Theorem 1. In order to prove Theorem 1 from the decay of the variance of the pair correlation, we first show that for each $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$, there is a set of full measure $P(f) \subset \mathbf{R}$ so that for all $\alpha \in P(f)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}\left(f, \alpha, N_{m}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(0) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a subsequence $N_{m}$ which grows faster than $m$. Indeed, fix $\delta>0$, and let $\left\{N_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence of integers with

$$
N_{m} \sim m^{1+\delta}
$$

Set

$$
X_{N}(\alpha)=R_{2}(f, \alpha, N)-\widehat{f}(0)
$$

By Proposition 2, $\left\|X_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}<_{\epsilon} N^{-1+\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon>0$ and so

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left|X_{N_{m}}(\alpha)^{2}\right| d \alpha<\infty
$$

Therefore (since $\left|X_{N_{m}}\right|^{2} \geq 0$ )

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{m}\left|X_{N_{m}}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha=\sum_{m} \int_{0}^{1}\left|X_{N_{m}}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha<\infty
$$

and so $\sum_{m}\left|X_{N_{m}}\right|^{2} \in L^{1}(0,1)$. Thus the sum is finite almost everywhere:

$$
\sum_{m}\left|X_{N_{m}}(\alpha)\right|^{2}<\infty, \quad \text { for almost all } \alpha
$$

Therefore, $X_{N_{m}}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for almost all $\alpha$, that is we have (3.1) on a set $P(f)$ of $\alpha$ 's which we may assume consists only of Diophantine numbers.

To go from almost everywhere convergence along a subsequence to almost everywhere convergence, we will show that as a function of $N, R_{2}(f, N, \alpha)$ does not oscillate much for Diophantine $\alpha$. More precisely, there is some $\nu>0$ so that if $N_{m} \leq n<N_{m+1}$ then for Diophantine $\alpha$, there is $c(f, \alpha)>0$ so that

$$
\left|X_{n}(\alpha)-X_{N_{m}}(\alpha)\right| \ll c(f, \alpha) N_{m}^{-\nu}
$$

Because $0 \leq n-N_{m} \leq N_{m+1}-N_{m} \ll N_{m}^{\delta}$, this estimate in turn will follow from:
Proposition 3. Let $0<\delta<1 / 2^{d-1}$. Then for all $f \in C_{c}(\mathbf{R})$ and all $\alpha$ of Diophantine type, there is some $c(f, \alpha)>0$ so that for all $0 \leq k \leq N^{\delta}$,

$$
\left|X_{N+k}(\alpha)-X_{N}(\alpha)\right| \leq c(f, \alpha) N^{-\nu}
$$

where $\nu<1 / 2^{d-1}-\delta$.

Since $X_{N_{m}}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ for all $\alpha \in P(f)$, which by throwing out a measure-zero subset we assumed consisted only of Diophantine $\alpha$ 's, Proposition 3 implies $X_{n}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ for all $\alpha \in P(f)$. We will prove this proposition after finishing the proof of Theorem 1. What remains to do is to find one subset $P \subset \mathbf{R}$ of full measure for which $R_{2}(f, \alpha, N) \rightarrow$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x$ for all $\alpha \in P$ and all $f$ which are characteristic function of intervals $[-s, s]$ (or in $C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ ). To do this, pick a (countable) sequence of positive $f_{i} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ so that for each $f \geq 0$ as above, there are subsequences $\left\{f_{i}^{ \pm}\right\} \subset\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ which satisfy $f_{i}^{-} \leq f \leq f_{i}^{+}$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(f_{i}^{+}-f_{i}^{-}\right)(x) d x \rightarrow 0$. Take $P:=\cap_{i} P\left(f_{i}\right)$ which is still of full measure. For every $\alpha$ we have

$$
R_{2}\left(f_{i}^{-}, \alpha, N\right) \leq R_{2}(f, \alpha, N) \leq R_{2}\left(f_{i}^{+}, \alpha, N\right)
$$

and in addition for $\alpha \in P$, we have $R_{2}\left(f_{i}^{ \pm}, \alpha, N\right) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{i}^{ \pm}$. Since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{i}^{ \pm} \rightarrow$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f$, this shows that $R_{2}(f, \alpha, N) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f$ for $\alpha \in P$ and gives Theorem 1 .

The proof of Proposition 3 will occupy the rest of this section.
3.2. Estimates for Weyl sums. We begin with some consequences of Weyl's estimates for the "Weyl sums" $S(n, N)=\sum_{x \leq N} e\left(n \alpha x^{d}\right)$ which we will need. Throughout the remainder of this section, we set $D=2^{d-1}$.

Lemma 4. For $\alpha$ Diophantine, and $M \geq 1$, we have

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M}|S(n, N)|^{D} \ll M^{1+\epsilon} N^{D-1+\epsilon}
$$

for all $\epsilon>0\left(D=2^{d-1}\right)$.
Proof. This follows from proof of Weyl's inequality (see [6], Lemma 3). We will outline the steps. By repeated squaring, one finds that

$$
|S(n, N)|^{D} \ll N^{D-1}+N^{D-d} \sum_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d-1}=1}^{N} \min \left\{N, \frac{1}{\left\|d!n \alpha y_{1} \ldots y_{d-1}\right\|}\right\}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer. Now sum over $n \leq M$, collecting together terms with the product $d!n y_{1} \ldots y_{d-1}$ having a given value $m$. The number of such terms is at most the divisor function $\tau(m) \ll m^{\epsilon}$. Since the maximal value of $m$ is $d!M N^{d-1}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M}|S(n, N)|^{D} \ll M N^{D-1}+M^{\epsilon} N^{D-d+\epsilon} \sum_{m \leq d!M N^{d-1}} \min \left\{N, \frac{1}{\|m \alpha\|}\right\}_{(3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding as in [6], we replace $\alpha$ by a rational approximation $a / q$ with $|\alpha-a / q| \leq 1 / q^{2}$, and divide the range of summation into consecutive blocks of length $q$. This will give

$$
\sum_{m \leq d!M N^{d-1}} \min \left\{N, \frac{1}{\|m \alpha\|}\right\} \ll\left(\frac{M N^{d-1}}{q}+1\right) \cdot(N+q \log q)
$$

Inserting into (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M}|S(n, N)|^{D} \ll M N^{D-1}+M^{\epsilon} N^{D-d+\epsilon}\left(\frac{M N^{d-1}}{q}+1\right) \cdot(N+q \log q) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $q \leq M N^{d-1}$ with $|\alpha-a / q| \leq 1 / q M N^{d-1}$ (so certainly $|\alpha-a / q| \leq$ $1 / q^{2}$ so (3.3) holds). Since $\alpha$ is Diophantine, $|\alpha-a / q| \gg 1 / q^{2+\epsilon}$ which gives $q \gg$ $\left(M N^{d-1}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$. Therefore

$$
\left(\frac{M N^{d-1}}{q}+1\right) \cdot(N+q \log q) \ll\left(M N^{d-1}\right)^{1+\epsilon}
$$

and consequently

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M}|S(n, N)|^{D} \ll M^{1+\epsilon} N^{D-1+\epsilon}
$$

as required.
As an immediate consequence of this lemma, we get on repeatedly using the CauchySchwarz inequality that

Corollary 5. For $\alpha$ Diophantine, and $M \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M}|S(n, N)|^{2} \ll M^{1+\epsilon} N^{2-2 / D+\epsilon} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M}|S(n, N)| \ll M^{1+\epsilon} N^{1-1 / D+\epsilon} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. Proof of Proposition 3. We first show

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{N+k}(\alpha)-X_{N}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{0<|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)\left\{s_{o f f}(n, N+k)-s_{o f f}(n, N)\right\} \\
+  \tag{3.6}\\
+O\left(M^{2+\epsilon} N^{-2+\delta-2 / D}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We use the representation (2.5),

$$
X_{N}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N)
$$

Since $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$, its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ is rapidly decreasing and so on using the trivial estimate $\left|s_{o f f}(n, N)\right| \leq N^{2}$ we see that for any $b>0, M=N^{1+b}$,

$$
X_{N}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N)+\text { rapidly decaying term. }
$$

Next we use $\left|s_{o f f}(n, N)\right| \leq N+|S(n, N)|^{2}$ and Corollary 5 to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M}\left|s_{o f f}(n, N+k)\right| \leq M N+\sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M}|S(n, N+k)|^{2} \ll M^{1+\epsilon} N^{2-2 / D} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we claim that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{(N+k)^{2}} \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N+k}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N+k)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N+k) \\
&+O\left(M^{2+\epsilon} N^{-2+\delta-2 / D}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, write

$$
\frac{1}{(N+k)^{2}}=\frac{1}{N^{2}}+O\left(\frac{k}{N^{3}}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2}}+O\left(N^{-3+\delta}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{n}{N+k}=\frac{n}{N}+O\left(\frac{n k}{N^{2}}\right)=\frac{n}{N}+O\left(\frac{M}{N^{2-\delta}}\right)
$$

so that for $|n| \leq M, k<N^{\delta}$,

$$
\widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N+k}\right)=\widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)+O\left(\frac{M}{N^{2-\delta}}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(N+k)^{2}} \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N+k}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N+k) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N+k) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{N^{3-\delta}}\right)\right) \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M}\left(\widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)+O\left(\frac{M}{N^{2-\delta}}\right)\right) s_{o f f}(n, N+k) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) s_{o f f}(n, N+k) \\
& \ll\left(\frac{M}{N^{4-\delta}}+\frac{1}{N^{3-\delta}}\right) \sum_{0 \neq|n| \leq M}\left|s_{o f f}(n, N+k)\right| \\
& \quad \ll \frac{M}{N^{4-\delta}} M^{1+\epsilon} N^{2-2 / D}=M^{2+\epsilon} N^{-2+\delta-2 / D} \quad \text { by (3.7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

as required. This proves (3.6).
Next we express the difference $s_{o f f}(n, N+k)-s_{o f f}(n, N)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{o f f}(n, N+k)-s_{o f f}(n, N)=2 \operatorname{Re} & \sum_{N+1 \leq y \leq N+k} e\left(-n \alpha y^{d}\right) \sum_{1 \leq x \leq N} e\left(n \alpha x^{d}\right) \\
& +\sum_{N+1 \leq x \neq y \leq N+k} e\left(n \alpha\left(x^{d}-y^{d}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate the second term trivially by $k^{2}$ :

$$
\left|s_{o f f}(n, N+k)-s_{o f f}(n, N)\right| \leq k|S(n, N+k)|+k^{2} .
$$

Then inserting this into (3.6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{N+k}(\alpha)-X_{N}(\alpha) \ll \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{0<|n| \leq M}\left(k|S(n, N+k)|+k^{2}\right)+M^{2+\epsilon} N^{-2+\delta-2 / D} \\
& \ll \frac{k}{N^{2}} \sum_{0<|n| \leq M}|S(n, N)|+\frac{M k^{2}}{N^{2}}+M^{2+\epsilon} N^{-2+\delta-2 / D} \\
& \ll \frac{k}{N^{2}} M^{1+\epsilon} N^{1-1 / D}+\frac{M k^{2}}{N^{2}}+M^{2+\epsilon} N^{-2+\delta-2 / D} \quad \text { by (3.5) } \\
& \ll M^{1+\epsilon} k N^{-1-1 / D} \ll N^{b+\delta-1 / D+\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b>0$ can be made arbitrarily small, this proves our proposition.

## 4. Triple and Higher Correlations

The higher correlations run into some basic difficulties. For example, consider the triple correlation for $\alpha n^{2} \bmod 1$. For a test function $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}} f\left(N\left(y_{1}+m_{1}\right), N\left(y_{2}+m_{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is periodic and has a Fourier expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(y)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) e(k \cdot y) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The triple correlation function of the sequence $\alpha n^{2} \bmod 1$ and for the test function $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3}(f, \alpha, N)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq x, y, z \leq N}^{\prime} F_{N}\left(\alpha\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right), \alpha\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $\sum^{\prime}$ is over all triples of distinct integers $x, y, z$. The Fourier expansion of $R_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3}(f, \alpha, N)=\sum_{l} c_{l}(N) e(l \alpha) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{l}(N)=\frac{1}{N^{3}} \sum_{\substack{1, x, y, z \leq N, k_{1}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+k_{2}\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right)=l}}^{\prime} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{N}, \frac{k_{1}}{N}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no doubt that the mean $\left\langle R_{3}\right\rangle=c_{0}(N) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(0,0)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the expected answer for random sequence, and that more generally $c_{l}(N) \rightarrow 0$ if $l \neq 0$. That is to say that $R_{3}(f, \alpha, N) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(0,0)$ in the weak sense. This can probably be proven. However, a much greater difficulty appears and that is that if $f(0) \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{3}(f, N)-\widehat{f}(0)\right\|_{2}^{2} \gg N \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the $L^{2}$ approach to almost-everywhere convergence is problematic in this case. In fact, this feature of the $L^{2}$-norm being as large a manifestation of $R_{3}$ being very large at rational $\alpha$ 's. For almost all $\alpha$ we still expect that $R_{3}(f, \alpha, N) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(0,0)$.

To prove (4.6) note that as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\sum_{l} c_{l}(N)=\frac{1}{N^{3}} \sum_{1 \leq x, y, x \leq N}^{\prime} \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{N}, \frac{k_{1}}{N}\right) \sim N^{2} f(0)
$$

Hence if $f(0) \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
N^{2} \ll\left|\sum_{l} c_{l}(N)\right| \leq\left(\sum_{l}\left|c_{l}(N)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{l \ll N^{3}} 1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
=N^{3 / 2}\left(\sum_{l}\left|c_{l}(N)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives (4.6).
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