

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1002/WNAN.1592

The potential anti-infective applications of metal oxide nanoparticles: A systematic review — Source link

Yasmin Abo-zeid, Yasmin Abo-zeid, Gareth R. Williams

Institutions: University College London, Helwan University

Published on: 01 Mar 2020 - Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews-nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd)

Related papers:

- Adsorption of rotavirus and bacteriophage MS2 using glass fiber coated with hematite nanoparticles
- A Novel Anti-Influenza Copper Oxide Containing Respiratory Face Mask
- Silver Nanoparticles as Potential Antiviral Agents
- Antibacterial Activity and Mechanism of Action of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles against Campylobacter jejuni
- Antiviral Activity of Graphene-Silver Nanocomposites against Non-Enveloped and Enveloped Viruses





Article Title: The potential anti-infective applications of metal oxide nanoparticles: A systematic review

Article Type:

© OPINION	© PRIMER	OVERVIEW
ADVANCED REVIEW	C FOCUS ARTICLE	© SOFTWARE FOCUS

Authors:

[List each person's full name, <u>ORCID iD</u>, affiliation, email address, and any conflicts of interest. Copy rows as necessary for additional authors. Please use an asterisk (*) to indicate the corresponding author.]

First author
Yasmin Abo-zeid*
https://orchid.org/000-0002-1651-7421,
School of Pharmacy, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, 29-39 Brunswick Square,
London WC1N 1AX, UK
<u>yasmin.abozeid@pharm.helwan.edu.eg</u>
No conflict of interest
Second author
Gareth R. Williams*
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3066-2860
UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, 29-39 Brunswick Square,
London WC1N 1AX, UK
g.williams@ucl.ac.uk
No conflict of interest

ABSTRACT

Microbial infections present a major global healthcare challenge, in large part because of the development of microbial resistance to the currently approved antimicrobial agents. This demands the development of new antimicrobial agents. Metal oxide nanoparticles (MONPs) are a class of materials that have been widely explored for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. They are reported to have wide-ranging antimicrobial activities and to be potent against bacteria, viruses, and protozoans. The use of MONPs reduces the

possibility of resistance developing because they have multiple mechanisms of action (including via reactive oxygen species generation), simultaneously attacking many sites in the micro-organism. However, despite this there are to date no clinically approved MONPs for antimicrobial therapy. This review explores the recent literature in this area, discusses the mechansims of MONP action against micro-organisms, and considers the barriers faced to the use of MONPs in humans. These include biological challenges, of which the potential for an immune response and off-target toxicity are key. We explore the possible benefits/disbenefits of an immune response being initiated in detail, and consider the effect of production method (chemical versus green synthesis) on cytotoxicity. There are also a number of techical and manufacturing challenges, which are also discussed in depth. In the short term, there are potentially some "quick wins" from the repurposing of alreadyapproved nanoparticle-based medicines for anti-infective applications, but a number of hurdles, both technical and biological, lie in the path to long-term clinical translation of new MNOP-based formulations.



GRAPHICAL/VISUAL ABSTRACT AND CAPTION

Metal oxide nanoparticles have efficient antimicrobial activity but there are many biological challenges restricting their application in man, as well as hurdles to scaled-up clinical manufacture.

1- INTRODUCTION

Nanomedicine is the branch of medicine that use particles sized from 1 to 1000 nm for either therapeutic or diagnostic purposes (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). Nanomedicine has the potential to overcome several drawbacks of conventional therapies, mainly due to the fact that the use of nanoscale particles leads to changes in physicochemical properties compared to those of the bulk. Properties such as surface charge, shape, and surface area to

volume ratio can all be varied. Nanoparticles (NPs) can be modulated to accumulate in target tissues via surface functionalization or by controlling particle size, and thus drugloaded NPs can be used to deliver an active ingredient selectively to a particular part of the body. This permits administration of a lower dose. Targeting is also associated with reduced side effects due to the lower possibility of drug accumulation in off-target organs.

Initially, nanomedicine was developed to improve the treatment of cancer (Barenholz, 2012). More recently, researchers have developed a range of nanomedicine products for the diagnosis and treatment of myriad other diseases. However, the number of nanomedicines currently approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or in clinical trials is very small compared to the massive volume of research work published. A total of 1567 articles were identified in PubMed by searching for "nanomedicines" on 13th June 2019 (Figure 1), while only 51 nanomedicine products have been approved by the FDA and another 77 are at different stages of clinical trials (Bobo, Robinson, Islam, Thurecht, & Corrie, 2016; Ventola, 2017a). Nanomedicines approved by the FDA mainly consist of liposomes, polymers, micelles, polymer conjugated proteins, and nanocrystals. Only 12 products are metal-containing nanoparticles, such as hydroxyapatite, calcium phosphate and iron oxide (Bobo et al., 2016; Ventola, 2017b). Six iron oxide NP (IONP) products were approved for the treatment of iron deficiency, but four have been withdrawn from the market due to safety issues (this will be discussed in detail later) and only two (Ferumoxytol and Resovist) are still used (Yi-Xiang, 2015).

Despite the small number of marketed products, metal oxide NPs (MONPs) have been prepared on a very large number of occasions and found to have applications in a wide range of fields ranging from semiconductors to biomedicine (for both therapeutic or diagnostic purposes) (Bobo et al., 2016; Seabra & Durán, 2015; Ventola, 2017a). One example of biomedical applications is for antimicrobial purposes. Microbial infections comprise one of the most serious dangers to human health. They include diseases caused by bacteria, viruses, protazoans and fungi (Aderibigbe, 2017). Although there are many FDA approved antimicrobial agents, there is a need to develop new active ingredients in this field owing to the target microbes developing resistance to currently used therapeutics. There are many studies which have investigated the antimicrobial activity of MONPs: a total of 2266 articles were recognized in PubMed by searching for "metal oxide nanoparticles as antimicrobial agents" on 13th June 2019 (Figure 1). However, no MONPs have to date been FDA approved for use against infectious disease.



Figure 1: The number of publications (A) on nanomedicines and (B) investigating the potential applications of MONPs as antimicrobial agents published per year from 2004 to 2018 (data extracted from PubMed using the search term "nanomedicines" and "metal oxides nanoparticles as antimicrobial agents" on 13th June 2019).

2- THE NEED FOR NEW TREATMENTS

A number of factors lie behind the failure of current antimicrobial agents, and the situation is currently critical. In the case of bacterial infections, an antibiotic crisis was announced in 2013 (Fair & Tor, 2014) due to the emergence of resistant bacterial strains that cannot be treated with standard antibiotics (Fair & Tor, 2014). Several reasons lie behind the emergence of such resistance: (1) approved antibiotics only attack a single target in the bacteria (Etebu & Arikekpar, 2016) (see Figure 2); (2) over-prescription and improper use of antibiotics by the healthcare sector (Fair & Tor, 2014); and (3) the misuse of antibiotics in agriculture (e.g. in feed stock to promote animal growth (Wegener, Aarestrup, Jensen, Hammerum, & Bager, 1999) or sprayed over plants to protect them from disease and increase production (Fair & Tor, 2014)).

In the case of viral infections, again the approved antiviral therapeutics (*e.g.* direct acting antiviral agents) are designed to attack a specific target on the virus (Figure 2). Viruses are characterized by a high rate of genetic mutation, and therefore can be expected to rapidly develop resistance and cross resistance (Melikian et al., 2014; Wyles, 2013).





Figure 2: The mechanisms of action of current anti-infective agents, including potential resistance mechanisms against (A) antibiotics and (B) antivirals.

Additionally, the selectivity of antiviral agents makes them specific to a given virus, and they cannot generally be used for the eradication of multiple types of viruses (Martinez, Sasse, Brönstrup, Diez, & Meyerhans, 2013). This necessitates, the development of broad-spectrum antiviral agents. Given that the host cellular machinery is commonly required for viral replication and propagation, this might represent a good target for developing broad

spectrum agents, and some clinical trials of such active ingredients are underway (De Chassey, Meyniel-Schicklin, Aublin-Gex, André, & Lotteau, 2012).

Protozoan parasitic diseases mainly occur in developing countries, which has unfortunately led to their being neglected: there has been very low investment in the production of antiparasitic agents, and this has contributed to the development of resistance. Of 1300 medicinal agents developed between 1975 to 1999, only 13 were for the treatment of parasitic infections (Fairlamb, Ridley, & Vial, 2003). Unfortunately, market forces have been insufficient to drive the discovery and development of new drugs for these diseases (Pink, Hudson, Mouriès, & Bendig, 2005). This has led to new public sector and public-private partnerships, including investment by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to develop new antiparasitic agents (Pink et al., 2005). One exciting development was the release of Ambisomes (amphotericin B-loaded liposomes), an antiparasitic nanomedicine with high efficacy and minimal side effects. However, its high cost (\$267 per vial) is problematic, and thus it does not reach all patients (Fairlamb et al., 2003). Even in clinical trials where the medicine was supplied with a much lower price (\$18 per vial) through the WHO/Gilead donation program, the estimated per-patient cost of treatment with liposomal amphotericin B was \$660 (Assis et al., 2017; Bhattacharya & Ali, 2016). Therefore, the cost of antimicrobial agents is another important issue that must be restrained to allow more effective management of microbial diseases worldwide.

From the above, it can be concluded that the development of microbial resistance is the major reason for the failure of currently used anti-infective agents. Currently used active anti-infective agents target only a single aspect of microbial reproduction or survival (the selective blockage of enzymes, ribosomes, cell membrane synthesis, DNA replication, DNA gyrase, folic acid metabolism or protein synthesis (Etebu & Arikekpar, 2016). Therefore, there is ample scope for the micro-organisms to develop target-orientated resistance. For example, the antibiotic vancomycin functions by binding to the ends of glycol peptides and interfering with their cross linking to the bacterial cell wall. Bacteria such as Enteroccoci resist vancomycin by interfering with its binding with glycol peptides (Miller, Munita, & Arias, 2015). *Pseudomonas* biofilms can upregulate the production of efflux pumps to expel antibiotics from the bacterial cell cytosol and drive them to the extracellular milieu, leading to the biofilms becoming resistant to β -lactam antibiotics (Zhang & Mah, 2008). Degradation or hydrolysis of antibiotics by special enzymes produced by bacteria is another a selfdefence mechanism which can lead to resistance (e.g. β -lactamase enzymes produced by Klebsiella for the degradation of ampicillin (Fu et al., 2007). In another example, genetic mutation of *Helicobacter pylori* causing a change in the binding site for clarithromycin is responsible for its development of resistance (Ontsira Ngoyi et al., 2015). Antiviral resistance arises for similar reasons; for instance, a mutation in the active site of thymidine kinase (the enzyme responsible for the activation of acyclovir) is largely responsible for *herpes simplex* virus becoming resistant to this drug (Morfin & Thou, 2003).

It is believed that ideally an efficient antimicrobial agent should simultaneously interact with multiple sites both on the micro-organisms and the host cell to reduce the likelihood of the micro-organism developing resistance. For example, ribavirin is a guanosine analogue that has a broad-spectrum activity against many RNA and DNA viruses. Ribavirin has several

mechanisms of action (Beaucourt & Vignuzzi, 2014) including: (1) inhibition of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, resulting in depletion of guanosine triphosphate; (2) interfering with mRNA-capping; (3) stimulating the host immune system to act against the invaded virus; (4) inhibiting viral RNA polymerase, and (5) enhancing virus mutagenesis due to incorporation of ribavirin triphosphate in place of guanosine triphosphate into viral RNA, resulting in viral death.

MONPs could comprise a very promising route for the development of new antimicrobial therapeutics. They have been reported to have a broad antimicrobial activity against bacteria (both Gram positive and negative), viruses, fungi, and protazoa (Aderibigbe, 2017, Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). Their efficiency stems from their mechanism of action: several are reported, but the principle mechanism involves the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which are potent in killing micro-organisms. Micro-organisms are not able to develop resistance to such ROS production (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017), because ROS attack multiple different sites and biomolecules in the micro-organism, resulting in their oxidation and subsequent cell death. Micro-organisms attempt to protect themselves against oxidation through the use of enzymes such as dismutase and catalase to convert ROS into water and oxygen, non-toxic by-products. However, these can be overwhelmed by the presence of very high amounts of ROS, which results in the oxidation of a range of essential molecules such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates and DNA: this will be discussed later in more detail (Fatma Vatansever et al., 2014, Chen, Brugarolas, & He, 2011). The production of ROS by MONPs is similar to the approach employed by macrophages to eradicate microbes. Macrophages endocytose micro-organisms, trap them inside endosomes, and destroy them through the secretion of very large amounts of ROS sufficient to overcome the superoxide dismutase enzymes of the micro-organism (Slauch, 2012).

Although MONPs have been proven to have potent antimicrobial properties, they have a number of limitations that hinder their clinical application. *In vivo*, there are several challenges which they must overcome to reach their intended target. These include requirements to cross biological barriers and maintain stability in biological fluids, in addition to considerations of how the MONPs will interact with the immune system and any safety and risks associated with their long-term administration. There are also complications associated with the technical design of NPs which can selectively attack and eradicate a target micro-organism without having any hazardous effects on the patient. All of these issues will be discussed in detail below.

3- MECHANISMS OF ACTION OF METAL OXIDE NANOPARTICLES

This review will focus on the antimicrobial activity of MONPs against bacteria, viruses and parasites. A summary of the findings in the literature are given in Table 1. For instance, MONPs have been explored for their antimicrobial activities against a range of microorganisms that are known to cause common hospital acquired infections (Khan Hassan, Baig, & Mehboob, 2017). Potent activity has been reported against a wide range of such bacteria, including *Escherichia coli, vancomycin resistant Enterococci,* and *methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 1). Viruses such as hepatitis B and C, influenza, human immunodeficiency viruses (HIV), rotavirus, and herpes-simplex virus that cause around 5% of nosocomial infections (Khan Hassan et al., 2017). MONPs have demonstrated significant potential *in vitro* against hepatitis C and herpes simplex type 1 and 2 (Table 1). Parasitic infections cause a relatively small number (< 0.5%) of total nosocomial infections (Góralska & Kurnatowski, 2013), but MONPs have been shown to be effective against *Plasmodium falciparum* (malaria), helminth infections and *leishmania* species (Table 1).

Material	Particle Size (nm)	Zeta Potential (mV)*	Test Method	Antimicrobial effects	Reference
	()	Antiba	cterial activity		
Aluminium oxide [α-Al₂O₃]	20 - 30	NA	Agar well diffusion	Staphylococcus aureus (S. Aureus), Klebsiella aerogenes, Escherichia coli (E. Coli), Pseudomonas desmolyticum	(Prashanth et al., 2015)
Antimony trioxide (Sb ₂ O ₃)	90 - 210	NA	Agar plate and counting of colony forming units (CFU)	E. coli, Bacillius subtilis Streptococcus aureus	(Baek & An, 2011)
Calcium oxide (CaO)	15 - 180	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Lactobacillus plantarum	(Tang et al., 2013)
Calcium oxide (CaO)	16	NA	Agar well diffusion	Staphylococcus epidermidis > Pseudomonas aeruginosa	(Roy Arup, Gauri, Bhattacharya, & Bhattacharya, 2013)
Cadmium oxide (CdO)	60	NA	Determination of optical density; agar plate and counting of CFU	E. coli	(Rezaei-Zarchi et al., 2010)
Cerium oxide (CeO ₂)	6 – 40	NA	Disc diffusion method	E. coli and B. subtilis	(Pelletier et al., 2010)
Chitosan based Zinc oxide NPs (ZnO)	99 - 603	-12.9 to – 35.5	Agar diffusion and micro titre methods	Antibacterial activity and biofilm inhibition activity against <i>Micrococcus</i> <i>luteus and</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	(Dhillon, Kaur, & Brar, 2014)
Chromium oxide (Cr ₂ O ₃)	41, 65 and 79	NA	Disc diffusion	E. coli, P. aeruginosa	(Ananda & Gowda, 2013)
Cinnamomum verum functionalized Fe ₃ O ₄	9.4	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	S. aureus and E. coli	(Anghel et al., 2014)

Cobalt oxide (Co ₃ O ₄)	100 -150	NA	Broth dilution	S. aureus and E. coli	(Ghosh et al., 2014)
Copper oxide (CuO)	23	NA	Well diffusion	E. coli, Enterococcus faecalis, and Klebsiella pneumenia	(Ahamed, Alhadlaq, Khan, Karuppiah, & Al- dhabi, 2014)
Copper oxide nanorods (CuO)	Width: 60 nm, length: 8.3 µm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	E. coli	(Pandey et al., 2014), (Khashan, Sulaiman, & Abdulameer, 2016), (Gilbertson et al., 2016), (Bondarenko, Ivask, Käkinen, & Kahru, 2012)
Copper oxide (CuO)	5 - 8	NA	Well diffusion	K. penumeniae, Salmonella typhimurium, and Enterobacter . aerogenes	(Kumar, Salar, & Purewal, 2014)
Copper oxide (CuO)	10 - 40	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Different stains of S. aureus	(Hsueh, Tsai, & Lin, 2017)
Copper oxide (CuO)	20 - 27	NA	Well diffusion	B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli and P. aeruginosa	(Azam, Ahmed, Oves, Khan, & Memic, 2012)
Copper oxide (CuO)	15 – 30	NA	Determination of optical density	E. coli and P. aeruginosa	(Das, Nath, Phukon, & Dolui, 2013)
Copper oxide (CuO)	9.6	NA	Broth dilution	E.coli and S. aureus	(Jadhav, Gaikwad, Nimse, & Rajbhoj, 2011)
Copper oxide (CuO)	Nanosize: 20 – 200 nm Microsize: 200 – 2000 nm	-16.5 -28.5	Determination of optical density	E. coli and Lactobacillus brevis	(Kaweeteerawa t et al., 2015)
Copper oxide (CuO)	< 50	NA	Determination of optical density	P. aeruginosa	(Guo et al., 2017)
Copper oxide (CuO and Cu ₂ O)	CuO = 30 Cu ₂ O= 40	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	E. coli	(Meghana, Kabra, Chakraborty, & Padmavathy, 2013)
Copper oxide (CuO)	20 - 95	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Various nosocomial bacteria inc. <i>methicillin-</i> <i>resistant</i> Staphylococcus	(Ren et al., 2009)

				aureus (MSRA), S. epidermis, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli	
Copper oxide (CuO)	20 – 30	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	E. coli, B. subtilis and S. aureus	(Baek & An, 2011)
Copper oxide (CuO)	25 - 30	NA	Well diffusion	Strongly diminishes the biofilm forming uro-pathogens of MRSA and E. coli	(Agarwala, Choudhury, & Yadav, 2014)
Graphene oxide modified zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles	170	NA	Microdilution	E. Coli, S. typhimurium, B. subtilis, E. faecalis	(Linlin Zhong & Yun, 2015)
lron oxide NP (Fe₃O₄) functionalized with carvone	12	NA	Biofilm assay	Inhibited colonisation and bio-film formation of <i>S. aureus and E.</i> <i>coli</i>	(Holban et al., 2015)
Iron oxide (Fe₃O₄) NP impregnated polyacrylonitrile matrix	2 - 24	-34 to -20 at pH 4 to 10	Membrane agar test	E. coli	(Mukherjee & De, 2015)
lron oxide (Fe₃O₄)	33 – 40	NA	Well diffusion	E.coli, S. aureus, and Proteus vulgaris	(Prabhu, Rao, Kumari, Kumar, & Pavani, 2015)
Iron oxide (Fe₃O₄)	10 – 120	NA	Well diffusion	S. aureus, B. subtilis and E. coli, S. epidermidis, Bacillus Licheniformis, Brevibacillusbrevis , and Vibrio cholerae,	(Behera, Patra, Pramanik, Panda, & Thatoi, 2012);
Iron oxide (α-Fe₂O₃)	50 - 110	NA	Well diffusion	S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa and Serratia marcescens	(Ismail, Sulaiman, Abdulrahman, & Marzoog, 2015)
Iron oxide (Fe₃O₄)	10.4 - 11.4	-32.2 to + 36.3	Determination of optical density; agar plate and counting CFU	B. subtilis and E. coli	(Arakha et al., 2015)
lron oxide (mixture of Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃)	9	-19	Determination of optical density	Concentration dependant antibacterial activity against S. aureus	(Tran et al., 2010)
Layered graphene sheets decorated with zinc oxide nanoparticles	30 – 40	NA	Well diffusion	Salmonella typhi > E. coli	(Bykkam et al., 2015)

(ZnO)					
Magnetite (Fe ₃ O ₄)	8	NA	Determination of optical density	Concentration dependant bacteriostatic effect against <i>E.</i> <i>coli</i>	(Chatterjee et al., 2011)
Maghemite (Fe2O3)	25 – 30	NA	Well diffusion	Active against the following uro- pathogens; MRSA, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis (MRSE), vancomycin resistant Enterococci (VRE), Proteus mirabilis E. coli, K. penumnoiae, and P. aeruginosa	(Agarwala et al., 2014)
Magnesium oxide, nanowire (MgO)	Width: 6 nm, length: 10 µm	NA	Well diffusion; determination of optical density	Showed a concentration dependant bacteriostatic activity against <i>E.</i> coli and Bacillus species	(Al-Hazmi et al., 2012)
Metatitinic acid (H₂TiO₃) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂) NPs incorporated into dressings	NA	NA	Disc diffusion	Inhibited growth of <i>E. coli, S. aureus</i> and <i>E. faecalis.</i>	(Krokowicz et al., 2015)
Nickel oxide (NiO)	10 – 20	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Active against E.coli, B. subtilis and S. aureus	(Baek & An <i>,</i> 2011)
Nickel oxide (NiO)	20 – 30	36.8	Well diffusion	Broad spectrum antibacterial activity against gram-positive and gram-negative pathogens	(Rakshit et al., 2013)
Polyethyleneimine capped zinc oxide NPs (ZnO–PEl NP)	3 – 7 (core) 20 (capped)	NA	Determination of optical density	<i>E. coli</i> bearing high pathogenicity island genes	(Chakraborti et al., 2014)
Titanium dioxide	< 50	NA	Well diffusion	Active against biofilm producing	(Jesline, John, Narayanan,

(TiO ₂)				MRSA	Vani, &
. ,					Murugan, 2015)
Titanium dioxide			Agar test and	Promising	(Thomas, Raj, &
(TiO ₂)	NA	NA	counting of CFU	treatment for	Venkataramana
. ,				dental plaque	, 2014)
Titanium dioxide	22 to 124	ΝΔ		Streptococcus	(Besinis, De
(TiO ₂)	25 (0 154	NA		mutans	Handy 2014)
					(Rezaei-Zarchi
					et al., 2010).
			Determination		(Alhadrami, Al.
			of optical		& Hazmi, 2017),
(T:O)	60	NA	density; agar	E. coli	(Tong, Binh,
(1102)			plate method		Kelly, Gaillard,
					& Gray, 2013)
			Cro		
		-33.8 to -		Cine des sudant	(Lin et al. 2014)
Titanium dioxide	10, 25, and	5.48 at pH	and counting of	activity against F	(LIN et al., 2014)
(TiO₂)	50	range 3.6 to	CFU	coli	
		6.2			
			Quantitative	Cito dependent	(Sundarasan
			assessment	antibacterial	Siyakumar
Titanium dioxide	7 - 12	NA	method as per	activity against S.	Vigneswaran. &
(TiO ₂)	/		AATCC test	aureus and K.	Ramachandran,
			method 100-	pneumonia	2012)
			Determination		(Reddy Nisha
Zinc oxide	89 - 159	NA	of optical	K. pneumonia	Joice, & Shilpa,
(ZnO)			density	1	2014)
				Size dependant	
Zinc oxide	12, 25, 30,		Determination	growth inhibition	(Raghupathi,
(ZnO)	88, 142, 212,	NA	of optical	of S. aureus, E.	Koodali, &
	307		density	coli, and B.	Manna, 2011)
				SUDTIIIS.	
Zinc oxide	50	NΔ	Agar plate and	dependent activity	(Hsueh et al.,
(ZnO)	50		counting of CFU	against <i>B. subtilis</i>	2017)
7 1				Active against	(Narasimha,
Zinc oxide	10 – 25	NA	Well diffusion	clinical isolate of S.	Sridevi, Prasad,
(2110)				aureus	& Kumar, 2014)
Zinc oxide	30	NA	Agar plate and	Campylobacter	(Xie, He, Irwin,
(ZnO)			counting of CFU	jejuni	Jin, & Shi, 2011)
			Determination		
			density: agar		(Mirhosseini &
Zinc oxide	20 - 25	NA	plate and	E. coli and S.	Firouzabadi,
(ZnO)			determination of	aureus	2013)
			zone of		-
			inhibition		
			Determination	Concentration	
Zinc oxide	70	NA	of optical	dependant	(Liu et al., 2009)
(ZNU)			density	$\frac{1}{F} coli O157.47$	
				L. CON 0137.117	

Zinc oxide (ZnO)	20 60	-21.9 -17	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Size dependant inhibitory activity against	(Seil & Webster, 2012)
Zinc oxide (ZnO nano and microwires)	Microwire: Width: 200 – 500 nm, length: 2 to 4 μm Nanowire: Width: 20 – 40 nm, length: 4μm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Size and dose dependant inhibitory effect against <i>B. subtilis</i> > <i>S. aureus</i>	(Rago et al., 2014)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	100 – 150	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Active against Streptococcus agalactiae and S. aureus	(Huang et al. <i>,</i> 2008)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	50 – 70	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Selective antimicrobial activity against <i>E. coli and B.</i> subtilis	(Baek & An, 2011)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	19.8	NA	Well diffusion	Inhibited bacterial growth of methicillin- sensitive S. aureus (MSSA), and MRSA	(Ansari, Khan, Khan, Sultan, & Azam, 2012)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	15, 25, and 38	NA	Agar plate and determination of zone of inhibition	Size dependant inhibitory effect against Salmonella, Paratyphi, B. subtilis, K, pneumoniiae, S. epidermidis, E. aerogenes and MRSA	(Palanikumar, Ramasamy, & Balachandran, 2014)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	17 – 21	NA	Disc diffusion	Concentration dependant activity against S. aureus, E. coli, K. pneumoniae, E. faecalis and P. aeruginosa	(Narayanan, Wilson, Abraham, & Sevanan, 2012)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	Nanorods: Diameter: 30 – 60 nm, length: 80 nm and widt: 50 – 200 nm, Length: 5 μm.	NA	Broth dilution and colony counting; agar plate; disc diffusion; microtiter plate; conductivity assay	E. coli, Salmonella choleraesuis, P. aeruginosa, L. plantarum and Listeria monocytogenes	(Espitia et al., 2012)

	Carls a size al s				
	Spherical:				
	37 – 47 nm.				
	Circular:				
	30 – 60 nm.				
	Acicular:				
	20 – 30 nm				
	l) Width. 200				
	– 800 nm.				
Zinc oxide adhered	length: 2-		Agar diffusion	Bacteriostatic	(Shateri-
to a surface of	Aum II)		modified colony	offect against K	Khalilahad &
to a surface of	4μΠ.Π)	NA		enect against K.	Vardanshanas
			counting	prieumoniae ana	razuarisrierias,
(ZnO)	300 nm,		method	S. dureus	2013)
	length 1 -				
	2µm				
Zinc ovide				Bactericidal	(Watson et al
(7nO)	20 – 217	19.7	<i>In vivo</i> rat model	activity against P.	(Watsoll et al.,
(2110)				aeruginosa	2015)
Zine ovide					(Jesline et al.,
	< 100	NA	Well diffusion	MRSA	2015)
(ZnO)					
				Ultrasound	
				stimulation led to	
Zinc oxide NPs				stronger	
(ZnO) and	20 and 60	-21.9 and -	Agar plate and	antibacterial	(Seil & Webster.
ultrasound		17	counting of CFU	activity against S	2012)
application				aureus than NPs	2012)
				alone	
				aione	
Zinc oxide				Excellent	
Zinc oxide			Agar plate and	Excellent	(Applerat et al
Zinc oxide nanoparticles	15	NA	Agar plate and	Excellent antibiofilm activity	(Applerot et al.,
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass	15	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide	15	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide	15	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide	15 Nanoparticle:	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide,	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods:	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah,
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus niger	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus niger	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm	NA	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate	NA NA Unconjugat	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 - 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μ m Unconjugate d: 18 nm	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus niger	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus niger	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated:	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated:	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus niger Biofilm of MRSA,	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus,
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated: 20 nm	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated: -40.1	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm and counting of	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i> Biofilm of MRSA, <i>E. coli, P.</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus, Taylor,
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (unconjugated and	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated: 20 nm	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated: -40.1	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i> Biofilm of MRSA, <i>E. coli, P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus, Taylor, Kummer, &
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (unconjugated and conjugated to zinc	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated: 20 nm	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated: -40.1 Iron	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> and Aspergillus niger Biofilm of MRSA, <i>E. coli, P.</i> aeruginosa	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus, Taylor, Kummer, & Webster, 2013)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (unconjugated and conjugated to zinc and iron metals)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated: 20 nm Iron	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated: -40.1 Iron conjugated:	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i> Biofilm of MRSA, <i>E. coli, P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus, Taylor, Kummer, & Webster, 2013)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (unconjugated and conjugated to zinc and iron metals)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated: 20 nm Iron conjugated:	NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated: -40.1 Iron conjugated: 24	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i> Biofilm of MRSA, <i>E. coli, P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus, Taylor, Kummer, & Webster, 2013)
Zinc oxide nanoparticles coating a glass slide Zinc oxide, nanoparticle and nanorods (ZnO) Super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (unconjugated and conjugated to zinc and iron metals)	15 Nanoparticle: 20 nm Nanorods: width from 60 – 350 nm and length from 0.5 to 4.2μm Unconjugate d: 18 nm Zinc conjugated: 20 nm Iron conjugated: 28 nm	NA NA NA Unconjugat ed: -35.5 Zinc conjugated: -40.1 Iron conjugated: -34	Agar plate and counting of CFU Agar diffusion Bacterial biofilm and counting of CFU	Excellent antibiofilm activity against <i>E. coli and</i> <i>S. aureus</i> Inhibited growth of <i>S. aureus, E. coli</i> <i>and Aspergillus</i> <i>niger</i> Biofilm of MRSA, <i>E. coli, P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i>	(Applerot et al., 2010) (Jaisai, Baruah, & Dutta, 2012) (Durmus, Taylor, Kummer, & Webster, 2013)

oxide composite	Ag ₂ O: 20 counting of CFU				
	Antibacterial ac	tivity of biog	genically synthesized	metal oxide NPs	
Aluminium oxide (Al ₂ O ₃) synthesized by using leaf extracts of lemongrass	254	+ 52.2	Disc diffusion	E. coli	(Ansari et al., 2012)
Cobalt oxide (Co3O4) synthesized using leaf extracts of Sageretia thea	20	NA	Disc diffusion	Concentration dependant activity against S. aureus and E. coli Antibacterial activity increased after exposure to UV	(Khalil et al., 2017)
Copper oxide (CuO) synthesized through microbial method using <i>Streptomyces</i> species (forming CuO coated textile)	100 – 150	NA	Agar plate and determination of zone of inhibition	E. coli, S. aureus, and A. niger CuO nanoparticles applied to textile showed maximum zone of mycostaisis - a promising future for a textile that might decrease transmission of infectious diseases.	(Usha, Prabu, Palaniswamy, Venil, & Rajendran, 2010)
Copper oxide (CuO) synthesized using gum karya	4.8, 5.5, and 7.8	NA	Well diffusion	E. coli and S. aureus	(Padil & Černík, 2013)
Copper oxide (CuO) synthesized using extract of brown algae	5 – 45	NA	Disc diffusion	Enterobacter aerogenes and S. aureus	(Abboud et al., 2014).
Copper oxide (CuO) synthesized using Phyllanthus amarus leaf extract	20	NA	Well diffusion	B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli and P. aeruginosa	(Acharyulu et al., 2014)
Copper oxide (CuO) prepared using tea leaf and coffee powder extracts	50 – 100	NA	Disc diffusion	Shigella dysenteriae and V. cholera	(Sutradhar, Saha, & Maiti, 2014)
Iron oxide (Fe ₃ O ₄) Produced using seaweed (Sargassum muticum aqueous extract)	10 – 30	NA	Disc diffusion	E. coli, Proteus mirablis, Proteus vulgaris and S. aureus	(Arokiyaraj et al., 2013)

Titanium dioxide (TiO2) synthesized using the fungus Aspergillus flavus	33	NA	Well diffusion	E. coli	(Santhoshkuma r et al., 2014)
Titanium dioxide (TiO2) synthesized using Aeromonas hydrophila	40.5	NA	Well diffusion	S. aureus and streptococcus pyogenes	(Jayaseelan et al., 2013)
Zinc oxide (ZnO) produced using the leaf extract of Solanum nigrum	20 – 30	NA	Disc diffusion	Salmonella paratyphi, E. coli, V. cholerae and S. aureus	(Ramesh, Anbuvannan, & Viruthagiri, 2015).
		Ant	iviral activity		
Cuprous oxide Cu2O)	45.5 (by TEM) 92.4 (by Zeta sizer)	NA	<i>In vitro</i> , Huh 7.5.1 cells infected with HCV	Inhibited Hepatitis C virus entry (Genotype 1a, 1b and 2a) at a concentration of 2 μg/ml	(Hang et al., 2015)
Iron oxide (Fe₃O₄)	75 – 80	+ 7.25 – 7.48	In vitro, Huh7 cells infected with HCV	Induced knockdown of Hepatitis C virus genes encoding helicase and protease, essential for cirus replication	(SooRyoon Ryoo et al., 2012)
Zinc oxide, Tetrapod shape (ZnO)	Arm diameter: 200 nm to 1μm, length 5 - 30 μm	NA	<i>In vivo</i> using BALB/c mice	Interacts with Herpes simplex virus 2 inhibiting its entry into cells	(Antoine et al., 2016) (Mishra et al., 2011)
		Antip	arasitic activity		
Al₂O₃, CeO₂, Fe₃O₄, ZrO₂ and MgO	<50 <25 9 - 11 <100 <30	NA	In vitro, human blood cells infected with the parasite were treated with NPs	Plasmodium falciparum (malaria)	(Jacob Inbaneson & Ravikumar, 2013)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	10 - 15	NA	<i>In vivo</i> , mice infected with parasite were treated orally with the NPs	Showed protective effect against <i>Eimeria Papillate</i> induced coccidiosis	(Dkhil, Al- Quraishy, & Wahab, 2015)
Zinc oxide (ZnO)	17	NA	In vitro, parasite in medium were treated with NPs	Helminth infection	(Khan et al. <i>,</i> 2015b)
Zinc oxide (ZnO) and iron oxide (FeO)	20 - 30 20 - 40	NA	<i>In vitro,</i> parasites in medium were	Helminth infection	(Dorostkar, Ghalavand, Nazarizadeh,

			treated with NPs		Tat, & Hashemzadeh, 2017)
Titanium dioxide (TiO ₂),	10 – 25		In vitro,	Promastigotes of leishmaniasis	(Jebali & Kazemi, 2013)
Zinc oxide (ZnO),	10 - 30	NA	promastigotes in medium were treated with NPs	major	(Delavari, Dalimi,
Magnesium oxide (MgO)	30 – 40				Ghaffarifar, & Sadraei, 2014)
	Antiparasitic A	tivity of bio:	genically synthesized	metal oxide NPs	
Cobalt oxide (Co3O4) synthesized using leaf extracts of Sageretia thea	20	NA	In vitro, parasites in culture media were treated with NPs	Active against <i>leshimaniasis,</i> both the axenic promastigote and amastigote cultures.	(Khalil et al., 2017)
*NA = Not a	vailable				

3.1. Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial effects of MONPs arise from damage to cell membranes, the generation of ROS, photokilling, disturbance of metal/metal ion homeostasis, genotoxicity, and protein or enzyme damage (Figure 3) (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). A brief description of the major mechanisms is presented below. For further detail about the mechanisms of action, readers are directed to a recent review (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017).

3.1.1. Cell wall damage

The surface charge, size of the MONPs and the nature of the bacterial cell wall (Gram negative versus Gram positive) profoundly affect the antimicrobial activity of NPs (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). The cell walls of both Gram negative and positive bacteria have a peptidoglycan (sugar/amino acid polymer) layer, but this is thicker with Gram positive bacteria. The membrane of Gram negative bacteria is more negatively charged than Gram positive bacteria (Figure 3) (Beveridge, 1999).

Many binding forces are involved in the adhesion of NPs to the bacterial cell wall, including electrostatic, van der Waals and hydrogen bonding interactions (Parikh & Chorover, 2006). Binding is further influenced by steric effects (Neu & Marshall, 1990). The relative importance of these will depend on the net surface charge of a NP (neutral, negative or positive). It has been reported that amphiphilic molecules embedded in the walls of Gram negative (*e.g.* lipopolysaccharides, phospholipids) and Gram positive (*e.g.* teichoic acid and lipoteichoic acid) bacteria are the first molecules involved in binding with NPs (Makin & Beveridge, 1996). These amphiphilic molecules have a hydrophobic and a hydrophilic region that are able to interact with NPs approaching the bacterial cell wall. Lipopolysaccharides are reported to bind to GeO_2 , α -Fe₂O₃ and α -Al₂O₃ surfaces (Parikh & Chorover, 2008).

Lipoteichoic acid is found to interact with the surface of TiO₂ NPs (Rice & Wickham, 2005; Wickham & Rice, 2008). These interaction forces facilitate the adhesion of a NP onto the cell wall of bacteria, and this is followed either by the endocytic uptake of the NP or the formation of nanoscale pores in the cell wall (Figure 3). The latter in particular permits the passage of more MONPs into the interior of the bacterium where they can interact with a range of intracellular components such as lipids, enzymes, proteins, DNA and other intracellular organelles (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). Pores also allow leakage of intracellular components to the extracellular milieu. All these effects together result in the death of the bacterium (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). MONPs with a positive surface charge are taken up to a greater extent than other NPs due to the prevalence of negative charges at the cell wall. This might be responsible for the selective action of some MONPs (Chung et al., 2004).

3.1.2. Production of reactive oxygen species

As noted above, ROS production is thought to be the principal mechanism underlying the antimicrobial activity of MONPs (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). ROS comprise superoxide anions (O_2^{-}) , hydroxyl radicals (OH), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and organic hydroperoxides. ROS are normally neutralized or deactivated by the protective mechanisms present in bacterial cells, either enzymatically (catalase or superoxide dismutase) or by reducing substances such as thiol or sulphur containing compounds (*e.g.* glutathione) (Fatma Vatansever et al., 2014; Slavin, Asnis, Häfeli, & Bach, 2017a). However, these are limited in their effects and can be overwhelmed by very high ROS concentrations as discussed earlier.

MONPs dissolve and release metal ions (*e.g.* Fe³⁺, Co²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Cu²⁺) both in the medium surrounding the bacteria and in the cytoplasm. Thus, after endocytic uptake of a NP into the bacteria, a certain quantity of metal ions is released into the cytoplasm. Metal ions can also easily diffuse through the cell wall of the bacterium. These two processes result in the generation of ROS inside the cell (Pereira & Oliveira, 2012). When ROS production overwhelms the cellular antioxidant defence system, oxidative stress results (Imlay, 2003; Imlay & Linn, 1988; Paravicini & Touyz, 2006; Storz & Imlay, 1999). This is associated with damage of many key biomolecules inside a micro-organism, including carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, and genetic materials (Figure 3). Oxidative stress can also lead to depletion of reduced glutathione (Jahnke et al., 2016; Madl, Plummer, Carosino, & Pinkerton, 2015), a compound which has an important role in scavenging and detoxifying ROS molecules (Ramalingam, Parandhaman, & Das, 2016). For instance, exposure of *E. coli* to ZnO and TiO₂ resulted in depletion of reduced glutathione (Jathione (Ashutosh, Pandey, Singh, Shanker, & Dhawan, 2011).

The amount of ROS produced is controlled by the physicochemical properties of NPs, including their surface area, diffusibility and electrophilic nature (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). For example, Cu₂O NPs have higher antibacterial activity than CuO NPs, indicating that the oxidation state of the metal plays a role in toxicity (Meghana et al., 2013). In this case, O₂ can oxidise Cu⁺ in Cu₂O to Cu²⁺, which can in turn react with superoxide (O₂⁻), leading to sustained oxidative stress (Meghana et al., 2013). Superoxide molecules may

reduce Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ , thereby generating H_2O_2 . The latter can react with Cu^+ to generate OH^- (Nieto-Juarez, Sienkiewicz, & Kohn, 2010; Slavin, Asnis, Häfeli, & Bach, 2017b). Higher concentrations of OH^- have been detected in cells treated with CuO NPs than those treated with Cu_2O NPs (Slavin et al., 2017b).

In addition to the production of ROS via the metal ions released from their surfaces, MONPs frequently have electron donating surfaces (Sawai et al., 1996). This endows them with the ability to generate ROS upon exposure to UV light and/or oxygen. This can lead to bacterial death *via* a process termed photokilling. Photokilling is a mechanism particularly characteristic of MONPs containing transition metals (Hongjun & Lianzhou, 2014), but ROS can be also generated from non-transition metal based materials upon exposure to light (Fatma Vatansever et al., 2014; Sawai et al., 1996). After exposure to light, the ROS produced lead to the disruption of the cell membrane, loss of permeability, damage to proteins and DNA, and damage to enzymes. Complete killing of bacteria was reported after exposure to titanium oxide (TiO₂) NP under UV light for 50 min (Tsuang et al., 2008).

3.1.3. Disturbance in metal/metal ion homeostasis

Metal ions are essential to regulate the metabolic activity of micro-organisms (Gaballa & Helmann, 1998). Excess metal ions disrupt homeostatic processes and therefore metabolic activity (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). Excess metal ions can further bind with and cross-link genetic material either between or within DNA strands, and hence disrupt the helical nature of DNA (Raghunath & Perumal, 2017). Inside bacteria, NPs are constantly undergoing dissolution because of the electrochemical potential in solution, leading to a uniform distribution in the cell. In contrast, NPs that interact with the cell wall produce a high local concentration of ions, causing more toxicity (Hood & Skaar, 2013; Skaar & Raffatellu, 2015).





3.1.4. Genotoxicity

MONPs are reported to damage both chromosomal DNA (single circular strands carrying the genetic material essential for daily metabolic activity) and plasmid (not essential for daily survival, but important at times of stress) DNA in bacteria. Such damage results in DNA oxidation and fragmentation (Giannousi, Lafazanis, Arvanitidis, Pantazaki, & Dendrinou-Samara, 2014), and has been noted with *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtillis* exposed to Cu₂O NPs (Giannousi et al., 2014).

3.2. Antiviral activity

MONPs are reported to act as antiviral agents through the attachment of the particles to the surface of the virus (Aderibigbe, 2017). This interferes with the interactions between binding sites at the exterior of the virus and specific receptors on the surface of the host cell, and therefore inhibits virus entry into the cell (Figure 4). For example, an *in vitro* study showed that Cu₂O NPs interacted with the surface of hepatitis C, inhibiting its entry into Huh7.5.1 cells and consequently inhibit viral replication (Hang et al., 2015). In addition, MONPs can be used for the delivery of a therapeutic agent (either chemically or physically attached onto the NP surface). This has been exemplified for IONPs, which were employed as targeted delivery systems carrying a DNAzyme for the treatment of hepatitis C (Ryoo, Jang, Kim, Lee, Bo, et al., 2012). *In vivo* studies on mice showed that the IONPs accumulated in the hepatocytes and macrophages in the liver, suggesting they have potential for the treatment of hepatitis C (Ryoo, Jang, Kim, Lee, Bo, et al., 2012). *Kim*, Lee, Bo, et al., 2012).



Figure 4: Mechanisms of action of metal oxide nanoparticles as antiviral agents.

3.3. Antiparasitic activity

The antiparasitic activity of MONPs involves the production of sufficiently large amounts of ROS to overcome the defence systems of the parasite. The production of ROS is initiated by metal ions released from the NPs (Aderibigbe, 2017) as previously discussed in Section 3.1.2. For instance, *in vitro* treatment of *Gigantocotyle explanatum* with different concentrations of ZnO NPs was found to kill the parasite via this route (Khan et al., 2015a). An increase in ROS has been associated with an increase of the activity of protective enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (Sawai et al., 1996), but at high concentrations of ZnO NPs (240 µg /ml), this protective system appeared to be disrupted, possibly due to the saturation of enzymes as a result of over production of hydroxyl ions and other ROS rendering the detoxification mechanism ineffective (Khan et al., 2015a).

4- TRANSLATION OF MONPS TO THE CLINIC

There are currently no MONPs formulations for antimicrobial applications in the list of FDAapproved nanomedicines (Bobo et al., 2016), despite the very significant amount of research work that has been undertaken (Table 1). To date, IONPs are the only MONPs approved by the FDA, with applications for both imaging and the treatment of iron deficiency (Anselmo & Mitragotri, 2015, 2016; Bobo et al., 2016). However, most of these IONP formulations were withdrawn and discontinued for use in the clinic (Anselmo & Mitragotri, 2015). This might be a result of several associated side-effects (*e.g.* severe lower back pain and life threatening hypersensitivity reactions) or lower imaging efficacy compared to other MRI agents (Yi-Xiang, 2015). FDA approval of these IONP formulations involved many physicochemical and biological tests at the preclinical and clinical stages. However, these did not fully guarantee biological safety and efficacy, and consequently additional tests might be required in the future. This could place obstacles to the development of MONPs for antimicrobial activity. However, there are potentially low-hanging fruit: Ferumoxytol, which contains IONP coated with polyglucose sorbitol carboxymethylether, is an extremely safe material currently used in the clinic (Anselmo & Mitragotri, 2015; Spinowitz et al., 2008; Spinowitz et al., 2005). Given that IONPs have been shown to have antibacterial, antiviral and anti-parasite activity, the antimicrobial activity of Ferumoxytol would appear to be worthy of investigation.

The limited number of MONPs being processed into FDA approval might be a result of a lack of attention being paid to the biological and technical perspectives essential for translation to the pre-clinical and clinical stages. Key questions to be considered comprise biological challenges such as:

- (1) Are the MONPs stable in the blood plasma?
- (2) Can they cross key biological barriers such as the epithelium layer, and survive the gastrointestinal pH and high ionic strength of physiological fluids?
- (3) Can they be selectively targeted to and taken up by an infected organ/cell?
- (4) Do they initiate an immune response? If so, is this beneficial or detrimental?
- (5) Can they be eliminated safely from humans after treatment?
- (6) What are the potential biotoxicities associated with long-term administration?

A number of technical questions also need to be taken into account:

- (1) What issues might be faced in large scale production?
- (2) What physicochemical properties tests (in-process and final product) are required to allow reproducible production of MONPs?

These issues will be discussed in turn below.

4.1. Biological challenges

4.1.1. Biological barriers

There are several barriers which must be overcome by MONPs *in vivo* in order for them to have a pharmacological effect. These barriers differ according to the route of administration. This review will consider the key biological barriers encountered by NPs after application by intravenous, oral, and topical routes of administration (Figure 5).

Intravenous administration of NPs has the advantage of delivering the NP directly into the blood stream. However, many barriers must still be overcome to reach a particular desired organ. For example, plasma proteins are quickly adsorbed onto NP surfaces in the blood, forming a protein corona in a process known as opsonization (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). Adsorption is associated with conformational changes of the proteins, and this enhances NP recognition and elimination by macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system (RES), a part of the immune system localized in the lymph nodes, liver and spleen (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). Removal of NPs by the RES is very sensitive to their size. Particles greater than 200 nm are rapidly cleared by the RES of the liver and spleen (Faraji & Wipf, 2009; Kulkarni & Feng, 2013; Luís, Barros, Tsourkas, Saboury, & Cardoso, 2012). However, smaller particles are able to avoid RES uptake, and the literature reports that there is an inverse relationship

between particle size and uptake by the RES: particles of smaller sizes persist for a longer time in the systemic circulation than larger particles (Hoshyar, Gray, Han, & Bao, 2016). This has been noted for example with Au NPs (Hoshyar et al., 2016). Uptake by the RES can further be ameliorated by NP surface modification prior to administration: for instance, the attachment of polyethylene glycol (PEG) is reported to reduce protein adsorption and increase the circulation time (Stolnik, Illum, & Davis, 1995). IONPs coated with PEG accumulate to a lesser extent in the liver and spleen than their naked analogues, making them more available in the systemic circulation to be taken up by other organs (Fong-yu, Su, Yang, & Yeh, 2005).



Figure 5: Challenges potentially facing NPs after oral, topical, and IV administration

The next obstacle faced by the NP will be the need to transit across the endothelium layer of the blood vessels into the extracellular fluid (Figure 5). The endothelial cells of the blood vessel membrane are tightly adhered to each other, with a gap of less than 2 nm between them (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). Additionally, they are supported on a basement membrane which only allows the passage of particles smaller than 15 nm. This further reduces the possibility of extravasation of NPs across the vascular endothelium (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). In some organs, such as the liver, the endothelium layer is more permeable and particles up to 100 nm in size can pass through (Braet et al., 2007). The spleen is also more accessible to larger particles (200 to 250 nm) (Cataldi, Vigliotti, Mosca, & Cammarota, 2017; Moghimi et al., 2017; Moghimi, Hunter, & Andresen, 2012). This means that if NPs are able to enter the systemic circulation, they are likely to accumulate in the liver and spleen. In contrast, other organs such as the brain are highly inaccessible to NPs, with very tight junctions between their endothelial cells, and thus are extremely difficult to target (Greene,

Campbell, Greene, & Campbell, 2016). However, metal-containing NPs which are able to cross the blood brain barrier (BBB) in animal models have been designed. One example of such a formulation comprises PEGylated gold NPs with particle size of 5 nm (Cheng et al., 2014). More examples of inorganic NP that are able to cross BBB can be found in a recent review by Zhou *et al.* (Zhou, Peng, Seven, & Leblanc, 2018).

If NPs manage to overcome the previous barriers to reach their target organ or tissue, they now have to cross the extracellular fluid, a jelly-like fluid filled with polysaccharides, proteins and collagen (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). This contains some water channels that could possibly be used for NP transportation (Garnett & Kallinteri, 2006). However, the nature of the extracellular matrix imparts a potential problem due to the possibility of protein adsorption onto the surface of the NP, leading to particle aggregation (Yue-Jian et al., 2010). Again, this might be obviated by the grafting of PEG to the particle surfaces.

After crossing the extracellular fluids, NPs are typically taken up by cells through the endocytic pathways (Porter, Moghimi, Illum, & Davis, 1992). MONPs must be able to withstand the acidic pH of the endosomes/lysosome, and/or escape into the cytoplasm of cells to exert their antimicrobial activity. However, IONPs comprise the only metal oxide system that has been extensively explored in terms of its biological fate. They were found to be significantly dissolved within the endosomes of stem cells in less than a month (Desboeufs, Michel, Pellegrino, Lalatonne, & Wilhelm, 2016). Given that a key part of the antibacterial activity of MONPs arises from metal ions being released into solution upon dissolution this may not be an issue in terms of their antimicrobial efficacy, but further investigations are required.

Oral administration of NP is likely to be favourable compared to IV administration as it is patient friendly and does not require trained medical staff or close medical observation after administration. However, orally administrated NP face additional challenges to those discussed for the intravenous route (Figure 5). These include the pH variation, potential for enzymatic degradation and high salt concentrations in the gastrointestinal tract (encouraging particle aggregation), and the need to cross its endothelium to enter the systemic circulation. Given that inorganic compounds such as MONPs are generally not endogenous, there are no mammalian enzymes which can digest them. Therefore, the digestive impact on inorganic NPs is generally not studied as an independent variable (Mccracken, Zane, Knight, Dutta, & Waldman, 2013).

The gastrointestinal fluids have a range of pH values ranging from acidic (in the stomach) to neutral and mildly alkaline pH (in the small intestine). This will affect both the surface charge and solubility of MONPs. For example, at acidic pH, cations neutralize the negative surface charge of TiO₂ NPs, resulting in particle aggregation; upon moving the NP into alkaline pH, the negative surface charge is returned and the particles disaggregate (Finnegan, 2006; Godinez & Darnault, 2010; Guiot & Spalla, 2012; Romanello & Cortalezzi, 2013). These effects can be mitigated though coating the NP; for instance, coating TiO₂ NPs with natural organic matter (phenolic and carboxylic compounds) was found to be efficient in stabilizing them against aggregation (Romanello & Cortalezzi, 2013). A number of other MONPs have also been relevealed to aggregate when suspended in simulated biological

fluids (Laijin Zhong, Yu, & Lian, 2017), with the colloidal stability found to lie in the order $Fe_3O_4 < CuO < TiO_2 < CeO_2 < ZnO$ in all fluids tested (Laijin Zhong et al., 2017). The aggregation was found to occur because of changes in surface charges and the high salt concentrations of simulated biological fluids (Laijin Zhong et al., 2017). NP dissolution can also be a problem: γ -Fe₂O₃ NPs were reported to dissolve at the acidic pH of the stomach, relasing their metal ions into the systemic circulation (Chamorro et al., 2015).

The next expected barrier for NPs applied orally is the requirement for them to penetrate the mucus layer and the gastrointestinal epithelium layer to be available for the systemic circulation. The mucus layer is a jelly-like layer composed of water and proteins (mucin) which acts as a semipermeable barrier between the lumen and epithelium layer (Jeong et al., 2010), hindering the penetration of MONPs into the latter (Fröhlich & Roblegg, 2012). The passage of NPs through a mucus layer depends on their size and surface charge (Avdeef & Testa, 2002). Generally, neutral and positively charged NPs are able to penetrate more easily through the mucus layer (Avdeef & Testa, 2002). However, the situation is complicated and the NPs may have an influence on the composition of mucus layer: silver NPs have been reported to induce secretion of mucus of abnormal mucin composition (Jeong et al., 2010). The abnormality of mucin might be indicative of pathologic regions and requires further investigation to explore the potential toxicity of MONPs administrated orally (Jeong et al., 2010).

If NPs pass through the mucus layer, they next have to cross the epithelium of the gastrointestinal tract. The epithelial layer in the gastrointestinal tract is composed of cells linked together by intercellular junctions, restricting passage between them. All epithelia reside on a basal membrane, which separates them from the underlying connective tissue containing capillaries, lymph vessels, and lymph follicles. Therefore, MONPs have also to cross the basal membrane and the connective tissue to reach the systemic circulation (Fröhlich & Roblegg, 2012). This can be a problem: after oral administration of silver NPs into rats, a large number of NPs were detected in the connective tissue under the epithelial layer of both the small and large intestine. This was found to induce abnormal mucin composition in the intestinal mucosa (Jeong et al., 2010). Therefore, further investigation should be carried out into the pathophysiology of the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration of MONPs.

Topical application of MONPs is another route to be considered for antimicrobial therapy (Figure 5). After topical application, NPs either penetrate to deep skin layers for local effects, or permeate to the bloodstream for systemic activity (Labouta & Schneider, 2013). The biological barriers to the former, for local antimicrobial activity, are likely to be less challenging than getting the NPs into the systemic circulation. Healthy skin is divided into the epidermis and the dermis. In addition, there are two physical barriers in the epidermis: the stratum corneum (the outmost layer of the epidermis), and tight junctions (intercellular junctions that seal adjacent cells forming the stratum corneum layer) (Brandner et al., 2015; Jatana & DeLouise, 2015). Intact healthy skin does not allow permeation of NPs, but this is not the case for inflamed, injured, or infected skin (Yoshioka, Kuroda, Hirai, Tsutsumi, & Ishii, 2017). A significant amount of research has been performed to explore the penetration of NPs through healthy skin, but there is still doubt regarding the therapeutic benefit of

their topical application in humans as most studies use animal rather than human skin (Yoshioka et al., 2017), and different authors have reported strikingly different observations.

The penetration of ZnO and TiO_2 NPs through skin has been explored, but the results are contradictory. Some studies reported permeation, while others did not. For example, Tan and his colleagues observed that TiO₂ NPs of 10 to 50 nm could permeate human skin in vivo (Tan, Commens, Burnett, & Snitch, 1996), but in another study 20 nm TiO₂ NPs failed to penetrate human skin both in vivo and ex vivo (Pandey et al., 2014). ZnO particles < 200 nm in size (Durand, Habran, Henschel, & Amighi, 2009) and of 30 nm (Xinyu, Ishida, & Kiwada, 2007) were both found to be unable to penetrate human skin in vitro, and ZnO of 80 nm was unable to penetrate porcine skin ex vivo (Gamer, Leibold, & Ravenzwaay, 2006). In contrast, Fe₂O₃ NPs ranging from 4.6 to 10 nm could pass through incised mouse skin *in vitro* (Lee et al., 2010). The different results reported are likely due to the use of varied experimental protocols, since there is no universally agreed approach for such studies: while some authors used intact skin, others employed incised or inflamed skin; some researchers add additives to their NP formulations (e.g. surfactants) but others do not; and different equipment was employed for qualitative and quantitative studies. Therefore, a robust correlation between the physicochemical properties of NP (size, material, surface charge, shape) and uptake is still lacking. Further, the precise role of formulation additives (e.g. permeation enhancers) and the condition of the skin (healthy skin versus inflamed or infected skin) on NP penetration needs further investigation (Labouta & Schneider, 2013; Yoshioka et al., 2017).

There are a range of factors which can affect the penetration of MONPs: (1) skin factors (*e.g.* type of skin, animal or human skin, intact *vs.* incised skin, hairy skin *vs.* non-hairy skin); (2) experimental factors (*e.g.* concentration of NPs, application time, skin area, *in vivo* or *in vitro* model); (3) formulation factors (*e.g.* particle size, surface charge, material type, additives, particle stability (aggregate *vs.* individual particles) and the vehicle used to disperse the NP). All of these need to be controlled to obtain detailed insight into NP uptake. For more information, readers are directed to reviews written by Yoshioka et al. (Yoshioka et al., 2017) and Labouta and Schneider (Labouta & Schneider, 2013).

Finally, it could be expected that the use of MONPs to treat topical and local antimicrobial activity might be more applicable than trying to target the systemic circulation via the skin, because microbial infections are associated with skin inflammation and increase of the leakiness of the vascular endothelium (Bray & Geisbert, 2005). This means there is a high likelihood of NP accumulation at the site of infection. However, further studies on the pathophysiological anatomical changes accompanying the application of MONPs is still required to determine their safety.

4.1.2. Immune response

The immune system can both inhibit or potentiate the antimicrobial activity of MONPs. Hinderance of their antimicrobial activity can arise due to opsonization as previously discussed in Section 4.1.1, followed by activation of the complement pathway of innate immunity (a set of proteins which help in the recognition of foreign particles by

macrophages) (Szeto & Lavik, 2017). This in turn enhances the clearance of NPs by the RES of the liver and spleen, as has been noted for IONPs (Jain, Reddy, Morales, Leslie-Pelecky, & Labhasetwar, 2008). The activation of the complement pathway can also cause or exacerbate life-threatening hypersensitivity reactions. For example, the administration of dextran coated IONPs (*e.g.* Sinerem, Combidex, Feridex) has been associated with hypersensitivity reactions as a result of complement pathway activation. This led to their withdrawal from the market after being approved by the FDA for MRI imaging or treatment of anaemia (Banda et al., 2014; Chao et al., 2013)

On the other hand, both the innate and adaptive arms of the immune system have been reported to be activated by MONPs, which can be used as adjuvants (materials added to vaccines to boost the immune response) (Maughan, Preston, & Williams, 2014; Moreira, Neto, Kipnis, & Junqueira-kipnis, 2017). This effect of MONPs increases the possibility of invading pathogen removal. The activation of innate immunity involves immune cell recruitment and activation of antigen-presenting cells (APCs) such as monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells. Activation of adaptive immunity involves activation of different types of T helper (Th) cells and B cells producing specific antibodies against the invaded pathogen (Mccomb, Thiriot, Krishnan, & Stark, 2013). This is summarized in Figure 6.

MONPs have been reported to modulate the immune system in a significant number of studies (Table 2) (Maughan et al., 2014). For instance, C57BL/6 mice were vaccinated with the model antigen ovalbumin (OVA) and Co_3O_4 NPs (CNP) and their efficacy compared with the Imject alum adjuvant. CNPs stimulated T helper cells with a more balanced Th1 (cellular immunity, potent against intracellular infections) to Th2 (antibody immunity, effective against extracellular pathogens) ratio than alum. Anti-OVA antibody production was less pronounced with CNP than alum, which is indicative of lower risks of allergic responses (Cho et al., 2012).

IONPs are reported to have time and dose dependent immunomodulatory effects both *in vitro* (M2 macrophage cell line) (Rojas et al., 2016) and *in vivo* (BALB/c mice) (Shen, Wang, Liao, & Jan, 2011). *In vivo* immunization of mice with IONPs coated with a surface protein from the merozoite parasite *via* intramuscular, subcutaneous or intraperitoneal routes (Pusic et al., 2013), all resulted in activation of adaptive immunity against the pathogen (*e.g.* B cell activation, with production of a significant level of anti-parasite antibodies and production of splenocyte cytokines (IL-4 and IFN- γ)). In other work (Pusic et al., 2013), bone marrow-derived dendritic cells treated with IONPs were reported to be activated. All these effects indicate that the immune response could be used to ameliorate microbial infections *via* the application of metal oxide NPs.



Figure 6: Immune response both innate and adaptive immunity against the invaded pathogens

Immunostimulatory effects have also been observed with TiO₂ NPs, which activated innate immunity when applied to the lungs of rats *in vivo* (Duffin, Tran, Brown, Stone, & Donaldson, 2007). TiO₂ NP are also reported to stimulate human macrophages (Lucarelli et al., 2004), and liver cells in mice after intraperitoneal injection (Cui et al., 2011). TiO₂ NPs with different physical properties (polymorphs, particle size/shape) applied to dendritic cells resulted in the activation of both innate and adaptive immunity (Schanen, Karakoti, Seal, Iii, & Warren, 2009), (Winter et al., 2011). Zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) has also been reported to induce adaptive immunity (*e.g.* activation of T helper cells) (Hanley et al., 2009). *In vivo*, zinc oxide NPs administered with OVA generated an inflammatory response and activation of adaptive immunity in mice (Roy et al., 2014; Matsumura et al., 2010).

Such activation of the immune system by MONPs could offer an alternative route to microbial eradication. However, further studies should be performed to develop a formulation that could activate the immune system without causing hypersensitivity reactions. Further investigations are also required to explore how chemical and physical properties such as material composition, size, shape, surface charge and hydrophobicity impact the immune system, as there is limited literature regarding this. This is particularly important because it is difficult to change one parameter without affecting others: for example, it is hard to change particle size without affecting the surface charge (Labouta & Schneider, 2013; Pasquale, Preiss, Silva, & Garçon, 2015).

		Immunomodulatoru		
Name	Study details	offort	Reference	
		Induces adaptive		
		immunity e a induction		
		of different types of T		
Ω_{1}	In vivo immunization	belper (Th) cells (Th1		
antigen model OVA	with C57BL/6 Mice	Th2 and Th17 cells)	(Cho et al., 2012)	
antigen model, OVA	with est by o Mice	Low level of antigen		
		antibodies e.g. IgE and		
		løG1		
		Time dependant		
		immunomodulatory		
IONPs	In vitro test on M2	effects with increased	(Roias et al., 2016)	
(Fe₃O₄)	macrophages	production of interleukin	(
		(IL) 10		
		Decrease of the		
IONPs	<i>In vivo</i> test on BALB/c	production of splenocyte		
(Fe ₃ O ₄)	mice	cytokines (IL-4 and IFN-	(Shen et al., 2011)	
		γ)		
		Higher production of IL-4		
IUNPS (Fe ₃ O ₄) coated	In-vivo immunization of	compared to IFN-v;		
with Merozoite surface	mice	increase production of	(Pusic et al., 2013)	
protein 1		anti-parasite antibodies		
	In vitro tests on bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs)	Activate APCs		
		increased IL-6, TNF-α,		
IONPs		IFN-γ, and IL-12		
(Fe₃O₄)		production,	(Pusic et al., 2013)	
		upregulation of dendritic		
		cells		
		Increases influx of		
TiO ₂	In vivo test on mice	neutrophil into lung of	(Duffin et al., 2007)	
		mice		
	<i>In vitro</i> test on human	Induction of Toll-like		
	macrophages, PMA-	receptors (TLRs),	(Junqueira-Kipnis,	
TiO ₂	differentiated	proteins with a major	Marques Neto, & Kipnis	
	myelomonocytic U-937	role in the immune	2014)	
	cells	system		
	In vitro tests on	Induces proliferation of		
	denderitic cells derived	naïve CD4+ T cells,		
TiO₂	from human umbilical	enhance Th1 response	(Schanen et al., 2009)	
	vein endothelial cells	increase IFN- γ and TNF- α		
		production		
	In vitro tests with bone	Induction of surface		
TiO2	marrow derived	proteins important in	(Winter et al., 2011)	
	dendritic cells	Immunity (MHCII and	. , , ,	
	In other back with hour	CD80)		
	in vitro test with human			
7.0	macrophages, PMA-	Induction of TLD-	(Lucorelli et al. 2004)	
2rO ₂		induction of TLRS	(Lucarelli et al., 2004)	
	Cells			
7=0	in vitro tests with	Induced IFN-γ, TNF-α,	(an ay ct - - 2000)	
ZnO	peripheral blood	and IL-12	(Hanley et al., 2009)	
	mononuclear cells			

ZnO	<i>In vivo</i> test with BALB/c mice	Induction of TLRs	(Roy et al., 2014)
ZnO	<i>In vivo</i> imuunization test on BDA/1J mice	Increased levels of IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 Activation of Th2 cells	(Matsumura et al., 2010)
ZnO	<i>In vivo</i> immunization with mice (BALB/c)	 High levels of IgG1 and IgE Induction of IL-2, IL-4, IL-6 and IL-17 Lower levels of while IL-10 and tumour necrosis factor-α. Increased number of eosinophils and mast cells. High Ievel of Th2 cells 	(Roy et al., 2013)

4.1.3. Targeting of MONPs into infected organs

Selective delivery of NP into the site of microbial infection can be passive, depending on the properties of the blood vessels at the site of infection as previously discussed in section 4.1.1, or active (via coating the NP with specific ligands targeting receptors at the cell surface). Although active targeting strategies have been widely explored in the literature there are to date no nanomedicine products with active targeting abilities that have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of any type of disease (Bobo et al., 2016; Kamalya, Xiaoa, Pedro M. Valenciab, & Farokhzad, 2009; Ventola, 2017a). This reveals the challenges faced in targeting MONPs to infected organs while avoiding harmful effects due to accumulation in off-target organs. One example of a targeted nanoscale formulation that is being investigated is SGT-53 (SynerGene Therapeutics), which contains an anti-transferrin antibody fragment that binds with a transferring glycoprotein receptor on cancer cells (Bobo et al., 2016). This agent is in Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials for the treatment of solid tumours, glioblastoma, and metastatic pancreatic cancer (Ventola, 2017a).

4.1.4. Elimination

It is generally a prerequisite for any formulation to be eliminated from the human body after administration to avoid the hazards of long term toxicity due to accumulation in the tissues (lonescu & Caira, 2005). MONPs are not a target for enzymatic degradation (as discussed in Section 4.1.1). This means that controlling particle size is essentially the only strategy that can be employed to enhance their elimination through the kidneys (this requires particle size < 10 nm), especially in long term administration. NPs containing essential metals such as IONPs are reported to be reused as a nutritional source by the body; this is accompanied by an increase in iron ion levels, but these return to normal levels within three weeks after intravenous administration (Jain et al., 2008). This results in lower cytotoxicity at the therapeutic dose (Bassett, Halliday, & Powell, 1986) than might be the case where non-endogenous metals are used. The biological fate of MONPs needs further study however (Desai, 2012), particularly in terms of their potential toxicological effects during short and long term administration.

4.1.5. Toxicology

MONPs can be synthesized either through traditional chemical methods or green/biogenic methods (using plant extracts or micro-organisms). The toxicological properties of some chemically synthesized MONPs were studied, and these were reported to be toxic both *in vitro* and *in vivo* due to the release of ROS and damage of intracellular components such as proteins, enzymes and DNA, and interference with the respiratory chain of the mitochondria in human cells (Seabra & Durán, 2015). It was speculated that the green synthesis of MONPs might be associated with lower toxicity to human cells due to (1) the absence of residues from toxic organic solvents and additives (*e.g.* surfactants) required for traditional chemical synthesis and (2) the possibility of the particles produced being coated with proteins or other components from the biogenic synthesis, forming a corona that might be more biocompatible than toxic materials adsorbed in the chemical synthesis (Seabra & Durán, 2015). Table 3 summarizes the cytotoxicity of some MONPs synthesized by both chemical and biogenic methods.

The biogenic synthesis route was found to decrease the cytotoxicity of Co_3O_4 in terms of biocompatibility with human red blood cells and macrophages (Khalil et al., 2017). However, biogenically synthesized Fe_3O_4 NPs produced in *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* were compared with Fe_3O_4 synthesized by a standard co-precipitation method for their cytotoxicity to L929 mouse fibroblast cells, and both showed comparable cytotoxicity (Han et al., 2007). This is contrary to another study (Yaaghoobi, Emtiazi, & Roghanian, 2012) where cell lysis of human peripheral blood cells after exposure to commercially produced Fe_3O_4 was noted, while there was no cytotoxicity or morphological changes observed with Fe_3O_4 NPs synthesized with a green method (Yaaghoobi et al., 2012).

In vivo, TiO₂ synthesized by a green method was reported to show no cytotoxic effect on Wistar rats (Wang & Fan, 2014), while chemically synthesized TiO₂ NPs were highly toxic in mice, resulting in spleen damage, immune dysfunction, alteration of gene expression, and apoptosis (Babitha & Korrapati, 2013). Other studies revealed that biogenically synthesized NPs were also associated with cytotoxicity: for example, Co₃O₄ NPs synthesized by green (Cho et al., 2012) and chemical (Papis et al., 2007; Ponti et al., 2009) methods have both been found to be cytotoxic. Therefore, the safety profile of MONPs generated biogenically is still controversial, and further investigation is necessary to understand their toxicological properties. For more information on the toxicology of MONPs, readers are directed to a recent book chapter (Saquib, Faisal, & Abdulrahman, 2018).

Table 3: Cytotoxicity studies on metal oxide nanoparticles						
Nononarticlo	Chemical methods			Biogenic methods		
composition	In vitro/ In vivo test	Toxicity	Reference	In vitro/ In vivo test	Toxicity	Reference
Aluminium oxide (Al ₂ O ₃)	L929 and BJ* cells	No cytotoxic effects	(Radziun et al., 2011)	No avai	lable cytotoxicity	v studies
Antimony trioxides (Sb2O3)	Seven types of human cell lines	No cytotoxic effects	(Cooper & Harrison, 2009)	No ava	ailable cytotoxic s	studies

Calcium oxide (CaO)	Rats	Areas of necrosis, and haemorrhages in liver, kidney and brain	(Butt, Ejaz, Baron, Ikram, & Ali, 2015)	No av	vailable cytotoxicity s	tudies
Cobalt oxide (Co ₃ O ₄)	Human lymphocytes	Morphological transformation and genotoxicity	(Papis et al., 2007); (Ponti et al., 2009)	Instilled into lung of Wistar rats	Immuno- inflammatory response associated with lung damage	(Cho et al. <i>,</i> 2012)
Cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄)	Balb 3T3* cells	DNA damage, inflammatory responses	(Chattopa dhyay et al., 2015)	Human RBCs and macrophag es	Biocompatible with no marked toxicity	(Khalil et al., 2017)
Cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄)	BEAS-2B* cells	Production of ROS	(Ortega et al., 2014)	Further c	ytotoxicity studies ar	e required
Copper oxide (CuO)	A549, lung epithelial cell line	DNA damage	(Karlsson, Cronholm, Gustafsso n, & Möller, 2008)	AMJ-13 and SKOV- 3 cancer cell line	Cell growth arrest	(Sulaiman, Tawfeeq, & Jaaffer, 2018)
Copper oxide (CuO)	MCF-7 cells, human breast cancer cells line	Dose and time dependant autophagy	(Laha et al. <i>,</i> 2014)	Dermal fibroblast cell line	Cell apoptosis	(Sulaiman et al., 2018)
Copper oxide (CuO)	Mice	Dose dependent apoptosis, damage to the immune system	(Siddiqui et al. <i>,</i> 2013)	Further cytotoxicity studies are requir		e required
Copper oxide (CuO)	Human blood lymphocytes	Decreased cell viability in a conc dependant pattern	(Assadian et al., 2017)	Further c	ytotoxicity studies an	e required
lron oxide (Fe₃O₄)	Human peripheral blood cells	Lysis of cells	(Yaaghoo bi et al., 2012)	Human peripheral blood cells	No morphological changes	(Yaaghoobi et al., 2012)
Iron oxide (Fe₃O₄)	L929 mouse fibroblast cell line	Viability of cells was around 85%	(Han et al., 2007)	L929	Viability of cells was around 90%	(Han et al. <i>,</i> 2007)
Titanium dioxide (TiO₂)	mice	Spleen damage, immune dysfunction, alteration of gene expression, apoptosis	(Wang & Fan, 2014)	Wistar rats	No cytotoxic effects recorded	(Babitha & Korrapati, 2013)

Zinc oxide (ZnO)	A549 cells	Decreased cell viability	(Cho et al., 2012)	*neuro2A cells	Decreased cell viability	(Darroudi et al., 2014).
*Balb 3T3 cells, immortalized cells developed from primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts; BEAS-2B cells, human						
airway epithelium cells; neuro2A cells, a fast-growing mouse neuroblastoma cell line; BJ cells, normal human						

cells, skin fibroblasts

4.2. Technical challenges

In the pharmaceutical industry, reproducible production of a dosage form is crucial. For the nanomedicine field, this requires additional tests to those needed for conventional dosage forms and involves determination of NP size, size distribution, surface charge, release of active ingredients, purity and surface functionalization (ligands for active targeting) (Desai, 2012). The stability of the nanomedicine at both the pre-clinical and clinical stages will also be vital (Desai, 2012). These properties of NPs are crucial in determining their pharmacological effects, which has led the FDA to propose a series of key tests which must be undertaken on any new nanomedicine (Table 4).

These tests are essential as they quantify the physicochemical properties of NPs, which in turn control their interactions with cells and other biological components and therefore the ultimate therapeutic outcome (Lina, Wanga, & Sridharb, 2014). For example, size regulates the circulation and navigation of nanomaterials in the bloodstream, penetration across physiological membranes, site- and cell-specific localization, and even the induction of cellular responses (Feng, 2004; Ferrari, 2008): smaller silver NPs cause greater apoptotic effects with certain cell lines (Kim et al., 2012; Sosenkova & Egorova, 2011).

The surface composition determines the surface charge and energy. The latter is relevant to the dissolution, aggregation and accumulation of nanomaterials. Surface charge affects receptor binding and physiological barrier penetration, governs NP dispersion stability or aggregation and is generally estimated in terms of the zeta potential (Lina et al., 2014). For example, the high ionic strength of physiological fluids enhances aggregate formation and therefore affects the interactions of NPs with cells. Zebrafish embryos were reported (Truong, Zaikova, Richman, Hutchison, & Tanguay, 2012) to be extremely sensitive to gold NPs under conditions of low ionic strength, in which the NPs disperse, but not at high ionic strength. The surface charge and composition of NPs into a biological system, which in turn influences their interactions with cells (Huinan & Webster, 2007; Lina et al., 2014). The chemical composition of a NP has a number of effects in terms of dissolution and cellular interactions, all of which alter the viability and functionality of cells. For example, a sublethal pro-inflammatory response was reported with Al₂O₃ NPs in a murine macrophage cell line, while ZnO NPs induced a lethal genotoxic effect (Ralloa et al., 2015).

The shape of a nanomaterial affects cellular uptake, biocompatibility and retention in tissues and organs (George et al., 2014; Pal, Tak, & Song, 2007). It was also reported that modulation of the shape of NPs can alter their flow in the systemic circulation, adhesion

properties with cells, and circulation time (Doshi, Prabhakarpandian, Rea-Ramsey, Pant, & Shivshankar Sundaram, 2014; Geng et al., 2009).

In addition to the technical challenges encountered during the manufacture of NPs, other obstacles are faced during the evaluation of the pharmacological activity of the administrated material, since the simple pharmaco-equilibrium theory used for conventional dosage forms (measuring the drug concentration in the blood to reflect therapeutic efficacy) cannot be applied (Desai, 2012). The pharmacological activity of nanomedicines depends on (1) NP accumulation at the target site, and (2) achieving a high efficacy/risk ratio compared to conventional dosage forms (Havel et al., 2016). The measured plasma concentrations after nanomedicine application reflect the nature/number of circulating NPs and this cannot be directly correlated to pharmacological or toxicological effects.

Scaling up NP production is another technical challenge. There are four major methods used for the manufacture of MONPs: dry or wet milling, vapour, liquid and solid phase synthesis (Tsuzuki, 2009). The milling and vapour phase methods have the disadvantages of producing NPs of broad size distribution and in aggregated form, while the liquid method produces particles of narrow size distribution but with a high degree of agglomeration. The solid phase method gives a product with a uniform size, shape and low level of agglomeration (Tsuzuki, 2009). To date the vapour and liquid phase syntheses have been most widely used for the synthesis of MONPs. Suitable gas-based techniques include physical vapour deposition, chemical vapour deposition, flame pyrolysis, spray pyrolysis, laser ablation, gas condensation, and electro-explosion. Liquid approaches found to be effective are, *inter alia*, sol-gel, hydrothermal, solvothermal, sono-chemical, reverse micelle, colloidal and microwave syntheses (Tsuzuki, 2009).

Large scale production of MONPs could be performed using batch or continuous flow reactors. The latter are likely to be more applicable industrially, owing to batch-to-batch variation arising in batch processes. Continuous flow reactors can produce nanoparticles on an industrial scale with a high degree of reproducibility (Kwon et al., 2018). Two types of continuous flow systems, tubular and spinning disc reactors, have both been found to be effective for the synthesis of MONPs (Kwon et al., 2018). However, continuous flow reactors tend to result in the production of MONPs with a broader size distribution than those synthesized in batch reactors and hence require further optimization. Nevertheless, the continuous flow reactor has very high productivity (Kwon et al., 2018), and thus is expected to be the industry choice for large scale MNOP synthesis. For more detail, the readers are directed to two detailed review papers (Kwon et al., 2018; Tsuzuki, 2009).

Additionally, MONPs are known to aggregate in simulated biological fluids (Laijin Zhong et al., 2017) and further investigations are still required to stabilize them *in vivo*. Often, the integration of different components (*e.g.* polymer, drug, organic solvent, non-solvent, surfactant, etc) in the nanomedicine is necessary to achieve the desired performance. In some cases, decoration of MONPs using a ligand for targeting purposes might be required, and this imparts additional challenges such as determining a reproducible pattern of spatial orientation and distribution of the ligand molecules on the surface of the NP (Desai, 2012).

Sterilization imparts another challenge for nanomedicines production. The sterilization methods applied for conventional pharmaceutical dosage forms (autoclaving, gas sterilisation, γ-radiation *etc*) cannot be applied to nanomedicines because they cause particle aggregation and consequently affect pharmacological activity (Bozdag, Dillen, Vandervoort, & Ludwig, 2005; Kempner, 2001; Özcan, Bouchemal, Segura-Nchez, Özer, 2009; Qindeel, 2017). Instead, filtration might be a good choice for nanomedicine sterilization (Desai, 2012; Qindeel, 2017). All these technical challenges must be carefully considered by scientists developing MONPs for antimicrobial therapy. This requires additional experimental work to control the size, size distribution and surface characteristics of MONPs at both the pre-clinical and clinical stages.

Physicochemical	Technique	Advantages	Restrictions
properties	used		
Size (hydrodynamic size)	DLS	Non-destructive analysis method Rapid and reproducible measurement Measures in any liquid media, solvent of interest Hydrodynamic sizes accurately determined for monodisperse samples Modest cost of apparatus	Insensitive correlation of size fractions with a specific composition Influence of small numbers of large particles Limit in polydisperse sample measures Limited size resolution Assumption of spherical shape samples
Hydrodynamic dimension, binding kinetics	FCS	High spatial and temporal resolution Low sample consumption Specificity for fluorescent probes Method for studying chemical kinetics, molecular diffusion, concentration effect, and conformation dynamics	Limit in fluorophore species Limited applications and inaccuracy due to lack of appropriate models
Hydrodynamic size and size distribution (indirect analysis), conformation change of protein–NP conjugate, structural, chemical and electronic properties	SERS RS TERS	Enhanced spatial resolution. No need for sample preparation. Complementary data to IR No requirement for sample preparation Potential of detecting tissue abnormality Increased spatial resolution (SERS) Topological information of nanomaterials (SERS, TERS)	Limited spatial resolution (only to micrometers) Extremely small cross section Interference of fluorescence Irreproducible measurement (SERS)
Size and shape of nanomaterials	NSOM	Simultaneous fluorescence and Spectroscopy measurement Nano-scaled surface analysis at ambient conditions Assessment of chemical information and interactions at nano-scale resolution	Long scanning time Small specimen area analyzed Incident light intensity insufficient to excite weak fluorescent molecules Difficulty in imaging soft materials Analysis limited to the nanomaterial surface
Molecular weight, composition/structure, and surface properties	MS	High accuracy and precision in measurement. High sensitivity to detection (a	Expensive equipment. Lack of complete databases for the identification of molecular species.

Table 4: Suitable analytical techniques for determining the physicochemical properties of metal oxide NPs

 (Ali et al., 2016; Lina et al., 2014)

		very small amount of sample	Limited application to date in studying
		required).	nanomaterial bioconjugates.
Structure and		Fast and inexpensive	Complicated sample preparation (IR)
conformation of		measurement	Interference and strong absorbance of
bioconjugate,		Minimal or no sample	H2O (IR)
surface properties		preparation	Relatively low sensitivity in nanoscale
		requirement (ATR–	analysis
		FTIR)	
	ATR-FTIR	Improving reproducibility	
		(ATR-FTIR)	
		Independence of sample	
		thickness	
		(ATR-FTIR)	
Size and size		Direct measurement of the	Conducting sample or coating
distribution,		size/size distribution and	conductive materials required
shape.		shape of nanomaterials	Dry samples required
aggregation. and		High resolution images of	Sample analysis in non-physiological
dispersion	_	biomolecules in natural state	conditions (except ESEM)
•	SEM	provided using ESEM	Biased statistics of size distribution in
	ESEM	b	heterogeneous samples
			Expensive equipment
			Cryogenic method required for most
			NP-bioconiugates
			Reduced resolution in ESEM
Shape heterogeneity.		Direct measurement of the	Ultra-thin samples in required
size and size. and		size/size distribution and	Samples in non-physiological
dispersion		shape of nanomaterials with	conditions
		higher spatial resolution than	Sample damage/alternation
		SEM	Poor sampling
	TFM	Several analytical methods	Expensive equipment.
		coupled	
		with TEM for investigation of	
		electronic structure and	
		chemical	
		composition of nanomaterials	
Size and size		Direct measurement	Conductive surface required
distribution,		High spatial resolution at	Surface electronic structure and
shape, structure,	STM	atomic	surface
dispersion, and		scale	topography
aggregation			
Size and size		3D sample surface mapping	Overestimation of lateral dimensions
distribution,		Sub-nanoscaled topographic	Poor sampling and time consuming
shape, structure,		resolution	Analysis in general limited to the
sorption, dispersion,	AFM	Direct measurement of	exterior of nanomaterials
aggregation		samples in dry,	
Surface properties		aqueous or ambient	
		environment.	
Size (indirect analysis),		Non-destructive/ non-invasive	Low sensitivity
structure		method	Time consuming
Composition	NMR	Little sample preparation	Relatively large amount of sample
Purity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		required
Conformational			Only certain nuclei NMR active
change			
Size, shape and		Well-established	Limited applications in crystalline
structure for		technique	materials
crystalline materials	XRD	High spatial resolution at	Only single conformation/ binding
		atomic	state of sample
		scale	
Size/size distribution,		Non-destructive method	Low resolution.
shape	SAXS	Simplification of sample	
structure		preparation	

		Amorphous materials and	
		sample in solution	
		accessible	
Surface charge and		Simultaneous measurement of	Electro-osmotic effect
particle stability	Zeta -sizer	many particles (using ELS)	Lack of precise and repeatable
			measurement

Abbreviations: AFM, atomic force microscopy; ATR, attenuated total reflection; DLS, dynamic light scattering; ESEM, environmental SEM; FCS, fluorescence correlation spectroscopy; FTIR, Fourier transform infrared; IR, infrared; MS, mass spectroscopy; NM, nanomaterial; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; NPs, nanoparticles; NSOM, near-field scanning optical microscopy; RS, Raman scattering; SAXS, small-angle X-ray scattering; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; SERS, surface-enhanced Raman scattering; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; TERS, tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy; XRD, X-ray diffraction.

CONCLUSION

This review explores the potential application of metal oxide nanoparticles (MONPs) for antimicrobial applications. We first consider the burden caused by microbial infections globally, and then survey the literature investigating the potential of MONPs to ameliorate these. There is extensive evidence to show that MONPs are effective in the treatment of bacterial infections, although the majority of this comes from *in vitro* studies. There is also promising evidence that MONPs will also be effective against viral and parasite-caused diseases. In large part, this efficacy is attributable to MONPs' mechanism of action involving the production of reactive oxygen species, which can circumvent the issue of antimicrobial resistance by simultaneously attacking multiple targets on a target organism.

We next consider the potential obstacles which MONP-based medicine will face in vivo, and how these might be overcome. Such challenges include delivering the NPs to the appropriate part of the body, the cellular response to them in vivo, and difficulties in large scale production and ensuring reproducibility in synthesis. The potential toxicity of the NPs to healthy cells is considered, as is the ability of MONPs to trigger an immune response in vivo. The latter could have both benefits and disbenefits in antimicrobial therapy, and there exists the possibility of using MONPs to stimulate the immune system to attack invading pathogens. In terms of synthesis, we evaulate routes to achieve high-throughput and highreproducibility synthesis of MONPs, as well as the use of "green" synthetic approaches to ameliorate off-target toxicity. Finally, we discuss the technical and regulatory challenges which need to be overcome for MONP-based antimicrobial medicines to reach the clinic. Overall, it is clear that MONPs have great potential as antimicrobial agents, and there are potentially some "quick wins" from the repurposing of already-approved nanoparticle-based medicines (e.g. those based on iron oxide nanoparticles). There remain however a number of hurdles, both technical and biological, to the clinical translation of new MONP-based formulations. Future research needs to focus on: i) obtaining a more detailed understanding of how MONPs behave in vivo (in terms of their location in the body, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and toxicity); ii) new routes to high-reproducibility synthesis on the industrial scale; and, iii) developing a robust panel of quality control assays to produce systems appropriate for use in patients.

FUNDING INFORMATION

We would like to thank the British Council and Egyptian Sciences and Technology Development Fund for awarding a Newton-Mosharafa Researcher Links Travel Grant to Dr. Yasmin Abo-zeid.

REFERENCES

- Abboud, Y., Saffaj, T., Chagraoui, A., El Bouari, A., Brouzi, K., Tanane, O., & Ihssane, B. (2014). Biosynthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity of copper oxide nanoparticles (CONPs) produced using brown alga extract (Bifurcaria bifurcata). *Applied Nanoscience*, *4*, 571–576. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-013-0233-x
- Acharyulu, N. P. S., Dubey, R. S., Kollu, P., Swaminadham, V., Kalyani, R. L., & Pammi, S. V. N. (2014). Green Synthesis of CuO Nanoparticles using Phyllanthus Amarus Leaf Extract and their Antibacterial Activity Against Multidrug Resistance Bacteria Resistance Bacteria. *International Journal of Engineering Research* and Technology, 3(4), 639–641.
- Aderibigbe, B. A. (2017). Metal-based nanoparticles for the treatment of infectious diseases. *Molecules*, 22, 1– 37. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22081370
- Agarwala, M., Choudhury, B., & Yadav, R. N. S. (2014). Comparative Study of Antibiofilm Activity of Copper Oxide and Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Against Multidrug Resistant Biofilm Forming Uropathogens. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, *54*(3), 365–368. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-014-0462-z
- Ahamed, M., Alhadlaq, H. A., Khan, M. A. M., Karuppiah, P., & Al-dhabi, N. A. (2014). Synthesis , Characterization , and Antimicrobial Activity of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles. *Journal of Nanomaterials*, 1– 4. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/637858
- Al-Hazmi, F., Alnowaiser, F., Al-Ghamdi, A. A., Al-Ghamdi, A. A., Aly, M. M., Al-Tuwirqi, R. M., & El-Tantawy, F. (2012). A new large - Scale synthesis of magnesium oxide nanowires: Structural and antibacterial properties. *Superlattices and Microstructures*, 52, 200–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2012.04.013
- Alhadrami, H., Al, & Hazmi. (2017). Antibacterial Activities of Titanium Oxide Nanoparticles. J Bioelectron Nanotechnol, 2(1), 1–5. Retrieved from http://www.avensonline.org/wp-content/uploads/JBN-2475-224X-02-0007.pdf
- Ali, A., Zafar, H., Zia, M., Haq, I. ul, Ali, A. R. P. J. S., & Hussain, A. (2016). Synthesis, characterization, applications, and challenges of iron oxide nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology, Science and Applications*, *9*, 49–67.
- Ananda, S., & Gowda, N. M. M. (2013). Synthesis of Chromium (III) Oxide Nanoparticles by Electrochemical Method and Mukia Maderaspatana Decomposition and Antibacterial Study. *Modern Research in Catalysis*, 2, 127–135.
- Anghel, A. G., Grumezescu, A. M., Chirea, M., Grumezescu, V., Socol, G., Iordache, F., ... Holban, A. M. (2014). MAPLE fabricated Fe3O4@Cinnamomum verum antimicrobial surfaces for improved gastrostomy tubes. *Molecules*, 19, 8981–8994. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules19078981
- Ansari, M. A., Khan, H. M., Khan, A. A., Sultan, A., & Azam, A. (2012). Characterization of clinical strains of MSSA, MRSA and MRSE isolated from skin and soft tissue infections and the antibacterial activity of ZnO nanoparticles. *World Journal Microbiol Biotechnol*, 28, 1605–1613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0966-1
- Anselmo, A. C., & Mitragotri, S. (2015). A Review of Clinical Translation of Inorganic Nanoparticles. *The AAPS Journal*, *17*(5), 1041–1054. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-015-9780-2
- Anselmo, A. C., & Mitragotri, S. (2016). Nanoparticles in the clinic. *Bioengineering & Translational Medicine*, 1, 10–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/btm2.10003
- Antoine, T. E., Hadigal, S. R., Yakoub, A. M., Mishra, Y. K., Bhattacharya, P., Haddad, C., ... Shukla, D. (2016). Intravaginal Zinc Oxide Tetrapod Nanoparticles as Novel Immunoprotective Agents against Genital Herpes. *The Journal of Immunology*, 196(11), 4566–4575. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1502373
- Applerot, G., Abu-Mukh, R., Irzh, A., Charmet, J., Keppner, H., Laux, E., ... Gedanken, A. (2010). Decorating parylene-coated glass with ZnO nanoparticles for antibacterial applications: A comparative study of sonochemical, microwave, and microwave-plasma coating routes. ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, 2(4), 1052–1059. https://doi.org/10.1021/am900825h
- Arakha, M., Pal, S., Samantarrai, D., Panigrahi, T. K., Mallick, B. C., Pramanik, K., ... Jha, S. (2015). Antimicrobial activity of iron oxide nanoparticle upon modulation of nanoparticle-bacteria interface. *Scientific Reports*,

5, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14813

- Arokiyaraj, S., Saravanan, M., Udaya Prakash, N. K., Valan Arasu, M., Vijayakumar, B., & Vincent, S. (2013).
 Enhanced antibacterial activity of iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles treated with Argemone mexicana L.
 leaf extract: An in vitro study. *Materials Research Bulletin*, 48, 3323–3327.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2013.05.059
- Ashutosh, K., Pandey, A. K., Singh, S. S., Shanker, R., & Dhawan, A. (2011). Free Radical Biology & Medicine Engineered ZnO and TiO 2 nanoparticles induce oxidative stress and DNA damage leading to reduced viability of Escherichia coli. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, *51*, 1872–1881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.08.025
- Assadian, E., Zarei, M. H., Gilani, A. G., Farshin, M., Degampanah, H., & Pourahmad, J. (2017). Toxicity of Copper Oxide (CuO) Nanoparticles on Human Blood Lymphocytes. *Biological Trace Element Research*, *184*(2), 350–357. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-017-1170-4
- Assis, T. S. M. de, Rosa, D. C. P., Teixeira, E. de M., Cota, G., Werneck, A. L. F. A.-S. G., & Rabello, and A. (2017). Major Article The direct costs of treating human visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop*, 50(June), 478–482. https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0133-2017
- Avdeef, A., & Testa, B. (2002). Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences Physicochemical profiling in drug research : a brief survey of the state-of-the-art of experimental techniques. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, *59*, 1681–1689.
- Azam, A., Ahmed, A. S., Oves, M., Khan, M. S., & Memic, A. (2012). Size-dependent antimicrobial properties of CuO nanoparticles against Gram-positive and -negative bacterial strains. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 7, 3527–3535. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S29020
- Babitha, S., & Korrapati, P. S. (2013). Biosynthesis of titanium dioxide nanoparticles using a probiotic from coal fly ash effluent. *Materials Research Bulletin*, 48(11), 4738–4742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2013.08.016
- Baek, Y. W., & An, Y. J. (2011). Microbial toxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles (CuO, NiO, ZnO, and Sb 2O 3) to Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, and Streptococcus aureus. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409, 1603– 1608. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.01.014
- Banda, N. K., Mehta, G., Chao, Y., Wang, G., Inturi, S., Fossati-jimack, L., ... Simberg, D. (2014). Mechanisms of complement activation by dextran-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) nanoworms in mouse versus human serum. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology*, 11(64), 1–10.
- Barenholz, Y. C. (2012). Doxil [®] The fi rst FDA-approved nano-drug : Lessons learned. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 160(2), 117–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.03.020
- Bassett, M. L., Halliday, J. W., & Powell, L. W. (1986). Value of hepatic iron measurements in early hemochromatosis and determination of the critical iron level associated with fibrosis. *Hepatology*, 6(1), 24–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.1840060106
- Beaucourt, S., & Vignuzzi, M. (2014). Ribavirin: A drug active against many viruses with multiple effects on virus replication and propagation. Molecular basis of ribavirin resistance. *Current Opinion in Virology*, 8, 10–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2014.04.011
- Behera, S. S., Patra, J. K., Pramanik, K., Panda, N., & Thatoi, H. (2012). Characterization and Evaluation of Antibacterial Activities of Chemically Synthesized Iron Oxide Nanoparticles. World Journal of Nano Science and Engineering, 02, 196–200. https://doi.org/10.4236/wjnse.2012.24026
- Besinis, A., De Peralta, T., & Handy, R. D. (2014). The antibacterial effects of silver, titanium dioxide and silica dioxide nanoparticles compared to the dental disinfectant chlorhexidine on Streptococcus mutans using a suite of bioassays. *Nanotoxicology*, 8(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2012.742935
- Beveridge, T. J. (1999). Sructure of fram-negative cell walls and their derived mebrane vesicles. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 181(16), 4725–4733.
- Bhattacharya, P., & Ali, N. (2016). Treatment of visceral leishmaniasis : anomalous pricing and distribution of AmBisome and emergence of an indigenous liposomal amphotericin B , FUNGISOME. *J Parasit Dis*, 40(3), 1094–1095. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-014-0607-3
- Bobo, D., Robinson, K. J., Islam, J., Thurecht, K. J., & Corrie, S. R. (2016). Nanoparticle-Based Medicines : A Review of FDA-Approved Materials and Clinical Trials to Date. *Pharm Res*, *33*(10), 2373–2387. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-016-1958-5
- Bondarenko, O., Ivask, A., Käkinen, A., & Kahru, A. (2012). Sub-toxic effects of CuO nanoparticles on bacteria: Kinetics, role of Cu ions and possible mechanisms of action. *Environmental Pollution*, *169*, 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.05.009
- Bozdag, S., Dillen, K., Vandervoort, J., & Ludwig, A. (2005). The effect of freeze-drying with different cryoprotectants and gamma-irradiation sterilization on the characteristics of ciprofloxacin HCI-loaded

poly (D, L-lactide-glycolide) nanoparticles. *J of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, *57*, 699–707. https://doi.org/10.1211/0022357056145

- Braet, F., Wisse, E., Bomans, P., Frederik, P., Geerts, W., Koster, A., ... Ringer, S. (2007). Contribution of High-Resolution Correlative Imaging Techniques in the Study of the Liver Sieve in Three-Dimensions. *Microscopy Research and Technique*, 70, 230–242. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt
- Brandner, J. M., Zorn-Kruppa, M., Yoshida, T., Moll, I., Beck, L. A., & Benedetto, A. De. (2015). Epidermal tight junctions in health and disease. *Tissue Barriers*, *3*, 1–13.
- Bray, M., & Geisbert, T. W. (2005). Ebola virus: The role of macrophages and dendritic cells in the pathogenesis of Ebola hemorrhagic fever. *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, 37, 1560–1566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2005.02.018
- Butt, A. R., Ejaz, S., Baron, J. C., Ikram, M., & Ali, S. (2015). CaO Nanoparticles as a Potential Drug Delivery Agent For Biomedical Applications. *Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Bioscience*, *10*(3), 799–809.
- Bykkam, S., Narsingam, S., Ahmadipour, M., Dayakar, T., Rao, K. V., Chakra, C. S., & Kalakotla, S. (2015). Few layered graphene Sheet decorated by ZnO Nanoparticles for Anti-Bacterial Application. *Superlattices and Microstructures*, 83, 776–784. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2015.03.063
- Cataldi, M., Vigliotti, C., Mosca, T., & Cammarota, M. (2017). Emerging Role of the Spleen in the Pharmacokinetics of Monoclonal Antibodies, Nanoparticles and Exosomes. *International Journal of Molecular Science*, *18*(1249), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18061249
- Chakraborti, S., Kumar, A., Sarwar, S., Singh, P., Chakraborty, R., & Chakrabarti, P. (2014). Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces Bactericidal effect of polyethyleneimine capped ZnO nanoparticles on multiple antibiotic resistant bacteria harboring genes of high-pathogenicity island. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, *121*, 44–53.
- Chamorro, S., Gutiérrez, L., María Pilar Vaquero, D. V., Salas, G., Luengo, Y., Brenes, A., & Teran, and F. J. (2015). Safety assessment of chronic oral exposure to iron oxide nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology*, *26*, 1–11.
- Chao, Y., Makale, M., Karmali, P. P., Sharikov, Y., Tsigelny, I., Merkulov, S., ... Simberg, and D. (2013). Recognition of dextran-superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle conjugates (Feridex) via macrophage scavenger receptor charged domains. *Bioconjug Chem.*, 23(5), 1003–1009. https://doi.org/10.1021/bc200685a.Recognition
- Chatterjee, S., Bandyopadhyay, A., Sarkar, K., Chan, W., Nie, S., Chouly, C., ... Russell, D. (2011). Effect of iron oxide and gold nanoparticles on bacterial growth leading towards biological application. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, *9*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-3155-9-34
- Chattopadhyay, S., Dash, S. K., Tripathy, S., Das, B., Mandal, D., Pramanik, P., & Roy, S. (2015). Toxicity of cobalt oxide nanoparticles to normal cells; An in vitro and in vivo study. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, *226*, 58–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2014.11.016
- Chen, P. R., Brugarolas, P., & He, C. (2011). Redox Signaling in Human Pathogens. *Antioxidants and Redox* Signaling, 14(6), 1107–1118.
- Cheng, Y., Dai, Q., Morshed, R. A., Fan, X., Wegscheid, M. L., Wainwright, D. A., ... He, C. (2014). Blood-Brain Barrier Permeable Gold Nanoparticles : An Effi cient Delivery Platform for Enhanced Malignant Glioma Therapy and Imaging. *Small*, *10*(24), 5137–5150. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201400654
- Cho W-S, R. Duffin, M. Bradley, I.L. Megson, W. MacNee, S.E.M. Howie, & K. Donaldson. (2012). NiO and Co3O4nanoparticles induce lung DTH-like responses and alveolar lipoproteinosis. *European Respiratory Journal*, 39(3), 546–557. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00047111
- Cho, W.-S., Dart, K., Nowakowska, J, D., Zheng, X., Donaldson, K., & Howie, & S. E. (2012). Adjuvanticity and toxicity of cobalt oxide nanoparticles as an alternative vaccine adjuvant. *Nanomedicine*, 7(10), 1495– 1505. https://doi.org/10.2217/NNM.12.35
- Chung, Y. C., Su, Y. P., Chen, C. C., Jia, G., Wang, H. L., Wu, J. C. G., & Lin, J. G. (2004). Relationship between antibacterial activity of chitosan and surface characteristics of cell wall. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*, 25(7), 932–936.
- Cooper, R., & Harrison, A. (2009). The exposure to and health effects of antimony. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *13*(1), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5278.50716
- Cui, Y., Liu, H., Zhou, M., Duan, Y., Li, N., Gong, X., ... Hong, F. (2011). Signaling pathway of inflammatory responses in the mouse liver caused by TiO2 nanoparticles. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, 96(1), 221–229. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.32976
- Darroudi, M., Sabouri, Z., Kazemi Oskuee, R., Khorsand Zak, A., Kargar, H., & Abd Hamid, M. H. N. (2014). Green chemistry approach for the synthesis of ZnO nanopowders and their cytotoxic effects. *Ceramics*

International, 40(3), 4827–4831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2013.09.032

- Das, D., Nath, B. C., Phukon, P., & Dolui, S. K. (2013). Synthesis and evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial behavior of CuO nanoparticles. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 101, 430–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2012.07.002
- De Chassey, B., Meyniel-Schicklin, L., Aublin-Gex, A., André, P., & Lotteau, V. (2012). New horizons for antiviral drug discovery from virus-host protein interaction networks. *Current Opinion in Virology*, *2*(5), 606–613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2012.09.001
- Delavari, M., Dalimi, A., Ghaffarifar, F., & Sadraei, J. (2014). In vitro study on cytotoxic effects of ZnO nanoparticles on promastigote and amastigote forms of Leishmania major (MRHO/IR/75/ER). *Iranian Journal of Parasitology*, *9*(1), 6–13.
- Desai, N. (2012). Challenges in Development of Nanoparticle-Based Therapeutics. AAPS Journal, 14(2), 282–295. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-012-9339-4
- Desboeufs, K., Michel, A., Pellegrino, T., Lalatonne, Y., & Wilhelm, C. (2016). Massive Intracellular Biodegradation of Iron. *ACS Nano*, *10*, 7627–7638. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.6b02876
- Dhillon, G. S., Kaur, S., & Brar, S. K. (2014). Facile fabrication and characterization of chitosan-based zinc oxide nanoparticles and evaluation of their antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity. *International Nano Letters*, *4*, 107–118. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40089-014-0107-6
- Dkhil, M. A., Al-Quraishy, S., & Wahab, R. (2015). Anticoccidial and antioxidant activities of zinc oxide nanoparticles on Eimeria papillata-induced infection in the jejunum. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 10, 1961–1968. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S79944
- Dorostkar, R., Ghalavand, M., Nazarizadeh, A., Tat, M., & Hashemzadeh, M. S. (2017). Anthelmintic effects of zinc oxide and iron oxide nanoparticles against Toxocara vitulorum. *International Nano Letters*, 7(2), 157–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40089-016-0198-3
- Doshi, N., Prabhakarpandian, B., Rea-Ramsey, A., Pant, K., & Shivshankar Sundaram, and S. M. (2014). Flow and Adhesion of Drug Carriers in Blood Vessels Depend on their Shape: A Study using Model Synthetic Microvascular Networks. *J Control Release*, 146(2), 196–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2010.04.007.Flow
- Duffin, R., Tran, L., Brown, D., Stone, V., & Donaldson, K. (2007). Proinflammogenic effects of low-toxicity and metal nanoparticles in vivo and in vitro: Highlighting the role of particle surface area and surface reactivity. *Inhalation Toxicology*, 19, 849–856. https://doi.org/10.1080/08958370701479323
- Durand, L., Habran, N., Henschel, V., & Amighi, K. (2009). In vitro evaluation of the cutaneous penetration of sprayable sunscreen emulsions with high concentrations of UV filters. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, *31*, 279–292. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2494.2009.00498.x
- Durmus, N. G., Taylor, E. N., Kummer, K. M., & Webster, T. J. (2013). Enhanced efficacy of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles against antibiotic-resistant biofilms in the presence of metabolites. *Advanced Materials*, 25(40), 5706–5713. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201302627
- Espitia, P. J. P., Soares, N. de F. F., Coimbra, J. S. dos R., de Andrade, N. J., Cruz, R. S., & Medeiros, E. A. A. (2012). Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Antimicrobial Activity and Food Packaging Applications. *Food Bioprocess Technology*, *5*, 1447–1464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-012-0797-6
- Etebu, E., & Arikekpar, I. (2016). Antibiotics: Classification and mechanisms of action with emphasis on molecular perspectives. International Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology Research, 4, 90–101.
- Fair, R. J., & Tor, Y. (2014). Perspectives in Medicinal Chemistry Antibiotics and Bacterial Resistance in the 21st Century. *Perspective in Medicinal Chemistry*, *6*, 25–64. https://doi.org/10.4137/PMC.S14459.Received
- Fairlamb, A. H., Ridley, R. G., & Vial, H. J. (2003). Drugs against parasitic diseases : R & D methodologies and issues.
- Faraji, A. H., & Wipf, P. (2009). Nanoparticles in cellular drug delivery. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, *17*, 2950–2962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.02.043
- Fatma Vatansever, W. C. M. A. de M. P. A., Vecchio, D., Magesh Sadasivam, Asheesh Gupta, Rakkiyappan Chandran, M. K., Parizotto, N. A., Yin, R., Tegos, G. P., & Hamblin, and M. R. (2014). Antimicrobial strategies centered around reactive oxygen species - bactericidal antibiotics, photodynamic therapy and beyond. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.*, 37(6), 955–989. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12026
- Feng, S. (2004). Nanoparticles of biodegradable polymers for new-concept chemotherapy. *Expert Rev. Medical Devices*, 1(1), 115–125.
- Ferrari, M. (2008). Beyond drug delivery. Nanogeometry, 3(March), 1–2.
- Finnegan, M. P. (2006). Influence of Surface Potential on Aggregation and Transport of Titania Nanoparticles.

Environ. Sci. Technol, 40(24), 7688–7693.

- Fong-yu, C., Su, C., Yang, Y., & Yeh, C. (2005). Characterization of aqueous dispersions of Fe 3 O 4 nanoparticles biomedical 729-738. and their applications. Biomaterials, 26, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.03.016
- Fröhlich, E., & Roblegg, E. (2012). Models for oral uptake of nanoparticles in consumer products. Toxicology, 291, 10-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2011.11.004
- Fu, Y., Zhang, F., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Zhao, Y., Ma, J., ... Liu, S. (2007). Differential expression of blaSHV related to susceptibility to ampicillin in Klebsiella pneumoniae. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 29(3), 344–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.10.015
- Gaballa, A., & Helmann, J. D. (1998). Identification of a zinc-specific metalloregulatory protein, Zur, controlling zinc transport operons in Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology, 180(22), 5815-5821. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.21.6348-6357.2003
- Gamer, A. O., Leibold, E., & Ravenzwaay, B. Van. (2006). The in vitro absorption of micro W ne zinc oxide and porcine titanium dioxide through skin. Toxicology in Vitro, 20, 301-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2005.08.008
- Garnett, M. C., & Kallinteri, P. (2006). Nanomedicines and nanotoxicology: Some physiological principles. Occupational Medicine, 56, 307–311. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kgl052
- Geng, Y. A. N., Dalhaimer, P., Cai, S., Tsai, R., Minko, T., & Discher, D. E. (2009). Shape effects of filaments versus spherical particles in flow and drug delivery. Nat Nanotechnol, 2(4), 249-255. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2007.70.Shape
- George, S., Lin, S., Ji, Z., Thomas, C., Li, L., Meng, H., ... Nel, A. E. (2014). Surface Defects on Plate-Shaped Silver Nanoparticles Contribute to Its Hazard Potential in a Fish Gill Cell Line and Zebrafish Embyos. ACS Nano, 6(5), 3745–3759. https://doi.org/10.1021/nn204671v.Surface
- Ghosh, T., Dash, S. K., Chakraborty, P., Guha, A., Kawaguchi, K., Roy, S., ... Das, D. (2014). Preparation of antiferromagnetic Co_{3}O_{4} nanoparticles from two different precursors by pyrolytic method: in vitro antimicrobial activity. RSC Advances, 4, 15022–15029. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ra47769j
- Giannousi, K., Lafazanis, K., Arvanitidis, J., Pantazaki, A., & Dendrinou-Samara, C. (2014). Hydrothermal synthesis of copper based nanoparticles: Antimicrobial screening and interaction with DNA. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry, 133, 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2013.12.009
- Gilbertson, L. M., Albalghiti, E. M., Fishman, Z. S., Perreault, F., Corredor, C., Posner, J. D., ... Zimmerman, J. B. (2016). Shape-Dependent Surface Reactivity and Antimicrobial Activity of Nano-Cupric Oxide. Environmental Science and Technology, 50(7), 3975–3984. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05734
- Godinez, I. G., & Darnault, C. J. G. (2010). Aggregation and transport of nano-TiO 2 in saturated porous media : Effects of pH , surfactants and flow velocity. Water Research, 45, 839-851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.09.013
- Góralska, K., & Kurnatowski, P. (2013). Parasites as etiological factors of nosocomial infections. Annals of Parasitology, 59(1), 3–11.
- Greene, C., Campbell, M., Greene, C., & Campbell, M. (2016). Tight junction modulation of the blood brain barrier : CNS delivery of small molecules Tight junction modulation of the blood brain barrier : CNS delivery of small molecules. Tissue Barriers, 4(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/21688370.2015.1138017
- Guiot, C., & Spalla, O. (2012). Stabilization of TiO 2 nanoparticles in complex medium through a pH adjustment protocol. Environ Sci & Technol, 47(2), 1057-1064. https://doi.org/10.1021/es3040736
- Guo, J., Gao, S.-H., Lu, J., Bond, P. L., Verstraete, W., & Yuan, Z. (2017). Copper Oxide Nanoparticles Induce Lysogenic Bacteriophage and Metal-Resistance Genes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 9(27), 22298–22307. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b06433
- Han, L., Li, S., Yang, Y., Zhao, F., Huang, J., & Chang, J. (2007). Comparison of magnetite nanocrystal formed by biomineralization and chemosynthesis. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, 313, 236-242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2007.01.004
- Hang, X., Peng, H., Song, H., Qi, Z., Miao, X., & Xu, W. (2015). Antiviral activity of cuprous oxide nanoparticles against Hepatitis C Virus in vitro. Journal of Virological Methods, 222, 150–157.
- Hanley, C., Thurber, A., Hanna, C., Punnoose, A., Zhang, J., & Wingett, D. G. (2009). The influences of cell Type and ZnO nanoparticle size on immune cell cytotoxicity and cytokine induction. Nanoscale Research Letters, 4, 1409–1420. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11671-009-9413-8
- Havel, H., Finch, G., Strode, P., Wolfgang, M., Zale, S., Bobe, I., ... Liu, M. (2016). Nanomedicines : From Bench to Bedside and Beyond. AAPS Journal, 18(6), 1373–1378. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-016-9961-7
- Holban, A. M., Andronescu, E., Grumezescu, V., Oprea, A. E., Grumezescu, A. M., Socol, G., ... Iordache, F. 42

(2015). Carvone functionalized iron oxide nanostructures thin films prepared by MAPLE for improved resistance to microbial colonization. *Journal of Sol-Gel Science and Technology*, *73*(3), 605–611. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-014-3552-9

- Hongjun, C., & Lianzhou, W. (2014). Nanostructure sensitization of transition metal oxides for visible-light photocatalysis. *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*, *5*, 696–710. https://doi.org/10.3762/bjnano.5.82
- Hood, M. I., & Skaar, E. P. (2013). Nutritional immunity:transition metals at the pathogen-host interface. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, *10*(8), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2836.Nutritional
- Hoshyar, N., Gray, S., Han, H., & Bao, G. (2016). The effect of nanoparticle size on in vivo pharmacokinetics and cellular interaction. *Nanomedicine*, *11*(6), 673–692.
- Hsueh, Y.-H., Tsai, P.-H., & Lin, K.-S. (2017). pH-Dependent Antimicrobial Properties of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles in Staphylococcus aureus. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18(4), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18040793
- Huang, Z., Zheng, X., Yan, D., Yin, G., Liao, X., Kang, Y., ... Hao, B. (2008). Toxicological effect of ZnO nanoparticles based on bacteria. *Langmuir*, 24, 4140–4144. https://doi.org/10.1021/la7035949
- Huinan, L., & Webster, T. J. (2007). Nanomedicine for implants: A review of studies and necessary experimental tools. *Biomaterials*, 28, 354–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.08.049
- Imlay, J. A. (2003). Pathways of Oxidative Damage. Annu. Rev. Microbiol, 57, 395–418. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.090938
- Imlay, J. A., & Linn, S. (1988). DNA Damage and Oxygen Radical Toxicity. Science, 240, 1302–1309.

Ionescu, C., & CAIRA, M. R. (2005). Drug Metabolism.

- Ismail, R. A., Sulaiman, G. M., Abdulrahman, S. A., & Marzoog, T. R. (2015). Antibacterial activity of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles synthesized by laser ablation in liquid. *Materials Science and Engineering C*, 53, 286–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.04.047
- Jacob Inbaneson, S., & Ravikumar, S. (2013). In vitro antiplasmodial activity of PDDS-coated metal oxide nanoparticles against Plasmodium falciparum. *Applied Nanoscience*, *3*(3), 197–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-012-0130-8
- Jadhav, S., Gaikwad, S., Nimse, M., & Rajbhoj, A. (2011). Copper Oxide Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization and Their Antibacterial Activity. *Journal of Cluster Science*, 22, 121–129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10876-011-0349-7
- Jahnke, J. P., Cornejo, J. A., Sumner, J. J., Schuler, A. J., Atanassov, P., Ista, L. K., ... Sumner, J. J. (2016). Conjugated gold nanoparticles as a tool for probing the bacterial cell envelope : The case of Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. *Biointerphases*, 11(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1116/1.4939244
- Jain, T. K., Reddy, M. K., Morales, M. A., Leslie-Pelecky, D. L., & Labhasetwar, V. (2008). Biodistribution, clearance, and biocompatibility of iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles in rats. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 5(2), 316–327. https://doi.org/10.1021/mp7001285
- Jaisai, M., Baruah, S., & Dutta, J. (2012). Paper modified with ZnO nanorods antimicrobial studies. *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*, *3*, 684–691. https://doi.org/10.3762/bjnano.3.78
- Jatana, S., & and Lisa A.DeLouise. (2015). Understanding Engineered Nanomaterial Skin Interactions and the Modulatory Effects of UVR Skin Exposure. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol*, 6(1), 61–79. https://doi.org/10.1002/wnan.1244.Understanding
- Jayaseelan, C., Rahuman, A. A., Roopan, S. M., Kirthi, A. V., Venkatesan, J., Kim, S. K., ... Siva, C. (2013). Biological approach to synthesize TiO2nanoparticles using Aeromonas hydrophila and its antibacterial activity. Spectrochimica Acta - Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 107, 82–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2012.12.083
- Jebali, A., & Kazemi, B. (2013). Nano-based antileishmanial agents: A toxicological study on nanoparticles for future treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 27(6), 1896–1904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2013.06.002
- Jeong, G. N., Jo, U. B., Ryu, H. Y., Kim, Y. S., Song, K. S., & Yu, I. J. (2010). Histochemical study of intestinal mucins after administration of silver nanoparticles in Sprague Dawley rats. *Arch Toxicol*, *84*, 63–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-009-0469-0
- Jesline, A., John, N. P., Narayanan, P. M., Vani, C., & Murugan, S. (2015). Antimicrobial activity of zinc and titanium dioxide nanoparticles against biofilm-producing methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Applied Nanoscience*, *5*(2), 157–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-014-0301-x
- Jessica I. Nieto-Juarez, K. P., Sienkiewicz, A., & Kohn, A. T. (2010). Inactivation of MS2 coliphage in Fenton and Fenton-like systems : role of transition metals , hydrogen peroxide and sunlight. *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 44(9), 3351–3356.

- Junqueira-Kipnis, A. P., Marques Neto, L. M., & Kipnis, A. (2014). Role of fused Mycobacterium tuberculosis immunogens and adjuvants in modern tuberculosis vaccines. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *5*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00188
- Kamalya, N., Xiaoa, Z., Pedro M. Valenciab, A. F. R.-M., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2009). Targeted polymeric therapeutic nanoparticles: design, development and clinical translation, 51(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.12.017.Two-stage
- Karlsson, H. L., Cronholm, P., Gustafsson, J., & Möller, L. (2008). Copper oxide nanoparticles are highly toxic: a comparison between metal oxide nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 21(9), 1726–1732. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx800064j
- Kasraei, S. (2014). Antibacterial properties of composite resins incorporating silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles on Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus. *Restorative Dentistry and Endodontics*, *39*(2), 109–114.
- Kaweeteerawat, C., Chang, C. H., Roy, K. R., Liu, R., Li, R., Toso, D., ... Godwin, H. A. (2015). Cu Nanoparticles Have Different Impacts in *Escherichia coli* and *Lactobacillus brevis* than Their Microsized and Ionic Analogues. ACS Nano, 9(7), 7215–7225. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b02021
- Kempner, E. S. (2001). Effects of High-Energy Electrons and Gamma Rays Directly on Protein Molecules. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 90(10), 1637–1646.
- Khalil, A. T., Ovais, M., Ullah, I., Ali, M., Khan, Z. S., & Maaz, M. (2017). Physical properties, biological applications and biocompatibility studies on bi- osynthesized single phase cobalt oxide (Co3O4) nanoparticles via Sageretia thea (Osbeck.). Arabian Journal of Chemistry. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.07.004
- Khan Hassan, Baig, F., & Mehboob, R. (2017). Asian Paci fi c Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, 7(5), 478–482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.01.019
- Khan, Y. A., Singh, B. R., Ullah, R., Shoeb, M., Naqvi, A. H., & Abidi, S. M. A. (2015a). Anthelmintic Effect of Biocompatible Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) on Gigantocotyle explanatum, a Neglected Parasite of Indian Water Buffalo. PLOS ONE, 10(7), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133086
- Khan, Y. A., Singh, B. R., Ullah, R., Shoeb, M., Naqvi, A. H., & Abidi, S. M. A. (2015b). Anthelmintic effect of biocompatible zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) on gigantocotyle explanatum, a neglected parasite of indian water buffalo. *PLOS ONE*, 10(7), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133086
- Khashan, K. S., Sulaiman, G. M., & Abdulameer, F. A. (2016). Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of CuO Nanoparticles Suspension Induced by Laser Ablation in Liquid. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 41(1), 301–310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-015-1733-7
- Kim, T., Kim, M., Park, H., Shin, U. S., Gong, M., & Kim, H. (2012). Size-dependent cellular toxicity of silver nanoparticles. Society for Biomaterials Materials Research A, 100A(4), 1033–1043. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.34053
- Krokowicz, L., Tomczak, H., Bobkiewicz, A., Mackiewicz, J., Marciniak, R., Drews, M., & Banasiewicz, T. (2015).
 In Vitro Studies of Antibacterial and Antifungal Wound Dressings Comprising H2TiO3 and SiO2 Nanoparticles. *Polish Journal of Microbiology*, 64(2), 137–142. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26373173
- Kulkarni, S. A., & Feng, S. (2013). Effects of Particle Size and Surface Modification on Cellular Uptake and Biodistribution of Polymeric Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery. *Pharm Res, 30*, 2512–2522. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-012-0958-3
- Kumar, H., Salar, R. K., & Purewal, S. S. (2014). Antibacterial Activity of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles Against Gram-negative Bacterial strain Synthesized by Reveres Micelle Tehniques, 6(1), 72–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40304-015-0072-z
- Kwon, H. J., Shin, K., Soh, M., Chang, H., Kim, J., Lee, J., ... Hyeon, T. (2018). Large-Scale Synthesis and Medical Applications of Uniform-Sized Metal Oxide Nanoparticles. *Advanced Materials*, 30(42), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201704290
- Labouta, H. I., & Schneider, M. (2013). Interaction of inorganic nanoparticles with the skin barrier : current status and critical review. *Nanomedicine*, *9*, 39–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2012.04.004
- Laha, D., Pramanik, A., Maity, J., Mukherjee, A., Pramanik, P., Laskar, A., & Karmakar, P. (2014). Interplay between autophagy and apoptosis mediated by copper oxide nanoparticles in human breast cancer cells MCF7. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1840, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.08.011
- Lee, S. E., Choi, K. J., Menon, G. K., Kim, H. J., Choi, E. H., Ahn, S. K., & Lee, S. H. (2010). Penetration Pathways Induced by Low-Frequency Sonophoresis with Physical and Chemical Enhancers : Iron Oxide Nanoparticles versus Lanthanum Nitrates. *The Society for Investigative Dermatology*, *130*, 1063–1072. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2009.361

- Lin, X., Li, J., Ma, S., Liu, G., Yang, K., Tong, M., & Lin, D. (2014). Toxicity of TiO2 Nanoparticles to Escherichia coli: Effects of Particle Size, Crystal Phase and Water Chemistry. *PLOS ONE*, 9(10), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110247
- Lina, P.-C., Lina, S., Wanga, P. C., & Sridharb, R. (2014). Techniques for physicochemical characterization of nanomaterials. *Biotechnol. Adv.*, *32*(4), 711–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.11.006.Techniques
- Liu, Y., He, L., Mustapha, A., Li, H., Hu, Z. Q., & Lin, M. (2009). Antibacterial activities of zinc oxide nanoparticles against Escherichia coli O157:H7. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *107*(4), 1193–1201. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04303.x
- Lucarelli, M., Gatti, A. M., Savarino, G., Quattroni, P., Martinelli, L., Monari, E., & Boraschi, D. (2004). Innate defence functions of macrophages can be biased by nano-sized ceramic and metallic particles. *European Cytokine Network*, *15*(4), 339–346.
- Luís, A., Barros, B. De, Tsourkas, A., Saboury, B., & Cardoso, V. N. (2012). Emerging role of radiolabeled nanoparticles as an effective diagnostic technique. *EJNMMI Research*, 2(39), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-219X-2-39
- Madl, A. K., Plummer, L. E., Carosino, C., & Pinkerton, K. E. (2015). Nanoparticles, Lung Injury, and the Role of Oxidant Stress Amy. *Annuual Review of Physiology*, 7(707), 447–465. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183735.Nanoparticles
- Makin, S. A., & Beveridge, T. J. (1996). The influence of A-band and B-band lipopolysaccharide on the surface characteristics and adhesion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to surfaces. *Microbiology*, *142*, 299–307.
- Martinez, J. P., Sasse, F., Brönstrup, M., Diez, J., & Meyerhans, A. (2013). Antiviral drug discovery: broadspectrum drugs from nature. *Royal Society of Chemistry*, *00*(1–3), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1039/x0xx00000x
- Matsumura, M., Nagata, M., Nakamura, K., Kawai, M., Baba, T., Yamaki, K., & Yoshino, S. (2010). Adjuvant effect of zinc oxide on Th2 but not Th1 immune responses in mice. *Immunopharmacology and Immunotoxicology*, *32*(1), 56–62. https://doi.org/10.3109/08923970903124627
- Maughan, C. N., Preston, S. G., & Williams, G. R. (2014). Particulate inorganic adjuvants : recent developments and future outlook. *J of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, *67*, 426–449. https://doi.org/10.1111/jphp.12352
- Mccomb, S., Thiriot, A., Krishnan, L., & Stark, F. (2013). Chapter I: Introduction to the Immune System. In *Immunoproteomics* (pp. 1–20). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-589-7
- Mccracken, C., Zane, A., Knight, D. A., Dutta, P. K., & Waldman, J. (2013). Minimal intestinal epithelial cell toxicity in response to short- and long-term food-relevant inorganic nanoparticle exposure. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, *26*(10), 1514–1525. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400231u
- Meghana, S., Kabra, P., Chakraborty, S., & Padmavathy, N. (2013). Understanding the pathway of antibacterial activity of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles. *The Royal Society of Chemistry*, *00*(1–3), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1039/x0xx00000x
- Melikian, G. L., Rhee, S., Varghese, V., Porter, D., White, K., Taylor, J., ... Shafer, R. W. (2014). Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) cross-resistance: implications for preclinical evaluation of novel NNRTIs and clinical genotypic resistance testing. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 69, 12–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt316
- Miller, W. R., Munita, J. M., & Arias, C. A. (2015). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in enterococci. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*, *12*(10), 1221–1236. https://doi.org/10.1586/14787210.2014.956092
- Mirhosseini, M., & Firouzabadi, F. B. (2013). Antibacterial activity of zinc oxide nanoparticle suspensions on food-borne pathogens. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, *66*(2), 291–295. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0307.12015
- Mishra, Y. K., Adelung, R., Röhl, C., Shukla, D., Spors, F., & Tiwari, V. (2011). Virostatic potential of micro-nano filopodia-like ZnO structures against herpes simplex virus-1. *Antiviral Research*, *92*(2), 305–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2011.08.017
- Moein, M., Hunter, C., & Murray, C. (2017). Nanomedicine : current status and future prospects. *The FASEB Journal*, *19*(3), 311–330. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.04-2747rev
- Moghimi, S. M., Hunter, A. C., & Andresen, T. L. (2012). Factors Controlling Nanoparticle Pharmacokinetics : An Integrated Analysis and Perspective. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, *52*, 481–503. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010611-134623
- Moreira, L., Neto, M., Kipnis, A., Junqueira-kipnis, A. P., & Junqueira-kipnis, A. P. (2017). Role of Metallic Nanoparticles in vaccinology: implications for infectious Disease vaccine Development. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *8*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00239

- Morfin, F., & Thou, D. (2003). Herpes simplex v irus resistance to anti v iral drugs. Journal of Clinical Virology, 26, 29-37.
- Mukherjee, M., & De, S. (2015). Reduction of microbial contamination from drinking water using an iron oxide nanoparticle-impregnated ultrafiltration mixed matrix membrane: preparation, characterization and antimicrobial properties. Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 1, 204-217. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EW00094C
- Narasimha, Sridevi, Prasad, D., & Kumar, P. (2014). Chemical synthesis of Zinc Oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles and their antibacterial activity against a clinical isolate Staphylococcus aureus. International Journal of Nano Dimension, 5(4), 337–340.
- Narayanan, P. M., Wilson, W. S., Abraham, A. T., & Sevanan, M. (2012). Synthesis, Characterization, and Antimicrobial Activity of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Against Human Pathogens. BioNanoSci, 2, 329–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-012-0061-6
- Neu, T. R., & Marshall, K. C. (1990). Bacterial Polymers : Physicochemical Aspects of Their Interactions at Interfaces. J of Biomaterials Applications, 5(2), 107–133. https://doi.org/10.1177/088532829000500203
- Ontsira Ngoyi, E. N., Atipo Ibara, B. I., Moyen, R., Ahoui Apendi, P. C., Ibara, J. R., Obengui, O., ... Megraud, F. (2015). Molecular Detection of Helicobacter pylori and its Antimicrobial Resistance in Brazzaville, Congo. Helicobacter, 20(4), 316-320. https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12204
- Ortega, R., Bresson, C., Darolles, C., Gautier, C., Roudeau, S., Perrin, L., ... Malard, V. (2014). Low-solubility particles and a Trojan-horse type mechanism of toxicity: The case of cobalt oxide on human lung cells. Particle and Fibre Toxicology, 11(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-8977-11-14
- Özcan İ, Kawthar Bouchemal, Frimar Segura-Nchez Ö A, Özer Ö, G. T. and P. G. (2009). Effects of Sterilization Techniques on The Pegylated Poly (F-Benzyl-L-Glutamate) (PBLG) Nanoparticles. ACTA Pharmaceutica *Sciencia*, *51*(3).
- Padil, V. V. T., & Černík, M. (2013). Green synthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using gum karaya as a biotemplate and their antibacterial application. International Journal of Nanomedicine, 8, 889-898. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S40599
- Pal, S., Tak, Y. K., & Song, J. M. (2007). Does the Antibacterial Activity of Silver Nanoparticles Depend on the Shape of the Nanoparticle ? A Study of the Gram-Negative Bacterium Escherichia coli
 . Applied and Enviromental Microbiology, 73(6), 1712–1720. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02218-06
- Palanikumar, L., Ramasamy, S. N., & Balachandran, C. (2014). Size-dependent antimicrobial response of zinc oxide nanoparticles. IET Nanobio, 8(2), 111-117. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-nbt.2012.0008
- Pandey, P., Packiyaraj, M. S., Nigam, H., Agarwal, G. S., Singh, B., & Patra, M. K. (2014). Antimicrobial properties of CuO nanorods and multi-armed nanoparticles against B. anthracis vegetative cells and endospores. Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology, 5, 789-800. https://doi.org/10.3762/bjnano.5.91
- Papis, E., Gornati, R., Prati, M., Ponti, J., Sabbioni, E., & Bernardini, G. (2007). Gene expression in nanotoxicology research: Analysis by differential display in BALB3T3 fibroblasts exposed to cobalt particles and ions. Toxicology Letters, 170, 185-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2007.03.005
- Paravicini, T. M., & Touyz, R. M. (2006). Redox signaling in hypertension. Cardiovascular Research, 71, 247-258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2006.05.001
- Parikh, S. J., & Chorover, J. (2006). ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy Reveals Bond Formation During Bacterial Adhesion to Iron Oxide. Langmuir, (21), 8492-8500.
- Parikh, S. J., & Chorover, J. (2008). ATR-FTIR study of lipopolysaccharides at mineral surfaces. Colloids and Surfaces, 62, 188–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2007.10.002
- Pasquale, A., Preiss, S., Silva, F., & Garçon, N. (2015). Vaccine Adjuvants: from 1920 to 2015 and Beyond. Vaccines, 3, 320–343. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines3020320
- Pelletier, D. A., Suresh, A. K., Holton, G. A., McKeown, C. K., Wang, W., Gu, B., ... Doktycz, M. J. (2010). Effects of engineered cerium oxide nanoparticles on bacterial growth and viability. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 76(24), 7981-7989. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00650-10
- Pereira, M. C., & Oliveira, L. C. A. (2012). Iron oxide catalysts : Fenton and Fenton-like reactions a review. Clay Minerals, 47, 285–302. https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.2012.047.3.01
- Pink, R., Hudson, A., Mouriès, M., & Bendig, M. (2005). Opportunities and Challenges in Antiparasitic Drug Discovery. Nature, 4(September), 727–740. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1824
- Ponti, J., Sabbioni, E., Munaro, B., Broggi, F., Marmorato, P., Franchini, F., ... Rossi, F. (2009). Genotoxicity and morphological transformation induced by cobalt nanoparticles and cobalt chloride: An in vitro study in Balb/3T3 mouse fibroblasts. Mutagenesis, 24(5), 439–445. https://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/gep027
- Porter, J. H., Moghimi, S. M., Illum, L., & Davis, S. S. (1992). The polyoxyethylene / polyoxypropylene selectively 46

redirects intravenously injected microspheres to sinusoidal endothelial cells of rabbit bone marrow. *FEBS Letters*, 305(I), 62–66.

- Prabhu, Y. T., Rao, K. V., Kumari, B. S., Kumar, V. S. S., & Pavani, T. (2015). Synthesis of Fe3O4 nanoparticles and its antibacterial application. *International Nano Letters*, *5*, 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40089-015-0141-z
- Prashanth, P. A., Raveendra, R. S., Hari Krishna, R., Ananda, S., Bhagya, N. P., Nagabhushana, B. M., ... Raja Naika, H. (2015). Synthesis, characterizations, antibacterial and photoluminescence studies of solution combustion-derived α-Al2O3 nanoparticles. *Journal of Asian Ceramic Societies*, 3(3), 345–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jascer.2015.07.001
- Pratap Reddy, M., Venugopal, A., & Subrahmanyam, M. (2007). Hydroxyapatite-supported Ag-TiO2as Escherichia coli disinfection photocatalyst. Water Research, 41, 379–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.09.018
- Pusic, K., Aguilar, Z., McLoughlin, J., Kobuch, S., Xu, H., Tsang, M., ... Hui, G. (2013). Iron oxide nanoparticles as a clinically acceptable delivery platform for a recombinant blood-stage human malaria vaccine. FASEB Journal, 27, 1153–1166. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.12-218362
- Qindeel, R. (2017). Results in Physics Effect of gamma radiation on morphological & optical properties of ZnO nanopowder. *Results in Physics*, 7, 807–809. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2017.02.003
- Radziun, E., Dudkiewicz Wilczyńska, J., Ksiazek, I., Nowak, K., Anuszewska, E. L., Kunicki, A., ... Zabkowski, T. (2011). Assessment of the cytotoxicity of aluminium oxide nanoparticles on selected mammalian cells. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 25, 1694–1700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2011.07.010
- Raghunath, A., & Perumal, E. (2017). Metal oxide nanoparticles as antimicrobial agents: a promise for the future. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 49(2), 137–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.11.011
- Raghupathi, K. R., Koodali, R. T., & Manna, A. C. (2011). Size-dependent bacterial growth inhibition and mechanism of antibacterial activity of zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Langmuir*, 27, 4020–4028. https://doi.org/10.1021/la104825u
- Rago, I., Chandraiahgari, C. R., Bracciale, M. P., De Bellis, G., Zanni, E., Guidi, M. C., ... Uccelletti, D. (2014). Zinc oxide microrods and nanorods: Different antibacterial activity and their mode of action against Grampositive bacteria. *RSC Advances*, 4, 56031–56040. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra08462d
- Rakshit, S., Ghosh, S., Chall, S., Mati, S. S., S.P.Moulik, & Bhattacharya, S. C. (2013). Controlled Synthesis of Spin Glass Nickel Oxide Nanoparticles and Evaluation of their Potential Antimicrobial Activity: A Cost Effective and Eco Friendly Approach. *Royal Society of Chemistry*, *3*, 19348–19356. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RA42628A.
- Ralloa, R., Francea, B., Liua, R., Naira, S., Georgea, S., Robert Damoiseaux, F. G., ... Cohen, Y. (2015). Self-Organizing Map Analysis of Toxicity-Related Cell Signaling Pathways for Metal and Metal Oxide Nanoparticles. *Environ Sci Technol*, 45(4), 1695–1702. https://doi.org/10.1021/es103606x.Self-Organizing
- Ramalingam, B., Parandhaman, T., & Das, and S. K. (2016). Antibacterial Effects of Biosynthesized Silver Nanoparticles on Surface Ultrastructure and Nanomechanical Properties of Gram- Negative Bacteria viz. Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b00161
- Ramesh, M., Anbuvannan, M., & Viruthagiri, G. (2015). Green synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles using Solanum nigrum leaf extract and their antibacterial activity. Spectrochimica Acta - Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 136, 864–870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2014.09.105
- Reddy, L. S., Nisha, M. M., Joice, M., & Shilpa, P. N. (2014). Antimicrobial activity of zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticle against Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 52(11), 1388–1397. https://doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014.893001
- Ren, G., Hu, D., Cheng, E. W. C., Vargas-Reus, M. A., Reip, P., & Allaker, R. P. (2009). Characterisation of copper oxide nanoparticles for antimicrobial applications. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 33, 587–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.12.004
- Rezaei-Zarchi, S., Javed, A., Ghani, M. J., Soufian, S., Firouzabadi, F. B., Moghaddam, A. B., & Mirjalili, S. H. (2010). Comparative Study of Antimicrobial Activities of TiO2 and CdO Nanoparticles against the Pathogenic Strain of Escherichia coli. *Iran J Pathology*, 5(2), 83–89.
- Rice, C. V, & Wickham, J. R. (2005). Heterogeneous Binding of Lipoteichoic Acid to the Surface of Titanium Dioxide as Determined with 31 P Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 856–857.
- Rojas, J. M., Sanz-Ortega, L., Mulens-Arias, V., Gutiérrez, L., Pérez-Yagüe, S., & Barber, D. F. (2016).

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle uptake alters M2 macrophage phenotype, iron metabolism, migration and invasion. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine, 12*(4), 1127–1138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2015.11.020

- Romanello, M. B., & Cortalezzi, M. M. F. de. (2013). An experimental study on the aggregation of TiO 2 nanoparticles under environmentally relevant conditions. *Water Research*, *7*, 3887–3898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.061
- Roy Arup, Gauri, S. S., Bhattacharya, M., & Bhattacharya, J. (2013). Antimicrobial activity of CaO nanoparticles. *Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology*, 9(9), 1570–1578. https://doi.org/10.1166/jbn.2013.1681
- Roy, R., Kumar, D., Sharma, A., Gupta, P., Chaudhari, B. P., Tripathi, A., ... Dwivedi, P. D. (2014). ZnO nanoparticles induced adjuvant effect via toll-like receptors and Src signaling in Balb/c mice. *Toxicology Letters*, 230, 421–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2014.08.008
- Roy, R., Kumar, S., Verma, A. K., Sharma, A., Chaudhari, B. P., Tripathi, A., ... Dwivedi, P. D. (2013). Zinc oxide nanoparticles provide an adjuvant effect to ovalbumin via a th2 response in Balb/c mice. *International Immunology*, 26(3), 159–172. https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxt053
- Ryoo, S., Jang, H., Kim, K., Lee, B., Bo, K., Kim, Y., ... Min, D. (2012). Biomaterials Functional delivery of DNAzyme with iron oxide nanoparticles for hepatitis C virus gene knockdown. *Biomaterials*, 33, 2754– 2761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.12.015
- Ryoo, S., Jang, H., Kim, K. S., Lee, B., Kim, K. B., Kim, Y. K., ... Min, D. H. (2012). Functional delivery of DNAzyme with iron oxide nanoparticles for hepatitis C virus gene knockdown. *Biomaterials*, *33*(9), 2754–2761. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.12.015
- Santhoshkumar, T., Rahuman, A. A., Jayaseelan, C., Rajakumar, G., Marimuthu, S., Kirthi, A. V., ... Kim, S. (2014). Green synthesis of titanium dioxide nanoparticles using Psidium guajava extract and its antibacterial and antioxidant properties. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*, 968–976. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645(14)60171-1
- Saquib, Q., Faisal, M., & Abdulrahman, A. A. A. (2018). Cellular and Molecular Toxicology of Nanoparticles.
- Sawai, J., Kawada, E., Kanou, F., Igarashi, H., Hashimto, A., Kokugan, T., & Shimizu, M. (1996). Detection of Active Oxygen Generated from Ceramic Powders having Antibacterial Activity. *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*, 29(4), 627–633.
- Schanen, B. C., Karakoti, A. S., Seal, S., Iii, D. R. D., & Warren, W. L. (2009). Exposure to Titanium Dioxide Nanomaterials Provokes In ammation of an. *ACS Nano*, *3*(9), 2523–2532.
- Seabra, A. B., & Durán, N. (2015). Nanotoxicology of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles. *Metals*, *5*, 934–975. https://doi.org/10.3390/met5020934
- Seabra, A., & Durán, N. (2015). Nanotoxicology of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles. *Metals*, 5(2), 934–975. https://doi.org/10.3390/met5020934
- Seil, J. T., & Webster, T. J. (2012). Antibacterial effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles combined with ultrasound. *Nanotechnology*, 23, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/23/49/495101
- Shateri-Khalilabad, M., & Yazdanshenas, M. E. (2013). Bifunctionalization of cotton textiles by ZnO nanostructures: Antimicrobial activity and ultraviolet protection. *Textile Research Journal*, 83(10), 993– 1004. https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517512468812
- Shen, C. C., Wang, C. C., Liao, M. H., & Jan, T. R. (2011). A single exposure to iron oxide nanoparticles attenuates antigen-specific antibody production and T-cell reactivity in ovalbumin-sensitized BALB/c mice. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 6, 1229–1235. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S21019
- Siddiqui, M. A., Alhadlaq, H. A., Ahmad, J., Al-Khedhairy, A. A., Musarrat, J., & Ahamed, M. (2013). Copper Oxide Nanoparticles Induced Mitochondria Mediated Apoptosis in Human Hepatocarcinoma Cells. *PLOS ONE*, 8(8), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069534
- Skaar, E. P., & Raffatellu, M. (2015). Metals in infectious diseases and nutritional immunity. *Metallomics:Integrated Biometal Science.*, 7, 926–928. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5MT90021B
- Slauch, J. M. (2012). How does the oxidative burst of macrophages kill bacteria? Still an open question. *Mol Microbiol.*, *80*(3), 580–583. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07612.x.How
- Slavin, Y. N., Asnis, J., Häfeli, U. O., & Bach, H. (2017a). Metal nanoparticles : understanding the mechanisms behind antibacterial activity. J of Nanobiotechnology, 15(65), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-017-0308-z
- Slavin, Y. N., Asnis, J., Häfeli, U. O., & Bach, H. (2017b). Metal nanoparticles : understanding the mechanisms behind antibacterial activity. J Nanobiotechnol, 15(65), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-017-0308z
- Sosenkova, L. S., & Egorova, E. M. (2011). The effect of particle size on the toxic action of silver nanoparticles.

Journal of Physics:Conference Series, 291, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/291/1/012027

- Spinowitz, B. S., Kausz, A. T., Baptista, J., Noble, S. D., Sothinathan, R., Bernardo, M. V., ... Pereira, B. J. G. (2008). Ferumoxytol for Treating Iron Deficiency Anemia in CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol, 19, 1599–1605. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2007101156
- Spinowitz, B. S., Schwenk, M. H., Jacobs, P. M., Bolton, W. K., Kaplan, M. R., Charytan, C., & Galler, M. (2005). The safety and efficacy of ferumoxytol therapy in anemic chronic kidney disease patients. *Kidney International*, *68*, 1801–1807. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00598.x
- Stolnik, S., Illum, L., & Davis, S. S. (1995). Long circulating microparticulate drug carriers. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 16, 195–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.029
- Storz, G., & Imlay, J. A. (1999). Oxidative stress. Current Opinion in Microbiology, 2, 188–194.
- Sulaiman, G. M., Tawfeeq, A. T., & Jaaffer, M. D. (2018). Biogenic synthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using olea europaea leaf extract and evaluation of their toxicity activities: An in vivo and in vitro study. *Biotechnology Progress*, 34(1), 218–230. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2568
- Sundaresan, K., Sivakumar, A., Vigneswaran, C., & Ramachandran, T. (2012). Influence of nano titanium dioxide finish, prepared by sol-gel technique, on the ultraviolet protection, antimicrobial, and self-cleaning characteristics of cotton fabrics. *Journal of Industrial Textiles*, *41*(3), 259–277. https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083711414962
- Sutradhar, P., Saha, M., & Maiti, D. (2014). Microwave synthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using tea leaf and coffee powder extracts and its antibacterial activity. *Journal of Nanostructure in Chemistry*, *4*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40097-014-0086-1
- Szeto, G. L., & Lavik, E. B. (2017). Materials design at the interface of nanoparticles and innate immunity. J Mater Chem B Mater Biol Med., 4(9), 1610–1618. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TB01825K.Materials
- Tan, M., Commens, G. A., Burnett, L., & Snitch, P. J. (1996). RESEARCH REPORT A pilot study on the percutaneous absorption of microfine titanium dioxide from sunscreens. *Australasian Journal of Dermatology*, 37, 185–187.
- Tang, Z. X., Yu, Z., Zhang, Z. L., Zhang, X. Y., Pan, Q. Q., & Shi, L. E. (2013). Sonication-assisted preparation of CaO nanoparticles for antibacterial agents. *Quimica Nova*, 36(7), 933–936. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422013000700002
- Thomas, A., Raj, M. S., & Venkataramana, J. (2014). Antimicrobial Activity of Tio 2 Nanoparticles Against Microbial Isolates Causing Dental. *International Jornal of Bioassays*, *3*(6), 3106–3110.
- Tong, T., Binh, C. T. T., Kelly, J. J., Gaillard, J. F., & Gray, K. A. (2013). Cytotoxicity of commercial nano-TiO2to Escherichia coli assessed by high-throughput screening: Effects of environmental factors. Water Research, 47(7), 2352–2362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.02.008
- Tran, N., Mir, A., Mallik, D., Sinha, A., Nayar, S., & Webster, T. J. (2010). Bactericidal effect of iron oxide nanoparticles on Staphylococcus aureus. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, *5*, 277–283.
- Truong, L., Zaikova, T., Richman, E. K., Hutchison, J. E., & Tanguay, R. L. (2012). Media ionic strength impacts embryonic responses to engineered nanoparticle exposure. *Nanotoxicology*, 6(March 2011), 691–699. https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2011.604440
- Tsuang, Y.-H., Sun, J.-S., Huang, Y.-C., Lu, C.-H., Chang, W. H.-S., & Wang, C.-C. (2008). Studies of Photokilling of Bacteria Using Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles. *Artificial Organs*, 32(2), 167–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1594.2007.00530.x
- Tsuzuki, T. (2009). Commercial scale production of inorganic nanoparticles. J. Nanotechnol, 6, 567–578. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJNT.2009.024647
- Usha, R., Prabu, E., Palaniswamy, M., Venil, C. K., & Rajendran, R. (2010). Synthesis of Metal Oxide Nano Particles by Streptomyces Sp for Development of Antimicrobial Textiles 11. *Global Journal of Biotechnology & Biochemistry*, 5(3), 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA05765E
- Ventola, C. L. (2017a). Progress in Nanomedicine : Approved and Investigational Nanodrugs Progress in Nanomedicine : *Pharmacy and Therapeutics Journal*, 42(12), 742–755.
- Ventola, C. L. (2017b). Progress in Nanomedicine: Approved and Investigational Nanodrugs., 42(12), 742–755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2007.07.030
- Verdier, T., Coutand, M., Bertron, A., & Roques, C. (2014). Antibacterial Activity of TiO2 Photocatalyst Alone or in Coatings on E. coli: The Influence of Methodological Aspects. *Coatings*, 4, 670–686. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings4030670
- Wang, J., & Fan, Y. (2014). Lung Injury Induced by TiO2 Nanoparticles Depends on Their Structural Features: Size, Shape, Crystal Phases, and Surface Coating. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 15, 22258– 22278. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms151222258

- Watson, C. Y., Molina, R. M., Louzada, A., Murdaugh, K. M., Donaghey, T. C., & Brain, J. D. (2015). Effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles on Kupffer cell phagosomal motility, bacterial clearance, and liver function. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 10, 4173–4184. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S82807
- Wegener, H. C., Aarestrup, F. M., Jensen, L. B., Hammerum, A. M., & Bager, F. (1999). Use of antimicrobial growth promoters in food animals and Enterococcus faecium resistance to therapeutic antimicrobial drugs in Europe. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 5(3), 329–335. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0503.990303
- Wickham, J. R., & Rice, C. V. (2008). Solid-state NMR studies of bacterial lipoteichoic acid adsorption on different surfaces. Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, 34, 154–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssnmr.2008.06.001
- Winter, M., Beer, H. D., Hornung, V., Kärmer, U., Schins, R. P. F., & Förster, I. (2011). Activation of the inflammasome by amorphous silica and TiO2 nanoparticles in murine dendritic cells. *Nanotoxicology*, 5(3), 326–340. https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2010.506957
- Wyles, D. L. (2013). Antiviral resistance and the future landscape of hepatitis C virus infection therapy. *Journal* of Infectious Diseases, 207(1), 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis761
- Xie, Y., He, Y., Irwin, P. L., Jin, T., & Shi, X. (2011). Antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of zinc oxide nanoparticles against Campylobacter jejuni. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(7), 2325–2331. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02149-10
- Xinyu, W., Ishida, T., & Kiwada, H. (2007). Anti-PEG IgM elicited by injection of liposomes is involved in the enhanced blood clearance of a subsequent dose of PEGylated liposomes. *Journal of Controlled Release*, *119*, 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2007.02.010
- Yaaghoobi, M., Emtiazi, G., & Roghanian, R. (2012). A Novel Approach for Aerobic Construction of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles by Acinetobacter radioresistens and their Effects on Red Blood Cells. *Current Nanoscience*, 8, 286–291. https://doi.org/10.2174/157341312800167687
- Yi-Xiang, W. (2015). Current status of superparamagnetic iron oxide contrast agents for liver magnetic resonance imaging. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 21(47), 13400–13402. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02678498
- Yoshioka, Y., Kuroda, E., Hirai, T., Tsutsumi, Y., & Ishii, and K. J. (2017). Allergic Responses induced by the immunomodulatory effects of Nanomaterials upon Skin exposure. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 8(February), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00169
- Yue-Jian, C., Juan, T., Fei, X., Jia-Bi, Z., Ning, G., Yi-Hua, Z., ... Liang, and G. (2010). Synthesis, self-assembly, and charcterization of PEG - coated iron oxide nanoparticles as potential MRI contrast agent. *Drug Development* and *Industrial Pharmacy*, *36*(10), 1235–1244. https://doi.org/10.3109/03639041003710151
- Zhang, L., & Mah, T. F. (2008). Involvement of a novel efflux system in biofilm-specific resistance to antibiotics. Journal of Bacteriology, 190(13), 4447–4452. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01655-07
- Zhong, L., Yu, Y., & Lian, H. (2017). Solubility of nano-sized metal oxides evaluated by using in vitro simulated lung and gastrointestinal fluids : implication for health risks. *J. Nanopart Res*, *19*(375), 1–10.
- Zhong, L., & Yun, K. (2015). Graphene oxide-modified zno particles: Synthesis, characterization, and antibacterial properties. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 10, 79–92. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S88319
- Zhou, Y., Peng, Z., Seven, E. S., & Leblanc, R. M. (2018). Crossing the blood-brain barrier with nanoparticles. Journal of Controlled Release, 270, 290–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.12.015