

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1086/166877

## The photodissociation and chemistry of interstellar CO — Source link

E. F. van Dishoeck, John H. Black

**Institutions:** Princeton University, Steward Health Care System

**Published on:** 01 Nov 1988 - [The Astrophysical Journal](#) (The American Astronomical Society)

**Topics:** Interstellar cloud, Interstellar medium, Photodissociation, Cloud height and Cosmochemistry

Related papers:

- Photoelectric heating of interstellar gas
- Photodissociation regions. I - Basic model. II - A model for the Orion photodissociation region
- The photodissociation and chemistry of CO isotopologues: applications to interstellar clouds and circumstellar disks
- A survey of interstellar H I from L-alpha absorption measurements. II
- The Dark Molecular Gas

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/the-photodissociation-and-chemistry-of-interstellar-co-3g4clli9sr>

## ERRATA

In the paper "The Photodissociation and Chemistry of Interstellar CO" by Ewine F. van Dishoeck and John H. Black (*Ap. J.*, 334, 771 [1988]), some numerical errors appeared in a table of predicted emission-line intensities. In the calculation of the intensities of the atomic fine-structure lines in § IVc, a mistake was found in the programming of the optical depths according to equation (15). This resulted in an overestimate of the atomic fine-structure line intensities listed in Table 9, most notably those of the  $O(^3P_0 \rightarrow ^3P_1)$  line at  $145\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ . The corrected intensities are presented in the revised Table 9 below. The differences between these and the earlier results are largest for cold clouds and for clouds with low visual extinction, but are small for warm, dense photodissociation regions. The revised results do not affect any of our conclusions.

TABLE 9  
COMPUTED ATOMIC FINE-STRUCTURE LINE INTENSITIES<sup>a</sup> FOR SELECTED MODELS<sup>b</sup>

Model	$C(^3P_1 \rightarrow ^3P_0)$ (609 $\mu\text{m}$ )	$C(^3P_2 \rightarrow ^3P_1)$ (370 $\mu\text{m}$ )	$C(^2P_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow ^2P_{\frac{1}{2}})$ (158 $\mu\text{m}$ )	$O(^3P_1 \rightarrow ^3P_2)$ (63 $\mu\text{m}$ )	$O(^3P_0 \rightarrow ^3P_1)$ (145 $\mu\text{m}$ )
$\zeta$ Oph	2.9(-8)	3.5(-8)	3.5(-5)	1.1(-6)	2.8(-8)
T1	3.5(-8)	4.3(-8)	6.3(-6)	2.3(-8)	2.7(-10)
T2	1.0(-7)	1.4(-7)	1.0(-5)	3.5(-8)	4.7(-10)
T3	2.5(-7)	2.9(-7)	1.8(-5)	1.9(-7)	3.6(-9)
T4	5.1(-7)	7.8(-7)	2.7(-5)	5.0(-7)	1.2(-8)
T5	9.8(-7)	2.0(-6)	5.0(-5)	1.6(-8)	4.6(-8)
T6	1.0(-6)	2.5(-6)	7.1(-5)	7.5(-6)	3.8(-7)
T6A	2.8(-7)	1.7(-7)	5.0(-7)	3.5(-10)	5.6(-12)
T6B	1.0(-6)	2.1(-6)	3.0(-5)	3.4(-7)	8.7(-9)
T8C	8.6(-7)	7.1(-7)	8.1(-7)	1.6(-9)	3.7(-12)
H1	2.7(-8)	3.4(-8)	3.7(-6)	1.4(-8)	1.5(-10)
H3	1.6(-7)	2.2(-7)	8.6(-6)	3.2(-8)	4.2(-10)
H5	3.2(-7)	4.9(-7)	9.2(-6)	4.2(-8)	6.7(-10)
H6	3.9(-7)	6.0(-7)	9.2(-6)	4.9(-8)	8.8(-10)
H11	3.3(-8)	4.9(-8)	1.3(-5)	3.1(-8)	3.3(-10)
H13	3.3(-7)	5.1(-7)	2.5(-5)	5.3(-8)	5.6(-10)
H16	2.0(-6)	3.7(-6)	2.9(-5)	7.9(-8)	1.0(-9)
H18	2.1(-6)	3.8(-6)	3.0(-5)	1.0(-7)	1.6(-9)
PD3	2.8(-6)	1.4(-5)	3.0(-4)	1.2(-4)	4.4(-6)
PD4	3.4(-6)	1.7(-5)	4.7(-4)	1.8(-4)	6.0(-6)
PD5	4.6(-6)	2.3(-5)	6.3(-4)	2.5(-4)	8.3(-6)
PD6	1.5(-6)	7.8(-6)	1.9(-3)	4.1(-4)	1.7(-5)
NGC 2023	6.9(-6)	2.9(-5)	4.1(-4)	4.3(-5)	3.4(-6)
NGC 2024	5.7(-6)	2.1(-5)	1.3(-4)	3.5(-6)	3.9(-7)

<sup>a</sup> In  $\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1}$ .<sup>b</sup> All results are for a carbon depletion factor  $\delta_C = 0.4$ , except for the  $\zeta$  Oph model G which uses  $\delta_C = 0.67$ .