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## ABSTRACT

problems in the reading and study skills of students in City University of New York (CUNY) community colleges are the subject of this report, Questionnaires asking for information on factors related to these problens were completed by 496 Cuny stulents. Results indicate that, among other things: (1) there is a high correlation between reading and study problems and physical, psychological, intellectual, and environmental factors such as physical fatigue, ability to concentrate on and understand assignments, worry about grades and reading ability, lack of encouragement to read by friends and parents, a distracting home environment., and lack of interest in teaching reading at the high school level; and. (2) most reading and studying problems involve comprehension, study, critical reading, and vocabulary skills. Questionnaires were al:so sent to the individuals in charge of reading or basic study programs at the participating community colleges. Responses indicate that while three of six participating colleges have readiny and study programs, they also have space, material, or acadeṁc support problems. (JO)
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The education of our people is a national investment, İ yields tangi.ble returns in sconomic grovth, an improved citizenry and higher standards of living. But even more importantly, free men and women value education as a personal experience and upportunity - as a basic benefit of a free and democratic civilization. It is our responsibility to do whatever seeds to be done to make this opportunity available to all and to make it of the highest possible quality.

John F. Kennedy

Special message to the Congress on education

February 6, 1962

## FORENORD

At a time when The City University of New York is going through a period of educational self-sxamination, it becomes evident that this study should have been financed and implemented sive jears ag,o. It explores an area of research that has been neglected despite the persistent evidence that knowledge and expertise in reading problems were to become critical needs in urban higker education. The sesires of the social reformers have outdistanced the skills and techniques for teaching reading to a generation of educistionally disadvantaged scudents who have teen promised a higher education in The Cits University of New York.

This study makes evident the incipient problem in the University's desire to increase the expectations of these students without providing in full the necessary facilities, personnel, and expert instructors to develop the skills necessary for the fulfillment of these expectations. These students require expericaecd personnei and tried and true methods which would preciade the further compounding of their educetional frustrations.

Professor Bossone adds a unique dimension to the study of reading problems: he has asked the students shat tney believe; to be their problems and the sources of their problems. This phenomenological approach has rarely been used in tinis area of reading research. Usually in the past the nature of reading problems was noted by the investigator without a dctailed analysis oxi the perception of the subjects involved. Now at last we have more attention being paid to the students' concerns.

Open admissions will force us in CUNY to reevaluate owf teaching methods and technology in the freshman and sophomore years, and this is as it should be. In the past the burden of change has always been placed on the educaticnally disadvantaged student and his success or failure was attributed to his inherent strengths and weaknesses. Now the instiuctcr is being given the burden. He, too, hes to change tc meet new demands. Professor Ianni, formerly of the U.S. Office of Education, has indicated that it takes thirty years for an educational idea to be implemented within the classroom. We dc not have the time. It behooves us, therefore, to note Professor Bossone's findings and to give serious consideration to his recommendations if we expect to meet the goals set forth for the Open Admissions Program.

May, 1970
Angelo Dispenzieri, Dean Evening \& Extension Division Baruch College of CUNX
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TEEE READING-STUDY SKILLS PROBLEMS OF STUDENTS IN COMMUNITY COLLEGES OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

PART I

## INTRODUCTION

With its commitment to open admissions The City University of New York has made clear to the nation that a four-year college education is definitely availabla to all high school graduates in New York City who seek it and that American higher education will reach its apogee of service in large urban centers. Suck a noble commitment, however, also makes clear that coj.leges will have to bear the major responsibility for finding ways of helping the significant number of weaker students succeed because open admissions has validity only if these students are able to achieve their educational objectives.

The City University Task Force on Open Admissions has estimated that 25 to 45 percent of entering freshmen classes in 1970 wil. require some measure of remedial work. This is a significant number of students, then, who must be helped properly if The City University is to protert its investment in people or human capital., the most valuable of all capital.
because a large number of these students are below grade in reading, because these students will face a more difficuit reading task as their education moves in the direction of learning from books rather than learning from teachers, and because reading is the key tool that eventually affects proficiency in all academic learning, it becomes imperative that all comraunity and senior colleges give more attention to improving the reading-study skills of their students.

## The Problem

Unforturnately today, as in the past, many college educators still deny the fact that colleges have a responsibility for teaching reading-study skills to erterirg Ereshmen. They still believe this is an exclusive function of the high school. Perhaps this is because they do not understand that a good education requires a sequentiai development of a student's reading ability. As a student progresses through the grades, he is required to deal with increasing amounts of information on his own and obviously he needs highly refined reading-study skills to meet these requirements. Philip Shaw sta'ces in his review of research pertaining to college reading that a majority of
entering freshmen lack the reading-study skills requisite for academic success. ${ }^{1}$

Part of the problem is that the average college teacher is woafully lacking information about the reading-study skills problems of his students; and, as a resuit, he fails to give his students the appropriate guidance in sills they so desperately need. At best these teachers simply know that the students have been classified as remedial or not by some placement procedure which is generally inadequate and used mainly as an expedient measure for coping with vast numbers of entering freshmen. Obyiously, they need to know a great deal more if they are going to formulate instruction which will be both effective and efficient, and, obviously, they need to make a diagnosis of the students' abilities and deficiencies. Isside from gathering information about students from a formal or standardized test used to measure previous performance in two or more separate reading skills añ from

[^0]an irformal classroom diagrosis viā questions and teachermade tests, ar: insicructor needs to know a great deal more sbout his students' reading-stuäy skiils and attitudes; more specificalily he needs to obtain more information about his studenis' self-evaluations of reading-study skills needs or problems, and now they might be met - if he is to more them ahead as quickly and successfully as possible. With the desire to help obtain such information, the investigator undertook this study.

## Objectives

The major objectives of tinis study were as follows:

1. To obtain background information abnut studentz enrolled in English and reading classes in the sis: community colleges of The City University of New York.
2. Th note what their vocational and scholastic goals are.
3. To note what their extra-curricular activities and interests are.
4. To analy:ze factors which may contr:bute to readingstudy skills problems.
5. To determine what major problems in reading-study skills students believe they have.
6..s To determine correlations between reading-study skills problems and factors reasonably assumed to be influential.
6. To analyze the reading programs, if any, in the community colleges of ine City University which may be attempting to resolve these problems.
7. To make recommendations for the improvement of the teacking of reading-study skills in college.

## The Procedure

To obtain data needed to accomplish the first six objectives, the investigator prepared a questionnaire which was examined by eighteen facuity members who were either teaching reading or English in six community colleges of The City University of New York. Noting their comments, the investigator revised the questionnaire and gave it to individual faculty members who were interested in administering it to students in their respective English and reading classes. In order to insure an adequate sample of students, the investigator had instructors administer it to students in regular freshman English classes, remedial English classes, reading classes, and evening English classes. The total number of students involved was 496. Participating community colleges were Borough of Manhattan Community College, Kingsborough Community College, New York City Community CoIlege, Staten Island Community College, Queensborough Community College, and Bronx Community College. For more specific information about numbers of students involved at each college, see Table 1.

To obtain information regarding the seventh objective, the nature of reading prograins now in existence, the investigator asked the chairman, or person in charge of the reading program, to respond to an outline (see Appeñix D) which woula briefly describe the present reading program of the college. Three colleges submitted a description; three colleges have no program but are planning to develop one.

In order to process the questionnaire the foliowing steps were taken: generating coding forms, coding the information from the questionnaires into these coding forms, keypunching, tabulating and cross-tabulating the data, and examining the output for statistically interesting results. It should be noted that certain questions involved special handling. For more specific information see "Notes on Processing the Questionnaire" in Appendix C.

Further, it must be understood that the investigator was not examining reading-situãy skills problems per se but rather the scudents' subjective feelings about these problems. The implicit assumption is that these would correlate highly with the performance level of reading-study skills. And, further, while it may appear that a student's answers to questions on reading-study skills problems may be measuring simply the
respondent's self-image as well as his änswers to "affecting items," (in which case one may be correlating selfoimage with self-image and getting the highly correlated results pictured in the graphs), one, nevertheless, does obtain measures of the problems a student feels he has and this gives educatcrs definite clues as to the most significant reading-study skilis problems of which they must be cognizant if they are to make instruction more meaningful and worthwhile for the students.

TEE COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

Note: For further explanation of the following topics and statistics see Appendix C.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Age, sex, marital status, and citizenship
This síudy was concerned wit̄n 208 male students, 280
female stadenis, and 8 students who did not incicate their sex. The total number of students was $4 \div 5$. Of these students, the majority ( 65.3 percent) were eighteen or nineteen years old; also the majority of these students, 412 (83.1 percent), were single, 70 (14.1 percent) were married and 14 (2.8 percent) did not respond. Most of these students, 446 (89.9 percent), were U.S. citizens, 41 (8.3 percent) were not, and 9 (1.8 percent) did not respond; however, only 21 (4.2 percent) were ciassified as foreign students.

## Schooling and socio-economic background

Of these students 475 ( 95.8 percent) indicated they were high school graduates and 2 (. 4 percent) indicated they were not; 19 (3.8 percent) did not respond. The majority of these
students ( 44.8 percent) held an Academic Diploma and the other students held other types of diplomas in this order of frequency: General (25.8 percent), Commercial (14.9 percent), Yocational (7.7 percent), Technical (3.0 percent), Equivalency (1.8 percent). Languages other than Engiish spoken in their homes are in this order of frequency: Spanish (15.7 percent), It:alian ( 8.5 percent), Freach (2.6 percent), German (2.2 percent), other languages (11.7 percent). The majority of these students indicated their fathers' occupations were in the Blue Collar category, (41.3 percent); other students indicated their fathers' occupations were in The White Collar category (19.6 percent), Professional or Business category (8.7 percent), or Other ( 14.3 percent). For further explanation of these categories, see Appendix C. Approximately onethird of these students ( 32.2 percent) indicated that their mothers worked.

TABLE 1

COMFINITY COLLEGE STUDENTS OR CUNY:
background INFORHATION

| ITEM | RESPONSE | NuMBER OF RESPONSES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESTUNSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College | Manhattan | 56 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
|  | Kingsborough | 87 | 17.6 | 17.6 |
|  | New York City | 77 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
|  | Staten Island | 65 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
|  | Queensborough | 101 | 20.4 | 20.4 |
|  | Bronx | 110 | 22.2 | 22.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.1* | 100.1* |
|  | No Answer | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| Age | Under 18 | 31 | 6.7 | 6.3 |
|  | 18-19 | 324 | 68.7 | 65.3 |
|  | 20-21 | 59 | 12.5 | 11.9 |
|  | 22-23 | 19 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
|  | 24-25 | 8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
|  | Over 25 | 30 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 471 | 100.0 | $95.00 *$ |
|  | No Answer | 25 |  | 5.0 |
| Sex | Male | 208 | 42.7 | 41.9 |
|  | Female | 280 | 57.3 | 56.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 488 | 100.0 | 98.4 |
|  | No Answer | 8 |  | 1.6 |
| Marital status | Single | 412 | 85.6 | 83.1 |
|  | Married | 70 | 14.4 | 14.1 |
|  | Total | 482 | 100.0 | 97.2 |
|  | No Answer | 14 |  | 2.8 |

Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 1 (continued)

| ITEM | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Citizen | Yes | 446 | 91.6 | 89.9 |
|  | No | 41 | 8.4 | 8.3 |
|  | total | 487 | 100.0 | 98.2 |
|  | No Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Forefign Student | Yes | 21 | 6.4 | 4.2 |
|  | No | 308 | 93.6 | 62.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 329 | 100.0 | 66.3 |
|  | No Answer | 167 |  | 33.7 |
| High School Grad | Yes | 475 | 99.6 | 95.8 |
|  | No | 2 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | TOTAL | 477 | 100.0 | 96.2 |
|  | No Answer | 19 |  | 3.8 |
| Type of Diploma | Academic | 222 | 45.7 | 44.8 |
|  | Commercial | 74 | 15.2 | 14.9 |
|  | Vocational | 38 | 7.8 | 7.7 |
|  | Technical | 15 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
|  | General | 128 | 26.5 | 25.8 |
|  | Equivalency | 9 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
|  | total | 486 | 100.0 | 98.0 |
|  | No Answer | 10 |  | 2.0 |
| Language other than | Spanish | 78 | 17.8 | 15.7 |
| English spoken in | Italian | 42 | 9.6 | 8.5 |
| the home | French | 13 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
|  | German | 11 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
|  | Other | 58 | 13.3 | 11.7 |
|  | None | $\underline{235}$ | 54.8 | 47.4 |
|  | total | 437 | 100.0 | 88.1 |
|  | No Answer | 59 |  | 11.9 |

## TABLE 1 (continued)

| ITEM | RESEONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { PESPONSES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% OF ALL RESPONSES (EXCL.NO ANS .) | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { OR ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ (T O T A J=496) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Father's occupation | White Collã | 97 | 23.3 | 19.6 |
|  | Blue Collar | 205 | 49.3 | 41.3 |
|  | Prof. or Bus. | 43 | 10.3 | 8.7 |
|  | Cther | 71 | 17.1 | 14.3 |
|  | IOTAL | 416 | 100.0 | 34.1 |
|  | No Answer | 80 |  | 16.1 |
| Mother's occupation | Works | 160 | 38.f | 32.3 |
|  | Does not work | 261 | 62.0 | 52.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 421 | 100.0 | 84.9 |
|  | No Answer | 75 |  | 15.1 |

## Goals

In regard to vocational goals, the fields that interested the students were Medical (18.1 percent), Business (17.3 percent), Teaching (14.5 percent), Secretarial (10.9 percent), and Technology (10.7 percent). For further explanation, see Appendix C. The main reason that the majority of students (69.2 percent) chose a specific vocation is "personal satisfaction."

In regard to scholastic goals, a little more than one third ( 37.3 percent) were seeking termiral degrees (A.S. or A.A.), approximately one third (29.4 percent) were interested in a four-year sollege degree (B.A. or R.S.), and the others who responded ( 23.3 percen $t$ ) were interested in pursuing a graduate degree (M.A., M.S., Ed.D.or Ph. D.).

STUDENTS ${ }^{\text {: GOALS }}$

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER <br> OF <br> RESPONSES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPSNSES } \\ \text { (EXCL. } \mathrm{FO} \text { ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORKS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is your vocational goal? | Art | 10 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Business | 86 | 17.6 | 17.3 |
|  | Data Proc. | 20 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
|  | Technology | 53 | 10.9 | 10.7 |
|  | Medical | 90 | 18.4 | 18.1 |
|  | Secretary | 54 | 11.1 | 10.9 |
|  | Teaching | 72 | 14.8 | 14.5 |
|  | Other | 103 | 21.1 | 20. 8 |
|  | TOTAL | 488 | 100.0 | S8.4 |
|  | No Answer | 8 |  | 1.6 |
| Why did you choose this vocation? | Financial | 83 | 17.9 | 16.7 |
|  | Social Status | 13 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
|  | ?ersonal Satis | . 343 | 74.1 | 69.2 |
|  | Other | 24 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 463 | 100.0 | 93.3 |
|  | No Answer | 33 |  | 6.7 |
| What is your scholastic goal? | AS | 122 | 27.2 | 24.6 |
|  | AA | 63 | 14.1 | 12.7 |
|  | BA | 69 | 15.5 | 13.9 |
|  | BS | 77 | 17.3 | 15.5 |
|  | MA | $4 \overline{8}$ | 10.8 | 9.7 |
|  | MS | 28 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
|  | Ed.D. | 5 | 11.2 | 1.0 |
|  | Ph.D. | 34 | 7.6 | 6.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 446 | 100.0 | 89.9 |
|  | No Answer | 50 |  | 10.1 |

## EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND INTERESTS

## Outside work

The majority of these students ( 92.3 percent) have worked full or part iime in the past and slightly over half of them (51.3 percent) were working full or part time at present; only 12.1 percent feel their outside work is course-related. Their main reasons for working appeared to be self-support, (19.4 percent), have spending money (16.1 percent), and pay school expenses (10.1 percent).

## Extracurricular activities

Extracurricular activities which appeared to be of greatest interest to these students were watching iv (46.8 percent), reading (41. percent), listening to radio (33.6 percent) and watching movies (33.2 percent).

## Subjects enjoyed most

In response to question, "What subjects do you enjoy most?" these students stated as their primary choices the following: English (22.6 percent), Math (16.1 percent), Social Science (12.5 percent), Science (11.5 percent), Technical (9.1 percent), Art (3.3 percent), \&üsic (3.8 percent), and Foreign Languages (3.6 percent).

## Preferences in keading

The majority of these students preferred to s:ead novels (68.3 percent), short stories ( 65.3 percent), magasine articles (59.5 percent), newspaper articles (56.7 percent), ana tree news ( 54.8 percent). Less than half preferred the following in this oxder of frequency: mysteries (46.2 percent), biographies ( 41.3 percent), plays (37.7 percent), poetry ( 34.5 percent) essays ( 24.4 percent), literary classics ( 22.4 percent), technical subjects (22.4 percent), sports writers (21 percent), and comic books (13.7 percent).

## Time Spent in Reading and Studying

In response to the question, "How much do you read (outside of schoci work)?" 211 studerits ( 42.5 percent) stated they read occasionally; 106 (21.4 percent), much of the time; 77 (15.5 percent), always reading; 74 ( 14,9 percent), seldom from lack of opportunity; 22 (4.4 percent), hardly aí all from choice; and 5 (1.2 percent) did not respond.

In response to question, "How much time do you spend in serious study for school subjects?" 241 students ( 48.6 percent) indicated a lot; 98 (19.8 percent) inaicated very little, 89 (17.9 percent) indicated most of the time, 59 (11.9 percent) indicated once in a while, and 1 ( 1.2 percent) did not resnond.

STUDENTS ${ }^{\text { }}$ EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND INTERESTS

| QUESTION | PESPONSE | ```NUMBER OF RESPONSES``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2 OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have you ever worked full time or parttime? | Full-time | 269 | 54.5 | 54.2 |
|  | Part-time | 194 | 39.4 | 39.1 |
|  | No | 30 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 493 | 100.0 | 99.4 |
|  | No Answer | 3 |  | . 6 |
| Number of hours per week working now? | None | 231 | 47.6 | 46.6 |
|  | Ináar 10 | 41 | 8.5 | 8.3 |
|  | 10-19 | 101 | 20.8 | 20.4 |
|  | 20-29 | 52 | 13.7 | 10.5 |
|  | Over 29 | 60 | 12.4 | 12.1 |
|  | Toral | 485 | 100.0 | 97.8* |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |
| Is current work course related? | Yes | 60 | 26.0 | 12.1 |
|  | No | 170 | 74.0 | 34.3 |
|  | total | 230 | 100.0 | 46.4 |
|  | No Answer | 266 |  | 53.6 |
| Reason for working | Support self | 96 | 39.0 | 19.4 |
|  | School Expenses | 50 | 20.3 | 10.1 |
|  | Support Parents | 8 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
|  | Spenaing Mcney | 80 | 32.6 | 16.1 |
|  | Other | 12 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 246 | 100.0 | 49.6 |
|  | No Answer | 250 |  | 50.4 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUBERER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF A工L } \\ \text { RESPR NSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of hours spent in athletic events per week | Unjer 3 hrs | 56 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
|  | 5 or more | 25 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
|  | None or N.A. | 415 | 83.7 | 83.7 |
|  | total | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of hours spent at art exhibits per week | Under 5 hrs | 23 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
|  | 5 or more | i | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | None or N.A. | 472 | 95.2 | 95.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of hours spent at concerts per week | Under 5 hrs | 41 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
|  | 5 or more | 5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  | None or M.A. | 450 | 90.7 | 90.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of hours spent at dances per week | Under 5 hrs | 71 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
|  | 5 or more | 29 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
|  | None or N.A. | 396 | 79.9 | 79.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of hours spent at movies per week | Under 5 hrs | 154 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
|  | 5 or more | 11 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | None or N.A. | 331 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
|  | total | 496 | 99.9* | 99.9* |
| Number of hours spent at parties per week | Under 5 hrs | 70 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
|  | 5 or more | 31 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
|  | None or N.A. | 395 | 79.6 | 79.6 |
|  | total | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.MO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { FORMS } \\ & \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of hours spent listening to radio per week | Under 5 hrs | 90 | 18.1 | 18.1 |
|  | 5 or more | 77 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
|  | None or N.A. | 329 | 66.3 | 66.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 99.9* | 29.9* |
| Number of hours spent reading per week. | Under 5 | 94 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
|  | 5 or more | 110 | 22.2 | 22.2 |
|  | None or N.A. | $\underline{292}$ | 58.9 | 53.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.1* | 100.1* |
| Number of hours spent in sports per week | Under 5 | 6.4 | 12.9 | 12.9 |
|  | 5 or more | $2: 8$ | 5.6 | 5.6 |
|  | None or N.A. | 404 | 81.5 | 81.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of hours spent watching TV per week | Under 5 | 95 | 19.2 | 19.2 |
|  | 5 or more | 137 | 27.6 | 27.6 |
|  | None or N.A. | $\underline{264}$ | 53.2 | 53.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of hours spent in other activities per week | Under 5 | 56 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
|  | 5 or more | 35 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
|  | None or N.A. | 405 | 81.7 | 81.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 496 | 100.1* | 100.1 |

Subjeris enjoyed most
*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 3 (continued)

| QIESTION | RESPONSE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English-order of preference | 1 | 112 | 27.8 | 22.6 |
|  | 2 | 89 | 22.1 | 17.9 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 17.4 | 14.1 |
|  | 4 | 53 | 13.2 | 10.7 |
|  | 5 | 34 | 8.5 | 6.9 |
|  | 6 | 19 | 47.3 | 3.8 |
|  | 7 | 15 | 3.7 | 3.0 |
|  | 8 | 10 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
|  | total | 402 | 100.0 | 81.0 |
|  | No Answer | 94 |  | 19.0 |
| Math-order of preference | 1 | 80 | 21.7 | 16.1 |
|  | 2 | 60 | 16.3 | 12.1 |
|  | 3 | 55 | 14.9 | 11.1 |
|  | 4 | 37 | 10.3 | 7.5 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
|  | 6 | 33 | 9.0 | 6.7 |
|  | 7 | 42 | 11.4 | 8.5 |
|  | 8 | 31 | 8.4 | 6.3 |
|  | total | 368 | 100.1* | 74.2* |
|  | No Answer | 128 |  | 25.8 |
| Science-order of preference | 1 | 57 | 16.4 | 11.5 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 18.5 | 14.1 |
|  | 3 | 60 | 15.9 | 12.1 |
|  | 4 | 55 | 14.5 | 11.1 |
|  | 5 | 36 | 9.5 | 7.3 |
|  | 6 | 40 | 10.6 | 8.1 |
|  | 7 | 35 | 9.3 | 7.1 |
|  | 8 | 25 | 6.6 | 5.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 378 | 99.9* | 76.2* |
|  | No Answer | 118 |  | 23.8 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 3 (continued)

| _ QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER OF RESPONSES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { PORYS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucial Science-order of preference | 1 | 62 | 16.2 | 12.5 |
|  | 2 | 72 | 18.8 | 14.5 |
|  | 3 | 73 | 19.1 | 14.7 |
|  | 4 | 63 | 16.4 | 12.7 |
|  | 5 | 45 | 11.7 | 9.3 |
|  | $f$ | 33 | 8.6 | 6.7 |
|  | 7 | 18 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
|  | 8 | 17 | 4.4 | 3.4 |
|  | total | 383 | 99.9* | 70.2 |
|  | No Answer | 113 |  | 29.8 |
| Art-order of preference | 1 | 19 | 5.4 | 3.8 |
|  | 2 | 36 | 10.1 | 7.3 |
|  | 3 | 39 | 11.0 | 7.9 |
|  | 4 | 47 | 13.2 | 9.5 |
|  | 5 | 73 | 20.6 | 14.7 |
|  | 6 | 75 | 21.1 | 15.1 |
|  | J | 40 | 11.3 | 8.1 |
|  | 8 | 26 | 7.3 | 5.2 |
|  | total | 355 | 100.0 | 71.6 |
|  | No Answer | 141 |  | 28.4 |
| Music-order of preference | 1 | 19 | 5.5 | 3.8 |
|  | 2 | 31 | 8.9 | 6.3 |
|  | 3 | 36 | 10.3 | 7.3 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 20.1 | 14.1 |
|  | 5 | 73 | 21.0 | 14.7 |
|  | 6 | 65 | 18.7 | 13.1 |
|  | 7 | 42 | 12.1 | 8.5 |
|  | 8 | 11 | 3.2 | 2.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 348 | 99.9* | 70.2 |
|  | No Arswer | 148 |  | 29.8 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTIOM! | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL. NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technical-order of preference | 1 | 45 | 16.1 | 9.1 |
|  | 2 | 22 | 7.9 | 4.4 |
|  | 3 | 22 | 7.9 | 4.4 |
|  | 4 | 10 | 3.6 | 2.0 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 5.4 | 3.0 |
|  | 6 | 30 | 10.7 | 6.0 |
|  | 7 | 50 | 17.9 | 10.1 |
|  | 8 | 86 | 30.7 | $\underline{17.3}$ |
|  | total | 280 | 100.2* | 56.5 |
|  | No Answer | 216 |  | 43.5 |
| Foreign Languagesorder of preference | 1 | 18 | 5.8 | 3.6 |
|  | 2 | 18 | 5.8 | 3.6 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 9.6 | 6.0 |
|  | 4 | 29 | 9.3 | 5.8 |
|  | 5 | 44 | 14.0 | 8.9 |
|  | 6 | 39 | 12.4 | 7.9 |
|  | 7 | 60 | 19.2 | 12.1 |
|  | 8 | 75 | 24.0 | 15.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 313 | 100.1* | 63.1 |
|  | No Answer | 183 |  | 36.9 |

Preferences-reāding

Novels

| Like | 339 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less Pfd | 108 |
| Dislike | 22 |
|  |  |
| TOTAL | 469 |
| No Answer | 27 |


| 72.4 | 68.3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 23.0 | 21.8 |
| 4.7 | 4.4 |
|  |  |
| $100.1 *$ | 94.6 |
|  | 4.4 |

*Percentages may noi equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER OF RESPONSES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (ZXCL. NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FOFMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short stories | Like | 324 | 69.5 | 65.3 |
|  | Less Pfd | 125 | 26.8 | 25.2 |
|  | Dislike | 17 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 466 | 99.9* | 94.0* |
|  | No Answer | 30 |  | 6.0 |
| Essays | Like | 121 | 26.2 | 24.4 |
|  | Less Pfd | 224 | 48.4 | 45.2 |
|  | Dislike | 118 | 25.4 | 23.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 463 | 100.0 | 93.3* |
|  | No Answer | 33 |  | 6.7 |
| Biographies | Like | 205 | 44.0 | 41.3 |
|  | Less Pfd | 176 | 37.8 | 35.5 |
|  | Dislike | 85 | 18.2 | 17.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 466 | 100.0 | 94.0* |
|  | No Answer | 30 |  | 6.0 |
| Plays | Like | 187 | 40.2 | 37.7 |
|  | Less Pfd | 192 | 41.3 | 38.7 |
|  | Dislike | 86 | 18.5 | 17.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 465 | 100.0 | 93.7 |
|  | No Answer | 31 |  | 6.3 |
| Poetry | Like | 171 | 36.7 | 34.5 |
|  | Less Pfd | 151 | 32.4 | 30.4 |
|  | Dislike | 144 | 30.9 | $\underline{29.0}$ |
|  | total | 466 | 100.0 | 94.0* |
|  | No Answer | 30 |  | 6.0 |

[^1]TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ (\text { TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| News | Like | 272 | 59.4 | 54.8 |
|  | Less Pfd | 143 | 31.2 | 28.8 |
|  | Dislike | 43 | 9.4 | 8.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 458 | 100.0 | 92.3 |
|  | No Answer | 38 |  | 7.7 |
| Newspaper articles | Like | 281 | 51.1 | 56.7 |
|  | Less Pfd | 148 | 32.2 | 29.8 |
|  | Dislike | 31 | 5.7 | 6.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 460 | 100.0 | 92.7 |
|  | No Answer | 36 |  | 7.3 |
| Magazine articles | Like | 295 | 64.6 | 59.5 |
|  | Less Pfd | 124 | 27.2 | 25.0 |
|  | Dislike | 37 | 8.1 | 7.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 456 | 99.9* | 91.9* |
|  | No Answer | 40 |  | 8.1 |
| Books or articles on technical subjects | Like | 111 | 24.8 | 22.4 |
|  | Less Pfd | 157 | 35.0 | 31.7 |
|  | Dislike | 180 | 40.2 | 36.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 448 | 100.0 | 90.3* |
|  | No Answer | 48 |  | 9.7 |
| Comic books | Like | 68 | 15.0 | 13.7 |
|  | Less Pfd | 140 | 30.9 | 28.2 |
|  | Dislike | 246 | 54.2 | 49.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 454 | 100.1* | 91.5 |
|  | No Answer | 42 |  | 8.5 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER OF RESPONSES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { FORMS } \\ & \text { (TOTA: }=496 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sports writers | Like | 104 | 23.4 | 21.0 |
|  | Less $\mathrm{P} £ \mathrm{~d}$ | 150 | 33.6 | 30.2 |
|  | Dislike | 191 | 43.0 | 38.5 |
|  | total | 445 | 100.0 | 89.7 |
|  | No Answer | 51 |  | 10.3 |
| Mysteries | Like | 229 | 51.3 | 46.2 |
|  | Less Pfd | 152 | 34.0 | 30.6 |
|  | Dislike | 65 | 14.6 | 13.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 446 | 99.9* | 89.9 |
|  | No Answer | 50 |  | 10.1 |
| Literary classics | Like | 1.19 | 27.6 | 24.0 |
|  | Less Pfd | 204 | 47.3 | 41.1 |
|  | Dislike | 108 | 25.0 | $\underline{21.8}$ |
|  | total | 431 | 99.9* | 86.9 |
|  | No Answer | 65 |  | 13.1 |
| Other | Like | 40 | 49.4 | 8.1 |
|  | Less Pfd | 28 | 34.6 | 5.6 |
|  | Dislike | 13 | 16.1 | 2.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 81 | 100.1 | 16.3 |
|  | No Answer | 415 |  | 83.7 |

[^2]
## TABLE 3 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUTBER <br> OF <br> RESPONSES | \% OF ALL RESPONSES (EXCL.NO ANS.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORRS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How much do you read (outside of schoolwork)? | Everything | 77 | 15.7 | 15.5 |
|  | Much of time | 105 | 21.6 | 21.4 |
|  | Occasionally | 211 | 43.1 | 42.5 |
|  | Seldom | 74 | 15.1 | 14.8 |
|  | Hardly at all | 22 | 4.5 | 4.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 490 | 100.0 | 98.8* |
|  | No Answer | 6 |  | 1.2 |
| How much time do you spend in serious study for school subjects? | Most of time | 89 | 18.2 | 17.9 |
|  | A lot | 241 | 49.5 | 48.6 |
|  | Once ${ }^{\text {a }}$ while | 59 | 12.1 | 11.9 |
|  | Very little | 98 | 20.1 | 19.8 |
|  | None | 1 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | total | 488 | 100.1* | 98.4 |
|  | No Answer | 8 |  | 1.6 |

* Percentsages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding


## Physical

The majority of these students ( 58.6 percent) indicated they had one or more physical problems. Of this number the majority (48.1 percent) had one or two problems. The most common problem appeared tu jee one of being tired often or scmetimes (54.7 percent).
physical factors contributing to reading-STUDY skills problems

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NTMMBER OF RESPON̄EEE | \% OF ALi KESPONSES (EXCL.NO ANS.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Are you frequently i11? | Yes | 14 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
|  | Somewhat | 40 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
|  | Ho | 425 | 88.8 | 85.7 |
|  | total | 496 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
|  | No Answer | 17 |  | 3.4 |
| Do you have a physical handicap? | Yes | 21 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
|  | Somewhat | 6 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | No | 454 | 94.4 | 91.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 481 | 100.0 | 97.0* |
|  | Ho Answer | 15 |  | 3.0 |
| Do you have a speech handicap? | Yes | 25 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
|  | Somewhat | 46 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
|  | No | 411 | 85.3 | 82.9 |
|  | total | 482 | 100.0 | 97.2 |
|  | No Answer | 14 |  | 2.8 |
| Are you often tired? | Yes | 107 | 22.0 | 21.6 |
|  | Sometimes | 164 | 33.7 | 33.1 |
|  | No | 215 | 44.2 | 33.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 486 | 99.9* | 98.0 |
|  | No Answer | 10 |  | 2.0 |
| Is your vision good? | Yes | 324 | 67.3 | 65.3 |
|  | Somewhat | 70 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
|  | No | 87 | 18.1 | 17.5 |
|  | total | 481 | 99.9* | 97.0* |
|  | No Answer | 15 |  | 3.0 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 4 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUHBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is your hearing good? | Yes | 425 | 88.0 | 85.7 |
|  | Somewhat | 23 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
|  | No | 35 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 483 | 100.0 | 97.4 |
|  | No Answer | 13 |  | 2.6 |
| Do you feel you are in good physical condition? | Yes | 389 | 80.2 | 78.4 |
|  | Somewhat | 57 | 11.8 | 11.5 |
|  | No | 39 | 8.0 | 7.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 485 | 100.0 | 97.8 |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |
| Total or index of negotive physical factors | 0 | 146 | 30.0 | 29.4 |
|  | 1 | 193 | 39.7 | 38.9 |
|  | 2 | 95 | 19.5 | 19.2 |
|  | 3 | 34 | 7.0 | 6.9 |
|  | 4 | 12 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
|  | 5 | 4 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | 6 | 1 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | 7 | 1 | . 2 | . 2 |
|  | TOTAL | 486 | 99.9* | 98.0 |
|  | No Answer | 10 |  | 2.0 |

[^3]
## Psychological-Intellectual

The majority of these studenis (94.2 percent) indicated they had one or more psychological-intellectual problems in relation to reading-study skilis. Of this number the majority (76.2 percent) indicated they had 2 to 5 psychologicalintellectual problems The most common problems appeared to be finding it difificuint (to some degree) to concentrate when reading (76,8 percent); worrying (to some degree) about previous or present poor grades (71.3 percent); lacking the ability to understand (to some degree) all reading that is assigned (70.1 percent): having difficulty (to some degree) in doing schoolwork (67.1 percent): worrying (to some degree) about reading ability (59.5 percent).

## PSYCHOLOGICAL-INTELLECTUAL FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO READING-STUDY SKILLS PROBLEMS

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have you difficulty in doing school work? | Yes | 75 | 15.5 | 15.1 |
|  | Some | 258 | 53.5 | 52.0 |
|  | No | 150 | 31.0 | 30.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 483 | 100.0 | 97.4* |
|  | No Answer | 13 |  | 2.6 |
| Are you worrying about previous or present poor grades? | Yes | 202 | 41.6 | 40.7 |
|  | Some | 152 | 31.4 | 30.6 |
|  | No | 131 | 27.0 | 26.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 485 | 100.0 | 97.8* |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |
| Do you worry about your reading ability? | Yes | 176 | 36.2 | 35.5 |
|  | Some | 119 | 24.4 | 24.0 |
|  | No | 192 | 39.4 | 36.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 487 | 100.0 | 98.2 |
|  | No Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Do you often find it difficult to concentrate when you read? | Yes | 184 | 37.6 | 37.1 |
|  | Some | 197 | 40.5 | 39.7 |
|  | No | 106 | 21.8 | 21.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 487 | 99.9* | 98.2 |
|  | No Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Do you understand all that you are assigned to read? | Yes | 137 | 28.2 | 27.6 |
|  | Some | 208 | 42.9 | 41.9 |
|  | No | 140 | 28.8 | 28.? |
|  | TOTAL | 485 | 99.9* | 97.8* |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |

## TABLE 5 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | ```NUMBER OF RESPONSES``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL. NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you think you are as intelligent as your classmates? | Yes | 281 | 58.8 | 57.6 |
|  | Some | 134 | 28.0 | 27.0 |
|  | No | 63 | 13.2 | 12.7 |
|  | total | 478 | 100.0 | 96.4* |
|  | No Answer | 18 |  | 3.6 |
| Hould you like to be someone else? | Yes | 56 | 11.8 | 11.3 |
|  | Some | 52 | 13.1 | 12.5 |
|  | No | 356 | 73.2 | 71.8 |
|  | total | 474 | 100.1* | 95.6 |
|  | No Answer | 22 |  | 4.4 |
| Total or ind ${ }^{2} \mathrm{x}$ of negative psychological intellectual factors | 0 | 21 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
|  | 1 | 45 | 9.2 | 9.1 |
|  | 2 | 73 | 15.0 | 14.7 |
|  | 3 | 104 | 21.3 | 21.0 |
|  | 4 | 115 | 23.6 | 23.2 |
|  | 5 | 86 | 17.6 | 17.3 |
|  | 6 | 40 | 8.2 | 8.1 |
|  | 7 | 4 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | TOTAL | 488 | 100.0 | 98.4 |
|  | No Answer | 8 |  | 1.6 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of.rounding

## Environmental

The majority of these stuãents (82.5 percent) indicated one or more negative factors relating to environment. Of this number, the majority ( 65.9 percent) indicated 1 to 3 factors. The most common factors were having friends and acquaintances who do not or only somewhat encourage reading (71.4 percent), having parents who are not or only somewhat interested in reading (67 percent), having high school teachers who were not or only somewhat irterested in teaching reading skills ( 66.1 percent), and living in a home situation which does not or only somewhat stimulates ail interest in reading (62.7 percent). Interestingly enough, and in spite of the above negative factors, these students indicated they like (to some degree) to read (91.9 percent) and approximately a third of them (30.3 percent) read much outside of schoolwork.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO READING-STUDY SKILLS PROBLEMS

| QUESTION | RESPPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does home environment stimulate reading? | Yes | 176 | 36.2 | 35.5 |
|  | Somewhat | 146 | 30.0 | 29.4 |
|  | No | 165 | 33.9 | 33.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 487 | 100.1* | 98.2 |
|  | No Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Have you a place at home to study? | Yes | 306 | 62.3 | 61.7 |
|  | Sometimes | 89 | 18.1 | 17.9 |
|  | No | 96 | 19.5 | 19.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 491 | 99.9* | 99.0 |
|  | No Answer | 5 |  | 1.0 |
| Are you able to get interesting reading matter? | Yes | 350 | 71.8 | 70.6 |
|  | Some | 119 | 24.4 | 24.0 |
|  | No | 19 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
|  | total | 488 | 100.1* | 98.4 |
|  | No Answer | 8 |  | 1.6 |
| As a child, did parents spend leisure time in reading? | Yes | 155 | 31.8 | 31.3 |
|  | Sometimes | 168 | 34.5 | 33.9 |
|  | No | 164 | 33.6 | 33.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 487 | 99.9* | 98.2* |
|  | No Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Do friends encourage reading? | Yes | 130 | 26.9 | 26.2 |
|  | Some | 161 | 33.3 | 32.5 |
|  | No | 193 | 39.9 | 38.9 |
|  | total | 484 | 100.1 | 97.6* |
|  | No Answer | 12 |  | 2.4 |

[^4]TABLE 6 (coritinued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER <br> OF <br> RESPONSES | \% OF ALL RESPONSES (EXCL.NO ANS.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Were high school teachers interested in teaching reading? | Yes | 159 | 32.7 | 32.1 |
|  | Some | 123 | 25.2 | 24.8 |
|  | No | 205 | 42.1 | 41.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 487 | 100.0 | 98.2 |
|  | Nc Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Do you like to read? | Yes | 262 | 53.7 | 52.8 |
|  | Some | 194 | 39.8 | 39.1 |
|  | No | 32 | 6.6 | 6.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 488 | 100.1* | 98.4 |
|  | No Answer | 8 |  | 1.6 |
| Do you read much (outside of schoolwork)? | Yes | 147 | 30.3 | 29.6 |
|  | Some | 239 | 49.3 | 48.2 |
|  | No | 99 | 20.4 | 20.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 485 | 100.0 | 97.8 |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |
| ```Total or index of negative environmental factors``` | 0 | 87 | 17.8 | 17.5 |
|  | 1 | 127 | 25.9 | 25.6 |
|  | 2 | 108 | 22.0 | 21.8 |
|  | 3 | 92 | 18.8 | 18.5 |
|  | 4 | 46 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
|  | 5 | 20 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
|  | 6 | 6 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | 7 | 2 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | 8 | 2 | . 4 | . 4 |
|  | TOTAL | 490 | 100.0 | 98.8* |
|  | No Answer | 6 |  | 1.2 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## Following Directions

Approximately one third (32.9 percent) had some difficulty in following directions and approximately one third (31.7 percent) had more difficulty with spoken directions than written directions. Of these students a majority ( 75.6 percent) believed that teachers, when making assignments, should give written as well as spoken directions some of the time, if not all of the time.
frozlems in reading and stupying: followixg directions

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUKBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | \% of all RESPONSES (EXCL.NO ANS.) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FOPMS } \\ (\text { TOTAL }=496) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you have difficulty in following directions? | Yes | 31 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
|  | Some | 132 | 27.0 | 26.6 |
|  | No | 327 | 66.7 | 65.9 |
|  | total | 490 | 100.0 | 98.8 |
|  | No Answer | 6 |  | 1.2 |
| Do you have more difficulty with spoken than written directions? | Yes | 50 | 10.2 | 10.1 |
|  | Scme | 107 | 21,9 | 21.6 |
|  | No | 332 | 68.0 | 66.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 489 | 100.1* | 98.6 |
|  | No Answer | 7 |  | 1.4 |
| Sbould teachers give written as well as spoken directioris? | Yes | 224 | 46.2 | 45.2 |
|  | Some | 151 | 31.2 | 30.4 |
|  | No | 110 | 22.7 | 22.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 485 | 100.1* | 97.8 |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |

*Percentages may not equai $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

Note: The only question in this group that clearly indicated difficulty in foliowing directions is the first; itherefore, no meaningful index could be generated from the three questions.

## Vocabulary,

The majority of these students (56.1 percent) did not believe with certainty that they had a good general vocabulary, and 47.5 percent did not believe with certainty that their vocabulary was adequate for a thorough understanding of different subjects now being studiē̄.

Many of these students (61.3 percent) frequently found unfamiliar worãs in their reading and a good percentage lacked worł perception skills, such as context clues, structural analysis, and,to some degree,use of the dictionary.

PART 8

PROBLEMS IN READING AND STUDYING: VOCABULARY

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.AO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you have a good general vocabulary? | Yes | 211 | 43.2 | 42.5 |
|  | Somewhat | 169 | 34.6 | 34.1 |
|  | No | 109 | 22.3 | $\underline{22.0}$ |
|  | total | 489 | 100.1* | 98.6 |
|  | No Answer | 7 |  | 1.4 |
| Is voeabulary adequate for subjects you are now studying? | Yes | 249 | 51.4 | 50.2 |
|  | Somewhat | 150 | 31.0 | 30.2 |
|  | No | 86 | 17.7 | 17.3 |
|  | total | 485 | 100.1* | 97.8* |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |
| Do you frequently find unfamiliar words in reading? | Yes | 254 | 52.4 | 51.2 |
|  | Sometimes | 50 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
|  | No | 181 | 37.3 | 36.5 |
|  | total | 485 | 100.0 | 97.8 |
|  | No Answer | 11 |  | 2.2 |
| Cān yoū get word meaning from context clues? | Yes | 282 | 57.8 | 56.9 |
|  | Sometimes | 166 | 34.0 | 33.5 |
|  | No. | 40 | 8.2 | 8.1 |
|  | Total | 488 | 100.0 | 98.4 |
|  | No Answer | - 8 |  | 1.6 |
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## PART 8 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Can you determine word meanings by analyzing prefixes, roots, suffixes? | Yes | 168 | 34.5 | 33.9 |
|  | Somewhat | 247 | 50.8 | 49.8 |
|  | No | 72 | 14.8 | 14.5 |
|  | total | 487 | 100.1* | 98.2 |
|  | No Answer | 9 |  | 1.8 |
| Can you use the dictionary as aid to pronunciation? | Yes | 391 | 80.5 | 78.8 |
|  | Somewhat | 59 | 12.1 | 11.9 |
|  | No | 36 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
|  | TOMAL | 486 | 100.0 | 98.0 |
|  | No Answer | 10 |  | 2.0 |
| Total or index of vocabulary problems | 0 | 48 | 9.9 | 9.7 |
|  | 1 | 242 | 50.1 | 48.8 |
|  | 2 | 106 | 22.0 | 21.4 |
|  | 3 | 62 | 12.8 | 12.5 |
|  | 4 | 19 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | total | 483 | 99.9 | 97.4 |
|  | No Answer | 13 |  | 2.6 |
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## Comprehension-Study Skills

A significant nuinber of these students ( 49.4 percent) had little or no training in how to read textbooks. A majority (83.1 percent), however, professed to know the purpose of the various parts of the book. Only half of these students (50.6 percent) had learned with a sense of assurance the skill of skimming and a lesser number ( 46.2 percent) were certain that when they read they had a well-defined purpose.

A significant number of these students (over one third) felt uncertain about comprehension skills, such as finding the main idea of the paragraph, knowing how to read for details, and seeing relationships between ideas

A significant number of these students (over one third) felt uncertain about study skills, such as takiing notes and outlining axia summarizing what is read.

A significant number of these students (approximately one third or more) had difficulty in cooing with information, such as reading tables, charts, etc; locating information in the library; and organizing information.

A majority of these students (51.5 percent) were not certain about the application of what they read to solving problems; a majority (56.2 percent) were not certain about
the use of various rates of speed in reading according to the purpose and nature of materials read; and a majority (55 percent) had misgivings about remembering what they read.

PROBLEMS IN READING AND STUDYING: COMPREHENSION-STUDY SKILLS

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER OF RESPONSES | \% OF ALL RESPONSES (EXCL.NO ANS.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { FORMS } \\ & \text { (TOTLL }=496) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have you had training in textbook reading? | Yes | 182 | 37.6 | 36.7 |
|  | Some | 63 | 13.0 | 12.7 |
|  | No | 239 | 49.4 | 48.2 |
|  | total | 484 | 100.0 | 97.6 |
|  | No Answer | 12 |  | 2.4 |
| Do you know purpose of parts of a book? | Yes | 412 | 84.8 | 83.1 |
|  | Somewhai | 52 | 10.7 | 10.5 |
|  | No | 22 | 4.5 | 4.4 |
|  | total | 486 | 100.0 | 98.0 |
|  | No Answer | 10 |  | 2.0 |
| Have you learned to skim? | Yes | 251 | 52.5 | 50.6 |
|  | Somewhat | 102 | 21.4 | 20.6 |
|  | No | $\underline{125}$ | 26.2 | $\underline{25.2}$ |
|  | total | 478 | 100.1* | 96.4 |
|  | No Answer | 18 |  | 3.6 |
| When you read, do you have well defined purpose? | Yes | 229 | 47.9 | 46.2 |
|  | Somewhat | 206 | 43.0 | 41.5 |
|  | No | 44 | 9.2 | 8.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 479 | 100.1* | 96.6 |
|  | No Answer | 17 |  | 3.4 |
| Can you find the main idea of a paragraph? | Yes | 290 | 60.2 | 58.5 |
|  | Somewhat | 146 | 30.3 | 29.4 |
|  | No | 46 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
|  | total | 482 | 100.0 | 97.2 |
|  | No Answer | 14 |  | 2.8 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## TABLE 9 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL,HO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { FORAS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you know how to read for dotalls? | Yes | 269 | 56.1 | 54.2 |
|  | Some | 152 | 31.7 | 30.6 |
|  | No | 59 | 12.3 | 11.9 |
|  | total | 480 | 100.1* | 96.8* |
|  | No Answer | 16 |  | 3.2 |
| Do you see relationships between ideas? | Yes | 261 | 54.2 | 52.6 |
|  | Some | 186 | 38.6 | 37.5 |
|  | No | 35 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
|  | total | 482 | 100.1* | 97.2 |
|  | No Answer | 14 |  | 2.8 |
| Do you know how to out1ine what you read? | Yes | 288 | 59.7 | 58.1 |
|  | Some | 146 | 30.3 | 29.4 |
|  | No | 48 | 10.0 | 9.7 |
| , | TOTAL | 482 ; | 100.0 | 97.2 |
|  | No Answer | 14 |  | 2.8 |
| Do you know how to take notes? | Yes | 304 | 63.2 | 61.3 |
|  | Some | 123 | 25.6 | 24.8 |
|  | No | 54 | 11.2 | 10.9 |
|  | total | 481 | 100.0 | 97.0 |
|  | No Answer | 15 |  | 3.0 |
| Can you summarize what you read? | Yes | 288 | 60.6 | 58.1 |
|  | Some | 153 | 32.2 | 30.8 |
|  | 'No | 34 | 7.2 | 6.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 475 | 100.0 | 97.8 |
|  | No Answer | 21 |  | 4.2 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

TABLE 9 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | NUMBER <br> 0 F <br> RESPONSES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALI } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Can you read tables, graphs, charts, maps? | Yes | 315 | 65.3 | 63.5 |
|  | Some | 137 | 28.4 | 27.6 |
|  | No | 30 | 6.2 | 5.0 |
|  | total | 482 | 99.9* | 97.2* |
|  | No Answer | 14 |  | 2.8 |
| Can you locate information in library? | Yes | 328 | 68.2 | 66.1 |
|  | Some | 130 | 27.0 | 26.2 |
|  | No | 23 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
|  | total | 481 | 100.0 | 97.0 |
|  | No | 15 |  | 3.0 |
| Can you organize information? | Yes | 247 | 51.8 | 49.8 |
|  | Some | 186 | 39.0 | 37.5 |
|  | No | 44 | 9.2 | 8.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 477 | 100.0 | 96.2 |
|  | No Answer | 19 |  | 3.8 |
| Can you apply what you read to solving problems? | Yes | 210 | 45.2 | 42.3 |
|  | Some | 217 | 46.7 | 43.8 |
|  | No | 38 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 465 | 100.1* | 93.8 |
|  | No Answer | 31 |  | 6.3 |
| Can you read at different rates according to purpose and nature of material? | Yes | 197 | 41.4 | 39.7 |
|  | Some | 187 | 39.2 | 37.7 |
|  | No | 92 | 19.3 | 18.5 |
|  | total | 476 | 99.9* | 96.0* |
|  | No Answer | 20 |  | 4.0 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

TABLE 9 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% OF ALL } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.NO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { UR ALL } \\ \text { FORMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you remember what you read? | Yes | 195 | 41.7 | 39.3 |
|  | Some | 247 | 52.8 | 49.8 |
|  | N, | 26 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 468 | 100.1 | 94.4* |
|  | No Answer | 28 |  | 5.6 |
| Total or index of comprehension studyskills problems | 0 | 157 | 32.8 | 31.7 |
|  | 1 | 121 | 25.3 | 24.4 |
|  | 2 | 67 | 14.0 | 13.5 |
|  | 3 | 40 | 8.4 | 8.1 |
|  | 4 | 32 | 6.7 | 6.5 |
|  | 5,6 | 31 | 6.5 | 6.3 |
|  | 7-16 | 30 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
|  | totai | 478 | 100.0 | 96.4 |
|  | No Answer | 18 |  | 3.6 |
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## Critical Reading

The mejority of these students (55.1 percent) did not feel certain about appraising the author's qualification to write on a subject and a majority ( 58.3 percent) were not certain they knew what the author's purpose was in writing.

A significant number ( 62.5 percent), however, believed with certainty that they could differentiate between fact and opinion. A lesser number ( 52 percert) believed with certainty that they could distinguish between words used in a more informative than emotional way; a lesser number (48.2 percent) believed with certaincy that they could identify specific propaganda teshriques; a lesser number (45 percent) believed with certainty that they questioned the accuracy of statemerts they read; and a considerably lesser number (25.8 percent) beileved with certāinty that they know how to evaluate critically the writer's ideas and logic.

PROBLEMS IN READING AND STUDYING: CRITICAL READING

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { RESPONSES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF ALL } \\ & \text { RESPONSES } \\ & \text { (EXCL. NO ANS,) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { OF ALL } \\ \text { FCRMS } \\ \text { (TOTAL }=496 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you consider author qualified to write on a speciai subject? | Yes | 194 | 41.5 | 39.1 |
|  | Sowe | 237 | 50.7 | 47.8 |
|  | No | 36 | 7.8 | 7.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 467 | 100.0 | 94.2 |
|  | No Answer | 29 |  | 5.8 |
| Do you know author's purpose? | Yes | 182 | 38.6 | 36.7 |
|  | Some | 250 | 53.0 | 50.4 |
|  | No | 39 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 471 | 99.9* | 95.0 |
|  | No Answer | 25 |  | 5.0 |
| As you read can you determine difference between fact and opinion? | Yes | 310 | 65.7 | 62.5 |
|  | Some | 140 | 29.7 | 28.2 |
|  | No | 22 | 4.6 | 4.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 472 | 100.0 | 95.2* |
|  | No Answer | 24 |  | 4.8 |
| Can you distinguish between informative and emotional use of words? | Yes | 258 | 55.0 | 52.0 |
|  | Some | 164 | 34.9 | 33.1 |
|  | No | 48 | 10.2 | 9.7 |
|  | total | 470 | 100.1* | 94.8 |
|  | No Answer | 26 |  | 5.2 |
| Can you identify specific propaganda techniques? | Yes | 239 | 50.5 | 48.2 |
|  | Some | 181 | 38.2 | 36.5 |
|  | No | 54 | 11.4 | 10.9 |
|  | total | 474 | 100.1* | 95.6 |
|  | No Answer | 22 |  | 4.4 |

*Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

## PABLE 10 (continued)

| QUESTION | RESPONSE | ```NTRIRER``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Z OF ALI } \\ \text { RESPUNSES } \\ \text { (EXCL.AO ANS.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Z OF ALL } \\ \text { FOREAS } \\ \text { (TOTAL=496) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you question accuracy of statements you read? | Yes | 223 | 47.1 | 45.0 |
|  | Sose | 185 | . 39.1 | 37.3 |
|  | No | 65 | 13.7 | 13.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 473 | 99.9* | 95.4 |
|  | P'o Answer | 23 |  | 4.6 |
| Cañ you ersaluate critically writer's Ideas and logic? | Yes | 128 | 27.2 | 25.8 |
|  | Scine | 263 | 56.0 | 53.0 |
|  | No | 79 | 16.8 | 15.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 470 | 100.0 | 94.8* |
|  | No Answer | 26 |  | 5.2 |
| Fadex or total of critical reading problems | 0 | 297 | 64.0 | 59.9 |
|  | 1 | 80 | 17.3 | 16.1 |
|  | 2 | 43 | 9.3 | 8.7 |
|  | 3 | 26 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
|  | 6 | 3 | . 6 | . 6 |
|  | 7 | -3 | . 6 | . 6 |
| - | TOTAL | 464 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
|  | No Answer | 32 |  | 6.5 |
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## PARI III

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN CERTAIN FACTORS
AND READING-STUUDY SKILLS
PROBLEMS

## Guide to Reading Figures

First, a brief statement sets forth the most salieni findings which resulted from ar analysis of the answers to this particular item or question. Second, is the item or question.

The key above each bar graph indicates the response category to each item or question as well as the total number of those responses. Thus in Figure 1, 339 respondents hac̄ less than two physical problems and 147 respondents had two or more physical problems. Of the $339,26 \%$ or 90 respondents indicated some difficulty following directions.

Each Figure consists of four bar graphs. Thus, in Figure 1 the first graph indicates that $26 \%$ of those studerts with less than 2 physical problems have some difficulty following directions, whereas $48 \%$ with two or more physical problems have difficulty following directions.

The Figures were designed to present the findings in the simplest way. To this end, groups were combined, e.g., síudents who answered "yes" were grouped with those who answered "some," or students with $\hat{0}$ or 1 physical probiem were put in one group and those with 2 to 7 physical problems were put in another group.
Dc you feel you are in good phyaical condition?



> Figure 1 b findicates that students with
phyeical handicap have fower comprehension"-
atudy probleme than tudente witholat physical
handicaps.
Question: Do you have a physical handicap?



Fiqure ld indicates a mall tendency for lated with "tirednese."


Quersioti: Ale you oftien tired?

 seriousness of speech handicaps.



Figurs if indicates a strong correlation hetween hearing difficulty and difficulty following directiona.

## Question: In your hearing good?

 vision and reading-study skills problems.

Figure ls indicates chat the feeling of being in good physical condition is clearly associated with fewer zeading-study skills problems.

Question: Do you feel you are in good physical condition?

THE ORIGNAL Y PSYCHOLOGICALE INTEUECNAG
isNaHj3nd valowa

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { Psychological-Intellectual }}{\text { Have you difficulty in doing school work? }} \\
& \text { Ar? you worrying about previous or present } \\
& \text { poor grades? } \\
& \text { Do you worry about your reading ability? } \\
& \text { Do you often find it difficult to concentrate } \\
& \text { when you read? } \\
& \text { Do you understand all that you are assigned } \\
& \text { to read? } \\
& \text { Do you think you are as intelligent as your } \\
& \text { classmates? } \\
& \text { Would you like to be someone else? }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 

$\square$
Fiquire $2 b$ shcis a strong correlation of readingstudy skills problems with worrying about grades.




Question: Do you worry about your reading ability?



Fiqure 2e indicates a strong correlation between not understanding all. that is assigned and readingstudy skills problems except for a relatively weak correlation with difficulty in following directions.

Question: Do you understand all that you are assigned to read?

Fiqure 2a shows a preference to be soomone el: problems except for vocabulary probleme.
Queation: Would you like to be someons elme?
.- T9 -



- 29 -
Environmental
THE ORIGINAL 8 EIMAROUMENTAL
QUESTIONS:

Note: The reason onvironmentzl factore were not broken down further for additional figures was because it was folt that these factory treated eeparately oncouragomont to reading-study akilis as measured by an inidex.

Note: Figures 4a through $4 f$ represent correlations between readingstudy skills problems and individual items (from the questionnaire) which, a priori, seemed lírely to have the most effect on reading-study skills.


> Piqure 4b indicatee that holdern of a general diploma have more difficulty in ill areas than
> the other groups. Vocational and technical
> diploma holders have fewer comprehension and
> critical reading proslems than the other groups.

Item: Type of diploma.

Figure 4d irdicatos that etudents from whitecollar homes tinnd to have fower comprehonsionetindy mkilis problams and along witi mtudents from businems and protessional hores tend ta have fower vocabalary problnme than etudonth from itther typen of homes.

Item: Fathex!* occupathor.

pigure 4c indicates that students from Spanish speiairing homes have more difficulty than other students following directicns and reading critically: and with students from Italian apeaking homes they heive difficulty with compre-hension-study akills.

Item: Language other than English spoken in home.

$-$



## COMMUNITY COLLEGE READING PROGRAMS

Persons in charge of reading or basic study skills programs at the six community colleges cited in this study were asked to describe tineir programs in accordance with the outline-noted in Appendix. D. Three colleges had no formal reading or study skills program in the fall of 1969 when this study was undertaken. Three did, namely, Borough of Manhati:an Community College, New York City Community College, and 叉ueensborough Community College. The descriptions were made by the people whose names appear at the end of each statement.

## READING PROGRAM AT <br> BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN COMMUNITY COLLEGE

I. Staff:
A. Two people are currently teaching reading
B. Their training is as foliows:

1. Miss Motz--has approximately sixty credits in reading and related courses: NYU and Yeshiva University. This teacher is now attending Columbia in orajer to register in a Ph.D. program. She also has an M.A. degree and the Sixth Year Certificate, in addition to ten years teaching in the junior and senior high school and ten years teaching in college. She worked at Hunter Colīege as Research Associate in Project English: gathering materials (reading) for the socially disadvaníaged.
2. Mrs Holden--has an M.A. degree, and is now enrolled in a Ph.D. program in reaciing at NYU. She has nine credits in reading, seven years experience teaching reading, and was in the in-service training program in reading at Garercy Hill Institute.
II. Other Personnel:

Other departments and counselors are free to recommend stuadents to the reading laboratory
III. Students:
A. There ase 2,500 day students and 2,500 night students.
B. Approximately 170 students attend the lab. each semester.
C. The average number of students enrolled in each class is 20.
D. Students' greatest problem seems to be comprehension-and speed, or the lack of speed. Their general level of performance according to tests is grade 10.
IV. Standardized Tests Used and Placement:
A. The Nelson-Denny Reading Test, High School and College, is used for general placement.
B. At present the Gray Oral is used as a follow-up. Note: We are seriously considering another test to replace the Gray Oral.
V. Irstruction:
A. The course is designed to be remedial and developmental.
B. The reading lab. is neither required, nor is it an elective.
C. No credit is given.
D. Major Objective: To provide a reading program for stualents that will give them the necessary word attack, vocabulary, and comprehension skills to enable them to read sucessfully the text books and other materials they will meet in their cortinuing education.
E. The following skills are stressed: Word attack, comprehension, stuady, dictionary, skimming and scanning. In addition, stress is placed on reading maps and graphs, reference and texts, consonants, vowels, wora structure, sight vocabulary, word meaning, context inference, symbolic discrimination, details, main ideas, sequence relationship, following directions, sentence strụcture, and the reading of fiction.
F. Books, materials, and equipment utilizec̄ are as $\ddagger 0$ ?lows:

1. Be a Better Reader Series--Smith
2. Power and Sreed in Reading-~Gilbert
3. SRA Labs. 111 and IV
4. SRA Reading for Understanding
5. SRA Pilot Library
6. SRA College Reading Program 1
7. SRA Dimensions in Reading
8. SRA Advanced Reading Skilis Program
9. Harvard Classics
10. Perceptoscope--along with PDL Reading Improvement Progiam
11. Selected prose
12. Classroom library
13. Teacher-prepared materials
14. Accelerators
15. Dictionaries
16. Prepared lectures
G. Evaluative procedures are: Multi-level materiais, grades, student maintained charts, informal testing, teacher prepared tests, standardized testing.
VI. Summary Statement:

The positive features of the program have been listed. It is my belief that the course should be compuilsory and that credit should be given. The physical space is entirely too small. In addition, there should be no more than twelve to fifiteen students enrolled in each class or section.

Submitted by Miss Sandra Motz Reading Instructor

## Reading Program at Queensborough Community College

I. Staff: Fifteen

Eight have master degrees or better in the field of reałing improvement instruction.
II. Other Perscrnel:

Cooperation with the English department and with c.Junselors in Studerit Personnel.
III. Students: 8,000 enrolleu in college

500 enrolled in reading program
12 enrolled in a reading class
According to testing, reading instruction levels range from 7th grade to apprcximately l0th grade.
IV. Tests Used and Placement Procedures:

Incoming students receive English Cooperative Test to determine general strength and weakness of reading and writing abilities. California keading rest is administered as a second test to diagnose specific reading disabilities.
V. Instruction: Our courses are designed far college level students functioning on approximaiely a 7 th through l0th grade 1:eading level. Instruction is offered in a self-contaired classroom situation, supplemented by reading laboratory work. Our courses are prerequisite or requisite for the Basic English courses. No credit is given for remedial courses. Purpose of our reading course is to improve the students vocabulary, reading comprenension and interpretation and study skills abilities, as well as rate reading. We employ various types of skills texts and content textbooks on different instructional levels. For our stronger readers, we also employ the SRA Accelerator and EDI controlled Reader. Evaluation of student's procrress is made mainly on informal observation, as well as a final stãnüardized test. (no printed syllabus available)
VI. Positive features of our program; small classes, homogeneously srouped; well-trained and experienced instructors.

Negative features of our program; grouped classes meet three hours a week. It would be desirable to make the program more intensive:; we would consiajer the course more motivating if credit were grant $\in$; we desire more text materials, end more reading laboratories.

Submitted by
Dr. Paul Panes, Chairman Basic Skills Department

## READING AND STUDY SKILLS CENTER

1. Scd_f

Prof. Ruth Liberman, Coordinator $\sim$ MA in Remedial Reading NYU + graduate credits
Instructors
Fred Roebuck
Irene Rabinowitz

Tamar Kırshner

Irene Goldberg

Lorraine Beitier

- MA in Remedial Reading, Presently in NYU Doct. Prog.
- MA in Remedial Reading +30 credits NYU
- MA in Guidance + 6th Yr. Prog. Remedial Reading NYU
- MA in Remedial Reading, Hofstra, Presently in NYU Doct. Program
- MA in Eifiance + courses in reading, NYU, Two semesters as evening instructor in Reading

2. Other Personnel

Ruth Lemansky - College Science Technician B.S. in Antioch College - Elementary Education Will complete MA in Reading at NYU August 1970

Secretary - Lillian Pace
Experienced and well trained in the procedure of our developmental and individualized program. Efficient in office and inter office communications.
3. Students

The number enrolled in the college - round figures, 4,000
The number enrolled in the Reading Program .. 384 per semester
The average enroliled in a reading ciass - 15
Besides those deficient in reading, we enroll volunteers,
faculty referrals, and stafs.
4. Standardized Tests Ussī and Placement Procedures

| Tests - | Nelson-Denny Reading Test |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Spitzer Study Skills Test |
|  | Gray Oral (if students score 8th grade or below on |
|  | Nelson-Denny) |

## Placement Procedures

Students who score below a 10.5 level cut-off point on the Nelson-Denny Test are require ${ }^{2}$ to take the course.
5. Instruction
a. Kinds of course ir lab: Individualized remedial program.
b. Most of our students are required to take the course.

We do provide instruction for elecitives to take our course.
c. Credit: Nō credit is received for this course.
d. Mäjor oījectives:

1. Improve student's ability to study.
2. To teach students to read material more rapidly with greater understanding.
3. To understand more words without recourse to a dictionary.
4. To engage a dictionary as a life tool.
5. To teach students to use a library's resources with familiarity.
6. To have students show improvement on a standardized reading test.
7. To help students raise their reading level so that they can read with more fluency and understanding.
8. To develop their study skills.
9. To heighten student's self image, self-confidence, his reading, and his role as a college stucleat.
e. Major objectives (skills stressed)
10. Through tapes, we develop student's listening, working and study skills.
11. Outlining, following author's organizaiional patteıns, main ideas, better study habits, using the dictionary, critical reading, improving rate with better comprehension, increasing vocabulary, drawing conclusions, and summarizing are some of the more important skills stressed.
f. Books, materials, and equipment utilized
12. SRA Power Builders and SRA Reading for Understanding Kits.
13. Tactics in Reading Kits, Levels I and II.
14. Listen \& Read Tapes and Workbooks, Levels GL, MP, MiN (EDL Material).
15. Scott-Foresman Materiais.
16. Be a Better Reader Series.
17. 88 Passages Material.
18. Controlled Reading Film Strips.
19. McGraw-Hill Film Strips.
20. g. Evaluative Frocedures
21. Individual conferences and consultations with instructors.
22. Instructors keep anecdotal reports of student's progress.
23. Studenis keep records of their progress on graphs and charts in their work folders.
24. Summary Statement

To help our students do better in their study skills, we are encouraging better coordination of programs with other departments. Taping lessons for use in demonstration lessons is one way. Department chairmen want to learn more about the Reading Center's work so that their students will raise their reading level. They feel that college level reading ability is an essential skill in passing their curnicuium.

The use of Walter Pauk's, How to Study in College as our basic text has keen an improvement this year for developing more efficient study habits with our students.

The supply of materials has constantly been raplenished. We have been evaluating materiai so that all levels of reading will be adequately accommodateã. More books have been purchased that are at a suitable interest level for our students. Policies and procedures have been revised for better instructions.

New coilege level skills materials were purchased for volunteers and students who have to repeat the course.

## Suggestions and Changes Recommended

1. Block programming designed to provide intensive and extensive remediation in reading be establ: shed.
2. Closer cooperation between the reading and writing departments.
3. In addition to the present courses offered, we suggest that mini courses be given to those students who need help in specific areas. These should be publicized through the school newspaper and bulletins.
4. In order to add to the motivation of the student, we suggest that our reading course bear some academic credit, somewhere between one and three credits.
5. Compulsory attendance in a Lab situation must be followed.
6. We are seeking better programmed material in the area of developing the vocabularies of our students.
7. Instructors should teach the deficient students how to read textbooks efficiently and how to use reference material in order to function successfully as college students.

Submitted by Ruth Liberman
Reading Skills Center

## PART V

SUUHAARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study of the readirg-study skills problems of students in community colleges of CUNY was made in the fall of 1969, in order to oidain information about the students' selfevaluations of their reading-study skills proilems and in order to help educators better understand what they must do if they are to improve the single most important function of eaucation--the teaching of reading. More specifically, this study was concerned with obtaining background information about the community college students in CUNY, to note what are their goals (vocaticnal and scholastic), extra-curricular activities and interests, to analyze factors which may contribute to readingstudy skills problems, to determine what major problems in readingstudy skills students believe they have, to determine correlations between reading-skills problems and factors reasonably assumed to be influential, and to analyze existing reading programs in CUNY which may be attempting to resolve these problems.

Generally the data reflect that these students have many reading-study skilis problems and that in many instances the community colleges of CUNY are not providing or are unable to
provide adequate help to remedy these problems. The fantors noted in this study that have particular bearing on students' reading-study skills are as follows:

1. Most of the students come from a lower socioeconomic background, work part time or full time, and read occasionally; though they read oniy occasionally, many do iike to read. When they do read, they prefer to read novels, short stor:ies, magazine articles, newspaper ariicles, and the news in that order.
2. The majority of these students profess to study a lot but with the following problems to some degree:
a. Physical - being tired ofien.
b. Psychological-Iniellectual - finding it difficult to concentrate wher reading; worrying about previous or present poor grades; lacking the ability to understand all reading that is assigned; having difficulty in doing school work; worrying about reading ability.
c. Environmental - receiving little encouragement to read from friends and parents; having high school teachers who were not particularly interested in teaching reading; and living in a home situation that does not stimulate an interest in reading.
3. For the majority of these students their problems in reading and studying are mainiy centered around comprehension study skills, critical reading, vocabulary (in that order) and to a lesser degree in following directions.
4. With these students fewer physical problems tend to be correlated with fewer reading-study skills problems.
5. Psychological-inteilectual problems tend to correlate highly with difficulties in all areas of reading-study skills.
6. Negative environmental factors correlate highly with Ziff.julties in all areas of reading-study skills except for difficulty in following directions.
7. Lack of training in reading textbooks is correlated with all reading study-skills problems except for difficulty in following directions.
8. Students who hold General Diplomas have more difficulty than the other groups of students in all reading-study skills; students who hold Vocational or Technical Diplomas have fewer comprehension-study and critical reading problems than the other groups of students.
9. Students from Spanish speaking homes have more difficulty than other students following directions and reading critically, and students from Italian speaking homes have more difficulty with comprehension-study problems.
10. Students from White Collar homes tend to have fewer comprehersion-study skills problems and, along with studerts from Business and Professional homes, tend to have fewer vocabulary problems than students from other types of homes.
il. Students who are more consistent readers reveal a slight but inconsistent tendency to have fewer reading-study skills problems than other students.
11. Students who study quite a lot but do ha-re some recreation have fewer reading-study skills problems than other students; those who study most of their spare time tend to have slightly more difficulty following directions.
12. At least half of the colleges involved in this study do not have any programs to cope with the reading-study skills problems of their students and those colleges that do have programs are plagued by major problems, in particular, the lack of adequaie space and materials, and the inability to award credit to students for work they do in the course or program.

It is clear that from these students' self-evaluations of their reading-study ski足ls problems that the majority of them need help and that generally help is not being given. From what the students say about their secondary school English
teachers and from the Natioral Study of High School English Programs, ${ }^{2}$ it is quite apparent that secondary English teachers believe in the cliche "students have learne: to read in elementary school; in junior and senior high school they read to learn." Further, it is apparent triat the majority of students now in community colleges cannot be looked upon as beirg prepared to do the difficuitt reading and academic work presently required of them. Given these circumstances, then, it should also be apparent that colleges can no longer afford to shirk their responsibility of proviaing reading. instruction if they are genuinely interested in their studencs succeeding.

At a time when all the colleges of CJNY are demanding more funds to cope with open admissions, they cannot avoid giving this matter their immediate attention not only for the good of the students but also in the interest of the public which is beginning to demand that educational institutions be held accountable for their ability to accomplish their aims.

What :\%e need, then, is an intelligent and comprehensive plan of action to be taken by ail concerned CUNY personnel if we are to realistically engage in an open admissions policy which "shall maintain and enhance the standards of academic

2For further information about this study, see James R. Squire and Roger K. Applẻee, High School English Instruction Today (New York: Appléton-Century-Crofts, Inc., 1968).
excellence.: Ās a prelude to this course of action all concerned personrel may wish to consider the following recommendations:

## 1. Academic Improvement Service Centers

The Open Admissions Plan calls for supporting services to help students compensate for academic deficiencies in order to avoid high attrition rates which usually accompany onen admissions; however, the Plan is reiatively vague about what these supporting services snould be and how they might be structured. Because these supporting services are absolutely basic and necessary to maximize the probability of success for all stūãents and because so little thought has been given to them to date, immediate attention shuuld be given to establishing Academic Improvement Service Centers at all the coileges in CUNY.

These centers might perform the following functions:
a. Engage in freshmen orientation and subsequent
individual counseling of all incoming students.
b. Establish workshops immediat $\in$ ly in reading-study skills, writing, and mathematics and later in other acaderaic areas. These workshops should be for all students of varying success who seek aid. In order for these workshops to be meaningful
and not conducted in a vacuum, as they often are, these workshops should be cocurricular offerings which are geared closely to the work the student is coing in his regular courses. 2. Reading Clinic

Establish a reading clinis in order to provide the following thiee essential services witich would help to supplement efforts of those conducting the workshops azi regular academic classes: diagnosis, prescription, and remediation of reading problems.

## 3. Faculty Involvement

In all colleges a Reading-Study Skills Improvement Committee should be established in order to insure representation of all academic disciplines and in order to get the total faculty involved in helping to insure the students' academic inuprovement. This commictee should be charged with reporting on what readingstudy skills are neoded in work assignsd in respective academic areas. The recognition of need for reading-study skills improvement must come from the faculty, as well as administrators and students. Improvement dictated from higher authority should be avoided.
4. In-Service Training of reachers Once a faculty, or a substantial part of it, has indicated a realization of the need for improvement, in-service
training should be given in order to insure that instructors are aware of the students' problems as stiated here and know what to do with the information the committee accumulaies so that they might give appropriate skills guidance to supplement their subject matter instruction. Only when such in-service training is given will the Academic Improvement Service Center become more professional and effective; and only when such in-service training is given will the college maximize the probabiliさy of success for all students.

## 5. Student Involvement

Evaluation of academic improvement services must be continual and students should be involved in order to assure a more objective appraisal of aims, procedures, and results, as well as to insure that all activities are relevant $=0$ their needs.
6. Development of Aims, Tests, Methods, and Materials In order to avoid the ever threatening prospect of having creative jdeas die whenever a community of scholars is organized in institutions that are not fully aware of the probiems, special care must be taken to avoid the setting of impromptu aims and the uiilization of impromptu tests, methods, and materials; and care must be taken to restrict the demard for total services.

Administrators shouid give enthusiastic support in terms of money and personal effort. In addition, qualified personnel need to be granted time to work on the development of all of the above items, to discuss them wich interested parties, and to present formally in writing their achievements to administrators, faculty, and students in the college and the uriversity at large.

## 7. University Conference on Keading-Study Skills

All people in CUNY working in this area should agree to hold a symposium on reading-study skills where an exchange of ideas might take place. Currently too little sommunication exists beiween the various units of CUNY, and, if the maximum of effiort is to be made on improving the abilities of students entering on the Open Admissions Plañ, 211 personnel must cooperate with each other and avoid the infantile approach of thinking solely of one's college or developing one's own empire.

## 8. Additional Research

Action research which involves the classroom teachers, not just theoretical research, must be conducted in this area to bring aibout immediate improvement in the classrooms throughout CUNY. All colleges should direct the major portion of their
attention to this problem rather than simply trying to jastify past courses of action or making a virtue of mistakes they do not want to correct.

The conclusions and recommendations pointed out here are by no means complete for we are merely beginning in this area of work and we are still in a period of discove:y. For this reason this study should be considered primarily an attempt to awaken the need for additional research and to engage educators in a diailogue about reading-study skills problems, problems which must be eliminated if we are to have a realistic and tenable open admissions policy and problems whicn must be eliminateā if we are to change the public's feeling that educators will admit "dealing with students" soncerns is the best policy" but they will try everything else first.

## APPENLIXES

## APPENDIX 4

## COMANITY COLLEGES AND FACULTY hembers participating in the study

| New York City Community College | Miss Ruth Liberman, Coordinator of the Reading <br> Skills Center <br> Mrs. Mae Lindenberg, Counseling \& Guidance <br> Mrs. Earla Jost, Developmental Skills Department <br> Miss Jane Tannow, Developmental Skills Department <br> Mrs. Pearl Gasarch, English Department <br> Mr. James Birkley, Developmental Skills Department <br> Mrs. Tamar Kirshner, Developmental Skills Department |
| :---: | :---: |
| Borough of Manhattan Community College | Mrs. Sandra Motz, Eng1ish Department Mr. Anthony Drago, English Department Miss Grace Natoli, English Department |
| Kingsborough Community College | Dr. Jack Wolkenfeld, Chairman, English Department <br> Miss Clara Freeman, English Department <br> Mr. Denis Sivack, English Department |
| Staten Island Community College | Dr. Max Spalter, English Department <br> Mr. Steven Zuckerman, Counseling \& Gwidance |
| Bronx Community College | Mr. Alexander Simon, Coordinator of Reading and Study Ski11s |
| Queensborough Community College | Dr. Paul Panes, Chairman, Basic Skills Department <br> Dr. Eugene Loveless, Basic Skills Department |

## APPENDIX B

## STIUENT QUESTIONNAIRE RELATED TO READING

If you will consider all questions thoughtfully and answer them honestly, you will greatly assist us in planning instructional programs that will better con $=r i b u t e$ to your reading achievement. There are no right or wrong answers to the questions, and therefore no evaluative judgments will be made of you. (If you prefer not to give your name, please omit i...) Our only interest is to learr more about what conditions may affect your reading achievement so thet we may promote greater urderstanding and better programs in college.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Name $\qquad$ College $\qquad$

Age $\qquad$ Sex $\qquad$ Male $\qquad$ Female

Marital Status $\qquad$ Single $\qquad$ Married
U.S. Citizen $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

Foreign Student $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

High School Graduate $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

Type of Diploma $\qquad$ Academic $\qquad$ Commercial $\qquad$ Vocational $\qquad$ Technical
$\qquad$ General $\qquad$ Equivalency.

Language other than English spoken in the home $\qquad$ Spanish $\qquad$ Italian
$\qquad$ French $\qquad$ German $\qquad$ Other $\qquad$ None .

Father's Occupation $\qquad$ Mother's Occupation $\qquad$

GOALS

1. What is your vocational goal: Check one.


| Ministry |
| :--- |
| Music |
| Police Science |
| Psychology |
| Research |
| Secretary |
| Science |
| Social Work |
| Teaching |
| Writing |
| Other (Specify) |

2. Why did you choose this vocation? Check the main reason.

| Financial |
| :--- |
| Social Status |
| Personal Satisfaction |
| Other (specify) |

3. What is your scholastic goal? $\qquad$ AS $\qquad$ AA $\qquad$ BA $\qquad$ BS $\qquad$ Mr
$\qquad$ MS $\qquad$ Ed.D. $\qquad$ Ph. ${ }^{3}$.

## EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND INTERESTS

4. Have you ever worked? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
5. If so, was it full-time $\qquad$ or part-time $\qquad$ ? In what occupation(s)? $\qquad$ In what occupation(s)?
$\qquad$
6. Which one of these jobs did you like best and why? $\qquad$
7. Are you working now? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
If so, how many hours a week? In what occupation(s) $\qquad$ ? Is it course related? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
8. For what reason?

9. What extracurricular activities occupy most of your time (specify number of hours per week)?

Athletic events
Art exhibits
Soncerts
Dances
Movies
Parties
Radjo
Reading
Sperts
TV
Other, such as a club (specify)
10. What subjects do you enjoy most? (Number 1, 2, 3, to 8 in parentheses $\frac{1 \pi}{}$ order of preference)

| ( ) English: Underline: | Literature <br> Composition (Writing) <br> Grammar |
| :--- | :--- |
| ( ) Sath |  |
|  |  |
|  | Biology <br> Chemistry <br> Physics <br> General |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

10 (Continued)
( ) Social Science: Underline
Economics
History
Geography
Psychology
Sociology
Other (specify)
( ) Art
( ) Music
( ) Technical (specify): $\qquad$
$\qquad$
( ) Foreign Languages (specify): $\qquad$
11. Please check the following, indicating your preference in reading. Preferred Less Preferred Dislike

1. Novels
2. Short stories
3. Essays
4. Biographies
5. Plays
6. Poetry
7. News
8. Newspaper articles
9. Magazine articles

10. How much do you read (outside of school work)?

CHECK ONLT ONE
a. Read everything that looks interesting: always reading
b. Read during a large part of my free time
c. Read occasionally
d. Kead seldom from lack of opportunity
e. Hardly read at all from choice
13. How much time do you spend in serious study for school subjects?
a. Most of my spare time
b. Quite a lot, but I do have some recreation
c. Once in a while: recreation comes first
d. Yery little; I just can't get down to it.
e. None; I don't seem to care at all.

## FACTORS CONTRIBUTIXG TO READING-STUDY SKILLS

## PROBLEMS

## Physical

Are you frequently 111?
Do you have a physical handicap?
Do you have a speech handicap?
Are you often tired?
Is your vision good?
Is your hearing good?
Do you feel you are in good physical condition?


Psychological-Intellectual
Have you difficulty in doing school work?
Are you worrying about previous or present poor grades?
Do you worry about your reading ability?
Do you ofter find it difficult to concentrate when you read?
Do you understand all that you are assigned to read?
Do you think you are as intelligent as your classmates?
Would you like to be someone else?


## Environmental

Are you living in a home situation which stimulates an interest in books and reading materials?
Do you have a place at home where you can study quietly?
Are you able to get reading materials in which you are interested?
As a child, did your parents and other members spend their leisure time in reading?
Do your friends and acquaintances encourage your interest in reading?
Do you think your high school teachers were interested in teaching reading skills?
Do you like to read?
Do you read much (outside of school work)?


PROBLEMS IN READING AND STUDYING
Following Directions
Do you have difficulty following directions?
Do you have more difficulty with spoken directions than with written directions?
When making assignments do you believe teachers should give written directions as well as spoken directions?

## Vocabulary

Do you have a good general vocabulary?
Is your: vocabulary adequate for a thorough understanding of the different subjects you are now studying?
In your reading, do you frequently find unfamiliar words?
Do you know how to get the meaning of words from context clues: typographical and structural aids, figures of speech, pictorial representations?
Are you able to determine the meaning of words by analyzing their prefixes, roots. and suffixes?
Do you know how to use the dictionary as an aid to pronunciation?

Comprehension-Study Skills.
Have you received training in how to read textbooks?
Do you know the purpose of the various parts of a book, such as introduction, table of contents, index, glossary, and how they maske studying easier?
Have you learned the skill of skimming?
When you reads do you have a well-definec: purpose?
Do you know how to find the main idea of a paragraph?
Do you know how to read for details?
Are you able to see relationships between ideas?
Do you know how to outline what you read?
Do you know how to take notes?
Do you know how to summarize what you have read?
Do you know how to read tables, graphs, charts and maps which you find in your reading?
Do you know to locate information in the library?
Do you know how to organize information?
Do you know how to apply what you read in solving problems?
Do you know how to apply different rates of reading according to the purpose and nature of the materials read?
Do you remember what you read?

\[

\]



Critical Reading
Do you consider the author qualified to write on a special subject?
Do you know what the author's purpose is in writing?
As you read, can you decermine the difference between a fact and an opinion?
Are you able to distinguish between words that are used in a more informative than emotional way?
Are you able to identify specific propoganda tecnniques: name calling, use of catch phr-rxes, testimonials, and the like?
Do you question the accuracy of statements which you read?
Do you know how to evaluate critically the writer's ideas and logic?

## HOTES ON PROCESSING THE QUESIIONNAIRE

The following items involved specisl handing:
"Father's Occupetion" was broken into five groups: White Collar, Blue Collar, Professional and Business, Other, No Answer. The occupations included in each of the groups, winle somewhat arbitrary, were as follows:

> White Collar: Postal Emplcyees, Clerks, Editors, Managers, Salesmen Blue Collar: Metal workers, Butchers, Tailors, Furriers, Cab drivers, $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Policemen, Guards, Cooks, Chefs, Waiters, Bartenders, } \\ \text { Foremen }\end{array}
$$ Busicess and Professional: Merchants, Businessmea, Business owners, Doctors, Lawyers, Architects, Accountants

Ne Answer
'Mother's Occupation" was given three categories: Works, Does not work, No Answer.

1. What is your vicational goal: Check one.

| Business |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Data Processing |  |
| Dentistry |  |
| Entertainment |  |
| Hotel Technology |  |
| Lav. |  |
| Nursing |  |
| Mathematics |  |
| Mechanical Technology |  |
| Medicine |  |


| Miniatzy <br> Niusic <br> Police Science <br> Psychology <br> Research <br> Secretary <br> Science <br> Social Work <br> Teaching <br> Writing <br> Other (Specify) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[^9]In the coding, all of the technology and engineering was clessified urder technology. There weic also a large rumber of respondents going into "Nursing," this vceational goal was placed in with "Hedicine".
2. Why did you chcose this yocation? Check the main reason.

| Financial |
| :--- |
| $\quad$ Social Status |
| $\quad$ Personal Satisfaction |
| $\quad$ Other (specify) |

In the above questicin, the respondents sometimes checked more than one answer, e.g., one student checked "Financial" once, "Social Statıs" twice, and "Personal Satisfaction" three times. "Personal Satisfaction" was coded.
3. What is your scholastic goal? $\qquad$ AS $\qquad$ A $\qquad$ BA $\qquad$ BS $\qquad$ MA MS $\qquad$ Ed.D. $\qquad$ Ph.D.

Several respondents wrote "MAS" as a scholastic goal, "AS" was coded in these cases.

EXTRA CURRICUI IR ACTIVITIES AND INTERESTS
4. Have you ever worked? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
5. If so, was it full-time $\qquad$ or part-time $\qquad$ ?
In what occupation(s)? $\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
6. Which one of these jobs did you like best and why? $\qquad$

The above questions were coded as one, with following answers
Worked full time
Worked part time
Never worked
No Response.

Occupation and job preference were excluded because they were considered too ambiguous fur coding for statistical analysis.
7. Are you working now? $\qquad$ Yes No
If 80 , how many hours a week?

Tre above two quesiions were encoded as one, indicating how many hours the student works per week:

None or 0
1-10
11-12
21-30
Over 30
No Answer.
Occupation was left uncoded.
8. What extracurricular activities occupy most of your time (specify number of hours per week)?


Each activity in the above question was given three possible codes:
Under 5 hours per week
5 hours or more
None or No Answer
If the respondent only checked the activities, under 5 hours was coded.
11. Please check the following, indicating your preference in reading.

Preferred Less Preferred Dis1ike

1. Novels
2. Short stories
3. Essays
4. Biographies
5. Plays
6. Poetry
7. News
8. Newspaper articles
9. Magazine articles
10. Books or articles on technical subjects

11. Comic books
i2. Sports writers
12. \& \& \& steries

13. Literary classics
14. Other

In question 11 above, preference in reading was given one of four possible scores:

Preferred
Less Preferred
Dis1ike
No Answer
There was a tendency for respondents to lose track of the row they were checking. Some judgement was used by the coders io infer the meaning of the respondeat.

A11 of the questions on pages 4,5 and 6 were given one of four possible codes:
Yes
Somewhat
No
No Answer
Each group of questions such as "Physical," or "Psychological, Intellectua1," was given an index which consisted of the total of Negative answers or "Problems" in these categorics. The answers which were included in these sums are those contained in the boxes on the last three pages of the questionnaire in Appendix B. As an example, if the following answers were given to "Physical ractors" (below) the index of "Negative" physical factors would be three. In other words the respondent indicated that he has three physical problems.

## Physical

Are you frequently i11?
Do you have a physical handicap?
Do you have a speech handicap?
Are you often tired?
Is your vision good?
Is your hearing good?
Do you feel you are in good physical condition?


## Statistical Processing

Cross-tabulations were performed to indicate the correlation of factors presumed to affect reading-study skills, with indications (from answers on the questionnaire) of reading problems. The indicators of reading difficulties that were thus examined were:

1) "Do you have difficulty following directions?"
2) The number of Vocabulary Problems
3) The number of Comprehensive Study Ski11s Problems
4) The number of Critical Reading Problems.
"Type of Diploma"
"Language other than English spoken in the home"
"Fathers Occupat₹on"
"How much do you read (outside of school work)?"
"How much time do you spend in serius study for school subjects?" All of the questions under 'Physical Factors' and the number of Physical Problems
A11 of the "Psychological-Intellectual Factors" and the number of problems in this area.
Tre number of "Environmental" problems.
"Have you received trainiug in how to read textbooks?"

Where indices were used, instead of individual questions, it was a matter of expedience. Further it was felt that a profile of the student's answers were more consistent than the individual answers, such as those dealing with environmental factors.

## OUTLINE USEL TO DESCRIBE COLLEGE READING PROGRAMS

Please write a concise description of your present reading program in terms of the following:

1. Staff:

- number of people currently teaching reading
- their training or qualifications to teach readigg, not English

2. Other Personnel:

- cooperation (or lack of it) with other departments, counselors, etc.

3. Students:

- the number enrolled in the college
- the number enrolled in the reading program
- the average number enrolled in a reading class
- any information you have regarding their reading problems and general level of performance

4. Standardized Tests Used and Placement Procedures
5. Instruction:

- kinds of courses or labs, that is, remedial, corrective, developmental
- elective or required courses
- credit or non credit courses
- major objectives
- specific objectives (skills stressed)
- books, materials, and equipment utilized
- evaluative procedures
- PLEASE ENCLOSE SYLLABUS -

6. Summary Statement:

- please note not only the positive features but also the negative features or limitations of your reading program; also make clear what you believe is needed to make it a more successinul program.


[^0]:    $1_{\text {Philip Shaw, "Reading and Other Academic Improvement Services," }}$ The Counseling of College Students (New York: The Free Press, 1968), pp. 358-359.

[^1]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

[^2]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

[^3]:    *Percentages may not equal $100 . \%$ because of rounding

[^4]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

[^5]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

[^6]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

[^7]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ because of rounding

[^8]:    *Percentages may not equal $100.0 \%$ becsase of rcunding

[^9]:    "Vocational Goals" above were reduced to a smaller number of categories than contained in the questionnaire. A cursory survey of che questionnaires indicated that the most common answers to this questiori were Business, Data Processing, Medicine and Teaching. It indiceted that vezy few students responded to Mechanical Technology but a number wrote in electrical technology or engineering.

