

THE PRODUCT OF TOTALLY NONMEAGRE SPACES

J. M. AARTS AND D. J. LUTZER¹

ABSTRACT. In this note we give an example of a separable, pseudo-complete metric space X which is totally nonmeagre (= every closed subspace of X is a Baire space) and yet whose square $X \times X$ is not totally nonmeagre.

1. Introduction. All spaces considered in this note are assumed to be regular and T_1 . A space X is *totally nonmeagre* if every closed subspace of X is a Baire space, or, equivalently, if every nonempty closed subspace of X has second category in itself [2]. The classical examples of Baire spaces—complete metric spaces, locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and (locally) Čech-complete spaces [4]—are all totally nonmeagre.

Oxtoby [6] has given an example which shows that the product $X \times Y$ of two Baire spaces need not be a Baire space. However, it is known that if the Baire space X satisfies certain additional hypotheses, then $X \times Y$ is a Baire space for any Baire space Y . Two examples of such additional hypotheses are:

(A) that X has a locally countable pseudo-base [6] (which is equivalent, for a metric space, to the existence of a dense open subspace which is locally separable—e.g., if X is itself separable);

(B) that X is pseudo-complete [1], [6] (which is equivalent, for a metric space, to the existence of a dense, completely metrizable subspace—e.g., if X contains a dense set of isolated points; all of the classical examples of Baire spaces are pseudo-complete).

The purpose of this note is twofold: first, to give an example of a totally nonmeagre metric space X which is both separable and pseudo-complete and yet whose square $X \times X$ is not totally nonmeagre; and second, to suggest the following open question: if X is compact Hausdorff and Y is totally nonmeagre, must $X \times Y$ be totally nonmeagre?

2. The example. A separable metrizable space is *totally imperfect* if it has no dense-in-itself completely metrizable subspaces, or, equivalently, if it has no uncountable compact subspaces [5].

Received by the editors June 22, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E99; Secondary 54G15, 54H05.
Key words and phrases. Baire space, totally nonmeagre space, totally imperfect sets.

¹ Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 29401.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

LEMMA 1. *Let Y be separable, completely metrizable and dense-in-itself, and let $X \subset Y$. If $Y \setminus X$ is totally imperfect, then X is totally nonmeagre.*

PROOF. Suppose $F \neq \emptyset$ is a closed subset of X which can be written as $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ where each F_n is closed and nowhere dense in F (whence also in X). Let $H = \text{Cl}_Y(F)$ and $H_n = \text{Cl}_Y(F_n)$. Then H is dense-in-itself, each H_n is nowhere dense in H , and $\emptyset \neq H \setminus F \subset Y \setminus X$ because F , being of first category in itself, cannot be closed in the complete space Y . Therefore $K = H \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n)$ is a dense G_δ -subset of H . Hence K is dense-in-itself and completely metrizable [3]. But this is impossible since K is a subset of the totally imperfect space $Y \setminus X$.

A well-known theorem of Bernstein states that any separable completely metrizable space X which is dense-in-itself can be decomposed into two disjoint totally imperfect subsets Y and Z [5]. (In view of Lemma 1, both Y and Z are totally nonmeagre.) For our purposes we need a slightly modified version of Bernstein's theorem.

Throughout the rest of this section, let R and Q denote respectively the usual spaces of real and rational numbers. Let $R^+ = \{x \in R : x \geq 0\}$ and let $Q^+ = Q \cap R^+$.

LEMMA 2. *There exist totally imperfect subsets C and D of R^+ having $C \cap D = Q^+$ and $C \cup D = R^+$.*

PROOF. Well order the collection of all uncountable compact subsets of R^+ as $\{F_\alpha : 1 \leq \alpha < \Omega\}$ where Ω is the first ordinal having cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Each F_α has cardinality \mathfrak{c} so that we may inductively choose distinct points x_α and y_α from the nonempty set $F_\alpha \setminus (Q^+ \cup \{x_\beta, y_\beta : 1 \leq \beta < \alpha\})$ for each $\alpha < \Omega$. Let $C = Q^+ \cup \{x_\alpha : 1 \leq \alpha < \Omega\}$ and $D = Q^+ \cup (R^+ \setminus C)$.

EXAMPLE. There is a totally nonmeagre, separable and pseudo-complete metric space X such that $X \times X$ contains a closed subspace homeomorphic to Q . Thus $X \times X$ is not totally nonmeagre.

PROOF. We begin by constructing an auxiliary space $Y \subset R$. Let C and D be the subsets of R^+ constructed in Lemma 2 and let $Y = C \cup \{-x : x \in D\}$. Since $R \setminus Y \subset D \cup \{-x : x \in C\}$ is totally imperfect, Y is totally nonmeagre, according to Lemma 1. Let $\Delta' = \{(x, -x) : x \in R\}$ be the antidiagonal in $R \times R$. Then the set $K = \Delta' \cap (Y \times Y)$ is a closed subspace of $Y \times Y$ and it is easily seen that $K = \{(x, -x) : x \in Q\}$ is homeomorphic to Q .

To construct the space X , we use the standard technique of adding to Y a countable dense set of isolated points. (See, for example, Exercise 14, p. 253 of [2] where such a construction for the space Q is described.) Clearly X can be taken to be a subspace of the Euclidean plane; thus X is separable metrizable. Since X contains a dense set of isolated points,

X is pseudo-complete. Furthermore, X is totally nonmeagre and Y is a closed subspace of X so that the set K , above, is a closed subspace of $X \times X$. Therefore $X \times X$ is not totally nonmeagre.

REMARK. It is clear that the space Y in our example could have been constructed as a subspace of the Cantor set and that the space X could then be obtained by adding countably many isolated points to Y , putting one point into each of the open intervals that are removed from the unit interval in the usual construction of the Cantor set. Thus X may be taken to be a subspace of R .

REFERENCES

1. J. M. Aarts and D. J. Lutzer, *Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. VIII. Livre III: *Topologie générale*, Chap. 9, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1045, Hermann, Paris, 1961; English transl., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR 25 #4480; MR 34 #5044a.
3. E. Čech, *On bicomact spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 38 (1937), 823–844.
4. Z. Frolík, *Locally topologically complete spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 137 (1961), 790–792=Soviet Math. Dokl. 2 (1961), 355–357. MR 22 #9951.
5. K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*. Vol. 1, PWN, Warsaw, 1958; English transl., Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1966. MR 19, 873; MR 36 #840.
6. J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, Fund. Math. 49 (1960/61), 157–166. MR 25 #4055; errata, MR 26 p. 1453.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DELFT INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15213