

# The product of divisors minimum and maximum functions

József Sándor

Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania

E-mail: jjsandor@hotmail.com    jsandor@member.ams.org

**Abstract** Let  $T(n)$  denote the product of divisors of the positive integer  $n$ . We introduce and study some basic properties involving two functions, which are the minimum, resp. the maximum of certain integers connected with the divisors of  $T(n)$ .

**Keywords** Arithmetic functions, product of divisors of an integer.

1. Let  $T(n) = \prod_{i|n} i$  denote the product of all divisors of  $n$ . The product-of-divisors minimum, resp. maximum functions will be defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(n) = \min\{k \geq 1 : n|T(k)\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_*(n) = \max\{k \geq 1 : T(k)|n\}. \quad (2)$$

There are particular cases of the functions  $F_f^A, G_g^A$  defined by

$$F_f^A(n) = \min\{k \in A : n|f(k)\}, \quad (3)$$

and its "dual"

$$G_g^A(n) = \max\{k \in A : g(k)|n\}, \quad (4)$$

where  $A \subset \mathbb{N}^*$  is a given set, and  $f, g : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  are given functions, introduced in [8] and [9]. For  $A = \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f(k) = g(k) = k!$  one obtains the Smarandache function  $S(n)$ , and its dual  $S_*(n)$ , given by

$$S(n) = \min\{k \geq 1 : n|k!\} \quad (5)$$

and

$$S_*(n) = \max\{k \geq 1 : k!|n\}. \quad (6)$$

The function  $S_*(n)$  has been studied in [8], [9], [4], [1], [3]. For  $A = \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f(k) = g(k) = \varphi(k)$ , one obtains the Euler minimum, resp. maximum functions

$$E(n) = \min\{k \geq 1 : n|\varphi(k)\} \quad (7)$$

studied in [6], [8], [13], resp., its dual

$$E_*(n) = \max\{k \geq 1 : \varphi(k)|n\}, \quad (8)$$

studied in [13].

For  $A = \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f(k) = g(k) = S(k)$  one has the Smarandache minimum and maximum functions

$$S_{min}(n) = \min\{k \geq 1 : n|S(k)\}, \quad (9)$$

$$S_{max}(n) = \max\{k \geq 1 : S(k)|n\}, \quad (10)$$

introduced, and studied in [15]. The divisor minimum function

$$D(n) = \min\{k \geq 1 : n|d(k)\} \quad (11)$$

(where  $d(k)$  is the number of divisors of  $k$ ) appears in [14], while the sum-of-divisors minimum and maximum functions

$$\Sigma(n) = \min\{k \geq 1 : n|\sigma(k)\} \quad (12)$$

$$\Sigma_*(n) = \max\{k \geq 1 : \sigma(k)|n\} \quad (13)$$

have been recently studied in [16].

For functions  $Q(n), Q_1(n)$  obtained from (3) for  $f(k) = k!$  and  $A =$  set of perfect squares, resp.  $A =$  set of squarefree numbers, see [10].

**2.** The aim of this note is to study some properties of the functions  $T(n)$  and  $T_*(n)$  given by (1) and (2). We note that properties of  $T(n)$  in connection with "multiplicatively perfect numbers" have been introduced in [11]. For other asymptotic properties of  $T(n)$ , see [7]. For divisibility properties of  $T(\sigma(n))$  with  $T(n)$ , see [5]. For asymptotic results of sums of type  $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{T(n)}$ , see [17].

A divisor  $i$  of  $n$  is called "unitary" if  $(i, \frac{n}{i}) = 1$ . Let  $T^*(n)$  be the product of unitary divisors of  $n$ . For similar results to [11] for  $T^*(n)$ , or  $T^{**}(n)$  (i.e. the product of "bi-unitary" divisors of  $n$ ), see [2]. The product of "exponential" divisors  $T_e(n)$  is introduced in paper [12]. Clearly, one can introduce functions of type (1) and (2) for  $T(n)$  replaced with one of the above functions  $T^*(n), T^{**}, T_e(n)$ , but these functions will be studied in another paper.

**3.** The following auxiliary result will be important in what follows.

**Lemma 1.**

$$T(n) = n^{d(n)/2}, \quad (14)$$

where  $d(n)$  is the number of divisors of  $n$ .

**Proof.** This is well-known, see e.g. [11].

**Lemma 2.**

$$T(a)|T(b), \quad \text{if } a|b. \quad (15)$$

**Proof.** If  $a|b$ , then for any  $d|a$  one has  $d|b$ , so  $T(a)|T(b)$ . Reciprocally, if  $T(a)|T(b)$ , let  $\gamma_p(a)$  be the exponent of the prime in  $a$ . Clearly, if  $p|a$ , then  $p|b$ , otherwise  $T(a)|T(b)$  is impossible. If  $p^{\gamma_p(b)}||b$ , then we must have  $\gamma_p(a) \leq \gamma_p(b)$ . Writing this fact for all prime divisors of  $a$ , we get  $a|b$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $n$  is squarefree, then

$$T(n) = n. \quad (16)$$

**Proof.** Let  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ , where  $p_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, r}$ ) are distinct primes. The relation  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_r | T(k)$  gives  $p_i | T(k)$ , so there is a  $d | k$ , so that  $p_i | d$ . But then  $p_i | k$  for all  $i = \overline{1, r}$ , thus  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = n | k$ . Since  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_k | T(p_1 p_2 \dots p_k)$ , the least  $k$  is exactly  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ , proving (16).

**Remark.** Thus, if  $p$  is a prime,  $\mathcal{T}(p) = p$ ; if  $p < q$  are primes, then  $\mathcal{T}(pq) = pq$ , etc.

**Theorem 2.** If  $a | b$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $b$  is squarefree, then

$$\mathcal{T}(ab) = b. \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** If  $a | b$ ,  $a \neq b$ , then clearly  $T(b) = \prod_{d|b} d$  is divisible by  $ab$ , so  $\mathcal{T}(ab) \leq b$ . Reciprocally, if  $ab | T(k)$ , let  $p | b$  a prime divisor of  $b$ . Then  $p | T(k)$ , so (see the proof of Theorem 1)  $p | k$ . But  $b$  being squarefree (i.e. a product of distinct primes), this implies  $b | k$ . The least such  $k$  is clearly  $k = b$ .

For example,  $\mathcal{T}(12) = \mathcal{T}(2 \cdot 6) = 6$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(18) = \mathcal{T}(3 \cdot 6) = 6$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(20) = \mathcal{T}(2 \cdot 10) = 10$ .

**Theorem 3.**  $\mathcal{T}(T(n)) = n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . (18)

**Proof.** Let  $T(n) | T(k)$ . Then by (15) one can write  $n | k$ . The least  $k$  with this property is  $k = n$ , proving relation (18).

**Theorem 4.** Let  $p_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, r}$ ) be distinct primes, and  $\alpha_i \geq 1$  positive integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \mathcal{T} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \right) : i = \overline{1, r} \right\} &\leq \mathcal{T} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \leq \\ &\leq l.c.m. [\mathcal{T}(p_1^{\alpha_1}), \dots, \mathcal{T}(p_r^{\alpha_r})]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

**Proof.** In [13] it is proved that for  $A = \mathbb{N}^*$ , and any function  $f$  such that  $F_f^{\mathbb{N}^*}(n) = F_f(n)$  is well defined, one has

$$\max \{ F_f(p_i^{\alpha_i}) : i = \overline{1, r} \} \leq F_f \left( \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \right). \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, if  $f$  satisfies the property

$$a | b \implies f(a) | f(b) \quad (a, b \geq 1), \quad (21)$$

then

$$F_f \left( \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \right) \leq l.c.m. [F_f(p_1^{\alpha_1}), \dots, F_f(p_r^{\alpha_r})]. \quad (22)$$

By Lemma 2, (21) is true for  $f(a) = T(a)$ , and by using (20), (22), relation (19) follows.

**Theorem 5.**

$$\mathcal{T}(2^n) = 2^\alpha, \quad (23)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the least positive integer such that

$$\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \geq n. \quad (24)$$

**Proof.** By (14),  $2^n | T(k)$  iff  $2^n | k^{d(k)/2}$ . Let  $k = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , when  $d(k) = (\alpha_1+1) \dots (\alpha_r+1)$ . Since  $2^{2n} | k^{d(k)} = p_1^{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1) \dots (\alpha_r+1)} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r(\alpha_r+1) \dots (\alpha_r+1)}$  (let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$ ), clearly  $p_1 = 2$

and the least  $k$  is when  $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_r = 0$  and  $\alpha_1$  is the least positive integer with  $2n \leq \alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 1)$ . This proves (23), with (24).

For example,  $\mathcal{T}(2^2) = 4$ , since  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(2^3) = 4$  again,  $\mathcal{T}(2^4) = 8$  since  $\alpha = 3$ , etc.

For odd prime powers, the things are more complicated. For example, for  $3^n$  one has:

**Theorem 6.**

$$\mathcal{T}(3^n) = \min\{3^{\alpha_1}, 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha_2}\}, \quad (25)$$

where  $\alpha_1$  is the least positive integer such that  $\frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1)}{2} \geq n$ , and  $\alpha_2$  is the least positive integer such that  $\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1) \geq n$ .

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 5,

$$3^{2n} | p_1^{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1)\dots(\alpha_r+1)} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2(\alpha_2+1)\dots(\alpha_1+1)} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r(\alpha_r+1)\dots(\alpha_r+1)},$$

where  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$ , so we can distinguish two cases:

a)  $p_1 = 2$ ,  $p_2 = 3$ ,  $p_3 \geq 5$ ;

b)  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $p_2 \geq 5$ .

Then  $k = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r} \geq 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2}$  in case a), and  $k \geq 3^{\alpha_1}$  in case b). So for the least  $k$  we must have  $\alpha_2(\alpha_1 + 1)(\alpha_2 + 1) \geq 2n$  with  $\alpha_1 = 1$  in case a), and  $\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 1) \geq 2n$  in case b). Therefore  $\frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1)}{2} \geq n$  and  $\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1) \geq n$ , and we must select  $k$  with the least of  $3^{\alpha_1}$  and  $2^1 \cdot 3^{\alpha_2}$ , so Theorem 6 follows.

For example,  $\mathcal{T}(3^2) = 6$  since for  $n = 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1$ , and  $\min\{2 \cdot 3^1, 3^2\} = 6$ ;  $\mathcal{T}(3^3) = 9$  since for  $n = 3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$  and  $\min\{2 \cdot 3^2, 3^2\} = 9$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be given by  $f(x) = \sqrt{x} \log x$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(\log n) < \mathcal{T}(n) \leq n, \quad (26)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $f^{-1}$  denotes the inverse function of  $f$ .

**Proof.** Since  $n | \mathcal{T}(n)$ , the right side of (26) follows by definition (1) of  $\mathcal{T}(n)$ . On the other hand, by the known inequality  $d(k) < 2\sqrt{k}$ , and Lemma 1 (see (14)) we get  $\mathcal{T}(k) < k^{\sqrt{k}}$ , so  $\log \mathcal{T}(k) < \sqrt{k} \log k = f(k)$ . Since  $n | \mathcal{T}(k)$  implies  $n \leq \mathcal{T}(k)$ , so  $\log n \leq \log \mathcal{T}(k) < f(k)$ , and the function  $f$  being strictly increasing and continuous, by the bijectivity of  $f$ , the left side of (26) follows.

4. The function  $\mathcal{T}_*(n)$  given by (2) differs in many aspects from  $\mathcal{T}(n)$ . The first such property is:

**Theorem 8.**  $\mathcal{T}_*(n) \leq n$  for all  $n$ , with equality only if  $n = 1$  or  $n = \text{prime}$ .

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{T}(k) | n$ , then  $\mathcal{T}(k) \leq n$ . But  $\mathcal{T}(k) \geq k$ , so  $k \leq n$ , and the inequality follows.

Let us now suppose that for  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_*(n) = n$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}(n) | n$ , by definition 2. On the other hand, clearly  $n | \mathcal{T}(n)$ , so  $\mathcal{T}(n) = n$ . This is possible only when  $n = \text{prime}$ .

**Remark.** Therefore the equality

$$\mathcal{T}_*(n) = n(n > 1)$$

is a characterization of the prime numbers.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be given distinct primes ( $r \geq 1$ ). Then the equation

$$\mathcal{T}(k) = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$$

is solvable if  $r = 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $p_i|T(k)$ , we get  $p_i|k$  for all  $i = \overline{1, r}$ . Thus  $p_1 \dots p_r|k$ , and Lemma 2 implies  $T(p_1 \dots p_r)|T(k) = p_1 \dots p_r$ . Since  $p_1 \dots p_r|T(p_1 \dots p_r)$ , we have  $T(p_1 \dots p_r) = p_1 \dots p_r$ , which by Theorem 8 is possible only if  $r = 1$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let  $P(n)$  denote the greatest prime factor of  $n > 1$ . If  $n$  is squarefree, then

$$\mathcal{T}_*(n) = P(n). \quad (27)$$

**Proof.** Let  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$ . If  $T(k)|(p_1 \dots p_r)$ , then clearly  $T(k) \in \{1, p_1, \dots, p_r, p_1 p_2, \dots, p_1 p_2 \dots p_r\}$ . By Lemma 3 we cannot have

$$T(k) \in \{p_1 p_2, \dots, p_1 p_2 \dots p_r\},$$

so  $T(k) \in \{1, p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ , when  $k \in \{1, p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ . The greatest  $k$  is  $p_r = P(n)$ .

**Remark.** Therefore  $\mathcal{T}_*(pq) = q$  for  $p < q$ . For example,  $\mathcal{T}_*(2 \cdot 7) = 7$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_*(3 \cdot 5) = 5$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_*(3 \cdot 7) = 7$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_*(2 \cdot 11) = 11$ , etc.

**Theorem 10.**

$$\mathcal{T}_*(p^n) = p^\alpha (p = \text{prime}), \quad (28)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the greatest integer with the property

$$\frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{2} \leq n. \quad (29)$$

**Proof.** If  $T(k)|p^n$ , then  $T(k) = p^m$  for  $m \leq n$ . Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $k$ . Then  $q = T(q)|T(k) = 2^m$  implies  $q = p$ , so  $k = p^\alpha$ . But then  $T(k) = p^{\alpha(\alpha+1)/2}$  with  $\alpha$  the greatest number such that  $\alpha(\alpha + 1)/2 \leq n$ , which finishes the proof of (28).

For example,  $\mathcal{T}_*(4) = 2$ , since  $\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \leq 2$  gives  $\alpha_{max} = 1$ .

$\mathcal{T}_*(16) = 4$ , since  $\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \leq 4$  is satisfied with  $\alpha_{max} = 2$ .

$\mathcal{T}_*(9) = 3$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_*(27) = 9$  since  $\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} \leq 3$  with  $\alpha_{max} = 2$ .

**Theorem 11.** Let  $p, q$  be distinct primes. Then

$$\mathcal{T}_*(p^2 q) = \max\{p, q\}. \quad (30)$$

**Proof.** If  $T(k)|p^2 q$ , then  $T(k) \in \{1, p, q, p^2, pq, p^2 q\}$ . The equations  $T(k) = p^2$ ,  $T(k) = pq$ ,  $T(k) = p^2 q$  are impossible. For example, for the first equation, this can be proved as follows. By  $p|T(k)$  one has  $p|k$ , so  $k = pm$ . Then  $p(pm)$  are in  $T(k)$ , so  $m = 1$ . But then  $T(k) = p \neq p^2$ . For the last equation,  $k = (pq)m$  and  $pqm(pm)(qm)(pqm)$  are in  $T(k)$ , which is impossible.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $p, q$  be distinct primes. Then

$$\mathcal{T}_*(p^3 q) = \max\{p^2, q\}. \quad (31)$$

**Proof.** As above,  $T(k) \in \{1, p, q, pq, p^2 q, p^3 q, p^2, p^3\}$  and  $T(k) \in \{pq, p^2 q, p^3 q, p^2\}$  are impossible. But  $T(k) = p^3$  by Lemma 1 gives  $k^{d(k)} = p^6$ , so  $k = p^m$ , when  $d(k) = m + 1$ . This gives  $m(m + 1) = 6$ , so  $m = 2$ . Thus  $k = p^2$ . Since  $p < p^2$  the result follows.

**Remark.** The equation

$$T(k) = p^s \quad (32)$$

can be solved only if  $k^{d(k)} = p^{2s}$ , so  $k = p^m$  and we get  $m(m+1) = 2s$ . Therefore  $k = p^m$ , with  $m(m+1) = 2s$ , if this is solvable. If  $s$  is not a **triangular number**, this is impossible.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $p, q$  be distinct primes. Then

$$\mathcal{T}_*(p^s q) = \begin{cases} \max\{p, q\}, & \text{if } s \text{ is not a triangular number,} \\ \max\{p^n, q\}, & \text{if } s = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}. \end{cases}$$

## References

- [1] K. Atanasov, Remark on József Sándor and Florian Luca's theorem, C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **55**(2002), No. 10, 9-14.
- [2] A. Bege, On multiplicatively unitary perfect numbers, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, **2**(2001), 59-63.
- [3] M. Le, A conjecture concerning the Smarandache dual function, Smarandache Notion J. **14**(2004), 153-155.
- [4] F. Luca, On a divisibility property involving factorials, C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **53**(2000), No. 6, 35-38.
- [5] F. Luca, On the product of divisors of  $n$  and  $\sigma(n)$ , J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. **4**(2003), No. 2, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [6] P. Moree and H. Roskam, On an arithmetical function related to Euler's totient and the discriminant, Fib. Quart. **33**(1995), 332-340.
- [7] T. Šalát and J. Tomanová, On the product of divisors of a positive integer, Math. Slovaca **52**(2002), No. 3, 271-287.
- [8] J. Sándor, On certain generalizations of the Smarandache function, Notes Number Theory Discr. Math. **5**(1999), No. 2, 41-51.
- [9] J. Sándor, On certain generalizations of the Smarandache function, Smarandache Notions J. **11**(2000), No. 1-3, 202-212.
- [10] J. Sándor, The Smarandache function of a set, Octagon Math. Mag. **9**(2001), No. 1B, 369-371.
- [11] J. Sándor, On multiplicatively perfect numbers, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. **2**(2001), No. 1, 6 pp. (electronic).
- [12] J. Sándor, On multiplicatively  $e$ -perfect numbers, (to appear).
- [13] J. Sándor, On the Euler minimum and maximum functions, (to appear).
- [14] J. Sándor, A note on the divisor minimum function, (to appear).
- [15] J. Sándor, The Smarandache minimum and maximum functions, (to appear).
- [16] J. Sándor, The sum-of-divisors minimum and maximum functions, (to appear).
- [17] Z. Weiyi, On the divisor product sequence, Smarandache Notions J., **14**(2004), 144-146.