

## Article (refereed) - postprint

---

Hubau, Wannes; De Mil, Tom; Van den Bulcke, Jan; Phillips, Oliver L.; Angoboy Ilondea, Bhély; Van Acker, Joris; Sullivan, Martin J.P.; Nsenga, Laurent; Toirambe, Benjamin; Couralet, Camille; Banin, Lindsay F.; Begne, Serge K.; Baker, Timothy R.; Bourland, Nils; Chezeaux, Eric; Clark, Connie J.; Collins, Murray; Comiskey, James A.; Cuni-Sanchez, Aida; Deklerck, Victor; Dierickx, Sofie; Doucet, Jean-Louis; Ewango, Corneille E.N.; Feldpausch, Ted R.; Gilpin, Martin; Gonmadje, Christelle; Hall, Jefferson S.; Harris, David J.; Hardy, Olivier J.; Kamdem, Marie-Noel D.; Kasongo Yakusu, Emmanuel; Lopez-Gonzalez, Gabriela; Makana, Jean-Remy; Malhi, Yadvinder; Mbayu, Faustin M.; Moore, Sam; Mukinzi, Jacques; Pickavance, Georgia; Poulsen, John R.; Reitsma, Jan; Rousseau, Mélissa; Sonké, Bonaventure; Sunderland, Terry; Taedoumg, Hermann; Talbot, Joey; Tshibamba Mukendi, John; Umunay, Peter M.; Vleminckx, Jason; White, Lee J.T.; Zemagho, Lise; Lewis, Simon L.; Beeckman, Hans. 2019. **The persistence of carbon in the African forest understory.** *Nature Plants*, 5 (2). 133-140. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0316-5>

© 2019 Springer Nature Publishing AG.

This version available <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/522620/>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

**This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article following the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version may remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.**

[www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/)

Contact CEH NORA team at  
[noraceh@ceh.ac.uk](mailto:noraceh@ceh.ac.uk)

# 1 **Title**

2 **The persistence of carbon in the African forest understory**

3

## 4 **Authors**

5 Wannès Hubau <sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Tom De Mil <sup>1,2</sup>\*, Jan Van den Bulcke <sup>2,4</sup>, Oliver L. Phillips <sup>3</sup>, Bhély Angoboy Ilondea  
6 <sup>1,5,6</sup>, Joris Van Acker <sup>2,4</sup>, Martin J. P. Sullivan <sup>3</sup>, Laurent Nsenga <sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Toirambe <sup>1</sup>, Camille Couralet <sup>1</sup>,  
7 Lindsay F. Banin <sup>7</sup>, Serge K. Begne <sup>8,3</sup>, Timothy R. Baker <sup>3</sup>, Nils Bourland <sup>1,9,10,11</sup>, Eric Chezeaux <sup>12</sup>, Connie  
8 J. Clark <sup>13</sup>, Murray Collins <sup>14</sup>, James A. Comiskey <sup>15,16</sup>, Aida Cuni-Sanchez <sup>17,18</sup>, Victor Deklerck <sup>2,4</sup>, Sofie  
9 Dierickx <sup>1</sup>, Jean-Louis Doucet <sup>10</sup>, Corneille E. N. Ewango <sup>19,20,21</sup>, Ted R. Feldpausch <sup>22</sup>, Martin Gilpin <sup>3</sup>,  
10 Christelle Gonmadje <sup>23</sup>, Jefferson S. Hall <sup>24</sup>, David J. Harris <sup>25</sup>, Olivier J. Hardy <sup>26</sup>, Marie-Noel D. Kamdem  
11 <sup>8,27</sup>, Emmanuel Kasongo Yakusu <sup>1,21,2</sup>, Gabriela Lopez-Gonzalez <sup>3</sup>, Jean-Remy Makana <sup>19</sup>, Yadvinder Malhi  
12 <sup>28</sup>, Faustin M. Mbayu <sup>21</sup>, Sam Moore <sup>28</sup>, Jacques Mukinzi <sup>19,29</sup>, Georgia Pickavance <sup>3</sup>, John R. Poulsen <sup>13</sup>, Jan  
13 Reitsma <sup>30</sup>, Méliissa Rousseau <sup>1,11</sup>, Bonaventure Sonké <sup>8</sup>, Terry Sunderland <sup>9,31</sup>, Hermann Taedoumg <sup>8</sup>, Joey  
14 Talbot <sup>3</sup>, John Tshibamba Mukendi <sup>1,21,32</sup>, Peter M. Umunay <sup>33</sup>, Jason Vleminckx <sup>26,34</sup>, Lee J. T. White <sup>35,36,37</sup>,  
15 Lise Zemagho <sup>8</sup>, Simon L. Lewis <sup>3,17</sup>, Hans Beeckman <sup>1</sup>

16

17 \* These authors contributed equally to this work.

18

## 19 **Contact information**

20 [whubau@gmail.com](mailto:whubau@gmail.com), [wannes.hubau@africamuseum.be](mailto:wannes.hubau@africamuseum.be), [tom.demil@ugent.be](mailto:tom.demil@ugent.be)

21 Service of Wood Biology, Royal Museum for Central Africa

22 Tervuren, Belgium, Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080 Tervuren

23

## 24 **Affiliations**

25 1 Service of Wood Biology, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium

26 2 UGent-Woodlab, Laboratory of Wood Technology, Department of Environment, Ghent University,

27 Ghent, Belgium

28 3 School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

29 4 Centre for X-ray Tomography (UGCT), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

30 5 Institut National pour l'Etude et la Recherche Agronomique, Kinshasa I, Democratic Republic of  
31 Congo

32 6 Ecole Régionale Postuniversitaire d'Aménagement et de Gestion intégrés des Forêts et Territoires  
33 tropicaux (ERAIFT), Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo

34 7 Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Penicuik, UK

35 8 Plant Systematic and Ecology Laboratory, Higher Teachers' Training College, University of  
36 Yaounde I, Cameroon

37 9 CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia

38 10 Forest Resources Management, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liege, Belgium

39 11 Resources and Synergies Development, Singapore, Singapore

40 12 Rougier-Gabon, Libreville, Gabon

41 13 Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

42 14 Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK

43 15 Inventory & Monitoring Program, National Park Service, Fredericksburg, VA, USA

44 16 Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA

45 17 Department of Geography, University College London, London, UK

46 18 Department of Geography and Environment, University of York, York, UK

47 19 Wildlife Conservation Society-DR Congo, Kinshasa I, Democratic Republic of Congo

48 20 Centre de Formation et de Recherche en Conservation Forestiere (CEFRECOF), Epulu, Democratic  
49 Republic of Congo

50 21 Faculté de Gestion de Ressources Naturelles Renouvelables, Université de Kisangani, Kisangani,  
51 Democratic Republic of Congo

52 22 Geography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

53 23 National Herbarium, Yaounde, Cameroon

54 24 ForestGEO, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panamá, Republic of Panama

55 25 Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

56 26 Service d'Évolution Biologique et écologie, Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles,  
57 Brussels, Belgium

58 27 Faculty of Science, Department of Botany and Plant Physiology, University of Buea, Buea,  
59 Cameroon

60 28 Environmental Change Institute, School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford,  
61 Oxford, UK

62 29 Salonga National Park, Kinshasa I, DR Congo

63 30 Bureau Waardenburg, The Netherlands

64 31 Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

65 32 Faculté des Sciences Appliquées, Université de Mbuji-Mayi, Mbuji-Mayi, Democratic Republic of  
66 Congo

67 33 Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies, New Haven, CT, USA

68 34 Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, FL, USA

69 35 Agence Nationale des Parcs Nationaux, Libreville, Gabon

70 36 Institut de Recherche en Ecologie Tropicale, Libreville, Gabon

71 37 School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83 **Quantifying carbon dynamics in forests is critical for understanding their role in long-term climate**  
84 **regulation<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>. Yet little is known about tree longevity in tropical forests<sup>3,5,6,7,8</sup>, a factor that is vital for**  
85 **estimating carbon persistence<sup>3,4</sup>. Here we calculate mean carbon age (the period that carbon is fixed in**  
86 **trees<sup>7</sup>) in different strata of African tropical forests using (i) growth-ring records with a unique**  
87 **timestamp accurately demarcating 66 years of growth in one site and (ii) measurements of diameter**  
88 **increments from the African Tropical Rainforest Observation Network (23 sites). We find that in spite**  
89 **of their much smaller size, in understory trees mean carbon age (74 years) is greater than in sub-**  
90 **canopy (54 years) and canopy (57 years) trees, and similar to carbon age in emergent trees (66 years).**  
91 **The remarkable carbon longevity in the understory results from slow and aperiodic growth as an**  
92 **adaptation to limited resource availability<sup>9,10,11</sup>. Our analysis also reveals that while the understory**  
93 **represents a small share (11%) of the carbon stock<sup>12,13</sup>, it contributes disproportionately to the forest**  
94 **carbon sink (20%). We conclude that accounting for the diversity of carbon age and carbon**  
95 **sequestration among different forest strata is critical for effective conservation management<sup>14,15,16</sup>, and**  
96 **for accurate modelling of carbon cycling<sup>4</sup>.**

97  
98 Investing in carbon storage and sequestration represent important climate change mitigation strategies<sup>3</sup>.  
99 Forests have a potential to provide both long-lived carbon stocks<sup>7,17</sup> and long-term carbon sinks<sup>1,2</sup>. To  
100 successfully conserve forest carbon stock and increase forest carbon uptake, we must conserve carbon-rich  
101 forests and extend the forested land area<sup>3</sup>, but decision makers and managers also need to understand the  
102 long-term behaviour of carbon within forests<sup>1,2,3</sup>. Critical questions are: (i) how long does the carbon persist,  
103 and (ii) where does it stay longest in the system? Carbon residence time is a direct function of tree  
104 longevity<sup>3,7,17</sup>, but attempts to estimate tree age in tropical rainforests are relatively scarce and often highly  
105 contested<sup>5,6,7,8</sup>. Estimating the ages of the oldest trees in tropical forest stands is particularly subject to debate.  
106 While some authors claim that broadleaved trees in the tropics may reach ages of 1000 years or older<sup>8,18</sup>,  
107 others estimate maximum ages of not more than 600 years<sup>5,6</sup>. Furthermore, the oldest carbon in the system is  
108 often assumed to be located in large trees<sup>8</sup>. Canopy and emergent trees contain a large proportion of the  
109 stand-level biomass<sup>12,14</sup> but large trees alone may not represent well the entire forest in terms of growth rates,  
110 tree lifespan and carbon persistence<sup>7</sup>. Canopy species grow faster<sup>19</sup>, but there is a general trade-off between

111 growth and lifespan in organisms<sup>9,20</sup>. Therefore, long-term carbon storage and sequestration in tropical  
112 rainforests may substantially depend on smaller trees too.

113

114 Here, we take advantage of a remarkable rediscovery of a historic forest inventory plot to probe the age  
115 structure of African rainforests in a way that has not been possible to date. The Nkulapark plot was  
116 established in 1948 in the southwest of the Democratic Republic of the Congo (Supplementary Fig.1). A  
117 total of 6315 trees with diameter on breast height (DBH)  $\geq 5$ cm were tagged and DBH was measured  
118 annually for 9 years. In 2014, we rediscovered and measured 450 surviving tagged trees, of which 55 were  
119 selected to measure growth ring series. We used the grown-in iron nail as a 1948 timestamp, giving accurate  
120 estimates of the DBH growth rate (in  $\text{mm yr}^{-1}$ ) and the rate of growth-ring formation (number of rings per  
121 year) over a 66 year period for each tree (Fig.1, Supplementary Table 1). The age of each individual tree (in  
122 years) was calculated as the total number of rings from pith to bark, divided by the rate of growth-ring  
123 formation (number of rings per year) (Fig.1, Fig.2). We used the five-class Crown Illumination Index of  
124 Dawkins & Field (hereafter CII)<sup>21,22</sup> to compare growth patterns and tree age among the different rainforest  
125 strata: understory (CII=1), sub-canopy (CII=2,3), canopy (CII=4) and emergent stratum (CII=5). Understory  
126 trees receive no direct light, sub-canopy trees receive lateral light, canopy and emergent trees receive vertical  
127 light (Fig.3a).Supplementary analyses show that the rediscovered Nkulapark tree dataset is adequate to  
128 compare age differences between forest strata (Supplementary Fig.2, Supplementary Fig.3, Supplementary  
129 Discussion).

130

131 We find that the age of the 55 Nkulapark trees with growth-ring series ranges between 129 and 452 years  
132 (Fig.2, Supplementary Table 1). There is no clear linear relationship between tree age and their DBH  
133 ( $p=0.082$ , Fig.2a). Understory trees (CII=1) do not differ significantly in age from canopy and emergent trees  
134 (CII=4 or 5) ( $p=0.254$ , boxplot at the right of Fig.2b), while trees in sub-canopy classes (CII=2 or 3) are  
135 slightly younger than trees in both the understory and the canopy. Despite their small size, understory trees  
136 (CII=1) can be surprisingly old. One *Microdesmis puberula* (TreeID=3545) has an estimated age of 329  
137 years, with a DBH of just 156 mm (Supplementary Table 1).

138

139 To test if our findings hold true in a wider geographic context, we compared growth and age patterns among  
140 the different forest strata in 23 Central African permanent forest inventory plots<sup>1</sup> (Fig.3, Table 1,  
141 Supplementary Fig.1 and Supplementary Table 2). Selected plots had a similar species composition as the  
142 Nkulapark. Plots are demarcated rectangles or squares of median size 1 ha where each tree is mapped, tagged  
143 and measured according to standard protocols<sup>1,2</sup>. DBH of each tree with DBH $\geq$  10 cm was measured at  
144 least twice. Small trees that grew larger than 10cm during the monitoring period were recorded as recruits.  
145 Trees that died were recorded. We used repeated diameter measurements to estimate the growth rate of each  
146 individual tree. We estimated tree age by dividing the final diameter (mm) by the growth rate (mm yr<sup>-1</sup>),  
147 assuming a constant growth rate over the lifetime of a tree<sup>7</sup>. We evaluated the robustness of this age  
148 estimation method using the rediscovered Nkulapark trees as a reference (Supplementary Fig.4).  
149 Uncertainties are due to relatively short plot monitoring periods (average 9 years), yielding negative or zero  
150 growth rates for 9.7% of the trees. To avoid unrealistic tree age estimates, we replaced slow growth rates by  
151 a ‘minimum allowed growth rate’, defined as the x<sup>th</sup> percentile of the growth rate distribution within each CII  
152 class. Sensitivity analysis showed that x=25 returned a realistic tree age distribution for our dataset  
153 (Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Discussion). Further analysis suggests that x may be lower if  
154 monitoring periods are longer. Finally, we estimated tree-level mean carbon age as the average age of each  
155 year ring, weighted by the carbon content of the ring<sup>7</sup>, with a year ring sequence deduced from the growth  
156 rate.

157

158 The mean tree age for the 23 plots across the Congo Basin ranged between 131 and 284 years, with an  
159 overall mean of 229 years (95% bootstrapped confidence intervals: 212-244 years) (Table 1). Mean tree age  
160 in the understory (CII=1) is estimated to be 262 years, which is significantly older than the overall mean  
161 (p<0.001) and older than the mean age of the sub-canopy (CII=3, 187 years, p<0.001), the canopy (CII=4,  
162 194 years, p<0.001) and emergent classes (CII=5, 221 years, p=0.021) (Table 1). Furthermore, mean carbon  
163 age at the tree level is 65 years (95% CI: 61-70). Carbon stored in the understory trees (CII=1) is estimated to  
164 be on average 74 years (69-79), which is significantly older than the overall mean (p<0.001) and older than  
165 carbon stored in the sub-canopy (CII=3, 54 years, p<0.001) and the canopy (CII=4, 57 years, p=0.001).

166 However, the difference between mean carbon age in the understory (CII=1) versus the emergent class  
167 (CII=5, 66 years) is not significant ( $p=0.086$ ) (Fig.3b and Table 1).

168

169 For each forest stratum within each plot, we calculated above-ground biomass-carbon stock (AGC-stock, in  
170  $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) and the AGC-sink ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) using standard methods<sup>1,2</sup> (Table 1). The AGC-stock  
171 represents the carbon reservoir in the system while the AGC-sink represents the net change, calculated as  
172 AGC-productivity (additions to the system from tree growth) minus AGC-mortality (losses)<sup>17</sup>. The mean  
173 AGC-stock in the understory (CII=1) is  $19 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ , which is 11% of the total plot-level AGC-stock (Table  
174 1). The mean AGC-sink in the understory (CII=1) is  $0.21 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Fig.3c), which represents 21% of  
175 the plot-level AGC-sink. In contrast, the sub-canopy classes (CII=2 and 3) together contribute about 24% to  
176 the AGC-stock, but nothing to the AGC-sink ( $-0.01 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). The understory thus contributes  
177 disproportionately to carbon sequestration, considering its relatively small share in the stock, and compared to  
178 the limited contribution of the sub-canopy classes.

179

## 180 **Discussion**

181

182 Results from both the Nkulapark dataset (Fig.2) and the 23 inventory plots (Fig.3, Table 1) show that  
183 understory (CII=1) and emergent (CII=5) trees are on average older than sub-canopy trees (CII=3). This  
184 pattern can be explained by differences in species composition<sup>15</sup>. Understory ‘specialist species’ maintain  
185 low growth rates for long periods, resulting in relatively small DBH at older age. Their adaptations allow  
186 them to survive in the understory without the need to invest in rapid growth. The sub-canopy classes (CII=2  
187 and 3) are populated with suppressed ‘canopy specialists’ that survived a recruitment stage but didn’t reach  
188 the canopy yet. These trees are relatively young (Fig.3) and they experience limited light conditions,  
189 resulting in mortality rates equaling productivity rates (Table 1). Canopy and emergent trees (CII= 4 and 5  
190 respectively) are canopy specialists that have been able to grow rapidly for long periods<sup>23</sup>. This is in line with  
191 ref.<sup>19</sup> who show that growth rates in emergent trees are high and increase continuously. To test the  
192 assumption that high tree and carbon age in the understory may be due to a difference in species  
193 composition, we classified species as (i) understory specialists, (ii) non-specialists and (iii) canopy specialists



194 (Supplementary Discussion). This confirmed that understory specialists are on average smaller ( $p < 0.001$ ) but  
195 older ( $p = 0.003$ ) than canopy specialists (Supplementary Table 4).

196

197 Furthermore, the Nkulapark dataset shows that there is a significant negative relationship between tree age  
198 and growth-ring formation rate (Fig.2b). 91% of the trees in the dataset did not form a growth-ring every  
199 year, suggesting an aperiodic growth pattern (shifts of growth to dormancy and back do not occur annually).  
200 This aperiodic growth pattern is more prominent in understory trees, which formed fewer growth rings (0.36  
201 rings per year) than canopy and emergent trees (0.61 rings per year) ( $p = 0.01$ ; boxplots at the top of Fig.2b).  
202 Aperiodic growth patterns translate into significantly slower long-term growth rates: understory trees ( $CII = 1$ )  
203 have a mean DBH growth rate of  $1.52 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  versus  $4.99 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  in emergent trees ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 1).  
204 The observed differences in growth periodicity (Fig.2b), growth rates (Table 1) and age patterns (Fig.3)  
205 among the different forest strata are most likely a result of differences in survival mechanisms which are a  
206 function of resource availability<sup>11,24,25</sup> (Supplementary Discussion).

207

208 Our data show that growth and age distribution of tropical trees in mixed lowland rainforests is complex.  
209 Large canopy trees are among the oldest in the rainforest, as suggested by several authors<sup>8</sup>, but as they  
210 obtained their size and position by maintaining fast growth rates<sup>19</sup>, they are not significantly older than slow-  
211 growing small understory specialists (Fig.2, Fig.3, Table 1). Furthermore, while large canopy trees represent  
212 the largest share of the carbon stock<sup>12,13,14</sup>, they suffer most during drought periods<sup>26</sup>. In comparison,  
213 understory trees represent a smaller carbon capital but they are less vulnerable to drought and contribute  
214 disproportionately to carbon sequestration. As such, the understory provides long-term stability in forest  
215 carbon cycling. Furthermore, the understory is more diverse than the canopy in terms of species  
216 composition<sup>14,15,16</sup>. Therefore, we recommend quantifying forest ecosystem services by considering forests as  
217 a whole, with all forest strata providing specific services<sup>16</sup>. This is important in Central Africa, where the  
218 demand for fuelwood and charcoal could severely affect the understory if only large trees were to be  
219 protected<sup>14,27</sup>.

220

221 Finally, our results suggest that care is required with large-scale modelling of forest carbon accumulation  
222 potential and responses to different climate change scenarios<sup>4</sup>. There are two hypothesised responses to  
223 increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, possibly explaining the long-term observed AGC-sink in tropical  
224 forests<sup>1,2</sup>: (i) big trees increase their asymmetric competition benefit to the detriment of the rest of the stand,  
225 or (ii) suppressed trees do best, as they are closer to their light compensation point<sup>17,28</sup>. Our results show that  
226 both scenarios occur in forest stands, with the understory (CII=1), the canopy (CII=4) and the emergent  
227 (CII=5) classes contributing to carbon sequestration, while the sub-canopy classes (CII=2 and 3) do not  
228 contribute. Forest and carbon cycle models will need to account for the diversity of carbon age and carbon  
229 sequestration potential among the different forest strata. Recent studies have found that forest structure can  
230 be predicted from the characteristics of canopy trees<sup>13,14</sup>, but our results suggest that temporal dynamics  
231 differ between forest strata. The long-term persistence of function depends on smaller trees too, which  
232 compared to their stature contribute disproportionately heavily to long-term carbon storage, sequestration,  
233 and climate resilience.

234

## 235 **References**

- 236 1. Lewis, S. L. et al. Increasing carbon storage in intact African tropical forests. *Nature* **457**, 1003–1006  
237 (2009).
- 238 2. Brienen, R. J. W. et al. Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature* **519**, 344–348 (2015).
- 239 3. Körner, C. A matter of tree longevity. *Science* **355**, 130–131 (2017).
- 240 4. Galbraith, D. et al. Residence times of woody biomass in tropical forests. *Plant Ecol. Divers.* **6**, 139–  
241 157 (2013).
- 242 5. Brienen, R. J. W., Schöngart, J. & Zuidema, P. A. Tree Rings in the Tropics : Insights into the  
243 Ecology and Climate Sensitivity of Tropical trees. in *Tropical Tree Physiology* (eds. Goldstein, G. &  
244 Santiago, L. S.) **6**, (2016).
- 245 6. Worbes, M. One hundred years of tree-ring research in the tropics – a brief history and an outlook to  
246 future challenges. *Dendrochronologia* **20**, 217–231 (2002).
- 247 7. Vieira, S. et al. Slow growth rates of Amazonian trees: Consequences for carbon cycling. *Proc. Natl.*  
248 *Acad. Sci.* **102**, 18502–18507 (2005).

- 249 8. Chambers, J. Q., Higuchi, N. & Schimel, J. P. Ancient trees in amazonia. *Nature* **391**, 135–136  
250 (1998).
- 251 9. Bigler, C. Trade-Offs between growth rate, tree size and lifespan of mountain pine (*Pinus Montana*)  
252 in the swiss national park. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–18 (2016).
- 253 10. Kleczewski, N. M., Herms, D. A. & Bonello, P. Effects of soil type, fertilization and drought on  
254 carbon allocation to root growth and partitioning between secondary metabolism and ectomycorrhizae  
255 of *Betula papyrifera*. *Tree Physiol.* **30**, 807–817 (2010).
- 256 11. Sass-Klaassen, U. Tree physiology: Tracking tree carbon gain. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 15175 (2015).
- 257 12. Bastin, J.-F. et al. Seeing Central African forests through their largest trees. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 1–8 (2015).
- 258 13. Bastin, J.-F. et al. Pan-tropical prediction of forest structure from the largest trees. *Glob. Ecol.*  
259 *Biogeogr.* **in press**, (2018).
- 260 14. Lutz, J. A. et al. Global importance of large-diameter trees. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **27**, 849–864  
261 (2018).
- 262 15. Memiaghe, H. R., Lutz, J. A., Korte, L., Alonso, A. & Kenfack, D. Ecological Importance of Small-  
263 Diameter Trees to the Structure, Diversity and Biomass of a Tropical Evergreen Forest at Rabi,  
264 Gabon. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–15 (2016).
- 265 16. Burton, J. I., Ares, A., Olson, D. H. & Puettmann, K. J. Management trade-off between aboveground  
266 carbon storage and understory plant species richness in temperate forests. *Ecol. Appl.* **23**, 1297–1310  
267 (2013).
- 268 17. Lloyd, J. & Farquhar, G. D. The CO<sub>2</sub> dependence of photosynthesis, plant growth responses to  
269 elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and their interaction with soil nutrient status . I . General  
270 principles and forest ecosystems. *Funct. Ecol.* **10**, 4–32 (1996).
- 271 18. Laurance, W. F. et al. Inferred longevity of Amazonian rainforest trees based on a long-term  
272 demographic study. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **190**, 131–143 (2004).
- 273 19. Stephenson, N. L. et al. Rate of tree carbon accumulation increases continuously with tree size.  
274 *Nature* **507**, 90–93 (2014).
- 275 20. Wright, S. J. et al. Functional traits and the growth — mortality trade-off in tropical trees. *Ecology*  
276 **91**, 3664–3674 (2013).

- 277 21. Synnott, T. J. A manual of permanent plot procedures for tropical rainforests. Tropical forestry  
278 papers **14**, (1979).
- 279 22. Dawkins, H. C. & Field, D. R. B. A long-term surveillance system for British woodland vegetation.  
280 Oxford Forestry Institute Occasional Papers **1**, (1978).
- 281 23. Hall, J. S., Harris, D. J., Medjibe, V. P. & Ashton, M. S. The effects of selective logging on forest  
282 structure and tree species composition in a Central African forest: Implications for management of  
283 conservation areas. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **183**, 249–264 (2003).
- 284 24. Couralet, C., Van den Bulcke, J., Ngoma, L. M., Van Acker, J. & Beeckman, H. Phenology in  
285 functional groups of Central African trees. *J. Trop. For. Sci.* **25**, 361–374 (2013).
- 286 25. Vico, G., Dralle, D., Feng, X., Thompson, S. & Manzoni, S. How competitive is drought  
287 deciduousness in tropical forests? A combined eco-hydrological and eco-evolutionary approach.  
288 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **12**, 65006 (2017).
- 289 26. Bennett, A. C., McDowell, N. G., Allen, C. D. & Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. Larger trees suffer most  
290 during drought in forests worldwide. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 15139 (2015).
- 291 27. FAO. *The charcoal transition : greening the charcoal value chain to mitigate climate change and*  
292 *improve local livelihoods.* (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2017).
- 293 28. Lewis, S. L., Malhi, Y. & Phillips, O. L. Fingerprinting the impacts of global change on tropical  
294 forests. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **359**, 437–462 (2004).
- 295 29. Hietz, P. A simple program to measure and analyse tree rings using Excel, R and SigmaScan.  
296 *Dendrochronologia* **29**, 245–250 (2011).
- 297 30. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful  
298 Approach to Multiple Testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* **57**, 289–300 (1995).

299

## 300 **Correspondence**

301 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.H. ([whubau@gmail.com](mailto:whubau@gmail.com)).

302

303

304

## 305 **Acknowledgments**

306 W.H. and T.D.M. were both funded by the Brain program of the Belgian Federal Government  
307 (BR/132/A1/AFRIFORD and BR/143/A3/HERBAXYLAREDD). The PhD project of T.D.M and the tenure  
308 track of J.V.d.B. were supported by Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF). Fieldwork was  
309 sponsored by the King Leopold III fund for nature exploration and conservation. B.A.I. is supported by the  
310 *Institut National pour l'Etude et la Recherche Agronomiques* en R.D.Congo (INERA- RDC- Luki) and the  
311 *Ecole Régionale Postuniversitaire d'Aménagement et de Gestion intégrés des Forêts et Territoires tropicaux*  
312 (ERAIFT Kinshasa). We thank WWF-RDC (Geert Lejeune), INERA and ERAIFT for facilitating fieldwork  
313 in the Luki Reserve. We thank the INERA employees (Jean-Baptiste Ndunga, Jean-Maron, Fils Mbungu  
314 Phaka, Leonard Ngoma, Noble, Plaside), the WWF ecoguards and the students of the Universities of  
315 Kinshasa (UNIKIN) and Boma for assistance in the field. For assistance with datasets we thank Marlène De  
316 Groot, Kévin Lievens, Piet Dekeyser, Stijn Willen and José Kempnaers. This paper is also a product of the  
317 AfriTRON network, for which we are indebted to hundreds of institutions, field assistants and local  
318 communities. This network has been supported by the European Research Council (291585, "T-FORCES"-  
319 Tropical Forests in the Changing Earth System, Advanced Grant to O.L.P.), the Gordon and Betty Moore  
320 Foundation, the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, the European Union's Seventh Framework  
321 Programme (283080, 'GEOCARBON'), and Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Consortium  
322 Grant 'TROBIT' (NE/D005590/1), 'BIO-RED' (NE/N012542/1) and a NERC New Investigators Grant, the  
323 Royal Society, the Centre for International Forestry (CIFOR) and Gabon's National Parks Agency (ANPN).  
324 We are indebted to the University of Yaounde I, the National Herbarium of Yaounde, Rougier-Gabon, the  
325 Marien Ngouabi University of Brazzaville, WCS-Congo, Salonga National Park, WCS-D.R.Congo, and the  
326 University of Kisangani for logistical support in Africa.

327

## 328 **Author contributions**

329 W.H., T.D.M., J.V.d.B., J.V.A. and H.B. conceived and designed the study. T.D.M. and B.A.I. coordinated  
330 collection of Nkulapark data and wood cores. T.D.M. and J.V.d.B. measured growth ring series. W.H.  
331 carried out the data analysis and wrote the paper. S.L.L., O.L.P., T.R.B. and Y.M. conceived the AfriTRON

332 forest census network programmes and the Forestplots.net database, and most co-authors helped collecting  
333 AfriTRON forest census data. S.L.L., B.S., S.B., A.C.S., W.H., T.S. and L.W.W. coordinated forest plots  
334 data collection. M.J.P.S., G.L.G., S.L.L., O.L.P., T.R.B. and G.P. contributed tools to analyze and curate  
335 data. All co-authors commented on or approved the manuscript.

336

### 337 **Competing financial interests**

338 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

339

### 340 **Figure legends**

341

342 **Figure 1 Example of a wood core (*Greenwayodendron suaveolens*, TreeID=765) showing the 1948 nail**  
343 **trace.** The image at the top shows the full core. White lines indicate growth-ring boundaries, numbers  
344 indicate growth-rings (counted from bark to pith), black arrows indicate important years. The bark to the  
345 right of the figure indicates the year of sampling (2014). The dark discoloration in growth-rings 26 to 35 was  
346 caused by oxidates from the iron nail that were transported up and down in damaged vessels and fibers. The  
347 right border of the discoloration accurately marks the start of the year 1948. There are 25 rings between the  
348 bark and the 1948 nail trace, suggesting that this individual needed on average 2.6 years to form a ring.  
349 Using this rate for the 53 rings that were formed before 1948, we find that the first ring in the core was  
350 probably formed around 1811. The location of the pith is indicated by the black lines to the left, which  
351 follow the direction of the wood rays<sup>29</sup>. This shows that the distance from the pith to the first ring boundary  
352 in the core is about 11 mm. When using the average ring width of rings 78 to 68, we estimate that 7 rings are  
353 missing. As such, this individual would be about 224 years old. The three close-ups at the bottom illustrate  
354 wood anatomical details used to identify growth-ring boundaries (indicated by white triangles). Ring  
355 boundaries in this species are demarcated by distended wood rays and flattening of the fibers. Black scale  
356 bars cover 0.2 mm.

357

358 **Figure 2 Variation in tree age inferred from growth-ring patterns in 55 trees where nail traces**  
359 **accurately mark the year 1948.** Panel (a) shows the relation between tree age and DBH. Panel (b) shows  
360 the relation between tree age and the growth-ring formation rate (number of rings per year) between 1948-  
361 2014. In both panels, p-values of simple linear regression models are given in red. Brown dots represent  
362 understory individuals (Crown Illumination Index =1), grey dots represent sub-canopy individuals (CII=2  
363 and 3), blue dots represent canopy and emergent trees (CII=4 and 5). Boxplots show the first quartile, the  
364 median value and the third quartile of the tree age distribution (vertical axis, boxplots to the right) and of the  
365 variables in the x-axis (horizontal boxplots at the top of the panels). P-values under boxplots resulted from  
366 two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Outliers are marked with open circles.

367

368 **Figure 3 Estimation of mean carbon age and AGC-sink per crown illumination category, for 23**  
369 **permanent inventory plots in Central Africa.** The 450 rediscovered Nkulapark trees were treated as an  
370 additional plot to estimate distributions of mean carbon age. Panel (a) illustrates the Crown Illumination  
371 Index (CII) developed by Dawkins and Field (ref.<sup>22</sup>), with yellow arrows indicating reception of sunlight  
372 (drawing modified from ref.<sup>21</sup>). Understory trees (brown, CII=1) receive no direct sunlight, sub-canopy trees  
373 (grey) receive lateral (CII=2) or restricted vertical (CII=3) light, canopy trees (blue, CII=4) are almost  
374 completely exposed to vertical light and emergent trees (blue, CII=5) have a crown that is completely  
375 exposed to vertical and lateral light. Boxplots in panels (b) and (c) show the distribution of plot-level mean  
376 carbon age and plot-level AGC-sink per CII class. Boxplots represent the 25 % quartile, the median value  
377 and the 75 % quartile of the plot-level average ages. Outliers are marked with open circles. Comparison  
378 among CII classes was performed with Dunn's rank-sum test using the Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment for  
379 multiple comparisons<sup>30</sup>. The grey dotted line shows the overall (plot-level) average age.

380

381

382

383

384

385

| <b>metric</b>                  | <b>All trees</b>        | <b>CII 1</b>            | <b>CII 2</b>              | <b>CII 3</b>            | <b>CII 4</b>            | <b>CII5</b>             | <b>p-value</b> |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Tree age (yr)                  | <b>229</b> (212-244)    | <b>262</b> (243-282)    | <b>210</b> (196-223)      | <b>187</b> (170-204)    | <b>194</b> (175-212)    | <b>221</b> (192-250)    | 0.021          |
| Mean carbon age (yr)           | <b>65</b> (61-70)       | <b>74</b> (69-79)       | <b>60</b> (55-64)         | <b>54</b> (49-59)       | <b>57</b> (51-63)       | <b>66</b> (57-75)       | 0.086          |
| AGC-prod (MgC ha-1 yr-1)       | <b>3.1</b> (2.83-3.41)  | <b>0.47</b> (0.4-0.56)  | <b>0.53</b> (0.42-0.67)   | <b>0.35</b> (0.27-0.43) | <b>0.9</b> (0.69-1.15)  | <b>0.82</b> (0.63-1)    | 0.015          |
| AGC-mort (MgC ha-1 yr-1)       | <b>2.05</b> (1.66-2.48) | <b>0.26</b> (0.19-0.36) | <b>0.62</b> (0.49-0.76)   | <b>0.28</b> (0.18-0.38) | <b>0.61</b> (0.41-0.85) | <b>0.27</b> (0.07-0.61) | 0.058          |
| AGC-sink (MgC ha-1 yr-1)       | <b>1.05</b> (0.63-1.5)  | <b>0.21</b> (0.09-0.33) | <b>-0.08</b> (-0.23-0.05) | <b>0.07</b> (-0.06-0.2) | <b>0.3</b> (0-0.59)     | <b>0.55</b> (0.26-0.79) | 0.011          |
| AGC-stock (Mg C ha-1)          | <b>177</b> (159-198)    | <b>19</b> (15-23)       | <b>26</b> (21-31)         | <b>17</b> (12-22)       | <b>58</b> (42-74)       | <b>59</b> (46-75)       | <0.001         |
| stem density (stems ha-1)      | <b>428</b> (392-465)    | <b>194</b> (165-228)    | <b>112</b> (94-130)       | <b>38</b> (33-44)       | <b>59</b> (46-70)       | <b>25</b> (19-31)       | <0.001         |
| DBH (mm)                       | <b>313</b> (291-338)    | <b>161</b> (154-168)    | <b>235</b> (222-250)      | <b>299</b> (273-326)    | <b>435</b> (397-469)    | <b>700</b> (644-760)    | <0.001         |
| DBH growth (mm yr-1)           | <b>2.38</b> (2.17-2.63) | <b>1.51</b> (1.35-1.69) | <b>2.16</b> (1.96-2.38)   | <b>2.98</b> (2.69-3.31) | <b>4.03</b> (3.71-4.34) | <b>4.99</b> (4.32-5.68) | <0.001         |
| wood density (g cm-3)          | <b>0.59</b> (0.56-0.61) | <b>0.64</b> (0.62-0.65) | <b>0.61</b> (0.6-0.63)    | <b>0.59</b> (0.56-0.61) | <b>0.59</b> (0.56-0.61) | <b>0.57</b> (0.53-0.61) | 0.006          |
| ratio evergreen:deciduous      | <b>3.27</b> (2.85-3.71) | <b>4.72</b> (3.86-5.59) | <b>4.61</b> (2.76-7.85)   | <b>3.62</b> (2.78-4.6)  | <b>3.03</b> (2.09-4.22) | <b>1.78</b> (0.88-3.43) | <0.001         |
| proportion evergreen trees (%) | <b>55</b> (52-57)       | <b>56</b> (52-59)       | <b>55</b> (51-59)         | <b>56</b> (52-61)       | <b>51</b> (46-56)       | <b>44</b> (37-52)       | 0.01           |
| proportion deciduous trees (%) | <b>18</b> (16-20)       | <b>14</b> (12-17)       | <b>19</b> (16-22)         | <b>21</b> (17-25)       | <b>26</b> (21-31)       | <b>35</b> (28-41)       | <0.001         |

389 **Table 1 Estimation of plot-level mean tree age, mean carbon age, aboveground carbon sink (AGC-**

390 **sink), AGC-stock and leaf habit per crown illumination category, for 23 permanent forest plots. The**

391 450 rediscovered Nkulapark trees were treated as an additional plot for estimation of tree age and mean

392 carbon age (first two rows). All metrics were averaged per plot and per CII class. Mean values are given in

393 bold, 95% confidence intervals are given between brackets. Components of the AGC-sink are AGC-

394 productivity and AGC-mortality. Components of AGC-stock are diameter (DBH), wood density and stem

395 density. Comparison among CII classes was performed with Dunn's rank-sum test using the Benjamini-

396 Hochberg adjustment for multiple comparisons<sup>30</sup>; the reported p-values compare CII1 and CII5.



## 404 **Online Methods**

405

406 Site description. The Nkulapark is a phenology and tree-growth monitoring plot covering 174 ha within the  
407 Luki Man and Biosphere reserve, located in the southern Mayumbe mountains in the Democratic Republic of  
408 the Congo<sup>24</sup> (Supplementary Fig.1). The region experiences a humid tropical climate with a dry season  
409 between mid-May and mid-October and a short dry season from mid-December to mid-February. Yearly  
410 precipitation ranges from 649 mm to 1853 mm with a mean precipitation of 1173 mm. Temperature ranges  
411 between 19 °C and 30 °C with a mean temperature of 25.5 °C<sup>24</sup>. The Nkulapark is situated almost entirely in  
412 a catchment with a valley and a ridge and includes several microclimatic conditions. The semi-deciduous  
413 lowland forest consists of (i) mature forest dominated by *Prioria balsamifera*, (ii) old regenerating forest  
414 dominated by *Terminalia superba*, (iii) mixed-species mature forest and (iv) modified forest patches<sup>31,32</sup>.

415

416 Nkulapark plot design in 1948. The Nkulapark was established and managed by the Institut National pour  
417 *l'Etude Agronomique du Congo Belge* (INEAC), which was later renamed *Institut National pour l'Etude et*  
418 *la Recherche Agronomique en R.D.Congo* (INERA, <http://www.inera-drc.org>). The person in charge of the  
419 tagging and the measurements was Léon Toussaint, who worked as a botanist in the Luki reserve between  
420 1946 and 1952<sup>33</sup>. The planning of the plot was first announced in the INEAC-Luki annual report of 1946<sup>34</sup>. A  
421 total of 29.2 km of observation paths were cut in the forest in 1947, following the contour lines of the Nkula  
422 river valley (Supplementary Fig.1)<sup>31</sup>. A total number of 6315 trees were tagged by the end of 1947<sup>31</sup>, so we  
423 assume that first wood formation after tagging occurred during the wet season that started in October 1948.  
424 Tree selection was performed by randomly selecting trees from the pool of trees > 5 cm DBH in 1948. The  
425 Nkulapark area was mapped, showing the locations of the largest tagged trees. From 1948 to 1957 yearly  
426 diameter measurements were performed on all tagged trees<sup>35</sup>. Mortality events were recorded in the  
427 datasheets. Trees were measured at a height of 1.3 m and the point of measurement (POM) was indicated on  
428 the tree with a horizontal line of lead-based paint. For trees with buttresses or deformities, the POM was  
429 raised to a point high enough to avoid the irregularities interfering with diameter measurements at  
430 subsequent censuses. For trees with extremely high buttresses, diameters were estimated. For the same 6315  
431 trees, weekly phenology observations were recorded. Phenological observations were done for leaf habit,

432 flowering, fruiting and seed dissemination. The plot was abandoned in 1957 but the datasheets were kept in  
433 the library of the INERA station in Luki and digitized in 2008<sup>24</sup> and 2014.

434

435 Rediscovering Nkulapark trees in 2014. Each of the original 6315 Nkulapark trees were labelled during the  
436 first census in 1948 with a zinc number tag that was attached to the tree using an iron nail of 8 cm long. A  
437 part of these trees were indicated on the original 1948 map. During a first prospective field campaign in  
438 august 2014, this map was digitized and georeferenced with QGIS (QGIS development team, 2016) using  
439 landmarks such as easily rediscovered trees, contour lines and observation paths that were still visible and  
440 could be tracked with a Garmin 64s GPSmap (see Supplementary Fig.1). Based on this map, we pinpointed  
441 the approximate location of 1521 individuals that were recorded as alive during the last census in 1957.  
442 During a second field campaign in September- October 2014, these 1521 individuals were searched for. 450  
443 of them were found alive, 16 were found dead and the remaining 1057 could not be relocated and were  
444 assumed to be dead and rotten away, albeit some may have been missed (see Supplementary Fig.2,  
445 Supplementary Fig.3, Supplementary Discussion for an in-depth analysis of survivorship rates). The original  
446 1948 tree tags and nails of the rediscovered trees were either still present outside the trunk or detected inside  
447 the tree using a metal detector (BHJS, Bounty Hunter, USA). Scars on the trunk indicated the presence of an  
448 overgrown nail, and repelled number tags were sometimes found on or in the ground nearby the tree using  
449 the metal detector. In most cases, the numbers on the tags were still readable. On 95% of the rediscovered  
450 trees, the lead-based paint of the POM was still visible, allowing a representative DBH measurement.

451 Comparison of DBH, DBH growth rates and tree age distribution in the original dataset of Nkulapark trees  
452 versus the dataset of rediscovered trees, showed that the rediscovered dataset is slightly biased towards  
453 discovering slower-growing trees, but this bias affected both the classes of understory and canopy ‘specialist’  
454 species (Supplementary Fig.3). Hence, the rediscovered tree dataset is representative to compare growth and  
455 age patterns among the different forest strata in the Nkulapark area.

456

457 Sampling Nkulapark trees in 2014. Wood samples for growth-ring analysis were taken from rediscovered  
458 trees if following criteria were met : (a) the nail was still present in the wood, either totally grown-in or  
459 partly sticking out of the trunk, (b) the exact position of the nail could be identified visually or with the metal

460 detector, (c) the nail was not overgrown by excessive wound tissue, buttresses or other deformities. As such,  
461 increment cores or stem discs were taken near the nail for 58 of the rediscovered trees. For each sampled  
462 tree, increment cores were taken a few centimetres above, below, to the left and to the right of the nail using  
463 a 40cm Pressler bore. For each tree, two additional cores were taken at 120° from the nail trace along the  
464 circumference of the tree. As such, 6 increment cores were available for each tree. This maximised the  
465 chance of sampling the pith of the tree. To study and describe the reaction of the wood after tagging,  
466 additional larger wood samples containing the nail were extracted from 30 trees using a saw and a chisel.  
467

468 Visualizing and measuring growth-ring series. For each wood core, growth-ring series were visualized using  
469 two imaging methods as described by ref.<sup>36</sup>: (i) first, density profiles were calculated from X-ray CT scans of  
470 entire wood cores, then (ii) the cores were surfaced with a core microtome<sup>37</sup> and scanned using a flatbed  
471 scanner (EPSON Perfection 4990 PHOTO). To obtain X-ray CT volumes, cores were scanned at 110 µm  
472 resolution with the Nanowood CT facility from the Centre for X-ray Computed Tomography of Ghent  
473 University (UGCT, [www.ugct.ugent.be](http://www.ugct.ugent.be))<sup>38</sup>, developed in collaboration with XRE ([www.xre.be](http://www.xre.be); now part of  
474 the TESCAN ORSAY HOLDING a.s.). Reconstruction was performed with the Octopus software package  
475 on a GeForce GPX 770 4GB GPU<sup>38,39</sup>. X-ray and flatbed scans were analysed using the toolchain for tree-  
476 ring analysis described by De Mil et al. (ref.<sup>36</sup>). This toolchain semi-automatically indicates the growth-ring  
477 boundaries and calculates growth-ring width series. Depending on the visibility of the growth-ring patterns,  
478 either the X-ray or the flatbed scans were used to check growth-ring boundaries and measure growth-ring  
479 widths. Growth-ring boundaries were distinguished using visual wood anatomical characteristics such as  
480 distended rays, flattened fibers and terminal parenchyma bands<sup>5,40,41</sup>. For unclear ring boundaries,  
481 microscopic thin sections were taken to study wood anatomy at high resolution using an Olympus BX60  
482 microscope (Fig.1).  
483

484 Detecting the 1948 nail trace. Discolorations or wound tissue formed as a reaction on the tagging were  
485 visible in the cores taken near the nail. The surface of these stem discs was sanded to a few millimetres  
486 above the nail and the anatomy was observed using an Olympus BX60 microscope. The nails were  
487 remarkably well preserved inside the trees, probably due to cathodic protection of the iron by the zinc of the

488 tags. Hence, discolorations or wound tissue were visible in each of these samples. Discolorations were  
489 recognizable as darkened tissue in otherwise light-coloured wood (Fig.1). Discolorations occurred in cells  
490 that were formed before the nail was inserted, due to oxidation processes between the wood and the iron nail.  
491 Water in vessels and fibers in the neighbourhood of the nail (especially those damaged by the nail) spread  
492 these oxidates upwards and downwards. Therefore, the discolorations in these cells are also detectable on  
493 samples that were taken a few centimetres under or above the nails. Vessels and fibers that were formed after  
494 the tagging were not damaged, hence did not show discolorations. As such, the boundary of the discoloration  
495 accurately serves as a timestamp indicating the year of tagging (1948). Furthermore, wound-induced  
496 deformities occurred in the wood that was formed after the nail was inserted. This wound tissue is  
497 characterized by increased woody productivity around the nail, forming a lump in the growth-rings that were  
498 formed just after the nail was inserted. This lump formation is not present in the wood that was formed  
499 before tagging.

500

501 Estimating tree age using growth rings and nail traces. Six cores were assessed for each tree. For some trees,  
502 none of the cores contained the pith because (i) the tree radius exceeded the borer length or (ii) the pith was  
503 eccentric and missed. In these cases, the core with the largest number of visible rings was used to estimate  
504 the total number of growth-rings. The missing core length from the end of this core to the pith was estimated  
505 using the intersection of three lines of ring boundaries marked along the rays, as described by ref.<sup>29</sup> (see  
506 Fig.1 for an example). We estimated the number of rings in the missing core part by dividing the missing  
507 core length by the average ring width of the 5 oldest rings of the sampled core. We tested the robustness of  
508 this method by rerunning the analysis using the average growth rates of the 5, 10, 15 and 20 oldest rings. We  
509 found that the overall average tree age and the trends observed in Fig.2 are not sensitive to varying the  
510 method used to estimate the number of rings in the missing part of the core. The core with the clearest nail  
511 trace was used to count the number of rings formed after the year of tagging (1948). We used the number of  
512 growth-rings formed between 1948 (nail mark) and 2014 (cambium) as a reference to calculate the number  
513 of years the individual needed to form one ring (years per ring). We then multiplied this ratio with the total  
514 number of rings formed before 1948 to estimate the age of the tree (Fig.1 and Fig.2). Three individuals were

515 not used for analysis because the estimated missing core length of each core exceeded 20 cm. As such,  
516 growth-ring series of 55 trees were retained (Supplementary Table 1).

517

518 Permanent forest inventory plots. To test if our findings hold true in a wider geographic context, we  
519 estimated mean tree age and carbon age of the different forest strata in 23 Central African permanent forest  
520 plots located in four different Central African countries (Cameroon, Gabon, Congo Brazzaville, D.R.Congo)  
521 (Supplementary Fig.1 and Supplementary Table 2). Plots were selected if at least 65% of the trees belonged  
522 to species that also occur in the Nkulupark. Furthermore, plots selected for analysis conformed to the  
523 following criteria<sup>1,2,42</sup>: (1) plots had an actual plot area of  $\geq 0.2$  ha, (2) plots were georeferenced, (3) all trees  
524 with  $DBH \geq 100$  mm were measured, (4) the majority of stems were identified to species level, (5) plots had  
525 at least 2 censuses, (6) plots had a total monitoring length of  $\geq 3$  years, (7) plots were situated within  
526 structurally intact, apparently mature forest (excluding young or open forests), (8) plots were free from major  
527 human impacts, (9) plots were located at  $\geq 50$ m from the anthropogenic forest edge, (10) altitude was below  
528 1500 m.a.s.l., (11) mean annual air temperature was  $\geq 20.0^{\circ}C$ , (12) mean annual precipitation was  $\geq 1000$  mm  
529  $yr^{-1}$ , (13) plots were located in terra firme forest. For analysis purposes, plots smaller than 0.5 ha that were  
530 within 1 km of each other and located in similar forest types were merged (i.e. the LME cluster). All selected  
531 plots are part of the African Tropical Rainforest Observatory Network (AfriTRON; [www.afritron.org](http://www.afritron.org)). These  
532 data are curated in the ForestPlots.net database<sup>43</sup>, and subject to identical quality control and quality  
533 assurance procedures. All calculations of plot data metrics described hereafter were performed using the R  
534 statistical platform<sup>44</sup>, version 3.2.1.

535

536 Estimating AGC-stock. For each tree and each census, Aboveground Biomass at the tree level (AGB, Mg  
537  $stem^{-1}$ ) was estimated using a published allometric equation for moist forests including terms for diameter  
538 (DBH, mm), dry wood density ( $\rho$ ,  $g\ cm^{-3}$ ) and total tree height (H, m)<sup>45</sup> :

$$539 \quad AGB = \frac{0.0673 \times (\rho \times (\frac{DBH}{10})^2 \times H)^{0.976}}{1000} \quad (\text{formula 1}).$$

540 Wood density values were derived from the dryad database ([www.datadryad.org](http://www.datadryad.org)). Stems were matched to  
541 species-specific wood density values or the mean values for the genus or family, following ref.<sup>1</sup> and<sup>43</sup>.

542 Heights were calculated using a single height-diameter model (Weibull) for central African lowland terra  
543 firme forests published by ref.<sup>46</sup>, using commands implemented in the R-package BiomasaFP<sup>47</sup>.

544 Aboveground Biomass-Carbon (referred to as AGC) is considered as 47% of the AGB following IPCC  
545 recommendations<sup>48</sup>. For each individual tree in the plot dataset, AGC-stock was calculated as the mean of the  
546 first and last censuses.

547

548 Estimating AGC-sink. For the calculation of AGC-sink, only the first and the last censuses were used for  
549 each plot. First, AGC- productivity ( $\text{Mg C stem}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) for each stem surviving the monitoring period, was  
550 calculated as the difference between its total AGC at the end census minus the total AGC at the start census  
551 of the interval, divided by the census interval length (yr). AGC-productivity for stems recruited during the  
552 monitoring period (i.e. reaching  $\text{DBH} \geq 100 \text{ mm}$ ), was calculated in the same way, assuming  $\text{DBH} = 0 \text{ mm}$  at  
553 the start of the interval. AGC-mortality for each tree that died during the monitoring period ( $\text{Mg C tree}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ )  
554 was calculated as the AGC at the start of the monitoring period, divided by the total monitoring length (yr).

555 AGC-productivity at the stand level ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) was then calculated as the sum of tree-level productivity  
556 estimates of all survivors and recruits. AGC-mortality at the stand level ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) was calculated as the  
557 sum of tree-level mortality estimates of all dead trees in the subset. We corrected for unobserved components  
558 of biomass growth and mortality due to census interval length effects, as discussed by ref.<sup>2</sup>, ref.<sup>49</sup> and ref.<sup>50</sup>.

559 A method to correct productivity and mortality rates for these uncertainties was developed by ref.<sup>49</sup> and  
560 applied by ref.<sup>2</sup>. This correction accounts for (i) trees that recruit and die within the same interval (i.e.  
561 unobserved recruits) and (ii) growth of trees that grow and die within the interval (i.e. unobserved biomass  
562 growth from mortality, which is not recorded because dead trees are not measured). As such, for each census  
563 interval, we calculated unobserved recruitment and unobserved mortality components ( $\text{Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) using  
564 the formulas proposed by ref.<sup>49</sup> and both components were added to both the AGC-productivity and AGC-  
565 mortality estimates. Estimates of the unobserved biomass components usually accounted for less than 3% of  
566 the total AGC-productivity and AGC-mortality. Finally, the AGC-sink was calculated as stand-level AGC-  
567 productivity minus AGC-mortality. Commands to calculate AGC-stock and AGC-sink are implemented in  
568 the BiomasaFP R package<sup>47</sup>.

569

570 Tree age inferred from DBH growth rates in permanent inventory plots. For each tree within the permanent  
571 forest inventory plots, we estimated the age by dividing the DBH (mm) in the final census with the DBH  
572 growth rate (mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) of the tree itself. For each tree, we averaged the DBH growth rate over all census  
573 intervals preceding the last census. This method uses the actual growth rate of each tree, which is accurate  
574 for healthy trees but returns unrealistic age estimates for trees with (i) slightly negative growth rates, (ii) zero  
575 growth rates or (iii) very slow growth rates. Such slow growth rates may be recorded in all DBH classes.  
576 First, slow growth rates in large DBH classes may occur when a tree is diseased or at the end of its life  
577 (senescence). These growth rates may not be representative for the total lifespan of these trees. Secondly,  
578 small growth rates may be recorded for small suppressed trees if their growth is so slow that it cannot be  
579 recorded with sufficient precision using standard census procedures. Hence, these growth rates are replaced  
580 by growth rates that are comparably small but yield a realistic tree age estimate. As such, we chose a  
581 ‘minimum allowed growth rate’ per CII class, following ref.<sup>7</sup>. We calculated the minimum allowed growth  
582 rate for each CII class as the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile (=first quartile) of the growth rate distribution within the CII  
583 class. For each tree with a growth rate slower than the minimum allowed rate, we replaced the growth rate by  
584 the minimum allowed growth rate of the CII class. We conducted a sensitivity analysis to check how results  
585 vary when varying the minimum allowed growth rate: we reran the analysis using the 10<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup> and  
586 30<sup>th</sup> percentile of the growth rate distribution within each CII class as a minimum allowed growth rate  
587 (Supplementary Discussion and Supplementary Table 3). We used the average tree age in the dataset of 450  
588 rediscovered Nkulapark trees as a reference to evaluate the tree-age estimation method based on DBH  
589 growth rates (Supplementary Figure 4).

590

591 Mean carbon age. As a tree grows, it increasingly stores more carbon. Carbon near the bark of the tree is  
592 younger than carbon in the pith. As such, mean carbon age of a tree does not equal total tree age. We  
593 calculated mean tree-level carbon age using the same formula as ref.<sup>7</sup>:

594 
$$\text{mean carbon age} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (C_i \times A_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (C_i)} \quad (\text{formula 2}),$$

595 with:

596  $C_i$  = carbon content of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ring (kg),

597  $A_i$  = age of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ring (yr),

598  $n$  = nr of rings.

599

600 The carbon content of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  ring is calculated as the carbon content of a tree with the DBH of ring  $i$  minus  
601 the carbon content of a tree with the DBH of ring  $i-1$ . To estimate carbon age in trees without measured  
602 growth-ring series (permanent inventory plots), we used the final DBH and the DBH growth rate (in mm yr<sup>-1</sup>)  
603 to deduce a year-ring pattern, assuming that the growth rate was constant over the lifetime of the tree.

604

605 Classification of tree species and statistical analysis. We used the Nkulapark phenology data published by  
606 ref.<sup>24</sup> to classify tree species as evergreen or deciduous. To distinguish between understory, sub-canopy,  
607 canopy and emergent trees in the Nkulapark and the permanent forest plots, we used the Crown Illumination  
608 Index (CII) of Dawkins & Field (ref.<sup>22</sup>). Fig.3 illustrates the 5 classes with a drawing modified from ref.<sup>21</sup>.  
609 The Crown Illumination Index was recorded in 23 of the selected permanent inventory plots. In each plot  
610 where the index was recorded, each tree was attributed to one of the CII classes. The index was attributed in  
611 the field, mostly during one census and mostly by a single person. We estimated mean tree age, mean carbon  
612 age, mean AGC-stock and mean AGC-sink for each of the CII classes in each plot where the index was  
613 recorded. None of the metrics reported in Table 1 meet the criterion of homogeneity of variances (Bartlett  
614 test). Therefore, differences among the CII classes were tested using the non-parametric Dunn's rank-sum  
615 test. To avoid the multiple comparison problem, we used the Benjamini-Hochberg p-value adjustment<sup>30</sup>  
616 ('dunn.test' package in R<sup>44</sup>).

617

618 Data availability. The input data and R-scripts to generate the figures and tables are available for download  
619 using the following private link : <https://figshare.com/s/06c793575d3b52ef5574>. Images of wood cores are  
620 available using the following link : <https://figshare.com/s/e6101fe7d330f8ea140a> . This folder also contains  
621 all annotation documents needed to visualize growth ring boundaries on the wood samples (please consult  
622 the README document for guidelines). Wood samples used to conduct this analysis are stored in the  
623 Tervuren xylarium  
624 (<http://www.africamuseum.be/collections/browsecollections/naturalsciences/earth/xylarium>). These samples




625 may be studied, within the Tervuren xylarium, upon request addressed to the curator H.B.  
626 ([hans.beeckman@africamuseum.be](mailto:hans.beeckman@africamuseum.be)) or the corresponding author W.H. ([whubau@gmail.com](mailto:whubau@gmail.com)).

627

## 628 **References (methods only)**



- 629 31. INEAC. Rapport Annuel INEAC-Luki. (1947).
- 630 32. Coppieters, G. Inventaris van het archief van de Rijksplantages en de Regie der Plantages van de  
631 Kolonie, het Nationaal Instituut voor de Landbouwkunde in Belgisch-Congo en de  
632 Documentatiedienst voor Tropische Landbouwkunde en Plattelandsontwikkeling 1901-1999. (2013).
- 633 33. Académie Royale des Sciences d'outre-mer. *Biographie Coloniale Belge/Biographie Belge d'Outre-*  
634 *Mer*, Tome IX. (2015).
- 635 34. INEAC. Rapport Annuel INEAC-Luki. (1946).
- 636 35. INEAC. Rapport Annuel INEAC-Luki. (1948).
- 637 36. De Mil, T., Vannoppen, A., Beeckman, H., Van Acker, J. & Van Den Bulcke, J. A field-to-desktop  
638 toolchain for X-ray CT densitometry enables tree ring analysis. *Ann. Bot.* **117**, 1187–1196 (2016).
- 639 37. Gärtner, H. & Nievergelt, D. The core-microtome: A new tool for surface preparation on cores and  
640 time series analysis of varying cell parameters. *Dendrochronologia* **28**, 85–92 (2010).
- 641 38. Dierick, M. et al. Recent micro-CT scanner developments at UGCT. *Nucl. Instruments Methods*  
642 *Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. with Mater. Atoms* **324**, 35–40 (2014).
- 643 39. Vlassenbroeck, J. et al. Software tools for quantification of X-ray microtomography at the UGCT.  
644 *Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip.* **580**, 442–  
645 445 (2007).
- 646 40. Worbes, M. Tree-Ring Analysis. *Encycl. For. Sci.* 586–599 (2004).
- 647 41. Tarelkin, Y. et al. Growth-ring distinctness and boundary anatomy variability in tropical trees. *IAWA*  
648 *J.* **37**, 275–294 (2016).
- 649 42. Phillips, O. & Baker, T. Field manual for plot establishment and remeasurement - RAINFOR. Rainfor  
650 22 (2009). doi:10.13140/RG.2.1.1735.7202
- 651 43. Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Lewis, S. L., Burkitt, M. & Phillips, O. L. ForestPlots.net: A web application  
652 and research tool to manage and analyse tropical forest plot data. *J. Veg. Sci.* **22**, 610–613 (2011).

- 653 44. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2008).
- 654 45. Chave, J. et al. Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees.  
655 Glob. Chang. Biol. **20**, 3177–3190 (2014).
- 656 46. Feldpausch, T. R. et al. Tree height integrated into pantropical forest biomass estimates.  
657 Biogeosciences **9**, 3381–3403 (2012).
- 658 47. Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Sullivan, M. & Baker, T. BiomasaFP package. Tools for analysing data  
659 downloaded from ForestPlots.net. R package version 1.1. Available at  
660 <http://www.forestplots.net/en/resources>. (2015).
- 661 48. Aalde, H. et al. IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4: Agriculture,  
662 Forestry and Other Land Use. Chapter 4: Forest Land. Forestry **4**, 1–29 (2006).
- 663 49. Talbot, J. et al. Methods to estimate aboveground wood productivity from long-term forest inventory  
664 plots. For. Ecol. Manage. **320**, 30–38 (2014).
- 665 50. Clark, D. a et al. Measuring net primary production in forest concepts and field methods. Ecol. Appl.  
666 **11**, 356–370 (2001).



667

668



669

670

