

A RIGIDITY FOR REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

Dedicated to Professor Tadashi Nagano on his sixtieth birthday

YOUNG JIN SUH* AND RYOICHI TAKAGI

(Received June 25, 1990, revised October 22, 1990)

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to give a rigidity theorem for real hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying a certain geometric condition.

Introduction. Let $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional complex projective space with the metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$.

We proved in [4] that two isometric immersions of a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ are congruent if their second fundamental forms coincide. In general, the type number is defined as the rank of the second fundamental form. In this paper we shall give another rigidity theorem of the same type:

THEOREM A. *Let M be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and ι and $\hat{\iota}$ be two isometric immersions of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$). Assume that ι and $\hat{\iota}$ have a principal direction in common at each point of M , and that the type number of (M, ι) or $(M, \hat{\iota})$ is not equal to 2 at each point of M . Then ι and $\hat{\iota}$ are congruent, that is, there is a unique isometry φ of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi \circ \iota = \hat{\iota}$.*

We shall say that an isometry φ of a real hypersurface M in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is *principal* if for each point p of M there exists a principal vector v at p such that the vector $\varphi_*(v)$ is also principal at $\varphi(p)$, where φ_* denotes the differential of φ at p . Then as an application of Theorem A we have:

THEOREM B. *Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$). Assume that each isometry of M is principal. Then M is an orbit under an analytic subgroup of the projective unitary group $PU(n+1)$.*

Note that all orbits in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ under analytic subgroups of the projective unitary group $PU(n+1)$ are completely classified in [4].

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for his useful advice.

1. Preliminaries. Let M be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and ι be

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C15.

Key words and phrases: Rigidity, Real hypersurfaces, Common principal direction.

* Partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

an isometric immersion of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. In this section, let the indices i, j, k, l run from 1 through $2n - 1$. Choose a field $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1}\}$ of local orthonormal frame on M , and denote its dual 1-forms by θ_i . Then the connection forms θ_{ij} and the curvature forms Θ_{ij} are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\theta_i + \sum_j \theta_{ij} \wedge \theta_j = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \Theta_{ij} = d\theta_{ij} + \sum_k \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj},$$

respectively. We denote the second fundamental tensor of (M, ι) by (H_{ij}) , and put $\phi_i = \sum_j H_{ij} \theta_j$. Moreover, we denote the almost contact structure of (M, ι) by (J_{ij}, f_k) . Then we have the equations of Gauss and Codazzi,

$$(1.3) \quad \Theta_{ij} = \phi_i \wedge \phi_j + c\theta_i \wedge \theta_j + c \sum_{k,l} (J_{ik} J_{jl} + J_{ij} J_{kl}) \theta_k \wedge \theta_l,$$

$$(1.4) \quad d\phi_i + \sum_j \phi_j \wedge \theta_{ji} = c \sum_{j,k} (f_j J_{ik} + f_i J_{jk}) \theta_j \wedge \theta_k.$$

The three tensors $H = (H_{ij})$, $J = (J_{ij})$ and $f = (f_i)$ satisfy

$$(1.5) \quad H_{ij} = H_{ji}, \quad J_{ij} = -J_{ji},$$

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_k J_{ik} J_{kj} - f_i f_j = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \sum_j J_{ij} f_j = 0, \quad \sum_i f_i^2 = 1,$$

$$(1.7) \quad dJ_{ij} = \sum_k J_{ik} \theta_{kj} - \sum_k J_{kj} \theta_{ik} - f_i \phi_j + f_j \phi_i,$$

$$(1.8) \quad df_i = \sum_j f_j \theta_{ji} - \sum_j J_{ji} \phi_j.$$

We denote by t the rank of the matrix (H_{ij}) , which is called the *type number* of (M, ι) .

For another isometric immersion $\hat{\iota}$ of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ we shall denote the differential forms and tensor fields of $(M, \hat{\iota})$ by the same symbol but with a hat.

2. Key lemmas. Let M be a $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and $\iota, \hat{\iota}$ be two isometric immersions into the complex projective space $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. In the remainder of this paper, the index α stands for the special index 1 to avoid confusion, and the indices i, j, k, l run from 2 through $2n - 1$, unless otherwise stated.

In this section, we assume that at each point of M , ι and $\hat{\iota}$ have a *principal direction in common*. Then we can set $\phi_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \theta_\alpha$ and $\hat{\phi}_\alpha = \hat{\lambda}_\alpha \theta_\alpha$.

LEMMA 2.1.

$$J_{\alpha i} J_{jk} = \hat{J}_{\alpha i} \hat{J}_{jk}.$$

PROOF. From (1.3) we have

$$\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_i + c \sum (J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} + J_{\alpha i} J_{jk}) \theta_j \wedge \theta_k = \hat{\phi}_\alpha \wedge \hat{\phi}_i + c \sum (\hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik} + \hat{J}_{\alpha i} \hat{J}_{jk}) \theta_j \wedge \theta_k.$$

Taking account of the coefficients of $\theta_j \wedge \theta_k$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - J_{\alpha k} J_{ij} + 2J_{\alpha i} J_{jk} = \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha k} \hat{J}_{ij} + 2\hat{J}_{\alpha i} \hat{J}_{jk}.$$

Putting $j = i$ in (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad J_{\alpha i} J_{ij} = \hat{J}_{\alpha i} \hat{J}_{ij}.$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad (\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha i} - \hat{J}_{\alpha i} J_{\alpha j}) J_{jk} + (-\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha k} + \hat{J}_{\alpha k} J_{\alpha j}) J_{ji} + 2(J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik}) \hat{J}_{\alpha j} = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik}) \hat{J}_{\alpha j} + (\hat{J}_{\alpha k} J_{\alpha j} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha k}) J_{ij} + 2(\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha i} - \hat{J}_{\alpha i} J_{\alpha j}) J_{jk} = 0.$$

Adding (2.3) to (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad (J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik}) \hat{J}_{\alpha j} + (\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha i} - \hat{J}_{\alpha i} J_{\alpha j}) J_{jk} = 0.$$

Exchanging the role of J and \hat{J} , we have

$$(2.6) \quad (J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik}) J_{\alpha j} + (\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha i} - \hat{J}_{\alpha i} J_{\alpha j}) \hat{J}_{jk} = 0.$$

Multiplying (2.5) by $J_{\alpha k}$, (2.6) by $\hat{J}_{\alpha k}$, and then taking their difference, we find

$$(\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha k} - \hat{J}_{\alpha k} J_{\alpha j})(J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik}) = 0,$$

and hence

$$(2.7) \quad (\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha i} - \hat{J}_{\alpha i} J_{\alpha j})(J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik}) = 0.$$

If there were indices i, j, k such that

$$(2.8) \quad J_{\alpha j} J_{ik} - \hat{J}_{\alpha j} \hat{J}_{ik} \neq 0,$$

then from (2.7) we have $\hat{J}_{\alpha j} J_{\alpha i} - \hat{J}_{\alpha i} J_{\alpha j} = 0$. This, together with (2.5) and (2.6), implies $\hat{J}_{\alpha j} = 0$ and $J_{\alpha j} = 0$, which contradicts (2.8). q.e.d.

LEMMA 2.2.

$$J = \pm \hat{J}.$$

PROOF. We need to consider three cases.

Case I: $\hat{J}_{\alpha i} \neq 0$ for some i . Put $\varepsilon = J_{\alpha i} / \hat{J}_{\alpha i}$. Then from Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{J}_{ij} = \varepsilon J_{ij}.$$

Since $n \geq 3$ and $\text{rank } \hat{J} = 2n - 2$, we have $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and so

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{J}_{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} J_{\alpha i} \quad \text{for all } i.$$

From (1.6) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \varepsilon^2 \sum_j J_{ij}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} J_{ai}^2 + \hat{f}_i^2 = 1,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_j J_{ij}^2 + J_{ai}^2 + f_i^2 = 1,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_i J_{ai}^2 + \hat{f}_\alpha^2 = 1,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_i J_{ai}^2 + f_\alpha^2 = 1.$$

Now we put $a = \sum f_i^2 = 1 - f_\alpha^2$ and $\hat{a} = \sum \hat{f}_i^2 = 1 - \hat{f}_\alpha^2$. Then it follows from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$(2.15) \quad a = \varepsilon^2 \hat{a}.$$

On the other hand, from (1.6) and (2.2) we have that

$$f_\alpha^2 \sum_j f_j^2 = \sum_j \left(\sum_i J_{ai} J_{ij} \right)^2 = \sum_j \left(\sum_i \hat{J}_{ai} \hat{J}_{ij} \right)^2 = \hat{f}_\alpha^2 \sum_j \hat{f}_j^2,$$

which means $(1 - a)a = (1 - \hat{a})\hat{a}$, and so $a = \hat{a}$ or $a + \hat{a} = 1$.

From (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - \varepsilon^2 \right) J_{ai}^2 + \hat{f}_i^2 - \varepsilon^2 f_i^2 = 1 - \varepsilon^2.$$

This and (2.15) imply

$$(2.16) \quad \hat{a} - \varepsilon^2 a = (n - 1)(1 - \varepsilon^2).$$

Regardless of whether $a = \hat{a}$ or $a + \hat{a} = 1$, from (2.15) and (2.16) we have $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ since $n \geq 3$, which shows $J = \pm \hat{J}$.

Case II: $\hat{J}_{ai} = 0$ for all i and $\lambda_\alpha^2 + \hat{\lambda}_\alpha^2 > 0$. Then Lemma 2.1 gives $J_{ai} = 0$. It follows from the equation of Gauss (1.3) that

$$\phi_\alpha \wedge \phi_i = \hat{\phi}_\alpha \wedge \hat{\phi}_i,$$

and so

$$(2.17) \quad (\hat{\lambda}_\alpha \hat{\phi}_i - \lambda_\alpha \phi_i) \wedge \theta_\alpha = 0.$$

Thus we can write

$$(2.18) \quad \hat{\lambda}_\alpha \hat{\phi}_i - \lambda_\alpha \phi_i = c_i \theta_\alpha.$$

Again from the equation of Gauss (1.3) we have

$$(2.19) \quad \phi_i \wedge \phi_j \equiv \hat{\phi}_i \wedge \hat{\phi}_j \pmod{\theta_k \wedge \theta_l}.$$

Here we may set $\phi_i = \lambda_i \theta_i$. Then cancelling ϕ_i and ϕ_j from (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\hat{\lambda}_\alpha^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j \theta_i \wedge \theta_j \equiv (\lambda_\alpha \lambda_i \theta_i + c_i \theta_\alpha) \wedge (\lambda_\alpha \lambda_j \theta_j + c_j \theta_\alpha) \pmod{\theta_k \wedge \theta_l}.$$

Taking account of the coefficients of $\theta_\alpha \wedge \theta_i$, we have

$$c_j \lambda_i \lambda_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Since $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$ or $\hat{\lambda}_\alpha \neq 0$, we may assume $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$. Then we have $c_j \lambda_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$. But it is known that in any non-empty open set U of M there exists a point p where $\text{rank } H \geq 2$ (cf. [4]). These facts imply that there exists an index i' such that $c_{i'} = 0$, i.e., the vector $e_{i'}$ is a principal direction common to ι and $\hat{\iota}$. Now, the index i' can play the same role as α . Therefore, since $J_{i'j} \neq 0$ for some j , the present case have been reduced to Case I.

Case III: $\hat{J}_{\alpha i} = 0$ for all i and $\lambda_\alpha = \hat{\lambda}_\alpha = 0$. Then Lemma 2.1 gives $J_{\alpha i} = 0$. It follows from (1.6) that $f_\alpha^2 = 1$ and $\hat{f}_\alpha^2 = 1$. We may set $f_\alpha = 1$ and $\hat{f}_\alpha = 1$.

Denote by K (resp. G) the matrix (H_{ij}) (resp. (J_{ij})) of degree $2n - 2$. In such a situation we shall show:

(2.20) *The matrices K, \hat{K}, G and \hat{G} are all non-singular.*

$$(2.21) \quad GK = \hat{G}\hat{K} \quad \text{and} \quad KG = \hat{K}\hat{G}.$$

$$(2.22) \quad KGK = cG \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}\hat{G}\hat{K} = c\hat{G}.$$

First, the matrices G and \hat{G} are non-singular by (1.6) and $f_i = \hat{f}_i = 0$. From $J_{\alpha i} = 0$ and (1.7) we have $\phi_i = -\sum_j J_{ji} \theta_{\alpha j}$ or equivalently

$$(2.23) \quad \theta_{\alpha i} = \sum_j \phi_j J_{ji}.$$

Similarly, we have $\theta_{\alpha i} = \sum_j \hat{\phi}_j \hat{J}_{ji}$. Thus these equations show (2.21).

On the other hand, since $J_{\alpha i} = 0$, the equation of Codazzi (1.4) implies

$$(2.24) \quad \sum_i \phi_i \wedge \theta_{i\alpha} = c \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \theta_i \wedge \theta_j.$$

From (2.23) and (2.24) we have (2.22), which shows the non-singularity of K and \hat{K} . Thus our assertion was proved.

On the other hand, from the equation of Gauss (1.3) and the fact that $\Theta_{ij} = \hat{\Theta}_{ij}$ it follows that

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &H_{ik}H_{jl} - H_{il}H_{jk} + c(J_{ik}J_{jl} - J_{il}J_{jk} + 2J_{ij}J_{kl}) \\ &= \hat{H}_{ik}\hat{H}_{jl} - \hat{H}_{il}\hat{H}_{jk} + c(\hat{J}_{ik}\hat{J}_{jl} - \hat{J}_{il}\hat{J}_{jk} + 2\hat{J}_{ij}\hat{J}_{kl}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.25) by J_{jk} and summing up over j and k , we have

$$(2.26) \quad KGK + cG + c\langle G, G \rangle G = \hat{K}\hat{G}\hat{K} - c\hat{G}\hat{G}\hat{G} + c\langle G, \hat{G} \rangle \hat{G},$$

where we put $\langle G, G \rangle = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}J_{ij}$ etc.

Multiply (2.26) by $K\hat{G}$ from the left. Then, since $K\hat{G}\hat{K}G\hat{K} = KGK\hat{K} = cG^2\hat{K} = -c\hat{K}$ etc. by (2.21) and (2.22), we have

$$(2 + \langle G, G \rangle)K\hat{G}G = -2\hat{K} - \langle G, \hat{G} \rangle K, \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$(2.27) \quad (2 + \langle G, G \rangle)K\hat{G} = 2\hat{K}G + \langle G, \hat{G} \rangle KG.$$

Exchanging the roles of ι and $\hat{\iota}$, we have

$$(2.28) \quad (2 + \langle \hat{G}, \hat{G} \rangle)\hat{K}G = 2K\hat{G} + \langle G, \hat{G} \rangle \hat{K}\hat{G}.$$

Subtracting (2.28) from (2.27), we have $K\hat{G} = \hat{K}G$. It follows from this and (2.27) that

$$\hat{G} = \varepsilon G,$$

where $\varepsilon = \langle G, \hat{G} \rangle / \langle G, G \rangle$. Consequently we have $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ since $\hat{G}^2 = G^2 = -I$. q.e.d.

3. The proof of the theorems. We adopt the notation in §1. From Lemma 2.2 and $\theta_{ij} = \hat{\theta}_{ij}$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \phi_i \wedge \phi_j = \hat{\phi}_i \wedge \hat{\phi}_j.$$

Then, by a well-known lemma of E. Cartan [1], we have at each point of M ,

$$(3.2) \quad \text{if } t \geq 3 \text{ or } \hat{t} \geq 3, \text{ then } \phi_i = \varepsilon \hat{\phi}_i \ (\varepsilon = \pm 1), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 2n-1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad t + \hat{t} \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad t = \hat{t}.$$

On the other hand, it is known that in any non-empty open subset of M there exists a point p such that $t(p) \geq 2$ (cf. [4]). Thus from (3.2) we have $H = \pm \hat{H}$ everywhere on M . Now Theorem A is reduced to a result in [4, Theorem 3.2]. q.e.d.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Since M is complete, it follows from a theorem of the first author of the present paper [3] that there exists a point p_0 on M such that $t(p_0) \geq 3$. Let p be an arbitrary point on M . Then, since M is homogeneous, there exists an isometry g of M such that $g(p_0) = p$. Since ι is principal by assumption, two isometric immersions ι and $\hat{\iota} = \iota \circ g$ of M into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ have a *principal direction in common*. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have $J = \pm \hat{J}$. Hence from (3.3) we have $3 \leq t(p_0) = \hat{t}(p_0)$.

Since the differential g_* of g is a linear isomorphism, we have

$$t(p) = t(g(p_0)) = \hat{t}(p_0),$$

in particular, $t \geq 3$ on M . Now by Theorem A there exists a unique isometry φ_g of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\varphi_g \circ \iota = \hat{\iota} \circ g$, and $\iota(M)$ is just an orbit under the analytic subgroup $\{\varphi_g; g \in I(M)\}$ of $PU(n+1)$, where $I(M)$ denotes the group of all isometries of M .

q.e.d.

REMARK. The present authors think that Theorems A and B are also valid for complex hyperbolic spaces $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ with negative constant holomorphic sectional

curvature $4c$, $c < 0$. The details will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. CARTAN, La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l'espace euclidien réel à n dimensions, Bull. Soc. Math. France 44 (1910), 65–99.
- [2] M. KIMURA AND S. MAEDA, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Math. Z. 202 (1989), 299–311.
- [3] Y. J. SUH, On type number of real hypersurfaces in $P_n(C)$, Tsukuba J. Math., 15 (1991), 99–104.
- [4] R. TAKAGI, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka. J. Math. 10 (1973), 495–506.
- [5] R. TAKAGI, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 43–53, 507–516.
- [6] T. TAKAHASHI, Homogeneous hypersurfaces in space of constant curvature, J. Math. Soc. Japan 22 (1970), 395–410.

UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
IBARAKI, TSUKUBA-SHI, 305
JAPAN
AND

ANDONG UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ANDONG, 760–749
KOREA

CHIBA UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
CHIBA-SHI, 260
JAPAN

