This document is the accepted manuscript version of the following article: Jochum, M., Fischer, M., Isbell, F., Roscher, C., van der Plas, F., Boch, S., ... Manning, P. (2020). The results of biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments are realistic. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 4, 1485-1494. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1280-9

1 Title:

2 The results of biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments are realistic

3 **Running headline:**

4 Realism of biodiversity experiments

5 Authors:

- 6 Jochum, Malte^{1, 2, 3}, Fischer, Markus¹, Isbell, Forest⁴, Roscher, Christiane^{5, 2}, van der Plas, Fons⁶, Boch,
- 7 Steffen^{1, 7}, Boenisch, Gerhard⁸, Buchmann, Nina⁹, Catford, Jane A.¹⁰, Cavender-Bares, Jeannine⁴,
- 8 Ebeling, Anne¹¹, Eisenhauer, Nico^{2, 3}, Gleixner, Gerd⁸, Hölzel, Norbert¹², Kattge, Jens^{2, 8}, Klaus, Valentin
- 9 H.⁹, Kleinebecker, Till¹³, Lange, Markus⁸, Le Provost, Gaëtane¹⁴, Meyer, Sebastian T.¹⁵, Molina-
- 10 Venegas, Rafael^{1, 16}, Mommer, Liesje¹⁷, Oelmann, Yvonne¹⁸, Penone, Caterina¹, Prati, Daniel¹, Reich,
- 11 Peter B.^{19, 20}, Rindisbacher, Abiel¹, Schäfer, Deborah¹, Scheu, Stefan^{21, 22}, Schmid, Bernhard^{23, 24}, Tilman,
- 12 David^{25, 26}, Tscharntke, Teja²⁷, Vogel, Anja^{2, 3, 11}, Wagg, Cameron²⁸, Weigelt, Alexandra^{2, 29}, Weisser,
- 13 Wolfgang W.¹⁵, Wilcke, Wolfgang³⁰, Manning, Peter¹⁴

14 Affiliations:

- 15 1. Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland
- 16 2. German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103
- 17 Leipzig, Germany
- 18 3. Leipzig University, Institute of Biology, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
- 19 4. Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota, 1479 Gortner Ave, Saint Paul MN
- 20 55108, USA

- 5. UFZ, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Department Physiological Diversity, Permoserstrasse 15,
 04318 Leipzig, Germany
- 23 6. Systematic Botany and Functional Biodiversity, Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Johannisallee 21-23,

24 04103 Leipzig, Germany

- 25 7. WSL Swiss Federal Research Institute, Zürcherstr. 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland
- 26 8. Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knoell Str. 10, 07745 Jena, Germany
- 27 9. Institute for Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zürich, Universitätstr. 2, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
- 28 10. Department of Geography, King's College London, 30 Aldwych, London, WC2B 4BG, UK
- 29 11. Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Jena, Dornburger Str. 159, 07743 Jena, Germany
- 30 12. Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Münster, Heisenbergstr. 2, 48149 Münster, Germany
- 31 13. Institute of Landscape Ecology and Resources Management, Giessen University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26,
- 32 35392 Giessen, Germany
- 33 14. Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (SBiK-F), Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt,
- 34 Germany
- 35 15. Terrestrial Ecology Research Group, School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Technical University of
- 36 Munich, Hans-Carl-von-Carlowitz-Platz 2, 85354 Freising, Germany
- 37 16. GloCEE--Global Change Ecology & Evolution group, Dept. Life Sciences, University of Alcalá, 28805
- 38 Alcalá de Henares, Spain
- 39 17. Plant Ecology and Nature Conservation Group, Dept. of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University,
- 40 PO box 47; 6700 AA, Wageningen, the Netherlands
- 41 18. Geoecology, Geoscience Department, University of Tübingen, Rümelinstr. 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany
- 42 19. Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, 1530 Cleveland Avenue N, St. Paul, MN 55108,
- 43 USA
- 44 20. Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith South DC, NSW 2751,
- 45 Australia

- 46 21. JF Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, University of Goettingen, Untere Karspüle 2, 37073
- 47 Göttingen, Germany
- 48 22. Centre for Biodiversity and Sustainable Land Use, Von-Siebold Str. 8, University of Goettingen, 37075
- 49 Göttingen, Germany
- 50 23. Department of Geography, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland
- 51 24. Institute of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, 100871 Beijing,
- 52 China
- 53 25. Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, 55108, USA
- 54 26. Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA,
- 55 93106, USA
- 56 27. Agroecology, Dept. of Crop Sciences, University of Göttingen, Grisebachstrasse 6, 37077 Göttingen
- 57 28. Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse
- 58 190, Zürich CH-8047, Switzerland
- 59 29. Leipzig University, Institute of Biology, Johannisallee 21-23, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
- 60 30. Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute of Geography and Geoecology, Reinhard-Baumeister-Platz
- 61 1, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany
- 62 Materials and Correspondence: Please address requests to malte.jochum@idiv.de.

63 Summary

A large body of research shows that biodiversity loss can reduce ecosystem functioning, thus providing 64 support for the conservation of biological diversity¹⁻⁴. Much of the evidence for this relationship is 65 drawn from biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments (hereafter: biodiversity experiments), in 66 67 which biodiversity loss is simulated by randomly assembling communities of varying species diversity. and ecosystem functions are measured⁵⁻⁹. This random assembly has led some ecologists to question 68 69 the relevance of biodiversity experiments to real-world ecosystems, where community assembly or 70 disassembly may be non-random and influenced by external drivers, such as climate, soil conditions or land use¹⁰⁻¹⁹. Despite these repeated criticisms, there has been no comprehensive, quantitative 71 72 assessment of how experimental and real-world plant communities really differ, and whether these 73 differences invalidate the extrapolation of experimental results to natural systems. Here, we compare data from two of the largest and longest-running grassland biodiversity experiments (Jena Experiment, 74 75 Germany; BioDIV, USA) to related real-world grassland plant communities in terms of their taxonomic, functional, and phylogenetic diversity and functional-trait composition. We found that plant 76 77 communities of biodiversity experiments cover almost all of the multivariate variation of the real-world 78 communities, while also containing community types that are not currently observed in the real world. 79 Moreover, they have greater variance in their compositional features than their real-world counterparts. 80 We then re-analysed a subset of experimental data that included only ecologically-realistic 81 communities, i.e. those comparable to real-world communities. For ten out of twelve biodiversity-82 ecosystem functioning relationships, biodiversity effects did not differ significantly between the full 83 dataset of biodiversity experiments and the ecologically-realistic subset of experimental communities. Although we do not provide direct evidence for strong or consistent biodiversity-ecosystem functioning 84 85 relationships in real-world communities, our results demonstrate that the results of biodiversity

86 experiments are largely insensitive to the exclusion of unrealistic communities. By bridging the gap
87 between experimental and real-world studies, this study shows that the conclusions drawn from
88 biodiversity experiments are generally robust, a key step in translating their results into the context of
89 real-world ecosystems.

90 Main Text

Concerns over the consequences of biodiversity loss for human well-being triggered the growth of 91 92 biodiversity-ecosystem functioning (hereafter: biodiversity-functioning) research, an important field of ecology over the past 25 years^{1,3,20-23}. Some of the most influential studies in this field are based on 93 94 biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments (hereafter: biodiversity experiments), in which 95 communities of varying diversity are randomly assembled and the responses of ecosystem processes are measured^{6,24}. These experiments, often conducted using grassland communities⁸, aim to isolate the 96 97 effects of species richness from other factors known to affect ecosystem processes, such as climate, 98 nutrient availability, and the presence of particular plant functional types. By doing so, they have 99 provided strong evidence that biodiversity can affect the functioning of ecosystems – most commonly with a positive but saturating relationship between diversity and plant productivity^{1,2,5,7,22,25,26}. However, 100 101 the relevance of biodiversity experiments to real-world ecosystems (i.e., those where community 102 assembly is influenced by external drivers, such as climate, soil conditions or land use) has been repeatedly questioned^{10–14,18}. Criticisms highlight several common features of experimental designs, 103 namely random assembly, as opposed to non-random assembly/disassembly of real-world ecosystems¹³, 104 initial sowing of even species abundances (but see^{27–30}), and the repeated removal of non-target species 105 (but see^{31,32}). These factors may alter community assembly processes, leading to unrealistic 106 107 communities that possess functional properties that are rare or absent in the real world. Although numerous researchers have argued for the relevance of biodiversity experiments^{15,16,33,34} and provided 108 evidence to counter these criticisms^{28,35,36}, we do not know how closely plant communities in 109 biodiversity experiments resemble those of related real-world ecosystems (but see³⁷ for a local-scale 110 comparison), or if the presence of unrealistic communities affects the conclusions drawn from these 111 experiments. 112

113 To close these knowledge gaps, we take a two-step approach: first, we perform a 114 comprehensive, quantitative assessment of the differences and similarities between plant communities 115 from biodiversity experiments and related real-world ecosystems. Second, we test the robustness of conclusions drawn from biodiversity experiments to the removal of "unrealistic" communities - those 116 117 least comparable to real-world communities. In the first step, we quantitatively compared the plant communities of two of the World's largest and longest-running grassland biodiversity experiments to 118 119 those of nearby real-world communities where diversity gradients are created by natural environmental 120 variation and global-change drivers. These experiments are the Jena Experiment, established 2002 in Jena, Germany (hereafter: Jena Experiment)^{6,32} and the BioDIV experiment, established 1994 at the 121 Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve, Minnesota, USA (hereafter: BioDIV)^{5,38-40} (Fig. 1). We 122 compared experimental communities from the Jena Experiment with those of agricultural grasslands in 123 three regions of Germany, spanning a broad range of site conditions and land-use intensities - the 124 Biodiversity Exploratories^{41,42} – and grasslands close to the Jena Experiment (hereafter: "Jena real 125 world"). BioDIV's experimental communities were compared to nearby, naturally-assembled prairie-126 grassland communities at Cedar Creek, including fertilized grasslands^{35,43,44} and those undergoing 127 successional change⁴⁵ (see Methods and Supporting Information, Table S1). We combined species-128 129 specific cover data from annual vegetation surveys (3,329 and 9,954 plot-year combinations in the 130 German and the US datasets, respectively) with phylogenetic information and plant functional-trait data 131 to characterize and quantitatively compare plant communities based on a range of properties known to represent important dimensions of biodiversity and to independently influence ecosystem functioning⁴⁶⁻ 132 133 ⁴⁹ including measures of taxonomic diversity and evenness, phylogenetic diversity, functional diversity and community abundance-weighted means (CWM) of selected functional traits of vascular plants, 134 hereafter referred to as "community properties" in a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (see 135

136 Methods for definitions of all community properties; Fig. 1). Based on this multidimensional, multivariate comparison of plant community properties, we identified plots from biodiversity 137 138 experiments whose communities fell outside the multidimensional community-property space occupied by real-world plant communities (hereafter: "unrealistic communities"). This was achieved by 139 140 calculating the intersection of three-dimensional convex hull volumes defined by experimental and real-world communities (Fig 1: see Methods). In the second step of our analysis, we fit linear models to 141 142 test how plant species richness affected eight selected ecosystem functions from both the above- and 143 belowground subsystems. This was done for both the full datasets and the subsets of realistic plots.

144 **Results and discussion**

145 Plant communities in biodiversity experiments and related real-world systems

146 The results of our multidimensional, multivariate comparison showed that experimental plant 147 communities occupy a larger area of multivariate community-property space than real-world communities, despite the latter covering a wide range of climatic, edaphic and management conditions, 148 particularly in the German dataset^{41,50} (Fig. 1a,e). This finding was robust to the inclusion or exclusion 149 150 of particular community properties and the choice of overlap calculation methodology (Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I, Fig. S1 and Table S2, S3, S4) and was supported by additional 151 data collected at Jena. This showed that experimental communities migrated towards the narrow space 152 153 occupied by real-world communities when not weeded (i.e., Jena invasion, Supporting Information Fig. 154 S2), thus also indicating that the differences between real-world and biodiversity-experiment communities in multivariate community-property space were due to experimental maintenance rather 155 than differences in plot conditions, species pools or initially random versus natural community 156 assembly. 157

158 Next, for each community property in each region (Germany and USA), we determined the 159 proportion of biodiversity-experiment plots that fell within the community-property range of the related 160 real-world plots (Supporting Information Fig. S3 and S4 and Tables S5 and S6). Specifically, in Germany, SEve, S, PD, FRic, and MNTD showed the lowest proportion of biodiversity-experiment 161 162 plots in the real-world range of these properties. Experimental communities at Jena showed higher values of SEve and MNTD and lower S. PD and FRic than their real-world counterparts. In contrast, in 163 164 the US dataset, it was LDMC, FEve, SLA, leaf N, and FRic that showed the lowest proportion of 165 experimental plots in the real-world range of community properties and all these community properties 166 showed lower values in the experimental than in the real-world communities.

167 Overall, three conclusions can be drawn from this comparative analysis: first, biodiversity experiments successfully create plant communities that vary greatly in functionally-important 168 169 community properties. Second, real-world communities are confined to narrower regions of 170 multivariate community-property space than those of experiments. Third, while the properties of many 171 experimental communities are not observed in related real-world communities, a subset of randomlyassembled experimental communities are functionally comparable to real-world communities, (Fig. 1 172 173 and Supporting Information Tables S5 and S6), even though their taxonomic community composition 174 may differ (see Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I, section E, and Figure S5).

The comparative analysis was used to define which plant communities from biodiversity experiments could be deemed comparable to real-world systems (hereafter 'realistic'). This revealed that, when using 12 community properties selected using variance inflation factors (hereafter: vif) to reduce redundant information (see Methods), 28% and 77% of experimental plots were deemed realistic in Jena and BioDIV, respectively (Supporting Information Tables S3 and S4). The plant communities of these realistic biodiversity-experiment plots had significantly higher sown diversity 181 (Jena: av = 21.7 realistic vs. 3.5 unrealistic, BioDIV: 7.8 vs. 1.7) and more sown functional groups 182 (Jena: 2.8 vs. 1.9, BioDIV: 3.5 vs. 1.5), but lower Simpson's evenness (Jena: 0.5 vs. 0.7, BioDIV: 0.6 183 vs. 0.9; Fig. 1) than the unrealistic experimental plots (see Fig. 1, Supporting Information, Fig. S3 and S4, Table S7 and S8). Although the constraining was not based on species richness, the diversity 184 185 gradient in Jena was truncated in the realistic subset of plots. In Jena, average minimum species richness across years was 1 in unconstrained (all plots) and 3.7 in the constrained datasets (realistic 186 187 plots only). In contrast, BioDIV covered a relatively narrow range of species richness and the 188 equivalent real-world communities were also relatively species poor, so here the gradient was not 189 truncated (Fig. 2 and Supporting Information, Table S11). As such, the low diversity plots in the Jena Experiment, although necessary for an experimental design that can identify diversity effects and their 190 underlying mechanisms⁵¹, are generally found to be unrealistic when compared to current German real-191 192 world communities. Note that study-specific differences in vegetation survey area could not be 193 avoided, although their impact on the results was minimized (see methods and e.g. Supporting 194 Information Fig. S9 for more detail).

195 The selection of realistic experimental plots was largely insensitive to most methodological 196 choices, such as the exclusion of certain community properties and the overlap calculation method used 197 (see Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I for details). For example, using all 21 instead of only the 12 vif-selected community properties resulted in slight changes in the number and identity of 198 199 plots selected as realistic (91-96 % of the main analysis plots included for Jena, 85-95 % for BioDIV; 200 Tables S3 and S4). However, the selection of realistic plots was sensitive to some methodological choices. Within our sensitivity analyses, results were relatively sensitive to the following: changing the 201 number of PCA axes used to compute multidimensional overlap, altering the criterion for defining 202 203 inclusion / exclusion in the overlap, basing our comparison on species abundances rather than

204 community properties, and reducing the real-world data to include only those plots with comparable 205 land use to the experiment (for details, see sections B, D, E, and F of Supporting Information on 206 sensitivity analyses I, Tables S3 and S4, and Fig. S1 and S6). For example, when using speciesabundance based NMDS to define realistic communities (Supporting Information Fig. S5), in the 207 208 German dataset, very few experimental plots (2%) fell within the real-world NMDS realm and were selected as realistic. For the USA dataset, 33 % of plots were selected as realistic. For BEF 209 relationships based on these alternative analyses, see below. As such, as long as the overall analysis 210 211 framework of using plant-community properties in PCAs to determine multidimensional overlap is 212 used, as opposed to species-abundance based NMDS, our conclusions are robust to the methodological 213 decisions taken.

Biodiversity-functioning relationships in unconstrained versus constrained experimental data subsets

Our comparison of biodiversity-functioning relationships in full datasets of biodiversity experiments 216 217 (unconstrained, all plots) versus realistic subsets of plots (constrained, realistic plots only) was 218 conducted for the following ecosystem functions: plant aboveground and belowground (root) biomass, 219 plant aboveground carbon to nitrogen (C:N) ratio, soil organic carbon content, invertebrate leaf herbivory, soil microbial biomass C, phosphatase activity in the soil and pollinator abundance (Fig. 2). 220 221 It showed that, in both experiments, and across the different ecosystem functions, the slopes of 222 experimental biodiversity-functioning relationships were relatively insensitive to the removal of unrealistic communities (but see the discussion of significance changes below). A paired t-test on pairs 223 of unconstrained and constrained slopes for the 12 BEF relationships shown in Fig. 2 showed no 224 significant change in slope estimates (t=1.40, df=11, p=0.19, n=12) and confidence intervals for slope 225

226 estimates overlapped each other's mean for all but two model pairs. The two exceptions to this were 227 both initially weak biodiversity-functioning relationships: Jena-Experiment herbivory, where the 228 positive slope increased when constrained to realistic plots, and BioDIV plant C:N, where a nonsignificant, slightly negative slope turned into a positive significant one (see Supporting Information 229 230 Table S9). The finding that the slope of the biodiversity-functioning relationship was largely unaffected 231 by the exclusion of unrealistic communities was robust to changing the set of community properties in 232 the PCA and the method used to identify realistic communities (Supporting Information, Fig. S6). The 233 goodness of fit (adjusted R^2 values) was also only partly affected by constraining the dataset (mean R^2 : 234 0.24 versus 0.15 for unconstrained and constrained models, respectively; Supporting Information, Table 235 S9), and the average percentage change in maximum functioning was $\pm 10.3\%$ (SE: 4%; Supporting 236 Information, Table S10). When using the realistic plots defined using all 21 instead of the 12 vif-237 selected community properties in the PCA, BEF-slope changes from unconstrained to constrained data 238 subsets were largely unchanged (Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I, Fig. S6). For 239 BioDIV, when using species-abundance based NMDS to define the overlap, constrained BEF relationships were comparable to or more strongly positive than unconstrained relationships 240 241 (Supporting Information Fig. S6). Together, these results show that the form, strength, and magnitude 242 of the relationship between biodiversity and functioning that has been identified in biodiversity 243 experiments weakens somewhat, but is generally robust to the removal of unrealistic communities. 244 In four out of twelve cases, constraining data led to a change from a significant to a non-245 significant relationship (Jena soil organic C content, root biomass, soil microbial biomass C and phosphatase activity; Fig. 2). To check whether this change in significance was driven by the smaller 246 sample size of the constrained data set, we assessed the sensitivity of the results to reduced replication. 247 This was done by performing a sensitivity analysis in which we randomly reduced the size of the 248

unconstrained dataset of the Jena Experiment. This showed that the slope of the biodiversityfunctioning relationship in the realistic subset for these four relationships was shallower than most
slopes estimated from randomly selected data (Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses II, Fig.
S7a). This suggests for certain ecosystem functions, particularly soil processes in the Jena Experiment,
that the strength of the biodiversity-functioning relationship might be overestimated in biodiversity
experiments.

255 The truncated species-richness gradient of the realistic plots at Jena was associated with a 31 % 256 reduction in the range of functioning covered across the truncated reduced biodiversity gradient 257 (Supporting Information, Table S11). Therefore, to investigate whether the shallower slope and loss of significance in realistic data subsets at Jena was driven by the truncation of the species-richness 258 gradient, we performed an additional sensitivity analysis for the four Jena soil functions in question 259 260 (Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses II and Fig. S7b). When we restricted the random choice of Jena Experiment plots to the shorter gradient of species richness covered by the realistic plots in the 261 262 main analysis, the vast majority of BEF relationships in the sensitivity analysis turned non-significant (between 84 and 100 of 100 repetitions, see Fig. S7b). This indicates that it is primarily the shortened 263 264 species-richness gradient, rather than reduced sample size, that drives the weakening of some BEF 265 relationships when constrained (Supporting Information Fig. S7b). These results show that removing the lower end of the species-richness gradient leaves only the saturating, right-hand side of the 266 267 commonly observed biodiversity-functioning relationship¹ in some constrained experimental datasets, 268 for which the slope is shallower. These shallower slopes do not demonstrate that experiments falsely predict a stronger diversity-functioning relationship at low richness, but do indicate that some real-269 world systems do not vary over the full richness gradient found in experiments, thus potentially 270 271 explaining the relatively weaker diversity-functioning relationships observed across real world

272 diversity gradients, compared to experiments⁴.

Finally, several observational 'real-world' studies have shown that other aspects of biodiversity, 273 e.g. functional composition, are stronger predictors of ecosystem functioning than species richness^{4,52,53}, 274 while experiments show a dominant effect of species richness and related variables^{47,54}. Therefore, we 275 276 investigated whether the identity of the community properties that best explain function was affected 277 by our constraining of ecosystem function. This demonstrated that the relative importance of plant-278 community properties in explaining experimental ecosystem functioning changed slightly due to the 279 constraining to realistic experimental plots, with PD and CWM SLA gaining and FEve and MNTD 280 losing importance for Jena aboveground biomass and SEve slightly gaining with CWM seed mass losing importance for BioDIV soil organic C (see Supporting Table S12 for details). However, there 281 was no large systematic shift in the identity of the plant-community properties which best explain 282 283 ecosystem functioning.

284 Our results show that the biodiversity-functioning relationships observed in biodiversity experiments are not an experimental artefact caused by the presence of unrealistic communities. The 285 286 question remains, however, as to how important biodiversity is as a driver of ecosystem functioning in the real world, relative to factors such as land use or climate^{7,14,55}. Although strong and positive 287 biodiversity-functioning relationships have been reported in real-world studies^{4,24,36,56–58}, other studies 288 describe weak or negative relationships^{4,59,60}. This inconsistency, and the discrepancy between 289 290 experimental and real-world patterns, is commonly attributed to the presence of covarying environmental or biological factors that also drive ecosystem functioning⁶¹, and which obscure, 291 292 confound or negate the effects of biodiversity (e.g., nutrient availability, climate, and the dominant 293 functional traits of the community, 52,56,62-64). These factors are likely to be closely coupled in real-world 294 ecosystems, but decoupled in experiments. Indeed, across our datasets, the average correlation strength

of the eight measures of dominant functional traits (CWM's) with Simpson's evenness, functional, and
phylogenetic diversity properties was slightly higher in real-world than in experimental data subsets;
mean absolute correlation coefficients were 0.18 and 0.22 in German and US real-world plots,
compared to 0.08 and 0.16 in their respective experiments (Supporting Information, Table S13 and
S14).

300 While it would be desirable to directly compare the experimental biodiversity-functioning 301 relationships described in this study to those observed in real-world systems, both theoretical and 302 empirical studies show that simple, bivariate relationships between species richness and functioning 303 will not necessarily be positive, even if there are strong underlying effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning^{56,62}. For the main real-world datasets included in our study, previous investigations have 304 305 shown neutral or negative relationships between plant species richness and biomass for the German real world dataset⁶⁵. Furthermore, the relationship between species richness and a "production-only" 306 307 ecosystem-service scenario, heavily based on plant shoot biomass, was negative, even when accounting for land-use intensity in a structural equation modeling framework⁵². This negative relationship may be 308 driven by extremely strong covariation between species richness and functional composition (species 309 310 richness - CWM SLA Pearson correlation is as strong as r=-0.9 in one region), making it virtually impossible to distinguish between the effects of diversity and functional composition using 311 312 conventional methods. For the fertilization studies at Cedar Creek, negative relationships between 313 diversity and productivity across space were observed because fertilized plots possess high productivity 314 and low diversity, but when fertilization reduced plant species richness, this also reduced productivity over time³⁵. Consequently, adequately investigating real-world biodiversity-functioning relationships 315 requires specific, in-depth knowledge of the identity and interplay of additional drivers of both species 316 richness and ecosystem functions^{56,62} and analysis frameworks capable of disentangling covariation in 317

318 and simultaneous reciprocal effects between these interrelated drivers.

While the biodiversity experiments used in our analysis cover a wide range of plant-community properties, only a fraction of this multidimensional space is occupied by related real-world communities. The remainder of space covered by the experimental communities is currently not observed in the real-world communities that we considered; however, this "unrealized plant community property space" may be useful in predicting ecosystem functioning in the future, when novel combinations of species and environmental conditions may emerge^{33,66}.

325 Conclusions

In conclusion, we show that, although biodiversity experiments deliberately include plant communities 326 327 that may not currently occur under real-world conditions, the biodiversity-functioning relationship is 328 generally robust to the exclusion of these communities. Sensitivity analyses suggest that, where 329 biodiversity-functioning relationships did become weaker and non-significant, this change was 330 primarily driven by the truncated species-richness gradient in the realistic subset of experimental plots. 331 This indicates that experiments do not overestimate possible biodiversity-functioning relationships, but 332 rather that some real-world biodiversity gradients may not currently span the gradient in which biodiversity loss has its strongest impact. Nevertheless, it is conceivable that future changes to 333 334 biodiversity may occur over this low to very low range.

Although we do not provide direct evidence for strong biodiversity-functioning relationships in
 real-world communities, our results complement previous reports of significant biodiversity-

337 functioning relationships in the real world^{4,36,42,57,58,63} by showing that constraining experimental datasets

to contain only real-world comparable plant communities does not change the core conclusions of

339 biodiversity-functioning research. However, to advance this field, we must acknowledge both the

340 strengths and limitations of biodiversity experiments. Specifically, our improved understanding should 341 be used to develop a new generation of experiments, e.g. that focus on more realistic patterns of 342 community change. At the same time, we must maintain and further examine the valuable resource of long-term biodiversity experiments, e.g. by re-analyzing existing experimental data to simulate a range 343 344 of possible biodiversity-change scenarios. By moving beyond critiques of experimental design and placing experimental biodiversity-functioning research in the context of natural communities, we 345 advance the current debate from verbal arguments to a quantitative investigation, thus increasing the 346 347 robustness and applicability of biodiversity-functioning research.

348 Methods

349 1. Overview and data origin

350 We chose two of the largest and longest-running grassland biodiversity experiments in the world for our comparison. The Jena Experiment^{6,32} was chosen as a Central-European example of a long-term, 351 intensively studied biodiversity experiment^{32,67}. In the Jena "main" experiment, combinations of 1, 2, 4, 352 8, 16 and 60 species from a pool of 60 Arrhenatherion grassland species⁶⁸ were sown in 82 originally 353 354 $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$ plots on a former agricultural field in 2002. This species richness gradient was crossed with a gradient of functional group richness (1 to 4 functional groups; small herbs, tall herbs, grasses, 355 legumes), where species were randomly chosen from the respective functional groups (Roscher *et al.* 356 2004). Jena Experiment plots are maintained by weeding (two or three times per year). All plots are 357 358 mown twice per year and mown biomass is removed, a common management practice of meadows in the region, and do not receive any fertilizers. The Jena Experiment includes two invasion sub-359 experiments, which are nested within the main experiment plots as subplots; one set of these Jena 360 "invasion" plots was not weeded after initial sowing and studied regularly until 2009, another set was 361

weeded initially, but weeding halted in 2010^{32} ; here, we use the former for 2003-2009 and the latter for 362 2010–2015. Jena mown "succession" plots were not initially sown and are excluded from all 363 364 management except for the mowing. These plots represent intermediate successional stages between the biodiversity experiment and the real-world systems, so they were included in the multivariate 365 366 analysis of community-property overlap (Fig. 1). However, given that they are influenced by initial sowing, and that vegetation surveys were performed using different methodology (see below), they 367 368 were not considered real-world counterparts when constraining the Jena Experiment to realistic plots 369 (see below).

370 As a real-world counterpart to the Jena Experiment, we chose the grassland plots of the 371 Biodiversity Exploratories project (hereafter: "German real world"). This large-scale, long-term 372 research project was established in 2006 to assess the effects of land-use intensity on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in three regions of Germany⁴¹. The 150 grassland plots measure 50 m \times 50 m 373 and were selected to cover a wide and representative range of land-use intensities, here composed of 374 varying levels of mowing frequency, grazing intensity and fertilization⁶⁹. Species richness in 375 Exploratories grasslands ranges from nine to 70 species, within a 4 m \times 4 m subplot, across all years 376 377 used in our study (see Supporting Fig. S8 for details on land-use intensity in the Biodiversity 378 Exploratories plots and its impact on the comparability of experimental and real-world communities). Exploratories data were augmented by the inclusion of data from 14 grasslands in the Saale river valley 379 380 near the Jena Experiment (unpublished data; hereafter: "Jena real-world"). These grasslands are usually 381 mown twice per year; most are unfertilized and some are moderately fertilized.

The Cedar Creek biodiversity experiment e120 (hereafter: "BioDIV";^{5,26,38,70}) was selected as a North-American example of a long-term biodiversity experiment, while a suite of other naturallyassembled grasslands at Cedar Creek served as nearby real-world communities. BioDIV was

385 established in 1994, when 1, 2, 4, 8 or 16 species were randomly drawn from an 18-species pool and 386 sown across 168 13 m \times 13 m plots at the Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve in Minnesota, USA. 387 Several datasets of local experiments and observation plots served as local real-world comparison for BioDIV. Experiments e001 (hereafter: "Fertilization 1") and e002 (hereafter: 388 389 "Fertilization 2") were set up in 1982 to study the long-term effects of fertilization with nitrogen and 390 other nutrients, ranging from low rates of nutrient inputs that are similar to atmospheric N deposition 391 rates to high rates of fertilization similar to that used in agriculture. They consist of 324 plots located 392 across three successional grassland fields (324 plots = 2 fertilization experiments \times 3 old fields \times 9 393 fertilization treatments \times 6 replicates) that differ in their age since abandonment from agriculture and 45 plots in one never-plowed oak savannah in Fertilization 1 (45 plots = 9 nutrient treatments \times 5 394 replicates)⁴³. Plot sizes were 4 m \times 4 m in the younger fields and 2 m \times 4 m in the oak savannah. In 395 396 contrast to Fertilization 1, Fertilization 2 plots were agriculturally disked before receiving nutrient 397 addition treatments. Plot-level species richness in the two fertilization studies ranged from one to 28 398 species across all years used in our study. Established in 1983 and 1989, the Cedar Creek project e014 (hereafter "Old field succession chronosequence") offers vegetation data from four to six observational 399 400 transects in each of 23 different fields repeated seven times between 1983 and 2011 to study succession after agricultural abandonment⁴⁵. Cedar Creek project e093 (hereafter: "Oak savannah"), established in 401 1991, offers data from 30 2 m \times 2 m prairie opening plots of natural vegetation^{71,72}. This combination of 402 403 Cedar Creek datasets was chosen to represent a variety of real-world plant communities that were 404 comparable to the BioDIV experiment. Note that while Central European grasslands depend on anthropogenic management (mowing, grazing) to prevent succession to forest, the US prairies are 405 naturally fire-disturbed, hence the selection of agricultural plots as the German real-world grassland. 406 407 Please note that while all above-described datasets were used to illustrate multivariate overlap in plant

408 community properties (Fig. 1a,b,e,f), only a subset was used to constrain the biodiversity experiment
409 data to realistic plots as different vegetation-survey techniques in the old field succession
410 chronosequence and the oak savannah datasets (transects and subplots) made these data relatively
411 incomparable (Fig. 1c,d,g,h; see below). For an overview of the datasets used in this study and online
412 resources to obtain the original data, see Table S1 in Supporting Information.

413 2. Plant-community properties

414 Vascular plant cover and biomass

In the Jena Experiment, vegetation surveys were performed annually in the second half of May on a 3 415 $m \times 3$ m subplot of each plot and species-specific cover data was collected. Note that, in the Jena 416 "main" plots, only target species (vascular plants originally sown in the respective plots) were 417 418 recorded. Vegetation surveys of the invasion and succession plots were performed annually in 2 m \times 419 2.25 m subplots (2003-2009) or 3 m x 3 m subplots (2010-2015), assessing all present species. We used 420 Jena vegetation data from 2003–2015 (succession data only from 2003–2009). In the Biodiversity Exploratories (German real-world plots), species-specific vascular plant cover was estimated annually 421 422 on a 4 m \times 4 m subplot of each plot between Mid-May and Mid-June. Here, we used all data from 2008-2015. Data from the 3 m \times 3 m vegetation surveys of Jena real-world plots was available for May 423 2011. 424

To test if the different vegetation survey areas in Jena and the Biodiversity Exploratories might bias the relative abundance of vascular plant species and thus the calculation of abundance-weighted community properties, a separate survey of 27 Biodiversity Exploratories plots, which covered a strong land-use intensity gradient, was performed by sampling species-specific cover in a series of nested 4 m $\times 4 \text{ m}$ (16 m², comparable to Exploratories vegetation survey area), 3 m $\times 3 \text{ m}$ (9 m², comparable to 430 Jena Experiment and Jena real world) and 2 m \times 2 m (4 m², similar to Jena invasion and succession) 431 subplots. As cover estimates did not show any sign of systematic variation (Supporting Information, Fig. S9), we concluded that the different survey areas were unlikely to bias our analysis for the relative-432 abundance weighted community properties. We also compared species richness for the 27 16 m² and 9 433 434 m² subplots using a paired t-test. This showed a significantly lower species richness in the smaller 435 subplots. On average, the 9 m² subplots had only 89 % of the species richness of the 16 m² subplots. 436 Down-scaling species-richness related community properties based on such a coarse relationship 437 established for only a subset of plots in only one year seemed inappropriate. However, data show that 438 our results should be robust to differing vegetation survey areas of the datasets included in our study as 439 species richness and most other taxonomic diversity community properties (except for D2 and SEve) were removed from the multidimensional comparison (PCA approach) based on the assessment of 440 441 variance inflation factors (see below).

For BioDIV, a combination of species-specific cover data (1996–2000) and species-specific aboveground peak biomass (2001–2015) data was used to calculate plant community relative abundance. Previous analyses have shown that this difference in methodology does not affect the conclusions of analyses investigating species-richness effects on biomass⁷³. Cover estimates for BioDIV were obtained by averaging the estimates from four permanently-marked subplots (each 0.5 m × 1 m) within each plot. Species-specific biomass in BioDIV was obtained by annually clipping 0.1 m × 6 m strips on each plot, drying and sorting the resulting biomass to species.

For Fertilization 1 and Fertilization 2, species-specific plant above ground biomass data was collected annually at peak biomass by clipping a $0.1 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}$ strip of vegetation per plot, sorting and drying it. Years 1982–2004 were used for Fertilization 1 and 1982–1991 for Fertilization 2 as these years maintained the original, balanced treatment design, which was later changed to add further

treatments. For the old field succession chronosequence plots, species-specific cover values were used 453 454 for seven years between 1983 and 2011. Each of the 23 fields had four transects (except for two fields 455 with six transects) of 25 subplots each. For comparability to the other datasets, the 25 transect subplots of $0.5 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ in each transect were treated as one plot by averaging species-specific cover values 456 457 across the subplots within transects resulting in four (or six) plots for each of the 23 fields (96 plots=21 fields \times 4 plots + 2 fields \times 6 plots). For the oak savannah dataset, only plant species cover from 1991 458 was used: later years were excluded because they were affected by a seed addition treatment. Species-459 460 specific cover was averaged across the 16 0.5 m \times 0.5 m subplots per plot.

461 For comparative analyses, different years were chosen for these different datasets due to varying availability of measurements and to ensure a consistently-balanced design of the experimental 462 463 treatments in cases where additional treatments were added at a later stage. The transects in the old 464 field succession chronosequence are likely to inflate certain community properties because their 465 subplots span out further across the respective sites than a square plot of the same area would. 466 Similarly, the averaging across subplots in the oak savannah dataset might influence the direct comparability to the biodiversity experiment data. As such, data from the old field succession 467 468 chronosequence and the oak savannah dataset are shown in Fig. 1e to put the BioDIV data into perspective by adding different kinds of real-world data. However, when it came to constraining 469 biodiversity experiment data with the real-world data (Fig. 1g), we took a conservative approach and 470 471 included only those real-world datasets that were most comparable in terms of survey methodology 472 (Fertilization 1 and 2; hereafter: Combined US real world). Similarly, for the Jena Experiment realworld counterparts, we considered only the German real world and Jena real-world plots as purely non-473 biodiversity experiment plots in Fig. 1c (hereafter: Combined German real world). 474

475 To enable direct comparisons of plant communities, species-specific cover and biomass values

476 for all projects were transformed to relative abundance where the single abundance values within each 477 community sum to 100. In order to do this, all Jena Experiment cover values (originally estimated on a decimal scale⁷⁴) were first transformed to percent cover values⁷⁵. Where vegetation covered more or 478 less than 100% of the vegetation survey area (29 % of all communities in the German dataset had total 479 480 cover below 100 %), it was scaled to 100% for the calculation of relative abundance and, subsequently, 481 community properties. Some communities had a low overall cover, indicating bare ground. 482 Specifically, although communities with a high percentage of bare ground were present in both 483 experiments and the real-world, they were more common in the German biodiversity experiment than 484 in its real-world counterparts. An equivalent assessment in the US datasets was not possible as relative abundance was here based on biomass rather than cover data for most communities - see above). 485 Removing high-bare ground communities, where possible, might have led to an arbitrary, artificial 486 487 convergence of plant-community properties from biodiversity experiments and real-world communities 488 that would have weakened the direct comparison between those plant communities, a central aim of 489 this study. Consequently, all communities were retained in the analysis.

490 *Species synonyms and phylogeny*

As we used plant species cover, biomass, and trait data from multiple sources based on research across decades and different geographic regions, there was considerable variation in the classification and nomenclature of species. Additionally, since the TRY database⁷⁶ was queried for plant traits and we also used a phylogenetic backbone tree (see below), the various datasets contained species names that might not all currently have the status of "accepted" names, challenging the linkage of the different datasets. This issue was dealt with by creating "code" data frames that linked all original spellings, outdated and synonym names which appeared in original data files to the respective accepted species names obtained

498 using The Plant List via function "TPL" in R package "Taxonstand"⁷⁷.

499 To calculate phylogenetic diversity metrics and to use phylogenetic relatedness to assist the 500 imputation of missing trait data, a phylogenetic tree of all plant species was created and included in our study. We adopted the nomenclatural criteria in The Plant List v. 1.178 for the species in our dataset, and 501 pruned the updated vascular plant megaphylogeny by Qian & Jin⁷⁹ to include only the species in our 502 study (n = 664). We used the software SUNPLIN⁸⁰ to add the species lacking from the megaphylogeny 503 504 (n=132 or 19.9% of all species in our study) at random within the crown nodes of the corresponding 505 monophyletic genera. In a few cases where the genera of the missing species were polyphyletic 506 (Potentilla, Medicago, Solidago, Galium) or paraphyletic (Calamagrostis, Vicia), we inserted the 507 species at random within the nodes representing the most recent common ancestors that unequivocally contain them (see⁸¹). We repeated this procedure iteratively to obtain 50 phylogenetic trees (see 508 509 Supporting Information, Fig. S10 for one example tree and the distribution of randomly inserted 510 species). When using the phylogenetic trees in the subsequent data analysis (calculation of 511 phylogenetic diversity metrics and plant trait imputation), all 50 trees were used and results were 512 averaged.

513 Functional trait data

In order to calculate community weighted mean trait values for all plant communities, functional trait data from the TRY database (see Supporting Information, Table S15) were complemented with in-situ collected trait data from Cedar Creek and not published in TRY. Plant species specific functional trait values were calculated separately for the German and US species subsets.

518 Trait data for leaf area (mm²), leaf dry mass (mg), leaf dry matter content (LDMC, g/g), leaf 519 nitrogen concentration (leaf N, mg/g), leaf phosphorus concentration (leaf P, mg/g), plant height (m),

specific leaf area (SLA, mm²/mg) and seed mass (dry mass in mg) were assembled⁸². These traits were 520 selected as they are important for ecosystem functioning^{46,47} and data for them was available. For the 521 details of processing TRY and other trait data to generate species-level values, see Supporting Methods. 522 To fill gaps in trait data, trait values from same-genus species with available trait information 523 were inferred. Subsequently, the "phylopars" function in the R package "Rphylopars"⁸³ was employed 524 to impute missing data based on available information on other traits and the phylogenetic tree⁸⁴. 525 526 Before imputation, all trait data was natural-log transformed. To account for phylogenetic uncertainty 527 (see above), trait data for all 50 phylogenetic trees was imputed and averaged. Subsequently, the plant species and their trait values were visualized in a PCA for each region (Supporting Information, Fig. 528 529 S11) to check for strong outliers and check the outlier-species' ability to score extreme values. For details on the importance of species without original trait data (before genus inference and imputation) 530 531 and for the number of species with identical trait information after inference and imputation, see 532 Supporting Information Table S16.

533 Calculation of plant-community properties

534 Before calculating plant-community properties, tree species, occurring as seedlings, were removed 535 from all datasets. This was because of their strong impact on the calculated CWM's and functional 536 metrics, due to strong differences in trait expression between sapling (observed in the grasslands) and 537 adult trees (studied for functional traits), and the fact that most grasslands in these climates, including 538 the experiments, are grazed, mown or burned regularly, thus preventing tree invasion. Plant-community properties were calculated for each plot-year combination so that the temporal development 539 (succession) of plots was accounted for in our analysis. As taxonomic diversity indices, we calculated 540 species richness (S), Shannon's diversity (H), Simpson's diversity index (D1), and inverse Simpson's 541

diversity index (D2) (calculated as D1=1-D and D2=1/D, where D is the sum over all pi^2 and pi are 542 the relative abundances of all species i) with functions "specnumber" and "diversity" in R package 543 "vegan"⁸⁵ and Simpson's evenness (SEve, by dividing D2 by S)⁸⁶⁻⁸⁹. As phylogenetic diversity indices, 544 we used Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD), mean pairwise distance (MPD), and mean nearest taxon 545 distance (MNTD)⁹⁰ with functions "pd", "mpd" and "mntd" in R package "picante"⁹¹, where MPD and 546 547 MNTD were calculated with abundance-weighting. All three phylogenetic diversity properties were calculated for each of the 50 phylogenetic trees and averaged to account for phylogenetic uncertainty 548 549 (see above). For the calculation of the functional diversity indices functional richness (FRic), functional 550 evenness (FEve), functional divergence (FDiv), functional dispersion (FDis), Rao's quadratic entropy (RaoQ)⁹²⁻⁹⁴ and community weighted mean traits (CWM's) the function "dbFD" in the R package 551 "FD"^{93,95} was used with correction method "cailliez". As function "dbFD" relies on the computation of 552 553 a Gower dissimilarity matrix where zero-dissimilarity values between two species (identical trait 554 values) are not allowed, we slightly altered the trait values of a small number of species by deliberately 555 increasing all trait values by 0.001 to 0.002% for the function to run. For each of the respective species pairs, only the species with the lower overall cover (throughout the regional dataset) received this 556 557 alteration (Supporting Information, Table S17). For all but FRic, the abundance-weighted versions of these indices were computed. Communities comprising less than three species were assigned a value of 558 zero for FRic, FEve, FDiv, PD, MPD and MNTD, as their computation is not possible for such 559 communities. 560

561 **3. Multivariate analysis of experiment and real-world intersection**

562 *Multivariate comparison*

563 All analyses were carried out in R version 3.4.2%. Here, a multivariate PCA approach was employed,

564 based on numerous plant-community properties to assess the distribution, similarities and differences 565 between plant communities of biodiversity experiments and real-world systems. Our approach is based 566 on the relative distribution of plant communities in multidimensional, multivariate space. As this distribution is highly-dependent on the community properties entering the PCAs and the information 567 they carry, we took care to avoid multicollinearity⁹⁷ among these community properties, to not over-568 amplify information shared by several community properties. To quantitatively assess which variables 569 570 carried redundant information, we tested for multicollinearity of community properties by calculating 571 variance inflation factors (hereafter: vif; R function "corvif" provided by⁹⁸). In the German and US 572 dataset, we sequentially removed the variables with the highest variance inflation factor until all vif values were <3. Only the last of the eight variables to remove differed between the German and US 573 datasets, so for comparability between regional datasets, we removed all nine variables from both 574 575 datasets (see Supporting Information, Table S18 and S19). Specifically, H, FDis, S, leaf area, D1, PD, 576 MPD, RaoO and FDiv were removed (in order of sequential removal) and only the following 12 577 community properties were employed in the PCA's: D2, SEve, FRic, FEve, SLA, leaf dry mass, leaf N, leaf P, seed mass, height, LDMC, and MNTD (Fig. 1b and f; see Supporting Information Tables S20 578 579 and S21 for variance explained by all PCA axes and scores of the 12 community properties for the first 580 two axes, respectively). This vif-justified removal of community properties that were highly correlated 581 with S also helps with the issue of differences in species richness being caused by differing vegetation-582 survey areas in the German real-world and Jena Experiment communities (see above). To test what 583 impact the selection of community properties entering the PCA had on our results, we re-ran our analysis using various subsets of community properties or all of them (see below, Supporting 584 information on sensitivity analyses I, and Tables S2, S3, and S4). Separate community property PCA's 585 586 were computed for the German and USA data subsets using the "rda" function in R package "vegan"

(with variables scaled to avoid bias due to different range-size of properties) and the data was
visualized in biplots with 95% confidence ellipses (Fig. 1a and e, see Supporting Information Table
S22 for full dataset entering the PCA's).

590 Intersection-calculation methods

591 The intersection between experimental and real-world plots was calculated using three different 592 methods of differing complexity, all based on the community-property PCA's presented in Fig. 1a and 593 e. Intersections were calculated between two groups of data per geographic region: a) all experimental 594 communities across all years and b) a subset of the most comparable and data-rich real-world datasets 595 (combined real-world datasets). As described above, for Jena, the related combined real-world communities used in this intersection analysis were only the German real-world communities 596 597 (Biodiversity Exploratories) and the Jena real-world communities. For BioDIV, only Fertilization 1 and 598 Fertilization 2 plots were used as the combined real-world counterparts when calculating the intersections. First, the first two PCA axes were used to assess the two-dimensional intersection of 95% 599 confidence ellipses for experimental and real-world data using the functions "ellipse" and 600 "point.in.polygon" in R packages "car"⁹⁹ and "sp"^{100,101}, respectively (Supporting Information, Fig. S1). 601 602 Second, the first three PCA axes were employed to compute the intersection of three-dimensional convex hull volumes using functions "convhulln" and "tsearchn" in R package "geometry"¹⁰² (Fig. 1c 603 604 and g show 2-dimensional representation of 3-dimensional convex hull volume). Third, using the first 605 three PCA axes, three-dimensional hypervolumes were computed using the "hypervolume" package in R^{103} . The intersection hypervolume of the experimental and real-world hypervolumes was then 606 calculated and function "hypervolume inclusion test" was used to assess which communities fall in 607 608 the intersection hypervolume (Supporting Information, Fig. S1). For the subsequent analysis of

609 diversity-functioning (hereafter: BEF) relationships, experimental plots were defined as realistic if their 610 plant communities fell inside the intersection in at least one of the years present in the dataset. Higher thresholds (e.g., 90 % of the years inside the intersection) may be inappropriate given that the early 611 years of the experiment see the establishment of sown communities, and would have rendered too few 612 613 Jena Experiment plots realistic to adequately assess biodiversity-functioning relationships in constrained datasets (Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I and Tables S3 and S4). As such, 614 615 the inclusion criterion used resulted in the selection of the most realistic experimental plots, while also 616 providing a sufficient number of realistic plots to compare biodiversity-functioning relationships in 617 constrained and unconstrained datasets. Given this threshold, each plot in the experiments was either defined as realistic (the plot's plant community was within the intersection in at least one year) or 618 unrealistic. Calculating the intersection based on three different methods of different complexity 619 620 demonstrated that the selection of realistic communities was largely insensitive to the underlying methodology (Supporting Information, Table S3 and S4). Therefore, we focus our analyses on using 621 three-dimensional convex-hull volumes, a method of intermediate complexity, and present results for 622 623 the other methods in the Supporting Information.

624 4. Measurement of ecosystem-function variables

A range of above- and belowground ecosystem process rates and state variables was selected as ecosystem functions from the Jena Experiment and BioDIV in such a way that the functions of these experiments were as comparable as possible. Only function data obtained between 2006 and 2015 (at least 4 years after initiation of the experiments) was used because BEF relationships shortly after the initial establishment of experiments are often unrepresentative of longer-term trends^{26,104}. These selection criteria resulted in the following functions: Plant aboveground biomass (biomass),

aboveground plant biomass C:N ratio (plant C:N), soil organic carbon (C) and root biomass were
available for both experiments. As soil inorganic C should not play a role at BioDIV due to the sandy
soil, measurements of total C can be considered representative of organic C stocks here (see Supporting
Methods). Herbivory rate, soil microbial biomass C, phosphatase activity, and pollinator abundance
were only available for Jena. For details regarding the measurement of these ecosystem functions in the
Jena Experiment and BioDIV; please refer to the Supporting Methods section.

637 5. Statistical analysis of unconstrained and constrained experimental BEF relationships

638 In order to assess whether – and how much – BEF relationships change when excluding unrealistic plots from the analysis, each relationship was first analyzed in the unconstrained dataset with all 639 experimental plots. Subsequently, biodiversity experiment datasets were constrained to only include 640 realistic plots and the models were re-run. For ecosystem function variables with multiple years of data, 641 642 values were averaged across years and simple linear models were fit that tested for the effect of realized 643 target species richness (log₂, averaged per plot between 2006 and 2015) on the individual functions. 644 Where necessary, square-root or \log_{10} -transformation was applied to response variables to meet model 645 assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity of variances. For each of the resulting relationships, 646 slope estimates and their 95% confidence intervals (function "confint" in R) were calculated. Slopes and confidence intervals of each pair of constrained and unconstrained relationships were compared to 647 648 decide if the slope or sign of the relationship had changed. If confidence intervals of unconstrained and 649 constrained slopes included each other's mean value, we concluded that they were not significantly different. Additionally, a paired t-test, directly comparing the slope values estimated from 650 651 unconstrained and constrained data subsets (for the twelve BEF relationships in Fig. 2, n=12) was performed. 652

653 6. Sensitivity analyses

654 Since our analysis involved many decisions on which variables to include and what exact analytical 655 pathway to follow, and these decisions might affect our results, several sensitivity analyses were 656 performed regarding different aspects of our analysis.

657 To test if different subsets of community properties entering the PCA affected our results, our analysis was re-run for combinations of i) different subsets of community properties, i.e. a) the vif-658 659 selected 12 community properties (presented in the main text), b) all available 21 community properties, and c) four subsets excluding one class of community properties (taxonomic, phylogenetic, 660 functional diversity, or CWM functional traits, respectively) and ii) three methods to compute the 661 662 intersection between biodiversity experiment and real-world plots described above (Supporting Information, Fig. S1 and S6). These community-property subsets were used to demonstrate how 663 664 strongly the results were influenced by each class of community properties. To keep the number of 665 sensitivity analyses manageable given the high number of possible combinations of community 666 properties and overlap calculation methods, only the vif-selected subset and the set containing all 21 667 community properties were tested with all three methods. Additionally, we conducted a series of sensitivity analyses that assessed the impact of other methodological changes on the PCA-based 668 selection of realistic biodiversity experiment plots. They include: using more subsets of community 669 670 properties (sensitivity analysis A), including more principal components (axes) of the PCA to define 671 realistic plots based on higher-dimensional space (B), including all available real-world datasets (not just the most methodologically comparable ones, C), using different inclusion criteria to define 672 experimental plots as realistic (D), using species-abundance based NMDS rather than community-673 property based PCA's to assess intersections of different datasets (E, Supporting Information Fig. S5) 674

and including only those German real-world plots in the PCA's that resemble the Jena Experiment in

676 their land use (F). Details on the methodology and results of these sensitivity analyses are described in

677 the Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I, Tables S2, S3, and S4, and Figures S1, S5, S6.

To test if shifts in significance of BEF relationships in **Fig. 2** simply resulted from the strong reduction of error degrees of freedom associated with using data subsets, we performed a sensitivity analysis in which we randomly selected the same proportion of plots as realistic as that in our PCAdriven selection of realistic plots, 500 times for each relationship (Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses II, Fig. S7a). In addition, we performed an alternative version of this sensitivity analysis that restricted the random draws of Jena Experiment plots to only those with a species richness falling within the truncated species-richness gradient of the realistic Jena plots (Fig. S7b).

To gain further insight into our findings at Jena, data from experimental plots which were abandoned and allowed to undergo natural succession (Jena invasion plots) were more closely analyzed. Over time, these migrated towards the multivariate community-property space occupied by real-world communities (Supporting Information, Fig. S2).

689 Acknowledgements

690 We thank the establishers, maintainers, coordinators, technical and research staff, and data owners of all 691 involved projects, the TRY initiative and data owners. Santiago Soliveres, Eric Allan for discussion, 692 and Sven Thiel, Guangiuan Luo and Dan Bahauddin, and Florian Schneider for help with data 693 extraction and handling, Robert Junker and Benjamin Blonder assisted with the calculation of 694 multidimensional hypervolumes. This study was funded through Jena Experiment SP 7 (Swiss National Science Foundation 310030E-166017/1). Further support came from the German Centre for Integrative 695 696 Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, funded by the German Research Foundation (FZT 697 118). The Jena Experiment was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FOR 456 and FOR 1451) with additional support from Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Max Planck Institute for 698 Biogeochemistry in Jena, and Swiss National Science Foundation. All Cedar Creek studies are funded 699 700 by the US National Science Foundation's Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) program (DEB-701 1234162). FI acknowledges funding from the LTER Network Communications Office (DEB-1545288).

702 We are grateful for the constructive feedback and suggestions by three anonymous reviewers.

703 Data accessibility

We provide aggregated datasets with plant-community properties and ecosystem function data at first submission to enable editors and referees to run our main analyses. Currently, these datasets partly underlie project-specific embargo periods and need to be treated confidentially. All data will be a) uploaded to an online repository, b) submitted as supplemental files upon acceptance of the article or c) be made available within project databases after the respective project-defined embargo periods. Upon request by editors or referees, we are happy to provide all data at an earlier stage.

710 Code availability

- 711 We provide R-code for running the main analyses and creating Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 based on aggregated
- 712 datasets at first submission. All R-code for data crunching and analyses will be a) uploaded to an online
- repository, b) submitted as supplemental files upon acceptance of the article or c) be made available
- vithin project databases after the respective project-defined embargo periods. Upon request by editors
- or referees, we are happy to provide all R-code at an earlier stage.

716 Author contributions

- 717 MJ, PM, MF and FvdP conceived and designed the study; all authors except for FvdP, RM-V, CP and
- 718 AR contributed data; MJ developed the analytical framework and analyzed the data; RM-V constructed
- the phylogenetic hypothesis trees; MJ and PM wrote the manuscript; all authors contributed to the
- 720 discussion of results and writing of the manuscript.

721 Competing interests

722 The authors declare no competing interests.

723 References

- 1. Cardinale, B. J. et al. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature* **486**, 59–67 (2012).
- 725 2. Tilman, D., Isbell, F. & Cowles, J. M. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Annu. Rev. Ecol.*726 *Evol. Syst.* 45, 471–493 (2014).
- 3. Isbell, F. *et al.* Linking the influence and dependence of people on biodiversity across scales. *Nature* 546, 65–72 (2017).
- van der Plas, F. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in naturally assembled communities. *Biol. Rev.* online-ear, (2019).
- 731 5. Tilman, D. et al. Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. Science. 294,

- 732 843–845 (2001).
- Roscher, C., Schumacher, J. & Baade, J. The role of biodiversity for element cycling and trophic
 interactions: an experimental approach in a grassland community. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 121, 107–
 121 (2004).
- 736 7. Hooper, D. U. *et al.* Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. *Ecol. Monogr.* **75**, 3–35 (2005).
- 738 8. Cardinale, B. J. *et al.* The functional role of producer diversity in ecosystems. *Am. J. Bot.* 98, 572–592 (2011).
- 740 9. O'Connor, M. I. *et al.* A general biodiversity–function relationship is mediated by trophic level.
 741 *Oikos* 126, 18–31 (2017).
- Huston, M. A. Hidden treatments in ecological experiments: re-evaluating the ecosystem
 function of biodiversity. *Oecologia* 110, 449–460 (1997).
- Grime, J. P. Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: Immediate, filter and founder effects. *J. Ecol.* 86, 902–910 (1998).
- Wardle, D. A. *et al.* Biodiversity and ecosystem function: an issue in ecology. *Bull. Ecol. Soc. Am.* 81, 235–239 (2000).
- Leps, J. What do the biodiversity experiments tell us about consequences of plant species loss in
 the real world? *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 5, 529–534 (2004).
- Srivastava, D. S. & Vellend, M. Biodiversity-ecosystem function research: is it relevant to conservation? *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 36, 267–294 (2005).
- Duffy, J. E. Why biodiversity is important to the functioning of real-world ecosystems. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 7, 437–444 (2008).
- Duffy, J. E. Biodiversity effects: trends and exceptions a reply to Wardle and Jonsson. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 8, 11–12 (2010).
- Wardle, D. A. & Jonsson, M. Biodiversity effects in real ecosystems a response to Duffy.
 Front. Ecol. Environ. 8, 10–11 (2010).
- Wardle, D. A. Do experiments exploring plant diversity-ecosystem functioning relationships
 inform how biodiversity loss impacts natural ecosystems? *J. Veg. Sci.* 27, 646–653 (2016).
- Manning, P. *et al.* Transferring biodiversity-ecosystem function research to the management of
 'real-world' ecosystems. in *Advances in Ecological Research 61* 323–356 (2019).
- 762 20. Schulze, E.-D. & Mooney, H. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning. (Springer, 1993).

763 764	21.	Naeem, S., Thompson, L. J., Lawler, S. P., Lawton, J. H. & Woodfin, R. M. Declining biodiversity can alter the performance of ecosystems. <i>Nature</i> 368 , 734–737 (1994).
765 766	22.	Balvanera, P. <i>et al.</i> Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and services. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 9 , 1146–56 (2006).
767 768	23.	Hines, J. <i>et al.</i> Mapping change in biodiversity and ecosystem function research: food webs foster integration of experiments and science policy. <i>Adv. Ecol. Res.</i> 61 , 297–322 (2019).
769 770	24.	Tilman, D., Wedin, D. & Knops, J. Productivity and sustainability influenced by biodiversity in grassland ecosystems. <i>Nature</i> 379 , 718–720 (1996).
771 772	25.	Loreau, M. <i>et al.</i> Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Current Knowledge and Future Challenges. <i>Science.</i> 294 , 804–808 (2001).
773 774	26.	Reich, P. B. <i>et al.</i> Impacts of biodiversity loss escalate through time as redundancy fades. <i>Science</i> . 336 , 589–592 (2012).
775 776	27.	Wilsey, B. J. & Potvin, C. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Importance of species evenness in an old field. <i>Ecology</i> 81 , 887–892 (2000).
777 778	28.	Wilsey, B. J. & Polley, H. W. Realistically Low Species Evenness Does Not Alter Grassland Species-Richness–Productivity Relationships. <i>Ecology</i> 85 , 2693–2700 (2004).
779 780	29.	Hillebrand, H., Bennett, D. & Cadotte, M. Consequences of dominance: A Review of evenness effects on local and regional ecosystem processes. <i>Ecology</i> 89 , 1510–1520 (2008).
781 782	30.	Schmitz, M. <i>et al.</i> Consistent Effects of Biodiversity on Ecosystem Functioning Under Varying Density and Evenness. <i>Folia Geobot.</i> 48 , 335–353 (2013).
783 784 785	31.	Finn, J. A. <i>et al.</i> Ecosystem function enhanced by combining four functional types of plant species in intensively managed grassland mixtures: A 3-year continental-scale field experiment. <i>J. Appl. Ecol.</i> 50 , 365–375 (2013).
786 787	32.	Weisser, W. W. <i>et al.</i> Biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning in a 15-year grassland experiment: patterns, mechanisms, and open questions. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 23 , 1–73 (2017).
788 789	33.	Schmid, B. & Hector, A. The value of biodiversity experiments. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 5 , 535–542 (2004).
790 791 792	34.	Eisenhauer, N. <i>et al.</i> Biodiversity-ecosystem function experiments reveal the mechanisms underlying the consequences of biodiversity change in real world ecosystems. <i>J. Veg. Sci.</i> 27, 1061–1070 (2016).
793 794	35.	Isbell, F. <i>et al.</i> Nutrient enrichment, biodiversity loss, and consequent declines in ecosystem productivity. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> 110 , 11911–11916 (2013).

- 795 Duffy, J. E., Godwin, C. M. & Cardinale, B. J. Biodiversity effects in the wild are common and 36. 796 as strong as key drivers of productivity. *Nature* 549, 261–264 (2017). 797 37. Buchmann, T. et al. Connecting experimental biodiversity research to real-world grasslands. 798 Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 33, 78–88 (2018). 799 38. Tilman, D. et al. The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. Science. 277, 1300–1302 (1997). 800 39. 801 Tilman, D., Reich, P. B. & Isbell, F. Biodiversity impacts ecosystem productivity as much as 802 resources, disturbance, or herbivory. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 10394-10397 (2012). 40. Isbell, F. et al. Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate 803 804 extremes. Nature 526, 574-577 (2015). 41. Fischer, M. et al. Implementing large-scale and long-term functional biodiversity research: The 805 806 Biodiversity Exploratories. Basic Appl. Ecol. 11, 473-485 (2010). Soliveres, S. et al. Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for ecosystem 807 42. 808 multifunctionality. Nature 536, 456-459 (2016). 809 43. Tilman, D. Secondary succession and the pattern of plant dominance along experimental 810 nitrogen gradients. Ecol. Monogr. 57, 189-214 (1987). 44. Clark, C. M. & Tilman, D. Loss of plant species after chronic low-level nitrogen deposition to 811 prairie grasslands. Nature 451, 712-715 (2008). 812 813 45. Inouye, R. et al. Old-field succession on a Minnesota sand plain. Ecology 68, 12–26 (1987). 814 Díaz, S. et al. The global spectrum of plant form and function. Nature 529, 1–17 (2015). 46. 815 47. Craven, D. et al. Multiple facets of biodiversity drive the diversity-stability relationship. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 2, 1579–1587 (2018). 816 Nakamura, G., Gonçalves, L. O. & Duarte, L. da S. Revisiting the dimensionality of biological 817 48. diversity. Ecography (Cop.). 1-10 (2019). doi:10.1111/ecog.04574 818 819 49. Stevens, R. D. & Tello, J. S. On the measurement of dimensionality of biodiversity. Glob. Ecol. 820 Biogeogr. 23, 1115–1125 (2014). Manning, P. et al. Simple measures of climate, soil properties and plant traits predict national-821 50. scale grassland soil carbon stocks. J. Appl. Ecol. 52, 1188-1196 (2015). 822 Loreau, M. & Hector, A. Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. 823 51. 824 *Nature* **412**, 72–76 (2001).
- 825 52. Allan, E. et al. Land use intensification alters ecosystem multifunctionality via loss of

826		biodiversity and changes to functional composition. Ecol. Lett. 18, 834-843 (2015).
827 828 829	53.	Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y. <i>et al.</i> Phylogenetic, functional, and taxonomic richness have both positive and negative effects on ecosystem multifunctionality. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 116 , 8419–8424 (2019).
830 831 832	54.	Venail, P. <i>et al.</i> Species richness, but not phylogenetic diversity, influences community biomass production and temporal stability in a re-examination of 16 grassland biodiversity studies. <i>Funct. Ecol.</i> 29 , 615–626 (2015).
833 834	55.	Hillebrand, H. & Matthiessen, B. Biodiversity in a complex world: consolidation and progress in functional biodiversity research. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 12 , 1405–1419 (2009).
835 836	56.	Grace, J. B. <i>et al.</i> Integrative modelling reveals mechanisms linking productivity and plant species richness. <i>Nature</i> 529 , 390–393 (2016).
837 838	57.	Liang, J. <i>et al.</i> Positive biodiversity-productivity relationship predominant in global forests. <i>Science.</i> 354 , aaf8957 (2016).
839 840 841	58.	Oehri, J., Schmid, B., Schaepman-Strub, G. & Niklaus, P. A. Biodiversity promotes primary productivity and growing season lengthening at the landscape scale. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> 114 , 10160–10165 (2017).
842 843	59.	Díaz, S. <i>et al.</i> Incorporating plant functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 104 , 20684–20689 (2007).
844 845	60.	Lavorel, S. <i>et al.</i> Using plant functional traits to understand the landscape distribution of multiple ecosystem services. <i>J. Ecol.</i> 99 , 135–147 (2011).
846 847	61.	Schmid, B. The species richness-productivity controversy. <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 17 , 113–114 (2002).
848 849	62.	Loreau, M. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: A mechanistic model. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 95 , 5632–5636 (1998).
850 851	63.	Maestre, F. T. <i>et al.</i> Plant species richness and ecosystem multifunctionality in global drylands. <i>Science.</i> 335 , 214–218 (2012).
852 853	64.	van der Plas, F. <i>et al.</i> Jack-of-all-trades effects drive biodiversity-ecosystem multifunctionality relationships in European forests. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 7 , 11109 (2016).
854 855	65.	Socher, S. A. <i>et al.</i> Direct and productivity-mediated indirect effects of fertilization, mowing and grazing on grassland species richness. <i>J. Ecol.</i> 100 , 1391–1399 (2012).
856 857	66.	Hobbs, R. J., Higgs, E. & Harris, J. a. Novel ecosystems: implications for conservation and restoration. <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 24 , 599–605 (2009).

858 859	67.	Roscher, C. <i>et al.</i> Convergent high diversity in naturally colonized experimental grasslands is not related to increased productivity. <i>Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.</i> 20 , 32–45 (2016).
860 861	68.	Ellenberg, H. & Leuschner, C. Vegetation Mitteleuropas mit den Alpen: in ökologischer, dynamischer und historischer Sicht. (UTB, 2010).
862 863	69.	Blüthgen, N. <i>et al.</i> A quantitative index of land-use intensity in grasslands: Integrating mowing, grazing and fertilization. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13 , 207–220 (2012).
864 865	70.	Tilman, D., Reich, P. B. & Knops, J. M. H. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability in a decade-long grassland experiment. <i>Nature</i> 441 , 629–632 (2006).
866 867	71.	Tilman, D. Community Invasibility, Recruitment Limitation, and Grassland Biodiversity. <i>Ecology</i> 78 , 81–92 (1997).
868 869 870	72.	Catford, J. A. <i>et al.</i> Traits linked with species invasiveness and community invasibility vary with time, stage and indicator of invasion in a long-term grassland experiment. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 22 , 593–604 (2019).
871 872 873	73.	Fargione, J. <i>et al.</i> From selection to complementarity: shifts in the causes of biodiversity- productivity relationships in a long-term biodiversity experiment. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> 274 , 871–876 (2007).
874	74.	Londo, G. The decimal scale for releves of permanent quadrats. Vegetatio 33, 61-64 (1976).
875 876	75.	Roscher, C. <i>et al.</i> What happens to the sown species if a biodiversity experiment is not weeded? <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 14 , 187–198 (2013).
877 878	76.	Kattge, J. <i>et al.</i> TRY - a global database of plant traits. <i>Glob. Chang. Biol.</i> 17 , 2905–2935 (2011).
879 880	77.	Cayuela, L., Stein, A. & Oksanen, J. Taxonstand: Taxonomic Standardization of Plant Species Names. <i>R Packag. version 2.1</i> (2017).
881	78.	The Plant List, Version 1.1. (2013). Available at: http://www.theplantlist.org/.
882 883	79.	Qian, H. & Jin, Y. An updated megaphylogeny of plants, a tool for generating plant phylogenies and an analysis of phylogenetic community structure. <i>J. Plant Ecol.</i> 9 , 233–239 (2016).
884 885	80.	Martins, W. S., Carmo, W. C., Longo, H. J., Rosa, T. C. & Rangel, T. F. SUNPLIN: Simulation with Uncertainty for Phylogenetic Investigations. <i>BMC Bioinformatics</i> 14 , (2013).
886 887	81.	Rangel, T. F. <i>et al.</i> Phylogenetic uncertainty revisited: Implications for ecological analyses. <i>Evolution (N. Y).</i> 69 , 1301–1312 (2015).
888 889	82.	Cornelissen, J. H. C. <i>et al.</i> A handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. <i>Aust. J. Bot.</i> 51 , 335–380 (2003).

- 83. Goolsby, E. W., Bruggeman, J. & Ane, C. Rphylopars: Phylogenetic Comparative Tools for
 Missing Data and Within-Species Variation. (2016).
- 892 84. Penone, C. *et al.* Imputation of missing data in life-history trait datasets: Which approach
 893 performs the best? *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5, 1–10 (2014).
- 894 85. Oksanen, J. et al. Vegan: community ecology package. R Packag. version 2.3-4 (2016).
- 895 86. Hill, M. Diversity and Evenness: A Unifying Notation and Its Consequences. *Ecology* 54, 427–
 896 432 (1973).
- 897 87. Smith, B. & Wilson, J. B. A Consumer's Guide to Evenness Indices. Oikos 76, 70–82 (1996).
- 898 88. Magurran, A. Measuring Biological Diversity. (Blackwell, 2004).
- 899 89. Morris, E. K. *et al.* Choosing and using diversity indices: Insights for ecological applications
 900 from the German Biodiversity Exploratories. *Ecol. Evol.* 4, 3514–3524 (2014).
- 90. Tucker, C. M. *et al.* A guide to phylogenetic metrics for conservation, community ecology and
 902 macroecology. *Biol. Rev.* 92, 698–715 (2017).
- 903 91. Kembel, S. W. *et al.* Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26, 1463–1464 (2010).
- 905 92. Villéger, S., Mason, N. W. H. & Mouillot, D. New multidimensional functional diversity indices
 906 for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. *Ecology* 89, 2290–2301 (2008).
- 907 93. Laliberte, E. & Legendre, P. A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity
 908 from multiple traits A distance-based framework for measuring from multiple traits functional
 909 diversity. *Ecology* 91, 299–305 (2010).
- 94. Mouchet, M. A., Villéger, S., Mason, N. W. H. & Mouillot, D. Functional diversity measures: an
 overview of their redundancy and their ability to discriminate community assembly rules. *Funct. Ecol.* 24, 867–876 (2010).
- 913 95. Laliberté, E., Legendre, P. & Shipley., B. FD: measuring functional diversity from multiple
 914 traits, and other tools for functional ecology. (2014).
- 915 96. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2019).
 916 doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7
- 917 97. Dormann, C. F. *et al.* Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study
 918 evaluating their performance. *Ecography (Cop.).* 36, 27–46 (2013).
- 919 98. Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N. & Elphick, C. S. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common
 920 statistical problems. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 1, 3–14 (2010).

921 99. Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. An R Companion to Applied Regression. (Thousand

- 922 100. Pebesma, E. & Bivand, R. Classes and methods for spatial data. *R News* 5, 9–13 (2005).
- 923 101. Bivand, R. S., Pebesma, E. & Gomez-Rubio, V. *Applied spatial data analysis with R*. (Springer, 2013).
- Habel, K., Grasman, R., Gramacy, R. B., Stahel, A. & Sterratt, D. C. geometry: Mesh Generation
 and Surface Tesselation. *R package version 0.4.1* (2019).
- 927 103. Blonder, B. & Harris, D. hypervolume: High Dimensional Geometry and Set Operations Using
 928 Kernel Density Estimation, Support Vector Machines, and Convex Hulls. *R Packag. ver. 2.0.11*929 (2018).
- 930 104. Meyer, S. T. *et al.* Effects of biodiversity strengthen over time as ecosystem functioning
 931 declines at low and increases at high biodiversity. *Ecosphere* 7, e01619 (2016).

932 Figures

933 Fig. 1 Experimental versus real-world communities. Upper row: German comparison (n=3.329 plot-934 vear combinations). Lower row: US comparison (n=9,954 plot-year combinations). a-c and e-g: First two axes of a PCA on 12 plant-community properties (see panels b and f, variance-inflation factor-935 selected community weighted mean traits, functional diversity, phylogenetic diversity and taxonomic 936 937 diversity metrics), where each dot represents a single plot in a single year. a and e: Distribution of the 938 experimental (orange) and various real-world plots with 95% confidence ellipses (variables scaled for 939 PCA) for each subset. b and f: PCA factor loadings for community properties (arrows proportionally increased to improve visibility - "const=25" in R vegan "biplot" function⁸⁵; see Supporting 940 941 Information, Table S21 and S22 for PCA factor loadings and the full dataset, respectively). c and g: Two-dimensional representation of three-dimensional convex hull volumes for experimental (orange) 942 943 and combined real-world communities (German real world and Jena real-world plots for the German, 944 Fertilization 1 and 2 plots for the US comparison, gray) and their intersection (shaded area). d and h: 945 Number and proportion (strong versus light color) of biodiversity experiment plots in the intersection i.e. realistic plots, where each plot with at least one annual community in the intersection is defined as 946 947 realistic. Number of years of vegetation data for each project: Jena Experiment (13), German real world 948 (8), Jena real world (1), Jena invasion (13), Jena succession (7), BioDIV (19), Fertilization 1 (23) and 2 (10), Old field succession chronosequence (7), Oak savannah (1). Abbreviations of community 949 950 properties: taxonomic diversity indices: inverse Simpson's diversity index (D2) and Simpson's 951 evenness (SEve); phylogenetic diversity indices: mean nearest taxon distance (MNTD); functional diversity indices: functional richness (FRic), and functional evenness (FEve); CWM values of leaf 952 nitrogen (Leaf N) and phosphorus (Leaf P), specific leaf area (SLA), leaf dry mass, leaf dry matter 953 954 content (LDMC), seed mass and plant height. For definitions of these properties, please see Methods.



955 Fig. 2 Biodiversity-ecosystem functioning relationships. Relationship between realized target plant 956 species richness (averaged per plot between 2006 and 2015, axis on log₂-scale) and various ecosystem 957 functions in German (panels a-h, Jena Experiment) and US (panels i-l, BioDIV) biodiversity experiments containing all plots (unconstrained, all dots and red lines) and only realistic plots 958 959 (constrained, black dots and lines). Insets show slope estimates with 95% confidence intervals (error 960 bars and shaded areas) for all plots (unconstrained, red) and only realistic plots (constrained, black). 961 For model parameters such as sample sizes, slope estimates, confidence intervals, p-values and adjusted 962 R^2 values, see Supporting Information, Table S9. Dashed regression lines show non-significant 963 relationships (p > 0.05). Note that panels a-d and i-l show the same ecosystem functions for both 964 experiments (in BioDIV, total soil C represents soil organic C, panel k). BM denotes biomass and C:N 965 ratio means carbon to nitrogen ratio. Where indicated in the y-axis label, data were transformed to meet model assumptions. Response variables were averaged over all available years. Function symbols 966 modified from originals by Hamish, Saeful Muslim, Alice Noir, Lluis Pareras, Creative Stall, Atif 967 968 Arshad, Made and amantaka from the Noun Project.



969 Supporting Information

970 The following Supporting Information is available for this article online:

971 Supporting Methods.

- 972 **Table S1.** List of German and US datasets for vegetation and ecosystem function data.
- 973 Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses I.
- 974 **Table S2.** Community properties used in the different main and sensitivity subsets.
- 975 Figure S1. Alternative versions of Fig. 1 based on the alternative intersection scenarios.
- 976 **Table S3.** Jena plots included in the different overlap scenarios versus all experimental plots.
- 977 **Table S4.** BioDIV plots included in the different overlap scenarios versus all experimental plots.
- 978 Figure S2. Temporal movement of Jena invasion communities into the real-world realm.
- 979 Figure S3. Violin plots of community properties of German experimental and real-world plots.
- 980 Table S5. T-test results for differences between German experimental and real-world plots.
- 981 Figure S4. Violin plots of community properties of US experimental and real-world plots.
- 982 **Table S6.** T-test results for differences between US experimental and real-world plots.
- 983 Figure S5. NMDS biplots of species-abundance data for German and US dataset.
- **Table S7.** T-test results for differences between realistic and unrealistic plots for the Jena Experiment.
- 985 **Table S8.** T-test results for differences between realistic and unrealistic plots for BioDIV.
- **Table S9.** Model parameters for BEF relationships presented in Fig. 2.
- 987 Figure S6. Alternative versions of Fig. 2 based on the alternative intersection scenarios.
- 988 **Table S10.** Constraining-related change in functioning at maximum species richness.

989 Supporting Information on sensitivity analyses II.

- 990 Figure S7: Random selection sensitivity analysis for Fig. 2 relationships turning insignificant.
- 991 Table S11. Differences between range in function covered by unconstrained and constrained models in

992 Fig. 2.

Table S12. Drivers of ecosystem functioning in all vs. realistic communities of biodiversityexperiments.

Table S13. Correlation coefficients for CWM's versus functional, phylogenetic metrics and evenness,German dataset.

997 Table S14. Correlation coefficients for CWM's versus functional, phylogenetic metrics and evenness,998 US dataset.

999 Figure S8. Alternative versions of Fig. 1a showing Exploratories land-use intensity gradients.

1000 Figure S9. Cover versus vegetation survey size scaling sensitivity check for German real-world data

1001 (Biodiversity Exploratories).

1002 **Figure S10.** Phylogenetic backbone tree (one example of the 50 replicates).

1003 Table S15. TRY references for plant species trait data from two TRY requests (might have to be

1004 included in the main references depending on the TRY rules and journal policy).

1005 Figure S11. PCA of plant species and their traits for German and US comparison.

1006 **Table S16.** Percentage cover of species with trait information for the German and US datasets.

1007 **Table S17.** Species with altered trait values to avoid Gower dissimilarity zeros.

1008 Table S18. Correlation coefficients for 21 plant community properties for the German dataset.

1009 **Table S19.** Correlation coefficients for 21 plant community properties for the US dataset.

1010 **Table S20.** Variance explained by 12 PCA axes (12 vif-selected community properties).

1011 **Table S21.** PCA scores for 12 vif-selected community properties of PCA's in Fig. 1.

1012 **Table S22.** Full dataset of community properties for all plots used in the PCA's over all years

1013 (submitted along with R-code at submission).